

1 Article

2 An Ecological Study to Investigate Links Between Atmospheric 3 Pollutants From Farming and SARS-CoV-2 Mortality

4 Paolo Contiero¹, Alessandro Borgini^{1,12*}, Martina Bertoldi¹, Anna Abita², Giuseppe Cuffari³, Paola Tomao⁴, Maria
5 Concetta D'Ovidio⁴, Stefano Reale⁵, Silvia Scibetta⁵, Giovanna Tagliabue⁶, Roberto Boffi⁷, Vittorio Krogh⁸, Fabio
6 Tramuto^{9,10}, Carmelo Massimo Maida^{9,10}, Walter Mazzucco^{9,10,11} and the "SARS-CoV-2 and Environment Working
7 Group"^{**}

8 ¹ Environmental Epidemiology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, 20133 Milan, Italy;
9 alessandro.borgini@istitutotumori.mi.it

10 ² UOC Qualità dell'Aria, ARPA Sicilia, 90146 Palermo, Italy; abita@arpa.sicilia.it

11 ³ Reporting Ambientale, Salute e Ambiente, ARPA Sicilia; 90146 Palermo, Italy; gcuffari@arpa.sicilia.it

12 ⁴ Inail-Dipartimento di medicina, epidemiologia, igiene del lavoro ed ambientale, 00078 Monte Porzio Catone
13 (Roma), Italy; p.tomao@inail.it

14 ⁵ Laboratorio Tecnologie Diagnostiche Innovative Area Biologia Molecolare, Istituto Zooprofilattico
15 Sperimentale della Sicilia, Via Rocco Dicillo 3, 90129 Palermo, Italy; stefano.reale@izssicilia.it

16 ⁶ Cancer Registry Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, 20133 Milan, Italy;
17 giovanna.tagliabue@istitutotumori.mi.it

18 ⁷ Respiratory Disease Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori, 20133 Milan, Italy;
19 roberto.boffi@istitutotumori.mi.it

20 ⁸ Epidemiology and Prevention Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, 20133 Milan, Italy;
21 vittorio.krogh@istitutotumori.mi.it

22 ⁹ Department of Health Promotion, Mother and Child Care, Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties
23 (PROMISE) "G. D'Alessandro" – University of Palermo, 90127 Palermo, Italy; walter.mazzucco@unipa.it

24 ¹⁰ Regional Reference Laboratory of West Sicily for the Emergency of COVID-19, Clinical Epidemiology Unit,
25 University Hospital "Paolo Giaccone", 90127 Palermo, Italy;

26 ¹¹ Division of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Centre, Cincinnati, Ohio
27 45229, USA

28 ¹² International Society of Doctors for Environment (ISDE), 52100 Arezzo, Italy

29 * Correspondence: alessandro.borgini@istitutotumori.mi.it; Tel.: +390223903536

30 **SARS-CoV-2 and Environment Working Group: Alessandro Cau¹, Viviana Perotti⁶, Vincenzo Restivo^{9,10},
31 Claudio Costantino^{9,10}, Giuseppe Lanza⁹, Maurizio Zarcone¹⁰, Adalberto Cavalleri⁸, Chiara Veronese⁷,
32 Cinzia De Marco¹³, Ario Ruprecht⁷

33 ¹³ Biomarkers Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, 20133 Milan, Italy;

34 **Abstract:** Exposure to atmospheric particulate matter and nitrogen dioxide has been
35 linked to SARS-CoV-2 infection and death. We hypothesized that an interaction between
36 SARS-CoV-2 infection and exposure to farming-related atmospheric pollutants worsens
37 the effect of SARS-CoV-2 on mortality. Our objective was investigate this hypothesis by
38 performing an ecological study in five Italian Regions (Piedmont, Lombardy, Veneto,
39 Emilia-Romagna and Sicily) linking all-cause mortality, by province (administrative
40 entities within regions), to atmospheric particulate matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀) nitrous oxide
41 (N₂O), ammonia (NH₃) and methane (CH₄) mainly produced by agricultural activities.
42 Study outcome was change in all-cause mortality during March-April 2020, compared to
43 March-April 2015-2019 (period) as assessed by mortality rate ratios (MRRs) estimated
44 using multivariate negative binomial regression models that adjusted for air
45 temperature, humidity and population density. The MRR for the interaction of period
46 with NH₃ exposure, considering all pollutants together was 1.133, equivalent to a 13.3%

47 increase in mortality over and above that due to period (proxy for COVID-19 mortality)
48 for each ton/km² increase in NH₃ emissions. Although the study was ecological, and did
49 not provide evidence of a causal link between SARS-CoV-2 and farming-related
50 pollutants, in accord with the precautionary principle we recommend application of
51 measures to limit NH₃ exposure particularly while the COVID-19 pandemic continues.
52

53 **Keywords:** particulate matter; SARS-CoV-2; mortality; pollution; ammonia; methane;
54 nitrous oxide; farming; livestock

55 1. Introduction

56 According to the World Health Organization, on March 31, 2021, worldwide there
57 were 128,540,982 confirmed cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19) and 2,808,308
58 deaths (2.18% of confirmed cases) [1]. The corresponding figures for Italy were 3,584,899
59 confirmed cases and 109,346 (3.5%) deaths [1]. These are likely to be underestimates [2].

60 Several studies have investigated effects of environmental and meteorological
61 factors on the dissemination and severity of viral respiratory infections [3-6]. A 2018
62 paper provided evidence that air pollution from coal burning had exacerbated the
63 “Spanish flu” pandemic of 1918 [7]. Although most COVID-19 patients develop mild or
64 no symptoms, more severe and life-threatening symptoms such as pneumonia (often
65 leading to acute respiratory distress syndrome), vascular inflammation and thrombosis,
66 myocarditis, and cardiac arrhythmia, also occur, typically associated with excessive
67 inflammation and cytokine storm [8].

68 Long-term and short-term exposure to atmospheric particulate matter $\leq 10 \mu\text{m}$
69 (PM₁₀) and $\leq 2.5 \mu\text{m}$ (PM_{2.5}) have been linked to respiratory and cardiovascular events [9].
70 A recent US study found that an increase of just 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in long-term exposure to PM_{2.5}
71 was associated with an 8% increase in the COVID-19 death rate [10]. Studies in Italy
72 have identified associations between COVID-19 and COVID-19-related death and
73 exposure to PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} [11-14]; while tropospheric nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) in
74 northern Italy was associated with levels of SARS-CoV-2 infection [15]. The COVID-19
75 pandemic in Italy started in the Po Valley of northern Italy – one of the most polluted
76 areas in the world [16] – where intensive livestock rearing and heavy use of fertilizers
77 make major contributions to atmospheric pollution [17]. In December 2019 in the Po
78 Valley Regions of Lombardy, Piedmont, Emilia-Romagna and Veneto, there were,
79 respectively, 1,543,639, 824,801, 627,627 and 824,112 cattle, and 3,984,633, 1,121,723,
80 1,377,527, and 717,557 pigs. In comparison, the island Region of Sicily had 387,619 cattle
81 and 45,152 pigs [18]. As regards nitrogen fertilizer use, the 2019 figures (tonne/km²/year)
82 were 12.92 in Lombardy, 8.27 in Piedmont, 18.64 in Veneto, and 19.50 in Emilia-
83 Romagna, compared to 5.20 in Sicily [18].

84 Agricultural activity produces NH₃, CH₄ and N₂O, and also PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} [19-21].
85 In Italy, agriculture is the main source of NH₃ emissions, with an estimated 362.18
86 kilotonnes/year, accounting for 94.3% of the total [19]. In Lombardy in 2017 (latest year
87 for which figures are available) the proportion of NH₃ emissions from agriculture varied
88 by province (68-99%), as did the proportions of CH₄ and N₂O emissions (7-87% and 27-
89 94%, respectively) [22].

90 Analysis of all-cause mortality data indicates that COVID-19-related deaths are
91 underestimated [2;23]. A study that analysed 22 countries reported that in Italy COVID-

92 19-related deaths were underestimated by 30% with similar underestimates in several
93 other countries [24]. For this reason all-cause mortality is the epidemiologic indicator of
94 choice for assessing the mortality impact of COVID-19 [2;25-26] from which excess
95 deaths can be estimated as proxy of COVID-19 mortality [25].

96 We hypothesized that long-term exposure to farming-related air pollutants might
97 predispose to increased risk of COVID-19-related death. To do this we performed the
98 preliminary investigation presented in this work: this was an ecological study, firstly to
99 find out whether the results justified additional analytic studies. Secondly because there
100 was a possibility of identifying modifiable risk factors to limit the effect of the COVID-19
101 on mortality.

102 **2. Materials and Methods**

103 *2.1. Study Design*

104 We conducted an ecological study to investigate whether interaction between
105 SARS-CoV-2 infection and exposure to farming-related air pollutants worsened the
106 effect of SARS-CoV-2 on mortality at the level of the Provinces (administrative entities
107 within Regions) in the Italian Regions of Lombardy, Emilia-Romagna, Piedmont,
108 Veneto, and Sicily. We investigated the period of the first COVID-19 wave (March-April
109 2020) in comparison with the same two-month period in the years 2015 to 2019.
110 Mortality differences between these two periods are a good proxy for mortality due to
111 COVID-19.

112 *2.2. Pollutant Exposure*

113 We assessed atmospheric PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, N₂O, NH₃, CH₄ and NO₂. The first five were
114 included because they are linked to farming; NO₂ was included because it could be a
115 confounder or effect modifier. Atmospheric PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ and NO₂ levels are measured by
116 monitoring stations and the data archived by Regional Environmental Protection
117 Agencies (ARPAs) [27-31]. We accessed ARPA data from the monitoring stations in the
118 chief towns of each Province and used them as proxies for exposure of the entire
119 provincial populations. We averaged daily concentrations of over 2019 to arrive at
120 estimates of long-term exposure. However for PM₁₀ and NO₂ we had use the latest
121 available data (2015) for one province, and used the data from an adjacent province in
122 another province. We did not use 2020 data, as they could have biased estimates because
123 of changes in pollutant levels caused by lockdown [32;33].

124 NH₃ concentrations are monitored by too few stations to be representative of the
125 areas being studied; and levels of CH₄ and N₂O are not measured routinely. We
126 therefore estimated levels of atmospheric NH₃, CH₄ and N₂O, again at the level of the
127 provinces. These estimates were derived from estimates produced by the ARPAs [22;31].

128 ARPAs estimate NH₃ emissions for each province and for each known source (e.g.
129 pigs) by multiplying the average number pigs per year present in the province by an
130 estimate of the average production by each animal (as kg NH₃/animal/year). A similar
131 method is applied to each type of livestock present, and also other NH₃ sources (e.g.
132 fertilizer use). Total NH₃ emissions are obtained by summing estimate emissions from all
133 known sources. Similar methods are used to estimate emissions of CH₄ and N₂O.

134 We next derived provincial exposure indices from the ARPA estimates by dividing
135 the total estimated quantities of emissions (tonne/year) by the area (km²) of the province,
136 thereby taking account of variations in province area. We used data for the latest
137 available year as proxy for long-term exposure: 2017 for Lombardy and Emilia-Romagna

138 (except for one province for which data were for 2016), 2015 for Piedmont and Veneto,
139 and 2012 for Sicily.

140 Backes et al. [34] showed that the atmospheric concentration patterns of NH₃ are in
141 line with NH₃ emission patterns, indicating the provincial exposure indices are
142 acceptable indicators of atmospheric NH₃. To further investigate provincial exposure
143 indices as indicators of atmospheric pollution levels we assessed correlations (Pearson's
144 r) between NH₃ emissions and cattle numbers, pig numbers and fertilizer use per km² by
145 region. We could only compare data at the regional level because of the unavailability of
146 data on numbers of pigs and cattle and nitrogen fertilizer use at the provincial level,
147
148

149 2.3. Meteorological Variables

150 We hypothesized that temperature influenced COVID-19 rates over the short-term
151 and so might have an effect on mortality. We therefore used temperature and humidity,
152 as measured by ARPA monitoring stations in the main town of each province, as proxies
153 for the average temperature and average relative humidity in each province, in March
154 and April in 2020, and also in March-April 2019.

155 2.4. Additional covariates

156 Educational level is a potential risk factor for SARS-CoV2 infection and death [35].
157 We therefore downloaded provincial data on educational level from ISTAT [36] (as
158 proportion of people who continued schooling after 14 years of age) and added these as
159 covariates to the models. Social deprivation is also linked to SARS-CoV-2 infection and
160 death [37]. However social deprivation indices at the provincial level were not available
161 so we assumed that educational level was an approximate proxy for social deprivation.
162 Income is also a component of social deprivation but was not available at provincial
163 level so we accessed regional level data from ISTAT [40] and assessed correlations
164 (Pearson's r) with NH₃ by regions to provide indications as to the possible effects of
165 income on our findings.

166 High body mass index (BMI) and diabetes are recognized as major risk factors for
167 SARS-CoV2 death [38;39] and could bias estimates of links between farming-related
168 pollutants and SARS-CoV-2 mortality. Provincial level data were unavailable, so we
169 accessed regional level data from ISTAT [40] and assessed correlations (Pearson's r) with
170 NH₃ to provide indications as to the possible effects of BMI and diabetes on our findings.

171 Variation in lockdown periods across provinces could also bias the results of a
172 study like this. However in Italy during the first COVID-19 wave, days of lockdown
173 were closely similar throughout the country. Similarly, regulations to limit contagion
174 (mask-wearing, social distancing) applied to the whole country during the two-month
175 study period.

176 2.5. Outcome

177 All-cause daily mortality data, provided by the Italian National Statistics Institute
178 (ISTAT) [41], was primary study outcome. Mortality data are available by municipality
179 (administrative entities within provinces). We calculated total mortality by province, for
180 March-April 2020, as the sum of the daily death counts in all the municipalities in each
181 province. We also estimated average mortality in March-April of 2015-2019, as the

182 average, over the five years, of the sum of daily death counts in all the municipalities of
183 each province.

184 2.6. Statistical Methods

185 We first sought correlations (Pearson's r) between levels of the atmospheric
186 pollutants being studied ($PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , N_2O , NH_3 , CH_4 and NO_2). We next analyzed
187 associations between changes in total mortality from March-April 2015-2019 to 2020 and
188 atmospheric pollutant levels, humidity, temperature, and population density (study
189 covariates). To do this we used negative binomial regression models, that included a
190 population size offset, and which estimated mortality rate ratios (MRRs) in relation to
191 study covariates. MRR is the ratio of the mortality rate for a specific value of a covariate
192 relative to reference. Thus, for the covariate "period" MRR is mortality in March-April
193 2020 relative to mortality in March-April in 2015-2019, and is a good proxy for the
194 increase in mortality due to COVID-19. We were particularly interested in MRRs for the
195 interaction between period and the other covariates (mainly pollutant levels) as these are
196 an estimate of the mortality associated with the pollutant over and above that due to
197 COVID-19 alone.

198 We first ran basic models that included period, one covariate, and an interaction
199 term between period and covariate. We next ran complete models that included all
200 covariates, and all interaction terms between period and covariates.

201 Information on PM_{10} levels was available for all provinces, while $PM_{2.5}$ data were
202 unavailable for six provinces. We therefore ran two sets of models, one that included all
203 45 provinces but excluded $PM_{2.5}$, and another that included $PM_{2.5}$ and the 39 provinces
204 for which $PM_{2.5}$ was available. The R statistical package [42], version 4.0.2 was used to
205 perform the analyses.

206 3. Results

207 Supplementary Table S1 lists the provinces included in the study, together with
208 their populations, population densities. Compared to mortality in March-April 2015-
209 2019, mortality in March-April 2020 varied from a 1.8% decrease in Agrigento Province
210 (Sicily) to a 364.3% increase in Bergamo (Lombardy). Mean values of atmospheric PM_{10} ,
211 $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_2 across provinces were 26.01, 17.58 and 25.23 $\mu g/m^3$ respectively; the PM_{10}
212 ranged from 14.0 (Verbano-Cusio-Ossola, Piedmont) to 35.2 $\mu g/m^3$ (Cremona,
213 Lombardy), the $PM_{2.5}$ ranged from 8.0 (Enna, Sicily) to 26.1 $\mu g/m^3$ (Cremona, Lombardy),
214 and the NO_2 ranged from 4.0 (Agrigento, Sicily) to 45.7 $\mu g/m^3$ (Monza Brianza,
215 Lombardy).

216 As regards emissions, mean across-province exposure index estimates for NH_3 , CH_4
217 and N_2O were 2.22, 9.76, and 0.36 tonne/km²/year, respectively. The NH_3 exposure index
218 ranged from 0.12 (Verbano-Cusio-Ossola, Piedmont) to 10.3 tonne/km²/year (Cremona,
219 Lombardy); the CH_4 index ranged from 1.47 (Sondrio, Lombardy) to 33.17
220 tonne/km²/year (Milan, Lombardy), and the N_2O index ranged from 0.06 (Verbano-
221 Cusio-Ossola, Piedmont) to 1.12 tonne/km²/year (Cremona, Lombardy).

222 Table 1 shows Pearson correlation coefficients for atmospheric pollutants PM_{10} ,
223 $PM_{2.5}$, NO_2 , NH_3 , CH_4 , and N_2O .

224
225
226
227

228

Table 1. Pearson’s correlation coefficients between atmospheric pollutants

Air pollutant	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	NO ₂	NH ₃	CH ₄	N ₂ O
PM ₁₀	1.00	0.84	0.52	0.51	0.51	0.57
PM _{2.5}	0.84	1.00	0.60	0.46	0.48	0.61
NO ₂	0.52	0.60	1.00	0.27	0.64	0.37
NH ₃	0.51	0.46	0.27	1.00	0.68	0.92
CH ₄	0.51	0.48	0.64	0.68	1.00	0.80
N ₂ O	0.57	0.61	0.37	0.92	0.80	1.00

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

Table 2 presents modelling results for all 45 provinces included in the study: the MRRs represent percentage increases in overall death rate in relation to increments of 1 µg/m³ in PM₁₀, and NO₂, 1 tonne/km² increments in NH₃, CH₄ and N₂O, a 1°C increment in atmospheric temperature, a 1% increment in relative humidity, a one unit increase in population density, or in people who continued schooling after 14 years of age compared to those who attended only up to 14 years.

236

237

Table 2. Mortality rate ratios (MRRs)* with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for all 45 Italian provinces included in the study.

Variable	MRR* (95%CI)	
	Basic model [§]	Complete model [‡]
PM ₁₀	0.997 (0.981-1.013)	1.003 (0.985-1.021)
PM ₁₀ and period interaction	1.027 (1.004–1.050)	0.995 (0.970–1.020)
NO ₂	0.996 (0.986-1.006)	1.000 (0.987-1.013)
NO ₂ and period interaction	1.025 (1.010-1.040)	1.017 (0.999-1.037)
NH ₃	0.992 (0.958-1.029)	0.997 (0.909-1.088)
NH ₃ and period interaction	1.094 (1.040-1.151)	1.133 (1.003–1.281)
N ₂ O	0.882 (0.623-1.266)	0.721 (0.249-2.91)
N ₂ O and period interaction	2.195 (1.340-3.595)	0.243 (0.056-1.128)
CH ₄	0.994 (0.982-1.007)	1.012 (0.984-1.041)
CH ₄ and period interaction	1.032 (1.015-1.051)	1.043 (1.001-1.087)
Temperature	0.983 (0.901-1.072)	0.996 (0.928-1.070)
Temperature and period interaction	0.961 (0.839-1.102)	0.980 (0.881–1.090)
Humidity	1.003 (0.990 -1.016)	1.002 (0.989-1.015)

Humidity and period interaction	0.995 (0.978-1.012)	0.987 (0.970-1.003)
Population density	1.000 (0.999-1.001)	1.000 (0.999-1.001)
Population density and period interaction	1.000 (0.999-1.001)	1.000 (0.999-1.001)
Education	0.991 (0.965-1.019)	1.004 (0.973-1.035)
Education and period interaction	1.022 (0.983-1.063)	0.992 (0.950-1.036)
Period (2020 vs. 2015-2019)	1.739 (1.526-1.983)	4.850 (0.30-78.881)

* MMRs are percentage increases in overall death rate associated with: 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in atmospheric PM_{10} ; 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in atmospheric NO_2 ; 1 tonne/year/ km^2 increases in emissions of NH_3 , CH_4 or N_2O ; 1°C increase in atmospheric temperature, 1% increase in relative humidity, one unit increase in population density, or in people who continued schooling after 14 years of age compared to those who attended only up to 14 years.

§ Basic models include only period, a single covariate and its interaction with period.

‡ Complete models include all covariates together with their interactions with period.

The basic regression models identified significantly increased MMRs for interactions between period and: PM_{10} (MRR: 1.027; 95%CI: 1.004–1.050), NO_2 (MRR: 1.025; 95%CI: 1.010-1.040), NH_3 (MRR: 1.094; 95%CI: 1.040-1.151), N_2O (MRR: 2.195; 95%CI: 1.340-3.595) and CH_4 (MRR: 1.032; 95%CI: 1.015-1.051) indicating that high levels of these pollutants were significantly linked to an increase in total mortality over and above that due to period (proxy for presence of COVID-19). None of the individual covariates was significantly associated with MRR.

In the complete models, MRRs for the interaction between CH_4 and period (MRR: 1.043; 95%CI: 1.001–1.087) and also for the interaction between NH_3 and period (MRR: 1.133; 95%CI: 1.003–1.281) remained significant, indicating that an increase of 1 tonne/ km^2 /year in NH_3 emissions was significantly associated with a 13.3% increase in all-cause death over and above that associated with period (proxy for COVID-19). MRRs for interaction of period with PM_{10} , N_2O and NO_2 all reduced, compared to the basic model, and became non-significant.

Table 3 shows results for the 39 provinces for which $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ data were available. The MRRs were similar to those obtained for all 45 provinces, except that in the basic model, MRR for interaction between period and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ was significant, but for PM_{10} was not. As regards the complete models, only the MRR for interaction between period and NH_3 was significant (1.145; 95%CI: 1.006–1.305) corresponding to a 14.5% increase (over and above that for period alone) in mortality for each tonne/ km^2 /year increase in NH_3 emissions.

Correlation analysis found the following correlations: 0.92 between provincial exposure index for NH_3 and number of pigs per km^2 ; 0.96 between provincial exposure index for NH_3 and number of cattle per km^2 ; 0.53 between the provincial exposure index for NH_3 and number of cattle per km^2 . In addition correlations were: -0.87 between BMI and the provincial exposure index for NH_3 ; and -0.77 between diabetes and the provincial exposure index for NH_3 ; and 0.42 between yearly average income and the regional exposure index for NH_3 . These findings suggest that emission levels are a reasonable surrogate for atmospheric levels. Given the strength of the association of

238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274

275
276

COVID-related mortality increase (13.3%) due to NH₃, it is unlikely that BMI, diabetes and income would have had biased this association.

277
278

Table 3. Mortality rate ratios (MRRs)* with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Analyses restricted to the 39 Italian provinces for which PM_{2.5} data were available.

Variable	MRR (95%CI)	
	Basic model [§]	Complete model [^]
PM ₁₀	0.996 (0.979-1.013)	1.006 (0.980-1.033)
PM ₁₀ and period interaction	1.021 (0.997-1.045)	0.989 (0.953-1.026)
PM _{2.5}	0.994 (0.972-1.015)	0.997 (0.959-1.037)
PM _{2.5} and period interaction	1.034 (1.003-1.066)	1.011 (0.957-1.068)
NO ₂	0.994 (0.983-1.005)	0.998 (0.983-1.013)
NO ₂ and period interaction	1.021 (1.005-1.039)	1.018 (0.996-1.040)
NH ₃	0.991 (0.956-1.029)	0.996 (0.900-1.092)
NH ₃ and period interaction	1.082 (1.027-1.139)	1.145 (1.006-1.305)
N ₂ O	0.866 (0.606-1.255)	0.724 (0.239-2.339)
N ₂ O and period interaction	1.943 (1.174-3.212)	0.238 (0.049-1.125)
CH ₄	0.994 (0.981-1.007)	1.011 (0.983-1.042)
CH ₄ and period interaction	1.028 (1.009-1.046)	1.039 (0.995-1.084)
Temperature	0.980 (0.883-1.087)	1.003 (0.916- 1.097)
Temperature and period interaction	1.010 (0.858-1.187)	0.980 (0.853-1.128)
Humidity	1.005 (0.989-1.022)	1.004 (0.990- 1.019)
Humidity and period interaction	1.003 (0.983-1.023)	0.972 (0.972-1.008)
Population density	1.000 (0.999-1.001)	1.000 (0.999-1.001)
Population density and period interaction	1.000 (0.999-1.001)	1.000 (0.999-1.001)
Education	0.986 (0.957-1.016)	1.003 (0.968-1.039)
Education and period interaction	1.004 (0.962-1.048)	0.990 (0.943-1.039)
Period (2020 year vs. 2015-2019)	1.846 (1.611-2.116)	4.246 (0.16-112.134)

* MMRs: percentage increases in overall death rate associated with: 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in atmospheric particulate matter ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$ or PM_{10}); 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in atmospheric NO_2 ; 1 tonne/ km^2 /year increases NH_3 , CH_4 or N_2O emissions; 1°C increase in atmospheric temperature, 1% increase in relative humidity, one unit increase in population density, or people who continued schooling after 14 years of age compared to those who attended only up to 14 years. § Basic models include only period, a single covariate and its interaction with period.^ Complete models include all covariates and their interactions with period.

4. Discussion

Using basic models, which excluded most covariates, we found that higher NH_3 , CH_4 , and N_2O emissions – whose major source is agriculture – were associated with all-cause mortality increases in 2020, compared to previous years, over and above those due to period (proxy for COVID-19–related mortality). Higher PM_{10} , $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and NO_2 were also associated with all-cause mortality increases over and above those due to period.

Using complete models, that included all other studied pollutants as covariates, higher NH_3 levels remained associated with a significant all-cause mortality increase: a one tonne/ km^2 increase in NH_3 was associated with a 13.3% increase in mortality over and above that due to period. High CH_4 levels also remained associated with a significant all-cause mortality increase in the complete model that includes all provinces, but not in the complete model that only included provinces for which for which $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ data were available.

The fact that interactions of period with N_2O , PM_{10} , $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ (and to some extent CH_4) were not associated with excess mortality in the complete models is likely due to the fact that levels of these pollutants correlated highly with each other.

A major study assumption was that the provincial exposure index based on pollutant emissions is a good proxy for levels of that pollutant in the atmosphere. This assumption is supported by the study of Backes et al. [34] which showed that atmospheric concentration patterns of NH_3 are in line with NH_3 emission patterns. To further investigate provincial exposure indices as indicators of atmospheric pollution levels we assessed correlations (Pearson's r) between NH_3 emissions and cattle numbers, pig numbers and nitrogen fertilizer use, per km^2 , by region (provincial data unavailable): we found high or good correlations (0.96, 0.92 and 0.53, respectively) indicating that estimated emissions are consistent with the emission sources present, and suggesting that these estimated emissions (standardized by area size) are a reasonable proxy for atmospheric NH_3 concentrations.

It is known that BMI and diabetes are major risk factors for COVID-19 infection and death. However provincial data for BMI and diabetes were not available and could not be included in our models as covariates. We indirectly assessed whether the association between farming-related pollutants and SARS-CoV-2 mortality were biased by BMI, diabetes and income by estimating correlations between BMI and NH_3 , between diabetes and NH_3 , and between income NH_3 at the regional level. As noted, given the strength of the association of COVID-related mortality increase (13.3%) due to NH_3 , it is unlikely that BMI, diabetes and income would exerted a major bias on this association. Any influence of population density and educational level on associations was taken account of by entering these as covariates in the models.

To our knowledge this is the first study to investigate relationships between farming-related atmospheric pollution and COVID-19-related mortality. However, a 2020 study conducted in Italy found that the number of SARS-CoV-2 infections per unit population depended on the type of rural landscape [43]. The study classified rural

327 landscapes into: (A) urban and periurban with high intensity agriculture; (B) high
328 intensity agriculture; (C) medium intensity agriculture (hilly areas), (D) low intensity
329 agriculture (high hills and mountains). They found that areas of less intense agriculture
330 (C, D) had fewer COVID-19 cases (per 10,000 inhabitants) than areas of intensive
331 agriculture (A and B). Notwithstanding the markedly different methodologies, both our
332 study and [43] identified intensive farming as a risk factor for COVID-19.

333 Similarly, the 2020 Lancet Countdown report highlighted a link between SARS-
334 CoV-2 infection, environmental degradation and climate change; and identified
335 agriculture one of the main sources of pollutant emissions [44].

336 Other studies have reported associations between exposure to livestock farming
337 and respiratory diseases. Thus, a Netherlands study found that people living in high
338 livestock density areas had a significantly higher prevalence of pneumonia than those
339 living in low density areas [45]. A German study [46] found that people exposed to
340 higher levels of NH₃ had poorer pulmonary health and were more likely to be sensitized
341 to ubiquitous allergens, than persons with lower NH₃ exposure. A study performed in
342 an agricultural region of Washington State (US) found that industrial-scale animal
343 feeding operations were associated with higher daily outdoor NH₃ levels, and that
344 forced expiratory volume in 1 second was lower with each interquartile increase in the
345 previous day's NH₃ exposure; however no associations with asthma symptoms were
346 observed [47]. The European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology position
347 paper [48] has highlighted that increased risks of developing the respiratory diseases
348 and allergies are associated with animal farming, and emphasized the need to protect
349 workers from such risks.

350 The data provided by the above cited-studies [45-48] suggests that a link between
351 excess COVID-19-related mortality and farming-related atmospheric pollutants is
352 biologically plausible. The specific association we found between COVID-19-related
353 mortality and NH₃ might be explained by the hypothesis that atmospheric NH₃ leads to
354 the formation of alkaline aerosol which triggers a conformation change in the SARS-
355 CoV-2 spike that facilitates fusion of the viral envelope with the plasma membrane of
356 target cells [49]. It is noteworthy that clusters of SARS-CoV-2 infection have been
357 reported in association with slaughterhouses [50] which are known to have elevated
358 levels of NH₃ [51].

359 We used change in all-cause total mortality in 2020 as a proxy for SARS-CoV-2
360 mortality, and to our knowledge our study is the first to do this. A strength of this
361 approach is that it avoids loss of deaths due to underreporting of SARS-CoV-2 mortality
362 [25]. However the pandemic may have stressed health resources so that more people
363 than usual may have died from non-COVID-19 causes, somewhat inflating all-cause
364 mortality. The main limitations of the study are that, for atmospheric pollutants that
365 were measured, we used levels measured at the monitoring station in the chief town of
366 the province as proxy for exposure of the entire provincial population. In addition, for
367 the atmospheric pollutants NH₃ CH₄ and N₂O, measured levels were not available and
368 we used estimated emissions at the provincial level obtained from the regional
369 environmental protection agencies, as proxies for exposure of the provincial
370 populations.

371 5. Conclusions

372 Although the study was ecological, and could not identify causal links between
373 farming-related atmospheric pollutants and COVID-19 mortality, it suggests that studies
374 to further address this issue would be worthwhile, particularly since other data also

375 indicate links between farming-derived pollutants and worsened outcomes of SARS-
376 CoV-2 and other viral infections.

377 The agricultural sector is responsible for most NH₃ emissions [19]. In view of the
378 continuing severity of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic it is important to implement measures
379 that can speedily reduce NH₃ emissions from agriculture, for example by covering tanks
380 containing slurry [53]. However over the medium term structural changes are required
381 to reduce farming-related pollution. Consumption of meat, particularly red meat, is
382 recognized as a threat to human health and wellbeing in part because of the effect of
383 livestock rearing on the environment, as documented the 2020 Lancet Countdown
384 Report [44]. The desire to consume meat encourages the use of intensive farming
385 resulting in increase emission of farming-related pollutants. As urged by the Lancet
386 Report [44] and by Agnoletti et al. [43] we need to move towards more sustainable
387 agriculture with reduced livestock raising. Finally we note that intensive agriculture is
388 also a major cause of biodiversity loss worldwide, which has been implicated in the
389 emergence of SARS-CoV-2 and other viruses [44;52].

390 **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, P.C. and W.M.; Methodology, PC; Validation, A.A.,
391 G.C., A.B., M.B., P.T., M.C.D., S.R., S.S., G.T., R.B., V.K., F.T., C.M.M., V.R., C.C., G.L., A.C., A.R.,
392 C.V., C.D.M.; Formal Analysis, P.C., V.P., M.Z.; Investigation, P.C., A.B., M.B., A.C.; Writing –
393 Original Draft Preparation, P.C., W.M.; Writing – Review & Editing, all authors; Supervision, P.C.,
394 W.M.; Project Administration, P.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of
395 the manuscript.

396 **Funding:** This research received no external funding

397 **Informed Consent Statement:** This study used only aggregated data available on web-
398 sites accessible to the public.

399 **Data Availability Statement:** This study we used only aggregated data available on
400 web-sites accessible to the public.

401 **Acknowledgments:** The authors thank Don Ward for help with the English and for a
402 critical reading of the manuscript.

403 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

404 References

- 405 1. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): <https://covid19.who.int> (archived on 31 March 2021).
- 406 2. Raleigh, V.S. UK's record on pandemic deaths. *BMJ* **2020**, *370*:m3348. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3348. PMID: 32887679
- 407 3. Rader, B., Scarpino, S.V., Nande, A., Hill, A.L., Adlam, B., Reiner, R.C., Pigott, D.M., Gutierrez, B., Zarebski, A.E., Shrestha, M.
408 et al. Crowding and the shape of COVID-19 epidemics. *Nat Med* **2020**, *26*, 1829–1834. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-1104-0.
- 409 4. Chen, G., Zhang, W., Li, S., Williams, G., Liu, C., Morgan, G.G., Jaakkola, J.J.K., Guo, Y. Is short-term exposure to ambient fine
410 particles associated with measles incidence in China? A multi-city study. *Environ Res.* **2017**, *156*, 306-311. doi:
411 10.1016/j.envres.2017.03.046
- 412 5. Cui, Y., Zhang, Z.F., Froines, J., Zhao, J., Wang, H., Yu, S.Z., Detels, R. Air pollution and case fatality of SARS in the People's
413 Republic of China: an ecologic study. *Environ Health* **2003**, *2*(1):15. doi 10.1186/1476-069X-2-15
- 414 6. Contiero, P., Boffi, R., Tagliabue, G., Scaburri, A., Tittarelli, A., Bertoldi, M., Borgini, A., Favia, I., Ruprecht, A.A., Maiorino, A.
415 et al. A Case-Crossover Study to Investigate the Effects of Atmospheric Particulate Matter Concentrations, Season, and Air
416 Temperature on Accident and Emergency Presentations for Cardiovascular Events in Northern Italy. *Int J Environ Res Public*
417 *Health* **2019**, *16*(23), 4627. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16234627
- 418 7. Clay, K., Lewis J., Severini, E. Pollution, Infectious Disease, and Mortality: Evidence from the 1918 Spanish Influenza
419 Pandemic. *The Journal of Economic History* **2018**, 1179-1209. doi:10.1017/S002205071800058X
- 420 8. Hu, B., Huang, S., Yin, L. The cytokine storm and COVID-19. *J. Med. Virol.* **2021**, *93*, 250-256 . doi: 10.1002/jmv.26232

- 421 9. Requia, W.J., Adams, M.D., Arain, A., Papatheodorou, S., Koutrakis, P., Mahmoud, M. Global Association of Air Pollution
422 and Cardio respiratory Diseases: A Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Investigation of Modifier Variables. *Am. J. Public*
423 *Health* **2018**, 108, S123-S130 doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2017.303839
- 424 10. Wu, X., Nethery, R.C., Sabath, B.M., Braun, D., Dominici, F. Exposure to air pollution and COVID-19 mortality in the United
425 States: A nationwide cross-sectional study. *MedRxiv* **2020**, doi: 10.1101/2020.04.05.20054502
- 426 11. Accarino, G., Lorenzetti, S., Aloisio, G., Assessing correlations between short-term exposure to atmospheric pollutants and
427 COVID-19 spread in all Italian territorial areas. *Environ. Pollut.* **2020**, 15, 268(Pt A) doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115714
- 428 12. Coccia, M. How do low wind speeds and high levels of air pollution support the spread of COVID-19? *Atmos. Pollut. Res.*
429 **2020**, 12(1), 437-445. doi: 10.1016/j.apr.2020.10.002
- 430 13. Setti, L., Passarini, F., De Gennaro, G., Barbieri, P., Licen, S., Perrone, M.G., Piazzalunga, A., Borelli, M., Palmisani, J., Di Gilio,
431 A. et al. Potential role of particulate matter in the spreading of COVID-19 in Northern Italy: first observational study based on
432 initial epidemic diffusion. *BMJ Open* **2020**, 10. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-039338
- 433 14. Coker, E.S., Cavalli, L., Fabrizi, E., Guastella, G., Lippo, E., Parisi, M.L., Pontarollo, N., Rizzati, M., Varacca, A., Vergalli, S. The
434 Effects of Air Pollution on COVID-19 Related Mortality in Northern Italy. *Environ Resource Econ* **2020**, 76, 611-634. doi:
435 10.1007/s10640-020-00486-1
- 436 15. Filippini, T., Rothman, K.J., Goffi, A., Ferrari, F., Maffei, G., Orsini, N., Vinceti, M. Satellite-detected tropospheric nitrogen
437 dioxide and spread of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Northern Italy. *Sci Total Environ.* **2020**, 739. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140278
- 438 16. Van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R.V., Brauer, M., Boys, B.L. Use of satellite observations for long-term exposure assessment of
439 global concentrations of fine particulate matter. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2015**, 123, 135-143. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1408646
- 440 17. Emissions from agriculture and their control potentials: [https://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/pdf/TSAP-AGRI-](https://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/pdf/TSAP-AGRI-20121129_v21.pdf)
441 [20121129_v21.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/pdf/TSAP-AGRI-20121129_v21.pdf) (archived on 31 March 2021).
- 442 18. <http://dati.istat.it> (archived on 31 March 2021).
- 443 19. ISPRA: Emissioni di ammoniaca nell'ambiente. https://annuario.isprambiente.it/sys_ind/159 (archived on 31 March 2021).
- 444 20. Lovarelli, D., Conti, C., Finzi, A., Bacenetti, J., Guarino, M., Describing the trend of ammonia, particulate matter and nitrogen
445 oxides: The role of livestock activities in northern Italy during Covid-19 quarantine. *Environ Res.* **2020**, 191, 110048. doi:
446 10.1016/j.envres.2020.110048
- 447 21. Bauer, S.E., Tsigaridis, K., Miller, R. Significant atmospheric aerosol pollution caused by world food cultivation. *Geophys.*
448 *Res. Lett.* **2016**, 43, 5394-5400. doi:10.1002/2016GL068354
- 449 22. INEMAR (INventario Emissioni Aria). <http://www.inemar.eu/xwiki/bin/view/Inemar/WebHome> (archived on 31 March
450 2021).
- 451 23. Rivera, R., Rosenbaum, J.E., Quispe, W. Excess mortality in the United States during the first three months of the COVID-19
452 pandemic. *Epidemiol Infect* **2020**, 148:e264. doi: 10.1017/S0950268820002617.
- 453 24. Kung S, Doppen M, Black M, et al. Underestimation of COVID-19 mortality during the pandemic. *ERJ Open Res.* **2021**, 7,
454 00766-2020. doi: 10.1183/23120541.00766-2020
- 455 25. Raleigh, V.S., Tackling UK's mortality problem: covid-19 and other causes. *BMJ* **2020**, Jun 11, 369:m2295. doi:
456 10.1136/bmj.m2295.
- 457 26. Saglietto, A., D'Ascenzo, F., Cavarretta, E., Frati, G., Anselmino, M., Versaci, F., Biondi-Zoccai, G., De Ferrari, G.M. Excess all-
458 cause mortality during COVID-19 outbreak: potential role of untreated cardiovascular disease. *Minerva Cardioangiol.* **2020**, Sep
459 30. doi: 10.23736/S0026-4725.20.05349-9.
- 460 27. ARPA Lombardia: <https://www.arpalombardia.it/Pages/Aria/Richiesta-Dati.aspx> (archived on 31 March 2021).
- 461 28. ARPA Piemonte: <http://www.sistemapiemonte.it/cms/privati/ambiente-e-energia/servizi/510-qualita-dell-aria-in-piemonte>
462 (archived on 31 March 2021).
- 463 29. ARPA Emilia-Romagna: https://www.arpae.it/dettaglio_generale.asp?id=2921&idlivello=1637 (archived on 31 March 2021).
- 464 30. ARPA Veneto: [https://www.arpa.veneto.it/arpavinforma/indicatori-ambientali/indicatori_ambientali/atmosfera/qualita-](https://www.arpa.veneto.it/arpavinforma/indicatori-ambientali/indicatori_ambientali/atmosfera/qualita-dell-aria)
465 [dell-aria](https://www.arpa.veneto.it/arpavinforma/indicatori-ambientali/indicatori_ambientali/atmosfera/qualita-dell-aria) (archived on 31 March 2021).
- 466 31. ARPA Sicilia: <https://www.arpa.sicilia.it/temi-ambientali/aria/> (archived on 31 March 2021).
- 467 32. Altwayjiri, A., Soleimani, E., Moroni, S., Palomba, P., Borgini, A., De Marco, C., Ruprecht, A.A., Sioutas, C. The impact of
468 stay-home policies during Coronavirus-19 pandemic on the chemical and toxicological characteristics of ambient PM2.5 in the
469 metropolitan area of Milan, Italy. *Sci Total Environ.* **2020**, 14, 143582. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143582.
- 470 33. Chauhan, A., Singh, R.P. Decline in PM2.5 concentrations over major cities around the world associated with COVID-19.
471 *Environ. Res.* **2020**, 187, 109634. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109634>.
- 472 34. Backes, A.M., Aulinger, A., Bieser, J., Matthias, V., Quante, M. Ammonia emissions in Europe, part II: How ammonia
473 emission abatement strategies affect secondary aerosols. *Atmospheric Environment* **2016**, 126, 153-161. doi:
474 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.11.039.

- 475 35. Karmakar, M., Lantz, P.M., Tipirneni, R. Association of Social and Demographic Factors With COVID-19 Incidence and Death
476 Rates in the US. *JAMA Netw Open* **2021** Jan 4;4(1):e2036462. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.36462.PMID: 33512520
477 36. http://dati-censimentopopolazione.istat.it/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=DICA_GRADOISTR1 (archived on 31 March 2021).
478 37. Hawkins, R.B., Charles, E.J., Mehaffey, J.H. Socio-economic status and COVID-19-related cases and fatalities. *Public Health*,
479 **2020**,189:129-134. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2020.09.016.
480 38. Bello-Chavolla, O.M., Bahena-López, J.P., Antonio-Villa, N.E., Vargas-Vázquez, A., González-Díaz, A., Márquez-Salinas, A.,
481 Fermín-Martínez, C.A., Jesús Naveja, J., Aguilar-Salinas, C.A. Predicting mortality due to SARS-CoV-2: A mechanistic score
482 relating obesity and diabetes to COVID-19 outcomes in Mexico. *medRxiv* **2020**, 20072223. doi: 10.1101/2020.04.20.20072223
483 39. Drucker, D.J.. Diabetes, obesity, metabolism, and SARS-CoV-2 infection: the end of the beginning. *Cell Metabolism* **2021**, 33(3),
484 479-498. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2021.01.016
485 40. <http://dati.istat.it> (archived on 31 March 2021).
486 41. ISTAT. Report on impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on the total mortality. <https://www.istat.it/en/archivio/243805> (archived
487 on 31 March 2021).
488 42. R Development Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing **2007**. <http://www.rproject.org>
489 (archived on 31 March 2021).
490 43. Agnoletti, M., Manganelli, S. Piras, F. Covid-19 and rural landscape: The case of Italy. *Landsc Urban Plan.* **2020**,204:103955. doi:
491 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2020.103955
492 44. Watts, N., Amann, M., Arnell, N., Ayeb-Karlsson, S., Beagley, J., Belesova, K., Boykoff, M., Byass, P., Cai, W., Campbell-
493 Lendrum, D. et al. The 2020 report of The Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: responding to converging crises.
494 *Lancet* **2020**, S0140-6736(20)32290-X. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32290
495 45. Baliatsas, C., Dückers, M., Smit, L., Heederik, D., Yzermans, J. Morbidity Rates in an Area with High Livestock Density: A
496 Registry-Based Study Including Different Groups of Patients with Respiratory Health Problems. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*
497 **2020**, 17(5), 1591. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17051591.
498 46. Schulze, A., Römmelt, H., Ehrenstein, V., van Strien, R., Praml, G., Küchenhoff, H., Nowak, D., Radon, K. Effects on
499 pulmonary health of neighboring residents of animal feeding operations: exposure assessed using optimized estimation
500 technique. *Arch. Environ. Occup. Health* **2011**, 66, 146-154. doi: 10.1080/19338244.2010.539635
501 47. Loftus, C., Afsharinejad, Z., Sampson, P., Vedal, S., Torres, E., Arias, G., Tchong-French, M., Karr, C. Estimated time-varying
502 exposures to air emissions from animal feeding operations and childhood asthma. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* **2020**, 223(1), 187-
503 198. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2019.09.003.
504 48. Sigsgaard, T.; Basinas, I., Doekes, G., de Blay, F., Folletti, I., Heederik, D., Lipinska-Ojrzanowska, A., Nowak, D., Olivieri, M.,
505 Quirce, S., et al. Respiratory diseases and allergy in farmers working with livestock: a EAACI position paper. *Clin Transl*
506 *Allergy* **2020**, 10:29. doi: 10.1186/s13601-020-00334-x.
507 49. Manigrasso, M., Protano, C., Guerriero, E., Vitali, M., Avino, P. May SARS-CoV-2 Diffusion Be Favored by Alkaline Aerosols
508 and Ammonia Emissions? *Atmosphere* **2020**, 11, 995. doi: 10.3390/atmos11090995
509 50. Steinberg, J., Kennedy, E.D., Basler, C., Grant, M.P., Jacobs, J.R., Ortbahn, D., Osburn, J., Saydah, S., Tomasi, S., Clayton, J.L.
510 COVID-19 Outbreak Among Employees at a Meat Processing Facility - South Dakota, March-April 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal*
511 *Wkly Rep.* **2020**, 1015-1019. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6931a2.
512 51. Donham, K.J., Cumro, D., Reynolds, S. Synergistic effects of dust and ammonia on the occupational health effects of poultry
513 production workers. *J. Agromed.* **2002**, 8, 57-76. doi:10.1300/J096v08n02_09
514 52. Baudron, F., Liégeois, F., Fixing our global agricultural system to prevent the next COVID-19. *Outlook on Agriculture* **2020**,
515 49(2), 111-118. doi:10.1177/0030727020931122.
516 53. Zilio, M., Orzi, V., Chiodini, M.E., Riva, C.; Acutis, M.; Boccasile, G., Adani, F. Evaluation of ammonia and odour emissions
517 from animal slurry and digestate storage in the Po Valley (Italy). *Waste Manag.* **2020**, 103:296-304. doi:
518 10.1016/j.wasman.2019.12.038

519

520

521

Table S1. Regions, provinces, areas, populations, included in the analysis.

Region	Province	Area (km²)	Population	Population density (km⁻²)
Piemonte	Alessandria	3558.8	420017	118.0
Piemonte	Asti	1510.1	214342	141.9
Piemonte	Biella	913.2	174718	191.3
Piemonte	Cuneo	6905.0	586814	84.9
Piemonte	Novara	1340.2	369018	275.0
Piemonte	Torino	6827.0	2256108	330.4
Piemonte	Verbano-Cusio-Ossola	2260.9	157782	69.7
Piemonte	Vercelli	2081.6	170298	81.8
Lombardy	Bergamo	2754.9	1112187	400.0
Lombardy	Brescia	4785.6	1265954	264.5
Lombardy	Como	1279.0	599204	468.5
Lombardy	Cremona	1770.5	358955	202.7
Lombardy	Lecco	805.6	337380	418.8
Lombardy	Lodi	783.0	230198	230.2
Lombardy	Monza Brianza	405.5	873935	2159.8
Lombardy	Milano	1575.6	3250315	2028.9
Lombardy	Mantova	2341.4	412292	176.1
Lombardy	Pavia	2968.6	545888	183.9
Lombardy	Sondrio	3195.8	181095	56.6
Lombardy	Varese	1198.1	890768	743.5
Veneto	Belluno	3610.2	202950	56.0
Veneto	Padova	2144.1	937908	438.4
Veneto	Rovigo	1819.3	234937	128.5
Veneto	Treviso	2479.8	887806	358.5
Veneto	Venezia	2472.9	853338	344.6
Veneto	Verona	3096.3	926497	300.0
Veneto	Vicenza	2722.5	862418	316.8
Emilia Romagna	Bologna	3703.0	1014619	274.7
Emilia Romagna	Forlì-Cesena	2378.4	394627	165.9
Emilia Romagna	Ferrara	2635.1	345691	131.1
Emilia Romagna	Modena	2688.0	705393	262.4
Emilia Romagna	Parma	3447.4	451631	131.1
Emilia Romagna	Piacenza	2585.8	287152	111.2
Emilia Romagna	Ravenna	1859.4	389456	209.3
Emilia Romagna	Reggio Emilia	2291.2	531891	232.1
Emilia Romagna	Rimini	864.8	339017	392.4
Sicily	Agrigento	3052.0	435137	142.5
Sicily	Caltanissetta	2128.0	271758	130.0
Sicily	Catania	3573.0	1108040	310.0
Sicily	Enna	2574.0	164855	64.0
Sicily	Messina	3266.0	627251	192.0
Sicily	Palermo	5009.0	1253356	250.2
Sicily	Ragusa	1623.0	320795	197.5
Sicily	Siracusa	2124.0	397341	187.1
Sicily	Trapani	2469.0	428192	173.4

522