Efficacy and safety of baricitinib in patients with COVID-19 infection: Results from the randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group COV-BARRIER phase 3 trial

*Vincent C. Marconi, Athimalaipet V. Ramanan, Stephanie de Bono, Cynthia E. Kartman, Venkatesh Krishnan, Ran Liao, Maria Lucia B. Piruzeli, Jason D. Goldman, Jorge Alatorre-Alexander, Rita de Cassia Pellegrini, Vicente Estrada, Mousumi Som, Anabela Cardoso, Sujatro Chakladar, Brenda Crowe, Paulo Reis, Xin Zhang, David H. Adams, and E. Wesley Ely on behalf of the COV-BARRIER Study Group**

Emory University School of Medicine, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory Vaccine Center, and the Atlanta Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Atlanta, GA, USA (Prof V C Marconi, MD);

Translational Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; Department of Paediatric Rheumatology, Bristol Royal Hospital for Children, Bristol, UK (Prof A V Ramanan FRCP);

Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, USA (S de Bono MD PhD, C E Kartman RN BSN, V Krishnan MSc PhD, R Liao PhD, M L B Piruzeli MD, A Cardoso MD, S Chakladar PhD, B Crowe PhD, Paulo Reis MD, X Zhang PhD, D H Adams PhD);

Swedish Center for Research and Innovation, Swedish Medical Center, Providence St. Joseph Health, and Division of Allergy and Infectious Disease, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA (J D Goldman, MD);

Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Respiratorias, Mexico (Prof J Alatorre-Alexander, MD);

Pesquisare / Santo André, Brazil and Hospital Beneficência Portuguesa de São Caetano do Sul / São Caetano do Sul, Brazil (R Pellegrini, MD);

Hospital Clinico San Carlos-IdiSSC; Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain (Prof V Estrada, MD);

Oklahoma State University Medicine, Internal Medicine - Houston Center, Tulsa, OK, USA (Prof M Som, DO); and

Critical Illness, Brain Dysfunction, and Survivorship (CIBS) Center, Division of Allergy, Pulmonary, and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine at Vanderbilt University Medical Center, and the Tennessee Valley Veteran's Affairs Geriatric Research Education Clinical Center (GRECC), Nashville, TN, USA (Prof E W Ely, MD)

*A complete list of members of the COV-BARRIER Study Group is provided in the Supplementary Appendix.

Correspondence to:

Dr. Vincent C. Marconi

Emory University School of Medicine

Rollins School of Public Health

Health Sciences Research Building

1760 Haygood Dr NE, Room W325

Atlanta, GA 30322

Office 404-727-2343

Fax 404-712-4193

Email: vcmarco@emory.edu

Word count: 3415/4500

ABSTRACT

Background: The efficacy and safety of baricitinib, an oral selective Janus kinase 1/2 inhibitor, in addition to standard of care (SOC) in hospitalised adults with COVID-19 is unknown.

Methods: In this phase 3, global, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial, participants were enrolled from 101 centres across 12 countries in Asia, Europe, North America, and South America (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04421027). Hospitalised adults with COVID-19 receiving SOC were randomly assigned (1:1) to once-daily baricitinib 4-mg or placebo for up to 14 days. SOC included systemic corticosteroids in 79·3% of participants (dexamethasone ~90%). The composite primary endpoint was the proportion who progressed to high-flow oxygen, non-invasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or death by day 28. All-cause mortality by days 28 and 60 were key secondary and exploratory endpoints, respectively. Efficacy and safety analyses included the intent-to-treat and safety populations, respectively.

Findings: Between June 11, 2020 and January 15, 2021, 1525 participants were randomly assigned to baricitinib 4-mg (n=764) or matched placebo (n=761). Overall, 27·8% of participants receiving baricitinib vs 30·5% receiving placebo progressed (primary endpoint, odds ratio 0·85, 95% CI 0·67-1·08; p=0·18). The 28-day all-cause mortality was 8·1% (n=62) for baricitinib and 13·1% (n=100) for placebo, corresponding to a 38·2% reduction in mortality (hazard ratio [HR] 0·57, 95% CI 0·41-0·78; nominal p=0·0018). The 60-day all-cause mortality was 10·3% (n=79) for baricitinib and 15·2% (n=116) for placebo (HR 0·62, 95% CI 0·47-0·83; p=0·0050). Frequency of serious adverse events (14·7% [n=110] vs 18·0% [n=135]), serious infections (8·5% [n=64] vs 9·8% [n=74]), and venous thromboembolic events (2·7% [n=20] vs 2·5% [n=19]) was similar between baricitinib and placebo, respectively.

Interpretation: While reduction of disease progression did not achieve statistical significance, treatment with baricitinib in addition to SOC (including dexamethasone) significantly reduced mortality, with a similar safety profile to SOC, in hospitalised COVID-19 participants.

Funding: Eli Lilly and Company.

Research in context

Evidence before this study

We searched PubMed using the terms “COVID-19”, “SARS-CoV-2”, “treatment”, “baricitinib” and “JAK inhibitor” for articles in English published up to April 31, 2020, regardless of article type. We considered previous and current clinical trials of investigational medications in COVID-19, as well as previous clinical trials of the Janus kinase (JAK)1 and JAK2 inhibitor, baricitinib, before undertaking this study. At the time the COV-BARRIER study was designed, there were no approved therapies for the treatment of COVID-19. Management of COVID-19 was supportive, and limited phase 3 randomised placebo-controlled studies had been completed. Limited phase 2 and 3 data on the antimalarial hydroxychloroquine and protease inhibitor lopinavir/ritonavir were available, and trials investigating the use of the antiviral remdesivir were ongoing. Baricitinib’s mechanism of action as a JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitor was identified as a potential intervention for the treatment of COVID-19 given its known anti-cytokine properties and potential for targeting host proteins for its antiviral mechanism. Additionally, early case series evaluating the efficacy and safety of baricitinib in the hospitalised patient population supported further evaluation of baricitinib as a potential treatment option for hospitalised patients with COVID-19. While COV-BARRIER was enrolling, ACTT-2, a phase 3 study evaluating baricitinib plus remdesivir was completed showing that baricitinib added to remdesivir improved time to recovery and other outcomes.

Added value of this study

This was the first phase 3 study to evaluate baricitinib in addition to the current standard of care (SOC) and included antivirals, anticoagulants, and corticosteroids. After the earliest publication of the RECOVERY study in June 2020, the treatment of hospitalised patients with COVID-19 changed with the adoption of dexamethasone as SOC. As a result of its design, COV-BARRIER became the first trial to evaluate the benefit/risk of baricitinib when added to the most current

SOC (dexamethasone) in these patients. This was a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial conducted globally in regions with high COVID-19 hospitalisation rates. The reduction in the composite primary endpoint of progression to non-invasive ventilation, high flow oxygen, invasive mechanical ventilation, or death for baricitinib plus SOC (including dexamethasone) compared to placebo plus SOC did not reach statistical significance. However, in a pre-specified key secondary endpoint, treatment with baricitinib reduced 28-day all-cause mortality by 38·2% compared to placebo (HR 0·57, 95% CI 0·41-0·78; nominal p=0·0018); one additional death was prevented per 20 baricitinib-treated participants. The reduction of all-cause mortality with baricitinib was maintained by day 60 in an exploratory analysis. The frequency of serious adverse events, serious infections, and venous thromboembolic events was similar between baricitinib and placebo, respectively.

Implications of all the available evidence

In this phase 3 trial, baricitinib given in addition to SOC (which predominantly included dexamethasone) did not reduce a composite endpoint of disease progression, but showed a strong effect on reducing mortality by 28 days, an effect which was maintained by 60 days. In the ACTT-2 study, baricitinib further reduced time to recovery above the background use of remdesivir. Taken together, these findings suggest that baricitinib has synergistic effects to other SOC treatment modalities including remdesivir and dexamethasone. Based on all available evidence, baricitinib is a potentially effective oral treatment option to decrease mortality in hospitalised patients with COVID-19.

INTRODUCTION

Hospitalised patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS CoV-2) often experience an intense hyperinflammatory state that may lead to multiple organ dysfunction including acute respiratory distress syndrome, septic shock, and death.[1-4] Despite recent treatment advances with remdesivir, dexamethasone, and tocilizumab, reducing mortality among hospitalised patients remains a critical unmet need.[5-8]

Baricitinib is a selective Janus kinase (JAK)1/JAK2 inhibitor[9-11] with a known anti-inflammatory profile in patients with autoimmune diseases.[12-14] In February 2020, baricitinib was identified by an artificial intelligence platform as a potential intervention for the treatment of coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) given its known anti-cytokine properties and potential for targeting host proteins for its antiviral mechanism.[15,16] The biochemical inhibitory effects of baricitinib on human numb-associated kinases (AAK1, BIKE, and GAK) responsible for SARS-CoV-2 viral propagation were subsequently confirmed.[17] Baricitinib also reduced multiple cytokines and biomarkers implicated in COVID-19 pathophysiology.[18] Following the publication of these findings, several observational studies including small cohorts of COVID-19 hospitalised patients were conducted and provided first evidence of clinical improvement associated with baricitinib treatment.[19,20]

ACTT-2, a NIH-sponsored double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial in hospitalised adults with COVID-19, found that baricitinib plus remdesivir was superior to remdesivir in reducing time to recovery (p=0·03), with 28-day mortality reported (5·1% vs 7·8%, not statistically significant) and had fewer serious adverse events (SAEs).[6] The Food and Drug Administration issued an Emergency Use Authorization in November 2020 for use of baricitinib, in combination with remdesivir, in hospitalised COVID-19 patients requiring oxygen supplementation.[21] Globally, there remains a critical and urgent need to evaluate new treatment

options to reduce mortality in hospitalised patients with COVID-19, because a high occurrence of deaths persists despite improvements in standard of care (SOC).

COV-BARRIER was designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of baricitinib in combination with SOC, including dexamethasone, for the treatment of hospitalised adults with COVID-19. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first double-blind placebo-controlled trial to evaluate mortality by day 60.

METHODS

Study Design and participants

This multi-centre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, phase 3 trial included 101 centres from 12 countries in Asia, Europe, North America, and South America. Eligible participants were ≥18 years of age, hospitalised with laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, had evidence of pneumonia or active, symptomatic COVID-19, and had ≥1 elevated inflammatory marker (C reactive protein, D-dimer, lactate dehydrogenase, ferritin). Participants were excluded if requiring invasive mechanical ventilation (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease Ordinal Scale [NIAID-OS] 7) at study entry, receiving immunosuppressants (high dose corticosteroids, biologics, T cell or B cell-targeted therapies, interferon, or JAK inhibitors), or received convalescent plasma or intravenous immunoglobulin for COVID-19. Full inclusion and exclusion criteria are provided in the Supplementary Appendix. Following disclosure of results from ACTT-2 showing participants without baseline oxygen support were not likely to progress, a protocol amendment was implemented to limit enrollment to participants requiring baseline oxygen support (OS 5/OS 6). All participants received SOC in keeping with local clinical practice for COVID-19 management, which could include corticosteroids and/or antivirals. Dexamethasone use was permitted as described in the RECOVERY trial;[7] higher corticosteroid doses were limited unless indicated for a concurrent condition. Prophylaxis for

venous thromboembolic events (VTE) per local practice was required for all participants unless there was a major contraindication such as active bleeding events or history of heparin-induced thrombosis. The trial protocol and statistical analysis plan are available from the sponsor.

COV-BARRIER was conducted in accordance with ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines. All sites received approval from the authorized institutional review board. All participants (or legally authorized representatives) provided informed consent.

Randomisation and masking

Randomisation was facilitated by a computer-generated random sequence using an interactive web-response system to allocate participants 1:1 to baricitinib 4-mg or placebo. Participants were stratified according to the following baseline factors: disease severity, age, region, and use of corticosteroids for COVID-19. Participants, study staff, and investigators were blinded to the study assignment. An independent, external data monitoring committee (DMC) oversaw the study and evaluated unblinded interim data for efficacy, futility, and safety analyses. An independent, blinded, clinical event committee adjudicated potential VTEs and deaths.

Procedures

Baricitinib or placebo was administered orally (or crushed for nasogastric tube delivery) and given daily, for up to 14 days or until discharge from hospital, whichever occurred first. Participants randomised to baricitinib 4-mg with baseline eGFR ≥30 to <60 mL/min/1·73 m^2 received baricitinib 2-mg. Efficacy and safety were evaluated for all participants up to day 28, and all cause-mortality was also evaluated in a subset of participants up to day 60. Participants had a follow-up visit ~28 days after receiving their last dose of study drug. Efficacy and safety outcomes were assessed on scheduled study visits as indicated in the protocol. Participant

disposition with reasons for discontinuation which include adverse events (AEs) (containing death) are detailed in Figure 1.

Outcomes

The composite primary endpoint was the proportion of participants who progressed to high-flow oxygen or non-invasive ventilation (OS 6), invasive mechanical ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (OS 7), or death (OS 8) by day 28, in participants treated with baricitinib plus SOC compared to placebo plus SOC. The primary endpoint did not include outcomes subsequent to the progression during the 28-day treatment period. All deaths were assessed using the prespecified endpoint of all-cause mortality by day 28 or day 60, which was a key secondary and exploratory endpoint, respectively. The primary objective was assessed in: Population 1 – all randomised participants, and Population 2 – subpopulation of participants who, at baseline, required oxygen supplementation and were not receiving systemic corticosteroids for COVID-19.

Key secondary outcomes were adjusted for multiplicity and included the following (evaluated at days 1-28, unless otherwise specified): all-cause mortality, proportion of participants with ≥1-point improvement on NIAID-OS or discharge from hospital at days 4, 7, 10, and 14; number of ventilator-free days; time to recovery (NIAID-OS 1-3); overall improvement on the NIAID-OS evaluated at days 4, 7, 10, and 14; duration of hospitalisation; proportion of participants with a change in oxygen saturation from <94% to ≥94% from baseline to days 4, 7, 10, and 14. Pre-specified secondary outcomes and select exploratory outcomes are described in the Supplementary Appendix. Adverse events were recorded on days 1-28, coded by the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (version 23·1).

Statistical Analyses

Power was calculated for the primary endpoint to succeed in ≥1 of the two primary populations, and the study was designed for the possibility of the sample size to be increased using an unblinded sample size re-estimation[22] of the primary endpoint, as assessed during an interim analysis evaluated by external DMC (January 2021), where no changes were recommended. The primary and secondary endpoints were analysed according to the pre-specified analysis plan. In order to control the overall family-wise Type I error rate at a 2-sided alpha level of 0·05, a graphical testing procedure was used to test the primary and key secondary endpoints. Since the primary endpoint was not met (Table 2 and Figure S2), this manuscript reports nominal p-values for key secondary endpoints. Other analyses did not control for multiple comparisons.

Efficacy data were analysed with the intent-to-treat population, defined as all randomised participants. Logistic regression was used for dichotomous endpoints, proportional odds model was used for ordinal endpoints, analysis of variance model was used for continuous endpoints, and mixed-effects model of repeated measure was used to assess continuous endpoints over time. Log-rank test and hazard ratio (HR) from Cox proportional hazard model were used for time-to-event analyses. These statistical models were adjusted for treatment and baseline stratification factors. Safety analyses included all randomised participants who received ≥1 dose of study drug and who did not discontinue the study for the reason of ‘lost to follow-up’ at the first post-baseline visit. Adverse events were inclusive of the 28-day treatment period. Statistical tests of treatment effects were performed at a 2-sided significance level of 0·05, unless otherwise stated (i.e., graphical multiple testing strategy). Statistical analyses were performed using SAS® Version 9·4 or higher or R. Further details are described in the Supplementary Methods. This trial is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04421027.

Role of the funding source

COV-BARRIER was designed jointly by consultant experts and representatives of the sponsor, Eli Lilly and Company. Data were collected by investigators and analysed by the sponsor. All authors participated in data analysis and interpretation, draft, and final manuscript review, and provided critical comment, including the decision to submit the manuscript for publication with medical writing support provided by the sponsor. The authors had full access to the data and verified the veracity, accuracy, and completeness of the data and analyses as well as the fidelity of this report to the protocol and accept responsibility to submit the manuscript for publication.

RESULTS

Between June 11, 2020 and January 15, 2021, 1525 participants were randomly assigned to receive once-daily baricitinib 4-mg plus SOC (n=764) or placebo plus SOC (n=761); 83·1% (1248/1502) of participants completed the 28-day treatment period (Figure 1). Of the 16·9% (254/1502) who discontinued from the treatment period, 62·6% (159/254) of discontinuations were due to death. No randomised participants were excluded from the intent-to-treat population; however, some participants were excluded from specific analyses due to the various information requirements of the different statistical methods as outlined in Table S2.

Baseline demographics and disease characteristics were balanced among treatment groups. The mean age of the participants was 57·6 years (SD 14·1), 63·1% (n=963) were male, and enrollment was global (Table 1). Countries contributing >10% of enrollment included Brazil (22·1%, n=337), United States (21%, n=320), Mexico (18·4%, n=281), and Argentina (13·6%, n=208); participants were also enrolled in Europe, India, Japan, Korea, and Russia. Overall, 61·6% (920/1493) of participants were white, 11·7% (174/1493) were Asian, and 5·0% (75/1493) were black or African American (with 10·3% [33/320] of US participants of black or African American race). The majority (83·3% [1265/1518]) of participants had symptoms ≥7 days prior to enrollment. Clinical status at baseline was OS 4 for 12·3% (186/1518), OS 5 for

63·4% (962/1518), and OS 6 for 24·4% (370/1518) of participants. At baseline, the majority (79·3% [1204/1518]) of participants received systemic corticosteroids, of which 91·3% (1099/1204) received dexamethasone; 18·9% (287/1518) of participants received remdesivir (Table 1, Table S3). Of the participants that received remdesivir, 91·6% (263/287) also received corticosteroids. The majority of participants (99·7%, n=1520) had ≥1 pre-existing comorbid condition. In the overall population, baseline C-reactive protein value was elevated with a median value of 65·0 mg/L and was balanced between baricitinib and placebo groups (67·5 mg/L and 62·0 mg/L, respectively). Select baseline demographics and clinical characteristics by baseline systemic corticosteroid use are presented in Table S4.

The composite primary endpoint of the proportion who progressed by day 28 revealed numerically lower progression in the group treated with baricitinib versus placebo in the analysis of Population 1 (27·8% vs 30·5%; odds ratio [OR] vs placebo 0·85, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0·67-1·08; p=0·18) without statistical significance (Table 2). Approximately 3% fewer participants progressed on baricitinib compared to placebo; this trend was observed in the overall population and across all pre-specified NIAID-OS subgroups at baseline (Table S5). In Population 2, more participants progressed with baricitinib versus placebo (28·9% vs 27·1%; OR 1·12, 95% CI 0·58-2·16; p=0·73) without statistical significance (Table 2). The primary endpoint by the pre-specified subgroup by region is reported in Table S6.

Treatment with baricitinib significantly reduced deaths, as measured by the key secondary endpoint of 28-day all cause-mortality. Mortality was 8·1% (n=62) in the baricitinib group and 13·1% (n=100) in the placebo group, corresponding to a 38·2% mortality reduction (HR 0·57, 95% CI 0·41-0·78; nominal p=0·0018); overall, one additional death was prevented per 20 baricitinib-treated participants (Table 2, Figure 2A, Figure 3). A 64·6% reduction in mortality was observed for Population 2 (5·2% [5/96] baricitinib, 14·7% [16/109] placebo; HR 0·31, 95% CI 0·11-0·88; nominal p=0·0302) (Figure 2B, Figure 3). A numerical reduction in mortality with

baricitinib compared with placebo was observed for pre-specified baseline severity subgroups, including OS 4 (1·1% [1/89] vs 4·1% [4/97]; HR 0·24, 95% CI 0-2·18; nominal p=0·23) and OS 5 (5·9% [29/490] vs 8·7% [41/472]; HR 0·72, 95% CI 0·45-1·16; nominal p=0·11) (Figure 2C, Figure 3). A significant reduction in mortality was observed for baseline severity subgroup OS 6 with baricitinib compared with placebo (17·5% [32/183] vs 29·4% [55/187]; HR 0·52, 95% CI 0·33-0·80; nominal p=0·0065) (Figure 2D, Figure 3); in this subgroup, one additional death was prevented per nine baricitinib-treated participants. A significant reduction in mortality was observed with baricitinib compared with placebo for the pre-specified subgroups of participants treated at baseline with systemic corticosteroids (9·3% [57/612] vs 13·9% [82/592]; HR 0·63, 95% CI 0·45-0·89; nominal p=0·0169), without systemic corticosteroids (3·3% [5/150] vs 11·0% [18/164]; HR 0·28, 95% CI 0·10-0·77; nominal p=0·0114), or without remdesivir (8·0% [50/622] vs 13·8% [84/609]; HR 0·52, 95% CI 0·36-0·74; nominal p=0·0014); for the 18·9% (287/1518) of participants with concomitant remdesivir treatment at baseline (91·6% [263/287] also received corticosteroids), a numerical reduction in mortality was observed (Figure 2E-F, Figure S3, Figure 3). A numerical reduction in mortality was observed regardless of region for baricitinib compared with placebo (Figure 3, Table S7).

All-cause mortality was evaluated by day 60 in a pre-specified exploratory analysis. Between day 28 and day 60, 33 additional deaths occurred in the overall population with a similar number of events in the baricitinib (n=17) and placebo (n=16) groups. The 60-day mortality remained significantly lower in the baricitinib group compared to the placebo group (10·3% [79/764] vs 15·2% [116/761]; HR 0·62, 95% CI 0·47-0·83; p=0·0050) (Figure 2G). This difference is consistent with the reduction in mortality with baricitinib by day 28. Reduced mortality was also observed for Population 2 with baricitinib treatment (5·2% [5/96] baricitinib, 17·6% [19/108] placebo; HR 0·27, 95% CI 0·10-0·75; p=0·0080) (Figure S4A). A numerical reduction in mortality with baricitinib compared with placebo was observed for the pre-specified baseline

severity subgroup OS 5 (6·9% [34/490] baricitinib, 10·4% [49/472] placebo; HR 0·70, 95% CI 0·45-1·09; p=0·07) and a statistically significant reduction in mortality was observed for baseline severity subgroup OS 6 (23·0% [42/183] baricitinib, 33·7% [63/187] placebo; HR 0·58, 95% CI 0·39-0·86; p=0·0141) (Figure S4B-C). A significant reduction in mortality was observed with baricitinib compared with placebo for the pre-specified subgroups of participants treated at baseline with systemic corticosteroids (11·9% [73/612] baricitinib, 16·0% [95/593] placebo; HR 0·69, 95% CI 0·51-0·94; p=0·0440) and without systemic corticosteroids (4·0% [6/150] baricitinib, 12·9% [21/163] placebo; HR 0·30, 95% CI 0·12-0·75; p=0·0072) (Figure S4D-E).

Participant NIAID-OS status in the overall population at day 28 is shown in Figure 2H. The exploratory objective of pharmacokinetics (PK) evaluating baricitinib in participants who progressed to mechanical ventilation (intubation) and received baricitinib as a solution of crushed tablets administered via nasopharyngeal tube is shown in Figure S4. The observed PK data were most comparable to those in healthy subjects and were in the range of the PK of baricitinib 4-mg once-daily in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (Figure S5 and Supplementary Results). Other secondary endpoints are further described in the Supplementary Results, Table S8, and Figure S6.

The proportion of participants with ≥1 treatment-emergent AE (TEAE) was 44·5% [334/750] in the baricitinib group and 44·4% [334/752] in the placebo group; for SAEs, these proportions were 14·7% (n=110) and 18% (n=135), respectively (Table 3). The most common SAEs are described in Table S9. The frequency of deaths reported as due to AE (1·6% [n=12] vs 4·1% [n=31]) and discontinuation of study treatment due to AE (7·5% [n=56] vs 9·3% [n=70]) were numerically lower with baricitinib versus placebo. Serious infections were reported for 8·5% (n=64) of baricitinib-treated participants and 9·8% (n=74) of placebo-treated participants (Table 3); among participants using corticosteroids at baseline, serious infections were similar between groups (9·6% [58/605], baricitinib vs 10·7% [63/590, placebo]) (Table S10). There was a similar

distribution of positively adjudicated VTEs (2·7% [n=20] vs 2·5% [n=19]) and major adverse cardiovascular events (1·1% [n=8] vs 1·2% [n=9]) with baricitinib and placebo groups, respectively. There were no reports of gastrointestinal perforations (Table 3). Safety data are described further in Tables 3, S10, and the Supplementary Results.

DISCUSSION

COV-BARRIER is the first international, multi-centre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial designed to evaluate the potential benefit and safety of baricitinib plus SOC, which included systemic corticosteroids and remdesivir, and is the first to report 60-day outcomes in a double-blind randomized controlled trial for the treatment of hospitalised adults with COVID-19. This study addresses an important knowledge gap related to the optimization of the treatment strategies for hospitalised patients with COVID-19.

In this study, the reduction of progression with baricitinib plus SOC (including dexamethasone) as defined by the composite primary endpoint did not achieve statistical significance versus placebo plus SOC. All-cause mortality is the most objective outcome of the COVID-19 disease progression in hospitalised patients and was a pre-specified key secondary endpoint of this study. Treatment with baricitinib reduced 28-day all-cause mortality by 38·2%, compared to placebo (HR 0·57, 95% CI 0·41-0·78; nominal p=0·0018); the number needed to treat (NNT) with baricitinib to prevent one additional death was 20. Mortality reduction was consistent across pre-specified subgroups of baseline disease severity and geography. In the OS 6 subgroup, the NNT with baricitinib to prevent one additional death was nine. Mortality by day 60 remained significantly lower with baricitinib plus SOC compared with placebo plus SOC, showing persistent benefit on mortality beyond the initial treatment period.

This reports the largest placebo-controlled safety data on hospitalised patients with COVID-19 treated with an immunomodulatory agent in addition to corticosteroids. The frequency of TEAEs,

SAEs, infections, and VTEs were similar across the baricitinib and placebo groups and no new safety signals were detected, thus providing clinically relevant safety information for the acute care of these patients regardless of concomitant corticosteroids.

During the COV-BARRIER study, SOC changed significantly to include routine use of corticosteroids and guidelines[23,24] were updated following the disclosure of results from the open-label RECOVERY trial in June, 2020,[25] in which dexamethasone showed a 10·9% relative reduction in mortality compared to SOC (22·9% vs 25·7%; age-adjusted rate ratio 0·83, 95% CI 0·75-0·93; p<0·001).[7] The evaluation of tocilizumab (anti-IL-6) in RECOVERY showed a 12·1% relative risk reduction in 28-day mortality (29% vs 33% SOC; HR 0·86, 95% CI 0·77-0·96; p= 0·007).[8] In ACTT-2, the 28-day mortality for baricitinib plus remdesivir was 5·1% and 7·8% for remdesivir alone; the study was not powered to detect a mortality difference between the groups and the use of corticosteroids was limited.[6] In COV-BARRIER, baricitinib plus SOC showed a 38·2% relative reduction in mortality compared to SOC including the majority of patients with use of dexamethasone at baseline (8·1% vs 13·1%; HR 0·57, 95% CI 0·41-0·78; nominal p=0·0018). To our knowledge, baricitinib showed the largest effect size on mortality for any COVID-19 treatment when compared to other randomised trials in hospitalised patients and the benefit was seen in addition to the use of background SOC (corticosteroids).[5-8]

The enrollment timeline of COV-BARRIER is also relevant considering the evolving SOC and heterogeneity of treatments across different geographies. All-cause mortality is the most relevant outcome in trials of patients hospitalised for COVID-19, and baricitinib plus SOC showed a meaningful reduction in mortality compared to placebo plus SOC, most notably for participants receiving high-flow oxygen or non-invasive ventilation.

Limitations of this study may have included the choice of disease progression, as measured by clinical status including oxygen support levels, as the primary outcome. Measuring progression

based on the NIAID-OS reflects treatment decisions (such as use of certain oxygen delivery devices) and may be influenced by the heterogeneity of clinical practice across different geographies. Baricitinib showed a consistent reduction in progression versus placebo in the three components of the composite primary endpoint, however, the difference did not reach statistical significance. In contrast, mortality is an objective and definitive patient outcome that does not change across geographies. Baricitinib may prevent mortality without reaching significance on the primary endpoint because mortality as an outcome integrates the multi-organ impact of COVID-19, which include but are not limited to pulmonary effects. Baricitinib's anti-inflammatory effects can likely have impact in organ systems that are not evaluated by the NIAID-OS.[18] Additionally, the significant lower mortality by day 60 in the baricitinib plus SOC group versus SOC with no evaluable participants remaining on mechanical ventilation confirms that the reduction in mortality with baricitinib persists.

These results suggest that baricitinib further reduces mortality in addition to current SOC with similar safety findings and can be a treatment option to address a high unmet need in the context of the global burden of mortality observed during this COVID-19 pandemic.

Contributors

All authors contributed to the concept and design of the trial, contributed to data analysis and interpretation, critical revision of the publication, final approval to submit, and were accountable for the accuracy and integrity of the publication.

Declaration of interests

VCM received research grants from CDC, Gilead Sciences, NIH, VA, and ViiV, received honoraria from Eli Lilly and Company, served as an advisory board member for Eli Lilly and Company and Novartis and participated as a study section chair for the NIH. AVR received research grants from Eli Lilly and Company, served as a speaker and/or consultant for AbbVie, Eli Lilly and Company, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Sobi, and UCB. SB, CEK, VK, RL MLBP, AC, SC, BC, PR, XZ, and DHA are employees and shareholders of Eli Lilly and Company. JDG received research support from Eli Lilly and Company, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, and Gilead Sciences, grants from Eurofins Viracor, the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (administered by Merck), speaker fees from Gilead Sciences and Mylan Pharmaceuticals, and advisory board fees from Gilead Sciences. JAA served as a speaker and scientific advisor for Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, BMS, Eli Lilly and Company, Foundation Medicine, Novartis, MSD, Roche, and Takeda. RDP has no conflicts to disclose. VE received a research grant from Eli Lilly and Company. MS received research grants from Eli Lilly and Company, NIAID, and Novartis and served as a board member for NBOME, Osteopathic Founders Foundation and COGMED. EWE received research grants from CDC, NIH, and VA and served as an unpaid consultant for Eli Lilly and Company.

Data sharing

Eli Lilly and Company provides access to all individual participant data collected during the trial, after anonymisation, with the exception of pharmacokinetic or genetic data. Data are available

to request 6 months after the indication studied has been approved in the US and EU and after the trial is completed, whichever is later. No expiration date of data requests is currently set once data are made available. Access is provided after a proposal has been approved by an independent review committee identified for this purpose and after receipt of a signed data sharing agreement. Data and documents, including the study protocol, statistical analysis plan, clinical study report, blank or annotated case report forms, will be provided in a secure data sharing environment. For details on submitting a request, see the instructions provided at www.vivli.org.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the participants and study investigators and staff, including the COV-BARRIER Study Group (see Supplementary Appendix) who participated in the study, and the following colleagues from Eli Lilly and Company: Douglas Schlichting, PhD (formerly with Lilly) and Maher Issa, MS, Jennifer L. Milata, PhD, Theodore Spiro, MD, and Zhongkai Wang, PhD, for scientific input, Nicole Byers, PhD for medical writing support and manuscript preparation, and Roisin McCarthy, PhD for assisting with manuscript process support.VM and JG wish to dedicate this manuscript to Francisco Marty who died this year and was an incredible champion of Infectious Diseases research and clinical care with a substantial impact on COVID-19 treatment.

References

1. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020; **395**(10223): 497-506.
2. Ruan Q, Yang K, Wang W, Jiang L, Song J. Clinical predictors of mortality due to COVID-19 based on an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan, China. *Intensive Care Med* 2020.
3. Siddiqi HK, Mehra MR. COVID-19 illness in native and immunosuppressed states: A clinical-therapeutic staging proposal. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2020; **39**(5): 405-7.
4. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020.
5. Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al. Remdesivir for the treatment of Covid-19 - final report. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **383**(19): 1813-26.
6. Kalil AC, Patterson TF, Mehta AK, et al. Baricitinib plus remdesivir for hospitalized adults with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **384**(9): 795-807.
7. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **384**(8): 693-704.
8. RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Tocilizumab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): preliminary results of a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial [Preprint]. 2021. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.02.11.21249258v1.full.pdf (accessed April 20 2021).
9. Fridman JS, Scherle PA, Collins R, et al. Selective inhibition of JAK1 and JAK2 is efficacious in rodent models of arthritis: preclinical characterization of INCB028050. *J Immunol* 2010; **184**(9): 5298-307.
10. Shi JG, Chen X, Lee F, et al. The pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and safety of baricitinib, an oral JAK 1/2 inhibitor, in healthy volunteers. *J Clin Pharmacol* 2014; **54**(12): 1354-61.
11. McInnes IB, Byers NL, Higgs RE, et al. Comparison of baricitinib, upadacitinib, and tofacitinib mediated regulation of cytokine signaling in human leukocyte subpopulations. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2019; **21**(1): 183.
12. Sanchez GAM, Reinhardt A, Ramsey S, et al. JAK1/2 inhibition with baricitinib in the treatment of autoinflammatory interferonopathies. *J Clin Invest* 2018; **128**(7): 3041-52.
13. Tanaka Y, Emoto K, Cai Z, et al. Efficacy and safety of baricitinib in Japanese patients with active rheumatoid arthritis receiving background methotrexate therapy: a 12-week, double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled study. *J Rheumatol* 2016; **43**(3): 504-11.
14. Dörner T, Tanaka Y, Petri MA, et al. Baricitinib-associated changes in global gene expression during a 24-week phase II clinical systemic lupus erythematosus trial implicates a mechanism of action through multiple immune-related pathways. *Lupus Sci Med* 2020; **7**(1).
15. Richardson P, Griffin I, Tucker C, et al. Baricitinib as potential treatment for 2019-nCoV acute respiratory disease. *Lancet* 2020; **395**(10223): e30-e1.
16. Stebbing J, Phelan A, Griffin I, et al. COVID-19: combining antiviral and anti-inflammatory treatments. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020.
17. Stebbing J, Krishnan V, de Bono S, et al. Mechanism of baricitinib supports artificial intelligence-predicted testing in COVID-19 patients. *EMBO Mol Med* 2020; **12**(8): e12697.
18. Sims JT, Krishnan V, Chang CY, et al. Characterization of the cytokine storm reflects hyperinflammatory endothelial dysfunction in COVID-19. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2021; **147**(1): 107-11.

19. Titanji BK, Farley MM, Mehta A, et al. Use of Baricitinib in Patients With Moderate to Severe Coronavirus Disease 2019. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; **72**(7): 1247-50.
20. Cantini F, Niccoli L, Matarrese D, Nicastri E, Stobbione P, Goletti D. Baricitinib therapy in COVID-19: A pilot study on safety and clinical impact. *J Infect* 2020; **81**(2): 318-56.
21. FDA. Letter of Authorization: EUA for baricitinib (Olumiant), in combination with remdesivir (Veklury), for treatment of supsected or laboratory confirmed coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020. https://www.fda.gov/media/143822/download (accessed April 20 2021).
22. Gao P, Ware JH, Mehta C. Sample size re-estimation for adaptive sequential design in clinical trials. *J Biopharm Stat* 2008; **18**(6): 1184-96.
23. World Health Organization. COVID-19 clinical management: living guidance. January 25, 2021 2021. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-clinical-2021-1 (accessed April 18 2021).
24. National Institutes of Health. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) treatment guidelines. April 8, 2021 2021. https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/ (accessed April, 18 2021).
25. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, et al. Effect of dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 – Preliminary report. 2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.22.20137273v1 (accessed May 25 2021).

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Trial profile.

SOC=standard of care. *Included in the intent-to-treat population. †Includes all randomised participants who received ≥1 dose of study drug and who did not discontinue the study for the reason of 'lost to follow-up' at the first post-baseline visit. ‡159 deaths total reported by day 28. There were an additional three deaths that occurred after treatment period disposition but within 28 days.

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier estimates of 28-day all-cause mortality in the overall population, Population 2, by baseline NIAID-OS, and by baseline systemic corticosteroid use, 60-day all-cause mortality in the overall population, and distribution of participants in each NIAID-OS over time.

For time-to-event endpoints, the p-value was calculated using an unstratified log-rank test. The HR with 95% CI was calculated using a Cox proportional hazards model. p-values are for comparisons of baricitinib 4-mg with placebo. For panels A-F, the number at risk at day 27 represent the number of participants with available data at day 28. For panel G, the number at risk at day 59 represent the number of participants with available data at day 60. Distribution of participants in each NIAID-OS over time was analysed in the intent-to-treat population with baseline OS and at least one post-baseline OS using last observation carried forward. CI=confidence interval. HR=hazard ratio. NIAID-OS=National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease Ordinal Scale. OS=ordinal scale. OS score of 5=hospitalised, requiring supplemental oxygen. OS score of 6=hospitalised, receiving high-flow oxygen devices or non-invasive ventilation.

Figure 3. 28-day all-cause mortality according to subgroup.

The HR with 95% CI was calculated using a Cox proportional hazards model. CI=confidence interval. HR=hazard ratio. NIAID-OS=National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease Ordinal Scale.

Table 1. Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics

	Placebo + SOC (N=761)	**Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC (N=764)**	**All Participants (N=1525)**
Age, years	57·5 (13·8)	57·8 (14·3)	57·6 (14·1)
Distribution			
<65	518 (68·1%)	508 (66·5%)	1026 (67·3%)
≥65	243 (31·9%)	256 (33·5%)	499 (32·7%)
Sex			
Male	473 (62·2%)	490 (64·1%)	963 (63·1%)
Female	288 (37·8%)	274 (35·9%)	562 (36·9%)
Race			
American Indian or Alaskan Native*	168/741 (22·7%)	148/752 (19·7%)	316/1493 (21·2%)
Asian	94/741 (12·7%)	80/752 (10·6%)	174/1493 (11·7%)
Black or African American	36/741 (4·9%)	39/752 (5·2%)	75/1493 (5·0%)
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	2/741 (0·3%)	3/752 (0·4%)	5/1493 (0·3%)
White	440/741 (59·4%)	480/752 (63·8%)	920/1493 (61·6%)
Multiple	1/741 (0·1%)	2/752 (0·3%)	3 (0·2%)
Ethnicity†			

	Placebo + SOC (N=761)	Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC (N=764)	All Participants (N=1525)
Hispanic or Latino	46/158 (29·1%)	54/162 (33·3%)	100/320 (31·3%)
Not Hispanic or Latino	94/158 (59·5%)	92/162 (56·8%)	186/320 (58·1%)
Not reported	18/158 (11·4%)	16/162 (9·9%)	34/320 (10·6%)
Geographic region			
Europe‡	70 (9·2%)	73 (9·6%)	143 (9·4%)
United States, including Puerto Rico	158 (20·8%)	162 (21·2%)	320 (21·0%)
Rest of World§	533 (70·0%)	529 (69·2%)	1062 (69·6%)
Country			
Argentina	101 (13·3%)	107 (14·0%)	208 (13·6%)
Brazil	165 (21·7%)	172 (22·5%)	337 (22·1%)
Germany	11 (1·4%)	9 (1·2%)	20 (1·3%)
India	31 (4·1%)	19 (2·5%)	50 (3·3%)
Italy	10 (1·3%)	15 (2·0%)	25 (1·6%)
Japan	19 (2·5%)	19 (2·5%)	38 (2·5%)
Korea (Republic of)	20 (2·6%)	16 (2·1%)	36 (2·4%)

	Placebo + SOC (N=761)	Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC (N=764)	All Participants (N=1525)
Mexico	143 (18·8%)	138 (18·1%)	281 (18·4%)
Russian Federation	54 (7·1%)	58 (7·6%)	112 (7·3%)
Spain	42 (5·5%)	45 (5·9%)	87 (5·7%)
United Kingdom	7 (0·9%)	4 (0·5%)	11 (0·7%)
United States (including Puerto Rico)	158 (20·8%)	162 (21·2%)	320 (21·0%)
BMI (kg/m^2)	30·6 (6·6)	30·4 (6·4)	30·5 (6·5)
Disease duration of symptoms prior to enrollment			
<7 days	116/756 (15·3%)	137/762 (18·0%)	253/1518 (16·7%)
≥7 days	640/756 (84·7%)	625/762 (82·0%)	1265/1518 (83·3%)
Score on NIAID-OS			
4. Hospitalised, not requiring supplemental oxygen	97/756 (12·8%)	89/762 (11·7%)	186/1518 (12·3%)
5. Hospitalised, requiring supplemental oxygen	472/756 (62·4%)	490/762 (64·3%)	962/1518 (63·4%)

	Placebo + SOC (N=761)	**Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC (N=764)**	**All Participants (N=1525)**
6. Hospitalised, receiving non-invasive ventilation or high-flow oxygen devices	187/756 (24·7%)	183/762 (24·0%)	370/1518 (24·4%)
Concomitant medications of interest			
Remdesivir	147/756 (19·4%)	140/762 (18·4%)	287/1518 (18·9%)
Systemic corticosteroids	592/756 (78·3%)	612/762 (80·3%)	1204/1518 (79·3%)
Dexamethasone	533/592 (90·0%)	566/612 (92·5%)	1099/1204 (91·3%)
Pre-existing comorbid conditions of interest			
Obesity	253 (33·2%)	250 (32·7%)	503 (33·0%)
Diabetes (Type I and Type II)	233 (30·6%)	224 (29·3%)	457 (30·0%)
Chronic respiratory disease	36 (4·7%)	34 (4·5%)	70 (4·6%)
Hypertension	366 (48·1%)	365 (47·8%)	731 (47·9%)

Data are mean (SD) or n (%). BMI=body mass index. N=number of participants in the analysis population. n=number of participants in the specified category. NIAID-OS=National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease Ordinal Scale. SD=standard deviation. SOC=standard of care. *Includes participants from Mexico and Latin America. †Reporting required in the United States

only. ‡Includes Germany, Italy, Spain, and the United Kingdom. §Includes Argentina, Brazil, India, Japan, Korea (Republic of), Mexico, and Russian Federation.

Table 2. Primary and key secondary outcomes in the intent-to-treat population

	Placebo + SOC (N=761)	**Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC (N=764)**		
			Comparison with placebo (95% CI)	Nominal p value*
Primary outcome				
Progressed to high-flow oxygen, non-invasive ventilation oxygen, invasive mechanical ventilation†, or death by Day 28‡§				
Population 1¶**	30·5%	27·8%	0·85 (0·67 to 1·08)	0·18
Population 2††‡‡	27·1%	28·9%	1·12 (0·58 to 2·16)	0·73
Key secondary outcomes				
All-cause mortality¶¶	100 (13·1%)	62 (8·1%)	0·57 (0·41 to 0·78)	0·0018

	Placebo + SOC (N=761)	**Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC (N=764)**		
			Comparison with placebo (95% CI)	Nominal p value*
Likelihood of overall improvement on the NIAID-OS**‡***				
Day 4	··	··	1·21 (1·00 to 1·47)	0·0464
Day 7	··	··	1·25 (1·04 to 1·49)	0·0172
Day 10	··	··	1·17 (0·97 to 1·41)	0·09
Day 14	··	··	1·28 (1·05 to 1·56)	0·0168
≥1-point improvement on NIAID-OS or live discharge from hospital‡§**				
Day 4	21·1%	25·2%	1·26 (0·98 to 1·61)	0·07
Day 7	45·8%	49·8%	1·18 (0·95 to 1·46)	0·13

	Placebo + SOC (N=761)	**Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC (N=764)**		
			Comparison with placebo (95% CI)	Nominal p value*
Day 10	63·5%	65·0%	1·07 (0·86 to 1·34)	0·54
Day 14	72·3%	75·6%	1·21 (0·95 to 1·55)	0·13
Median time to recovery (NIAID-OS)§§	11·0 (10·0 to 12·0)	10·0 (9·0 to 11·0)	1·11 (0·99 to 1·24)	0·15
Number of ventilator free days**†††	23·7 (0·39)	24·5 (0·39)	0·75 (-0·0 to 1·5)	0·06
Duration of hospitalisation**†††	13·7 (0·40)	12·9 (0·40)	-0·76 (-1·6 to 0·0)	0·06
Change from baseline in oxygen saturation from <94% to ≥94%‡				
Day 4	119/282 (42·2%)	133/282 (47·2%)	1·20 (0·86 to 1·69)	0·29
Day 7	146/282 (51·8%)	146/282 (51·8%)	0·97 (0·69 to 1·37)	0·88

	Placebo + SOC (N=761)	**Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC (N=764)**		
			Comparison with placebo (95% CI)	Nominal p value*
Day 10	148/282 (52·5%)	160/282 (56·7%)	1·15 (0·81 to 1·63)	0·43
Day 14	166/282 (58·9%)	166/282 (58·9%)	0·95 (0·66 to 1·37)	0·79

Data are least squares mean (SE), median (95% CI), or n (%). Data were assessed from days 1-28, unless otherwise indicated. For dichotomous endpoints, a logistic regression model was used. For ordinal efficacy endpoints, a proportional odds model was used. For continuous endpoints, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used. All of these analyses had baseline randomisation factors and treatment group in the model. For time-to-event endpoints, the p-value was calculated using an unstratified log-rank test. The hazard ratio with 95% CI was calculated using a Cox proportional hazards model. p values are for comparisons of baricitinib 4-mg with placebo. CI=confidence interval. N=number of participants in the analysis population. n=number of participants in the specified category. NIAID-OS=National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease Ordinal Scale. SE=standard error. SOC=standard of care. *To control the overall family-wise Type I error rate for multiple comparisons, the primary and key secondary endpoints were analysed according to the prespecified statistical analysis plan with a graphical testing procedure. The primary endpoint was not met, and therefore none of the key secondary endpoints were met within the graphical testing procedure. Nominal p values are shown.

†Including extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. ‡Comparisons are odds ratio. §Percentages are calculated using multiple imputation method, which does not support a meaningful reporting of n. ¶All randomized participants. **Multiple imputation includes N=756 for placebo and N=762 for baricitinib. ††Participants who, at baseline, require oxygen supplementation and are not receiving dexamethasone or other systemic corticosteroids for the primary study condition. ‡‡Multiple imputation includes N=109 for placebo and N=96 for baricitinib. §§Comparisons are rate ratio. ¶¶Comparisons are hazard ratio. ***Results are represented for the overall odds ratio compared to placebo as this is derived from each individual contributing OS (OS1-8) at each time point. †††Comparisons are least squares mean difference.

Table 3. Safety overview in the safety population

	Placebo + SOC (N=752)	**Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC (N=750)**
Treatment-emergent adverse event	334 (44·4%)	334 (44·5%)
Treatment-emergent adverse event by severity		
Mild	115 (15·3%)	133 (17·7%)
Moderate	89 (11·8%)	90 (12·0%)
Severe	130 (17·3%)	111 (14·8%)
Death due to adverse event*	31 (4·1%)	12 (1·6%)
Serious adverse event	135 (18·0%)	110 (14·7%)
Discontinuation from study treatment due to adverse event (including death)	70 (9·3%)	56 (7·5%)
Adverse event of special interest		
Treatment-emergent infection	123 (16·4%)	119 (15·9%)
Serious infections	74 (9·8%)	64 (8·5%)
Herpes simplex	4 (0·5%)	1 (0·1%)
Herpes zoster	4 (0·5%)	1 (0·1%)

	Placebo + SOC (N=752)	**Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC (N=750)**
Tuberculosis	0	1 (0·1%)
Opportunistic infections†	7 (0·9%)	6 (0·8%)
Venous thromboembolic event‡	19 (2·5%)	20 (2·7%)
Deep vein thrombosis	2 (0·3%)	4 (0·5%)
Pulmonary embolism	9 (1·2%)	13 (1·7%)
Other peripheral venous thrombosis	10 (1·3%)	8 (1·1%)
Major adverse cardiovascular event	9 (1·2%)	8 (1·1%)
Cardiovascular death	3 (0·4%)	1 (0·1%)
Myocardial infarction	4 (0·5%)	4 (0·5%)
Stroke	4 (0·5%)	4 (0·5%)
Gastrointestinal perforation	0	0

Data are n (%). Data were assessed from days 1-28. N=number of participants in the analysis population. n=number of participants in the specified category. SOC=standard of care. *Included in the overall mortality together with deaths due to disease progression. †Includes preferred terms Candida infection (n=1, baricitinib), Eye infection fungal (n=1, placebo), Fungal retinitis (n=1, baricitinib), Herpes zoster (n=3, placebo; n=1, baricitinib), Listeriosis (n=1, placebo), Oropharyngeal candidiasis (n=1, placebo), Pulmonary tuberculosis (n=1, baricitinib), Systemic

candida (n=2, baricitinib), and Varicella zoster virus infection (n=1, placebo). ‡Positively adjudicated by an independent external blinded clinical event committee.



Figure 1. Trial profile.


A Overall (Population 1)
Placebo + SOC
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC
Mortality (%)
HR 0·57 (95% CI 0·41-0·78); nominal p=0·0018
Days since Randomization
Number at risk
Placebo + SOC 761 717 679 639 617
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC 764 725 684 664 648
B Population 2
Placebo + SOC
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC
Mortality (%)
HR 0·31 (95% CI 0·11-0·88); nominal p=0·0302
Days since Randomization
Number at risk
Placebo + SOC 109 100 94 89 85
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC 96 87 85 83 80
C Baseline OS of 5
Placebo + SOC
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC
Mortality (%)
HR 0·72 (95% CI 0·45-1·16); nominal p=0·11
Days since Randomization
Number at risk
Placebo + SOC 472 452 435 418 411
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC 493 468 448 439 429
D Baseline OS of 6
Placebo + SOC
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC
Mortality (%)
HR 0·52 (95% CI 0·33-0·80); nominal p=0·0065
Days since Randomization
Number at risk
Placebo + SOC 187 170 150 127 115
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC 183 173 153 143 137
E Baseline systemic corticosteroid use (yes)
Placebo + SOC
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC
Mortality (%)
HR 0·63 (95% CI 0·45-0·89); nominal p=0·0169
Days since Randomization
Number at risk
Placebo + SOC 592 563 532 497 481
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC 612 586 548 530 517
F Baseline systemic corticosteroid use (no)
Placebo + SOC
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC
Mortality (%)
HR 0·28 (95% CI 0·10-0·77); nominal p=0·0114
Days since Randomization
Number at risk
Placebo + SOC 164 154 147 142 136
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC 150 139 136 134 131
G Overall (Population 1) day 60
Placebo + SOC
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC
Mortality (%)
HR 0·62 (95% CI 0·47-0·83); p=0·0050
Days since Randomization
Number at risk
Placebo + SOC 761 718 681 642 613 234
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC 764 728 687 668 647 241
H Distribution of participants in each NIAID-OS over time
Placebo + SOC
Baricitinib 4-mg + SOC
Percentage
Day
OS

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier estimates of 28-day all-cause mortality in the overall population, Population 2, by baseline NIAID-OS, and by baseline systemic corticosteroid use, 60-day all-cause mortality in the overall population, and distribution of participants in each NIAID-OS over time.



Figure 3. 28-day all-cause mortality according to subgroup.