

Acute biliary pancreatitis management during the COVID-19 pandemic

Elif Colak, MD¹; Ahmet Burak Ciftci, MD¹

¹University of Samsun, Samsun Training and Research Hospital, Department of General Surgery, Samsun, Turkey

Author of Correspondence:

Elif Colak, MD

Department of General Surgery, Samsun Training and Research Hospital , Baris Bulvari, No 199 ilkadim, Samsun ,Turkey

PK 55070

Office (363) 311-1500, Fax (362) 277-8865

elifmangancolak@hotmail.com

Abstract

Objective: To analyze acute biliary pancreatitis (ABP) management during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: This was a retrospective cohort study conducted with ABP patients during two discrete periods: a control period from March 16, 2019, through March 15, 2020 (period 1); and a COVID-19 era between March 16, 2020, and March 15, 2021 (period 2).

Results: A total of 89 patients with ABP were identified, 58 in period 1 and 31 in period 2, which equates to a 46.6% reduction. The mean age of the patients was 62.75 ± 16.59 years, and 51 (57.3%) of the patients were female. qSOFA and WSES scores are significantly higher in the patients in period 2 ($p=0.031$, $p=0.032$). There were no significant differences regarding hematological parameters except lactate. Lactate levels were significantly higher in period 2 ($p=0.012$). Twenty-two patients (37.9%) in period 1 and six (19.3%) patients in period 2 underwent cholecystectomy ($p=0.072$). Cholecystectomy was performed laparoscopically in 18 (81.8%) patients in period 1 and in five (83.3%) patients in period 2 ($p=0.932$). There were no significant differences regarding surgical intervention between the two periods. Three patients were diagnosed COVID-19 in period 2. All of these patients died. The severity of ABP was significantly worse in SARS-CoV-2-positive patients, with over 100% of patients in this group developing severe pancreatitis. Six patients (10.3%) in period 1, 10 (32.2%) patients in period 2 were admitted in ICU ($p=0.010$). The median length of stay was 5 (1-40) days in period 1 and 4 (2-75) days in period 2 ($p=0.641$). The hospital mortality rate was 3.4% and 19.3% in period 1 and period 2, respectively. Mortality was significantly higher in period 2 ($p=0.012$).

Conclusion: During the COVID-19 outbreak, a significant decrease in the number of patients with ABP and increased severity was observed. Additionally, it can be said that SARS-CoV-2 infection has a mortal course in patients with ABP. Analysis and evaluation of ABP patients during the pandemic period is important to draw conclusions that will help confront future health crises.

Keywords: Acute biliary pancreatitis; Cholecystectomy; COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has led to unexpected changes in healthcare systems across the world after the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the COVID-19 a public health emergency with a pandemic spread on March 11, 2020 [1]. In many countries, the medical care shifted according to the COVID-19 burden. Interestingly, after these changes, observed a severe decrease in non-COVID-19 patient admissions to emergency services [2-5]. Multiple studies showed a more significant reduction in emergency surgical admissions, ranging from 14% to 86% [6-9]. What happened to the emergency patients and

The impacts of late or non-admissions to the hospital on emergency patients' outcomes are critical questions.

We expected that late admission or nonadmission of patients to emergency clinics due to pandemics might lead to more complicated diseases in some cases. Studies have shown an increase in the number of complicated and perforated appendicitis during the pandemic process [10, 11]. Again, it was observed that palliative interventions other than early surgery were recommended in patients with acute cholecystitis [12, 13]. However, there has been no report investigating the effect of the pandemic on patients' outcomes with acute biliary pancreatitis (ABP).

Acute pancreatitis is an inflammatory disease of the pancreas most commonly caused by gallstones or alcohol consumption. In most patients, the disease takes a mild course, where moderate fluid resuscitation, pain management, and nausea result in rapid clinical improvement.

Nevertheless, the severe form comprising about 20–30% of the patients is a life-threatening disease with hospital mortality rates of about 15% [14]. Initial assessment of the severity of acute pancreatitis is vital in determining further medical treatment. Intravenous fluid replacement is essential to treat fluid loss caused by third space shifts, vomiting, and increased vascular permeability caused by inflammatory mediators. Hemodynamic status should be assessed immediately upon presentation, and resuscitative measures begin as needed. Patients with organ failure should be admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU). Hydration should be provided to all patients unless cardiovascular or renal comorbidities preclude it. Early intravenous hydration is most beneficial within the first 12-24 hours and may have little benefit beyond [15-17].

In light of all these, late admission of patients with acute pancreatitis and inadequate initial treatment may increase the severity of the disease.

Thus, we aimed to investigate how a sudden disruption of seeking surgical care during the COVID-19 pandemic might affect severity rates and outcomes for ABP.

The primary aim of this study was to identify the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the admission and severity rates of ABP. The secondary aim was to compare the management of ABP before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods

This single-site retrospective cohort study was conducted at the University of Samsun, Samsun Training and Research Hospital. We reviewed our hospital records of all consecutive adult patients with ABP during two discrete periods: a control period from March 16, 2019, through March 15, 2020 (period 1); and a COVID-19 era between March 16, 2020, and March 15, 2021 (period 2). The COVID-19 period was defined based on the first confirmed cases in our hospital.

Inclusion criteria included; patients over 18 years and hospital admission due to ABP diagnosed at the emergency room or during hospitalization by an imaging test (ultrasound, computerized tomography [CT]).

Exclusion criteria included; patients under 18 years, patients with acute pancreatitis without biliary etiology, patients presenting with chronic pancreatitis, pancreatic malignancy and pregnancy.

Pancreatitis was confirmed if a patient had at least two out of the following: characteristic pain, a lipase significant more than three times the upper limit of standard or radiological evidence of pancreatitis.

Disease severity was assessed with the Revised Atlanta Classification (RAC) [18]. This classification identifies two phases (early and late). Severity is classified as mild, moderate, or severe. The mild form (interstitial edematous pancreatitis) has no organ failure (OF), local or system complications and usually resolves in the first week. If there is transient (less than 48 h) OF, local complications or exacerbation of the comorbid disease, it is classified as moderate. Patients with persistent (more than 48 h) OF have a severe form of the disease. OF was defined by shock (SBP < 90 mmHg), pulmonary insufficiency (PaO₂ < 60 mmHg on room air or mechanical ventilation requirement), and renal failure (serum creatinine level > 2 mg/dL or need for hemodialysis). Persistent OF was defined as lasting for over 48 hours. All acute surgical patients waiting for hospital admission and urgent surgery were screened for COVID-19 infection at the emergency department in the process of a COVID-19 outbreak in our hospital.

Data analysis and evaluation

Records of patients with the following information were collected during the first episode of ABP on admission: age, gender, body mass index (BMI), comorbidities, Charlson comorbidity index (CCI), vital signs (body temperature, pulse rate, and systolic blood pressure [SBP]), hematology findings (white blood cell [WBC],

neutrophil count, platelets, C-reactive protein [CRP], amylase, lipase, creatinine, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), lactate, total and conjugated bilirubin, quick sequential organ failure assessment score for sepsis (qSOFA) , Bedside Index for Severity in Acute Pancreatitis score (BISAP), Glasgow-Imrie for Severity of Acute Pancreatitis, Ranson' s criteria for pancreatitis, World Society of Emergency Surgery (WSES) Sepsis Severity Scores. In addition, the rates of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), percutaneous CT-guided fine needle aspiration biopsy (CT-guided FNAB), cholecystectomy, types of cholecystectomies, ICU admission rates, length of hospital stay, and hospital mortality were recorded.

Our study included those therapeutic interventions: endoscopic sphincterotomy, endoscopic intervention and surgical intervention. Endoscopic interventions included endoscopic drainage and necrosectomy for infected acute necrotic collection or walled-off necrosis (WON). Surgical interventions were defined by percutaneous drainage, open/laparoscopic debridement, or drainage with or without pancreatic resection. Diagnosis of WON was made according to the 2012 revised Atlanta classification; it consisted of necrotic tissue contained within an enhancing wall of reactive tissue that occurred \geq four weeks after the onset of ABP [18].

Outcome measures

The primary outcomes of interest were the difference in the rate of ABP admissions and severity. Secondary outcomes of interest were interventions, duration of ICU stay, length of hospital stay and mortality. The primary outcome measures were the severity of AP based on the revised Atlanta criteria. BISAP, qSOFA, Glasgow-Imrie for Severity of Acute Pancreatitis, Ranson's criteria for pancreatitis, and WSES Sepsis Severity Scores. Secondary outcome measures included admission to ICU and length of hospital stay and hospital mortality.

Statistical analysis

SPSS software (IBM version 20; IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Scale data were tested for normality with a Shapiro–Wilk test. Non-parametric data was tested with a Mann–Whitney-test. Parametrically distributed data including were tested using a Studentt-test. Nominal data were tested using a chi-squared or Fischer exact test. A *P*-value < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Patient characteristics on admission

During the study period, 89 patients with ABP were identified, 58 in period 1 and 31 in period 2, which equates to a 46.6 % reduction. The mean age of the patients was 62.75 ± 16.59 years, and 51 (57.3%) were female. The majority (57%) of the study population was above 60 years of age. 44.9 % of patients have diabetes mellitus, 12.4 % hypertension, and 37% have no comorbid condition. 40% of the patients had BMI between 30-35. Basic demographics are outlined in Table 1. There were no significant differences in mean age ($p= 0.767$), gender ($p= 0.372$), BMI ($p=0.181$), CCI ($p=0.538$) between two periods. There were no significant differences regarding hematological parameters except lactate. Lactate levels were significantly higher in period 2 ($p=0.012$).

Severity, treatment, and clinical outcomes

The severity of index disease according to the RAC is outlined in **Table 2**. There was a significant difference between the two groups in the severity of disease in patients with ABP ($p=0.040$).

A comparison of the patients between two periods regarding qSOFA, BISAP, Glasgow-Imrie for Severity of Acute Pancreatitis, Ranson' criteria for pancreatitis, WSES Sepsis Severity Scores have outlined in **Table 3**. qSOFA and WSES scores are significantly higher in the patients in period 2 (respectively, $p=0.031$, $p=0.032$)

Diagnostic and therapeutic interventions

Eighteen patients (20.2%) underwent diagnostic and therapeutic interventions that included ERCP (n=6), endoscopic drainage (n=2), percutaneous drainage (n=5) and CT-guided FNAB (n=5). Diagnostic imaging and therapeutic interventions were shown in **Table 4**. There was no significant difference found between the two periods regarding diagnostic imaging and therapeutic interventions.

Twenty-two patients (37.9%) in period one and six (19.3%) patients in period 2 underwent cholecystectomy. There was no significant difference found between the two periods regarding index cholecystectomy ($p=0.072$).

Cholecystectomy was performed laparoscopically in 18 (81.8%) of cases in period 1 and in five (83.3%) cases in period 2. There was no difference found between the two groups regarding laparoscopic cholecystectomy ($p=0.932$).

Outcomes

Six patients (10.3%) in period 1, 10 (32.2%) patients in period 2 were admitted in ICU. ICU admission rates were significantly higher in period 2 ($p=0.010$). The median length of stay was 5 (1-40) days in period 1 and 4 (2-75) days in period 2. There was no difference found between the two groups ($p= 0.641$). Two patients in period 2 were diagnosed COVID-19 at admission; one patient was diagnosed COVID-19 after admission (7th day). All of these

patients died. The severity of ABP was significantly worse in SARS-CoV-2-positive patients, with over 100% of patients in this group developing severe pancreatitis. The hospital mortality rate was 3.4% and 19.3% in period 1 and period 2, respectively. Mortality was significantly higher in period 2 ($p=0.012$).

Discussion

ABP is the most common form of acute pancreatitis encountered by physicians in emergency departments globally. This study has shown that significantly fewer patients with ABP were admitted during the COVID-19 pandemic than the pre-pandemic period. The findings of our study are similar to those seen in the UK, Italian, and Spanish studies, which found significant decreases in general surgical admissions, ranging from 14% to 86% [4-6]. Swagman et al. also reported a significant decrease in medical emergencies in all disciplines since introducing contact restrictions during the COVID-19 pandemic, according to their analysis of data from 36 emergency services [2].

Nevertheless, this could be related to the public measures implemented to reduce mobility, the hesitation of going to emergency services due to contagion risk, and the spontaneous resolution of mild ABP self-limited with symptomatic treatment at home. It may reduce the overall ABP diagnosis, and it might increase the severity of ABP presentation.

Second, we expected patients to present with a delayed and potentially more acute during the COVID-19 pandemic. Because initial treatment is vital in managing ABP, aggressive intravenous fluid replacement should treat fluid loss caused by third space shifts, vomiting, and increased vascular permeability. We found that lactate levels are significantly higher in patients in period 2 on admission. It could be related to inadequate fluid replacement.

Moreover, prompt evaluation of the severity of ABP is essential to predict patients' outcomes, estimate prognosis, and determine the need for ICU care.

In addition, we found that qSOFA and WSES scores are higher for patients in period 2 than period 1. Clinical signs with higher qSOFA and WSES scores indicate a higher rate of more severe disease courses concerning the whole included patient population. A similar situation has been demonstrated for patients with acute appendicitis. Many studies have shown a decrease in acute appendicitis admissions as well as an increase in the number of complicated appendicitis during the COVID-19 pandemic [10, 11]. Willms et al. reported that the overall number of patients decreased from 1027 in 2019 to 888 (-13.5%, $p = 0.003$), and the rate of complicated appendicitis rose to 64.4% ($p=0.012$) during the lockdown [11].

In the present study, we found that three of the six patients who died in period 2 had concurrent COVID-19 infection. All three patients had persistent OF and necrotizing pancreatitis. It is difficult to make a definitive interpretation of the cause of death, as both diseases can cause OF. However, we can conclude that the coexistence of COVID-19 and ABP can lead to severe illness and death.

This study also describes the management of ABP before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Although the number of our patients was small, we found that we performed cholecystectomy in both periods at a similar rate in patients with mild ABP. Cholecystectomy was performed laparoscopically in 18 cases in period 1 and 5 cases in period 2. No change to surgical management of mild ABP was implemented in our clinic in response to the possible increased risk of exposure to COVID-19 from laparoscopic surgery. All of these patients were discharged in good condition.

We also used advanced imaging modalities and interventional procedures such as MRCP, ERCP, CT-guided FNAB, percutaneous drainage, endoscopic drainage at similar rates in both periods. It can be interpreted as the standard of emergency surgical care for patients with ABP was maintained in our hospital.

The main limitation is that it is a retrospective study, where the electronic medical record was obtained retrospective data, and the interpretation of these data might suppose a bias. In addition, it has been influenced by interindividual variability in clinical decision-making.

Despite many surgical societies' recommendations about ABP management, there is no clear evidence about ABP management during the COVID-19 pandemic. In this paper, we describe and analyze ABP management in our center during the higher incidence period of the pandemic to draw conclusions that help to face future health crises in emergency surgical patient management.

To our knowledge, this is the first study that analyzed the number, severity, and management of ABP during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Conclusions

There was a significant decrease in patients with ABP applied to our hospital during the COVID-19 pandemic. In the other hand, there was an increase in the severity of the disease was observed. This increase in the severity of the disease may be that the initial treatment did not commence at the appropriate time. In addition, it can be said that SARS-CoV-2 infection has a mortal course in patients with ABP. Analysis and evaluation of ABP patients during the pandemic period is important to draw conclusions that will help confront future health crises. Thus, multicenter

studies with more significant numbers of patients are needed to obtain more valuable evidence regarding ABP management during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Funding This research was not supported by a particular or public statement.

Declaration Conflict of interest There are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval This study was approved by the Review Board of the University of Samsun, Samsun Training and Research Hospital.

References

- 1- World Health Organization. Director General's opening remarks at media briefing on COVID 19 , 11 March 2020. <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020>.
- 2- Soreide K, Hallet J, Matthews JB, Schnitzbauer AA, Line PD, Lai PBS, et al. Immediate and long-term impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on delivery of surgical services. *Br J Surg*. 2020; 107(10):1250-1261.
- 3-Slagman A, Behringer W, Greiner F, Klein M, Weismann D, Erdmann B, et al. Medical emergencies during the covid-19 pandemic. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2020; 117(33- 34): 545– 552.
- 4-Dick L, Green J, Brown J, Kennedy E, Cassidy R, Othman S, et al. Changes in emergency general surgery during covid-19 in Scotland: a prospective cohort study. *World J Surg*. 2020; 44: 3590– 3594.
- 5- Patel R, Hainsworth AJ, Devlin K, Patel JH, Karim A. Frequency and severity of general surgical emergencies during the COVID-19 pandemic: single-centre experience from a large metropolitan teaching hospital. *Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Engl*. 2020; 102:1-6.
- 6- Patriti A, Eugeni E, Guerra F. What happened to surgical emergencies in the era of COVID-19 outbreak? Considerations of surgeons working in an Italian COVID-19 red zone. *Updates Surg*. 2020; 72: 309–10.
- 7- Rausei S, Ferrara F, Zurleni T, Frattini F, Chiara O, Pietrabissa A, et al. Dramatic decrease of surgical emergencies during COVID-19 outbreak. *J. Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2020; 89: 1085– 91.
- 8- Pérez-Rubio Á, Sebastián Tomás JC, Navarro-Martínez S, González Guardiola P, Guillermo Torrecillas Meroño D, Domingo del Pozo C. Incidence of surgical abdominal emergencies during SARS-CoV-2 pandemic [Incidencia de la patología abdominal quirúrgica urgente durante la pandemia por SARS-CoV-2]. *Cir. Esp*. 2020; 98: 618–24. [Article in English, Spanish]
- 9-Sapountzi M, Sideris G, Boumpa E, Papadimitriou N, Nikolopoulos T, Delides A. Variation in volumes and characteristics of ENT emergency visits during COVID-19 pandemic. Where are the patients? *Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp*. 2021;S0001-6519(21)00002-9.
- 10- Willms AG, Oldhafer KJ, Conze S, Thasler WE, von Schassen C, Hauer T, et al. Appendicitis during the COVID-19 lockdown: results of a multicenter analysis in Germany. *Langenbecks Arch Surg*. 2021; 406(2):367-375.
- 11- Jason C. Fisher, Sandra S. Tomita, Howard B. Ginsburg, Alex Gordon, David Walker, Keith A. Kuenzler. Increase in Pediatric Perforated Appendicitis in the New York City Metropolitan Region at the Epicenter of the COVID-19 Outbreak. *Ann Surg*. 2021; 273(3): 410–415.
- 12- Somuncu E, Kara Y, Kızılkaya MC, Bozdağ E, Yıldız ZB, Özkan C, et al. Percutaneous cholecystostomy instead of laparoscopy to treat acute cholecystitis during the COVID-19 pandemic period: A case series experience. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg*. 2021; 27: 89- 94.

- 13- Martínez Caballero, J., González González, L., Rodríguez Cuéllar, Ferrero Herrero, E., Pérez Algar, C., Vaello Jodra, V., et al. Multicentre cohort study of acute cholecystitis management during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Eur J of Trauma Emerg Surg.* 2021; 19:1-10.
- 14- Leppäniemi, A., Tolonen, M., Tarasconi, A, Lohse HS, Kirkpatrick AW, Ball CG, et al. 2019 WSES guidelines for the management of severe acute pancreatitis. *World J Emerg Sur.* 2019; 14: 27.
- 15- Banks PA, Freeman ML. Practice guidelines in acute pancreatitis. *Am J Gastro-enterol.* 2006;101: 2379–400.
- 16- Baillargeon JD, Orav J, Ramagopal V, Tenner SM, Banks PA. Hemoconcentration as an early risk factor for necrotizing pancreatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1998; 93:2130–4.
- 17-Tenner S, Baillie J, DeWitt J, Vege SS; American College of Gastroenterology. American College of Gastroenterology guideline: management of acute pancreatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2013; 108(9):1400-15; 1416. Epub 2013 Jul 30. Erratum in: *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2014; 109(2):302.
- 18- Banks PA, Bollen TL, Dervenis C, Gooszen HG, Johnson CD, Sarr MG, et al. Classification of acute pancreatitis—2012: revision of the Atlanta classification and definitions by international consensus. *Gut.* 2013; 62:102–11.

Table 1

Patient demographics and baseline characteristics on admission

	Group 1 (n=58)	Group 2(n=31)	p value
Age,year, mean±SD	61.1±16.9	62.0±16.0	0.767
Gender,male/female	27/31	11/20	0.372
BMI,kg/m ² , mean±SD	29.3±4.2	30.7±5.0	0.181
Charlson Comorbidity index, score, mean±SD	2.6±2.0	2.9±1.9	0.538
Physical and blood exam			
Body temperature, degree, mean±SD	36.7±0.5	36.9±0.7	0.114
Pulse rate,/minute, mean±SD	70.4±6.2	72.8±9.0	0.155
SBP, mmHg, mean±SD	125.1±16.4	123.2±20.2	0.625
WBC, x10 ³ /μL, mean±SD	11.4±3.8	12.3±6.2	0.367
Neutrophil count, x10 ³ /μL, mean±SD	8.9±3.7	9.8±5.2	0.360
Platelet, x10 ⁴ /μL, mean±SD	23.3±9.5	24.7±9.3	0.503
CRP, mg/dl, median (range)	7.2 (0.2-30.1)	8.0(0.4-30.5)	0.494
Amylase, U/L, median (range)	814(39-8987)	1125(108-5150)	0.552
Lypase, U/L, median (range)	1765(110-31367)	2972(39-20945)	0.438
AST, U/L, median (range)	154(8-2001)	106(10-1220)	0.667
ALT, U/L, median (range)	121(10-1720)	78(6-598)	0.532
Total bilirubine, mg/dl, median (range)	1.3(0.2-16)	1.4(0.2-5.5)	0.645
Creatinine, mg/dL, median (range)	0.8(0.4-2.4)	0.8(0.2-2.1)	0.681
Lactate, mmol/L, median (range)	1.4(0.8-13.3)	1.8(0.8-9.3)	0.012*

AST, aspartate aminotransferase; *ALT*, alanine aminotransferase.; *BMI*, body mass index; *CRP*, C-reactive protein; *SD*, standard deviation; *SBP*, systolic blood pressure; *WBC*, white blood cell.

*, p<0.05

Table 2

Revised Atlanta Classification of the patients in period 1 and period 2

	Period 1, n=58	Period 2, n=31
Mild	49(84. 5%)	21(67,7%)
Modareteley severe	7(12. 0%)	4(12. 9%)
Severe	2(3. 5%)	6(19. 3)

Table 3

Comparison of prognostic scores between period 1 and period 2

	Period 1 (n=58)	Period 2 (n=31)	p value
qSOFA, score, mean±SD	0.13±0.06	0,38±0.13	0.031*
WSES, score, mean±SD	0.94±0.16	2.03±0.44	0.032*
BISAP, score, mean±SD	1.46±0.14	1.58±0.22	0.939
Glasgow, score, mean±SD	1.62±0.14	1.90±0.23	0,402
Ranson, score, mean±SD	2.12±0.22	2.90±0.34	0.053

BISAP; Bedside Index for Severity of Acute Pancreatitis score, *Glasgow*; Glasgow-Imrie for Severity of Acute Pancreatitis, *qSOFA*; Quick SOFA score for sepsis, *Ranson*; Ranson' criteria for pancreatitis, *WSES*; World Society of Emergency Surgery Sepsis Severity Scores.

*, p<0.05

Table 4

Comparisons of diagnostic imaging and therapeutic interventions between period 1 and period 2

	Period 1 (n=58)	Period 2(n=31)	p value
CT	51 (87.9%)	30 (96.7)	0,165
MRCP	13 (22.4%)	10 (32.2%)	0.312
ERCP	4 (6.8%)	2 (6.4%)	0.936
PD	2(3.4%)	3 (9.6%)	0.224
CT-guide FNAB	2 (3.4%)	3(9.6%)	0.224
ED	1 (1.7%)	1 (3.2%)	0.649

CT, Computed tomography; *CT-guided FNAB*, percutaneous CT-guided fine needle aspiration biopsy; *ED*, Endoscopic drainage; *ERCP*, Endoscopic retrograde colangiopancreaticography; *MRCP*, magnetic resonance colangiopancreaticography; *PD*, Percutaneous drainage.