

1 **Title:** Cross-sectional study of the association between perceived organizational support  
2 and COVID-19 vaccine intention

3 **Running title:** Association between perceived organizational support and COVID-19  
4 vaccine intention

5 **Authors:**

6 Yuichi Kobayashi<sup>1,2</sup>, MD; Tomohisa Nagata<sup>1</sup>, MD, PhD; Yoshihisa Fujino<sup>3</sup>, MD, MPH,  
7 PhD; Ayako Hino<sup>4</sup>, MD, PhD; Seiichiro Tateishi<sup>5</sup>, MD, PhD; Akira Ogami<sup>6</sup>, MD, PhD;  
8 Mayumi Tsuji<sup>7</sup>, MD, PhD; Shinya Matsuda<sup>8</sup>, M.D, PhD; Koji Mori<sup>1</sup>, MD, PhD; for the  
9 CORoNaWork project

10 **Affiliations:**

11 <sup>1</sup> Department of Occupational Health Practice and Management, Institute of Industrial  
12 Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

13 <sup>2</sup> Global Headquarters, HOYA Corporation

14 <sup>3</sup> Department of Work Systems and Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,  
15 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

16 <sup>4</sup> Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of  
17 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

18 <sup>5</sup> Department of Occupational Medicine, School of Medicine, University of  
19 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

20 <sup>6</sup> Department of Work Systems and Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,  
21 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

22 <sup>7</sup> Department of Environmental Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational  
23 and Environmental Health, Japan

24 <sup>8</sup> Department of Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and

25 Environmental Health, Japan

26 **Correspondence:** T. Nagata, Department of Occupational Health Practice and  
27 Management, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational  
28 and Environmental Health, Japan, 1-1 Iseigaoka, Yahatanishi-ku, Kitakyushu 807-8555,  
29 Japan.

30 Email: tomohisa@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp; Tel: +81-93-603-1611; Fax: +81-93-603-2155

31 **Category: Original Article**

32 Number of words in the abstract: 197

33 Number of words in the text: 2,449

34 Number of tables and figures: two tables, no figure

35 **Authors' contribution:**

36 YK; analysis and writing the manuscript, TN; creating the questionnaire, analysis, and  
37 drafting the manuscript, YF; overall survey planning, creating the questionnaire, and  
38 review of manuscripts, AH, ST, AO, MT and SM; Review of manuscripts, advice on  
39 interpretation, and funding for research, KM; drafting the manuscript, review of  
40 manuscripts, and advice on interpretation,

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 **Abstract (197 words)**

50 **Objectives:** This study examined the association between perceived organizational  
51 support (POS) and COVID-19 vaccine intention and the influence of the  
52 implementation of workplace infection prevention measures.

53 **Methods:** We analyzed 23,846 workers using data from an Internet survey of workers  
54 aged 20–65 years conducted in December 2020, during a period of widespread  
55 COVID-19 infection in Japan.

56 **Results:** A higher POS was associated with a higher intention to vaccinate. The  
57 relationship between POS and vaccine intention was attenuated when adjusted for  
58 infection prevention measures in the workplace.

59 **Conclusions:** In workplaces where POS is present, a sense of responsibility to the  
60 group and altruistic behavior may arise. This means employees act to acquire herd  
61 immunity to protect others, which may result in increased vaccine intention. The  
62 association between POS and vaccination intention was attenuated by adjusting for  
63 workplace infection prevention measures, which suggested that infection prevention  
64 measures may be a confounding factor or that POS created a health climate that  
65 promoted infection prevention measures. The results suggest that working to improve  
66 employee well-being and implementing appropriate workplace infection prevention  
67 measures during infectious disease outbreaks may promote vaccination behavior and  
68 contribute to the acquisition of herd immunity in the community.

69

70 **Keywords:** Perceived organizational support (POS), COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, vaccine  
71 intention, workplace infection prevention measures, herd immunity

72

73 **Introduction**

74 On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the spread of coronavirus  
75 disease 2019 (COVID-19)<sup>1)</sup> was a pandemic caused by the severe acute respiratory  
76 syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). As of April 1, 2021, 128,822,735 people  
77 worldwide had been infected with COVID-19 and 2,815,166 people had died<sup>2)</sup>.  
78 Although the number of infected people in Japan was lower than that in Europe and the  
79 United States, the Japanese government declared a state of emergency three times (as of  
80 April 25, 2021)<sup>3)</sup>. It is important to implement preventive actions against emerging  
81 infectious diseases, and actions such as keeping a physical distance, wearing masks, and  
82 hand disinfection were recommended to help combat COVID-19<sup>4)</sup>. In addition to these  
83 infection prevention measures, acquisition of herd immunity is important to end the  
84 pandemic<sup>5)</sup>. However, given the few infected people at that time, a survey conducted in  
85 December 2020 reported the antibody prevalence among Japanese people was 1.35% in  
86 Tokyo, which was the city with the highest prevalence<sup>6)</sup>.

87 The newly-developed COVID-19 vaccine is being administered in many  
88 countries to achieve herd immunity. It is also important to achieve herd immunity  
89 through vaccination in Japan to protect people from COVID-19 and restore economic  
90 activities. In Japan, vaccination of approximately 40,000 healthcare workers began on  
91 February 17, 2021, and vaccination of older people (aged  $\geq 65$  years) began in April  
92 2021<sup>7)</sup>. However, many people are hesitant to be vaccinated, and some even refuse  
93 vaccination<sup>8)</sup>. This vaccine hesitancy is considered a major barrier to the acquisition of  
94 herd immunity against SARS-CoV-2. Vaccines may cause adverse reactions, and there  
95 are ethical issues involved in making vaccination mandatory. Therefore, in most  
96 countries, vaccination for COVID-19 is voluntary and based on individual decision

97 making<sup>9)</sup>. The vaccination rate in Japan is lower than that in other countries, including  
98 for the seasonal influenza vaccine<sup>10)</sup>, influenza A (H1N1) that occurred in 2009<sup>11)</sup>, and  
99 the human papilloma virus vaccine (which appeared to be associated with side effects  
100 although the causal relationship was unclear)<sup>12)</sup>. Addressing vaccine hesitancy in Japan  
101 is therefore important to achieve herd immunity to COVID-19.

102           Organizational factors such as leadership in health promotion and the health  
103 climate have a significant impact on employees' health behaviors<sup>13)</sup>. With regard to  
104 vaccination, in workplaces with a good health climate that supports employees' health  
105 promotion and when infection prevention measures are actively implemented, the  
106 number of people in that workplace who are vaccinated may increase. Previous  
107 literature on organizational factors in the workplace and vaccination behavior reported  
108 that the presence of organizational governance influenced vaccination rates in  
109 healthcare organizations<sup>14)</sup>.

110           An indicator related to such a health climate is perceived organizational  
111 support (POS). POS is based on social exchange theory and refers to an employee's own  
112 perception of whether their organization is supportive of improving the well-being of its  
113 employees. A previous study found that employees with a high POS were more likely to  
114 participate in wellness programs compared with those with a low POS<sup>15)</sup>. Therefore,  
115 employees working in organizations with high POS may be more willing to be  
116 vaccinated to help prevent infection in the workplace. Furthermore, during the  
117 COVID-19 pandemic, employers are expected to be proactive in adopting infection  
118 prevention measures in collaboration with their employees. This suggests that proactive  
119 infection prevention measures that seek to protect the health of employees may increase  
120 POS. However, no previous studies have examined the relationship between POS in the

121 workplace during a  
122 pandemic outbreak or the status of infection control efforts in the workplace and  
123 vaccine intentions.

124 We therefore formulated two hypotheses: 1) “Employees with a high POS have  
125 a high intention to be vaccinated with the COVID-19 vaccine” and 2) “The association  
126 between POS and vaccine intention is influenced by the implementation status of  
127 infection prevention measures in the workplace.” We examined these hypotheses using  
128 data from an Internet survey conducted in Japan when COVID-19 vaccination was in  
129 the planning stage.

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

## 146 **Materials and Methods**

147 A research group from the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan,  
148 conducted a prospective cohort study, known as the Collaborative Online Research on  
149 Novel-coronavirus and Work study (CORoNaWork study). The self-administered  
150 questionnaire survey was completed by a panel registered with the Internet survey  
151 company Cross Marketing Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). During the baseline survey (conducted  
152 December 22–25, 2020), Japan was in the third wave of the pandemic in which the  
153 numbers of COVID-19 infections and deaths were markedly higher than in the first and  
154 second waves; therefore, the country was on high alert.

155 We used baseline survey data from the CORoNaWork study to conduct the  
156 present cross-sectional study. The CORoNaWork study protocol, including the sampling  
157 plan and participant recruitment procedure, has previously been reported in detail<sup>16</sup>.  
158 Participants were aged 20–65 years and working at the time of the baseline survey (N =  
159 33,087), and stratified using cluster sampling by gender, age, region, and occupation.  
160 After excluding 6,051 participants who provided invalid responses, we included data for  
161 27,036 participants from the database. The exclusion criteria for the present study were:  
162 individuals who had been infected with the COVID-19 or who had been a close contact  
163 of a person who was diagnosed with COVID-19; self-employed workers; workers in  
164 small/home offices; and agriculture, forestry, and fishery workers. We finally analyzed  
165 data for 23,846 workers.

166 The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of  
167 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (reference No. R2-079). Informed  
168 consent was obtained through the CORoNaWork study survey website at the time data

169 were collected.

170 Assessment of POS

171 POS was evaluated with the following question. “Your company supports employees in  
172 finding a balance between active, productive working and healthy living,” based on a  
173 previous study<sup>17)</sup>. Participants answered on a four-point scale: Strongly  
174 agree/Agree/Disagree/Strongly disagree. Responses were categorized as very high, high,  
175 low, and very low.

176

177 Assessment of COVID-19 vaccine intention and workplace infection control measures

178 To assess vaccine intention, participants were asked: “If a COVID-19 vaccine becomes  
179 available, would you like to get it right away?” (yes or no). Workplace infection control  
180 measures were evaluated with items covering nine specific measures:  
181 prohibition/restriction of business trips; prohibition/restriction of visitors; prohibition of  
182 holding or limiting the number of people participating in social gatherings and  
183 banquets; restriction on face-to-face meetings; always wear masks during working  
184 hours; installation of partitions and change of workplace layout; recommendation for  
185 daily temperature check; recommendation for telecommuting; and request not to come  
186 to work when sick. These nine items were selected by the researchers based on  
187 discussion about infection control measures against COVID-19 in the workplace  
188 described in the guidelines of the Japanese government<sup>18)</sup> and professional  
189 organizations<sup>19)</sup>.

190

191 Assessment of covariates

192 Covariates included demographic and socioeconomic factors, occupation, and number

193 of employees in the workplace. Age was expressed as a continuous variable. Yearly  
194 household income was classified into four categories: <2.50 million Japanese yen  
195 (JPY); 2.50–3.74 million JPY; 3.75–4.99 million JPY; and  $\geq 5.00$  million JPY.  
196 Education was classified into four categories: junior high school or high school,  
197 vocational school, junior college or technical school, university, and graduate school.  
198 Marital status was classified into three categories: married; divorced or bereaved; and  
199 unmarried. In the baseline survey, participants chose one of 10 options for their  
200 occupation: general employee; manager; executive manager; public employee, faculty  
201 member or non-profit organization employee; temporary/contract employee;  
202 self-employed; small office/home office; agriculture, forestry, or fishing; professional  
203 occupations (e.g., lawyer, tax accountant, medical-related); and other occupations. As  
204 noted above, three of these categories were excluded from this study, meaning the  
205 occupations were ultimately classified into seven categories. The number of employees  
206 in the workplace was classified into four categories: 1–9, 10–99, 100–999, and  $\geq 1000$ .  
207 In addition, the cumulative incidence rate of COVID-19 infection 1 month before the  
208 survey was conducted in the prefectures of residence was used as a community-level  
209 variable. This information was collected from the websites of public institutions.

210

## 211 Statistical analyses

212 The odds ratios (OR) for the association between intention to have the COVID-19  
213 vaccination and POS were estimated using a multilevel logistic model nested in the  
214 prefectures of residence to account for area variety. The multivariate model was  
215 adjusted for sex and age (Model 1), and then additionally adjusted for equivalent  
216 income (categories), educational background (categories), marital status, occupation,

217 and number of employees in the workplace (categories) (Model 2). Finally, the model  
218 was additionally adjusted for number of workplace infection control measures (Model  
219 3). All analyses used the incidence rate of COVID-19 by prefecture as a prefecture-level  
220 variable. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses  
221 were conducted using Stata (Stata Statistical Software: Release 16; StataCorp LLC, TX,  
222 USA).

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

## 244 **Results**

245 Table 1 shows participants' characteristics by POS category. Of the 23,846 participants,  
246 1,958 (8%) reported very high POS. In very high POS category 836 (43%) workers  
247 wanted the COVID-19 vaccination, and in very low POS category 1,382 (36%) workers  
248 wanted vaccination.

249 Table 2 shows the association between POS (continuous and categories) and  
250 COVID-19 vaccine intention. In the analysis with POS as a continuous variable, POS  
251 was associated with COVID-19 vaccine intention (Model 2: OR=1.11, 95% confidence  
252 interval [CI]: 1.08–1.15,  $p<0.001$ ). The OR decreased after additional adjustment for  
253 number of workplace infection control measures (Model 3: OR=1.06, 95% CI:  
254 1.02–1.09,  $p<0.001$ ). In the analysis with POS as a categorical variable, very high POS  
255 was associated with COVID-19 vaccine intention (reference: very low POS) (Model 2:  
256 OR=1.34, 95% CI: 1.20–1.51,  $p<0.001$ ). The OR decreased after additional adjustment  
257 for number of workplace infection control measures (Model 3: OR=1.17, 95% CI:  
258 1.04–1.31,  $p<0.001$ ). In contrast, high POS was associated with COVID-19 vaccine  
259 intention (Model 2: OR=1.17, 95% CI: 1.09–1.27,  $p<0.001$ ), but was not associated  
260 with vaccine intention after additional adjustment for number of workplace infection  
261 control measures (Model 3: OR=1.05, 95% CI: 0.97–1.13,  $p=0.276$ ).

262

263

264

265

266

267

## 268 **Discussion**

269 This study showed that higher the POS in the population, the higher the vaccine  
270 intention. The relationship between POS and vaccine intention was attenuated when  
271 adjusted for workplace infection prevention measures. POS is based on the social  
272 exchange theory, which suggests that in workplaces with POS, there may be a sense of  
273 responsibility toward the group and altruistic behavior toward organizational members,  
274 meaning vaccine intentions for infection prevention may have increased<sup>20,21</sup>). Vaccine  
275 intention also includes the social benefits of vaccination. The 5C (confidence,  
276 complacency, constraints, calculation, and collective responsibility) scale has previously  
277 been used as an indicator to evaluate the complex factors related to vaccine intention. In  
278 the 5C scale, collective responsibility is positively correlated with both collectivist  
279 tendencies and community orientation and is considered important because it  
280 corresponds to the protection of others through herd immunity through one's own  
281 vaccination behavior<sup>22</sup>).

282         There are two possible reasons why the association between POS and vaccine  
283 intention was attenuated by adjusting for workplace infection prevention measures. First,  
284 if workplace infection prevention measures are a confounding factor, proactive infection  
285 prevention measures during the COVID-19 pandemic are expected to increase  
286 employees' POS. Although POS does not increase with measures based on laws and  
287 regulations or mandatory measures, it is highly valued by organizational members when  
288 measures are based on discretionary choices of the organization<sup>23</sup>). In addition,

289 proactive infection prevention measures may have increased employee health literacy  
290 and weakened their concern about vaccines. Conversely, even after adjusting for  
291 infection prevention measures, POS was associated with vaccination intention,  
292 suggesting that POS itself may have a direct effect on vaccine intention. Second, POS  
293 during the COVID-19 pandemic may have facilitated the implementation of workplace  
294 infection prevention measures. The presence of leadership support in workplace health  
295 promotion has been reported to increase POS<sup>15)</sup>, and high POS in the workplace may  
296 increase employee participation in health behaviors<sup>24)</sup>. The same is likely to be true for  
297 workplace infection prevention measures that are implemented with a collaborative  
298 understanding between employees and the company. As a result, implementation of  
299 various workplace infection prevention measures may have increased understanding of  
300 vaccines and fostered a “norm of preventing infection” in the workplace, which may  
301 have been associated with vaccination intention.

302 Vaccine intention is based on the relative perception of the efficacy of  
303 vaccination and the risk for adverse reactions. As of December 2020, when the survey  
304 for this study was conducted, international information regarding vaccine trials and  
305 vaccination was still in being received, and it is unlikely that the public had sufficient  
306 accurate information on the COVID-19 vaccine. Therefore, it is possible that correct  
307 knowledge about the seriousness of infectious diseases gained in the process of  
308 implementing workplace infection prevention measures may have raised awareness  
309 regarding the need for vaccination. It has also been noted that vaccination behavior is  
310 susceptible to social norms, and this may have influenced the intention to vaccinate<sup>25-28)</sup>.

311 POS is rooted in the mutual relationship between the organization and its employees.  
312 The present results suggested that a good mutual relationship between the two on a

313 regular basis may lead to an appropriate response to protect the health and life of the  
314 organization and its employees in the event of a crisis such as the COVID-19 pandemic.  
315 In addition, high POS has been associated with employees' work-related indicators,  
316 such as high organizational commitment, job performance, and lower turnover  
317 attitudes<sup>23</sup>). Conversely, it has been suggested that an organization taking proactive  
318 actions to ensure the health and life of its employees during a crisis may have a positive  
319 impact on subsequent employee performance.

320 This study had several limitations that warrant mention. First, the generalizability of  
321 the results is uncertain because this study was conducted through an Internet panel.  
322 However, we attempted to reduce bias in the target population as much as possible by  
323 sampling according to region, job type, and prefecture based on the infection incidence  
324 rate. Second, the timing of the survey might have affected the responses of the target  
325 population. Since the survey was conducted when Japan was experiencing a full-scale  
326 spread of infection, vaccine intention and the status of implementation of workplace  
327 infection prevention measures may have been affected. In addition, the vaccination plan  
328 in Japan was undecided and it was unclear when the vaccination would be available,  
329 which may have influenced vaccine intention responses. Third, POS was evaluated with  
330 a simple question ("Your company supports employees in finding a balance between  
331 active, productive working and healthy living" (Strongly agree/Agree/Disagree/Strongly  
332 disagree), and the measurement validity of the original concept of POS was untested.

333 This study suggests that high POS during the COVID-19 pandemic increases  
334 employees' vaccination intention. In addition, the relationship between POS and  
335 vaccination intention is strongly influenced by implementation of workplace infection  
336 prevention measures. Therefore, promoting the improvement of employees' well-being

337 and implementing appropriate workplace infection prevention measures in the event of  
338 an emerging infectious disease outbreak may influence the vaccination behavior of  
339 employees. In turn, this may contribute to the acquisition of herd immunity in the  
340 community.

341

342

### 343 **Acknowledgements**

344 This study was supported and partly funded by the University of Occupational and  
345 Environmental Health, Japan; General Incorporated Foundation (Anshin Zaidan); The  
346 Development of Educational Materials on Mental Health Measures for Managers at  
347 Small-sized Enterprises; Health, Labour and Welfare Sciences Research Grants;  
348 Comprehensive Research for Women's Healthcare (H30-josei-ippan-002); Research for  
349 the Establishment of an Occupational Health System in Times of Disaster  
350 (H30-roudou-ippan-007); and scholarship donations from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co.,  
351 Ltd., the Collabo-Health Study Group, and Hitachi Systems, Ltd.

352 Current members of the CORoNaWork Project (in alphabetical order) are: Dr.  
353 Yoshihisa Fujino (present chairperson of the study group), Dr. Akira Ogami, Dr. Arisa  
354 Harada, Dr. Ayako Hino, Dr. Hajime Ando, Dr. Hisashi Eguchi, Dr. Kazunori Ikegami,  
355 Dr. Kei Tokutsu, Dr. Keiji Muramatsu, Dr. Koji Mori, Dr. Kosuke Mafune, Dr. Kyoko  
356 Kitagawa, Dr. Masako Nagata, Dr. Mayumi Tsuji, Ms. Ning Liu, Dr. Rie Tanaka, Dr.  
357 Ryutaro Matsugaki, Dr. Seiichiro Tateishi, Dr. Shinya Matsuda, Dr. Tomohiro Ishimaru,  
358 and Dr. Tomohisa Nagata. All members are affiliated with the University of  
359 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan.

360

361 Ethical approval: This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of

362 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (reference No. R2-079 and R3-006).

363 Informed Consent: Informed consent was obtained in the form of the website.

364 Registry and the Registration No. of the study/Trial: N/A

365 Animal Studies: N/A

366 Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest associated with this  
367 manuscript.

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388 **References**

3891) World Health Organization. WHO coronavirus disease (COVID-19) dashboard.

390 Published 2019. <https://covid19.who.int/>. Accessed April 1, 2021.

3912) Johns Hopkins University and Medicine Coronavirus Resource Center. COVID-19

392 dashboard by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns

393 Hopkins University (JHU). Published 2019. <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html>.

394 Accessed April 1, 2021.

3953) Prime Minister's official website. Prime Minister Suga's press conference on the

396 new coronavirus infection. Published 2021.

397 [http://www.kantei.go.jp/jp/99\\_suga/statement/2021/0423kaiken.html](http://www.kantei.go.jp/jp/99_suga/statement/2021/0423kaiken.html) (in Japanese).

398 Accessed April 25, 2021.

3994) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. To all the people of Japan (new coronavirus

400 infection). Published 2020.

401 [https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000121431\\_00094.html](https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000121431_00094.html) (in

402 Japanese). Accessed April 1, 2021.

4035) Fine P, Eames K, Heymann DL. "Herd immunity": a rough guide. *Clin Infect Dis*

404 2011;52:911-916.

4056) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Results of the second antibody possession

406 survey. Published 2021. <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/000761671.pdf> (in

407 Japanese). Accessed April 1, 2021.

4087) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Notice on vaccination. Published 2021.

- 409 [https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000121431\\_00218.html](https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000121431_00218.html) (in  
410 Japanese). Accessed April 1, 2021.
- 411 8) Dubé E, Laberge C, Guay M, Bramadat P, Roy R, Bettinger J. Vaccine hesitancy: an  
412 overview. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2013;9:1763-1773.
- 413 9) Krantz I, Sachs L, Nilstun T. Ethics and vaccination. *Scand J Public Health*  
414 2004;32:172-178.
- 415 10) Wada K, Smith DR. Influenza vaccination uptake among the working age  
416 population of Japan: results from a national cross-sectional survey. *PLoS One*  
417 2013;8(3):e59272.
- 418 11) Yi S, Nonaka D, Nomoto M, Kobayashi J, Mizoue T. Predictors of the uptake of A  
419 (H1N1) influenza vaccine: findings from a population-based longitudinal study in  
420 Tokyo. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e18893.
- 421 12) Sawada M, Ueda Y, Yagi A, et al. HPV vaccination in Japan: results of a 3-year  
422 follow-up survey of obstetricians and gynecologists regarding their opinions toward  
423 the vaccine. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2018;23:121-125.
- 424 13) Flynn JP, Gascon G, Doyle S, et al. Supporting a culture of health in the  
425 workplace: A review of evidence-based elements. *Am J Health Promot*  
426 2018;32:1755-1788.
- 427 14) Isaacson N, Roemheld-Hamm B, Crosson JC, Diccico-Bloom B, Winston CA.  
428 Organizational culture influences health care workers' influenza immunization  
429 behavior. *Fam Med* 2009;41:202-207.
- 430 15) Grossmeier J, Fabius R, Flynn JP, et al. Linking workplace health promotion best  
431 practices and organizational financial performance: Tracking market performance of  
432 companies with highest scores on the HERO Scorecard. *J Occup Environ Med*

- 433 2016;58(1):16-23.
- 434 16) Fujino Y, Ishimaru T, Eguchi H, et al. Protocol for a nationwide Internet-based  
435 health survey in workers during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. *J UOEH* 2021  
436 (accepted)
- 437 17) Grossmeier J, Castle PH, Pitts JS, et al. Workplace well-being factors that predict  
438 employee participation, health and medical cost impact, and perceived support. *Am J*  
439 *Health Promot* 2020;34(4):349-358.
- 440 18) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Checklist for preventing the spread of  
441 COVID-19 infections in the workplace. Published 2020.  
442 <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/000657665.pdf>19. Accessed April 1, 2021.
- 443 19) Okoshi H, Suzuki H, Nakano A, Hamada A, Miyamoto T, Yamasawa F. A guide to  
444 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) infection control for businesses. *J Occup Health*  
445 2021 (Accepted: Author Manuscript e12225).
- 44620) Head KJ, Kasting ML, Sturm LA, Sartsock JA, Zimet GD. A national survey  
447 assessing SARS-CoV-2 vaccination intentions: Implications for future public health  
448 communication efforts. *Science Communication* 2020;42(5):698-723.
- 44921) Sherman SM, Smith LE, Sim J, et al. COVID-19 vaccination intention in the UK:  
450 results from the COVID-19 vaccination acceptability study (CoVAccS), a nationally  
451 representative cross-sectional survey. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2020;1-10.
- 45222) Betsch C, Schmid P, Heinemeier D, Korn L, Holtmann C, Böhm R. Beyond  
453 confidence: Development of a measure assessing the 5C psychological antecedents  
454 of vaccination. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0208601.
- 45523) Rhoades L, Eisenberger R. Perceived organizational support: a review of the  
456 literature. *J Appl Psychol* 2002;87(4):698-714.

- 457 24) Terry PE, Eisenberger R, Shanock L. The art of health promotion. An interview on  
458 perceived organizational support and employee well-being with Drs. Robert  
459 Eisenberger and Linda Shanock. *Am J Health Promot* 2014;29(1):TAHP2-TAHP7.
- 460 25) Sadaf A, Richards JL, Glanz J, Salmon DA, Omer SB. A systematic review of  
461 interventions for reducing parental vaccine refusal and vaccine hesitancy. *Vaccine*  
462 2013;31:4293-4304
- 463 26) Schmid P, Rauber D, Betsch C, Lidolt G, Denker ML. Barriers of Influenza  
464 Vaccination Intention and Behavior - A Systematic Review of Influenza Vaccine  
465 Hesitancy, 2005 - 2016. *PLoS One* 2017;12(1):e0170550.
- 466 27) Kang GJ, Culp RK, Abbas KM. Facilitators and barriers of parental attitudes and  
467 beliefs toward school-located influenza vaccination in the United States: Systematic  
468 review. *Vaccine* 2017;35(16):1987-1995.
- 469 28) Liao Q, Cowling BJ, Lam WW, Fielding R. Factors affecting intention to receive  
470 and self-reported receipt of 2009 pandemic (H1N1) vaccine in Hong Kong: a  
471 longitudinal study. *PLoS One* 2011;6(3):e17713.
- 472

473 Table 1. Participants' characteristics by category of perceived organizational support

	Perceived organizational support			
	Very high	High	Low	Very low
Number of participants	1958	11683	6331	3874
Age, mean (SD)	45.8 (11.2)	46.8 (10.8)	46.2 (10.4)	46.7 (9.7)
Sex, men	878 (44.8%)	5880 (50.3%)	3136 (49.5%)	1951 (50.4%)
Equivalent income (million JPY)				
<2.50	316 (16.1%)	1965 (16.8%)	1265 (20.0%)	1027 (26.5%)
2.50–3.74	463 (23.6%)	3171 (27.1%)	1950 (30.8%)	1163 (30.0%)
3.75–4.99	493 (25.2%)	3004 (25.7%)	1608 (25.4%)	878 (22.7%)
≥5.00	686 (35.0%)	3543 (30.3%)	1508 (23.8%)	806 (20.8%)
Educational background				
Junior high or high school	460 (23.5%)	2913 (24.9%)	1762 (27.8%)	1257 (32.4%)
Vocational school, junior college or technical school	446 (22.8%)	2648 (22.7%)	1547 (24.4%)	1009 (26.0%)
University	946 (48.3%)	5383 (46.1%)	2725 (43.0%)	1427 (36.8%)
Graduate School	106 (5.4%)	739 (6.3%)	297 (4.7%)	181 (4.7%)
Marital status				
Married	1245 (63.6%)	6874 (58.8%)	3354 (53.0%)	1858 (48.0%)
Bereaved/divorced	185 (9.4%)	1099 (9.4%)	648 (10.2%)	525 (13.6%)
Unmarried	528 (27.0%)	3710 (31.8%)	2329 (36.8%)	1491 (38.5%)
Occupation				
General employee	893 (45.6%)	5673 (48.6%)	3559 (56.2%)	2249 (58.1%)
Manager	207 (10.6%)	1382 (11.8%)	585 (9.2%)	320 (8.3%)
Executive manager	148 (7.6%)	527 (4.5%)	119 (1.9%)	56 (1.4%)
Public employee, faculty member, or non-profit organization employee	225 (11.5%)	1532 (13.1%)	679 (10.7%)	334 (8.6%)
Temporary/contract employee	193 (9.9%)	1421 (12.2%)	793 (12.5%)	464 (12.0%)
Professional occupation (lawyer, tax accountant, medical-related, etc.)	196 (10.0%)	863 (7.4%)	466 (7.4%)	279 (7.2%)
Other occupation	96 (4.9%)	285 (2.4%)	130 (2.1%)	172 (4.4%)
Number of employees in the workplace				
1–9	362 (18.5%)	1577 (13.5%)	782 (12.4%)	688 (17.8%)
10–100	449 (22.9%)	3015 (25.8%)	1964 (31.0%)	1336 (34.5%)
100–999	491 (25.1%)	3443 (29.5%)	2015 (31.8%)	1076 (27.8%)
≥1000	656 (33.5%)	3648 (31.2%)	1570 (24.8%)	774 (20.0%)
Number of workplace infection control measures				
None	96 (4.9%)	590 (5.1%)	513 (8.1%)	617 (15.9%)
1–2	122 (6.2%)	844 (7.2%)	732 (11.6%)	719 (18.6%)
3–4	208 (10.6%)	1567 (13.4%)	1105 (17.5%)	725 (18.7%)
5–7	613 (31.3%)	4193 (35.9%)	2314 (36.6%)	1164 (30.0%)
8–9	919 (46.9%)	4489 (38.4%)	1667 (26.3%)	649 (16.8%)
Number of employees who wanted the COVID-19 vaccination				
	836 (42.7%)	4677 (40.0%)	2263 (35.7%)	1382 (35.7%)

474 SD, standard deviation.

475

476 Table 2. Odds ratios for perceived organizational support (continuous and each category) and COVID-19 vaccine intention in a multiple  
 477 logistic regression model

	Model 1				Model 2				Model 3			
	Odds ratio	95%CI	p-value		Odds ratio	95%CI	p-value		Odds ratio	95%CI	p-value	
Perceived organizational support (continuous)	1.12	1.09	1.16	<0.001	1.11	1.08	1.15	<0.001	1.06	1.02	1.09	0.001
Perceived organizational support												
Very high	1.39	1.24	1.56	<0.001	1.34	1.20	1.51	<0.001	1.17	1.04	1.31	0.010
High	1.21	1.12	1.30	<0.001	1.17	1.09	1.27	<0.001	1.05	0.97	1.13	0.276
Low	1.01	0.93	1.10	0.742	0.99	0.91	1.07	0.744	0.93	0.85	1.01	0.078
Very low	reference				reference				reference			

Model 1: adjusted for age and sex.

Model 2: Model 1 + additionally adjusted for equivalent income (categories), educational background (categories), marital status, occupation, and number of employees in the workplace (categories).

Model 3: Model 2 + additionally adjusted for number of workplace infection control measures.

CI, confidence interval.

478  
 479