Global Burden of Disease worldwide cohort analysis of dietary and other risk factors for cardiovascular diseases: lipid hypothesis versus fat-soluble vitamin hypothesis

David K Cundiff (0000-0002-3206-9665), independent researcher,[1, 3] Chunyi Wu (0000-0002-2186-3433), Research Epidemiologist/Statistician,[2, 3]

1 Long Beach, California, USA

2 Area Specialist Lead in Epidemiology and Statistics, Michigan Medicine, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

3 Volunteer collaborators with the Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation, Seattle, Washington, USA

Correspondence to David K Cundiff davidkcundiff@gmail.com Phone: 01-562-438-8805

Word count: abstract 487, Text: 4545

Abstract

Background Regarding diet's contribution to cardiovascular disease, Ancel Keys, MD proposed his "lipid hypothesis" in the 1950s. Despite USDA Dietary Guidelines endorsing the lipid hypothesis, debate about whether dietary saturated fat and cholesterol cause cardiovascular disease has continued.

Methods Using Global Burden of Disease (GBD 2017) data on cardiovascular disease deaths/100k/year, ages 15-69 years old in male and female cohorts (CVD) and dietary and other risk factors, we formatted and population weighted data from 195 countries. Each of the resulting 7846 rows of data (cohorts) represented about 1 million people, projected to total about 7.8 billion people in 2020. We correlated CVD with dietary and other risk factors worldwide and in appropriate subsets.

Findings Low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) correlated negatively with worldwide CVD (r= -0.282, 95% CI -0.302 to -0.262, $p<0.0001$). All foods were expressed in kilocalories/day (KC/d). We summed the KC/d of processed meat, red meat, fish, milk, poultry, eggs, and added (saturated fatty acid, polyunsaturated fatty acid, and trans fatty acid) to create a "fat-soluble vitamins variable" (FSVV) high in vitamins A, D, E, and K2 (menaquinones). The FSVV correlated negatively with CVD (r= -0.329, 95% CI -0.349 to -0.309, $p<0.0001$, n=7846 cohorts). We averaged CVD data from pairs of male and female cohorts to determine the 500 pairs of male and female cohorts with the lowest CVD (n=1000 cohorts arbitrarily, approximately 1 billion people). In this subset, mean CVD=227.8 and mean FSVV=531.4 KD/d versus worldwide mean CVD=542.4 and mean FSVV=285.4 KC/d. Meat and poultry negatively

correlated with CVD worldwide (e.g., red meat mean=50.27 KC/d, r= -0.232, 95% CI -0.253 to -0.211, $p<0.0001$) and positively with CVD when FSVV≥531.4 KC/d (e.g., red meat mean=121.9 KC/d, r=0.633, 95% CI 0.597 to 0.668, $p<0.0001$). Fish and eggs negatively correlated with CVD both worldwide (e.g., mean eggs =19.4 KC/d, r= -0.390, 95% CI -0.408 to -0.371, $p<0.0001$) and when FSVV≥531.4 KC/d (e.g., mean eggs=39.8 KC/d, r= -0.261, 95% CI -0.315 to -0.206, $p<0.0001$). Milk product intake was high in 20/34 countries with the lowest CVD (range milk: 68.8 - 124.1 KC/d, including high levels of fermented cheeses with high vitamin K2, versus worldwide mean milk=25.0 KC/d). Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan had low CVD (CVD≥237.4) and low milk intake (mean milk≤29.0 KC/d but high intakes of fermented legumes containing vitamin K2.

Interpretation: Since LDLc correlated negatively with CVD worldwide, the lipid hypothesis was not supported. These data support the fat-soluble vitamins hypothesis because FSVV correlated negatively with CVD worldwide, meaning the more fat-soluble vitamin containing animal foods and fat for gut absorption the less the CVD. Vitamin K2 containing milk products, particularly fermented cheeses, fermented legumes, particularly soy, and eggs, appear to be most protective against CVD. In high FSVV countries, reducing meat and poultry intake by at least half would likely reduce CVD significantly. This GBD analysis methodology could be used in developing food policy and education strategies for reducing CVD and improving public health.

Funding: None

Research in context

Evidence before this study

In the field of nutritional epidemiology, controversies abound. The lipid hypothesis that dietary saturated fat and cholesterol promote cardiovascular diseases has been disputed recently with no scientific consensus on the outcome. The Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE Study)—a dietary and cardiovascular disease analysis from 18 countries—found higher fat diets were associated with lower rates of stroke than higher carbohydrate diets.

Added value of this study

This study used worldwide GBD data to assess CVD correlated with LDLc mmol/L versus CVD correlated with animal foods—the primary source of fat-soluble vitamins—and fatty acids—the vehicle for absorption of vitamins A, D, E, and K. CVD correlated negatively with both LDLc and with FSVV worldwide. The data support the fat-soluble vitamin hypothesis over the lipid hypothesis. However, in the subset with FSVV≥531.4 KC/d (FSVV=531.4 KC/d is the mean FSVV of the 1000 cohorts with the lowest CVD), n=1098 cohorts, CVD positively correlated with FSVV (FSVV mean=676.1 KC/d, r= 0.351, 95% CI 0.298 to 0.402, $p<0.0001$), suggesting that excessive saturated fat and cholesterol containing food may increase CVD. While low poultry and meat intake may protect against CVD (i.e., processed meat≤5.3 KC/d, red meat≤50.3 KC/d, poultry≤44.3 KC/d, worldwide means), high intakes appear to promote CVD (i.e., processed meat≥23.3 KC/d, red meat≥121.9 KC/d, poultry≥125.5 KC/d, in FSVV≥531.4 KC/d countries). Eggs, fish, and milk products in any amount appear protective from CVD. The FSVV correlated strongly positively with LDLc worldwide (r=0.780, 95% CI 0.771 to 0.788, p<0001). This affirms the relationship of saturated fatty acids in animal foods and as added fat in raising

LDLc. However, in high FSVV cohorts, FSVV correlated negatively with LDLc (with FSVV≥531.4 KC/d, r=-0.382 95% CI -0.431 to -0.330, $p<0.0001$, n=1098 cohorts), suggesting that racial and/or genetic differences and maybe physical activity, smoking, and other variables effecting the correlation of LDLc with CVD may confound the FSVV versus LDLc relationship in racially diverse, high FSVV countries.

Implications of all the available evidence

These data strongly support the fat-soluble vitamin hypothesis because higher FSVV levels are associated with lower CVD outcomes worldwide. This finding is plausible because deficiencies of vitamins A, D, E, and K (fat soluble vitamins) and fatty acids, required for gut absorption, have been documented to lead to cardiovascular adverse effects. These findings could also support the lipid hypothesis in racially homogenous people within high FSVV intake countries (e.g., Framingham Heart Study). In high FSVV countries, such as in the USA and Europe, public health strategies should endeavor to promote reduction of meat and poultry consumption while not impeding the consumption of milk products, fish and eggs. This GBD data-based methodology can enhance understanding of the complex interrelationships of dietary and other risk factors with CVD and other health endpoints.

Introduction

The Seven Countries Study, led by Ancel Keys, the lipid hypothesis' major proponent and an epidemiologist from the University of Minnesota, involved 12,763 males, 40–59 years of age, in seven countries, in four regions of the world (United States, Northern Europe, Southern Europe, Japan).[1] The study concluded that dietary saturated fatty acid leading to hypercholesterolemia is a major cause of coronary artery disease (CAD). Two concerns with this conclusion are that middle-aged males in these countries may not be representative of CAD or cardiovascular disease epidemiology worldwide and that tobacco smoking (a major risk factor for CAD) also raises serum cholesterol.

The scientific validity of the Dietary Guidelines for Americans for 2015-2020, including the lipid hypothesis, was challenged by Journalist Nina Teicholz in the *BMJ*.[2] The Center for Science in the Public Interest called for the *BMJ* to retract the article. The peer reviewers the *BMJ* selected to adjudicate the far reaching dispute concluded that, "Teicholz's criticisms of the methods used by Dietary Guidelines for Americans Committee are within the realm of scientific debate."[3]

The theoretical justification of our proposed "fat-soluble vitamin hypothesis" should be explained. Fat-soluble vitamins include vitamins A, D, E, and K (K1=phylloquinones and K2= menaquinones). Vitamin A and beta-carotene are important in preventing congenital heart disease.[4,5] Vitamin E protects the vasculature against oxidative stress, including lipid peroxidation and the production of atherogenic forms of LDLc—a part of the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease.[6]

Vitamin K2 (menaquinones from animal foods and fermented plant products) inhibits coronary artery calcification, a major factor in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease.[7] Regarding vitamin D, a meta-analysis showed an inverse association between cardiovascular disease and circulating 25(OH)-vitamin D ranging from 20 to 60 nmol/L.[8] The four fat-soluble vitamins come primarily from animal foods. Vitamin K2 levels are high in aged cheeses, other fermented dairy products,[9] organ meats (e.g., bovine and pork liver), chicken, and egg yokes.[10] The highest vitamin K2 levels per 100g serving are in fermented legumes, particularly soy (e.g., natto and miso (Japan),[11,12] soybean Douchi, soybean Meitauza, and soybean curd (China and Taiwan),[13] and Cheonggukjang, a fermented soybean stew (South Korea)).[14]

This paper will use population weighted, formatted worldwide Global Burden of Disease data from 2017 (GBD 2017) from the Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) to assess the impact of dietary and other risk factors on cardiovascular disease deaths/100k/year, ages 15-69 years old in male and female cohorts (CVD).

Methods

As volunteer collaborators with the IHME, we utilised raw GBD worldwide ecological data ("GBD 2017": 195 countries and 365 subnational locations (n=1120 male and female cohorts). Data consisted of the rate of cardiovascular disease early deaths, metabolic risk factors, dietary risk factors and covariates, and other risk factors of male and female cohorts 15-49 years old and 50-69 years old from each year 1990-2017. For cardiovascular disease early deaths and all risk factors, we averaged the values for ages 15-49 years old together with 50-69 years old for each male and female cohort for each year. Finally, for each male and female cohort, data from all 28 years (1990-2017) of the means of the rate of cardiovascular disease early deaths and risk factor exposures were averaged using the computer software program R. We defined the rate of cardiovascular disease early deaths as "deaths/100k/year of males and females 15-69 years old": abbreviated CVD.

Food risk factors came from IHME as gram/day (g/d) consumed per capita. GBD dietary covariate data originally came from Food and Agriculture Organization surveys of animal and plant food commodities available per capita in countries worldwide (potatoes, corn, rice, sweet potatoes, poultry, and eggs)—as opposed to consumed per capita.[15] Supplementary Table 1 lists the relevant GBD risk factors, covariates, and other available variables with definitions of those risk factor exposures.[16]

GBD worldwide citations of over 12,000 surveys constituting ecological data inputs for this analysis are available online from IHME.[15] The main characteristics of IHME GBD data sources, the protocol for the GBD study, and all risk factor values have been published by IHME GBD

data researchers and discussed elsewhere.[17-20] These include detailed descriptions of categories of input data, potentially important biases, and the methodologies of analysis. We did not clean or pre-process any of the GBD data. GBD cohort risk factor and health outcome data from the IHME had no missing records. Because the GBD data for the study came from IHME, no ethics committee approval or institutional review board review was needed for this post hoc statistical analysis. Once IHME staff time constraints due to COVID-19 data collection and modeling are over, the updated GBD 2019 data with all the variables as the GBD 2017 data we used for this analysis may be obtained by volunteer researchers collaborating with IHME.[21]

To weigh the data according to population, internet searches (mostly Wikipedia) yielded the most recent population estimates for countries and subnational states, provinces, and regions. The 1120 GBD cohorts available were population weighted by a software program in R resulting in an analysis dataset with 7846 population weighted cohorts representing about 7.8 billion people in 2020. Each male or female cohort in the population-weighted analysis dataset represented approximately 1 million people (range: < 100,000 to 1.5 million). For example, India with about 1.234 billion people had 617 rows of the same data for males and 617 rows for females. Maldives, with about 445,000 people, had a single row of data for males and another for females. Without population-weighting the data, cohorts in India and Maldives each would have had one row for males and one row for females in the analysis dataset, invalidating the analysis results. World population data from the World Bank or the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development could not be used because they did not include all 195 countries or any subnational data.

This report followed the STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines for reporting global health estimates (Supplementary Table 2).[22]

Supplementary Table 3 details how omega-3 fatty acid g/d was converted to fish g/d using data on the omega-3 fatty acid content of frequently eaten fish from the National Institutes of Health Office of Dietary Supplements (USA).[23] As shown in Supplementary Table 4, we converted all of the animal and plant food data, including alcohol and sugary beverage consumption, from g/d to kilocalories/day (KC/d). For the g/d to KC/d conversions, we used the Nutritionix track app,[24] which tracks types and quantities of foods consumed. Saturated fatty acids risk factor (0-1 portion of the entire diet) was not available with GBD 2017 data, so GBD saturated fatty acids risk factor data from GBD 2016 was used. Polyunsaturated fatty acid and trans fatty acid GBD risk factor data from 2017 (0-1 portion of the entire diet) were also utilised, but monounsaturated fat data were not available. These fatty acid data expressed as 0-1 portion of the entire diet were converted to KC/d by multiplying by the total KC/d available per capita for each cohort.

The principal outcome variable, CVD, was a combination variable consisting of the deaths/100k/year from ischemic heart disease, stroke, hypertensive heart disease, rheumatic heart disease, non-rheumatic valvular disease, subarachnoid haematoma, myocarditis, alcoholic cardiomyopathy, endocarditis, aortic aneurysm, and atrial fibrillation.

Statistical methods

To determine the strengths of the risk factor correlations with CVD of population weighted worldwide cohorts (n=7846 cohorts) or subgroups of cohorts (e.g., highest and lowest CVD

cohorts, etc.), we utilised Pearson correlation coefficients: r, 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs), and p values.

We used SAS and SAS OnDemand for Academics software 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) for the data analysis.

Results

Table 1 shows the dietary and other risk factors potentially contributing to CVD. See Supplementary Table 1 for definitions of the risk factor and co-variate variables. LDLc negatively correlated with CVD worldwide (r=-0.279, 95% CI -0.299 to -0.258, p<0001, Table 1), meaning the higher the LDLc mmol/L the lower the CVD. Figure 1 gives the plot of LDLc versus CVD.

To test the fat-soluble vitamin hypothesis, we summed the KC/d of processed meat, red meat, fish, milk (including all dairy products), poultry, eggs, and added fat (saturated fatty acid (SFA), polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA), and trans fatty acid (TFA)) to create a fat-soluble vitamins variable (FSVV). In determining the portion of SFA, PUFA, and TFA added in addition to the SFA, PUFA, and TFA in the animal and plant foods, an adjustment factor (fatty acids * 0.46), adapted from the website "Our World in Data," differentiated the fatty acids in individual foods from the added fatty acids (46% of the total).[25] This adjustment prevented double counting fatty acids KC/d and allowed a reasonably accurate determination of FSVV KC/d. The FSVV negatively correlated with CVD (r=-0.329, 95% CI -0.349 to -0.309, p<0001, Table 1), meaning more FSVV KC/d (processed meats KC/d + red meats KC/d + fish and seafood KC/d + milk KC/d + poultry KC/d + eggs KC/d + added fatty acids) reduced CVD.

Table 1 shows that six of the nine plant foods negatively correlated with CVD. Potatoes (KC/d available, a covariate derived from total potato KC available/population, including highly processed potatoes) positively correlated with CVD (r=0.050, 95% CI 0.028 to 0.073, p<0001).

Sugary beverages also correlated positively with CVD (r=0.113, 95% CI 0.091 to 0.135, p<0001). Rice and legumes were not significantly correlated with CVD.

Child underweight, ambient air pollution, smoking tobacco, sublingual tobacco use, kidney disease, types 1 and 2 diabetes deaths, and systolic blood pressure all positively correlated with CVD. Sex (male 1 and female 2) negatively correlated with CVD, meaning females had less CVD risk than males.

Table 2 is a subset of worldwide cohorts that includes 500 male and female pairs of cohorts (total=1000 cohorts (an arbitrary number), representing approximately 1 billion people out of about 7.8 billion people worldwide), with the lowest CVD values (CVD≤ 293.0 compared with mean CVD=543.7 worldwide). In these low CVD cohorts (mean male/female CVD=227.8), LDLc mmol/L ranged from 1.598 mmol/L to 3.247 mmol/L, mean=2.808 mmol/L. In these low CVD cohorts, mean FSVV=531.4 KC/d, range: 143.6 KC/d to 912.7 KC/d compared with the highest CVD 1000 cohorts (mean male/female CVD=1045), with mean FSVV=235.7 KC/d, range: 80.53 KC/d to 504.0 KC/d.

Table 3 shows FSVV related risk factors (processed meat, red meat, fish, milk, poultry, eggs, and SFA) for the 34 countries in descending order by CVD. Twenty-four of the 34 countries had FSVV> 520 KC/d. Japan, with the lowest CVD worldwide (CVD=169.2), had the highest KC/d for fish and eggs (fish=260.5 KC/d and eggs=53.2 KC/d), and otherwise relatively low values for meat, milk and poultry. The countries with FSVV< 300 KC/d tended to be clustered at the high CVD end of the Table 3 (i.e., Guatemala: FSVV=231.1 and CVD=269.9, Kenya: FSVV=150.04

and CVD=270.0, Nicaragua: FSVV=214.2 and CVD=282.0, Tehran (Iran): FSVV=299.7 and CVD=283.3, and Thailand: FSVV=247.4 and CVD=289.9).

Table 4 gives all the CVD risk factors for seven representative countries out of the 34 countries in Tables 2 and 3 (CVD≤ 293.0) in order from the lowest to highest CVD. Japan not only had high FSVV but also had relatively high intake of GBD risk factor plant foods (fruits, vegetables, nuts and seeds, whole grains, and legumes: 350.9 KC/d). France was characteristic of 23 other low CVD countries in that intake of milk products was high (France milk=95.8 KC/d and the other 23 countries' milk>68 KC/d, Table 3). The low CVD of Peru might be attributed to a relatively low smoking rate (7.5%) and good metabolic statistics (mean BMI=23.3 Kg/M^2, mean FPG=4.01 mmol/L, mean LDLc=2.37 mmol/L, mean SBP=125.2 mm/Hg). While Mexico had a lower FSVV than most low CVD countries (Mexico FSVV=413.3 KC/d), like Japan it had relatively high intake of important plant foods (fruits, vegetables, nuts and seeds, whole grains, and legumes: 351.7 KC/d). Panama had lower FSVV than Mexico (Panama: FSVV=383.8 KC/d), however, Panama had relatively high physical activity (Panama physical activity=4935 METs) and low smoking rate (male/female mean smoking=7.3%). While Guatemala had less FSVV (FSVV=231.1 KC/d) and less GBD risk factor plant food intake (fruits, vegetables, nuts and seeds, whole grains, and legumes: 249.5 KC/d), it had relatively high physical activity (physical activity=6142 METs) and a low smoking rate (male/female mean smoking=7.7%). Ecuador had an intermediatory FSVV (FSVV=375.4 KC/d) and relatively low intake of important plant foods (fruits, vegetables, nuts and seeds, whole grains, and legumes: 205.3 KC/d), however, Ecuador had a low rate of smoking (male/female mean smoking=8.0%). and a low mean SBP (mean SBP=126.9 mm/Hg).

Table 5 shows the statistics for countries with FSVV≥531.4 KC/d (i.e., at or above the mean FSVV in Table 2). In this subset of 1098 cohorts, of which 622 were included in the low CVD subset (Table 2), FSVV positively correlated with CVD (r=0.351, 95% CI 0.298 to 0.402, p<0001). Although FSVV correlated strongly with LDLc worldwide (r=0.780, 95% CI 0.771 to 0.788, p<0001, n=7846 cohorts, Table 1), FSVV negatively correlated with LDLc in cohorts with FSVV ≥ 531.4 KC/d (r=-0.382, 95% CI -0.432 to -0.330, p<0001, n= 1098 cohorts). LDLc also correlated negatively with CVD in a subset of 1180 cohorts with greater than or equal to the mean LDLc in Table 2 (LDLc≥2.808 mmol/L: r=-0.172, 95% CI -0.227 to -0.116, p<0001).

The average alcohol intake was 81.0 KC/d worldwide, and alcohol KC/d correlated negatively with CVD (r=-0.061, 95% CI -0.083 to -0.039, p<0001, Table 1). However, with the higher mean alcohol KC/d intake of 146.1 KC/d in cohorts with FSVV≥531.4 KC/d, alcohol was positively correlated with CVD (r=0.242, 95% CI 0.186 to 0.297, p<0001, n=1098 cohorts, Table 5).

The only fat-soluble vitamin in the GBD database, vitamin A deficiency incidence/100k in children ≤ 5 years old, correlated positively with CVD worldwide (r=0.210, 95% CI 0.189 to 0.231, p<0001, Table 1). In the cohorts with FSVV ≥531.4 KC/d who presumably had high intakes of vitamin A from animal foods, vitamin A deficiency rate in children ≤ 5 years old was much lower compared with worldwide (10,158/100k/year, Table 5 versus 23,205/100k/year, Table 1). In cohorts with FSVV≥531.4 KC/d, the vitamin A deficiency versus CVD correlation was non-significant (r=0.041, 95% CI -0.018 to 0.100, p=0.18), n=1098 cohorts, Table 5).

Dietary fiber was not significantly correlated with CVD worldwide (r=0.019, 95% CI -0.003 to 0.041, p=0.09, Table 1). Once it was adjusted for FSVV, alcohol, sugary beverages, and potatoes; dietary fiber correlated negatively with CVD (r=-0.052, 95% CI -0.074 to -0.030, p<0.0001).

Physical activity positively correlated with CVD worldwide (r=0.160, 95% CI 0.139 to 0.182, p<0.0001, Table 1). Once it was adjusted for FSVV, smoking, and sex; physical activity correlated negatively with CVD (r=-0.127, 95% CI -0.149 to -0.104, p<0.0001).

Discontinuing breast feeding before 6 months negatively correlated with CVD worldwide (r=-0.302, 95% CI -0.322 to -0.282, p<0.0001, Table 1). Once we adjusted it for FSVV, child severe underweight, and socio-demographic index, discontinuing breast feeding correlated positively with CVD (r=0.044, 95% CI 0.022 to 0.066, p<0.0001). In cohorts, mostly in developed countries, with FSVV ≥531.4 KC/d discontinuing breast feeding correlated positively with CVD (r=0.090, 95% CI 0.031 to 0.149, p<0.0001, Table 5).

Secondhand smoking negatively correlated with CVD worldwide (r=-0.225, 95% CI -0.246 to -0.204, p<0.0001, Table 1). Once it was adjusted for smoking, sublingual tobacco use, household smoke, ambient air pollution, and sex; secondhand smoke correlated positively with CVD (r=0.048, 95% CI 0.025 to 0.070, p<0.0001).

The metabolic risk factors, BMI, FPG, and LDLc, all correlated negatively with CVD worldwide (r=-0.240, 95% CI -0.261 to -0.219, p<0.0001, r=-0.178, 95% CI -0.200 to -0.157, p<0.0001, and r=-0.279, 95% CI -0.299 to -0.258, p<0.0001, respectively, Table 1). Once they were adjusted for FSVV, alcohol, sugary beverages, and potatoes; they all correlated positively with CVD (r=0.028, 95% CI 0.006 to 0.050, p=0.0144, r=0.076, 95% CI 0.054 to 0.098, p<0.0001, r=0.170, 95% CI 0.148 to 0.191, p<0.0001, respectively). In the cohorts with FSVV≥531.4 KC/d, BMI positively correlated with CVD (r=0.400, 95% CI 0.349 to 0.448, p<0.0001) and FPG did not significantly correlate with CVD (r=0.021, 95% CI -0.038 to 0.080, p=0.49).

Discussion

LDLc correlated negatively with CVD worldwide (Table 1 and Figure 1). This finding does not support the lipid hypothesis in this cohort analysis. Multiple studies have documented that LDLc may be influenced by genetic, racial, or ethnic differences that do not necessarily correspond with risk of CVD.[26,27] The genetic or racial differences in LDLc may explain LDLc positively correlating with CVD in studies with genetically and racially homogenous individuals. LDLc lowering medications that are in wide use especially in developed countries may also confound the relationship of LDLc with CVD risk in this cohort analysis.

Individual patient analysis of LDLc versus CVD (as with the Seven Countries Study[1] of Ancel Keys or the Framingham Heart Study[28]) would be potentially more discriminating than cohort analysis. However, the Seven Countries Study and the Framingham Heart Study were exclusively in high FSVV countries where this analysis might predict a positive correlation between LDLc and CVD if racial and genetic diversity of the populations were not too great.

The Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) Study, with individual data on 135,335 patients from 18 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries also appears to be at variance with the lipid hypothesis.[29] The PURE Study found that higher saturated fat intake was associated with lower risk of stroke (quintile 5 *vs* quintile 1, HR 0.79, (95% CI 0.64 to 0.98), p_{trend}=0.0498). Total fat, saturated fat, and unsaturated fats were not significantly associated with risk of myocardial infarction or cardiovascular disease mortality. It appears that analysis of this worldwide GBD database including the six-animal food variables is consistent with the PURE Study findings and extends to outcomes beyond their analysis of macronutrients alone.

This global data analysis supports the fat-soluble vitamin hypothesis or possibly another mechanism that accounts for FSVV KC/d being negatively correlated with CVD worldwide (r=-0.308, 95% CI -0.358 to -0.257, p<0001, Table 1). If the fat-soluble vitamins hypothesis is valid and augmentation of fat-soluble vitamins is not widely provided, the worldwide FSVV KC/d mean consumption must increase about 185% to minimise CVD (mean FSVV= 288.1 KC/d (Table 1) * 1.85≈531.4 KC/d (Table 2)). In the subset of 1098 cohorts (≈ 1.1 billion people) with FSVV≥531.4 KC/d (Table 5) to minimise CVD, FSVV KC/d mean consumption should be reduced on average by about 21% (676.1 mean KC/d (Table 5) * 0.79≈531.4 mean KC/d (presumed optimal FSVV). To minimise CVD in the USA, FSVV KC/d mean consumption should be reduced on average by about 36% (828.8 mean KC/d * 0.64≈531.4 mean KC/d (presumed optimal FSVV). Given the negative correlations of fish and eggs with CVD in both tables 1 and 5, the reduction in FSVV might best come from meat, poultry, and added fats. Although milk correlates positively with CVD in Table 5 (r=0.089, 95% CI 0.030 to 0.147, p<0001), this probably relates to Japan having the lowest CVD in Table 3 (mean CVD 169.2 while having low dairy intake (Japan mean milk=29.0 KC/d). The 20 top countries in milk intake in Table 3 (16 European countries plus USA, Canada, non-Mauri New Zealand, and Israel) were 2-21 in the order of increasing CVD in Table 3. They all had milk intake ≥68.8 KC/d and all had relatively high per capita intakes of cheese.[30,31] Fermented, full-fat dairy products have high levels of vitamin K2,[9,10] which have been found to be important in preventing calcification of arteries and atherosclerosis.[32] Regarding the Japanese low CVD and low milk KC/d (29.0 KC/d), fish and eggs might be possible sources of vitamin K2. However, relative to fermented cheeses

and egg yolks, fish content of vitamin K2 is low to negligible.[10] Instead, Japanese consume high quantities of vitamin K2 in the form of fermented soy products like natto and miso.[11,12]

Potatoes KC/d available positively correlated with CVD both worldwide (r=0.050, 95% CI 0.028 to 0.073, p< 0.0001, Table 1) and in cohorts with FSVV≥ 531.4 KC/d (r=0.122, 95% CI 0.063 to 0.130, p< 0.0001, Table 5). Notably, half, or more of the potatoes consumed worldwide are in the form of highly processed food products.[33] Recent large prospective observational studies have found higher consumption of ultra-processed foods associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) incidence and CVD mortality.[34,35] Data from 79 high- and middle-income countries show that ultra-processed products dominate the food supplies of high-income countries, and that their consumption is now rapidly increasing in middle-income countries.[36]

The finding that sodium negatively correlated with CVD worldwide (r=-0.213, 95% CI -0.234 to -0.192, p<0.0001) is contrary to an analysis of sodium intake versus cardiovascular deaths in 66 countries by Mozaffarian, et. al who attributed 9.5% of cardiovascular deaths worldwide to high sodium intake.[37] However, O'Donnell and colleagues found the relationship of sodium to cardiovascular disease to be J shaped curve and suggested that the lowest cardiovascular risk is with moderate sodium intake in the 3-5 g/d range.[38] In this IHME GBD database, the Japanese had one of the highest levels of dietary sodium (sodium excretion =6.01 g/d) along with high rates of smoking (Japan mean male/female smoking=26.8%, Table 4) and SBP (Japan mean male/female SBP=137.6 mm/Hg, Table 4) but the lowest rate of CVD (mean CVD=169 deaths/100k/year).

Calcium is essential to cardiovascular function. Calcium's negative correlation with CVD (r=-0.177, 95% CI -0.031 to -0.155, p<0.0033, Table 1) might have been expected.

The positive correlation of physical activity with CVD was surprising (Table 1). However, (1) countries with more CVD reducing FSVV also had less physical activity (FSVV versus physical activity: r=-0.366, 95% CI: -0.385 to -0.347, *p*< 0.0001), (2) females had less physical activity than males (mean physical activity males=5061 METs/week, mean physical activity females=4356 METs/week), along with less CVD (mean CVD males=656.2, mean CVD females=428.6), and (3) males smoked tobacco more than females (mean smoking (0-1) males=0.339 or 33.9%, mean smoking (0-1) females=0.070 or 7%). When FSVV, sex, and smoking were held constant, physical activity correlated negatively with CVD worldwide (r= -0.126, 95% CI -0.148 to -0.104, p<0.0001).

The moderately strong positive correlation of child ≤5 years old severe underweight (>2 SD below the mean for height) with CVD worldwide (r=0.306, 95% CI 0.285 to 0.325, p<0.0001, Table 1) suggests a relationship of infant/child malnutrition with later CVD. Babies surviving so called "Dutch famine" toward the end of the second world war (1944-45) have been shown to have higher subsequent heart disease than earlier or later cohorts in Holland.[39] The worldwide average rate of severely underweight babies and children from 1990 – 2017 was 18.5% (Table 1), suggesting that in utero and early infancy severe malnutrition may subsequently contribute substantially to CVD, especially in developing countries.

Prematurely stopping breast feeding positively correlated with CVD in the countries with animal food plus added fatty acids ≥531.4 KC/d (r=0.090 95% CI: 0.031 to 0.149, p=0.0027, Table 5). In those countries, prematurely stopping breast feeding (range (0-1) was quite common (0.129 to 0.242, mean=0.194). In developed countries, a review of observational studies of breast feeding related to metabolic risk factors for CVD suggested that breast feeding may increase insulin sensitivity and decrease systolic blood pressure in later life. Breast feeding also has metabolic benefits for the mother.[40]

According to The World Health Organisation, ambient air pollution (particulate matter ≤2·5 micrometers diameter ($PM_{2\cdot5}$) causes cardiovascular and respiratory diseases and cancers.[41] The WHO considers ≥10 μg/m^3 of $PM_{2.5}$ particles a health hazard. In this analysis, the ambient air pollution ($PM_{2\cdot5}$) in the countries with FSVV≥531.4 KC/d averaged 12.9 μg/m^3 and mean CVD=285.7 from 1990 to 2017 (Table 5). Worldwide, comparable numbers were mean CVD=543.7 and air pollution ($PM_{2\cdot5}$) mean=44.7 μg/m^3.

These IHME GBD data support the link of smoking tobacco to CVD (r=0.298, 95% CI 0.278 to 0.318, p<0.0001, Table 1). However, given the multiple dietary and other contributors, the CVD population attributable risk for smoking is probably far below the World Health Organization estimate that tobacco accounts for 20% of coronary artery disease mortality.[42] The mean incidence of smoking worldwide=20.5% (Table 1). The mean incidence in the 34 countries (n=1098 cohorts) with the lowest CVD=20.6%. Smoking is clearly a major risk factor for CVD, but diet appears to be more influential.

The positive correlation of blood lead levels with CVD worldwide (r=0.180, 95% CI 0.159 to 0.201, p<0.0001, Table 1). is consistent with reports that lead toxicity leads to hypertension.[43] Also, environmental lead exposure has been linked with all-cause mortality, cardiovascular disease mortality, and ischaemic heart disease mortality in the US.[44]

This analysis showed that kidney disease correlated positively with CVD (r=0.194, 95% CI 0.173 to 0.215, p<0.0001, Table 1). A systematic GBD review of kidney disease revealed that it is a factor in 2.6 million out of 17.9 million deaths due to cardiovascular disease. Other CVD risk factors may also lead to kidney disease (e.g., systolic hypertension, types 1 and 2 diabetes).

Influential Stanford University meta researcher, Dr. John Ioannidis, called for radical reform of all nutritional epidemiology methodologies used to influence food/agricultural policies and to produce dietary guidelines for clinicians and the public.[45] Currently, no methodology for relating cardiovascular disease events to food intake and other risk factors has been generally accepted as rigorous, replicable, and scientifically valid. This study analysing worldwide data for dietary and other risk factors for cardiovascular disease attempts to answer Dr. Ioannidis' call for a more rigorous and reliable nutritional epidemiology methodology to base public health policies and individual dietary guidance.

Limitations

Our study was subject to all the limitations discussed in previous GBD publications.[46,47] These included gaps, biases, and inconsistencies in data sources as well as limitations in the methods of data processing and estimation. Having comprehensive data on dietary inputs is key to more

accurate and reliable analyses. The GBD data on animal foods, plant foods, alcohol, sugary beverages, and fatty acids were not comprehensive and comprised only 1191.4 KC/d per person on average worldwide. Subnational data on all risk factors were available on only four countries. Because the data formatting and statistical methodology were new, this was necessarily a post hoc analysis and no pre-analysis protocol was possible. This GBD data analysis should be repeated with the most recently released GBD 2019 data when it becomes available to volunteer collaborators.

Generalisability

This new methodology presented for probing the GBD raw data to find correlations between dietary and other risk factors and health outcomes (e.g., CVD) can be applied to any of the risk factors and health outcomes available with the new IHME GBD 2019 data.

Conclusion

The lipid hypothesis was not supported by the data in this analysis. Global data analysis supports the fat-soluble vitamin hypothesis, because FSVV is negatively correlated with CVD worldwide. FSVV and CVD correlate positively at relatively high levels of FSVV. Defining the optimal FSVV that will reduce CVD risk while not increasing other diseases (e.g., cancers and obesity) will require more research. Supplements of fat-soluble vitamins to reduce the FSVV required to minimise CVD should be studied, especially in developing countries with less access to animal

foods. The findings for the non-dietary risk factors are all consistent with the medical literature except for sodium's negative correlation with CVD.

This methodology should augment systematic literature reviews in developing food and other risk factor policy and education strategies for forming policy recommendations, clinical practice guidelines, and public health recommendations. GBD data analysis can bring more rigor, precision, and consensus to the field of population health, especially in the areas of dietary risk factors.

Table 1. Dietary and other risks related to CVD worldwide (n=7846 cohorts)

CVD and CVD risk factors	Mean	SD	Min	Max	r	95% CI low	95% CI high	p
CVD/100k/year ages 15-69	543.66	288.01	73.47	1844				
CVD/100k/year ages 15-69 mean m/f	543.69	246.13	135.46	1727	0.855	0.849	0.860	<.0001
LDL cholesterol mmol/L	2.35	0.40	1.27	3.25	-0.279	-0.299	-0.258	<.0001
Fat-soluble vitamin variable (FSVV)	285.36	193.31	58.78	932.18	-0.329	-0.349	-0.309	<.0001
Processed meat KC/d	5.33	9.72	0.20	68.77	-0.204	-0.226	-0.183	<.0001
Red meat KC/d	50.27	45.13	3.21	235.95	-0.232	-0.253	-0.211	<.0001
Fish KC/d	9.99	36.52	0.40	370.36	-0.203	-0.224	-0.181	<.0001
Milk KC/d	25.04	27.05	1.06	146.82	-0.192	-0.214	-0.171	<.0001
Poultry KC/d available	44.32	50.08	1.06	411.87	-0.289	-0.309	-0.268	<.0001
Eggs KC/d available	19.36	14.71	0.79	69.64	-0.390	-0.408	-0.371	<.0001
Added Saturated fatty acids KC/d	87.67	29.41	32.56	221.29	-0.239	-0.260	-0.219	<.0001
Added PUFAs KC/d	37.30	33.78	1.35	175.40	-0.316	-0.336	-0.296	<.0001
Added Trans fatty acids KC/d	6.09	6.28	0.91	35.77	-0.104	-0.126	-0.082	<.0001
Alcohol KC/d	81.03	57.33	4.25	429.81	-0.061	-0.083	-0.039	<.0001
Sugary beverages KC/d	298.36	152.38	72.91	1472.00	0.113	0.091	0.135	<.0001
Potatoes KC/d available	84.04	74.60	3.07	533.88	0.050	0.028	0.073	<.0001
Corn KC/d available	34.72	48.28	0.16	305.17	-0.062	-0.084	-0.040	<.0001
Fruits KC/d	40.21	22.50	3.58	161.39	-0.355	-0.374	-0.336	<.0001
Vegetables KC/d	79.76	43.12	9.48	304.17	-0.107	-0.128	-0.085	<.0001
Nuts and seeds KC/d	8.41	8.36	0.05	102.99	-0.277	-0.297	-0.256	<.0001
Whole grains KC/d	55.65	30.93	1.14	235.10	-0.194	-0.216	-0.173	<.0001
Legumes KC/d	51.74	32.23	0.51	194.70	-0.024	-0.046	-0.002	0.0319
Rice KC/d available	141.86	116.34	1.42	461.80	0.007	-0.015	0.029	0.5417
Sweet potatoes KC/d available	22.76	35.95	0.02	364.74	-0.167	-0.189	-0.146	<.0001
Total KC/d available	2574	418	1579	3898	-0.203	-0.224	-0.181	<.0001
Vit A deficiency children/100k/yr	23205	10939	1267	50969	0.210	0.189	0.231	<.0001
Sodium g/d	4.45	2.34	1.33	9.21	-0.214	-0.235	-0.193	<.0001
Calcium g/d	0.301	0.179	0.081	1.044	-0.169	-0.191	-0.148	<.0001
Dietary fiber g/d	9.21	3.15	2.72	22.68	0.019	-0.003	0.041	0.0932
Physical activity METs	4714	1368	1609	7669	0.160	0.139	0.182	<.0001
Child underweight >2SD	0.186	0.171	0.004	0.535	0.302	0.282	0.322	<.0001
Stop breast feeding <6 months	0.119	0.055	0.016	0.242	-0.302	-0.322	-0.282	<.0001
Ambient pollution $PM_{0.25}$	44.73	26.46	4.38	95.54	0.153	0.131	0.174	<.0001
Smoking rate (0-1)	0.205	0.176	0.003	0.640	0.297	0.277	0.317	<.0001
Secondhand smoking (0-1)	0.376	0.155	0.164	0.796	-0.225	-0.246	-0.204	<.0001
Sublingual tobacco rate (0-1)	0.068	0.095	0.001	0.419	0.284	0.264	0.304	<.0001
Blood lead level mcg/dl	5.01	1.01	1.22	8.37	0.180	0.159	0.201	<.0001
Household air pollution (0-1)	0.482	0.325	0.000	0.996	0.179	0.158	0.201	<.0001
Kidney disease stage III (0-1)	0.056	0.028	0.015	0.154	0.194	0.173	0.215	<.0001
Type 1 DM early deaths	10.37	9.39	0.55	112.49	0.340	0.320	0.359	<.0001
Type 2 DM early deaths	17.50	15.65	0.63	269.67	0.227	0.205	0.247	<.0001
BMI kg/M^2	21.77	2.29	17.95	29.39	-0.240	-0.261	-0.219	<.0001
Fasting plasma glucose mmol/L	4.30	0.35	3.32	5.58	-0.178	-0.200	-0.157	<.0001
Systolic BP mm Hg	133.91	4.32	123.41	147.89	0.195	0.174	0.216	<.0001
Socio-demographic index (0-1)	0.543	0.174	0.112	0.896	-0.337	-0.357	-0.317	<.0001
Sex male 1 and female 2	1.50	0.50	1.00	2.00	-0.395	-0.414	-0.376	<.0001

Table 2. CVD lowest and highest 1000 cohorts (≈1 billion people)

	CVD lowest ≈1 billion people (n=1000 cohorts)				CVD highest ≈1 billion people (n=1000 cohorts)			
CVD and risk factors	**Mean**	**SD**	**Min**	**Max**	**Mean**	**SD**	**Min**	**Max**
Cardiovascular Disease deaths/100k/year	227.81	95.25	73.47	422.36	1045	356.90	430.20	1844
CVD male/female mean	227.81	42.52	135.46	292.97	1045	200.47	802.24	1727
LDL cholesterol mmol/L	2.808	0.256	1.598	3.247	2.376	0.380	1.35	3.20
Fat-soluble vitamin variable (FSVV)	531.4	180.20	143.63	912.69	235.66	99.68	80.53	503.98
Processed meat KC/d	15.30	12.20	0.61	61.09	3.70	4.95	0.22	18.12
Red meat KC/d	90.72	48.06	12.03	235.95	36.82	29.40	7.07	172.00
Fish KC/d	49.54	92.63	2.85	370.36	4.56	2.69	0.78	12.97
Milk KC/d	55.64	35.39	7.70	146.82	25.61	16.78	1.06	75.87
Poultry KC/d available	90.44	47.27	4.74	240.56	30.23	22.39	2.78	100.04
Eggs KC/d available	36.63	11.25	4.77	69.64	14.73	13.63	1.51	46.81
Added Saturated fatty acids KC/d	113.40	36.53	59.52	221.29	87.22	24.03	33.03	205.85
Added PUFAs KC/d	69.80	35.78	15.77	175.40	25.48	14.54	2.73	84.90
Added Trans fatty acids KC/d	9.91	9.25	1.65	34.90	7.31	8.62	1.21	35.77
Alcohol KC/d	119.72	66.67	4.25	296.70	45.83	46.24	5.77	340.37
Sugary beverages KC/d	320.29	251.94	72.91	1472.00	303.30	63.68	180.80	685.42
Potatoes KC/d available	81.34	51.14	9.50	177.30	98.34	96.77	3.94	533.88
Corn KC/d available	48.19	75.26	1.79	287.14	31.77	37.30	0.20	305.17
Fruits KC/d	64.84	16.49	23.05	128.61	31.23	13.93	3.58	70.11
Vegetables KC/d	108.02	44.60	9.48	214.26	83.83	56.67	14.43	198.51
Nuts and seeds KC/d	15.90	10.50	0.66	39.80	4.81	2.91	0.05	17.91
Whole grains KC/d	64.71	38.62	1.61	173.46	28.30	33.01	1.14	156.75
Legumes KC/d	47.88	25.99	2.95	133.26	31.55	18.93	0.51	103.17
Rice KC/d available	78.10	97.95	7.58	349.08	75.93	107.38	1.42	461.80
Sweet potatoes KC/d available	3.92	5.14	0.04	27.51	5.84	20.20	0.02	364.74
Total KC/d available	2972	409	1948	3572	2627	409	1579	3254
Vit A deficiency children/100k/yr	14181	8723	1400	44100	20559	11395	1722	50969
Sodium g/d	3.92	1.22	1.33	6.70	3.30	0.76	1.33	5.96
Calcium g/d	0.506	0.189	0.183	1.044	0.321	0.150	0.089	0.792
Dietary fiber g/d	10.58	2.90	5.15	17.95	9.53	3.32	3.87	18.83
Physical activity METs	3802	1164	2162	7607	4273	1497	1838	7607
Child underweight >2SD	0.038	0.043	0.004	0.242	0.186	0.141	0.011	0.411
Stop breast feeding <6 mo	0.176	0.032	0.071	0.218	0.116	0.044	0.036	0.208
Ambient pollution $PM_{0.25}$	17.60	8.27	4.38	38.42	40.81	22.19	7.90	77.69
Smoking rate (0-1)	0.217	0.121	0.012	0.448	0.212	0.190	0.005	0.627
Secondhand smoking (0-1)	0.328	0.097	0.164	0.569	0.397	0.158	0.164	0.780
Sublingual tobacco rate (0-1)	0.010	0.015	0.001	0.111	0.060	0.072	0.001	0.238
Blood lead level mcg/dl	4.08	1.00	1.22	6.85	4.74	1.28	1.92	8.37
Household air pollution (0-1)	0.103	0.182	0.001	0.839	0.404	0.353	0.002	0.993
Kidney disease stage III (0-1)	0.042	0.020	0.015	0.111	0.078	0.024	0.035	0.139
Type 1 DM early deaths	4.79	3.88	0.59	20.65	16.38	15.31	2.62	112.49
Type 2 DM early deaths	15.69	18.23	0.75	87.92	23.65	24.88	0.89	269.67
BMI kg/M^2	23.74	1.60	19.61	27.06	22.46	2.15	17.95	26.08
Fasting plasma glucose mmol/L	4.56	0.25	3.54	5.12	4.28	0.42	3.38	5.18
Systolic BP mm Hg	133.26	4.41	123.41	142.15	136.86	4.39	124.59	147.89
Socio-demographic index (0-1)	0.757	0.125	0.351	0.896	0.497	0.183	0.186	0.824
Sex male 1 and female 2	1.50	0.50	1.00	2.00	1.50	0.50	1.00	2.00

Table 3. CVD related to fat-soluble vitamin related risk factors in low CVD countries

Countries with low CVD (n=1000 cohorts)	n (cohorts)	CVD	FSVV KC/d	Processed meat KC/d	Red meat KC/d	Fish KC/d	Milk KC/d	Poultry KC/d	Eggs KC/d	Added SFA KC/d
Japan	158	169.19	618.69	19.19	58.72	260.54	29.04	63.93	52.23	77.73
France	64	174.00	644.78	13.59	135.07	11.17	95.75	99.86	43.30	172.79
Switzerland	8	175.04	558.41	11.50	129.01	6.75	110.90	55.57	31.20	161.77
Andorra	2	185.55	763.18	16.58	150.84	16.44	99.23	189.92	47.55	151.14
Peru	32	197.74	183.12	1.01	16.92	3.82	15.34	35.04	12.03	68.34
Spain	46	206.25	597.93	11.65	139.92	10.28	82.99	113.88	43.35	126.62
Italy	60	206.44	587.57	15.78	126.36	9.15	89.54	84.16	36.39	136.87
Iceland	2	206.51	609.62	11.97	112.73	11.67	85.37	63.57	29.51	220.92
Australia	24	208.03	698.26	9.79	164.14	14.15	97.05	153.33	24.92	186.29
Canada	36	222.11	624.98	25.31	126.28	18.48	76.13	147.46	34.94	132.78
Israel	8	228.32	662.00	5.95	59.50	15.35	68.79	240.56	40.54	118.47
South Korea	50	232.63	357.23	5.97	82.88	5.96	15.72	43.10	26.89	80.46
Belgium	12	235.98	636.39	12.28	122.36	11.19	93.44	85.00	33.28	162.43
Netherlands	18	236.61	629.56	15.72	133.06	8.66	114.16	72.70	41.67	142.20
Taiwan	24	237.37	587.36	3.01	106.58	9.15	15.95	132.38	34.87	108.29
Mexico	108	237.55	413.25	11.29	63.03	8.69	34.29	85.85	39.92	89.13
Panama	4	244.31	383.83	2.75	61.32	9.44	20.72	95.43	12.96	101.32
Sweden	10	247.21	563.83	29.31	119.77	11.80	124.13	45.42	35.04	151.68
New Zealand non-Mauri	4	247.32	699.81	9.31	158.86	11.56	88.74	128.14	40.24	193.21
Norway	32	248.72	605.30	48.14	109.37	10.10	100.96	41.65	31.52	136.87
England	20	253.09	534.56	19.21	96.29	10.70	87.80	89.30	32.90	129.60
Costa Rica	4	260.73	408.42	2.63	51.74	8.12	46.55	78.05	28.17	113.89
Luxembourg	2	262.53	578.00	14.65	163.17	11.79	91.99	71.48	27.60	132.49
Chile	18	267.50	404.12	19.11	90.33	9.65	31.93	97.29	19.70	83.54
Guatemala	16	269.85	231.05	1.89	20.05	5.28	13.32	53.94	30.46	60.62
Kenya	12	270.04	150.04	1.41	25.83	3.09	18.89	4.74	4.77	73.49
Ecuador	16	270.64	375.35	6.87	56.88	7.34	35.51	59.92	14.66	133.54
USA	94	274.00	825.54	36.45	136.35	15.79	90.90	190.90	41.10	153.96
Denmark	6	275.10	600.48	14.46	137.75	13.38	98.01	74.42	45.96	152.94
Austria	8	277.67	621.00	8.95	194.65	9.26	98.68	70.00	39.96	148.30
Portugal	10	278.50	524.81	5.16	124.77	8.77	79.43	94.26	24.18	117.69
Nicaragua	6	282.04	214.24	1.52	14.93	4.07	20.66	41.76	17.41	70.38
Tehran (Iran)	16	283.29	299.65	1.23	22.63	5.36	19.23	65.90	18.89	67.81
Thailand	70	289.91	247.43	0.70	32.01	6.95	7.85	57.07	31.10	81.49

Table 4. Representative examples of low CVD countries (n=1000 cohorts) including all risk factors

CVD and CVD risk factors	Japan	France	Peru	Mexico	Panama	Guatemala	Ecuador
n cohorts	158	64	32	108	4	16	16
CVD/100k/year ages 15-69	169.19	174.00	197.74	237.55	244.31	269.85	270.64
Fat-soluble vitamin variable	618.69	644.78	183.12	413.25	383.83	231.05	375.35
LDL cholesterol mmol/L	2.81	3.08	2.37	2.59	2.61	2.20	2.46
Processed meat KC/d	19.19	13.59	1.01	11.29	2.75	1.89	6.87
Red meat KC/d	58.72	135.07	16.92	63.03	61.32	20.05	56.88
Fish KC/d	260.54	11.17	3.82	8.69	9.44	5.28	7.34
Milk KC/d	29.04	95.75	15.34	34.29	20.72	13.32	35.51
Poultry KC/d available	63.93	99.86	35.04	85.85	95.43	53.94	59.92
Eggs KC/d available	52.23	43.30	12.03	39.92	12.96	30.46	14.66
Added Saturated fatty acids KC/d	77.73	172.79	68.34	89.13	101.32	60.62	133.54
Added PUFAs KC/d	53.78	67.38	24.47	52.14	72.29	41.90	51.69
Added Trans fatty acids KC/d	3.54	5.88	6.13	28.92	7.62	3.60	8.93
Alcohol KC/d	183.16	118.64	55.79	58.39	27.44	28.79	59.77
Sugary beverages KC/d	94.78	323.88	284.76	847.81	921.54	964.08	283.57
Potatoes KC/d available	42.29	126.40	142.55	25.79	32.01	16.68	67.56
Corn KC/d available	27.58	23.85	33.54	242.41	50.86	206.19	11.76
Fruits KC/d	44.43	59.56	52.27	65.68	52.92	39.61	107.07
Vegetables KC/d	149.32	101.07	46.17	60.72	27.81	54.98	31.79
Nuts and seeds KC/d	9.26	16.94	1.02	6.27	1.92	12.72	0.68
Whole grains KC/d	76.05	16.16	58.94	142.06	83.46	68.32	43.78
Legumes KC/d	71.84	17.07	45.78	76.99	38.35	73.84	21.99
Rice KC/d available	124.52	12.09	93.09	14.07	141.66	13.46	118.02
Sweet potatoes KC/d available	12.09	0.04	7.94	0.69	8.67	1.71	0.49
Total KC/d available	2590	3406	1948	3015	2288	2330	2273
Vit A deficiency children/100k/yr	8151	1643	19372	23939	11463	17947	20185
Sodium g/d	6.01	3.21	3.14	2.62	2.97	1.83	3.16
Calcium g/d	0.425	0.707	0.299	0.370	0.293	0.220	0.314
Dietary fiber g/d	13.43	8.68	9.44	15.51	5.90	12.54	6.25
Physical activity METs	3460	2795	3774	3833	4935	6142	3740
Child underweight >2SD	0.045	0.012	0.069	0.050	0.042	0.193	0.096
Stop breast feeding <6 months	0.171	0.208	0.108	0.171	0.140	0.087	0.130
Ambient pollution $PM_{0.25}$	13.10	13.87	28.99	24.28	13.24	28.61	17.86
Smoking rate (0-1)	0.268	0.289	0.075	0.149	0.073	0.077	0.080
Secondhand smoking (0-1)	0.353	0.320	0.238	0.344	0.178	0.224	0.206
Sublingual tobacco rate (0-1)	0.012	0.003	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.004
Blood lead level mcg/dl	2.65	3.86	5.17	5.34	4.80	5.89	4.51
Household air pollution (0-1)	0.002	0.010	0.454	0.213	0.193	0.627	0.080
Kidney disease stage III (0-1)	0.038	0.028	0.050	0.078	0.068	0.075	0.055
Type 1 DM early deaths	1.25	2.91	4.84	12.64	7.71	12.03	6.92
Type 2 DM early deaths	2.03	3.70	11.37	60.97	18.07	40.38	27.44
BMI kg/M^2	21.89	23.47	23.29	24.82	22.98	21.97	25.04
Fasting plasma glucose mmol/L	4.77	4.46	4.01	4.53	4.31	4.12	4.26
Systolic BP mm Hg	137.56	135.11	125.19	130.31	133.66	131.15	126.85
Socio-demographic index	0.828	0.822	0.590	0.608	0.644	0.431	0.575
Likely mechanism of low CVD	FSVV Plants	FSVV	Low smoking metabolic	FSVV Plants	FSVV PA Low smoking	PA Low smoking	FSVV Low smoking

Table 5. CVD and risk factors for countries with FSVV ≥531.4 KC/d (n=1098 cohorts)

CVD and CVD risk factors	Mean	SD	Min	Max	r	95% CI low	95% CI high	p
CVD/100k/year ages 15-69	285.68	158.75	73.47	1028				
LDL cholesterol mmol/L	2.90	0.15	2.36	3.25	-0.299	-0.352	-0.245	<.0001
Fat-soluble vitamin variable	676.11	115.57	502.41	932.18	0.351	0.298	0.402	<.0001
Processed meat KC/d	23.34	15.39	1.56	68.77	0.279	0.224	0.333	<.0001
Red meat KC/d	121.93	41.37	42.84	235.95	0.633	0.597	0.668	<.0001
Fish KC/d	47.77	87.51	6.22	370.36	-0.260	-0.315	-0.204	<.0001
Milk KC/d	77.23	26.48	14.60	146.82	0.089	0.030	0.147	0.0031
Poultry KC/d available	125.50	58.78	38.58	411.87	0.296	0.241	0.349	<.0001
Eggs KC/d available	39.84	7.84	14.59	69.64	-0.261	-0.315	-0.205	<.0001
Added Saturated fatty acids KC/d	136.14	30.44	59.52	221.29	0.127	0.068	0.185	<.0001
Added PUFAs KC/d	93.48	38.39	37.04	175.40	0.267	0.211	0.321	<.0001
Added Trans fatty acids KC/d	10.89	8.01	1.95	30.77	0.126	0.067	0.184	<.0001
Alcohol KC/d	146.05	69.59	6.76	429.81	0.242	0.186	0.297	<.0001
Sugary beverages KC/d	235.83	126.58	72.91	769.89	0.347	0.294	0.398	<.0001
Potatoes KC/d available	106.17	39.26	9.50	224.86	0.122	0.063	0.180	<.0001
Corn KC/d available	19.20	10.06	1.50	56.37	0.050	-0.009	0.109	0.0985
Fruits KC/d	66.63	16.01	33.95	161.39	-0.055	-0.114	0.004	0.0663
Vegetables KC/d	115.22	36.56	42.27	304.17	-0.163	-0.220	-0.105	<.0001
Nuts and seeds KC/d	20.83	10.16	0.85	102.99	-0.016	-0.075	0.043	0.5896
Whole grains KC/d	50.20	21.73	1.61	92.45	0.025	-0.034	0.084	0.4105
Legumes KC/d	39.69	26.38	2.95	133.26	-0.003	-0.062	0.056	0.9162
Rice KC/d available	38.63	46.09	6.50	179.63	-0.142	-0.199	-0.083	<.0001
Sweet potatoes KC/d available	4.01	4.48	0.04	17.63	-0.022	-0.081	0.038	0.4728
Total KC/d available	3204	293	2493	3898	0.193	0.136	0.250	<.0001
Vit A deficiency children/100k/yr	10158	7117	1400	28081	0.041	-0.018	0.100	0.1762
Sodium g/d	3.96	0.97	2.22	6.70	-0.019	-0.078	0.040	0.5222
Calcium g/d	0.634	0.134	0.351	1.04	0.236	0.180	0.291	<.0001
Dietary fiber g/d	10.50	1.79	6.30	18.15	0.145	0.087	0.203	<.0001
Physical activity METs	3578	834	1751	6271	0.622	0.585	0.657	<.0001
Child underweight >2SD	0.017	0.014	0.004	0.058	-0.083	-0.142	-0.024	0.0058
Stop breast feeding <6 months	0.194	0.021	0.129	0.219	0.090	0.031	0.149	0.0027
Ambient pollution $PM_{0.25}$	12.88	6.82	4.38	87.22	0.044	-0.016	0.102	0.1495
Smoking rate (0-1)	0.237	0.085	0.021	0.464	0.287	0.231	0.340	<.0001
Secondhand smoking (0-1)	0.311	0.069	0.201	0.586	-0.261	-0.315	-0.205	<.0001
Sublingual tobacco rate (0-1)	0.012	0.019	0.001	0.125	0.446	0.397	0.492	<.0001
Blood lead level mcg/dl	3.93	0.79	1.22	7.08	0.465	0.417	0.510	<.0001
Household air pollution (0-1)	0.018	0.040	0.000	0.229	0.252	0.196	0.307	<.0001
Kidney disease stage III (0-1)	0.036	0.012	0.015	0.109	-0.035	-0.094	0.024	0.249
Type 1 DM early deaths	3.96	2.68	0.55	15.97	0.570	0.529	0.609	<.0001
Type 2 DM early deaths	9.67	7.55	0.75	54.22	0.611	0.572	0.646	<.0001
BMI kg/M^2	24.76	1.74	21.40	29.39	0.400	0.349	0.448	<.0001
Fasting plasma glucose mmol/L	4.70	0.24	3.84	5.58	0.021	-0.038	0.080	0.4877
Systolic BP mm Hg	133.48	4.75	124.17	146.00	-0.120	-0.178	-0.061	<.0001
Socio-demographic index (0-1)	0.827	0.065	0.592	0.896	-0.211	-0.267	-0.154	<.0001
Sex male 1 and female 2	1.50	0.50	1.00	2.00	-0.710	-0.738	-0.679	<.0001

Figure 1. LDLc versus CVD scatter plot



521 **Supplementary Table 1. Definitions of GBD risk factors and covariates related to CVD**

Variables	Definition
Alcohol	Any alcohol consumption (g/day)
Ambient particulate matter pollution	Annual average daily exposure to outdoor air concentrations of particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of ≤2·5 μg/m ^{3} ($PM_{2\cdot5}$)
Body-mass index	Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m^2)—the dependent variable of interest
Chewing tobacco	Current use of any chewing tobacco product
Child underweight	Proportion of children – 3 SD to – 2 SD of the WHO 2006 standard weight-for-age curve (0-1)
Corn	Corn availability per capita (g/day), a covariate
Discontinued breast feeding	Proportion of children aged 6-23 months who do not receive any breast milk
Eggs	Eggs availability per capita (g/day) a covariate
Fasting plasma glucose	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)
Fish	This variable expressed in g/day was derived by determining the weight of fish in g corresponding to 1 g of omega-3 fatty acids (eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid) by averaging the fish g per 1 g of omega-3 fatty acids 20 species of fish= 117.04 g/day fish/1 g/day omega-3 fatty acids (Supplementary Table 3)
Fruits	Consumption of fruits (includes fresh, frozen, cooked, canned, or dried fruit but excludes fruit juices and salted or pickled fruits) (g/day)
Household air pollution from solid fuels	Individual exposure to $PM_{2\cdot5}$ due to use of solid cooking fuel
Kidney function impaired	Proportion of the population with ACR >30 mg/g or GFR <60 mL/min/1·73 m ^{2}, excluding end-stage renal disease
Kilocalories available /day	The mean number of kilocalories per capita available per day to people in each location (kcal/day available), a covariate
LDL cholesterol	Serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/L)
Lead exposure	Blood lead levels in μg/dL of blood, bone lead levels in μg/g of bone
Legumes	Consumption of beans, lentils, pulses (g/day)
Milk	Consumption of milk including non-fat, low-fat, and full-fat milk but excluding soy milk and other plant derivatives (g/day)
Nuts and seeds	Consumption of nuts and seeds (g/day)
Physical activity	Average weekly physical activity at work, home, transport-related and recreational measured by MET min per week. Less than 3000 METs per week constitutes low physical activity.
Poultry	Poultry availability per capita (g/day), a covariate
Potatoes	Potatoes availability per capita (g/day), a covariate
Processed meat	Consumption of any processed meat (includes meat preserved by smoking, curing, salting, or addition of chemical preservatives, including bacon, salami, sausages, or deli or luncheon meats like ham, turkey, and pastrami (g/day)
Red meat	Consumption of red meat (includes beef, pork, lamb, and goat but excludes poultry, fish, eggs, and all processed meats) (g/day)
Rice	Rice availability per capita (g/day), a covariate
Seafood omega-3 fatty acids	Seafood omega-3 fatty acids (eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid) in tablet or fish form (g/day)
Second-hand smoke	Average daily exposure to air particulate matter from second-hand smoke with an aerodynamic diameter smaller than 2·5 μg, measured in μg/m ^{3}, among non-smokers
Smoking	Prevalence of current use of any smoked tobacco product and prevalence of former use of any smoked tobacco product; among current smokers, cigarette equivalents smoked per smoker per day and cumulative pack-years of exposure; among former smokers, number of years since quitting
Socio-demographic index	SDI is a composite indicator of development status that was originally constructed for GBD 2015 and is derived from components that correlate strongly with health outcomes.

	It is the geometric mean for indices of the total fertility rate among women younger than 25 years, mean education for those aged 15 years or older, and lag-distributed income per capita. The resulting metric ranges from 0 to 1, with higher values corresponding to higher levels of development.
Sugar-sweetened beverages	Consumption of any beverage with ≥50 calories of sugar per one-cup serving, including carbonated beverages, sodas, energy drinks, fruit drinks but excluding 100% fruit and vegetable juices (g/day)
Sweet potatoes	Sweet potato availability per capita (g/day), a covariate
Systolic blood pressure	Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)
Total sugar	Total sugar availability per capita (g/day), a covariate
Vegetables	Consumption of frozen, cooked, canned, or dried vegetables (including legumes but excluding salted or pickled, juices, nuts and seeds, and starchy vegetables such as potatoes or corn) (g/day)
Vitamin A deficiency	Proportion of children aged 0–5 years with serum retinol concentration <0·7 μmol/L
Whole grains	Consumption of whole grains (bran, germ, and endosperm in their natural proportions) from breakfast cereals, bread, rice, pasta, biscuits, muffins, tortillas, pancakes, and others (g/day)

522

Supplementary Table 2. STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cohort studies

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(*a*) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(*b*) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2-3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4-7
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	7
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	7-9
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	7-9
Participants	6	(*a*) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	7-9
		(*b*) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	NA
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	10 and Supplementary Table 1
Data sources/ measurement	8	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	8-9, Supplementary Tables 2-3
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	9
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	9
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	10
Statistical methods	12	(*a*) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	10-11
		(*b*) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	10-11
		(*c*) Explain how missing data were addressed	9
		(*d*) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	NA
		(*e*) Describe any sensitivity analyses	NA
Results			
Ecological data↓	13	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	8-9
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	NA
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	NA

Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g., demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	9↓
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	NA
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (e.g., average and total amount)	NA
Outcome data	15	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Tables 1-5, 26-30
Main results	16	(*a*) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	15-17, Tables 1-5, 26-30,
		(*b*) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	NA
		(*c*) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	13-15
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarize key results with reference to study objectives	18-23
Limitations			23
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	24-25
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	24
Other information		Data availability statement	41
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	40

↓Global Burden of Disease ecological data on worldwide male and female cohorts from IHME is the data source rather than individual participants

Supplementary Table 3. Omega-3 Fatty Acid g to fish g calculation¶

Fish	DHA g/3-ounce fish	EPA g/3-ounce fish	Omega-3 Fatty Acids (DHA _ EPA) g/3-ounce fish mean	Fish 3 ounces = 85.02 g	Fish (g) per omega-3 Fatty Acids (g)=85.02 / 0.7264
Salmon Atlantic farmed	1.24	0.59			
Salmon Atlantic wild	1.22	0.35			
Herring Atlantic	0.94	0.77			
Sardines canned in tomato sauce drained	0.74	0.45			
Mackerel Atlantic	0.59	0.43			
Salmon pink canned drained	0.63	0.28			
Trout rainbow wild	0.44	0.40			
Oysters eastern wild	0.23	0.30			
Sea bass	0.47	0.18			
Shrimp	0.12	0.12			
Lobster	0.07	0.10			
Tuna light canned in water drained	0.17	0.02			
Tilapia	0.11				
Scallops	0.09	0.06			
Cod Pacific	0.1	0.04			
Tuna yellowfin	0.09	0.01			
Mean DHA and EPA Omega-3 Fatty Acids g/3 ounce fish	0.4531	0.2733			
Calculations total Omega-3 FA g to fish g			0.7264	85.02	117.043

¶ Data on omega-3 fatty acid content of varieties of fish came from the National Institutes of Health Office of Dietary Supplements (USA)

Supplementary Table 4. Calculations of KC/d from g/day of animal and plant foods¶

Foods	Food sub-categories	kcal/serving	g/serving	kcal/g
Milk (2% fat)		122	244	0.5
Fish		218	170	1.28
Eggs		72	50	1.44
Poultry		187	85	2.91
Red meat		247	85	2.91
Processed meat				
	Salami	222	59	3.76
	Pastrami	104	71	1.46
	Ring baloney	86	28	3.07
	Pepperoni	94	100	0.94
Average processed meat		126.5	64.5	1.96
Fruits		97	162	0.60
Vegetables		59	91	0.65
Legumes		249	179	1.39
Nuts		172	28	6.14
Seeds				
	Flax seeds	55	10	5.5
	Chia seeds	58	12	4.83
	Fennel seeds	34.5	10	3.45
	Hemp seeds	55.3	10	5.53
Average of seeds		50.7	10.5	4.83
Average of nuts and seeds		111.4	19.25	5.78
Corn		99	103	0.96
Potatoes		161	173	0.93
Sweet potatoes		115	151	0.76
Rice		205	158	1.3
Whole grains		120	52	2.31

¶ Source: NutritionIX app[24]

Acknowledgments

- **Authors' contributions:** DKC acts as guarantor; conceived and designed the study, acquired and analysed the data, interpreted the study findings, drafted the manuscript, critically reviewed and edited the manuscript and tables, and approved the final version for publication.

CW designed software programs in R to format and population weight the data, aided with the SAS statistical analysis, critically reviewed the manuscript, and approved the final version for publication.

The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

Copyright: The authors retain the copyright to the paper

The Patient and Public Involvement

● When and how were patients/public first involved in the research?

IHME acquired, catalogued and extracted information from over 12,000 surveys from government and non-government agencies to compile the GBD database.

● How were the research question(s) developed and informed by their priorities, experience, and preferences?

The diverse surveys contributing to the GBD database had many different priorities and intentions unrelated to this post hoc analysis. The current analysis research questions have been

developed to give researchers, policymakers, and the public the methodological tool to quantify the impacts of dietary and other risk factor patterns.

● How were patients/public involved in

(a) the design and conduct of the study?

The way patients/public were involved in the collection of the surveys has not been systematically studied and reported on. Patients/public are the intended beneficiaries of this analysis of the GBD data.

(b) choice of outcome measures?

The outcome measures chosen all relate to human health.

(c) recruitment to the study?

The recruitment methods vary by the survey.

● How were (or will) patients/ public be involved in choosing the methods and agreeing plans for dissemination of the study results to participants and linked communities?

IHME and all the health surveyors that contributed will make these decisions. This analysis of the data will be available to all by open access.

• **Competing interests statement:** Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Transparency declaration: The manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported. No important aspects of the study have been omitted. Any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

Ethics: Studies based solely on data from IHME GBD database do not need approval from any bioethics committee.

• **Funding:** This research received no grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors. The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation funded the acquisition of the data for this analysis by the IHME. The data were provided to the authors as volunteer collaborators with IHME.

•

Details of the role of the study sponsors: While IHME GBD faculty and staff by virtue of Gates Foundation grants provided the raw data for this analysis, they did not vet the analysis or sponsor the manuscript.

Statement of independence of researchers from funders: The researchers have received no funding. Gates Foundation funded IHME to collect or analyse the GBD data.

Dissemination declaration: Dissemination of this manuscript to the participants of the more than 12,000 surveys is not possible individually, but the information will become in the public domain.

Disclosures: We thank Scott Glenn and Brent Bell from IHME who supplied us with the GBD risk factor exposure data for the risk factors and for noncommunicable disease death data.

Ethics: Studies were based solely on data from the IHME GBD database and do not need approval from any bioethics committee.

Participant informed consents: Not applicable.

Author access to data: As volunteer collaborators with the Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation, we received about 1.4 gigabytes of raw data on noncommunicable disease deaths and 32 relevant risk factors.

Data sharing statement: On acceptance for publication by the Lancet, our dataset and SAS codes will be posted on the Mendeley data repository (https://data.mendeley.com/).

Protocol, submitted as a supplementary file: Not applicable.

STROBE checklist: Submitted.

Patient consent*:* Not applicable.

References

1. Keys A, Menotti A, Karvonen MJ, et al. **The diet and 15-year death rate in the seven countries study**. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1986; **124** (6)**:** 903-15. https://academic.oup.com/aje/article-abstract/124/6/903/174332?redirectedFrom=fulltext
2. Teicholz N. **The scientific report guiding the US dietary guidelines: is it scientific?** *BMJ.* 2015; **351**. http://www.bmj.com/bmj/351/bmj.h4962.full.pdf
3. **Outcome of Post-publication review of article by Nina Teicholz**. *BMJ.* 2015; **351:** h4962. https://www.bmj.com/content/351/bmj.h4962/rr-48
4. Zile MH. **Vitamin A-not for your eyes only: requirement for heart formation begins early in embryogenesis**. *Nutrients.* 2010; **2** (5)**:** 532-50.
5. Xing X, Tao F. **[Advance of study on vitamin A deficiency and excess associatied with congenital heart disease]**. *Wei Sheng Yan Jiu.* 2008; **37** (6)**:** 754-6.
6. Saremi A, Arora R. **Vitamin E and cardiovascular disease**. *Am J Ther.* 2010; **17** (3)**:** e56-65.
7. Beulens JWJ, Booth SL, van den Heuvel EGHM, Stoecklin E, Baka A, Vermeer C. **The role of menaquinones (vitamin K2) in human health**. *British Journal of Nutrition.* 2013; **110:** 1357–1368. https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/british-journal-of-nutrition/article/the-role-of-menaquinones-vitamin-k2-in-human-health/5B9F317B526629D8BA77B6435F1E5509
8. Wang L, Song Y, Manson JE, et al. **Circulating 25-Hydroxy-Vitamin D and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies**. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes.* 2012; **5** (6)**:** 819-829. http://circoutcomes.ahajournals.org/content/5/6/819.abstract
9. Fu X, Harshman SG, Shen X, et al. **Multiple Vitamin K Forms Exist in Dairy Foods**. *Current developments in nutrition.* 2017; **1** (6)**:** e000638-e000638. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5998353/
10. Walther B, Chollet M. Vitamin K2 - Vital for Health and Wellbeing. In: Gordeladze JO, ed. Menaquinones, Bacteria, and Foods: Vitamin K2 in the Die: IntechOpen, 2017.
11. Kamao M, Suhara Y, Tsugawa N, et al. **Vitamin K content of foods and dietary vitamin K intake in Japanese young women.** *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo).* 2007; **53** (6)**:** 464-70. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18202532/
12. Kaneki M HS, Hosoi T, Fujiwara S, Lyons A, Crean SJ, Ishida N, Nakagawa M, Takechi M, Sano Y, Mizuno Y, Hoshino S, Miyao M, Inoue S, Horiki K, Shiraki M, Ouchi Y, Orimo H. **Japanese fermented soybean food as the major determinant of the large geographic difference in circulating levels of vitamin K2: possible implications for hip-fracture risk**. *Nutrition.* 2001; **17** (4)**:** 315-21. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11369171/
13. Tamang JP, Cotter PD, Endo A, et al. **Fermented foods in a global age: East meets West**. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety.* 2020; **19** (1)**:** 184-217. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/1541-4337.12520
14. Joseph M. Fermented Soy Products: A Guide To 12 Traditional Foods. Nutrition Advance 2020; Accessed April 11, 2021.

15. Global Burden of Disease Study 2017 (GBD 2017) Data Input Sources Tool. Seattle, Washington: Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation Accessed August 10, 2019. http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-2017/data-input-sources
16. **GBD 2017 Risk Factor Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017: Table of risk factor definitions**. *The Lancet.* 2018; **392** (10159)**:** 1923-94. https://www.thelancet.com/action/showFullTableHTML?isHtml=true&tableId=tbl1&pii=S0140-6736%2818%2932225-6
17. PROTOCOL FOR THE GLOBAL BURDEN OF DISEASES, INJURIES, AND RISK FACTORS STUDY (GBD) Version 3.0; Issue 26. Seattle, Washington: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Accessed August 2, 2019. http://www.healthdata.org/sites/default/files/files/Projects/GBD/GBD_Protocol.pdf
18. Naghavi M, Abajobir AA, Abbafati C, et al. **Global, regional, and national age-sex specific mortality for 264 causes of death, 1980–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016**. *The Lancet.* 2017; **390** (10100)**:** 1151-1210. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32152-9
19. Flaxman AD, Lee YY, Vos T, et al. An Integrative Metaregression Framework for Descriptive Epidemiology. Seattle, WA: University of Washington Press, 2015.
20. GBD 2017 Risk Factor Collaborators. **Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017.** . *The Lancet.* 2018; **392** (10159)**:** 1923-94. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32225-6
21. Call for Collaborators. . Seattle, Washington: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation at the University of Washington 2019; Accessed April 25, 2019. http://www.healthdata.org/gbd/call-for-collaborators
22. Stevens GA, Alkema L, Black PRE, et al. **Guidelines for Accurate and Transparent Health Estimates Reporting: the GATHER statement.** *The Lancet.* 2016; **388** (10062)**:** e19-e23. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30388-9
23. Omega 3 Fatty Acids: Fact Sheet for Health Professionals. The Office of Dietary Supplements 2018; Accessed September 1, 2018. https://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/Omega3FattyAcids-HealthProfessional/
24. Nutritionix Track App. Syndigo LLC Accessed April 25, 2019. https://www.nutritionix.com/
25. Ritchie H, Roser M. **Diet Compositions**. *Our World in Data.* 2017. https://ourworldindata.org/diet-compositions
26. Pu J, Romanelli R, Zhao B, et al. **Dyslipidemia in special ethnic populations**. *Cardiology clinics.* 2015; **33** (2)**:** 325-333. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4421090/
27. Frank ATH, Zhao B, Jose PO, Azar KMJ, Fortmann SP, Palaniappan LP. **Racial/ethnic differences in dyslipidemia patterns**. *Circulation.* 2014; **129** (5)**:** 570-579. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4212818/
28. Mahmood SS, Levy D, Vasan RS, Wang TJ. **The Framingham Heart Study and the epidemiology of cardiovascular disease: a historical perspective**. *Lancet (London,*

England). 2014; **383** (9921)**:** 999-1008. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4159698/

29. Dehghan M, Mente A, Zhang X, et al. **Associations of fats and carbohydrate intake with cardiovascular disease and mortality in 18 countries from five continents (PURE): a prospective cohort study**. *The Lancet.* 2017; **390** (10107)**:** 2050-2062. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32252-3

30. Countries Who Eat The Most Cheese. World Atlas 2021; Accessed March 28, 2021. https://www.worldatlas.com/articles/countries-who-consume-the-most-cheese.html#:~:text=The%20top%20cheese%20consumer%20is,kilograms%20of%20cheese%20per%20capita.

31. Cheese Consumption Per Capita in New Zealand. Czech Republic: Helgi Library, Source: Faostat Accessed April 9, 2021. https://www.helgilibrary.com/indicators/cheese-consumption-per-capita/new-zealand/

32. Khalil Z, Alam B, Akbari AR, Sharma H. **The Medical Benefits of Vitamin K(2) on Calcium-Related Disorders**. *Nutrients.* 2021; **13** (2)**:** 691. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7926526/

33. POTATO PROCESSING AND USES. Lima, Peru: International Potato Center Accessed May 28, 2020. https://cipotato.org/potato/potato-processing-uses/

34. Juul F, Vaidean G, Lin Y, Deierlein Andrea L, Parekh N. **Ultra-Processed Foods and Incident Cardiovascular Disease in the Framingham Offspring Study**. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology.* 2021; **77** (12)**:** 1520-1531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2021.01.047

35. Srour B, Fezeu LK, Kesse-Guyot E, et al. **Ultra-processed food intake and risk of cardiovascular disease: prospective cohort study (NutriNet-Santé)**. *BMJ.* 2019; **365:** l1451. https://www.bmj.com/content/bmj/365/bmj.l1451.full.pdf

36. Monteiro CA, Moubarac JC, Cannon G, Ng SW, Popkin B. **Ultra-processed products are becoming dominant in the global food system**. *Obes Rev.* 2013; **14 Suppl 2:** 21-8.

37. Mozaffarian D, Fahimi S, Singh GM, et al. **Global Sodium Consumption and Death from Cardiovascular Causes**. *New England Journal of Medicine.* 2014; **371** (7)**:** 624-634. https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1304127

38. O'Donnell M, Mente A, Yusuf S. **Sodium Intake and Cardiovascular Health**. *Circulation Research.* 2015; **116** (6)**:** 1046-1057. https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.303771

39. Roseboom T, van der Meulen JHP, Osmond C, et al. **Coronary heart disease after prenatal exposure to the Dutch famine, 1944-45**. *Heart.* 2000; **84** (6)**:** 595-598. https://www.heart.bmj.com/content/84/6/595

40. Dieterich CM, Felice JP, O'Sullivan E, Rasmussen KM. **Breastfeeding and health outcomes for the mother-infant dyad**. *Pediatric clinics of North America.* 2013; **60** (1)**:** 31-48. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3508512/

41. Ambient (outdoor) air pollution. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization 2018; Accessed March 24, 2021. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ambient-(outdoor)-air-quality-and-health

42. Tobacco responsible for 20% of deaths from coronary heart disease Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization 2020; Accessed March 2, 2021. https://www.who.int/news/item/22-09-2020-tobacco-responsible-for-20-of-deaths-from-coronary-heart-disease

43. Vaziri ND. **Mechanisms of lead-induced hypertension and cardiovascular disease**. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology.* 2008; **295** (2)**:** H454-H465. https://journals.physiology.org/doi/abs/10.1152/ajpheart.00158.2008

44. Lanphear BP, Rauch S, Auinger P, Allen RW, Hornung RW. **Low-level lead exposure and mortality in US adults: a population-based cohort study**. *The Lancet Public Health.* 2018; **3** (4)**:** e177-e184. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(18)30025-2

45. Ioannidis JA. **The challenge of reforming nutritional epidemiologic research**. *JAMA.* 2018; **320** (10)**:** 969-970. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.11025

46. **Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017**. *Lancet.* 2018; **392** (10159)**:** 1736-1788. https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(18)32203-7/fulltext

47. Afshin A, Sur PJ, Fay KA, et al. **Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017**. *The Lancet.* 2019; **393** (10184)**:** 1958-1972. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30041-8