

1 **Visceral Leishmaniasis in pregnancy and vertical transmission: A**
2 **systematic literature review on the therapeutic orphans**

3 Prabin Dahal^{1,2*}, Sauman Singh-Phulgenda^{1,2}, Brittany J Maguire^{1,2}, Eli Harriss³, Koert

4 Ritmeijer⁴, Fabiana Alves⁵, Philippe J Guerin^{1,2}, Piero L Olliaro²

5 ¹Infectious Diseases Data Observatory (IDDO), Oxford, UK

6 ²Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine,
7 University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

8 ³The Knowledge Centre, Bodleian Health Care Libraries, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

9 ⁴Médecins Sans Frontières, Amsterdam, Netherlands

10 ⁵Drugs for Neglected Diseases initiative, Geneva, Switzerland

11

12 **Running title: Visceral Leishmaniasis in pregnancy**

13 Email addresses:

14 PD prabin.dahal@iddo.org

15 SSP sauman.singh@iddo.org

16 BJM brittany.maguire@iddo.org

17 EH eli.harriss@bodleian.ox.ac.uk

18 KR koert.ritmeijer@amsterdam.msf.org

19 FA falves@dndi.org

20 PJG philippe.guerin@iddo.org

21 PLO piero.olliaro@ndm.ox.ac.uk

22

23 **Keywords:** Visceral leishmaniasis, pregnancy, vertical transmission, amphotericin B,

24 pentavalent antimony, pharmacovigilance, drug safety, neglected tropical diseases

25

26

27 *Correspondence to:

28 prabin.dahal@iddo.org

29 Infectious Diseases Data Observatory (IDDO), Oxford, UK

30 **Abstract**

31 **Background:** Reports on the occurrence and outcome of Visceral Leishmaniasis (VL) in
32 pregnant women is rare in published literature. The occurrence of VL in pregnancy is not
33 systematically captured and cases are rarely followed-up to detect consequences of
34 infection and treatment on the mother and foetus.

35 **Methods:** A review of all published literature was undertaken to identify cases of VL
36 infections during pregnancy by searching the following database: Ovid MEDLINE®; Ovid
37 Embase; Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews; Cochrane Central Register of Controlled
38 Trials; World Health Organization Global Index Medicus: LILACS (Americas); IMSEAR (South-
39 East Asia); IMEMR (Eastern Mediterranean); WPRIM (Western Pacific); ClinicalTrials.gov;
40 and the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform. Selection criteria included any
41 clinical reports describing the disease in pregnancy or vertical transmission of the disease in
42 humans. Articles meeting pre-specified inclusion criteria and non-primary research articles
43 such as textbook, chapters, letters, retrospective case description, or reports of accidental
44 inclusion in trials were also considered.

45 **Results:** We screened 272 publications and identified a total of 70 records (1926–2020)
46 describing 447 VL cases in pregnant women. The disease was detected during pregnancy in
47 394 (88.1%), retrospectively confirmed after giving birth in 52 (11.6%), and the time of
48 identification was not clear in 1 (0.2%). Of the 394 mothers whose infection was identified
49 during pregnancy, 344 (89.1%) received a treatment, 3 (0.8%) were untreated, and the
50 treatment status was not clear in the remaining 47 (12.2%). Of 344 mothers, Liposomal
51 Amphotericin B (L-AmB) was administered in 202 (58.7%) and pentavalent antimony (PA) in
52 92 (26.7%). Outcomes were reported in 176 mothers treated L-AmB with 4 (2.3%) reports of
53 maternal deaths, 5 (2.8%) miscarriages, and 2 (1.1%) foetal death/stillbirth. For PA,
54 outcomes were reported in 87 mothers of whom 4 (4.6%) died, 24 (27.6%) had spontaneous
55 abortion, 2 (2.3%) had miscarriages. A total of 26 cases of confirmed, probable or suspected
56 cases of vertical transmission were identified and the median time to detection was 6
57 months (range: 0–18 months).

58 **Conclusions:** Outcomes of VL treatment during pregnancy is rarely reported and under-
59 researched. When it is reported, information is often incomplete and it is difficult to derive
60 generalisable information on outcomes for mothers and babies, although reported data
61 favours the usage of liposomal amphotericin B for the treatment of VL in pregnant women.

62 **Author summary**

63 Visceral Leishmaniasis (VL) is a neglected tropical disease with an estimated incidence of
64 50,000 to 90,000 cases in 2019. Women who are susceptible to becoming pregnant or those
65 who are pregnant and lactating are regularly excluded from clinical studies of VL. A specific
66 concern of public health relevance is the little knowledge of the consequences of VL and its
67 treatment on the mother and the foetus. We did a systematic review of all published
68 literature with an overarching aim of identifying cases of VL in pregnancy and assess the
69 risk-benefit balance of antileishmanial therapies to the mother and the child. We identified
70 a total of 70 records (1926–2020) describing 447 VL cases in pregnant women. In 394
71 mothers, infection was identified during pregnancy of whom 202 received Liposomal
72 Amphotericin B (L-AmB) and 92 received pentavalent antimony (PA). Reports of maternal
73 deaths, abortion, and miscarriages were proportionally lower among those who received L-
74 AmB compared to PA regimens. A total of 26 cases of confirmed, probable or suspected
75 cases of vertical transmission were identified and the median time to detection was 6
76 months (range: 0–18 months). Our review brings together scattered observations of VL in
77 pregnant women in the clinical literature and clearly highlights that the disease in pregnancy
78 is under-reported and under-studied. Our findings indicate that L-AmB should be the
79 preferred treatment for VL during pregnancy.

80 Introduction

81 Visceral Leishmaniasis (VL) is a neglected tropical disease caused by *Leishmania* sp. parasites
82 transmitted by female sandflies. The disease is endemic in parts of South Asia, East Africa,
83 South America and the Mediterranean region with an estimated 50,000 to 90,000 cases in
84 2019 [1]. A specific concern of public health relevance is the little knowledge of the clinical
85 aspects of VL and treatment outcomes in pregnant and lactating women [2].

86 In pregnancy, VL diagnosis relies essentially on symptoms and serology as parasite detection
87 by splenic aspiration is not recommended because of the risk for the foetus. More severe
88 anaemia and increased requirements for blood transfusion have been reported for pregnant
89 women infected with VL [2]. Case management must take into account the consequences of
90 the disease and the therapeutic intervention on the mother-foetus pair [3]. Of note, except
91 amphotericin B, all other available drugs are either contraindicated or subjected to
92 restricted use in pregnant and lactating women and in women of child-bearing age (Table 1)
93 [4–6]. Further complexities arise from potential vertical transmission of the disease either
94 congenitally (maternal–foetal transmission *in utero*) or through transplacental infection as a
95 result of blood exchange during labour. While vertical transmission of VL is well-studied and
96 established in animal studies, reports in humans are sporadic with observations of clinical
97 manifestation several months post-partum [7–10]. Such vertical transmission can induce *in*
98 *utero* death or can be potentially deleterious to the foetus and infant [6,9,11].

99 The regulatory restrictions and limited evidence on safety of antileishmanial
100 chemotherapeutics on the mother-foetus pair meant that historically clinicians had to rely
101 on personal experience or limited published case-reports to make a decision. This led to
102 some clinicians delaying the treatment of pregnant women until after delivery, especially

103 when the case was detected closer to the due date [12,13]. Others had treated them when
104 the adjudicated risk of VL to the mother outweighed the risk posed by the drug to the
105 mother-foetus pair [14]. Similar delays in treatment of pregnant mothers has also been
106 reported in post kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis (PKDL) [15,16]. Currently liposomal
107 amphotericin B (L-AmB) remains the preferred regimen for the treatment in pregnancy
108 (Table 1). However, pregnant and lactating women are regularly excluded from clinical
109 studies [17] and are considered “therapeutic orphans” [18]. In studies that enrol females of
110 childbearing age, counselling measures are usually set in place to inform the patients
111 regarding the potential teratogenic harms of study drugs and either adoption of suitable
112 contraception methods or observance of abstinence is mandatory (for example in
113 miltefosine trials) [17]. In regular clinical practice and non-clinical trial settings, pregnancy
114 tests and counselling however, might not be done routinely. A study conducted in South
115 Asia found that only one in every six doctors ruled out pregnancy before prescribing
116 miltefosine [19].

117 Finally, there is a lack of active pregnancy registries for most of the antileishmanial drugs
118 expect for miltefosine. In the context of Impavo® (Profunda Inc.), the commercial name of
119 miltefosine registered to the US medicines regulatory agency (US Food Drug
120 Administration), a pregnancy registry was established to fulfil post marketing requirements
121 [20,21]. The recruitment of pregnant women as part of the observational study started in
122 2015 and the study is expected to be completed in 2026, and is estimated to recruit 0–1
123 patients per year over the 10 year study period, hence unlikely to generate a large volume
124 of new safety data [20]. There are no other active pregnancy registries on exposures to VL
125 treatments from which to derive information on consequences on gestation, mother,

126 foetus, and the newborn. Therefore, to understand the risks and benefits of treatment to
127 the mother and the child, one must turn to the published literature.

128 The most comprehensive reviews on VL in pregnant women were conducted in the mid
129 2000s [9,22]. We therefore conducted a systematic review of all published literature with an
130 overarching aim of identifying cases of VL in pregnancy. The specific objectives were to
131 assess the risk-benefit balance of antileishmanial therapies to the mother and the child and
132 to identify the cases of vertical transmission. The review was not limited by language or any
133 interventions.

134 **Table 1: Antileishmanial usage during pregnancy**

Drug	Indication	FDA Category (reviewed in [23,24])
<p>Pentavalent antimonials: Pentostam (Sodium Stibogluconate)</p>	<p>“Although no effects on the foetus have been reported, Pentostam should be withheld during pregnancy unless the potential benefits to the patient outweigh the possible risk to the foetus. Children should not be breast-fed by mothers receiving Pentostam”</p> <p>– Source: The EMC [25]</p> <p>“Pentavalent antimonials are less safe in pregnancy, as they can result in spontaneous abortion, preterm deliveries and hepatic encephalopathy in the mother and vertical transmission”</p> <p>– Source: WHO-2010 [26]</p>	<p>C (Risks cannot be ruled out)</p>
<p>Amphotericin B deoxycholate</p>	<p>“Animal reproduction studies have failed to demonstrate a risk to the foetus and there are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women.”</p> <p>“Amphotericin B deoxycholate and lipid formulations are the best therapeutic options for visceral leishmaniasis. No abortions or vertical transmission have been reported in mothers treated with liposomal amphotericin”</p> <p>– Source: WHO-2010 [26]</p>	<p>B (No evidence of risk in studies)</p>
<p>Liposomal amphotericin B (AmBisome)</p>	<p>“Animal studies do not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to reproductive toxicity. The safety of AmBisome in pregnant women has not been established. Systemic fungal infections have been successfully treated in pregnant women with conventional amphotericin B without obvious effect on the foetus, but the number of cases reported is insufficient to draw any conclusions on the safety of AmBisome in pregnancy. AmBisome should only be used during pregnancy if the possible benefits to be derived outweigh the potential risks to the mother and foetus. It is unknown whether AmBisome is excreted in human breast milk. A decision on whether to breastfeed while receiving AmBisome should take into account the potential risk to the child as well as the benefit of breast feeding for the child and the benefit of AmBisome therapy for the mother”</p> <p>–Source: The EMC [27]</p> <p>“Amphotericin B deoxycholate and lipid formulations are the best therapeutic options for visceral leishmaniasis. No abortions or vertical transmission have been reported in mothers treated with liposomal amphotericin”</p> <p>– Source: The WHO-2010 [26]</p> <p>This is the first line therapy for treatment against pregnancy in Kenya, Ethiopia, Somalia, Sudan, South Sudan, Uganda, and Brazil</p> <p>– Source: The WHO [28]</p>	<p>B (No evidence of risk in studies)</p>
<p>Pentamidine</p>	<p>Contraindicated during the first trimester of pregnancy</p> <p>– Source: WHO-2010 [26]</p>	<p>C (Risks cannot be ruled out)</p>

<p>Miltefosine (Impavido)</p>	<p>Contraindicated in pregnancy: “Impavido may cause foetal harm. Foetal death and teratogenicity occurred in animals administered miltefosine at doses lower than the recommended human dose. Do not administer IMPAVIDO to pregnant women. Obtain a serum or urine pregnancy test in females of reproductive potential prior to prescribing IMPAVIDO. Females of reproductive potential should be advised to use effective contraception during IMPAVIDO therapy and for 5 months after therapy”</p> <p>– Source: The US FDA Impavido prescribing information [29]</p> <p>“Miltefosine is potentially embryotoxic and teratogenic and should not be used during pregnancy. Women of child-bearing age should be tested for pregnancy before treatment and use effective contraception for 3 months after treatment”</p> <p>– Source: WHO-2010 [26]</p>	<p>D (Positive evidence or risk)</p>
<p>Paromomycin (aminosidine)</p>	<p>“Ototoxicity in the foetus is the main concern. Insufficient data are available on the use of paromomycin in pregnant women”</p> <p>– Source: WHO-2010 [26]</p> <p>“Paromomycin crosses the placenta and can cause renal and auditory damage in the unborn child. Paromomycin is excreted in breast milk and adverse effects in the breastfed infant cannot be excluded.”</p> <p>– Source: National guidelines of Kenya-2017 [30]</p>	<p>No category assigned</p>

136 **Material and Methods**

137 **Literature search**

138 A review of all published literature was undertaken on 26th of March 2020 to identify
139 records describing VL in pregnant women or any reports of vertical transmission of the
140 disease in humans by searching the following clinical databases: Ovid MEDLINE®; Ovid
141 Embase; Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews; Cochrane Central Register of Controlled
142 Trials; World Health Organization Global Index Medicus: LILACS (Americas); IMSEAR (South-
143 East Asia); IMEMR (Eastern Mediterranean); WPRIM (Western Pacific); ClinicalTrials.gov;
144 and the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP). The systematic review
145 was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic-Reviews
146 and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (S1 Text)[31]. In addition, full text screening of the
147 publications indexed in the Infectious Diseases Data Observatory (IDDO) clinical trials library
148 was carried out to identify any description of VL in pregnant women [32]. The references of
149 all included publications were further checked to identify any relevant articles. This review is
150 not registered and the protocol describing the search strategy including database search
151 strings, search dates and eligibility criteria for screening is presented in supplemental file (S2
152 Text).

153 **Study screening**

154 Study screening was carried out in two stages to identify the studies fulfilling the inclusion
155 and exclusion criteria (S2 Text): title and abstract screening (stage I) and then full-text
156 screening (stage II). As reports on VL in pregnancy are sparse, articles meeting minimal
157 inclusion criteria and non-primary research articles such as opinion pieces, clinical
158 guidelines, textbooks, chapters, correspondences, reports of accidental inclusion in trials, or

159 case reports of unplanned pregnancies during the study follow-up were also considered for
160 comprehensiveness. No restrictions were applied regarding study design, follow-up
161 duration, sample size, region, or the treatment regimen for eligibility of inclusion in this
162 review. Title and abstracts were screened in the first stage, followed by screening the full-
163 texts. Articles that were not in English language (Spanish, Portuguese, Korean, and German)
164 were evaluated using google translation (<https://translate.google.co.uk/>).

165 The articles were screened against eligibility criteria by a single reviewer (PD). A second
166 reviewer was consulted (SSP) when the first reviewer couldn't reliably assess the eligibility.
167 The first reviewer (PD) extracted data from all the eligible records and it was verified by the
168 second reviewer (SSP) (who was not blinded) on all publications included in the review. Any
169 discrepancy in the extracted information was flagged by the second reviewer and the
170 differences were resolved through consensus. Screening and data extraction was carried out
171 on a prospectively designed Excel database.

172 **Data extraction**

173 The following bibliographic information were extracted: study title, name of the first author,
174 year of publication, name of the study site and country. The following maternal and child
175 characteristics were extracted: age of the mother, period of gestation (or trimester),
176 treatment administered including drug dosage, follow-up duration, the outcome of the
177 treatment for mother (cured, relapsed, death), and foetal outcomes (abortion, stillbirth,
178 premature birth, healthy born, vertical transmission).

179 **Definitions**

180 The records were classified as: case report/case series, prospective cohort or retrospective
181 cohort studies. Records describing one or a small group of patients included as a part of
182 prospective (or retrospective) studies in which VL in pregnancy was not of primary focus

183 were considered as case report/case series. Similarly, studies that described a cohort of
184 pregnant women without selection of a non-pregnant comparator group were also
185 considered as case series. Countries were classified into sub-regions according to United
186 Nations designation of geographical regions [33].

187 **Data analysis**

188 Since majority of the studies included were either case reports or case series, analysis of
189 data was restricted to presentation of descriptive statistics and meta-analysis was not
190 carried out. Descriptive summaries were presented for the characteristics of the studies
191 included in the review, maternal characteristics (trimester, gestational age), treatment
192 regimen including dosage and duration, clinical outcomes on the mother and the child.
193 Graphics were generated using R software [34].

194 **Assessment of risk of bias**

195 The risk of bias in case report/case series was assessed using a checklist proposed in Murad-
196 2018 [35]. The following domain were assessed: patient selection, ascertainment of
197 exposure, outcome assessment, adequacy of follow-up, and reporting of results. A single
198 case report was considered to be at a high risk of selection bias whereas a series of cases
199 selected based on an audit of complete records over a study period was considered to be at
200 a low risk of selection bias. Bias in ascertainment of exposure was considered to be high if
201 the diagnosis of VL was based solely on clinical features. For cohort studies (prospective or
202 retrospective), risk of bias was assessed using The Newcastle-Ottawa scale. Two authors
203 (PD, SSP) independently assessed the risk of bias in the studies included.

204 **Results**

205 We identified 395 records from the literature searches up until 26th of March 2020, of which
206 272 were unique after removing duplicate entries. Of the 272 unique records, 99 were
207 excluded at title and abstract screening stage (Fig 1) leaving 173 records for full-text
208 assessment of which 53 met the eligibility criteria for inclusion in the review (Fig 1). An
209 additional 17 records were identified by searching the references of the eligible records and
210 through personal communication. A total of 70 records published from 1926 through 2020
211 were included in this review of which 69 were case-reports or case-series and 1 was a
212 retrospective cohort study with non-pregnant patient as a comparative group (Table 2).
213 Further details on the studies included in this review are presented in supplemental files (S1
214 Data, S2 Data).

215

216 **Fig 1: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow**
217 **diagram of publications screened**

218

219 **Spatial distribution**

220 A total of 21 (30.0%) records were from Europe, 21 (30.0%) from Southern Asia, 13 (18.6%)
221 from South America, 8 (11.4%) from Northern America, 5 (7.1%) from Eastern Africa, and 1
222 (1.4%) record each were from Eastern and Western Asia. There were 16 records from India
223 (22.8%), 13 (18.6%) from Brazil, 8 (11.4%) from Sudan, and further breakdown by country is
224 presented in Fig 2 (left panel). There were 62 (88.6%) records in English language, 7 (10.0%)
225 in Portuguese, and 1 (1.4%) in French. The 70 records included in this review described 447
226 cases of VL in pregnant women, of whom 159 (35.6%) were from Sudan, 113 (25.3%) from

227 South Sudan, 80 (17.9%) from India, 23 (5.1%) from Bangladesh, 20 (4.5%) from Brazil, 12
228 (2.7%) from Italy, 10 (2.2%) from Uganda, and the rest of the breakdown is presented in Fig
229 2 (right panel).

230 **Fig 2: Number of records and patients by country of origin**

231

232 **Treatment regimens**

233 Of the 447 pregnant mothers identified, the disease was detected during pregnancy in 394
234 (88.1%), retrospectively confirmed after giving birth in 52 (11.6%), and the time of
235 identification was not clear in one (0.2%). Ten (2.2%) were suspected of having carried the
236 infection during their pregnancy, of whom 6 were cases of sub-clinical persistence of the
237 parasites without the mother ever suffering from the disease previously. One case of
238 oligosymptomatic mother was identified in Brazil [36] (Table 2). Of the 394 mothers whose
239 infection was identified during pregnancy, 344 (89.1%) received a treatment, 3 (0.8%) were
240 untreated, and the treatment status was not clear in the remaining 47 (12.2%) (Table 2).
241 Description of characteristics and outcomes among 344 mothers who were treated and 3
242 untreated mothers are presented next.

243 **Liposomal Amphotericin B (n=202)**

244 There were 5 (2.5%) mothers treated in the first trimester, 8 (4.0%) in second trimester, 9
245 (4.5%) in third trimester, and the time in pregnancy was not clear in 180 (89.1%). Survival
246 status was not reported or was unclear in 26 (12.9%) mothers and from the remaining 176
247 mothers, a total of four (2.3%) maternal deaths were reported. There were a total of 5
248 (2.9%) miscarriages (trimester not clear), 1 (0.6%) foetal death (from a mother in 1st
249 trimester), 1 (0.6%) stillbirth (trimester not clear), and 1 (0.6%) premature birth (trimester

250 not clear). Three cases of vertical transmission were identified [37–39]: one was detected
251 immediately after vaginal birth (the baby was treated with L-AmB and recovered
252 successfully), another at 11 months after birth (treatment information not available), and
253 for the third case, vertical transmission was suspected at 8 months after birth (treated with
254 sodium stibogluconate 20 mg/kg IV for 20 days and discharged) (Table 3).

255 **Pentavalent antimony (n=92)**

256 There were 20 (21.7%) mothers in the first trimester, 45 (48.9%) in the second, 22 (23.9%) in
257 the third, and the time in pregnancy was not clear in 5 (5.4%). Survival status was available
258 on 87 (94.6%) mothers of whom 4 (4.6%, 4/87) died due to hepatic encephalopathy [40].
259 There were 24 (27.6%) abortions (or spontaneous abortions) [13,41], 2 (2.3%) miscarriages,
260 2 (2.3%) pre-term births [40,42], and 1 (1.1%) mother required splenectomy after delivery
261 due to poor recovery [43]. One of the babies died due to myelomeningocele 3 hours after
262 birth [40], another died one day after being born [44], another died due to VL at 2 months
263 [40], and one was born with Down's syndrome to a 47 years old mother [40]. There were 3
264 cases of vertical transmission identified [45–47], detected at 6, 7, and 12 months after birth.
265 All three of them were treated with PA; 1 baby died (who was born with signs of intra-
266 uterine growth retardation and was diagnosed with vertical VL at 7 months) and the other
267 two survived (Table 3).

268 **Amphotericin B deoxycholate (n=20)**

269 Of the 20 mothers treated with amphotericin B deoxycholate, 3 (15.0%) were in their first
270 trimester, 6 (30.0%) in the second, 3 (15.0%) in the third, and the trimester was not clear in
271 8 (40.0%). There was one (5.0%) maternal death after 7 days of treatment due to
272 haemorrhagic complications occurring after delivery (the mother was in 28.7 ± 7.8 weeks of

273 pregnancy—exact time not available) [48]. The remaining 19 mothers were discharged alive.
274 The delivery of babies was described as normal for 18 mothers, haemorrhagic complication
275 occurred in a mother after delivery (as described earlier) [48], and the information was not
276 reported on 1. There was no evidence of vertical transmission of the disease in 12 (60.0%)
277 babies in whom the information was reported. Nineteen of the children were alive and the
278 survival status for 1 was missing.

279 **Pentavalent antimony plus paromomycin (aminosidine) (n=11)**

280 Eleven pregnant mothers were treated with sodium stibogluconate plus aminosidine
281 (paromomycin) [14,49]. Information regarding trimester, maternal survival status, or vertical
282 transmission were not available. One spontaneous abortion was reported [49].

283 **Liposomal amphotericin B plus pentavalent antimony (n=4)**

284 Four mothers were treated with the combination regimen [50], of whom two in the second
285 trimester and two in their third. L-AmB was administered at 3–7 mg/kg daily on days 1, 6, 11
286 and 16 (or on days 1, 2, 3, 4, 10 and 15), followed by 20 mg/kg sodium stibogluconate
287 intramuscularly once daily for 30 days. All four mothers were discharged alive. At discharge,
288 one mother delivered a healthy baby and the remaining three were still pregnant – no
289 follow-up data was available.

290 **Paromomycin (aminosidine) (n=3)**

291 Three pregnant mothers were treated with paromomycin administered by deep gluteal
292 intramuscular injection once daily for 21 consecutive days [51]. The delivery was described
293 as normal for all three with normal healthy babies at birth and all three mothers were alive.

294 **Unclear drug name (n=12)**

295 Two publications described one case each without reporting the name of the drug
296 administered [52,53]. In an article, the number of mothers (n=10) allocated to each drug
297 arm (pentavalent antimony or amphotericin B deoxycholate) was not clear [54]. Two
298 (16.7%) of the mothers were in their third trimester and the status was unknown for the
299 remaining 10 (83.3%). There were two (16.7%) maternal deaths [54] and two cases of
300 vertical transmission [52,53]. The first one was identified at 8 months after birth and
301 another at 6 weeks after birth (the baby died after 3 days). Both babies were administered
302 treatment upon detection of VL.

303 **Untreated (n=3)**

304 Three cases of VL identified during pregnancy were untreated [12,55,56]. Treatment was
305 deferred until after delivery due to safety concerns in one study [12]; there were signs of
306 intra-uterine growth retardation requiring emergency C-section, and both mother and the
307 child were alive. The second mother was not treated due to lack of adequate hospital
308 resources [55]; the baby born to the mother died after 2 months due to malnutrition with
309 no evidence of vertical transmission. In the third case, VL was diagnosed but the mother
310 died after giving birth and before treatment could be administered [56]; the baby also died
311 and foetal part/placenta examination revealed presence of Leishman Donovan bodies by
312 PCR indicating vertical transmission.

313 **Confirmed/probable/suspected vertical transmission**

314 We identified a total of 26 cases of confirmed, probable or suspected cases of vertical
315 transmission (Table 3). The median time to detect vertically transmitted VL was 6 months
316 (range: 0–18 months). Eleven children were born to mothers in whom the disease status

317 was confirmed during their pregnancy (3 were treated with L-AmB, 3 were treated with PA,
318 the drug name was not clear in 2, 1 was untreated and the treatment status was not clear in
319 remaining 2). Histopathological examination of the placenta confirmed the vertical
320 transmission of the disease in two cases [47,56] and this was not reported for the remaining
321 cases. Treatment status was described in 18 children, of whom 11 received pentavalent
322 antimony, 6 received L-AmB and 1 received amphotericin b deoxycholate. Two of the
323 children died (one received pentavalent antimony and the drug name was not clear in the
324 other).

325 **Risk of bias assessment**

326 Of the 69 case reports/case series, 48 (69.6%) were considered to be at a high risk of bias in
327 patient selection, 9 (13.0%) were at high risk of exposure (confirmed VL status)
328 ascertainment bias, 12 (17.4%) were at high risk of outcome ascertainment bias, 13 (18.8%)
329 at high risk of incomplete reporting bias, and 14 (20.3%) studies were at a high risk of bias
330 due to inadequate follow-up (See S1 Table). One retrospective cohort study with a
331 comparative group of non-pregnant patient group was considered of high quality.

332 Table 2: Description of reported 447 cases of VL in pregnant or lactating women

Author-year	Country	Time of detection/description	Number of mother(s)	Trimester	Description of maternal treatment	Pregnancy outcome
Low and Cooke-1926 [45]	UK	During pregnancy	1	3	Urea Stibamine	Normal delivery
Hindle-1928 [57]	China	Retrospectively suspected	1	Not applicable (retrospective)	No information	No description
Hindle-1928 [57]	China	Retrospectively suspected	1	Not applicable (retrospective)	No information	No description
Banerji-1955 [46]	India	During pregnancy	1	2	Treated with 10 IV Urea Stibamine	Remission of fever
el-Saaran-1979 [43]	UAE	During pregnancy	1	2	Pentostam: 6 ml IV daily for ten days, at intervals of ten days to a total of 180 ml	Did not recover; Splenectomy performed after birth
Rees-1984 [58]	Kenya	During pregnancy	1	No information	Pentostam	No information
Blanc-1984 [59]	France	Retrospectively identified	1	Not applicable (retrospective)	Treatment with N-methylglucamine (antimony) for 10 days	Normal delivery
Badarao-1986 [60]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	3	Untreated (posthumous diagnosis)	Mother died 5 weeks later
Mittal-1987 [52]	Indian	During pregnancy	1	3	Drug name not stated	Normal term delivery
Nyakundi-1988 [61]	Sudan	During pregnancy	1	Not clear	Unclear	Premature birth at 6 months of gestation
Yadav-1989 [62]	India	Retrospectively identified (symptoms shown during pregnancy)	1	2	Not treated (herbal medicine were given)	Normal and uneventful delivery
Elamin & Omer 1992 [53]	Sudan	During pregnancy	1	3	Drug name not stated	Normal delivery
Eltoum-1992 [47]	Sudan	During pregnancy	1	2	SSG: 10 mg/kg/daily for 30 days	Normal delivery
Eltoum-1992 [47]	Sudan	During pregnancy	1	2	Not clear	Abortion of a female foetus (5 months old and 32cm in height)
Seaman-1993 [14]	Sudan	During pregnancy	3	Not clear	SSG 20 mg/kg/day for 30 days	No information

Seaman-1993 [14]	Sudan	During pregnancy	3	Not clear	SSG + Aminosalicylic acid (20 mg/kg/day SSG for 17 days + 15 mg/kg of Aminosalicylic acid for 17 days)	No information
Thakur-1993 [86]	India	During pregnancy	1	2	Amphotericin B (1 mg/kg body weight daily starting with 0.5 mg/kg body weight till a total dose of 20 mg/kg)	Normal delivery
Thakur-1993 [63]	India	During pregnancy	1	2	Amphotericin B (1 mg/kg body weight daily starting with 0.5 mg/kg body weight till a total dose of 20 mg/kg)	Normal delivery
Thakur-1993 [63]	India	During pregnancy	1	1	Amphotericin B (1 mg/kg body weight daily starting with 0.5 mg/kg body weight till a total dose of 20 mg/kg)	Normal delivery
Thakur-1993 [63]	India	During pregnancy	1	1	Amphotericin B (1 mg/kg body weight daily starting with 0.5 mg/kg body weight till a total dose of 20 mg/kg)	Normal delivery
Thakur-1993 [63]	India	During pregnancy	1	2	Amphotericin B (1 mg/kg body weight daily starting with 0.5 mg/kg body weight till a total dose of 20 mg/kg)	Normal delivery
Giri-1993 [64]	India	During pregnancy	1	3	Amphotericin B	Normal term delivery
Gradoni-1994 [65]	Italy	During pregnancy	1	2	L-AmB total dose of 18 mg/kg	Normal delivery
Gradoni-1994 [65]	Italy	Retrospectively identified (treated after delivery)	1	Not applicable (retrospective)	Untreated (diagnosed after birth); treated with 18 mg/kg/day PA after birth	Normal delivery
Jeronimo-1994 [66]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	No information	Meglumine antimoniate (20 mg/kg/day for 20 days)	No information
Utili-1995 [8]	Italy	During pregnancy	1	2	Meglumine antimoniate (12 mg/kg for 20 days)	Normal term birth (patient delivered a baby weighing 4.2 kg at 41 weeks of pregnancy)
Sharma-1996 [67]	India	Retrospectively identified	1	Not applicable (retrospective)	Untreated	Normal delivery
Thakur-1998 [91]	India	During pregnancy	1	No information	Amphotericin B deoxycholate (total dose 20 mg/kg)	Normal delivery

Thakur-1998 [68]	India	During pregnancy	1	No information	Amphotericin B deoxycholate (total dose 20 mg/kg)	Normal delivery
Thakur-1999 [69]	India	During pregnancy	1	No information	Amphotericin B (total dose 20 mg/kg)	Normal delivery
Thakur-1999 [69]	India	During pregnancy	1	No information	Amphotericin B (total dose 20 mg/kg)	Normal delivery
Thakur-1999 [69]	India	During pregnancy	1	No information	Amphotericin B (total dose 20 mg/kg)	Normal delivery
Thakur-1999 [69]	India	During pregnancy	1	No information	Amphotericin B (total dose 20 mg/kg)	Normal delivery
Meinecke-1999 [70]	Germany	Retrospectively identified	1	Not applicable (retrospective)	Untreated (retrospective identification)	Complicated pregnancy with febrile gastroenteritis; birth weight was 3,720 g
Viana-2001 [71]	Brazil	Retrospectively identified	2	Not applicable (retrospective)	Untreated (retrospective identification)	One preterm birth
Kumar-2001 [12]	India	During pregnancy	1	3	Untreated (treatment deferred until birth)	Intrauterine growth retardation small for age baby; Emergency C-section required
Dereure-2003 [72]	France	During pregnancy (routine check-up)	1	2	L-AmB (3 mg/kg daily for five days; a 6th injection 10 days later)	Normal term birth
Caldas-2003 [73]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	1	Amphotericin B (1mg/kg for 14 days)	Normal term birth
Silveria-2003 [44]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	2	Meglumine antimoniate (850mg/day for 20 days)	Premature birth
Pagliano-2003 [74]	Italy	During pregnancy	2	No information	L-AmB	Normal delivery
Kumar-2004 [75]	Iran	Retrospectively identified (after death of mother-child)	1	3	Untreated (posthumous diagnosis)	Death
Pagliano-2005 [9]	Italy	During pregnancy	1	Not clear	L-AmB (3 mg/kg at days 1-5 & 3 mg/kg at day 10)	Healthy term birth
Pagliano-2005 [9]	Italy	During pregnancy	1	Not clear	L-AmB (3 mg/kg at days 1-5 & 3 mg/kg at day 10)	Healthy term birth
Pagliano-2005 [9]	Italy	During pregnancy	1	Not clear	L-AmB (3 mg/kg at days 1-5 & 3 mg/kg at day 10)	Healthy term birth
Pagliano-2005 [9]	Italy	During pregnancy	1	Not clear	L-AmB (3 mg/kg at days 1-5 & 3 mg/kg at day 10)	Healthy term birth

Pagliano-2005 [9]	Italy	During pregnancy	1	Not clear	L-AmB (3 mg/kg at days 1–5 & 3 mg/kg at day 10)	Healthy term birth
Figueiró Filho-2005 [76]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	3	L-AmB (1 mg /kg/day for 21 days)	Normal birth at 38 weeks with baby weighing 2,995g
Mueller-2006 [50]	Sudan	During pregnancy	23	11 in first; 8 in second; 4 in third	SSG: 20 mg/kg for 30 days	13 spontaneous abortion during days 13 to 30 of SSG; 1 spontaneous abortion prior to treatment; 1 healthy baby born; remaining 8 still pregnant at discharge
Mueller-2006 [50]	Sudan	During pregnancy	4	2 in second; and 2 in third	L-AmB + SSG (AmBisome 3–7 mg/kg daily on days 1, 6, 11 and 16 (or on days 1,2,3,4,10, and 15), followed by 20 mg/kg SSG IM once daily for 30d)	1 healthy baby born; Remaining 3 were still pregnant at discharge
Mueller-2006 [50]	Sudan	During pregnancy	12	2 in first; 6 in second; 4 in third	L-AmB (AmBisome 3–7 mg/kg daily on days 1, 6, 11 and 16 (or on days 1,2,3,4,10, and 15))	Premature birth (n=1); Two healthy babies; Remaining 9 still pregnant at discharge
Boehme-2006 [77]	Germany	Possibly before pregnancy	1	Not applicable (retrospective)	No treatment given (retrospective speculation)	Spontaneous birth at 39 weeks of gestation and healthy baby delivered
Mueller-2007 [78]	Sudan	During pregnancy	5	No information	AmBisome: Six doses of 2.5–8.2 mg/kg on days 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15	No information
Viera-2007 [55]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	3	Untreated	Baby died 2 months after birth
Topno-2008 [79]	India	During pregnancy	1	2	Amphotericin B (15 infusions of 1 mg/kg)	Normal term birth
Topno-2008 [79]	India	During pregnancy	1	2	Amphotericin B (15 infusions of 1 mg/kg)	Normal term birth
Topno-2008 [79]	India	During pregnancy	1	3	Amphotericin B (15 infusions of 1 mg/kg)	Normal term birth
Topno-2008 [79]	India	During pregnancy	1	3	Amphotericin B (15 infusions of 1 mg/kg)	Normal term birth
Figueiró Filho-2008 [48]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	-	Amphotericin B deoxycholate (1 mg/kg/day for 20 days)	One maternal death after 7 days of treatment due to haemorrhagic complications occurring after delivery

Figueiró Filho-2008 [48]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	-	Amphotericin B deoxycholate (1 mg/kg/day for 20 days)	No information
Figueiró Filho-2008 [48]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	-	L-AmB (3 mg/kg/day for 20 days)	No information
Figueiró Filho-2008 [48]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	-	L-AmB (3 mg/kg/day for 20 days)	No information
Figueiró Filho-2008 [48]	Brazil	Retrospectively confirmed (After giving birth)	1	-	Untreated during pregnancy (Diagnosed after birth and given SSG: 20 mg/kg/day for 20 days)	No information
Lorenzi-2008 [80]	UK	Retrospectively identified	1	-	-	Miscarriage
Adam-2009 [40]	Sudan	During pregnancy	42	9 in first trimester; 21 in second; 12 in third	SSG: 20 mg/kg SSG once daily IM for 30 days	Miscarriage in first trimester (n=2); death due to hepatic encephalopathy (n=4); Preterm birth (n=2)
Mueller-2009 [54]	Uganda	During pregnancy	10	No information	PA or amphotericin b deoxycholate	2 maternal deaths
Papageorgiou-2010 [37]	Greece	Confirmed few days before labour	1	3	L-AmB (4 mg/kg on 6 consecutive days and repeated doses at days 14 and 21)	No information
Miah-2010 [13]	Bangladesh	During pregnancy	11	2	SAG (20 mg/kg for 30 days)	Abortion (n=11)
Miah-2010 [13]	Bangladesh	During pregnancy	5	3	SAG (20 mg/kg for 30 days)	Good outcome (n=5)
Zinchuk and Nadraga-2010 [38]	Ukraine	During pregnancy	1	3	L-AmB (3 mg/kg days 1–5 followed by a single dose 3 mg/kg on day 10)	Delivery by elective C-section at 38 weeks of gestation; baby Birth weight of 2,800g
Sinha-2010 [81]	India	During pregnancy	3	-	L-AmB (5 mg/kg on days 0, 1, 4, and 9)	Not described (successful treatment)
Haque-2010 [82]	Bangladesh	Retrospectively identified	1	-	-	Vertical transmission identified at 15 days of birth
Ritmeijer -2011 [83]	Ethiopia	During pregnancy	1	No information	L-AmB (6 infusions of 5 mg/kg)	Good response to treatment
Ritmeijer -2011 [83]	Ethiopia	During pregnancy	1	No information	L-AmB (6 infusions of 5 mg/kg)	Good response to treatment

Sinha-2011 [51]	India	During pregnancy	3	No information	Paromomycin (11 mg/kg/day for 21 days)	Normal delivery
Pilaca-2011 [84]	Albania	Retrospectively identified (diagnosis was made on the day the baby was born)	1	3	Untreated [After giving birth: Glucantime for 28 days. The baby was not fed by his mother's breast. PA given as L-AmB was not available]	Preterm birth
Damodaran-2012 [85]	UK	Retrospectively identified	1	-	-	Vertical transmission (Suspected) at 15 months
Lima-2013 [36]	Brazil	Retrospectively identified (After 5 days of giving birth)	1	Not applicable (retrospective)	Untreated during pregnancy (diagnosed after birth); After diagnosis L-AmB (total dose of 20 mg/kg over 5 days)	Preterm vaginal birth; mother died on the 32 nd day after birth
Lima-2013 [36]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	1	L-AmB	No information
Mescouto-Borges-2013 [86]	Brazil	Retrospectively identified (After giving birth)	1	2	Untreated (diagnosed after birth); Amphotericin b deoxycholate 1 mg/kg followed by IV L-AmB 3mg/kg/day	Acute foetal distress requiring C section delivery; Extremely premature birth (1,170g)
Mescouto-Borges-2013 [86]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	2	Untreated (diagnosed after birth); IV L-AmB given at 3 mg/kg/day for 7d	Acute foetal distress requiring C section delivery; Premature birth
Milsovic-2013 [87]	Serbia	Retrospectively identified (After 31 days of giving birth)	1	Not applicable (retrospective)	Untreated (diagnosed after birth)	Normal vaginal delivery
Salih-2014 [88]	Sudan	During pregnancy	23	No information	L-AmB (30 mg/kg divided into 10 IV infusions of 3 mg/kg)	No information
Burza-2014 [89]	India	During pregnancy	49	No information	AmBisome	No information
Bode-2014 [90]	Germany	Not clear	1	No information	-	Vertical transmission at 8 months
Llamazares-2014 [91]	Spain	Retrospectively identified	1	-	-	Normal delivery

Rahman-2014 [92]	Bangladesh	During pregnancy	1	No information	L-AmB	Stillbirth baby
Colomba-2015 [93]	Italy	Retrospectively identified (After 4 days of giving birth)	1	After delivery	Untreated: (treated with L-AmB 3 mg/kg/day on days 1-5 and on day 10)	No information
Pawar-2015 [94]	India	During pregnancy	1	2	Amphotericin B deoxycholate (later switched to liposomal preparation to minimise nephrotoxicity)	Full term normal vaginal delivery at 38 weeks of gestation
Kumar-2015 [95]	India	Retrospectively identified (After 5 months of delivery)	1	3	Untreated	Normal vaginal birth
Almada-silva-2015 [96]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	1	L-AmB	Foetal death
Basher and Nath-2017[56]	Bangladesh	During pregnancy	5	No information	One untreated; One was treated with L-AmB	Untreated mother died
Kimutai-2017[49] (personal communication with Dr Alves)	East Africa	During pregnancy	8	No information	SSG+PM	Spontaneous abortion (n=1)
Panagopoulos-2017 [97]	Greece	During pregnancy	1	3	L-AmB (3 mg/kg/day for 5 days and on days 14 and 21)	Normal term birth
Adam-2018 [98]	Sudan	During pregnancy	45	Mostly 3rd	No information	8 maternal death (6 in prenatal and 2 in postnatal); 37 survived, 30 were full term; 6 pre-term birth; 2 spontaneous abortion; stillbirth
Goyal-2018 [99] (personal communication With Dr Alves)	India	During pregnancy	2	No information	Single dose AmBisome (10 mg/kg)	No complications
Russo-2018 [100]	Italy	Retrospectively identified	1	-	-	Vertical transmission
Cunha-2019 [101]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	3	L-AmB (3 mg/kg for 7 days)	Normal term birth without complications
Argy-2019 [39]	Brazil	During pregnancy	1	3	L-AmB	Vertical transmission at birth
Parise-2019 [102]	France	Retrospectively	1	-	-	Maternal death

		identified				
Pekelharing-2020 [2]	South Sudan	During pregnancy	87	-	L-AmB (30mg/kg in 6 doses)	-
Pekelharing-2020 [2]	South Sudan	Retrospectively identified (two weeks post-partum)	26	-	L-AmB (30mg/kg in 6 doses)	-

333 L- AmB = Liposomal amphotericin B; PA = pentavalent antimony; SSG = sodium stibogluconate; SAG = Sodium antimony gluconate; IV = intravenous; IM = intramuscular;

334 PM = Paromomycin

335

336 **Table 3: Details of 26 reported cases of confirmed, probable, or suspected vertical VL**

Study ^a	Location	Case description
Low and Cooke-1926 [45]	UK	A retrospective description of a child born to a mother who contracted the disease during pregnancy while residing in India and had given birth in the UK
Hindle-1928 [57]	China	A four months' old baby whose spleen puncture confirmed presence of Leishmania parasites. "The main interest of this case lies in the fact that it could not possibly have been exposed to the bites of sandflies, as their season ended approximately two months before the child was born. Although the mother showed no obvious signs of disease it is difficult of explanation except on the hypothesis of congenital transmission. Low and Cooke (1926) recorded a case of Indian Kala Azar in a child born in England, and there can be no doubt that in this patient the infection was derived from the mother who was also infected"
Hindle-1928 [57]	China	"Dr Marshall Hertig kindly informed me of a similar case at Hsii-Chowfu in which the patient, a five months old child, was successfully treated for Kala Azar at the local mission hospital. This infant also, from the date of its birth, could never have been exposed to the bites of sandflies"
Banerji-1955 [46]	India	Mother contracted kala-azar in the fifth month of pregnancy and suspected vertical transmission occurred when the child was 6 months old.
Blanc and Robert -1984 [59]	France	Mother with a subclinical infection during pregnancy with the disease detected within a month after delivery. The child had a confirmed VL and was the first case reported in the hospital. The child never left the hospital and never came in contact of dogs thus suggesting that congenital /vertical transmission was the likely mode of transmission.
Mittal-1987 [52]	India	"An 11-month-old male infant admitted with symptoms that were later confirmed as VL. The baby's mother had also suffered from kala-azar while carrying this child. As the baby and his mother did not leave New Delhi, India, where the case was related, either during or after the delivery and the vector found in New Delhi was not competent to transmit leishmaniasis, the infant could not have been infected by the bite of a sandfly. It therefore seems most likely that he was congenitally exposed to kala-azar."

Nyakundi-1988 [61] ^b	Kenya	<p>“We recently treated a 4 months old male infant born prematurely on 18 June 1986, after 6 months gestation to a then febrile para 6+3 mother diagnosed as having had kala-azar during pregnancy. Mother and infant were admitted to the Clinical Research Centre. Kenya Medical Research Institute, on 20 October- 1986; when kala-azar was confirmed in the mother. This infant with congenital kala-azar was only the fourth and youngest patient with this disease ever reported in the world medical literature. The mode of infection in the baby could be (a) direct transmission from mother to offspring, (b) acquired in hospital, (c) acquired at the time of birth from perineal haemorrhages with swallowing of maternal blood or secretions or through the cord or skin abrasions, or (d) acquired congenitally from the mother through the placenta. Only the last of these possible modes of transmission is likely in view of the poor health of the infant from the 6th day of life, the mother’s bad obstetric history, the hospital’s high altitude which makes it unsuitable for sandfly transmission, and because the period that elapsed from birth to the appearance of symptoms was compatible with a congenital infection.”</p>
Yadav-1989 [62]	India	<p>An 11-month male infant was admitted with kala-azar. The mother suffered from the disease during pregnancy. The mother from Bihar migrated to Delhi during first trimester. She showed signs of disease during sixth month of pregnancy. The most likely mode of infection was <i>in utero</i> transmission of the disease.</p>
Eltoum-1992 [47]	Sudan	<p>During an epidemic of visceral leishmaniasis in the Sudan, two cases of congenital kala-azar were seen. The first child, whose mother had contracted kala-azar in southern Sudan, was born in Khartoum, where no transmission of leishmaniasis is currently occurring. At seven months, the child had fever, lymphadenopathy, and hepatosplenomegaly; leishmania parasites were detected in the bone marrow. The child died and an autopsy showed leishmania parasites in all tissues including the lungs, kidneys, and thymus.</p>
Eltoum-1992 [47]	Sudan	<p>In the second case, parasites were found in the placenta of a five-month-old foetus.</p>
Elamin & Omer-1992 [53]	Sudan	<p>A case of visceral leishmaniasis in a 6-week-old infant from southern Sudan who most likely got the infection through transplacental transmission. This is the first reported case of congenital kala-azar in Africa and the seventh in the global medical literature</p>
Sharma-1996 [67]	India	<p>“Thus, in all possibility, it was a case of congenital kala-azar acquired transplacental by the baby from a mother having subclinical kala-azar.” The infection was possibly active when the child was 4 months of age and it was detected when the child was 18 months.</p>
Meinecke-1999 [70]	Germany	<p>Because the child had never left Germany, nonvector transmission was suspected and household contacts were examined. His mother was the only one who had a positive antibody titre against <i>Leishmania donovani</i> complex. She had travelled several times to endemic Mediterranean areas (Portugal, Malta, and Corse) before giving birth to the boy. But she had never been symptomatic for visceral leishmaniasis. Her bone marrow, spleen, and liver biopsy results</p>

		were within normal limits.
Boehme-2006 [77]	Germany	We describe a case of VL in a German infant, who never had been to a VL endemic area. Most likely, the parasite was congenitally transmitted from the asymptomatic mother to her child.
Papageorgiou 2010 [37]	Greece	We report the first case of congenital disease described in Greece. The mother of the infant was hospitalised a few days before labour because of anaemia and hepatosplenomegaly, and titres for Leishmania antibodies were positive. A bone marrow aspirate showed no evidence of malignancy, except from a slight decrease of myelopoiesis, erythropoiesis and thrombopoiesis. However, the promastigote form of Leishmania was found, and therefore, diagnosis of leishmaniasis was confirmed.
Haque-2010 [82]	Bangladesh	The first report of vertical transmission of VL in Bangladesh
Zinchuk and Nadraga 2010 [38]	Ukraine	An 8-month-old boy was diagnosed with visceral leishmaniasis in Ukraine, a non-endemic area. His mother had been treated for visceral leishmaniasis at 28–32 weeks gestation whilst working in Alicante, Spain and delivered her infant at 38 weeks gestation by elective caesarean section in Ukraine. It is presumed that the infant’s infection was as a result of vertical transmission.
Pilaca-2011 [84]	Albania	Leishmania amastigotes were detected in bone marrow biopsy of the mother. Two days later, premature birth was stimulated. After 2-3 months of the birth the baby was not well. After admitted to hospital, baby resulted positive for VL. He was treated with Glucantime and was cured after a scheme of two 14-day cycles with good outcome.
Damodaran-2012 [85]	UK	“A 15 month-old-girl, family from East Timor, referred from primary care with weight-loss and a non-healing skin ulcer. She appeared undernourished with pallor, pyrexia and hepatosplenomegaly. FBC showed pancytopenia. Bone marrow examination confirmed Leishmaniasis. Her mother had intrapartum Leishmaniasis. The child was born in United Kingdom with no history of foreign travel and responded well to treatment with AmBisome”
Mescouto-Borges-2013 [86]	Brazil	We report two human cases of congenitally transmitted visceral Leishmaniasis in two patients who developed symptoms during pregnancy. The diagnosis was made by visual examination of Leishmania parasites in bone marrow aspirates of the mothers and by detecting parasite DNA in bone marrow samples of the new-born children using polymerase chain reaction.
Bode-2014 [90]	Germany	“One infant girl (P8) had only been in an endemic area (Spain) in utero. Vertical transmission resulting in congenital visceral leishmaniasis must be assumed, as the mother, who remained clinically asymptomatic, was serologically positive. Diagnosis of visceral leishmaniasis was delayed for more than 3 weeks”. The girl had never been abroad after birth and the mother had positive Leishmania serology after a trip to Spain during pregnancy.

Kumar-2015 [95]	India	It was presumed that the infant’s infection was a result of vertical transmission. In our case we can presume that the mother might be having subclinical infection and has transmitted the disease to the offspring.
Basher and Nath-2017 [56]	Bangladesh	“One term mother died before starting treatment after the birth of a death baby due to pregnancy & disease complication. Foetal part placenta was collected; found PCR positive for LD body. Kala azar in the mother may have been the cause of the foetal wastage”
Russo-2018 [100]	Italy	“Here we present a 6-month-old girl with parents from Southern Italy. Our case of vertically transmitted Leishmaniasis highlights the importance of recognizing infectious etiologies”
Argy-2019 [39]	France	Few intracellular <i>Leishmania</i> amastigotes were found during the microscopic examination of the placenta confirmed by positive PCR results. Sequential PCR follow-up of VL in the HIV-positive pregnant woman and her newborn supports our hypothesis that the transmission of VL in this neonate occurred transplacentally

337

338 ^a An article from Sudan (Adam 2009 [40]) described a case of a 2 months baby with parasites detected in lymph node. The article did not mention whether this could be a
 339 case of vertical transmission.

340

341 ^b In Nyakundi-1988[61], three cases of vertically transmitted VL in clinical literature were identified: Low and Cooke-1926[45]; Banerji-1955[46] and Napier-1946[103]. The
 342 first two reports are included in this table whereas we have decided not to include the last report as a case of vertical transmission as the original article could not be
 343 retrieved and case details couldn’t be verified. The following description appears in Napier-1946 [103]: “Even in India kala-azar occurs among infants; we reported a case of
 344 an infant of less than eight months with well-developed kala-azar of about four months’ duration”. While it is clear that VL was identified when the infant was of four
 345 months old, there is no further description of the case [103]. The brief description in Napier-1946[103] matches an earlier publication (Napier and Das Gupta-1928 [104]) in
 346 which the plausibility of vertical transmission was ruled out: “As the mother showed no sign of the disease at all it is extremely unlikely that the child was suffering from
 347 the disease at birth.

348 Discussion

349 The occurrence and effects of VL during pregnancy is under-researched and poorly
350 understood as evidenced by having identified only 70 publications describing a total of 447
351 cases of VL in pregnancy in the past 90 years.

352 The small case volume reported in the literature could have several explanations. In the first
353 place, there is an apparent imbalance in caseloads with predominance of the disease among
354 males; ascribed to biological or behavioural causes [3,17,63,105–107]. Pentavalent
355 antimony is contraindicated in pregnancy and was the first line therapy before the
356 development of Liposomal amphotericin B (L-AmB) – this might have traditionally dissuaded
357 physicians from treating VL during pregnancy and leaning towards postponing the
358 treatment until after delivery unless treatment is absolutely warranted [12]. However, this
359 situation might have changed recently as liposomal amphotericin B has no contraindication
360 during pregnancy and is the treatment of choice. It has also been postulated that early
361 pregnancies are missed due to spontaneous abortion caused by VL [63]. Women with
362 childbearing potential or those who are already pregnant are systematically excluded from
363 VL clinical studies and only a third of the patients enrolled in clinical trials are females [17].
364 For example, of the 158 studies indexed (to date) in the IDDO systematic library of VL clinical
365 trials, 52 studies presented details from screening logs of patients (33,455 patients were
366 screened; 17,572 patients were excluded including 32 pregnant women, and 15,883
367 included) (See S1 Data) [32]. Assuming all those screened for eligibility indeed had the
368 disease, this would give an estimated 0.096% (likely an underestimate) of the total cases of
369 VL to be pregnant women. If there are 100,000 cases per year, this translates to a minimum
370 of 96 cases of the disease in pregnancy per year. It is clear that the likely size of the problem

371 is much bigger than what can be estimated from available reports. For example, during Jan
372 2016–Jul 2019 in Lankien, Jonglei state, South Sudan, out of 4,448 cases of VL diagnosed,
373 39% occurred in women of childbearing age, and 13% of the women (2.5% of all cases) were
374 pregnant [2]. It is also likely that the clustering in space and time of reported cases (more
375 than half of all cases in this review were from studies in Sudan or South Sudan after 2005) is
376 more a result of local interest into the subject matter than a true reflection of disease
377 burden.

378 There was also geographical disparity in the treatment regimens used, reflecting
379 heterogeneity in treatment practices. Only half of the patients received amphotericin B
380 regimens in studies conducted in Africa compared to more than two-thirds of the patients
381 from Asia. There was a total of 11 maternal deaths; four (4.6%, 4/87) occurred in those
382 treated with pentavalent antimony-based regimens, 4 (4/176; 2.3%) among those treated
383 with L-AmB, 1 (1/20, 5.0%) with amphotericin b deoxycholate, and the drug name used for
384 the treatment was not clear in 2 (16.7%,2/12) cases. Spontaneous abortion following PA
385 regimen was observed in just over a quarter of the mothers (24/88, 27.3%) while there were
386 a total of 5 (2.9%) miscarriages and 1 (0.6%) foetal death following L-AmB regimen. Taken
387 together, these results support the use of liposomal amphotericin B for the treatment of VL
388 during pregnancy.

389 Our review identified 26 cases of vertically transmitted VL with a median time of detection
390 of 6 months (range: 0–18 months). This suggests that children born to mothers with VL
391 during pregnancy require a longer post-treatment follow-up than the standard 6-months
392 follow-up duration among non-pregnant patients to monitor the well-being of the maternal-
393 foetal pair. The underlying mechanism of the onset of clinical leishmaniasis among neonates

394 and infants born to a successfully-treated mother during pregnancy (2.4% overall) is
395 currently not clear; it has been ascribed to imbalances in immune-mechanism modulated by
396 T cell responses (Th1/Th2) [10] or by parasites entering a state of dormancy in the lymph
397 nodes [72].

398 Our review has identified limitations in reports of VL in pregnancy. Complete information
399 was often not available on treatment administered and on efficacy and safety outcomes for
400 the mother and baby. For 12% of the mothers, it could not be ascertained whether they had
401 received any treatment or not. Majority of the studies were considered to be a high risk of
402 bias for patient selection while some retrospective studies were at high risk of bias for
403 ascertainment of exposure domain as VL diagnosis was purely based on clinical signs and
404 symptoms or suspicion. This suggests that existing practices for management of VL in
405 pregnancy is guided by limited evidence generated from case reports and small case series.
406 High quality studies (such as Pekelharing-2020 [2]) is warranted for generation of a robust
407 evidence regarding safety and efficacy of antileishmanial agents during pregnancy. There
408 was also a lack of standardised reporting as information was missing on several critical
409 parameters such as trimester status, time on detection of VL, and therapeutic outcomes of
410 the mother and the child. Taken together, these findings highlight the need to improve and
411 harmonise the reporting of VL in pregnant women. We have outlined a minimum checklist
412 of items that might be useful for reporting purposes (Box 1).

413 As conducting randomised controlled trials during pregnancy poses ethical challenges, it is
414 important to maximise currently available information from observational studies and case
415 reports to gauge the potential safety of the therapies in pregnant women. Data from
416 mothers who become pregnant after completion of therapy but within the follow-up period

417 enrolled in trials might provide further resource, especially on the reproductive
418 consequences of the treatment (Table 4). The recently proposed safe ethical framework for
419 the recruitment of women susceptible to and becoming pregnant is an important
420 development towards filling the existing knowledge gap [18]. Like for many NTDs, there is
421 currently an absence of a comprehensive pregnancy-specific registry for exposures to
422 antileishmanials, with the exception of the one dedicated for miltefosine [108]. Therefore,
423 creating an open registry where all these cases are indexed and continually updated would
424 help in better characterisation of the safety aspects of the drugs. Finally, the Infectious
425 Diseases Data Observatory (IDDO) data platform, that is currently standardising individual
426 participant data from several VL clinical studies, offers a unique resource to explore host,
427 parasite, and drug dynamics affecting the safety and efficacy in pregnant populations [109].

428 **Conclusions**

429 In conclusion, this review brings together scattered observations on VL in pregnant women
430 and the cases of vertically transmitted VL reported in the clinical literature. Available reports
431 clearly underestimate the scale of the problem. Existing therapeutic guidelines regarding the
432 usage of drugs in pregnancy is guided by limited evidence generated from case reports and
433 small case series. Our review suggests that liposomal amphotericin B should be the
434 preferred treatment for VL during pregnancy.

435 **Table 4: Description of patients enrolled in clinical trials who became pregnant after completion of treatment**

Study	Number of patients	Treatment received at enrolment	Pregnancy and outcome description
Bhattacharya-2007 [110]	2	Miltefosine	"Despite extensive counselling for contraception, 2 cases of pregnancy were reported, with the conception date close to the exposure period. One patient became pregnant 2 weeks after the end of treatment, and the other became pregnant at 3 months after the end of the treatment period. Two healthy babies were delivered at gestational weeks of 39 and 40, without any birth anomaly"
Sinha-2011 [51]	1	Paromomycin	One female patient became pregnant more than 1 month after completing treatment. The offspring was born alive and determined to be normal/healthy just after birth.
Mondal-2014 [111]	4	Liposomal Amphotericin B (Single dose)	Four female participants became pregnant within months after treatment and in one the pregnancy was completed with delivery of a term normal birth after 6 months of follow-up. The other three pregnant women were clinically healthy during the last follow-up visit.
Jamil-2015 [112]	1	Paromomycin	Pregnancy was reported in one female during the follow-up period. The offspring was born healthy, and a hearing test conducted on the infant at 1.5 months of age confirmed reaction to sound. An otoscopy and oto-acoustic emission test to determine function of the middle and inner ear was conducted at 3 months of age and confirmed normal hearing function.
Pandey-2016[113]	15	Miltefosine	All the female patients were suggested not to become pregnant within 6 months of treatment. However, 15 patients became pregnant within 6 months of follow-up (after 2 months of treatment completion). All these patients became pregnant 2 months after end of treatment. All of them were followed up till 1 year and all had full-term normal pregnancy with no congenital anomalies.

436

437 **Box 1: Proposed minimum variable recording and reporting for studies or case reports for VL in pregnancy**

438 **Adapted from Saito-2017 [114]**

439

Domain	Checklist Item
Maternal history	Parity
	Gravidity
	Maternal history of the disease
	History of travelling to endemic regions
	Any previous treatment of the disease
	Comorbidities (HIV, malaria, TB etc.)
Maternal characteristics	Age
	Weight
	Nutritional status
	Trimester
	Gestational age
Maternal clinical signs and symptoms	History of illness (duration of fever)
	Hepatomegaly status
	Splenomegaly
Diagnostics	Diagnostic method used (PCR, ELISA, rk39DAT, IFA)
	Sample analysed (blood, bone marrow aspirates, splenic aspirates etc)
	Method of confirmation of disease status
	Parasite species (<i>L. donovani</i> , <i>L. infantum</i>)
	Method used for estimating gestational age (ultrasonography etc)
Treatment details	Dose, duration, frequency including mode of administration
	Concomitant medication status (antipyretics, antimalarial etc)

Delivery characteristics

Mode of delivery (C-section, natural)

Trauma during delivery

APGAR score

Examination of placenta

Birth status (still birth, abortion, healthy birth)

Any birth-related complications

440 **Declarations**

441 **Authors' contributions**

442	Conceptualization	: PD, PJG, PLO
443	Data Curation	: PD, SSP
444	Formal Analysis	: PD, SSP, PJG, PLO
445	Funding Acquisition	: PJG
446	Investigation	: PD, SSP, PJG, PLO
447	Methodology	: PD, SSP, EH, BJM
448	Project Administration	: PD, PLO
449	Resources	: PJG, PLO
450	Software	: PD
451	Supervision	: PJG, PLO
452	Validation	: PD, SSP, PJG, PLO
453	Visualization	: PD
454	Writing – Original Draft Preparation	: PD, PLO
455	Writing – Review & Editing	: PD, SSP, BJM, EH, KR, FA, PJG, PLO

456 **Availability of data and material**

457 The database(s) supporting the conclusions of this article are available within the tables and
458 figures presented within the manuscript along with the supplemental files (S1 Data, S2
459 Data).

460

461 **List of supplemental files**

462 S1 Text: PRISMA checklist

463 S2 Text: Search details

464 S1 Data: Screening list

465 S2 Data: Study data

466 S1 Table: Risk of bias assessment

467 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

468 Not applicable

469 **Consent for publication**

470 Not applicable

471

472 **Financial Disclosure Statement**

473 The review was funded by a biomedical resource grant from Wellcome to the Infectious

474 Diseases Data Observatory (Recipient: PJG; ref: 208378/Z/17/Z). The funders had no role in

475 the design and analysis of the research or the decision to publish the work.

476

477 **Competing interests**

478 None

479

480 **Acknowledgements**

481 We would like to thank for the Prof. Bernhard Lämmle and his team for helpful responses on

482 queries related to their manuscript.

483 **References**

- 484 1. WHO. Leishmaniasis: Key facts [Internet]. WHO. 2020 [cited 2020 Jul 5]. Available from:
485 <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/leishmaniasis>
- 486 2. Pekelharing JE, Gatluak F, Harrison T, Maldonado F, Siddiqui R, Ritmeijer K. Outcomes of
487 visceral leishmaniasis in pregnancy: A retrospective cohort study from South Sudan. *PLoS*
488 *Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2020;14:e0007992.
- 489 3. World Health Organization. Control of the leishmaniases. *World Heal. Organ. Tech. Rep.*
490 *Ser.* 949. 2010;22–6.
- 491 4. Alvar J, Croft S, Olliaro P. Chemotherapy in the Treatment and Control of Leishmaniasis.
492 *Adv. Parasitol.* 2006;61:223–74.
- 493 5. Sundar S, Olliaro PL. Miltefosine in the treatment of leishmaniasis: Clinical evidence for
494 informed clinical risk management. *Ther. Clin. Risk Manag.* 2007;3:733–40.
- 495 6. Boelaert M, Sundar S. Leishmaniasis. In: Farrar J, Hotez PJ, Junghanss T, Kang G, Lalloo D,
496 White NJ, editors. *Manson’s Trop. Dis.* 23rd ed. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2013. p. 631–51.
- 497 7. Nuwayri-salti N, Khansa HF. Direct non-insect-vector transmission of leishmania parasites
498 in mice. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 1985;15:497–500.
- 499 8. Utili R, Rambaldi A, Tripodi MF, Andreana A. Visceral leishmaniasis during pregnancy
500 treated with meglumine antimoniate. *Infection.* 1995;23:182–3.
- 501 9. Pagliano P, Carannante N, Rossi M, Gramiccia M, Gradoni L, Faella FS, et al. Visceral
502 leishmaniasis in pregnancy: A case series and a systematic review of the literature. *J.*
503 *Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2005;55:229–33.

- 504 10. Berger BA, Bartlett AH, Saravia NG, Galindo Sevilla N. Pathophysiology of Leishmania
505 Infection during Pregnancy. *Trends Parasitol.* 2017;33:935–46.
- 506 11. Pagliano P, Ascione T, Di Flumeri G, Boccia G, De Caro F. Visceral leishmaniasis in
507 immunocompromised: Diagnostic and therapeutic approach and evaluation of the recently
508 released IDSA guidelines. *Infez. Med.* 2016;24:265–71.
- 509 12. Kumar A, Mittal M, Prasad S. Treatment of leishmaniasis in pregnancy. *Int. J. Gynecol.*
510 *Obstet.* 2001;72:189–90.
- 511 13. Miah M, Ayaz F, Maniruzzaman M, Ahasan M, Bari S, Mawla S, et al. Kala azar in
512 pregnancy. *Mymensingh Med. J.* 2010;Oct; 19:529–32.
- 513 14. Seaman J, Pryce D, Sondorp HE, Moody A, Bryceson ADM, Davidson RN. Epidemic
514 Visceral Leishmaniasis in Sudan: A Randomized Trial of Aminosidine plus Sodium
515 Stibogluconate versus Sodium Stibogluconate Alone. *J. Infect. Dis.* 1993;168:715–20.
- 516 15. Kumar D, Ramesh V, Verma S, Ramam M, Salotra P. Post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis
517 (PKDL) developing after treatment of visceral leishmaniasis with amphotericin B and
518 miltefosine. *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol.* 2009;103:727–30.
- 519 16. Verma P, Grover C, Sharma S. Post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis in pregnancy: Hitherto
520 unaccounted. *Int. J. Dermatol.* 2014;53:1501–4.
- 521 17. Dahal P, Singh-Phulgenda S, Olliaro PL, Guerin PJ. Gender disparity in patients enrolled in
522 clinical trials of visceral leishmaniasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Negl.*
523 *Trop. Dis.* 2021;[In Press].
- 524 18. Couderc-Pétry M, Eléfant E, Wasunna M, Mwinga A, Kshirsagar NA, Strub-Wourgaft N.

- 525 Inclusion of women susceptible to and becoming pregnant in preregistration clinical trials in
526 low-and middle-income countries: A proposal for neglected tropical diseases. *PLoS Negl.*
527 *Trop. Dis.* 2020;14:1–15.
- 528 19. Banjara MR, Hirve S, Siddiqui NA, Kumar N, Kansal S, Huda MM, et al. Visceral
529 leishmaniasis clinical management in endemic districts of India, Nepal, and Bangladesh. *J.*
530 *Trop. Med.* 2012;2012.
- 531 20. Treatment of Leishmaniasis With Impavido® (Miltefosine): Pregnancy Registry [Internet].
532 [cited 2021 Feb 9]. Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02427308>
- 533 21. IMPAVIDO (miltefosine) Pregnancy Registry [Internet]. [cited 2021 Feb 9]. Available
534 from: <https://www.impavido.com/about-registry>
- 535 22. Figueiró-Filho EA, Duarte G, El-Beitune P, Quintana SM, Maia TL. Visceral leishmaniasis
536 (kala-azar) and pregnancy. *Infect. Dis. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2004;12:31–40.
- 537 23. Silva JSF e., Galvao TF, Pereira MG, Silva MT. Treatment of American tegumentary
538 leishmaniasis in special populations: A summary of evidence. *Rev. Soc. Bras. Med. Trop.*
539 2013;46:669–77.
- 540 24. Kip AE, Schellens JHM, Beijnen JH, Dorlo TPC. Clinical Pharmacokinetics of Systemically
541 Administered Antileishmanial Drugs. *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* 2018;57:151–76.
- 542 25. The electronic Medicines Compendium. Pentostam Injection [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2019
543 Aug 30]. Available from: <https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/product/5466/smpc>
- 544 26. World Health Organization. WHO Technical Report Series 949: Control of the
545 leishmaniases. 2010.

- 546 27. The electronic Medicines Compendium. AmBisome [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2019 Aug 30].
547 Available from: <https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/product/1022#PREGNANCY>
- 548 28. WHO. Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and prevention of visceral leishmaniasis in
549 South Sudan [Internet]. WHO. [cited 2019 Oct 10]. Available from:
550 [https://www.who.int/leishmaniasis/burden/Guidelines_for_diagnosis_treatment_and_prev](https://www.who.int/leishmaniasis/burden/Guidelines_for_diagnosis_treatment_and_prevention_of_VL_in_South_Sudan.pdf)
551 [ention_of_VL_in_South_Sudan.pdf](https://www.who.int/leishmaniasis/burden/Guidelines_for_diagnosis_treatment_and_prevention_of_VL_in_South_Sudan.pdf)
- 552 29. FDA. IMPAVIDO (miltefosine) prescribing information [Internet].
553 www.accessdata.fda.gov. [cited 2019 Oct 10]. Available from:
554 https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2014/204684s000lbl.pdf
- 555 30. Ministry of Health R of K. Prevention , Diagnosis and Treatment of Visceral Leishmaniasis
556 (Kala-Azar) in Kenya [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2019 Aug 30]. Available from:
557 https://www.who.int/leishmaniasis/burden/Kala_Azar_Kenya_2017.pdf?ua=1
- 558 31. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Reprint--preferred reporting items for
559 systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6:e1000097.
- 560 32. Infectious Diseases Data Observatory. VL Surveyor [Internet]. www.iddo.org. 2020 [cited
561 2020 Sep 17]. Available from: <https://www.iddo.org/vlSurveyor/#0>
- 562 33. United Nations. Standard country or area codes for statistical use [Internet]. [cited 2018
563 Sep 13]. Available from: <https://unstats.un.org/unsd/methodology/m49/overview/>
- 564 34. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Found. Stat.
565 Comput. Vienna, Austria. 2018.
- 566 35. Murad MH, Sultan S, Haffar S, Bazerbachi F. Methodological quality and synthesis of

- 567 case series and case reports. *Evid. Based. Med.* 2018;23:60–3.
- 568 36. Lima TB. Liver biopsy for visceral leishmaniasis diagnosis in pregnancy: report of 2 cases.
569 *World J. Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2013;3:20–4.
- 570 37. Papageorgiou T, Pana Z, Tragiannidis A, Tsotoulidou V, Pratsiou E, Tzouvelekis G, et al.
571 The first case of congenital leishmaniasis in a female infant in Greece. *J. Paediatr. Child*
572 *Health.* 2010;46:611–2.
- 573 38. Zinchuk A, Nadraga A. Congenital visceral leishmaniasis in Ukraine: case report. *Ann.*
574 *Trop. Paediatr.* 2010;30:161–4.
- 575 39. Argy N, Lariven S, Rideau A, Lemoine A, Bourgeois Moine A, Allal L, et al. Congenital
576 Leishmaniasis in a Newborn Infant Whose Mother was Coinfected With Leishmaniasis and
577 HIV. *J. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. Soc.* 2019;07:7.
- 578 40. Adam GK, Abdulla MA, Ahmed AA, Adam I. Maternal and perinatal outcomes of visceral
579 leishmaniasis (kala-azar) treated with sodium stibogluconate in eastern Sudan. *Int. J.*
580 *Gynecol. Obstet.* 2009;107:208–10.
- 581 41. Mueller M, Balasegaram M, Koummuki Y, Ritmeijer K, Santana MR, Davidson R. A
582 comparison of liposomal amphotericin B with sodium stibogluconate for the treatment of
583 visceral leishmaniasis in pregnancy in Sudan. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2006;58:811–5.
- 584 42. Silveira BP, Araujo Sobrinho J, Leite LF, Sales M, Gouveia Mdo S, Mathias RL, et al.
585 [Premature birth after the use of pentavalent antimonial: case report]. *Rev. Soc. Bras. Med.*
586 *Trop.* 2003;36:523–5.
- 587 43. El-Saaran AM. Visceral Leishmaniasis in Dubai. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 1979;73:475.

- 588 44. Silveira BP, Sobrinho JA, Leite LF, Andrade Sales M das N, Araújo Gouveia M do S,
589 Mathias RL, et al. Parto prematuro após uso de antimonial pentavalente: Relato de um caso.
590 Rev. Soc. Bras. Med. Trop. 2003;36:523–5.
- 591 45. Low GC, Cooke WE. A congenital case of kala-azar. Lancet. 1926;208:1209–11.
- 592 46. Banerji D. Possible congenital infection of kalaazar. J. Indian Med. Assoc. 1955;24.
- 593 47. Eltoun IA, Zijlstra EE, Ali MS, Ghalib HW, Satti MMH, Eltoun B, et al. Congenital kala-
594 azar and leishmaniasis in the placenta. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 1992;46:57–62.
- 595 48. Figueiro-Filho EA, El Beitune P, Queiroz GT, Somensi RS, Morais NO, Dorval ME, et al.
596 Visceral leishmaniasis and pregnancy: analysis of cases reported in a central-western region
597 of Brazil. Arch. Gynecol. Obstet. 2008;278:13–6.
- 598 49. Kimutai R, Musa AM, Njoroge S, Omollo R, Alves F, Hailu A, et al. Safety and
599 Effectiveness of Sodium Stibogluconate and Paromomycin Combination for the Treatment
600 of Visceral Leishmaniasis in Eastern Africa: Results from a Pharmacovigilance Programme.
601 Clin. Drug Investig. 2017;37:259–72.
- 602 50. Mueller M, Balasegaram M, Koummuki Y, Ritmeijer K, Santana MR, Davidson R. A
603 comparison of liposomal amphotericin B with sodium stibogluconate for the treatment of
604 visceral leishmaniasis in pregnancy in Sudan. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2006;58:811–5.
- 605 51. Sinha PK, Jha TK, Thakur CP, Nath D, Mukherjee S, Aditya AK, et al. Phase 4
606 Pharmacovigilance Trial of Paromomycin Injection for the Treatment of Visceral
607 Leishmaniasis in India. J. Trop. Med. 2011;2011:1–7.
- 608 52. Mittal V, Sehgal S, Yadav T, Singh VK. Congenital transmission of kala-azar. J Commun

- 609 Dis. 1987;Jun:184–5.
- 610 53. Elamin A, Omer MIA. Visceral Leishmaniasis in a 6-Week-Old Infant: Possible Congenital
611 Transmission. *Trop. Doct.* 1992;22:133–5.
- 612 54. Mueller Y, Mbulamberi DB, Odermatt P, Hoffmann A, Loutan L, Chappuis F. Risk factors
613 for in-hospital mortality of visceral leishmaniasis patients in eastern Uganda. *Trop. Med. Int.*
614 *Heal.* 2009;14:910–7.
- 615 55. Vieira ML, Jacobina RR, Soares NM. Leishmaniose visceral em adolescente gestante. *Rev.*
616 *ciênc. méd. biol.* 2007;6:357–61.
- 617 56. Basher A, Nath PN. Transplacental transmission of visceral Leishmaniasis; Looking for the
618 evidence-A case series. *Trop. Med. Int. Heal.* 2017;22 (Sup):154.
- 619 57. Hindle E. Further Observations on Chinese Kala Azar. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*
620 1928;103:599–619.
- 621 58. Rees PH, Kager PA, Welde BT, Hockmeyer WT. The response of Kenyan kala-azar to
622 treatment with sodium stibogluconate. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 1984;33:357–61.
- 623 59. Blanc C, Robert A. [5th case of congenital kala-azar]. *Press. Med.* 1984;Jul 7:1751.
- 624 60. Badaró R, Rocha H, Carvalho EM, Queiroz AC, Jones TC. *Leishmania Donovanii*: an
625 Opportunistic Microbe Associated With Progressive Disease in Three Immunocompromised
626 Patients. *Lancet.* 1986;327:647–9.
- 627 61. Nyakundi PM, Muigai R, Were JBO, Oster CN, Gachihi GS, Kirigi G. Congenital visceral
628 leishmaniasis: Case report. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 1988;82:564.

- 629 62. Yadav T, Gupta H, Satteya U, Kumar R, Mittal V. Congenital kala-azar. *Ann Trop Med*
630 *Parasitol.* 1989;Oct:535–7.
- 631 63. Thakur C, Sinha G, Sharma V, Barat D. The treatment of kala-azar during pregnancy. *Natl.*
632 *Med. J. India.* 1993;6:263–5.
- 633 64. Giri O. Amphotericin B therapy in kala azar.pdf. *J. Indian Med. Assoc.* 1993;91:91–3.
- 634 65. Gradoni L, Gaeta GB, Pellizzer G, Maisto A, Scalone A. Mediterranean visceral
635 leishmaniasis in pregnancy. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 1994;26:627–9.
- 636 66. Jeronimo SMB, Oliveira RM, Mackay S, Costa RM, Sweet J, Eliana T, et al. An urban
637 outbreak of visceral leishmaniasis in Natal, BRazil. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.*
638 1994;88:386–8.
- 639 67. Sharma R, Bahl L, Goel A, Upadhaya A, Kaushik S, Sharma R, et al. Congenital kala-azar: a
640 case report. *J Commun Dis.* 1996;Mar:59–61.
- 641 68. Thakur C, Kumar P, Kumar N, Singh G, Singh A, Narain S. A randomised comparison of
642 classifical mode of administration of amphotericin B with its newer modes of administration
643 in kala-azar. *J. Assoc. Physicians India.* 1998;46:779–83.
- 644 69. Thakur CP, Singh RK, Hassan SM, Kumar R, Narain S, Kumar A. Amphotericin B
645 deoxycholate treatment of visceral leishmaniasis with newer modes of administration and
646 precautions: A study of 938 cases. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 1999;93:319–23.
- 647 70. Meinecke CK, Schottelius J, Oskam L, Fleischer B. Congenital Transmission of Visceral
648 Leishmaniasis (Kala Azar) From an Asymptomatic Mother to Her Child. *Pediatrics.*
649 1999;104:e65–e65.

- 650 71. Vianna VL, Takiya CM, de Brito-Gitirana L. Histopathologic analysis of hamster
651 hepatocytes submitted to experimental infection with *Leishmania donovani*. *Parasitol. Res.*
652 2002;88:829–36.
- 653 72. Dereure J, Duong Thanh H, Lavabre-Bertrand T, Cartron G, Bastides F, Richard-Lenoble D,
654 et al. Visceral leishmaniasis. Persistence of parasites in lymph nodes after clinical cure. *J.*
655 *Infect.* 2003;47:77–81.
- 656 73. Caldas AJM, Costa JML, Gama MEA, Ramos EAG, Barral A. Visceral leishmaniasis in
657 pregnancy: A case report. *Acta Trop.* 2003;88:39–43.
- 658 74. Pagliano P, Rossi M, Rescigno C, Altieri S, Coppola MG, Gramiccia M, et al.
659 Mediterranean visceral leishmaniasis in HIV-negative adults: A retrospective analysis of 64
660 consecutive cases (1995-2001). *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2003;52:264–8.
- 661 75. Kumar P V., Daneshbod Y, Sadeghipoor A. *Leishmania* in the glomerulus. *Arch. Pathol.*
662 *Lab. Med.* 2004;128:935–6.
- 663 76. Figueiró Filho EA, Uehara SNO, Senefonte FR de A, Lopes AHA, Duarte G, El Beitune P.
664 Leishmaniose visceral e gestação: relato de caso. *Rev. Bras. Ginecol. e Obs.* 2005;27:92–7.
- 665 77. Boehme C, Hain U, Novosel A, Eichenlaub S, Fleischmann E, Loscher T. Congenital
666 visceral leishmaniasis. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2006;12:359–3.
- 667 78. Mueller M, Ritmeijer K, Balasegaram M, Koummuki Y, Santana MR, Davidson R.
668 Unresponsiveness to AmBisome in some Sudanese patients with kala-azar. *Trans. R. Soc.*
669 *Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2007;101:19–24.
- 670 79. Topno RK, Pandey K, Das VNR, Kumar N, Bimal S, Verma RB, et al. Visceral leishmaniasis

- 671 in pregnancy — the role of amphotericin B. *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol.* 2008;102:267–70.
- 672 80. Lorenzi A, Williams C, Griffiths I. Visceral leishmaniasis mimicking disease activity in
673 mixed connective tissue disease. *Rheumatology.* 2008;47:737–8.
- 674 81. Sinha PK, Roddy P, Palma PP, Kociejowski A, Lima MA, Das VNR, et al. Effectiveness and
675 safety of liposomal amphotericin b for visceral leishmaniasis under routine program
676 conditions in Bihar, India. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2010;83:357–64.
- 677 82. Haque MA, Ekram ARMS, Sharmin LS, Belaluddin M, Salam MA. Congenital visceral
678 leishmaniasis. *Pakistan J. Med. Sci.* 2010;26:485–7.
- 679 83. Ritmeijer K, Ter Horst R, Chane S, Aderie EM, Piening T, Collin SM, et al. Limited
680 effectiveness of high-dose liposomal amphotericin B (AmBisome) for treatment of visceral
681 leishmaniasis in an ethiopian population with high HIV prevalence. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2011;53.
- 682 84. Pilaca A, Delia Z, Pepa A, Puca E, Kraja D. Vertical Transmission of the Visceral
683 Leishmaniasis: A Case Report. *US-China Med. Sci.* 2011;8:642–5.
- 684 85. Damodaran S, Erumbala G, Abraham D, Nirmal S. Incidence of leishmaniasis in a district
685 general hospital. *Arch. Dis. Child.* 2012;97:A253.
- 686 86. Mescouto-Borges MRM, Maués É, Costa DL, da Silva Pranchevicius MC, Romero GAS.
687 Congenitally transmitted visceral leishmaniasis: Report of two Brazilian human cases.
688 *Brazilian J. Infect. Dis.* 2013;17:263–6.
- 689 87. Milosevic S, Bogavac M, Malenkovic G, Fabri M, Ruzic M, Dugandzija T. Visceral
690 leishmaniasis as a cause of postpartum pyrexia - Case report. *Cent. Eur. J. Med.* 2013;8:149–
691 52.

- 692 88. Salih NAW, van Griensven J, Chappuis F, Antierens A, Mumina A, Hammam O, et al.
693 Liposomal amphotericin B for complicated visceral leishmaniasis (kala-azar) in eastern
694 Sudan: How effective is treatment for this neglected disease? *Trop. Med. Int. Heal.*
695 2014;19:146–52.
- 696 89. Burza S, Sinha PK, Mahajan R, Lima MA, Mitra G, Verma N, et al. Five-Year Field Results
697 and Long-Term Effectiveness of 20 mg/kg Liposomal Amphotericin B (Ambisome) for
698 Visceral Leishmaniasis in Bihar, India. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2014;8:46.
- 699 90. Bode SFN, Bogdan C, Beutel K, Behnisch W, Greiner J, Henning S, et al. Hemophagocytic
700 lymphohistiocytosis in imported pediatric visceral leishmaniasis in a nonendemic area. *J.*
701 *Pediatr.* 2014;165:147-153.e1.
- 702 91. Chiverto Llamazares Y, Cabezas Lopez E, Castro Sanchez M, Iglesias Goy E. Visceral
703 leishmaniasis as a diagnosis of puerperal fever of unknown origin. [Spanish]. *Progresos*
704 *Obstet. y Ginecol.* 2014;57:247–50.
- 705 92. Rahman KM, Olsen A, Harley D, Butler CD, Mondal D, Luby SP, et al. Kala-azar in
706 Pregnancy in Mymensingh, Bangladesh: A Social Autopsy. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*
707 2014;8:e2710.
- 708 93. Colomba C, Adamoli L, Trizzino M, Siracusa L, Bonura S, Tolomeo M, et al. A case of
709 visceral leishmaniasis and pulmonary tuberculosis in a post-partum woman. *Int. J. Infect.*
710 *Dis. International Society for Infectious Diseases;* 2015;33:e5–6.
- 711 94. Pawar S, Ragesh R, Nischal N, Sharma S, Panda PK, Sharma SK. Unique triad of
712 ‘pregnancy, kala azar and hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytic syndrome from a non-endemic
713 region.’ *J. Assoc. Physicians India.* 2015;63:65–8.

- 714 95. Kumar R, Kumari S, Prakash J, Kumar R. Atypical presentations of visceral leishmaniasis:
715 A case series and review of literature. *Trop. J. Med. Res.* 2015;18:109–12.
- 716 96. Silva Jde A, Araujo Ide M, Pavanetti LC, Okamoto LS, Dias M. [Visceral leishmaniasis and
717 pregnancy in renal transplanted patient: case report]. *J. Bras. Nefrol.* 2015;37:268–70.
- 718 97. Panagopoulos P, Mitsopoulos V, Papadopoulos A, Theodorou S, Christodoulaki C,
719 Aloupogiannis K, et al. Visceral leishmaniasis during pregnancy: A rare case report from
720 Greece. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2017;11:e0005134.
- 721 98. Adam GK, Omar SM, Ahmed MAA, Abdallah TM, Ali AAA. Cross-sectional study of the
722 case–fatality rate among patients with visceral leishmaniasis infections during pregnancy in
723 Sudan. *Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet.* 2018;140:119–20.
- 724 99. Goyal V, Mahajan R, Pandey K, Singh SN, Singh RS, Strub-Wourgaft N, et al. Field safety
725 and effectiveness of new visceral leishmaniasis treatment regimens within public health
726 facilities in Bihar, India. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2018;12:e0006830.
- 727 100. Russo A, Alt F, Neu MA, Eder S, Wingerter A, Malki KE, et al. Hemophagocytic
728 lymphohistiocytosis in early infancy–pitfall of differentiation between hereditary and
729 infectious reasons. *Blood. Conf. 60th Annu. Meet. Am. Soc. Hematol. ASH.* 2018;132.
- 730 101. Cunha FT, Lopes IC, Oliveira FCS, Queiroz IT. Visceral leishmaniasis in pregnant women
731 from Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil: A case report and literature review. *Rev. Soc. Bras. Med.*
732 *Trop.* 2019;52:10–2.
- 733 102. Parise ÉV, Maia FSC, Gomes NSG, Silva ACP da. Óbito por leishmaniose visceral em
734 puérpera no município de Palmas, Tocantins, Brasil. *J. Heal. Biol. Sci.* 2019;7:312–9.

- 735 103. Napier LE. Kala-Azar. Princ. Pract. Trop. Med. New York, USA: The Macmillan Company;
736 1946. p. 141.
- 737 104. Napier LE, Das Gupta CR. Indian Kala-Azar in a newly-born child. Ind. Med. Gaz.
738 1928;April:199–200.
- 739 105. Ahluwalia IB, Bern C, Wagatsuma Y, Costa C, Chowdhury R, Ali M, et al. Visceral
740 Leishmaniasis: Consequences to Women in a Bangladeshi Community. J. Women’s Heal.
741 2004;13:360–4.
- 742 106. Office of the director of Census. Census of India 2011 [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2019 Sep
743 19]. Available from: <http://censusindia.gov.in/2011-prov->
744 [results/data_files/bihar/Provisional Population Totals 2011-Bihar.pdf](http://censusindia.gov.in/2011-prov-results/data_files/bihar/Provisional Population Totals 2011-Bihar.pdf)
- 745 107. UNESCO. Ethiopia [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2019 Sep 19]. Available from:
746 <http://uis.unesco.org/country/ET>
- 747 108. WHO TDR. Central registry for epidemiological surveillance of drug safety in pregnancy
748 [Internet]. WHO. 2019 [cited 2019 Sep 19]. Available from:
749 https://www.who.int/tdr/research/tb_hiv/drug-safety-pregnancy/en/
- 750 109. Infectious Diseases Data Observatory. Visceral Leishmaniasis: Contributing data
751 [Internet]. [cited 2021 Feb 12]. Available from: [https://www.iddo.org/vl/data-](https://www.iddo.org/vl/data-sharing/contributing-data)
752 [sharing/contributing-data](https://www.iddo.org/vl/data-sharing/contributing-data)
- 753 110. Bhattacharya SK, Sinha PK, Sundar S, Thakur CP, Jha TK, Pandey K, et al. Phase 4 Trial of
754 Miltefosine for the Treatment of Indian Visceral Leishmaniasis. J. Infect. Dis. 2007;196:591–
755 8.

- 756 111. Mondal D, Alvar J, Hasnain MG, Hossain MS, Ghosh D, Huda MM, et al. Efficacy and
757 safety of single-dose liposomal amphotericin B for visceral leishmaniasis in a rural public
758 hospital in Bangladesh: A feasibility study. *Lancet Glob. Heal.* 2014;2:e51–7.
- 759 112. Jamil KM, Haque R, Rahman R, Faiz MA, Bhuiyan ATMRH, Kumar A, et al. Effectiveness
760 Study of Paromomycin IM Injection (PMIM) for the Treatment of Visceral Leishmaniasis (VL)
761 in Bangladesh. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2015;9:1–11.
- 762 113. Pandey K, Ravidas V, Siddiqui NA, Sinha SK, Verma RB, Singh TP, et al.
763 Pharmacovigilance of miltefosine in treatment of visceral leishmaniasis in endemic areas of
764 Bihar, India. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2016;95:1100–5.
- 765 114. Saito M, Gilder ME, Nosten F, Guérin PJ, McGready R. Methodology of assessment and
766 reporting of safety in anti-malarial treatment efficacy studies of uncomplicated falciparum
767 malaria in pregnancy: A systematic literature review. *Malar. J.* 2017;16:1–10.
- 768
- 769

770 **List of Figures**

771 Fig 1: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow
772 diagram of publications screened

773 Fig 2: Number of records and patients by country of origin

774

775 **List of Tables**

776 Table 1. Antileishmanial usage during pregnancy

777 Table 2: Description of reported 447 cases of VL in pregnancy

778 Table 3: Details of 26 reported cases of confirmed or suspected congenital VL

779 Table 4: Description of patients enrolled in clinical trials who became pregnant after
780 completion of treatment

781

782 **List of boxes**

783 Box 1: Proposed minimum variable recording and reporting for studies or case reports for VL
784 in pregnancy



