

1 Original Article

2 Title: The association between loneliness during the COVID-19 pandemic and
3 psychological distress

4

5 Yusuke Konno^{1,2}, Masako Nagata³, Ayako Hino⁴, Seiichiro Tateishi⁵, Mayumi Tsuji⁶,

6 Akira Ogami⁷, Reiji Yoshimura², Shinya Matsuda⁸, Yoshihisa Fujino¹ for the

7 CORoNaWork Project

8

9 **Author contributions:** Y.F. was the chairperson of the study group. Y.K. conceived the
10 research questions. All the authors designed the research protocol and developed the
11 questionnaire. Y.K. conducted the statistical analysis with Y.F.. Y.K. drafted the initial
12 manuscript. All the authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

13

14 **Authors' affiliations:**

15 ¹Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological
16 Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu,
17 Japan

18 ² Department of Psychiatry, University of Occupational and Environmental Health,

19 Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

20 ³Department of Occupational Health Practice and Management, Institute of Industrial
21 Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan,
22 Kitakyushu, Japan

23 ⁴Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of
24 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

25 ⁵Department of Occupational Medicine, School of Medicine, University of
26 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

27 ⁶Department of Environmental Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational
28 and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

29 ⁷Department of Work Systems and Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,
30 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

31 ⁸Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, School of Medicine,
32 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

33

34 **Corresponding author:** Yoshihisa Fujino¹, M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D.

35 Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,
36 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

37 1-1, Iseigaoka, Yahatanishiku, Kitakyushu, 807-8555, Japan

38 Tel: +81-93-691-7401

39 Email: zenq@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp

40

41

42 **Abstract**

43 The purpose of this study was to examine the association between loneliness and
44 psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan. We conducted a
45 cross-sectional, online study from 22 to 26 December 2020. A total of 27,036 participants,
46 all employed at the time of the survey, were included in the analysis. Participants were
47 asked if they felt loneliness in a single-item question. The Kessler 6 (K6) was used to
48 assess psychological distress defined as K6 scores of 5 or higher, and 13 or higher. The
49 odds ratios (ORs) of psychological distress associated with loneliness were estimated
50 using a multilevel logistic model nested in the prefecture of residence, with adjustment
51 for age, sex, marital status, equivalent income, educational level, smoking, alcohol
52 consumption, job type, number of workplace employees, and cumulative incidence rate
53 of COVID-19 in the prefecture. Communication with friends, acquaintances, and family
54 was strongly associated with psychological distress, so we adjusted for these factors and
55 eating meals alone. Results showed a significant association between loneliness and
56 psychological distress (OR = 36.62, 95%CI = 32.95-40.69). Lack of friends to talk to,
57 lack of acquaintances to ask for help, and lack of people to communicate with through
58 social networking sites were all strongly associated with psychological distress, as were
59 family time and solitary eating. Even after adjusting for these factors, loneliness was

60 still strongly associated with psychological distress (OR = 29.36, 95%CI = 26.44-32.98).

61 The association between loneliness during the COVID-19 pandemic and psychological

62 distress indicates the need for intervention.

63

64

65 **1. Introduction**

66 The outbreak of the new coronavirus infection (COVID-19) caused by SARS-CoV-2 was
67 first reported in Wuhan, China, in December 2019, and it has since spread around the
68 world. The World Health Organization (WHO) declared a public health emergency on 30
69 January 2020, and on 11 March 2020, declared it a pandemic. In Japan, the first case was
70 reported on 16 January 2020, and the disease was designated as a designated infectious
71 disease on 1 February 2020. As of March 2021, the disease continues to spread around the
72 world. Japan is no exception, and since the first case was reported, the outbreak has
73 spread, with a cumulative total of 324,846 confirmed cases in the year from 16 January
74 2020 to 16 January 2021.¹ In addition to its infectiousness, COVID-19 was found to be
75 highly contagious, with high severity of illness and a high mortality rate in severely ill
76 patients, leading to measures aimed at preventing COVID-19 in many countries around
77 the world.²

78 In its "Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) advice for the public" to combat
79 COVID-19, WHO advised to: "Maintain at least a 1-meter distance between yourself and
80 others to reduce your risk of infection when they cough, sneeze or speak. Maintain an
81 even greater distance between yourself and others when indoors." It also recommends

82 avoiding the 3Cs, i.e. spaces that are closed, crowded, or involve close contact, using the
83 phrase: "Avoid the 3Cs".³

84 These COVID-19 measures were also applied in Japan, resulting in a
85 transformation of lifestyles and making people more isolated. The Ministry of Health,
86 Labour and Welfare (MHLW) has announced a "new lifestyle" for the new coronavirus,
87 recommending that people avoid contact with other people as much as possible, and if
88 they do make contact, it should be for short periods of time; they should avoid talking,
89 and maintain as much distance from others as possible. People are advised to refrain from
90 going out unnecessarily and to shop online as much as possible.⁴ Living the kind of life
91 recommended for COVID-19 prevention limits communication and makes it easier to
92 socially withdraw.

93 The work environment is no exception with respect to COVID-19 measures
94 resulting in greater isolation. "Examples of 'new lifestyle' practices in anticipation of the
95 new coronavirus" recommend telework and online meetings, rota working, and staggered
96 commuting.⁴ As a result, employees work alone at home, and meetings are held on the
97 web. They no longer have dinners with their workmates, but rather eat and drink alone.
98 No more crowded commuting, no more being with others in enclosed spaces such as
99 offices or conference rooms, no more conversations without wearing a mask when eating

100 or drinking. In parallel, opportunities for both public and private communication have
101 decreased, and people's lifestyle has become more solitary than before the COVID-19
102 pandemic.

103 It has been reported that during the COVID-19 pandemic, people lead more
104 isolated lives and that feelings of psychological distress have increased due to COVID-19
105 prevention measures.^{7,8} Psychological distress causes physical and mental health
106 problems. Physically, psychological distress can trigger the onset and exacerbation of
107 cardiovascular diseases such as hypertension, arrhythmia, ischemic heart disease and
108 heart failure, and chronic stress can lead to overeating and lack of exercise, which in turn
109 leads to obesity, placing an additional burden on the cardiovascular system.⁹ Furthermore,
110 excessive psychological distress is a risk factor for mental disorders including
111 depression.¹⁰

112 The longer the COVID-19 pandemic continues, the more psychological distress
113 is expected to increase, and the more physical and mental disorders caused by
114 psychological distress are expected to increase; therefore, we believe that psychological
115 distress alleviation measures are necessary. The causes of psychological distress resulting
116 from the COVID-19 pandemic are thought to be diverse, but if one of them is isolated
117 living and the sense of loneliness that arises from it, then countermeasures are necessary.

118 The purpose of this study is to examine the association between loneliness and
119 psychological distress under COVID-19 conditions, and to help improve health.

120

121

122 2. Methods

123 *2.1. Study design and participants*

124 This cross-sectional study was conducted on the Internet from 22 to 26 December 2020.

125 The details of the protocol have already been reported.¹¹ In brief, data were collected

126 from people who were in employment at the time of the survey, selected by prefecture,

127 occupation, and gender. A total of 33,302 people participated in the survey. After

128 excluding clearly untruthful responses, data from 27,036 participants were included in the

129 analysis.

130 *2.2. Assessment of loneliness*

131 In this survey, one question was used to determine whether the participants felt loneliness

132 or not. To the question: "During the last 30 days, how frequently have you felt

133 loneliness?", the subjects answered by selecting one option from: never, a little,

134 sometimes, usually, always. If the subject answered always, usually, or sometimes,

135 loneliness was considered to be present.

136

137 *2.3. Assessment of psychological distress*

138 Kessler 6 (K6) was used to assess psychological distress.¹² The validity of the Japanese

139 version of K6 has been confirmed.^{5,6} In this study, the cutoff for mild psychological

140 distress was a K6 score of 5 or higher, and for severe psychological distress, a score of 13
141 or higher.

142

143 *2.4. Other covariates*

144 The following survey items were considered confounding factors: age, sex, marital status,
145 equivalent income, educational level, smoking, alcohol consumption, job type, number of
146 employees at the workplace and cumulative incidence rate of COVID-19 in the prefecture.

147 The survey also asked questions such as: “Do you have friends or neighbors with whom
148 you can easily engage in small talk or daily conversation? “; “Do you have someone you
149 can ask for help?” and “Do you have a partner with whom you can communicate closely
150 using SNSs?” The participants responded “Yes” or “No” to these questions. For the

151 question: “Time spent with family having a meal or at home”, participants answered:

152 more than 2 hours, more than 1 hour, more than 30 minutes, less than 30 minutes, almost

153 never. For the question: “How often do you eat all meals of the day alone?” they

154 answered: 6–7 days a week, 4–5 days a week, 2–3 days a week, less than 1 day a week,

155 hardly ever.

156 In addition, the cumulative incidence of COVID-19 from the time of the survey
157 to one month before in the prefecture of residence was used as a community-level
158 variable. Information was collected from the websites of public institutions.

159

160 2.5. *Statistical analysis*

161 Odds ratios (ORs) for loneliness and psychological distress were estimated with a logistic
162 model. Psychological distress was defined as mild psychological distress with a K6
163 score of 5 or higher, and severe psychological distress with a K6 score of 13 or higher. In
164 the multivariate model, we adjusted for age, sex, marital status, equivalent income,
165 educational level, smoking, alcohol consumption, job type, number of employees in the
166 workplace, and cumulative incidence rate of COVID-19 in the prefecture. In another
167 model, we added having friends or neighbors with whom to easily make small talk or
168 have daily conversations, having someone who can be asked for a little help, and having a
169 close friend to communicate with on social networking sites. The rate of COVID-19
170 incidence by prefecture was used as a prefecture-level variable.

171 A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Stata (Stata
172 Statistical Software: Release 16. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC.) was used for
173 analysis.

175 **3. Results**

176 The characteristics of the database are shown in table 1. Of the 27,036 participants, 2,750
177 (10%) felt loneliness. Of those who reported feeling loneliness, 93.6% had mild
178 psychological distress and 58.5% had severe psychological distress. In contrast, only
179 33.9% of the group who did not feel loneliness had mild psychological distress and 3.5%
180 had severe psychological distress. The percentage of participants who answered "yes" to
181 the questions: "Do you have friends or neighbors with whom you can easily engage in
182 small talk or daily conversation?", "Do you have someone you can ask for help?",
183 and "Do you have a partner with whom you can communicate closely using SNSs?" was
184 lower in the loneliness group in all cases. Those who spent less time with their family
185 during meals and gatherings were more likely to feel loneliness, while those who ate all
186 their meals alone were more likely to feel loneliness. The percentage of participants who
187 felt loneliness was higher among women, the unmarried, and those on low incomes. No
188 differences emerged in relation to job type or number of employees in the workplace.

189 Table 2 shows the odds ratios (ORs) of loneliness and severe psychological
190 distress estimated by the logistic model. In the age-adjusted model, there was a
191 significant association between loneliness and psychological distress (OR = 37.74,
192 95%CI = 34.04-41.85). This result was also found in the multivariate analysis (OR =

193 36.62, 95%CI = 32.95-40.69). Lack of friends to talk to, lack of acquaintances to ask for
194 favors, and lack of people to communicate with through social networking sites were all
195 strongly associated with psychological distress. Family time and solitary eating were
196 both associated with psychological distress. Even after adjusting for these factors,
197 loneliness was still strongly associated with psychological distress (OR = 29.36, 95%CI
198 = 26.44-32.98).
199

200 **4. Discussion**

201 In this study, 10% of all participants felt loneliness, and this loneliness was strongly
202 associated with psychological distress. Other studies have reported that people's
203 psychological distress increased during the COVID-19 pandemic.¹³ Communication
204 with friends, acquaintances, and family was also strongly associated with psychological
205 distress; we therefore adjusted for spending time with friends, acquaintances, and family,
206 but loneliness was still strongly associated with psychological distress. Loneliness is
207 generally defined as the discrepancy between a person's desired social relationships and
208 their actual social relationships.¹⁴ And loneliness is considered to be different from social
209 isolation, although there is overlap between the two.¹⁴ In this study, loneliness was
210 assessed by the subjective question: "Have you ever felt loneliness?" By contrast,
211 communication with friends, acquaintances, and family, which was used as an adjustment
212 factor, was assessed using objective questions, as was social isolation. The results of this
213 study showed that loneliness and social isolation overlap, but only partially, and the
214 non-overlapping parts are considered to be subjective experiences. We believe that this
215 subjective experience is associated with psychological distress and is a factor that should
216 be addressed.

217 One of the reasons for the loneliness highlighted here is considered to be the
218 particular lifestyle that has arisen due to the COVID-19 pandemic. This lifestyle requires
219 a different way of living, complying with "Avoid the 3Cs"^{15,16} to prevent infection, but as
220 a result, communication has decreased. This has led to a sense of loneliness, which in
221 turn is thought to be linked to psychological distress. As of February 2021, there is no
222 prospect of COVID-19 eradication, and people will need to continue their new lifestyles
223 to prevent infection. Therefore, the incidence of psychological distress is expected to
224 remain high for some time. As severe psychological distress conditions can be
225 physically and mentally disabling, interventions for loneliness are needed if
226 psychological distress under COVID-19 pandemic conditions persists.

227 As an intervention for loneliness, the usefulness of online communication such as
228 social media has been advocated.¹⁷ Online communication tools, such as social
229 networking sites, can be a valuable countermeasure against loneliness during the
230 pandemic, and are also useful in terms of infection prevention.¹⁸ In a randomized
231 controlled trial conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic, an empathy-focused program
232 of telephone calls significantly improved loneliness.¹⁹ Thus, various types of online
233 communication, such as communication via the web and telephone calls, and using social
234 media, may be useful as interventions for loneliness during the COVID-19 pandemic.

235 However, it has also been pointed out that continual use of social media and other
236 forms of online communication in situations of loneliness may be harmful. One risk is
237 increased psychological distress caused by excessive media consumption. Being
238 inundated with inaccurate information and excessive media consumption during the
239 COVID-19 pandemic may lead to deteriorating mental health.²⁰ WHO has cautioned that
240 with the current growing use of social media and the internet, not only useful but also
241 inaccurate or harmful information about COVID-19 can spread quickly, leading to
242 confusion, health problems and mistrust of health institutions.²¹⁻²³

243 Another risk is the issue of addiction. Loneliness is a risk factor for substance or
244 behavioral addictions, including the Internet.^{24,25} In addition, addiction to the Internet
245 increases one's loneliness, and the increased loneliness worsens the addiction, thus
246 creating a vicious cycle.²⁶

247 Thus, there is a risk that online communication, even when used with the intention
248 to reduce psychological distress, may conversely exacerbate or cause such distress, or
249 lead to addictive behaviors. Online communication is useful as a countermeasure to
250 loneliness, but in some cases, it may have harmful consequences, and therefore it should
251 be used with caution.

252

253 *4.1. Limitations of the study*

254 There are several limitations to this study. First, because it was conducted online using
255 the Internet, the generalizability of the results is uncertain, but we attempted to minimize
256 participant bias by sampling by occupation, region, and prefecture based on the incidence
257 of infection. Second, the accuracy of the reported incidence of loneliness may be
258 questioned because loneliness was evaluated only by the question: "Have you ever felt
259 loneliness?" In studies that evaluated accuracy, the binary evaluation of living alone had
260 the lowest accuracy.²⁶ However, we feel that the question asked in this study is
261 appropriate, as it briefly asks about participants' subjective experiences.
262 Third, because this is a cross-sectional study, the temporal relationship between
263 loneliness and psychological distress is unknown.

264

265 **5. Conclusion**

266 We found that 10% of the participants felt loneliness living under conditions of the
267 COVID-19 pandemic. Loneliness was associated with psychological distress, which we
268 believe requires intervention. Online communication is considered to be an effective
269 intervention in loneliness, but at the same time, it is important to take into account risks,
270 such as addiction.

271

272

273 **Acknowledgments**

274 This study was supported by a research fund from the University of Occupational and
275 Environmental Health, Japan; General Incorporated Foundation (Anshin Zaidan); The
276 Development of Educational Materials on Mental Health Measures for Managers at
277 Small-sized Enterprises; Health, Labour and Welfare Sciences Research Grants;
278 Comprehensive Research for Women's Healthcare (H30-josei-ippan-002); Research for
279 the Establishment of an Occupational Health System in Times of Disaster
280 (H30-roudou-ippan-007), and scholarship donations from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co.,
281 Ltd.

282

283 Present members of the Collaborative Online Research on the
284 Novel-coronavirus and Work (CORoNaWork) Project are: Dr. Yoshihisa Fujino (current
285 chairperson), Dr. Akira Ogami, Dr. Arisa Harada, Dr. Ayako Hino, Dr. Chimed-Ochir
286 Odgerel , Dr. Hajime Ando, Dr. Hisashi Eguchi, Dr. Kazunori Ikegami, Dr. Keiji
287 Muramatsu, Dr. Koji Mori, Dr. Kyoko Kitagawa, Dr. Masako Nagata, Dr. Mayumi
288 Tsuji, Dr. Rie Tanaka, Dr. Ryutaro Matsugaki, Dr. Seiishiro Tateishi, Dr. Shinya
289 Matsuda, Dr. Tomohiro Ishimaru, Dr. Tomohisa Nagata, Dr. Yosuke Mafune, and Ms.
290 Ning Liu, in alphabetical order. All of the members are affiliated with the University of

291 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan.

292

293 **Disclosure**

294 *Approval of the research protocol:* This study was approved by the Ethics

295 Committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (R2-079).

296 *Registry and the Registration No. of the study/trial :* N/A. *Animal Studies :* N/A. *Conflict of Interest :*

297 Yusuke Konno, Masako Nagata, Ayako Hino, Seiichiro Tateishi, Mayumi Tsuji, Akira Ogami,

298 Shinya Matsuda, Yoshihisa Fujino declare no Conflict of Interests for this article. Reiji Yoshimura

299 has received speaker's honoraria from Eli Lilly, Janssen, Dainippon Sumitomo, Otsuka, Meiji, Pfizer

300 and Shionogi.

301

- 302 1. Outbreaks in Japan.
303 <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/covid-19/kokunainohasseijoukyou.html>
- 304 2. Weiss P, Murdoch DR. Clinical course and mortality risk of severe COVID-19. *Lancet*.
305 2020;395(10229):1014-1015.
- 306 3. Advice for the public on COVID-19 – World Health Organization.
307 <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-p>
308 ublic
- 309 4. A practical example of a “new lifestyle” for COVID-19.
310 https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000121431_newlifestyle.htm
311 1
- 312 5. Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, Wang Z, Xie B, Xu Y. A nationwide survey of psychological
313 distress among Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy
314 recommendations. *Gen Psychiatr*. 2020;33(2):e100213.
- 315 6. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, et al. Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated
316 Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19)
317 Epidemic among the General Population in China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*.
318 2020;17(5). doi:10.3390/ijerph17051729
- 319 7. Rosengren A, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, et al. Association of psychosocial risk factors
320 with risk of acute myocardial infarction in 11119 cases and 13648 controls from
321 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. *Lancet*.
322 2004;364(9438):953-962.
- 323 8. Iso H, Date C, Yamamoto A, et al. Perceived mental stress and mortality from
324 cardiovascular disease among Japanese men and women: the Japan Collaborative
325 Cohort Study for Evaluation of Cancer Risk Sponsored by Monbusho (JACC Study).
326 *Circulation*. 2002;106(10):1229-1236.
- 327 9. Slavich GM, Irwin MR. From stress to inflammation and major depressive disorder:
328 a social signal transduction theory of depression. *Psychol Bull*.
329 2014;140(3):774-815.
- 330 10. Fujino Y, Ishimaru T, Eguchi H, et al. Protocol for a nationwide

- 331 Internet-based health survey in workers during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020.
332 *bioRxiv*. Published online February 5, 2021. doi:10.1101/2021.02.02.21249309
- 333 11. Kessler RC, Andrews G, Colpe LJ, et al. Short screening scales to monitor
334 population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress.
335 *Psychol Med*. 2002;32(6):959-976.
- 336 12. Furukawa TA, Kawakami N, Saitoh M, et al. The performance of the Japanese
337 version of the K6 and K10 in the World Mental Health Survey Japan. *Int J Methods*
338 *Psychiatr Res*. 2008;17(3):152-158.
- 339 13. Russell D, Peplau LA, Cutrona CE. The revised UCLA Loneliness Scale:
340 concurrent and discriminant validity evidence. *J Pers Soc Psychol*.
341 1980;39(3):472-480.
- 342 14. Wheeler L, Reis H, Nezlek J. Loneliness, social interaction, and sex roles.
343 *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1983;45(4):943-953.
- 344 15. Seepersad S. Coping with loneliness: adolescent online and offline behavior.
345 *Cyberpsychol Behav*. 2004;7(1):35-39.
- 346 16. Pittman M. Creating, Consuming, and Connecting: Examining the Relationship
347 Between Social Media Engagement and Loneliness. *The Journal of Social Media in*
348 *Society*. 2015;4(1). Accessed January 29, 2021.
349 <http://www.thejsms.org/tsmri/index.php/TSMRI/article/view/92>
- 350 17. Hajek A, König H-H. Social Isolation and Loneliness of Older Adults in Times
351 of the COVID-19 Pandemic: Can Use of Online Social Media Sites and Video Chats
352 Assist in Mitigating Social Isolation and Loneliness? *Gerontology*.
353 2021;67(1):121-124.
- 354 18. Kahlon MK, Aksan N, Aubrey R, et al. Effect of Layperson-Delivered,
355 Empathy-Focused Program of Telephone Calls on Loneliness, Depression, and Anxiety
356 Among Adults During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*
357 *Psychiatry*. Published online February 23, 2021.
358 doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.0113
- 359 19. Holmes EA, O' Connor RC, Perry VH, et al. Multidisciplinary research
360 priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science.
361 *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(6):547-560.

- 362 20. World Health Organization. Infodemic. World Health Organization.
363 <https://www.who.int/health-topics/infodemic>
- 364 21. Hunt MG, Marx R, Lipson C, Young J. No More FOMO: Limiting Social Media
365 Decreases Loneliness and Depression. *J Soc Clin Psychol*. 2018;37(10):751-768.
- 366 22. Moody EJ. Internet use and its relationship to loneliness. *Cyberpsychol Behav*.
367 2001;4(3):393-401.
- 368 23. Savci M, Aysan F. Relationship between Impulsivity, Social Media Usage and
369 Loneliness. *Kutahya*. 2016;5(2):106-115.
- 370 24. Bozoglan B, Demirer V, Sahin I. Loneliness, self-esteem, and life
371 satisfaction as predictors of Internet addiction: a cross-sectional study among
372 Turkish university students. *Scand J Psychol*. 2013;54(4):313-319.
- 373 25. Kim J, LaRose R, Peng W. Loneliness as the cause and the effect of problematic
374 Internet use: the relationship between Internet use and psychological well-being.
375 *Cyberpsychol Behav*. 2009;12(4):451-455.
- 376 26. Holt-Lunstad J, Smith TB, Bradley Layton J. Social Relationships and
377 Mortality Risk: A Meta-analytic Review. *PLoS Med*. 2010;7(7):e1000316.