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Abstract

Background: Several data suggest that COVID-19 pandemic might exacerbate or trigger Eating Disorders (EDs). The aim of this paper was to summarize present literature on COVID pandemic and EDs.

Methods: Literature search, study selection, methods, and quality evaluation were performed following PRISMA Guidelines.

Results: The systematic search permitted the identification of 91 studies; 21 papers were eligible and included in the review. Nine papers (42.9%) evaluated the effect of pandemic and associated protective and risk factors in EDs patients, ten (47.6%) explored the prevalence of disturbed eating behaviours and risk factors for exacerbating EDs in the general population, and the remaining two (9.5%) were qualitative studies describing the impact of lockdown and quarantine on EDs patients. Their analysis revealed five main findings: 1) changes in physical activities routines were related to a worsening of preoccupation on weight/body shape; 2) food access limitation during pandemic represented a risk factors for both triggering and exacerbating EDs; 3) restriction in healthcare facilities contributed to increase anxiety levels and modifies treatment compliance; 4) social isolation was related to symptoms’ exacerbation in EDs patients who are home-confined with family members; 5) conflicts and difficulties in relationships with ‘no way out’ were maintenance factors for EDs symptoms, especially in adolescents and young adults.

Conclusion: COVID-19 pandemic had a negative impact on EDs that might be triggered or worsened by the exceptional conditions deriving from COVID-19-related stress in predisposed subjects. Patients already affected by EDs experienced a worsening of their clinical conditions and related quality of life.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Changes in everyday activities and in lifestyle due to SARS-COV2 disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and related quarantine measures heavily impacted mental health of the general population (Marazziti, Pozza, Di Giuseppe, & Conversano, 2020; Poli, Gemignani, & Conversano, 2020) and of special populations (Conversano, Marchi, & Miniati, 2020; Orrù et al., 2021). These changes may represent an additional burden for both individuals with a pre-existing eating disorders (EDs) and those from the general population predisposed to develop them belonging into the so-called ‘anorexia-bulimia spectrum’ (Miniati & Marazziti, 2019). A series of factors may have detrimental impacts on psychological wellbeing, eating habits and EDs onset or recovery, including: disruption to living situations, social distancing restrictions, difficult access to healthcare, and societal changes to food behaviours and technology usage (Ammar et al., 2020). Therefore, at times of uncertainty and instability, ED symptoms may increase as their functions can be providing control and/or safety. Psychosocial stressors stemming from COVID-19 pandemic and resulting stay-at-home confinement, may exacerbate ED-related triggers and represent a challenging environment for individuals with anorexia nervosa (AN), bulimia nervosa (BN) and binge-eating disorder (Hensley, 2020; McMenemy, 2020). Amongst patients with anorexia nervosa (AN), the hypertrophic sense of control and the intense polarization on body weight and shape might worsen, when self-control seems altered by the impact of exceptional external factors, such as those occurring during COVID pandemic and lockdown (Schlegl, Maier, Meule, & Voderholzer, 2020). Again, they may foster skipping meals and restricting calories or, conversely, they may increase binge eating due to the availability of food at home brought about by food insecurity and hoarding of food (Touyz, Lacey, & Hay, 2020; Weissman, Bauer, & Thomas, 2020). Moreover, preliminary observations focused on the risk to develop an ED in patients who contracted COVID-19, renewing questions on the role of immunologic and neurobiological factors as potential triggers for AN and BN through inflammatory processes, together with behavioural changes induced by the infection, such as loss of appetite and decrease in food intake (Breithaupt, Kholer-Forsberg, Larsen et al., 2019). A vulnerable patients’ population such as the one represented by EDs is at high risk of acute and long-term consequences from COVID-19 pandemic, while also considering the relevant restrictions in psychiatric and psychological services and the access limitations to both inpatients and outpatients facilities.

Aim of this paper is to summarize and comment on present literature on the impact of COVID pandemic on EDs spectrum.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

We adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines in completion of this systematic review (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, & Altman, 2009).

2.1 Protocol and registration

This systematic review is not included in a research protocol.

2.2 Eligibility Criteria

We included all studies published between 2019 and October 2020, by using PubMed, SCOPUS and Google Scholar, provided that they met the following criteria: 1) written in English; 2) original articles on studies with a longitudinal design; and 3) prospective or retrospective, observational (analytical or descriptive), experimental or quasi-experimental, controlled or non-controlled studies. Reviews and non-original articles (i.e., case reports, editorials, letters to the Editor and book chapters) were not included. We found no RCTs or long-term follow-up studies derived from RCTs, therefore, an assessment of risk of bias of individual studies was not performed.
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2.3 Information Source and Strategy

The literature search was designed and performed independently in duplicate by two authors. The PubMed database was systematically screened using the following terms: [EATING DISORDER] AND [COVID] OR [LOCKDOWN] (n=48); [COVID] AND [BINGE-EATING] (n=16); [COVID] AND [BULIMIA NERVOSA] (n=16); [COVID] AND [ANOREXIA NERVOSA] (n=8), leading to a total of 88 papers. Additional three papers were identified after a second manual search was carried out to retrieve other papers that had not been identified with the initial strategy and included, leading to a total of 91 papers. After analyzing their titles and abstracts, according to the eligibility criteria, 21/91 (23.1%) papers were chosen and included in the final sample, whereas 70/91 papers (76.9%) were excluded for the following reasons: 34 papers (34/91; 37.4%) were removed as duplicated records; 31 papers (31/; 34.1%) were excluded because not pertinent to the selected topic; 5/91 (5.5%) papers were excluded because not in English (see Fig. 1 for details).

2.4 Study selection

Two authors (MM and FM) independently screened the resulting articles for their methodology and appropriateness for inclusion. Consensus discussion was used to resolve reviewers’ disagreements.

2.5 Data collection process and data items

Two independent authors (MM and FM) assessed the language suitability and subject matter of each paper. Studies thereby selected were evaluated for their appropriateness for inclusion and quality of the method. The first author, year of publication, design, participants, country, assessment, instruments, and main findings are reported in Table 1.

2.6 Risk of bias in individual studies

Risk of bias of individual studies was not performed considering that no RCTs or systematic follow-up studies deriving from RCTs were found.

2.7 Data synthesis

A meta-analysis could not be performed because of the lack of homogeneity among the resulting studies. In particular, studies varied in terms of how improvements were measured. Hence, this systematic review is summarized in a narrative synthesis.

3 RESULTS

The systematic search identified 91 studies of which 21 papers were eligible and were included (Table 1). Nine (42.9%) out of the total papers evaluated the effect of pandemic and associated protective and risk factors in EDs patients, ten (47.6%) explored the prevalence of disturbed eating behaviours and risk factors for exacerbating EDs in the general population, and the remaining two (9.5%) were qualitative studies describing the impact of lockdown and quarantine on EDs patients. The majority of studies collected data through online platforms (online survey). We sub-divided the retrieved studies according to their main research areas, as follows: studies on EDs in the general population; COVID-19 and lockdown consequences in EDs patients; key themes for patients with EDs during pandemic.

3.1 Eating spectrum in the general population

During COVID-19 pandemic, several studies investigated the prevalence of disturbed eating behaviours and related risk factors for exacerbations of EDs in the general population.

Al-Musharaf and colleagues (2020) evaluated emotional eating (EE) defined as the tendency to overeat as a coping strategy for regulating and reducing negative emotions (Ganley, 1989) in female students...
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or graduate participants at King Saud University. Their study aimed to assess the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on EE during the lockdown and to identify indicators and predictors. A total of 1037 participants consented to take part in the study and, out of these, 638 were eligible. Participants completed the online questionnaire that included the Arabic version of the ‘Emotional Eating Scale’ (EES) Saade et al., 2019), ‘Perceived Stress Scale’ (PSS) (Cohen, Kamarck, & Mermelstein, 1983), ‘Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7’ (GAD-7) (Spitzer, Kroenke, Williams, & Löwe, 2006), ‘Patient Health Questionnaire-9’ (PHQ-9) (Kroenke, Spitzer, & Williams, 2001), ‘Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index’ (PSQI) (Buysse, Reynolds, Monk, Berman, & Kupfer, 1989) and ‘Global Physical Activity Questionnaire’ (GPAQ) (World Health Organization, 2005). Low EE was reported by 52.5% of women, while 31.7% moderate and 15.8% high stress. As expected, BMI was significantly greater in the high EE group than in moderate and low groups. A total of 42.8% of the women reported depressive symptoms, 27.0% anxiety, 71.0% moderate stress and 12.5% severe stress. Higher perceived stress, BMI, fat intake, number of meals per day, sugary food consumption and frequent fast food intake positively correlated with EE scores. No relationship was found between EE and anxiety, depression, physical activity and sleep quality. The authors speculated that, under the stressful circumstances of COVID-19 pandemic, EE might be interpreted as an adaptive mechanism for managing negative emotions. Surprisingly, both baseline low family income and increased family income during the pandemic were negatively associated with EE scores, however, this finding, albeit intriguing requires further investigations.

The study of Ammar and colleagues (2020) reported the preliminary data from an online survey collected in a sample of 1047 participants from Asia (36%), Africa (40%), Europe (21%) and others (3%). The study was part of a larger survey that assessed the ‘Effects of home Confinement on multiple Lifestyle Behaviours during the COVID-19 outbreak (ECLB-COVID19)’. Questions were presented for comparing ‘before’ vs. ‘during’ confinement conditions. The authors investigated changes in physical activity with the ‘International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short Form’ (IPAQ-SF) (Craig et al., 2003), and dietary behaviours during lockdown with a newly developed crisis-oriented questionnaire, the ‘Short Diet Behaviour Questionnaire for Lockdowns’ (SDBQ-L) (Brach et al., 2020). The authors highlighted that participants increased the consumption of unhealthy food, snacking between meals, eating out of control, and the frequency of main meals. The total score of diet increased significantly during confinement. Moreover, the time spent for physical activity decreased under confinement condition (days/week, 24%; minutes/day, 33.5%) meanwhile sedentary behaviour, such as the daily sitting time, increased more than 28% (from 5 to 8 hours per day). As a result, home confinement during COVID-19 pandemic dramatically reduced physical activity and altered eating behaviours in an unhealthy way.

One retrospective study investigated the effects of quarantine on BMI and sleep quality in Italian adults (N=121) (Barrea et al., 2020). Data were collected at baseline in their obesity outpatient clinic and after 40 days of quarantine by telephone interview (T1). Physical activity, working modalities and sleep quality were assessed at T1. Sleep quality was evaluated with ‘Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index’ (PSQI) (Buysse et al., 1989). All participants reported a reduction in physical activity during the quarantine. After the quarantine, a significant increase in BMI was observed, particularly in normal weight and obesity of grade I, and II. Meanwhile, sleep quality worsened, except for severe obesity (grade III). Smart working negatively influenced sleep quality in all participants, with a greater worsening effect in men.

The study of Flandias and colleagues (2020) is an ancillary project drawn from a larger database. An online questionnaire was distributed over 2 days to French undergraduate students (N=5738), 10 days after the declaration of the lockdown. Participants were evaluated with ‘10-item Social Provision Scale’ (SPS-10) (Cutrona & Russell, 1983), ‘Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale’ (HADS) (Zigmond & Snaith, 1983), ‘Perceived Stress Scale’ (PSS) (Cohen et al., 1983), body dissatisfaction and impulse regulation subscales of the ‘Eating Disorder Inventory, 2nd edition’ (EDI-2) (Garner, 1991), an EDs screening tool, ‘Sick, Control, One, Fat, Food’ (SCOFF) (Garcia et al., 2010), and the ‘Ideal Body Stereotype Scale’ (IBSS) (Stice & Agras, 1998). Variables such as lockdown-related stress, COVID-
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19-related stress and media exposure to COVID-19 were also collected. The increased stress related to the lockdown, social distancing and disruptions in daily routines, was associated with a greater likelihood of reporting binge eating and dietary restrictions during the past week, and with higher intentions to engage in such problematic eating behaviours over the next two weeks. Moreover, greater exposure to COVID-19-related media was associated with the intent to engage in binge eating. Meanwhile, a relationship between concerns related to COVID-19 pandemic itself, such as fear of contagion, and disturbed eating behaviours was not found. As expected, pre-existing eating concerns were identified as risk factors for the development of problematic eating behaviours during stressful situations, such as the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown are. In summary, the authors highlighted a strong relationship between problematic eating behaviours and stress related to the lockdown. The measure of stress used in the study included social distancing and disruption of daily routines that might promote a wide range of negative effects and increase problematic eating behaviours.

Haddad and colleagues (2020) compared a group of people attending diet clinic for weight loss management (N=177), supposed to have more problematic eating behaviours, and a group from the general population (N=228) in Lebanon. The study aimed at evaluating the association between lockdown stressors and eating behaviours and to compare the two groups of participants in terms of vulnerability. Participants were evaluated regarding quarantine and confinement stressor, current fear of COVID-19, and by using the ‘Lebanese anxiety scale’ (LAS) (Hallit et al., 2020), ‘Short boredom proneness scale’ (SBPS) (Struk, Carriere, Cheyne, & Danckert, 2017), ‘Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire’ (EDE- Q) (Fairburn & Beglin, 2008) and the anger subscale of the ‘Buss-Perry scale’ (Buss & Perry, 1992). The authors found that 44.8% of participants exhibited a high fear of COVID-19. Physical exercise during confinement, female gender, BMI and higher anxiety were positively associated with higher severity of EDs, amongst both dietician’s clients and the general population. In participants with a higher risk for developing problematic eating behaviours (dietician clients’ group), the higher EDs severity was associated with a greater fear of COVID-19. This result partially confirmed that stressful events might worsen disturbed eating behaviours, particularly in vulnerable population. In this study, the authors highlighted that social distancing was associated with shape and weight concerns only in the control group. Meanwhile, amongst dietician’s clients’, both a higher boredom and number of adults living in the same house during the quarantine were associated with higher shape and weight concern scores, while confirming the negative impact of functional restrictions and changes in daily routines on disturbed eating behaviours.

The study by Phillipou et al. (2020) explored changes in eating and exercise behaviors following the official announcement of COVID pandemic in Australia, comparing subjects with and without a history of EDs in a general population sample, aged >18 years. The main hypothesis of the study was that subjects with a self-reported history of EDs might report significant changes in their habits more than subjects without EDs, especially in four behaviors during the pandemic, namely: restricting, binge-eating, purging and physical exercise. The study was conducted with a series of 72 hours open anonymous online surveys, each month, for one year, and was part of a larger survey, named COLLATE (COVID-19 and you: mental health in Australia now survey). Of the original 8,014 individuals enrolled in the COLLATE survey, 5,469 completed the eating and exercise behaviors section. Subjects with a positive a history of EDs were 180, of whom 88 with AN, 23 with BN, 6 with BED, 4 with ED-NOS and 68 who did not specify the EDs subtype. Given the diagnostic distribution, the most interesting findings were from the AN subgroup. Indeed, these subjects noted significant change in their eating and exercise behaviors, with an increase in restricting behaviors (67.1%), in binge eating (20.5%) or in purging (18.2%). Half of the subjects (48.9%) reported increased physical exercise in order to achieve more control on body shape and caloric intake. The same variables investigated in the subjects with no EDs, did not reveal any changes in the amount of food restricted, or on binge eating or purging behaviors. Only the 27.6% of the no-EDs sample reported a greater level of food restriction than before COVID-19. Conversely, 34.6% reported an increase in binge eating spectrum behaviors. Only one-third of the sample (34.8%) showed an increase in physical exercise routine, whereas 43.4% reported a decrease e the remaining no change. To summarize, this study provided evidence regarding the worsening of eating spectrum signs, symptoms and behaviors during pandemic,
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mainly when a history of EDs was present. Interestingly, the most represented diagnostic group was AN, while BN and BED seems to be rare. It is also noteworthy that body dysmorphic disorder (BDD) was detected as comorbid with AN only in the 1.1% of the sample. These findings are surprising and maybe due to the self-report design of the survey. We could speculate that AN subjects might be more reliable and more precise in describing their habits than their body dissatisfaction, if compared with subjects with BN or BED. We could also hypothesize that the ‘restrictor’ habits are less related to a negative stigma than bingeeing or purging, and might be more easily self-reported in an epidemiological survey.

Weight stigma has been considered as a relevant variable in a study conducted on a subsample derived from a longitudinal cohort study, the EAT 2010-2018, and labeled as COVID-19 Eating and Activity over Time Study (C-EAT) (Puhl, Lessard, Larson, Eisenberg, & Neumark-Stzainer, 2020). The main aim of C-EAT survey was to explore how weight-related health behaviors may change as a result of events related to COVID-19. More in detail, it was considered how high body weight subjects perceived the social stigma linked to obesity during pandemic, and how such stigma contributed to the adoption of unhealthy eating behaviors, and a lower physical activity. Individuals who experienced pre-pandemic weight stigma were compared with those who did not. In agreement with the original assumption, the majority of the overall sample reported that COVID-19 negatively affected mood and anxiety levels, raising stress, and exacerbating problems with food intake. The comparison between the two samples (stigma perceived before COVID vs. stigma perceived during COVID) revealed that pre-pandemic experiences of weight stigma predicted higher levels of stress, depressive symptoms, eating to cope with stress, and an increased likelihood of binge eating among young adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, no significant interactions based on gender were found, indicating that these adverse health outcomes associated with weight stigma were present regardless of gender identity. In summary, young adults who experienced weight stigma before COVID showed increased vulnerability for binge eating and psychological distress during the pandemic. No information was provided regarding other bio-psychosocial variables, such as the interpersonal environment of subjects or the role of attachment figures.

Changes in caloric food intake were also found in a web-based survey carried out in France during the first days of containment due to COVID-19, on more than 11.000 participants (Rolland et al., 2020). Apparently, respondents reported an increase on all evaluated addiction-related habits, including caloric/salty food (28.4%). However, use/abuse of caloric foods was under-represented, if compared with screen use/abuse (64.6%), or tobacco (35.6%) and cannabis use (31.2%), and more represented than alcohol use (24.8%). Not surprisingly, female gender, age less than 29 years, being locked down in a more confined space, being locked down alone, and reporting current or past psychiatric treatment were all factors of increased caloric/salty food intake.

Scharmer et al. (2020) investigated the potential relationships between COVID-19 pandemic, anxiety levels, subjective intolerance of uncertainty and the risk for EDs pathology/compulsive exercise, in a sample of 295 undergraduate women in USA. Subjects were evaluated with the ‘Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire’ (EDE-Q)(Berg, Peterson, Frazier, & Crow, 2012; Fairburn & Beglin, 2008), the ‘Fear of Illness Evaluation’ (FIVE) (Ehrenreich-May, 2020), the ‘State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-Trait Subscale’ (STA-I-T) (Barnes, Harp & Young, 2002), the ‘Compulsive Exercise Test’ (CET) (Taranis, Touyz, & Meyer, 2011), the ‘Intolerance of uncertainty scale-short form’ (IUS) (Nicholas Carleton, Sharpe, & Asmundson, 2007) and the ‘Godin Leisure-time Exercise Questionnaire’ (GLETQ) (Godin, 2011). They basically explored the effects of four different variables on EDs and compulsive exercise, namely the levels of anxiety correlated to COVID-19 (‘anxiety as a state’), the intolerance to uncertainty correlated to COVID-19 (‘intolerance as a state’), the ‘anxiety levels’ as a stable trait, and the ‘levels of intolerance to uncertainty’ as a trait. Their results showed that both the state anxiety and the state of intolerance of uncertainty were positively related to EDs, but not with compulsive exercise. By contrast, compulsive exercise and EDs were influenced by the intolerance of uncertainty as a trait. Moreover, subjects with lower levels of pre-existing COVID-19 anxiety (i.e., anxiety as a trait) were the most negatively affected by anxiety.
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and uncertainty due to COVID-19, both on EDs symptoms and in compulsive exercise. In summary, the authors concluded that stable traits of anxiety and uncertainty were more relevant in worsening EDs and compulsive exercise than the current levels of the same subjective variables.

A new approach to the evaluation of disordered eating behaviors in the general population has been adopted by Nutley et al. (2021) that analyzed the experiences posted on the internet-based social media platform Reddit, by worldwide users, consisting in stories, web content ratings and discussion communities. They coded posts as belonging to categories used to construct specific themes. Six ‘primary themes’ emerged, namely: worsening in EDs symptoms (including, negative body image), change in exercise routine (also because exercise facilities were closed or inaccessible), impact of quarantine on daily life (change in routine/environment, food hoarding or shortages) emotional well-being, help-seeking behavior (willingness to recover, inability to receive treatments, advices’ requests from other Reddit users, seeking help), associated risks (including, substance use behaviors), and adverse health outcomes. Feelings of isolation, frustration, and anxiety were also described as common.

3.2 COVID-19 and lockdown consequences in patients with EDs
Branley-Bell & Talbot (2020) collected data from 80 subjects with current EDs and 49 in recovery with the aim of understand the impact of COVID-19 pandemic in people with experiences of ED. A mixed-methods online survey was developed. Participants completed open-ended questions related to the impact of lockdown and some validated questionnaires to assess mental well-being, ‘Short Warwick-Edinburgh mental wellbeing scale’ (SWEMWBS) (Tennant et al., 2007), perceived stress, ‘Perceived Stress Scale’ (PSS) (Cohen et al., 1983), social support, ‘ENRICHD social support instrument’ (ESSI)(Vaglio et al., 2004), perceived control, ‘Shapiro Control Inventory’ (SCI) (Shapiro, 1994) and rumination, ‘Rumination Response Scale for Eating Disorders’ (RRS-ED) (Cowdrey & Park, 2011). The majority of participants (86.7%) reported that ED symptoms had worsened as a result of the pandemic. Only 2 participants reported an improvement in symptoms. Participants in remission exhibited higher mental wellbeing, lower perceived stress, higher social support, and higher perceived control as compared with participants with a current ED.

The study of Fernández-Aranda and colleagues (2020) aimed at evaluating the impact of home-confinement, in terms of changes in eating behaviours and symptoms, in a sample of 87 EDs patients and 34 obese patients. EDs patients were recruited in centres representative of the public and private sectors of ED treatment services in Barcelona: 55 were suffering from AN, 18 from BN, and 14 from other specified feeding or eating disorders (OSFED), according to DSM-5 criteria. Obese patients were recruited at the Endocrinology Unit at the Clinic Hospital of Barcelona. The authors collected data with the COVID Isolation Eating Scale (CIES), a newly created questionnaire that investigated four different domains: circumstances during confinement, effects of confinement on EDs symptoms, behavioural and psychopathological impact of confinement and evaluation of online intervention, considering ‘before’ vs. ‘after confinement’. Psychometric properties of the CIES were analysed and factor analysis confirmed the rational structure of the CIES. In contrast with previous studies, the disordered eating improved in almost all participants, during confinement. The impact of COVID-19 pandemic was mixed and varied in the given diagnostic distribution. Surprisingly, AN patients reported a decrease in EDs symptoms and in emotion dysregulation; obese patients showed a significant decrease in BMI and in eating symptomatology; BN and OSFED patients exhibited no significant changes before and after confinement. Patients with OSFED deserved special attention: although changes between pre- and post-confinement did not reach the statistical significance, they reported the highest impairment in psychopathology. This finding highlighted the need to promptly identify vulnerable subjects that may be more sensitive to adverse events. Concerning the use of telemedicine, AN patients expressed the greatest dissatisfactions and difficulties with online treatments.

The longitudinal study of Castellini and colleagues (2020) aimed to test three main hypotheses: EDs patients might represent a more vulnerable population to the effects of COVID-19 pandemic; recovery process might be affected by the lockdown circumstances; finally, factors preceding pandemic might be associated with worsening of psychopathology during lockdown. Data were collected from 74 patients
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with a current DSM-5 diagnosis of AN or BN, attending an individual Enhanced Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (Fairburn, 2008) at an Outpatient Clinic for EDs. A group of 97 healthy controls (HC) was also enrolled. Both groups were evaluated before lockdown (T1) and during lockdown (T2), while AN and BN patients were also evaluated at the beginning of the treatment (T0). T0, T1 and T2 assessment included ‘Brief Symptom Inventory’, (BSI) (Derogatis, 1983) and ‘Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire’, (EDE-Q) (Fairburn & Beglin, 2008). At T0 the ‘Childhood Trauma Questionnaire-Short Form’, (CTQ-SF) (Bernstein et al., 2003) and the ‘Experiences in Close Relationships–Revised’, (ECR-R) (Fraley, Waller, & Brennan, 2000) were also administered. Moreover, during lockdown (T2), the ‘Impact of Event Scale-Revised’ (IES-R) (Weiss & Marmar, 1997), adapted for COVID-19 pandemic, was administered. Not surprisingly, ED patients were more vulnerable to lockdown effects than HC, as confirmed by their increase in pathological eating behaviours (objective binge eating and compensatory physical exercise). Moreover, EDs group reported higher COVID-19 related post-traumatic stress symptoms, as compared with HC group. While comparing baseline to T1, EDs patients reported an improvement of general psychopathology and ED specific symptoms, objective binge eating and compensatory physical exercise. Moreover, BMI increased significantly in AN patients, while remained stable in BN patients. Comparisons between pre- and post-lockdown (T1-T2) revealed that EDs patients increased physical exercise during lockdown, despite the initial improvement. Some specific differences between diagnostic subgroups emerged: AN patients reported an improvement in BMI and specific EDs psychopathology, while BN patients exhibited an increase of objective binge eating. Despite ten full remissions and 19 partial remissions at T1, ten subjects reported relapse into BN at T2. No significant change was found in the HC group. Interestingly, the main factor of increase in pathological physical exercise was household arguments, while fear of safety for the loved one predicted a higher increase in binge eating episodes. Childhood trauma and insecure attachment style resulted to be factors for COVID-19-related PTS symptoms in AN patients. In summary, EDs patients, and particularly those suffering from BN were more vulnerable to the impact of lockdown. Indeed, lockdown interfered with recovery process in terms of relapses into pathological eating behaviours, such as compulsive physical exercise and exacerbations of binge eating. Results in AN patients appeared more controversial, as BMI and EDs psychopathology constantly improved, despite the exacerbation of compulsive exercise.

Changes in help-seeking behaviors from EDs subjects and their caregivers due to COVID pandemic was the focus of a Canadian study that retrospectively analyzed part of the information collected by the National Eating Information Centre (CEDIC), a national no-profit organization that, since 1985, operates toll-free helpline and instant chat service for patients with eating disorders (Richardson, Patton, Phillips, & Paslakis, 2020). The proportion of EDs patients who called the CEDIC describing dieting/restriction, over-exercising, perfectionism, purging, anxiety, and depression was significantly higher in 2020 than in both 2018 and 2019. The most relevant issues in 2020 were related to the difficulties in accessing treatments because of the pandemic, and to an urgent need for support, due to a worsening of symptoms, and a subjective feeling of losing control over eating symptoms and behaviors, with significant changes in food intake. Interestingly, there were a significantly higher number of teenagers who contacted NEDIC in 2020 compared to 2018 and 2019 (28.70%; age 15-19 vs. 12.69% in 2018 and 11.22% in 2019), maybe because teenagers were not attending school in person and had no regular access to their usual support networks and structures (guidance counselors, or teachers).

Schlegl and Colleagues (2020) published two papers based on an online survey for patients with EDs, interviewed during COVID-19 pandemic. The first study was carried out in a sample of 55 former female inpatients with BN (age range 17-46 years) (Schlegl, Meule, Favreau, & Voderholzer, 2020). They were evaluated by a self-developed questionnaire assessing psychological consequences of pandemic, such as the overall impact on EDs symptoms and on quality of life (QoL), the adverse effect on therapies, the incidence of new symptoms, worries regarding infections, relapses, food insecurity or job, general psychopathology, interpersonal conflicts and health care utilization. Enjoyable activities, virtual social contact with friends and mild physical exercises were also considered among the helpful strategies adopted by patients to face pandemic stressful events. In summary,
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results were discouraging: more than half of interviewed patients described a significant worsening of their bulimic symptoms and QoL. They also described a worsening of depressive spectrum signs and symptoms together with general psychopathology levels in around 80% of cases. Binge eating increased as well as self-induced vomiting, laxative use and diuretic abuse. A high drive for activity was present in around 60% of sample. Moreover, patients described difficulties with daily routine, especially for meals. The worsening of symptoms was also related to the interruption of face-to-face therapies in more than half of patients, with only 20% opting for alternative treatment modalities, such as video-conference-based therapies.

The second study was conducted on a sample of 159 former AN inpatients (age range 14-62 years), with the same modalities of that on BN patients (Schlegl, Maier, et al., 2020). In this case, results were less discouraging. Indeed, even if 70% of patients reported that eating, shape and weight concerns, drive for physical activity, loneliness, sadness, and inner restlessness increased during pandemic, there was also a sub-sample of 41 patients (25.8%) describing positive consequences, in terms of a reduction in overall symptoms and of an enhancement of subjective responsibility to recover. Moreover, videoconference therapy was used by 26% and telephone contacts by 35% of patients.

A two-site-online study in USA and the Netherlands recruited subjects with EDs (AN, BN, and BED) via social media, or through emails when they were already enrolled in previous surveys (Termorshuizen et al., 2020). In this study, variables such as exposure to virus, diagnosis in self or relatives, impact of COVID-19 on family members’ health or employment, and level of lockdown were collected together with the impact of pandemic on EDs, that was evaluated with a 4-point Likert-scale asking general questions on health subjective perception and specific questions on symptoms, such as binge eating. A 7-point Likert scale was also administered to explore worries about exposure and/or contracting the virus. Finally, a free text item inquired changes to EDs treatments, including frequency of treatment sessions and subjective perception of quality of treatments. Patients with AN described mainly fears about the availability of foods chosen for their ‘special’ meals. Moreover, they reported an exacerbation of food restriction. Patients with BN and BED increased binge and purge episodes. Positive effects of pandemic were described also in this survey, especially on both the interpersonal (greater connection with relatives) and the motivational side. All groups described difficulties in accessing their treatments.

Two studies reported inconclusive and counter trend results. The first one was an online survey conducted in UK on a very small sample of 32 subjects >17 years old with current or previous EDs, invited with posts on Twitter (McCombie, Austin, Dalton, Lawrence, & Schmidt, 2020). Participants were asked to describe their EDs status (current/partial recovery/full recovery), and to report on their illness duration. They were evaluated with the “Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire” (EDE-Q), the “Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scales-Version 21” (DASS-21), and with a six open-ended questions interview, exploring the subjective experience of pandemic. EDs symptoms were described as exacerbated by pandemic, and by the associated changes in lifestyle in 88% of cases. Physical and psychological isolation, worry about the future, fear around a ‘return to normal life’, changes in daily routine were the main topics. However, the 72% of participants described also the positive effect of having more space and time for healing and self-care, and having less pressure to engage in social activities. The second study was an online survey conducted in Germany on a heterogeneous sample (n=2233), in which several mental disorders (including EDs) were assessed (Quittkat et al., 2020). Participants were recruited through university press releases, e-mail lists, flyer, social media, institutions for education in psychotherapy, outpatients departments, mental hospitals, self-help groups and assisted living departments; comparisons were made between individuals with and without mental disorders. According to this exploratory study, no changes in the level of EDs symptoms were found, and no trend toward a change in pathology.

3.3 Key themes for patients with EDs during pandemic

Three qualitative studies explored the impact of COVID-19 outbreak and associated lockdown
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measures on patients with self-reported EDs (Branley-Bell & Talbot, 2020; S. M. Brown et al., 2020) or patients with AN and their carers (Clark Bryan et al., 2020).

As a consequence of the intense media reports on COVID-19 8 (the so-called ‘infodemic’), levels of anxiety increased, with an exacerbation of fear of contamination and washing compulsions (Clark Bryan et al., 2020). Some patients revealed that fear of contamination concerned primarily the health of the elderly and more vulnerable loved ones rather than themselves (S. M. Brown et al., 2020).

The introduction of lockdown measures and social isolation was associated with negative mental health outcomes, sense of loneliness, tendency to become more focused on food, rumination over food intake, disordered eating behaviours, such as restrictions or binge eating, and compulsive physical exercise (Branley-Bell & Talbot, 2020; S. M. Brown et al., 2020; Clark Bryan et al., 2020).

Moreover, the imposed functional restrictions disrupted daily-established routines that needed to be adapted to the uncertainty of the continuously changing environment. Again, patients reported increased anxiety for the need to deconstruct rigid regimens and to adjust them to the current situation (S. M. Brown et al., 2020) and experienced reduced motivation for recovery (Branley-Bell & Talbot, 2020; Clark Bryan et al., 2020). This led to exacerbate EDs symptoms and to actively engage in disordered eating behaviours that provided a sense of control (Branley-Bell & Talbot, 2020).

Besides, the increased amount of time spent online harmed those suffering for EDs. The enhance exposure to triggering contents, such as messages promoting in-house physical exercise or food recipes, and the easy access to extreme content (such as pro-AN content) was detrimental for most participants (Branley-Bell & Talbot, 2020). Only social support perceived through social media (WhatsApp, etc) represented a resource for reducing the lockdown sense of isolation (Branley-Bell & Talbot, 2020).

Brown and colleagues (2020) pointed out that lockdown measures might also have a positive effect and lead to recovery, depending on the participants’ working and living situation (alone vs. with family or partner or friends), and EDs progression. Some participants reported increased self-responsibility, intentionality in planning their actions, and increased attempts for a self-management that improved their EDs behaviours.

All studies highlighted the role of the accessibility to professional support as a crucial determinant of mental wellbeing: patients complained about discrepancies in service provision, premature discharge and suspension of treatments that increased anxiety and feelings of being abandoned. (Branley-Bell & Talbot, 2020; S. M. Brown et al., 2020; Clark Bryan et al., 2020). Although the continuation of treatments through online delivery was described as a positive factor, this was not seen as a ‘valuable alternative’ but just as the ‘only possibility’ (Branley-Bell & Talbot, 2020).

4 DISCUSSION

COVID-19 pandemic significantly modified daily life, interpersonal relationships, and individual perception of the future, thus promoting anxiety, stress, and uncertainty (Conversano et al., 2020). From a psychological perspective, pandemic provoked negative effects on mental wellbeing in two ways: first, patients already affected by EDs might experience a worsening of their clinical conditions and QoL; second, psychiatric disorders, such as EDs, might be triggered in predisposed subjects who had a diathesis for a specific disorder by the exceptional conditions deriving from COVID-19 related stress. Therefore, studies in EDs focused their attention on both areas. We list herein the most relevant issues collected from selected observations:

- Food access limitation is considered by the majority of studies as one of the most relevant risk factors for both triggering and exacerbating EDs during COVID-19 pandemic. Subjects who previously had never experienced ‘food insecurity’ described, for the first time, an intense fear of having limited access to shops, or of finding empty shelves, long lines, and unavailability of specific foods considered essential to their diets. Patients already suffering for AN-R, or subjects with avoidant/restrictive food intake spectrum habits not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for an EDs, reported a disturbing experience of reduced access to their ‘comfortable types and brand of foods’. As a consequence, they skipped meals or exacerbated dietary restraint in
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order to ration the ‘essential types of food they needed’. Equally, food insecurity may trigger food hoarding and overconsumption with compensatory behaviors in subjects with AN-BP, BN or BED. Moreover, even in subjects with no diagnosis of EDs, food insecurity may increase ideational polarization on meals, thus raising the risk of binge eating, and the potential consequences in terms of body image changes.

- Changes in physical activities routines: Patients with AN experienced a worsening of their preoccupation on weight and body shape due to the difficulties in continuing their compensatory behaviors, including compulsive physical exercise during pandemic. However, we would propose a different interpretation of this phenomenon described by several studies, as we are of the opinion that one of the most relevant issues during pandemic is the overall change in daily routines, including, although not exclusively, the limitations of physical activities. For example, the ‘social zeitgeber’ hypothesis posits that unstable or disrupted daily routines might lead to circadian rhythm instability and, in vulnerable individuals, to mood instability, sleep disorders and dietary disruptions (Frank et al., 2005, 2008). According to this model, psychosocial factors would interact with chrono-biological mechanisms to create and/or facilitate a pathway towards psychopathology (Palagini et al., 2021).

- Restrictions or difficulties in healthcare access: This factor was obviously more relevant for EDs patients than for general population, considering that they might have unstable physical conditions requiring a frequent medical monitoring and lab tests. However, in several countries, the usual medical services have been converted to services exclusively dedicated to COVID patients, thus limiting the access for other medical reasons, and raising the subjective sense of uncertainty for the overall population (Marazziti et al., 2020). Telemedicine check-ups have been proposed as an alternative approach, but it is obvious that the monitor of weight changes, or vital signs is limited during a long-distance follow-up.

- The role of anxiety levels: The COVID pandemic represented and still represents an unpredictable general health threat, leading to a diffuse sense of uncertainty and a rising in anxiety levels. Several studies already highlighted how EDs patients might show higher levels of intolerance for uncertainty than general population (M. Brown et al., 2017). Moreover, as already pointed out, routines changes that could lead to body weight modifications may exacerbate additional concerns in EDs patients. Therefore, EDs stereotyped behaviors play a role in controlling anxiety levels and in managing negative emotions (Kesby, Maguire, Brownlow, & Grisham, 2017); both generalized uncertainty and anxiety associated with pandemic, and weight and shape specific uncertainty or changes, contributed to increase subjective discomfort. However, according to our observations, less importance has been given to the cognitive and affective sides of such discomfort, related to the interpersonal deficits deriving from pandemic, and on changes in interpersonal relationships, even if it is well-known that EDs can be triggered or worsened by interpersonal difficulties and/or disruptions. Binge eating and dietary restraint tend to occur in the context of (or are exacerbated by) adverse interpersonal events (Miniati, Callari, Maglio, & Calogi, 2018). During COVID-19 pandemic, characterized by protracted lockdowns and induced interpersonal deficits, EDs patients might have experienced a worsening of their symptoms as a direct consequence of deprivation in relationships with significant ones.

- Social isolation and home confinement: COVID-19 restrictions provoked a significant impact on social interactions. EDs patients, who were home-confined with family members, experienced feelings of scrutiny and pressure to recover one the one side, meanwhile conflicts and difficulties in relationships with ‘no way out’, acted as a maintenance factor for EDs symptoms. As other authors pointed out (Hilleghe, Beale, & McMaster, 2006), family relationships influenced the onset and maintenance of EDs symptoms, but also EDs might influence family dynamics, thus creating a negative feedback loop. For those who were living alone, the main challenge was to cope with the feeling of loneliness contributing to and fueling ED symptoms and vice-versa (Levine, 2012). As a consequence of the fact that nobody would see and recognize the loss of weight and/or physical deterioration, EDs patients easily increased compulsive pathological behaviors. Furthermore, some important elements of the recovery process, such as social eating, external distractions or physical connection with people, were
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replaced by rumination on food or physical activities and then caused relapses. Given that, although home confinement was highly commendable and necessary to limit the spread of the pandemic, the specific characteristics of EDs patients should be taken into account in order to plan specific interventions.

- **The problem of EDs in adolescents and young adults:** According to the current literature, no special attention has been devoted to the differences between adolescents/young adults and the rest of the populations, despite researches conducted before pandemic already demonstrated that approximately 85% of adolescents were unhappy with their body (Ricciardelli & McCabe, 2001), and that 50-60% of adolescents and 25% of emerging adults reported ‘disturbed eating behaviors’ (e.g., fasting, taking diet pills or laxatives, vomiting, binge-eating) (Croll, Neumark-Sztainer, Story, & Ireland, 2002; Quick & Byrd-Bredbenner, 2013). Moreover, it is well known that maladaptive eating behaviors can be associated with several indicators of psychological distress, such as depressive symptoms or low self-esteem, independently from pandemic (Liechty & Lee, 2013), and that they represent major risk factors for the development of EDs (Neumark-Sztainer et al., 2006; Stice & Whitenton, 2002). Therefore, we were wondering on the ‘specific’ role of COVID-19 pandemic, and on the relationships between new potential stressors and the worsening/triggering of EDs in adolescents/young adults. Even in this case, we found the same three main observations: concerns about access to and affordability of food, worries regarding infection, food insecurity, and, finally, limited access to psychological treatments, but this last factor seems to be of minor impact.

4.1 Study limitations

Across studies, the main weaknesses were due to limited assessment of pre-lockdown EDs symptoms, and to the absence of comparison groups. Moreover, in some studies, the EDs diagnoses were self-reported and specific information on disorders was not collected. Participants were mostly recruited online, via social media, resulting in samples being biased towards those who were familiar with the use of technology. The discrepancy in data collection points (early stage of lockdown vs. late stage) made difficult to compare the main findings.

5 CONCLUSION

During COVID-19 pandemic, medical practice should seek to maximize the access to treatments, while maintaining social distancing. It is essential that EDs patients remain in touch with their professional supports, to ensure that their health status is monitored. There is an urgent need to better understand the efficacy of the online delivery of treatments for EDs and to improve both the accessibility and acceptability (Barney, Buckelew, Mesheriakova, & Raymond-Flesch, 2020). We notice some criticisms of online surveys and long-distance management of EDs patients, such as limited accessibility, mainly because of technical issues (software, technical support etc.), difficulties experienced by clinicians in conducting online visits, the need for improvement in online software for the vital signs’ check, and privacy issues, especially for the youngest patients who are living with their relatives. Even with these limitations, telemedicine seems to be the most easy way in the mid-term for the management of EDs patients during pandemic, considering that we still do not know as yet how long pandemic will last, and that a number of ED patients may have physical complications of their psychiatric illness that raise risks in case of COVID-19 infection.
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## Eating Disorders Spectrum during COVID Pandemic: a systematic review

Table 1: Studies on Eating Disorders Spectrum during COVID-19 pandemic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Main finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al-Musharaf (2020)</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
<td>N=638 female students or graduate</td>
<td>Saudi</td>
<td>May 2020</td>
<td>EES, PSS-10, GAD-7, PHQ-9, PSQI, GPAQ</td>
<td>Low EE in 52.5% of women; moderate EE in 31.7%, 15.8% high EE in 15.8%. More than 42% were depressed, 27.0% were anxious; 71.0% reported moderate stress and 12.5% severe stress. Fat intake (β=0.192, p=.004), number of meals (β=0.187, p&lt;.001), sugary food consumption (β=0.150, p&lt;.001), BMI (β=0.149, p&lt;.001), PSS (β=0.143, p=.004), energy intake (β=0.134, p=.043), and fast food intake (β=0.127, p=.005) positively predicted EES score; increase in family income negatively associated with EES scores.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammar et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
<td>N=1047</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>April 2020</td>
<td>SWEMWBS, SMFQ, SLSQL, SSPQL, PSQI, STBQL, IPAQ-SF, SBDQL, PSQI</td>
<td>Home confinement had negative effects on all levels of physical activity, namely: reduction of number of minutes/day of PA (Pre vs Post: 108.0±114.2 vs 71.8±88.2, p&lt;.001) and day/week (Pre vs Post: 5.04±2.51 vs 3.83±2.82, p&lt;.001); increase of sitting hours/day (Pre vs Post: 5.31±3.65 vs 8.41±5.11, p&lt;.001). Unhealthy patterns of food consumption (unhealthy food, eating out of control, snacking between meals and number of main meals) were reported (Pre vs Post, t=-10.66, p&lt;.001, d=0.50).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrea et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>N=121 (mean age=44.9±13.3)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>T0: Jan-Mar 2020T1; May 2020 (40 days after lockdown)</td>
<td>PSQI</td>
<td>Quarantine associated with significant reduction in physical activity (Pre vs Post, 62.5% vs 39.3%, p=.004), increase in BMI (Pre vs Post, 32.6±6.0 vs 33.3±6.2, p&lt;.001), decrease in sleep quality (Pre vs Post PSQI score: 6.37±3.96 vs 8.64±3.73, p&lt;.001). Smart working associated to deterioration of sleep quality, more pronounced in male (M vs F, ΔPSQI=151.41±94.33 vs 87.29±115.52, p&lt;.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branley-Bell and Talbot (2020)</td>
<td>Mixed-methods online survey</td>
<td>N=129 (mean age=29.3±9.0, 62% current ED, 38% in recovery)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Apr 2020 (14 days after lockdown)</td>
<td>SWEMWBS, SSP-4, ESSI, SCI, RRS-ED</td>
<td>Participants in remission showed higher mental wellbeing (17.66±2.48 vs 16.35±3.24, p&lt;.05), lower perceived stress (13.10±2.71 vs 14.66±2.61, p&lt;.001), higher social support (21.94±6.47 vs 19.76±5.71, p&lt;.05) and higher perceived control (62.71±12.74 vs 54.52±13.15, p&lt;.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Qualitative study</td>
<td>N=10 participants with ED (mean age=29.6)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>May-Jun 2020 (45 day after lockdown)</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
<td>Lockdown as catalyst for either disordered eating behaviours or the effort to recover, depending on participants’ living and work situation, and EDs progression. Structures of social and functional restrictions and accessibility to professional support are crucial determinants for mental well-being.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Studies on Eating Disorders Spectrum during COVID-19 pandemic (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author et al. (2020)</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Main finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Castellini et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Longitudinal cohort study</td>
<td>N=169 (44% patients with AN or BN, mean age 31.74±12.76, 56% HC, mean age 30.45±10.89)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>T0: beginning of treatment, Jan–Sept 2019</td>
<td>BSI, EDE-Q, CTQ-SF, ECR-R, IES-R</td>
<td>T0-T1: improvement in psychopathology (Cohen's d for AN: 0.39; Cohen's d for BN: 0.52) and reduction of monthly objective binge eating (Cohen's d for AN: 0.41; Cohen's d for BN: 1.06). Increase in BMI for AN (Cohen's d:0.54). T1-T2: increase in compulsive exercise (Cohen's d for AN: 0.32; Cohen's d for BN: 0.30), increase of monthly objective binge eating for BN (Cohen's d:0.32). AN reported an increase in BMI (Cohen's d: 0.71) and specific ED psychopathology (Cohen's d: 0.26). EDs group reported higher COVID-19 related post-traumatic stress symptoms as compared to HC group (22.07±15.90 vs 17.96±11.41, Cohen's d: 0.30). Household arguments associated with a higher increase in pathological physical exercise during lockdown (T1:0.53±1.34, T2:2.33 ±5.76 vs. T1:0.94±1.61, T2:7.56±11.34, p=.014, Cohen's d for patients reporting this factor: 0.62). Fear for loved ones safety predicted an increase in binge-eating episodes (T1: 1.39±2.13, T2:2.24±5.17 vs T1:0.83±1.47, T2:5.05±6.99, p=.012, Cohen's d for patients reporting this factor: 0.67). Risk factors for Covid-19-related PTS symptoms in AN: childhood trauma (β=0.34, p=.031), and insecure attachment style (β=0.38, p=.044).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark Bryan et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Qualitative study</td>
<td>N=21 patients with AN (mean age=25.5±5.6) N=28 carers (mean age =54.0±7.3)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Apr 2020</td>
<td>Semi-structured interviews</td>
<td>Key themes for AN: reduced access to ED services; disruption to routine and activities in the community; heightened psychological distress and ED symptoms; increased attempts at self-management in recovery Key themes for carers: concern over provision of professional support for patients; increased practical demands placed on careers in lockdown; managing new challenges around patients’ wellbeing; new opportunities, perspectives and approaches. AN group: significant decrease of scores for eating related symptoms (Pre vs Post, 11.87±6.79 vs 9.40±5.61, p=.015), effects of confinement on eating related style (Pre vs Post, 8.76±9.61 vs 6.11±6.94, p=.023) and changes in emotion regulation (Pre vs Post, 9.47±4.63 vs 8.33±4.86, p=.046). Patients with obesity had a significant decrease in BMI (Pre vs Post, 41.15±7.37 vs 39.94±6.86, p=.037) and changes in eating style (Pre vs Post, 14.00±10.40 vs 9.82±9.40, p=.017).BN and OSFED patients: no significant changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernández Aranda et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>N=121 (mean age 33.7±15.8, 72% ED, 28% patients with obesity)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Jun-Jul 2020</td>
<td>CIES</td>
<td>AN group: significant decrease of scores for eating related symptoms (Pre vs Post, 11.87±6.79 vs 9.40±5.61, p=.015), effects of confinement on eating related style (Pre vs Post, 8.76±9.61 vs 6.11±6.94, p=.023) and changes in emotion regulation (Pre vs Post, 9.47±4.63 vs 8.33±4.86, p=.046). Patients with obesity had a significant decrease in BMI (Pre vs Post, 41.15±7.37 vs 39.94±6.86, p=.037) and changes in eating style (Pre vs Post, 14.00±10.40 vs 9.82±9.40, p=.017).BN and OSFED patients: no significant changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaudias et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
<td>N = 5738 undergraduate students (mean age = 21.2±4.5, 38.3% at risk for ED symptoms)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Mar 2020 (10 days after lockdown)</td>
<td>SPS-10, HADS, PSS-10, EDI-2, SCOFF, IBSS.</td>
<td>Higher stress levels related to lockdown with a greater likelihood of reporting binge eating and dietary restrictions over the past 7 days (respectively: OR 5 1.12, 95% CI [1.04, 1.21], p&lt;.004; OR 5 1.17, 95% CI [1.08, 1.26], p&lt;.001) and over the course of the next 2 weeks (respectively: OR 5 1.33, 95% CI [1.19, 1.48], p&lt;.001; OR 5 1.12, 95% CI [1.03, 1.21], p&lt;.005).Greater COVID-19-media exposure associated with a higher likelihood of binge eating intentions over the course of the next 2 weeks (OR5 1.20, 95% CI [1.11, 1.31], p&lt;.001).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Studies on Eating Disorders Spectrum during COVID-19 pandemic (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author et al. (2020)</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haddad et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
<td>N=407 (mean age = 30.6±10.1, 43.7% attending diet clinic for weight loss)</td>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>Apr 2020</td>
<td>EDE-Q, SBPS, LAS, BPAQ anger subscale</td>
<td>Physical exercise during confinement, female gender, BMI and higher anxiety positively associated with higher severity of ED (EDE-Q subscales) both in dietician and in general population groups. General population: lower physical contact with friends associated with shape (β=-0.86, p&lt;.001) and weight (β=-0.72, p&lt;.001) concerns. Dietician clients’ group: higher fear for COVID-19 associated with higher restraint (β=0.06, p&lt;.001), eating concern (β=0.03, p=.002), shape concern (β=0.07, p&lt;.001) and weight concern (β=0.05, p&lt;.001) scores. Higher boredom and number of adults living in quarantine associated with shape (respectively β=0.04, p&lt;.001; β=0.26, p=.002) and weight concerns (respectively β=0.03, p=.013; β=0.27, p=.001). Restraint scores associated to lower boredom scores (β=-0.04, p=.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCombie et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Social media survey</td>
<td>N=32 (mean age: 35.2±10.3), 14 having a current ED and 18 an ED in the past</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>May/June 2020</td>
<td>EDE-Q; DASS-21</td>
<td>Evaluation of mechanisms contributing to ED exacerbation in 88% of cases. Positive aspects of life in lockdown were found in 72% of the sample. EDs exacerbation was due to isolation (66%), worry/rumination, worsening of anxiety/depression (81%). Media impact was negative in 47%, disruption is structure and routine in 69%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutley et al. (2021)</td>
<td>Social Media Survey (Reddit)</td>
<td>3 subreddits on r/EDs (n=43,500), r/AN (n=19,200), and r/BED (n=35,700)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>January/March 2020</td>
<td>Data from three popular subreddits on EDs</td>
<td>Six primary themes were identified: change in ED symptoms, change in exercise routine, impact of quarantine on daily life, emotional well-being, help-seeking behavior, and associated risks and health outcomes. Feelings of isolation, frustration, and anxiety were common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillipou et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
<td>N=5.469 (mean age=40.6±13.7, 3.2% with self-report ED)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Apr 2020</td>
<td>DASS-21, EDE-Q</td>
<td>AN: increase in restricting behaviors (67.1%), and in binge eating (20.5%), in purging (18.2%), or in physical exercise (48.9%). NON-ED group: increase in food restrictions (27.6%), in binge eating (34.6%). Physical exercise significantly changed with an increase (34.8%) or a decrease (43.4%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puhl et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Longitudinal cohort</td>
<td>N=584 secondary school students in 2010 (mean age=14)</td>
<td>USA, Minnesota</td>
<td>T0= 2018</td>
<td>EAT, C-EAT, QEWP-R, MFES,</td>
<td>Pre-pandemic experiences of weight stigma predicted higher levels of stress (β=0.15, p=.001), depressive symptoms (β=0.15, p=.001), eating to cope with stress (β=0.16, p=.001), increased perceived deprivation (β=0.16, p=.001), and increased fear of weight gain (β=0.15, p=.001).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quitkut et al., (2020)</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>N=2233 (mean age: 33.2±12.7)</td>
<td>April/May 2020</td>
<td>GSLTPAQ</td>
<td>DP, GAD, IA and BDD deteriorated with pandemic. Across all mental disorders and in HC, self-reported psychosocial stress levels were higher during the outbreak of Covid-19 compared to before. A reduced frequency of social contacts and grocery shopping was found for all groups. People with self-identified mental disorders showed higher personal worries about Covid-19 and a higher fear of contagion with Covid-19 than did HC. Patients with BDD had an increase in symptom severity, spending time at home in isolation and having a stronger selective attention toward problematic body parts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Studies on Eating Disorders Spectrum during COVID-19 pandemic (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rolland et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
<td>N=11,391</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Mar-Apr 2020 (8 to 13 days after lockdown)</td>
<td>WEMWBS</td>
<td>Increase on evaluated addiction-related habits, including caloric/salty food (28.4%), screen use/abuse (64.6%), tobacco use (35.6%), cannabis use (31.2%), and alcohol use (24.8%). Factors of increase in caloric/salty food intake were female gender (OR 1.62, 95% CI 1.48-1.77), age less than 29 years (p&lt;0.001), having a partner (OR 1.19, 95% CI 1.06-1.35), being locked down in a more confined space (OR 1.02, 95% CI 1.01-1.03), being locked down alone (OR 1.29, 95% CI 1.11-1.49), and reporting current (OR 1.94, 95% CI 1.62-2.31) or past (OR 1.27, 95% CI 1.09-1.47) psychiatric treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scharmer et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
<td>N=295 undergraduate participants (mean age=19.7±2.0)</td>
<td>USA, New York</td>
<td>Mar-Apr 2020</td>
<td>EDE-Q, FIVE, IUS, STAI-T, GLETQ, CET</td>
<td>Trait anxiety and the state of intolerance of uncertainty were both correlated with EDs (R²=0.46, p&lt;.001, R²=0.30, p&lt;.001). Compulsive exercise and EDs were influenced by intolerance of uncertainty as a trait (R²=0.21, p&lt;.001, R²=0.35, p&lt;.001). Subjects with lower levels of anxiety as a trait were more negatively affected by anxiety and uncertainty due to COVID-19, both on EDs symptoms and in compulsive exercise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schlegl et al. (2020a)</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
<td>N=55 former females inpatients with BN (mean age=24.4±6.4)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>May 2020</td>
<td>Ad hoc</td>
<td>Significant worsening of bulimic symptoms (49.1%), QoL (61.8%), depressive spectrum symptoms (75%), general EDs psychopathology (80%). Binge eating increased (47.3%) as well as self-induced vomiting (364%), laxative use (9.1%) and diuretic abuse (7.3%). A high drive for activity was present in 61.8% of sample. More than 80% of patients with BN received face-to-face therapy before pandemic (81.8%) compared to 36.4% during pandemic, with only 20% opting for alternative treatment modalities, such as video-conference-based therapies. Patients reported that eating, shape and weight concerns, drive for physical activity, loneliness, sadness, and inner restlessness increased during pandemic (70%); 50% of patients reported no changes in restrictive eating, skipping meals, binge eating and purging. Forty-one patients (25.8%) described positive consequences of pandemic, namely reduction in overall symptoms and enhancement of subjective responsibility to recover. Videoconference therapy was used in 26% and telephone contacts in 35% of samples. Current ED: higher mean worry score (US, 4.59±1.24 vs 4.11±1.22, p=.02; NL, 4.56±1.17 vs 4.05±1.01, p=.02) and GAD-7 score (US, 12.87±5.54 vs 9.62±5.36, p&lt;.001; NL, 12.10±5.37 vs 8.43±4.81, p&lt;.001). Higher mean eating disorder impact in participants who reported difficulty accessing to treatment vs. those who received face-to-face or online treatment (2.69±0.52 vs 2.40±0.58, p=.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schlegl et al. (2020b)</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
<td>N=159 former inpatients with AN (22.4±8.7)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>May 2020</td>
<td>Ad hoc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termorshuizen et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
<td>N=511 from US (mean age 30.6±9.4)</td>
<td>US, Netherland</td>
<td>Apr-May 2020</td>
<td>Ad hoc, GAD-7</td>
<td>Current ED: higher mean worry score (US, 4.59±1.24 vs 4.11±1.22, p=.02; NL, 4.56±1.17 vs 4.05±1.01, p=.02) and GAD-7 score (US, 12.87±5.54 vs 9.62±5.36, p&lt;.001; NL, 12.10±5.37 vs 8.43±4.81, p&lt;.001). Higher mean eating disorder impact in participants who reported difficulty accessing to treatment vs. those who received face-to-face or online treatment (2.69±0.52 vs 2.40±0.58, p=.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Abbreviations:** EES=Emotional Eating Scale; PSS-10=Perceived Stress Scale-10; GAD-7=Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7; PHQ-9=Patient Health Questionnaire-9; PSQI=Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; GPAQ=Global Physical Activity Questionnaire; IPAQ-SF=International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short Form; SWEMWBS=Short Warwick-Edinburgh mental wellbeing scale; SMFQ=Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire; SDBQL=Short Diet Behaviours Questionnaire for Lockdowns; STBQL=Short Technology-use Behaviours Questionnaire for Lockdowns; SSPQL=Short Social Participation Questionnaire for Lockdowns; SDBQL=Short Diet Behaviour Questionnaire for Lockdowns; PSS-4=Perceived Stress Scale-4; ESSI= ENRICHD social support instrument; SCI= Shapiro Control Inventory; RRS-ED= Ruminatiion Response Scale for Eating Disorders; CTQ-SF=Childhood Trauma Questionnaire–Short Form; IES-R= Impact of Event Scale-Revised; ECR-R= Experiences in Close Relationships-Revised; EDE-Q=Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire; BSI=Brief Symptom Inventory; SPS-10=10-item Social Provision Scale; HADS=Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; EDI-2=Eating Disorder Inventory, 2nd edition; SCOFF =Sick, Control, One, Fat, Food; IBSS=Ideal Body Stereotype Scale; CIES=COVID Isolation Eating Scale; SBPS=Short boredom proneness scale; LAS=Lebanese Anxiety Scale; BPAQ=Buss-Perry scale; C-EAT=COVID-19 Eating and Activity over Time; DASS-21=Depression Anxiety Stress Scales Short Version; M-FES=Modified Falls Efficacy Scale; QEWP-R=Questionnaire on Eating and Weight Patterns-R; EAT=Eating and Activity over Time; FIVE=Fear of Illness Evaluation; CET= Compulsive Exercise Test; STAI-T= State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-Trait Subscale; IUS= Intolerance of uncertainty scale-short form; GLETQ= Godin Leisure-time Exercise Questionnaire; GSLTPAQ= Godin-Shephard Leisure-Time Physical Activity Questionnaire; CAHSA=Continuum of Auditory Hallucinations – State Assessment; PSWQ=Penn State Worry Questionnaire; DASS-D=Depression Anxiety Stress Scales – Depression Subscale; SIAS=Social Interaction Anxiety Scale; SPS=Social Phobia Scale; Y-BOCS= Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale – Symptom Checklist.
Figure 1
PRISMA flow diagram of studies' selection.

**MEDLINE STRATEGY**
Two researchers conducted independently a PubMed research, using the following terms:
2. [COVID] AND [BINGE-EATING] (n=16)
3. [COVID] AND [BULIMIA NERVOSA] (n=16)
4. [COVID] AND [ANOREXIA NERVOSA] (n=8)

**INCLUSION CRITERIA**
1. Written in English;
2. Original articles on studies with a longitudinal design
3. Prospective or retrospective, observational (analytical or descriptive), experimental or quasi-experimental, controlled or non-controlled studies, case reports or case series, reviews and non-original articles (i.e., book chapters).

Full references initially retrieved for eligibility: n=88
3 additional paper were identified through manual search (McCombie et al., 2020; Quittkat et al., 2020; Nutley et al., 2020). Total: n=91
70/91 papers excluded (76.9%)
• 34/91 duplicated records (37.4%)
• 31/91 not on the selected topic (34.1%)
• 5/91 not written in English (5.5%)

Studies included in the review: n=21/91 (23.1%)