

Carers using assistive technology in dementia care: an explanatory sequential mixed methods study

Authors:

Vimal Sriram^{*,1}, vimal.sriram@dph.ox.ac.uk

Crispin Jenkinson¹, crispin.jenkinson@ndph.ox.ac.uk

Michele Peters¹, michele.peters@ndph.ox.ac.uk

*Corresponding Author

¹Address: Health Services Research Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Richard Doll Building, Old Road Campus, Oxford OX3 7LF

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

1 **Abstract:**

2 **INTRODUCTION:**

3 Informal carers support persons with dementia to live at home, even with deteriorating physical and
4 cognitive issues. The purpose of this explanatory sequential mixed methods study is to examine the
5 experiences and impact of Assistive Technology (AT) on carers, providing care for a person with
6 dementia.

7 **METHODS:**

8 The quantitative phase was a survey carried out with carers of persons with dementia in the UK, who
9 used AT. The qualitative phase involved in-depth telephone interviews with a purposive sample of
10 survey respondents. Data was analysed using hermeneutic phenomenology to develop, compare
11 and explain the findings of the survey.

12 **RESULTS:**

13 The survey included data from 201 carers. Smartphones and tablet computers were the most
14 frequently used AT. Multiple AT were used in the care of persons with dementia predominantly for
15 safety, communication, and reminders. The Short Form-12 questions in the survey showed that
16 carers in the 46-65 age group and carers who were not extremely satisfied with AT had lower mental
17 component scores and carers who lived with the person with dementia and older carers had lower
18 physical component scores. Twenty-three carers participated in the interviews, and 5 themes with
19 14 sub-themes were identified. The interviews helped confirm data from the survey on the impact of
20 AT on the physical, mental and social wellbeing of the carers. It helped describe reasons for
21 satisfaction with AT; how AT was used in daily life and strengthened caring relationships; and how
22 wider support systems enhanced the care of a person with dementia using AT.

23 **CONCLUSIONS:**

24 This study describes the use of AT in the real-world context. AT supplements the care provided to a
25 person with dementia in the community. Appropriate use, access to AT and abilities of the carer can
26 enhance the support provided through AT to both carers and the person with dementia.

27 **Key words:**

28 Assistive Technology; Dementia; carers; mixed methods

29 **INTRODUCTION:**

30 Dementia is a public health priority[1]. It is a progressive illness, and even if functional challenges
31 impede a person's ability to live independently, persons with dementia want to live at home[2].
32 Informal carers (family, friends and neighbours), hereafter referred to as carers, play a crucial role in
33 supporting people living with dementia in the community. Assistive Technology (AT) is suggested as
34 one way of providing support to the person with dementia and their carers[3,4]. AT can be defined
35 as: "any item, piece of equipment, product or system that is used to increase, maintain or improve
36 the functional capabilities and independence of people with cognitive, physical or communication
37 difficulties"[5]. Carers usually make the decision on purchase, support maintenance and decide on
38 abandonment of AT. While AT is viewed as a pervasive solution to supporting carers and persons
39 with dementia to live for longer in the community[6–8], few attempts have been made to
40 understand the experiences of carers, who use and support the use of AT. Understanding carers'
41 perceptions of AT and the impact of these ATs on carers is important to continue to provide support
42 for persons with dementia and carers.

43 We carried out a survey among carers of persons with dementia in the UK[9]. This provided a broad
44 understanding of the experiences of carers using AT. This survey provided information on the
45 current use, satisfaction and impact of AT use among carers of persons with dementia. The survey
46 was conducted using the Carers Assistive Technology Experience Questionnaire (CATEQ) [10] and the
47 SF-12 (version 1)[11]. The SF-12 contains items covering physical functioning, social functioning, role
48 functioning (physical and mental), vitality, bodily pain, mental health and general health. The SF-12
49 generates two summary scores: The Physical Component Score and the Mental Component Score
50 (PCS and MCS respectively). The PCS and MCS are generated using norm-based methods and are
51 standardised, using scores from the general population[11,12], to have a mean of 50 (SD 10). A
52 higher score indicates better quality of life.

53 To gain a better insight into these findings, interpret them and to enrich the understanding of the
54 experience and impact of using AT on carers, we used a sequential explanatory mixed-methods
55 design[13–15]. In this method, quantitative data are collected and analysed first, then qualitative
56 data are collected and analysed to help explain the quantitative data.

57 Study objectives:

58 This paper in addition to describing the results from the survey[9] provides context to the findings,
59 by describing:

- 60 1. The experience of carers in using AT in the care of persons with dementia and
- 61 2. The impact of AT on carers well-being and daily life.

62 **Ethics and Patient and Public Involvement:**

63 This study was approved by the University of Oxford Central University Research Ethics Committee
64 (Reference number: R57703/RE001). All potential participants were provided with a participant
65 information sheet (supplementary file 1) and interview participants provided informed written
66 consent (supplementary file 2) prior to the interview. No personal identifiable information of
67 participants is reported in this paper. This study is part of a larger research project which has a
68 patient and public advisory group that meets twice a year. This group consists of two carers of
69 persons with dementia and a person with dementia (all living in England). This group reviewed the
70 final version of the CATEQ and informed the interview guide questions. This group has also
71 committed to support dissemination of study results to other patient involvement groups and their
72 wider networks.

73 **METHODS:**

74 **1.1 Study Design:**

75 This is a mixed-methods study [Fig 1], with the cross-sectional survey carried out between April to
76 July 2020. Following the survey analysis, interview questions were formulated to gain further
77 understanding into carers' experiences as identified in the survey. Interview participants were
78 recruited and interviewed between October – December 2020.

79 Fig 1: Flowchart of mixed methods design for carers using assistive technology in dementia care
80 study.

81 **1.2 Participants:**

82 **1.2.1 Recruitment:**

83 Survey participants were recruited using online databases via the Join Dementia Research
84 website[16], Oxford Dementia and Aging Research (OxDARE)[17] and through health care
85 professionals who prescribe AT for persons with dementia. Participants were carers of persons with
86 dementia based in the United Kingdom. The inclusion criteria were: adult carers - family, friends or
87 neighbours - providing at least 10 hours of care (e.g. shopping, leisure, personal care, finance) per
88 week to a person with dementia who lives in their own home, with the carer living together with or
89 away from the person with dementia; and carers needed to have used at least one AT device at
90 home in the previous year. For the interviews, an email with the participant information sheet was
91 sent to survey participants who gave consent to be contacted. From those who replied expressing an
92 interest in participating, a purposive sample reflecting variations in age, sex, living arrangements,
93 rurality, and relationship with persons with dementia were selected for the interviews.

94 **1.2.2 Data collection:**

95 For the survey, questionnaires were completed and returned by post or by using an anonymous
96 online survey link. Data collection for the quantitative study is described in detail elsewhere[9]. For
97 the qualitative study, semi-structured interviews were conducted over the telephone due to the
98 restrictions from the COVID-19 pandemic. The interview focused on caregiving experience, the use
99 and impact of AT on carers and on caring for the person with dementia. All questions and prompts

100 were open ended and informed by an earlier systematic review[4], qualitative study[18] and the
101 survey[9] and confirmed as meeting the needs for answering the research questions by the patient
102 and public advisory group. All interviews were carried out by VS. Demographic data was taken from
103 the survey responses and confirmed as being accurate with the participant at the start of the
104 interview. The background of the interviewer as an Occupational Therapist and consequent interest
105 in the research topic was discussed with participants. The participants were not known to the
106 interviewer or the other authors before recruitment. Trust in the interviewer was built by
107 establishing rapport with the participants through email and prior to answering questions as part of
108 the telephone interview. Interviews lasted between 30-50 minutes, were audio-recorded, and later
109 transcribed by a professional transcriber, verbatim with names of participants, names of the person
110 with dementia, and any towns/cities mentioned in the interviews pseudonymised to ensure
111 confidentiality.

112 **1.3 Data analysis:**

113 1.3.1 Quantitative data analysis:

114 Survey data was analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 26. Descriptive statistics were used to
115 examine the types of AT used, what the AT was used for, costs of the AT, perceived value for money
116 and satisfaction with the AT. Bivariate analyses were conducted to examine differences in socio-
117 demographic variables between respondents and SF-12 scores. The level of significance was set at
118 $p < 0.05$ for all analyses.

119 1.3.2 Qualitative data analysis:

120 The data was analysed using Hermeneutic Phenomenology, a process outlined by Lindseth and
121 Norberg and others[19–21] and used in our previous qualitative study[18]. Hermeneutic
122 phenomenology focusses on the subjective accounts of individuals own experiences in context, and
123 thematic analysis seeks to identify and describe topic categories raised by interviewees in describing

124 and explaining the survey results. VS listened to each of the interviews and read the transcripts
125 multiple times (first step). Line by line coding of each transcript was carried out using the software
126 package NVivo 12[22] (second step). The data analysis was ongoing throughout the period of data
127 collection, this ongoing method allowed earlier transcripts to be recoded to reflect new codes. All
128 the coded units were grouped into themes and underlying sub-themes (third step). Data collection
129 continued after 20 interviews to ensure no new codes were developed and at the twenty third
130 interview, it was concluded that data saturation was reached.

131 1.3.3 Mixing analysis:

132 The themes were developed, compared and interpreted in context of the survey results[23]. All
133 authors coded selected transcripts independently and met regularly to discuss and agree ongoing
134 data collection, generate themes, interpretation and integrating data analysis with survey results.
135 Reflexivity (the examination of own beliefs, judgments and practices during the research process and
136 how these may have influenced the research)[24,25] and integrity of the research process was
137 maintained by all authors. The authors' experience and previous research with people with long-
138 term conditions, including dementia, provided the necessary expertise for this research. However, it
139 is acknowledged that this experience may have influenced the coding and interpretation of the
140 themes.

141 **RESULTS:**

142 Full results from the survey is reported elsewhere[9]. A brief overview of the findings is given here to
143 provide context. Data from 201 carers was analysed. Participant characteristics from the survey is
144 described in Table 1. AT were predominantly used for safety, communication, and reminders.
145 Multiple AT devices were used in the care of persons with dementia and the number of AT used was
146 associated with perceived satisfaction. Carers reported that AT helped them reduce effort of caring
147 for a person with dementia and reduced perceived stress. Additional support was needed to support
148 purchase and continued use of AT, and AT devices were abandoned when the person with dementia

149 could no longer use them. A summary of the important findings from the survey is provided in Table

150 2.

Table 1: Characteristics of survey participants

		N	%
Sex			
	Women	131	65.2
	Men	65	32.3
	Other	1	0.5
Living arrangements			
	Living with person with dementia	103	51.2
	Living away from person with dementia	98	48.8
Ethnicity			
	White	186	92.5
	Indian/Indian British	4	2
	Mixed/multiple ethnic groups	3	1.5
	Other	1	0.5
Marital status			
	Single	17	8.5
	Married/civil partnership	158	78.6
	Divorced/legally dissolved civil partnership	22	10.9
	Widowed/surviving partner	3	1.5
Highest level of education			
	Secondary school	8	4.0
	College (further education)	58	28.9
	Undergraduate university degree	76	37.8
	Postgraduate university degree	51	25.4
	Other	8	4.0
Annual family income			
	Less than £10,000	7	3.5
	£10,001 - £40,000	86	42.7
	£40,001 - £70,000	49	24.4
	Greater than £70,000	11	5.5
	I do not wish to say	47	23.4

Relationship with person with dementia			
	Parent	110	54.7
	Sibling	3	1.5
	Friend	2	1.0
	Neighbour	1	0.5
	Spouse	72	35.8
	Grandparent	3	1.5
Other	10	5.0	
Age (Minimum – Maximum); Mean (SD)	33 - 92 Years; 61.67 (12.07)		

Table 2: Findings from the survey: perceived impact of AT

	% of responses based on AT currently in use					
	Not at all helpful	A little helpful	Quite helpful	Helpful	Very helpful	
AT helps in reducing effort (n=200)	8.8	27.2	16.5	25.0	22.5	
AT helps in reducing stress (n=194)	5	21.1	11.0	23.9	39.0	
AT helps in reducing anxiety (n=200)	5.7	15.9	9.8	19.0	31.7	
AT helps make caring role easier (n=198)	7.2	26.4	10.8	30.8	24.8	
AT reduces need for additional paid care (n=123)	32.2	11.9	10.0	21.1	24.9	
AT helps reduce harm/potential harm (n=198)	32.5	16.4	7.4	15.7	28.0	
	Deteriorated a lot	Deteriorated a little	Not changed	Improved a little	Improved a lot	
Care provided for a person with dementia changed (n=198)	4.0	3.6	48.3	32.6	11.5	
	Extremely dissatisfied	Somewhat dissatisfied	Neither satisfied/dissatisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Extremely satisfied	
Overall satisfaction with AT	1.0	1.0	7.5	55.2	34.8	
Less than 5 AT used (N)	1.3 (1)	0 (0)	17.3 (13)	54.7 (41)	26.7 (20)	
Five or more AT used (N)	0.8 (1)	1.6 (2)	1.6 (2)	56.0 (70)	40.0 (50)	
	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)			
Pearson chi-square	19.200	4	0.001			
Physical and Mental health component scores		PCS			MCS	
		N	Mean	95% CI	Mean	95% CI
SF-12 Scores		201	49.19	47.75 – 50.63	45.37	43.93 – 46.80
Age Groups						
	<45	20	54.78	52.53 – 57.02	49.52	45.37 – 53.68
	46-65	105	51.62	49.81 – 53.43	43.76	41.70 – 45.82
	>66	76	44.37	41.88 – 46.86	46.49	44.22 – 48.75
	p		0.000		0.012	

Sex						
	Men	65	49.28	46.67 – 51.89	49.23	47.35 – 51.10
	Women	131	49.10	47.32 – 50.88	43.37	41.46 – 45.29
	p		0.536		0.002	
Living arrangements						
	Living with the person with dementia	103	46.18	43.93 – 48.43	44.69	42.69 – 46.69
	Living away from the person with dementia	98	52.36	50.78 – 53.94	46.08	43.98 – 48.17
	p		<0.001		0.244	
Relationship with person with dementia						
	Parent	110	51.74	50.08 – 53.51	44.38	42.34 – 46.42
	Sibling	3	39.13	9.67 – 68.58	52.61	48.80 – 56.42
	Friend	2	57.48	44.27 – 70.70	51.75	29.26 – 74.24
	Spouse	72	44.86	42.17 – 47.54	46.27	44.00 – 48.54
	Grandparent	3	57.80	50.22 – 65.37	49.56	24.03 – 75.10
	Other	10	50.59	44.74 – 56.44	44.16	35.45 – 52.88
	p		<0.001		0.436	
Satisfaction with AT						
	Extremely satisfied	70	48.71	46.32 – 51.10	48.26	46.29 – 50.22
	Not extremely satisfied	130	49.38	47.54 – 51.21	43.94	42.04 – 45.85
	P		0.720		0.010	
Number of AT being used						
	Less than 5 AT	76	49.20	46.95 – 51.46	46.16	43.88 – 48.44
	5 or more AT	125	49.19	47.30 – 51.08	44.88	43.02 – 46.75
	p		0.757		0.561	

Significance level $p < 0.050$

- 151 For the qualitative study 23 carers (18 women, 4 men, 1 non-binary) participated in the interviews.
- 152 Participants' age ranged from 51 to 85. Table 3 provides further details of the interview participants.

153 **Table 3: Characteristics of interview participants**

ID	Age Range	Gender	Person with dementia is	Ethnicity	Living arrangements	Assistive Technology used	PCS Score	MCS Score	Type of dementia	Years/months since diagnosis
1	71-80	Female	Husband	White	Living with person with dementia	Laptop; cooker alarm; smart phone; stove timer	23.11	52.03	Vascular dementia	Two years
2	51-60	Male	Mother	White	Weekly visits	Audio books; automatic night lamp; dementia clock; GPS tracker; large button telephone; object locator; pendant alarm; picture button telephone; smart phone; web camera	57.23	55.92	Alzheimer's dementia	Eighteen months
3	71-80	Female	Husband	White	Living with person with dementia	Audio books; laptop; dementia clock; falls alarm; GPS tracker; pendant alarm; tablet computer; Alexa; web camera	54.37	42.29	Alzheimer's dementia	Three years
4	51-60	Female	Mother	White	Living with person with dementia	Automatic night lamp; laptop; smart gas meter; smart lights; tablet computer; web camera	38.48	22.51	Unsure	Ten years
5	71-80	Female	Husband	White	Living with person with dementia	Electronic reminders; large button telephone; smart phone; tablet computer; video communication	39.90	35.17	Alzheimer's dementia	Four years
6	61-70	Female	Mother	White	Living with person with dementia	Falls alarm; CCTV; GPS tracker; door alarm; memory clock; movement sensor; picture button telephone; web camera	57.12	26.40	Mixed dementia	Eight years
7	81-90	Male	Wife	White	Living with person with dementia	Laptop; dementia clock; community alarm; smartphone; video communications	52.83	47.95	Alzheimer's dementia	Three years, six months

ID	Age Range	Gender	Person with dementia is	Ethnicity	Living arrangements	Assistive Technology used	PCS Score	MCS Score	Type of dementia	Years/ months since diagnosis
8	61-70	Female	Mother	White	Living with person with dementia	Laptop; memory clock; tablet computer; video communications	55.91	55.86	Mixed dementia	One year
9	61-70	Female	Husband	White	Living with person with dementia	Automatic night lamp; baby monitor; laptop; cooker alarm; dementia clock; smart phone; stove timer; video communications; web camera; satnav in car	53.95	47.56	Mixed dementia	Six years
10	61-70	Female	Mother	White	Daily visits	Electric bed; dementia clock; falls alarm; GPS tracker; Large button telephone; simple radio	62.47	28.33	Alzheimer's dementia	Four years
11	61-70	Female	Husband	White	Living with person with dementia	Electric bed; memory clock; pendant alarm	34.54	47.69	Vascular dementia	Three years
12	61-70	Female	Mother	White	Visits every three weeks	Electric bed; stand aid	50.91	50.12	Unsure	Four years
13	71-80	Male	Wife	White	Living with person with dementia	Baby monitor; laptop; electric bed; smart gas meter; smart phone; video comms; Hoist; Wheelchair; WAV vehicle	55.50	57.82	Fronto-temporal dementia	Eleven years
14	61-70	Female	Mother	White	Daily visits	Laptop; dementia clock; GPS tracker; large button telephone; memory clock; pendant alarm; smart gas meter; smart phone; smart watch; video communications	57.99	43.30	Alzheimer's dementia	Seven years
15	51-60	Non-binary	Friend	Other	Daily visits	Laptop; electronic reminders; large button telephone; video communications; web camera	56.44	49.98	Parkinson's Dementia	One year, three months

ID	Age Range	Gender	Person with dementia is	Ethnicity	Living arrangements	Assistive Technology used	PCS Score	MCS Score	Type of dementia	Years/months since diagnosis
16	61-70	Female	Husband	White	Living with person with dementia	Assistive robot; automatic night lamp; laptop; electronic reminders; GPS tracker; smart phone; tablet computer; video communications; Alexa	24.31	35.38	Alzheimer's dementia	Four years
17	71-80	Female	Husband	White	Living with person with dementia	Laptop; cooker alarm; falls alarm; pendant alarm; smart gas meter; smart phone; smart plugs; stove timer; tablet computer; video communications; Alexa; web camera	33.30	27.00	Vascular dementia	Twelve years
18	71-80	Female	Husband	White	Living with person with dementia	Pendant alarm	44.47	38.91	Lewy body dementia	Seven years
19	51-60	Female	Mother	White	Living with person with dementia	Audio book; laptop; dementia clock; GPS tracker; object locator; smart gas meter; smart phone; tablet computer	62.85	26.05	Alzheimer's dementia	Two years
20	71-80	Male	Wife + Mother-in-law	White	Living with person with dementia (wife). Mother-in-law recently moved to nursing home	Cooker alarm; dementia clock; GPS tracker; smart gas meter; smart lights; smartphone; tablet computer; video communications; ELK lifting cushion	51.21	33.58	Behaviour variant fronto-temporal dementia (wife) + Vascular dementia (mother-in-law).	Four years (wife) Unsure (mother-in-law)
21	51-60	Female	Mother + stepdad	White	Weekly visits (mother recently	Falls alarm; Large button phone; memory clock; pendant alarm;	60.39	27.12	Alzheimer's dementia (mother) +	Five years

ID	Age Range	Gender	Person with dementia is	Ethnicity	Living arrangements	Assistive Technology used	PCS Score	MCS Score	Type of dementia	Years/months since diagnosis
					moved to nursing home)	picture button telephone; Video communications			Vascular dementia (stepdad)	
22	51-60	Female	Father	White	Daily visits	Dementia clock; door alarm; tracking device; GPS tracker; memory clock; smart phone	49.85	43.63	Alzheimer's dementia	Four years, six months
23	51-60	Female	Father	White	Daily visits	Electric bed; smart lights; tablet comp; video communications; Alexa; movement detector	50.88	17.41	Mixed dementia	Two years

154

155 Themes:

156 Five themes and 14 sub-themes were identified in the analysis. We describe the themes from the
157 qualitative interviews and situate them in context of the survey results. Additional illustrative
158 participant quotes are provided in Table 4.

Table 4: Themes and sub-themes with illustrative quotes

Theme	Sub-theme	Example quote 1	Example quote 2	Example quote 3
Use of AT	Staggered purchase and use of AT	we got things as, as she worsened [Participant 2]	Well as we got problems we found these technological solutions to enable us to continue to care for him at home [Participant 23]	Yeah. I, I got them, I think, gradually as Mum's condition progressed ... I was trying to maintain her independence as long as possible [Participant 6].
	Ease of using AT	I think it's [electric bed], it's much more help than, than anything else, no. I mean, we, we couldn't ... As I say, because she still can cooperate with using it [Participant 12]	I mean, I am very, I'm, I'm not au fait, au fait with it all but what I do know I'm, I'm able to use quite efficiently [Participant 4]	His own phone, he's okay answering it and doing the odd text with one word. I, I, I've got to admit, though, his iPad has been beneficial to him [Participant 5]
	Problems using AT	... but she would take it [pendant alarm] off from her neck and just throw it onto the settee which activated the alarm [Participant 14]	But there was, there was at one point the, the hoist that, it hadn't charged and so he got stuck half-way [Participant 18]	...GPS tracker on her phone but after a while she forgot to, to take her phone with her, so when she went walkabout we had no idea where she was, and that was something that was really problematic [Participant 2]
Satisfaction with AT	Ability of the PwD	she's got rheumatoid arthritis [Participant 15]	She's got quite severe depression... She won't come downstairs, she refuses, she won't go out in the garden. She's in that room and that is it [Participant 4]	She can't remedy any mistakes that she makes. She gets very frustrated, she panics and then she presses all sorts of buttons and then calls us [Participant 14]
	Problem solving	I've got a little key finder, which I call my mum finder, and I always take that out with us as well and slip it in her pocket or something, and again it's on a lanyard so she will play with it, and it's just more if we get separated [Participant 19]	So what we did instead was use the pad, these pressure pads that you put under the seat, under the cushion. So as he started to get up out of the chair, it would, it's wireless...the beep would go off and I could go and make sure he was okay. [Participant 23]	Well, I can, I can use it, but I don't find any technology particularly easy. I've always got to sit and think through it, you know? [Participant 10]

Theme	Sub-theme	Example quote 1	Example quote 2	Example quote 3
	Strengthened relationships	That [CCTV camera] has helped because, and obviously being able to see him, helps him because he thinks, yes, there's people out there that care about him [Participant 23]	I think it's [AT], it's maintained a very close and stable relationship that was always there. It's, it's just experienced in a different way [Participant 7]	It [Youtube on tablet computer] has helped me and mum because instead of just sitting there keeping her occupied, and doing puzzles and, and chatting and watching, we've been able to do the tai chi [Participant 10]
Impact of AT on carers	Physical wellbeing	It affected me physically because I ended up having to either lift him up or help him into bed or get him into bed, or get him into a wheelchair, get him into the car [Participant 18]	I do a little workout routine with Mum in the morning to YouTube [Participant 19]	For my quality of life, but physically, without that [electric bed and hoist] assistance, I could not have managed [Participant 13]
	Mental wellbeing	My dad was always the one that we went to when we needed to speak to someone, and that support was gone [Participant 22]	I can't, I can't begin to tell you what, you know, how much, how much, how helpful they [GPS tracker, movement sensor] were and how much anxiety they took away. [Participant 6]	I tend to use the technology for my own amusement at times [Participant 20]
	Social wellbeing	It [Smart phone and tablet computer], it's absolutely helped to sustain my social life [Participant 17]	Yes, it's helped there [socialising] definitely...it does mean that one person can look after my dad at a time, rather than two people being there if you need to do lots of things [Participant 23]	We can communicate with our daughter and grandson in [city] via WhatsApp or anything and actually see them while we're talking to them which has obviously made a difference if she does that [Participant 7]
AT use in daily life	Coping with caring	Yes, we wouldn't be able to function at all without those[Electric bed; memory clock; pendant alarm] [Participant 11]	You know, when you have worked out routes for him to take, the length of time it takes him to walk [using the GPS tracker] and basically if he not back within that time then I, then I would have to go and see what's happening [Participant 16]	I could go out more often, and maybe not going more than a quarter of an hour from home or something like that, so I could get back if a problem arose [find out using the CCTV camera, smartphone] or, or I could ring the next door

Theme	Sub-theme	Example quote 1	Example quote 2	Example quote 3
				neighbours and say, 'Please go and sort her out' [Participant 20]
	Person with dementia using AT	He watches television which is good for stimulating him but he can't use the remote control, so he relies on me to sort of get it all set up for him [Participant 11]	When she comes over to us in the evening then we will, do a Zoom or we'll do a, a Facetime or, a WhatsApp with our children so that she can see them, but left to her own devices she couldn't do it [Participant 14]	An internet device which calls my phone if she has a fall or if she wants to get in touch and she can talk to me over it. It's [community alarm] becoming less and less useful, because she can't do anything ... she can't go anywhere on her own [Participant 7]
	Simple devices	We have a visual calendar in his, in his kitchen that we write things on that are happening and he really likes that [Participant 21]	So my idea is to keep regular photos of the family where possible so that she's aware of how they are changing and who they are [Participant 8]	The key safe outside, it means if at all necessary, if she forgot. Well, she wouldn't know how to use it, but we would always have a key if, if we had to run up in an emergency or something, there would be a key there [Participant 10]
Wider support systems	Support from others	it's [formal carer visits] a, it's a safety net and it also enables mum to see somebody else [Participant 14]	I now have a private carer who comes to help me one hour in the morning Mondays to Fridays and one hour in the evening Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday just to give me a hand [Participant 9]	Even though there is a carer there as well moving her and doing a lot of things needs two people now [Participant 12]
	Ethical issues	The [GPS] tracker, she doesn't know what it is. I sneak it on her with her sunflower lanyard when we have to go in shops [Participant 19]	We didn't tell him what it was for, we just said that there was a button on it that he could press if he needed us and it would ring on the phone here. But we didn't actually tell him that we knew where he was going or that we could see where he was because he wouldn't have accepted it [Participant 22]	I think personal security, personal secrecy worries me a little bit on that front [Participant 20]

161 **1. Use of AT:**

162 In the survey, carers indicated using a wide variety of AT including smart phones, tablet computers
163 and video monitoring systems. They also reported staggered purchase or use of AT. Some AT devices
164 such as pendant alarms and audio books were frequently abandoned as the person with dementia
165 was no longer able to use them.

166 a. Staggered purchase and use of AT

167 Interview participants confirmed that as a person with dementia's needs worsened, AT devices were
168 added to support them and as these AT were purchased to meet specific needs, the satisfaction with
169 these devices were higher.

170 "the clock was one of the first things that I got because she really struggled with time and
171 day". [Participant 19]

172 Computers and tablet computers were already in use by carers or the person with dementia and
173 were adapted to support caring needs

174 "Well, I already had the laptop...and she started using it for memory [games]". [Participant
175 13]

176 Carers had to anticipate future needs of the person with dementia and added the AT devices as and
177 when a need arose.

178 "The increase in [motion sensor] alarms has gone on as he has deteriorated and that is really
179 because of the danger of him falling downstairs...it's had to be a gradual, a gradual putting-
180 in and I've still, you know, I've still got one or two things, one or two plugs that I need to
181 change on the Hive [smart home technology] system." [Participant 17]

182 Some of the AT procurement was constrained due to the carers' own knowledge of what AT was
183 available and what devices could support the person with dementia.

184 “I think in all honesty I’d have liked to have had that one [CCTV cameras] before but didn’t
185 really know what would be suitable and again, lacked the technological know-how to say
186 how do I sort this out.” [Participant 9]

187 b. Ease of using AT

188 The ease of using AT had an impact on satisfaction, continued use or abandonment of AT. This in
189 turn affected the impact the AT had on carers.

190 Carers found some AT helped a person with dementia, even when they lived away. This provided the
191 carer with a sense of reassurance.

192 “The tile [object locator] certainly helped so that remotely we could make them [objects] buzz
193 for her and that made it easier to find things”. [Participant 2]

194 However, carers also reported that persons with dementia struggled to use AT such as pendant
195 alarms, as intended

196 “she’s supposed to press [pendant alarm] if she falls? But she, she can’t remember what it’s
197 there for. She puts it on religiously, it’s like putting on jewellery. But the twice she has
198 fallen in the house, she’s never pressed it”. [Participant 10]

199 Elderly carers who were not used to technology and digital devices, initially struggled with AT and
200 described the need for access to support and education.

201 “I think I’ve done extremely well with it [AT] but I’ve possibly ... It, it would have been useful
202 to have some access to education about that”. [Participant 9]

203 c. Problems using AT:

204 While most of the AT devices that were currently in use were perceived as being user-friendly, carers
205 also reported problems in the AT themselves or in their design. Some of the AT equipment such as
206 electric beds and electric hoists were large and unwieldy in a home environment.

207 “I mean, all the equipment’s large, but that [electric hoist] is really cumbersome”.

208 [Participant 18]

209 Some of the problems encountered with AT was a result of the ability of the person with dementia
210 to look after the devices.

211 “Now the problem with the electronic alarm, the electrical alarm watch lasted about four
212 months and then it got dropped in the bath by [person with dementia]”. [Participant 15]

213 The design features of some of the AT also caused problems, did not serve their intended purpose or
214 caused confusion in the person with dementia.

215 “The GPS [tracker], that is a right pain because it has to be charged up every night”.

216 [Participant 20]

217 “But, again, because, you know, you’ve got to have the angles and, and everything right and
218 sitting comfortably, that [video phone call] works up to an extent, but I think after a while
219 when we’ve, we’ve done the [video] call she’s sort of asking, “Well ...” you know, “... where
220 are they?” She can’t sort of understand the concept of what’s going on”. [Participant 8]

221 Carers wanted AT developers to involve them as end users, to ensure devices are easy to use and are
222 accessible to those who are technologically challenged.

223 “perhaps if they just sought a bit of feedback, we could help them just alter things a little bit,
224 you know, not, not greatly, just to make things even more accessible for people and, and for
225 us”. [Participant 10]

226 **2. Satisfaction with AT:**

227 The survey showed that carers who had more than 5 AT devices expressed more satisfaction from
228 using AT. During the interview, carers confirmed how they made the decision to use AT to support a

229 person with dementia based on their needs, which included other co-morbidities not associated
230 with dementia and described how the use of AT strengthened their relationship.

231 a. Ability of the person with dementia

232 While most of the AT were used to support difficulties a person experienced, because of dementia,
233 some of the AT were used to support a person with dementia for other illnesses.

234 “We use it [electric bed] as unfortunately she’s also got severe osteoarthritis”. [Participant
235 12].

236 Persons with dementia benefitted from AT to support them with cognitive issues, however as they
237 were still physically active, carers continued to struggle with providing adequate care. Some AT were
238 later put in place when the situation of the person with dementia deteriorated.

239 “The first years [since diagnosis] were in some ways more difficult because she was still
240 active and would go walkabout... She then broke her hip and that was it, her mobility went.
241 She wouldn’t bear weight thereafter...so we had to use these equipment [electric bed and
242 hoist]”. [Participant 13]

243 Carers also used AT to support persons with dementia, when their own abilities meant they could no
244 longer participate in activities with the person with dementia.

245 “So, he quite likes walking and getting about and I can’t no longer do the length of walk that
246 he would like so we use the tracker [GPS tracker device]”. [Participant 3]

247 Some of the AT were also abandoned when the person with dementia’s situation worsened due to
248 non-dementia related reasons.

249 “He used, he really lost the ability to use a computer [cognitive reasons], but he could, he
250 did until maybe 18 months ago [now no longer using], cause his eyesight really was getting
251 worse, he used a Kindle [eBook reader]”. [Participant 18]

252 b. Problem solving

253 Carers described how their ability to problem solve when the AT failed assisted them in the
254 continued use of the technology.

255 “So, she has one friend where he phones her up and he painstakingly talks her through how
256 to get into Skype on the computer...then he can hang up and they can have a conversation
257 ‘cause he lives in Germany”. [Participant 15]

258 Carers also simplified the use of some off-the shelf devices to suit the specific needs of the person
259 with dementia.

260 “He’s got some, a couple of photographs and my number, and my daughter’s number [on
261 the smart phone]. And we had other things on it but we’ve had to limit it to that [two
262 numbers] because he can manage to, to press and ring with those two [being] very visible,
263 but that’s now what we’ve had to reduce it down to”. [Participant 17]

264 c. Strengthened relationships

265 While some survey participants described that AT gave additional time for themselves and the use of
266 AT did not make a difference to their relationship with the person with dementia, most carers
267 described that the AT helped strengthen their relationship with the person with dementia. This was
268 confirmed by interview participants as another reason for their increased satisfaction with using AT.

269 Carers felt that the AT helped provide reassurance to the person with dementia, that the carer was
270 still trying to keep them safe.

271 “I suppose he [person with dementia], because it’s, he’s not able to communicate that well
272 now, but hopefully it helps him to realise that I am coping and keeping him safe with the
273 equipment that we use”. [Participant 11]

274 AT also helped some carers spend more time together with the person with dementia

275 “We can sit down and watch something together [on tablet computer] and, and engage with
276 it, and, you know...and stuff and we can have a giggle and then you end up talking about old
277 neighbours or old family members. So, yes, it does help”. [Participant 19]

278 **3. Impact of AT on carers**

279 Survey participants in the 46-65 age group and carers who were not extremely satisfied with AT had
280 lower mental health component scores, carers who lived with the person with dementia and older
281 carers had lower physical component scores on the SF-12. The interviews explored if AT had an
282 impact on carers and to explain the variations in SF-12 physical and mental health component
283 scores.

284 a. Physical wellbeing

285 Carers had multiple physical health problems, this was not always a result of caring or looking after a
286 person with dementia, age or illness associated physical health problems. They reflected on their
287 own health, which may explain the lower scores especially among older carers.

288 “As for myself, I haven’t got very good health. I’m suffering from very bad back pain”.

289 [Participant 1]

290 While some of these issues were long standing, a few physical health issues arose because of caring
291 for the person with dementia.

292 “I mean, I have picked him up and ended up in hospital because I had done the disc in my
293 back”. [Participant 17]

294 Carers also made changes to their lifestyle as part of the caring role for the person with dementia.

295 “Even things like, you know, my diet is affected, because he has to be fed completely and his
296 ability to chew and swallow is, is impaired. So, therefore I tend to think, “Oh, well, I’ll eat
297 just whatever he’s eating”. [Participant 9]

298 In this context, AT did have a positive impact on the physical wellbeing of carers, especially for
299 moving and handling of the person with dementia.

300 “It’s certainly taken the strain off of my body, off my muscles and off my back. So it’s been a
301 great help, both the [electric] bed and the hoist”. [Participant 11]

302 The reassurance provided by AT used for safety, especially for carers who lived away from the
303 person with dementia, assisted carers in better sleep and maintaining an active and healthy lifestyle.

304 “So I can fall asleep better [carer using door alarm for wandering alerts]”. [Participant 22]

305 b. Mental wellbeing

306 Caring for a person with dementia did cause a strain on the mental health of carers.

307 “so it created a huge amount of stress as Mum was deteriorating being on her own all the
308 time”. [Participant 19]

309 Some of the issues were indeed compounded by carers having to look after their own families in
310 addition to a parent.

311 “my son, he has Asperger’s, so at home it can be quite stressful at home at times”.
312 [Participant 21]

313 The use of AT did offer relief to carers and provided reassurance to them.

314 “So, it means we know that she’s taken her tablets. We know that there’s music if she wants
315 to. We know she can use the bed”. [Participant 10]

316 Some of the AT also filled a need amongst carers for their own leisure and communication needs.

317 “I would be lost without my technology, socially, mentally I need it...I still run a [virtual]
318 group but I also am able to join other groups”. [Participant 17]

319 The AT also provided much needed time to carers for themselves and do tasks that they wanted to
320 complete.

321 “Well, I think it’s just that I can entertain Mum [using tablet computer] so that I can have
322 some time to just catch up on paperwork or make a phone call”. [Participant 19]

323 c. Social wellbeing

324 In addition to physical and mental health impacts, AT also had an impact on social wellbeing of
325 carers and the person with dementia.

326 “So, having the Facetime or the WhatsApp [on smart phone] has been very good, so she can
327 see them [family] and keep, keep in touch”. [Participant 14]

328 It also provided an opportunity for carers to continue to engage in social activities that they enjoyed
329 before the diagnosis and progression of illness for the person with dementia.

330 “we were still able to use assistive technology [GPS tracker, web camera] while mobile so
331 that we could, we could still go out and about and be able to get the alarms or the, the
332 contact if there was an issue [Participant 2].

333 **4. AT use in daily life:**

334 From the survey, carers revealed that the AT had not significantly changed the level of care provided
335 to the person with dementia by them but reported that AT helped in reducing the need for
336 paid/formal care, which was one of the reasons for carers recommending AT use to others.

337 a. Coping with caring

338 AT is perceived by carers as supplementary to the care that they provide. As the abilities of the
339 person with dementia deteriorates with progress of the illness, AT helps carers cope with caring
340 needs.

341 “We would look at the cameras if we were uncertain whether she had eaten fully”.

342 [Participant 2]

343 AT was perceived as being integrated into the daily life and routines of the carers, especially when it
344 concerned the safety and welfare of the person with dementia.

345 “Well, all of them [CCTV, movement sensor, door alarm] become integrated. I mean I've got
346 the front, the exit monitors on all the time... because, you know, you can't be in the same
347 room as them [person with dementia] all the time, can you?”. [Participant 6]

348 Some carers also felt that the use of AT increased the frequency of checking-up on the person with
349 dementia and added to their care providing tasks.

350 “So, we’ve just resorted to checking her frequently [using CCTV], having the carer check her
351 once a day ... that’s the best thing that we can do ... Oh, it’s, well, the monitoring of it has
352 taken over our life”. [Participant 14]

353 b. Person with dementia using AT

354 Carers also reported that persons with dementia had varied ability in using the AT by themselves,
355 which meant carers had to continue to support them in the use of the AT.

356 “Despite the fact it has the day and the date [dementia clock] she [Person with dementia]
357 still sits and asks what day it is ... so, we say, ‘Well, have a look at the clock’ ... the radio, I
358 thought it would be more useful than it is. It’s all, it’s quite easy to set up, but I think with all
359 of these things, they all require lots of support from somebody else”. [Participant 10]

360 Persons with dementia continued to struggle with using AT even with prompts from carers.

361 “When the alarm goes off, she goes, ‘What’s that, what’s that noise?’ ‘It’s your alarm watch,
362 are you gonna switch it off?’ ... ‘No, no’ and she just wouldn’t switch it off, she wouldn’t do
363 anything with it” [Participant 15]

364 c. Simple devices

365 Even though carers used AT, they combined these with non-electronic or simple devices/solutions
366 that worked in supporting and caring for the person with dementia. This combined use of simple and
367 electronic AT proved more effective in the level of care provided as well as reducing the stress and
368 anxiety associated with caring.

369 “I also write our shopping lists on there [white board] so, anything we’ve run out of I write
370 on there, or [Person with dementia] writes on there. So, we, we write our shopping list out
371 and then I’ll wipe it all off afterwards. So, it is very, very handy”. [Participant 1]

372 Carers also adapted existing devices when the intended use of the AT did not support the person
373 with dementia.

374 “And I’d adapted a seven-day pill box to make, you know, to, to make sure there’s, that each
375 compartment is one particular time [adapting electronic pill dispenser]”. [Participant 15]

376 **5. Wider support systems**

377 In the survey, carers reported that AT is an adjunct to supporting a person with dementia. The costs,
378 privacy concerns, support from wider care networks and support systems all played a role on how
379 carers used AT.

380 a. Support from others

381 Carers who used AT for moving and handling of a person with dementia needed ongoing support
382 from formal carers. However, this did not always work as intended.

383 “I know Social Services do not allow the [electric] hoist to be used by a single person. Well,
384 all the carers that I know we all did it on our own because there wasn’t anybody else”.
385 [Participant 13]

386 Even when AT supported a carer in caring tasks, they continued to rely on formal/paid carers to
387 provide much needed respite from constantly caring for a person with dementia.

388 “We managed to get a [paid] carer to come in two days a week for three hours so I had a
389 little bit of relief...so it’s had a dramatic effect on my life”. [Participant 4]

390 In some instances, AT provided access to healthcare professionals, however carers had to provide
391 extra support and time for the person with dementia to access these services.

392 “Although, most of it [GP consultation] is done over the phone now. And of course, you
393 know, there was one, there’s been several calls where you had to log into a website...in
394 order to have the [virtual] face to face consultation, which has been really
395 complicated...there’s no way she would have done that on her own”. [Participant 15]

396 b. Ethical issues

397 Carers clarified ethical issues associated with consent and continued use of AT when cognitive and
398 behaviour issues worsened. Carers let persons with dementia assume AT were innocuous devices
399 and this encouraged the continued use of the AT.

400 “At the moment she thinks that it [GPS tracker] controls her house-key. She doesn’t realise
401 it’s a tracker. So, she never ever leaves it because she knows she can’t get back in the
402 house”. [Participant 14]

403 Carers did set up what could be seen as intrusive AT with consent from a person with dementia, but
404 continue to use the AT, even when ongoing consent may be an issue, due to the progressive
405 cognitive decline.

406 “So we’ve got, we set up internal cameras with her full knowledge and agreement but I
407 don’t think she remembered about those after a while”. [Participant 2]

408 Carers also were aware of and keen to avoid privacy and data leaking issues, when sensitive
409 information could go out externally.

410 “Those smart speakers are a brilliant idea in theory, but I feel very unhappy about the idea
411 that every single sound that goes into that thing [smart home system] goes back in some
412 form or another to whoever”. [Participant 20]

413 **DISCUSSION:**

414 The prevalence of dementia is steadily increasing[26]. The attention on person-centred care has
415 enabled people with dementia to live longer lives in the community. Without adequate support for
416 their progressive physical and cognitive needs, the majority of persons with dementia may end up in
417 care homes. Predictions are that availability and ability of carers to continue to support persons with
418 dementia in the community will not match demand[27]. Using technology and especially AT is seen
419 as a solution in supporting persons with dementia and carers. This study explains and describes the
420 impact of AT use among carers who look after persons with dementia living at home. Carers view AT
421 as an adjunct to providing care for the person with dementia and in addition use AT themselves for
422 leisure and improved social contacts and mental wellbeing. Carers continued to modify existing ‘off
423 the shelf’ AT to meet their unique needs and requirements and in most instances, carers were
424 satisfied with the use of AT. We have also explained how AT use in dementia care may not solely
425 rely on the dementia related needs of a person with dementia; it is also dependent on the co-
426 morbidities of persons with dementia and the carers themselves. Carers with existing physical and
427 psychological challenges exacerbated by their own age and health, experience additional burden
428 while caring for a person with dementia and AT use in these instances helps reduce their burden and
429 improves their perceived quality of life. Similar to earlier studies[28] we found that older carers are
430 less likely to access and use new AT, as they may be unaware of these devices and are less likely to
431 request support in acquiring and continued use of these AT. We also explained how carers expressed
432 better satisfaction with AT, when it is integrated into daily life and how they adapted their role and

433 routines to better use the AT in supporting the person with dementia at home. Dementia changes
434 the dynamics of the relationships between the person with dementia and their carer[29], while
435 caregiving may be perceived as stressful, we found that AT does tend to strengthen the caregiving
436 relationship, as the AT itself becomes a socially connective device and facilitates additional time
437 spent using the AT together, especially for leisure and communication. While there exists
438 sophisticated AT and smart homes, we found the use of this alongside simpler devices and non-
439 electronic AT enhanced satisfaction and met carers needs, as reiterated in one of the largest AT
440 Trial[30]. This study also highlights that AT augments the amount of time carers provide care for a
441 person with dementia, however there is a need for respite care[31,32] for carers, and social
442 communication with wider family members who could look after the person with dementia and
443 substitute for the main carer cannot be replaced with AT solutions. Most carers did not consider
444 data sharing and privacy issues connected with AT use, however some carers were specifically
445 concerned about this and limited the type of AT being used, this is likely to require further research
446 and policy intervention as AT devices become sophisticated and ubiquitous in use. This study
447 reinforces earlier findings[4,18] of a mixed picture of use and usefulness of AT and adds to the
448 literature on the impact of AT use on carers. AT alone or in combination with simple devices, formal
449 carers and other interventions has the potential to be an important addition to efforts to improve
450 the safety and welfare of people with dementia, who wish to continue to live at home and that of
451 carers who support them.

452 **Strength and Limitations:**

453 The interviews provided an opportunity to explain and delve deeper into the findings of the survey
454 that explored the experiences and impact of AT use in dementia care amongst carers. Selecting
455 participants from across the UK ensured that geographical limitations of procuring and using AT did
456 not limit the transferability of our findings. The interviews were conducted over telephone due to
457 restrictions from the COVID-19 pandemic and this might have missed out on non-verbal cues and

458 interactions. Despite our repeated efforts, only one participant in the interview, was from an ethnic
459 minority group. It would be important to further investigate how carers from Black, Asian or other
460 Minority Ethnic communities in the UK are using AT and if they are impacted by using AT.

461 **CONCLUSIONS:**

462 This study demonstrates that AT has a beneficial impact on carers who look after persons with
463 dementia. AT devices with the right functionality and when used at those times when needs arose
464 are regarded as useful and satisfactory and are integrated into daily life and routines. Technology
465 development for dementia care requires involvement and consultation with carers. There is a
466 requirement for policy, funding and clinical practice change to a long-term care model that not only
467 facilitates prevention and risk reduction but also encourages education and accessibility of AT to all
468 carers.

469 **Abbreviations:**

470 AT - Assistive Technology

471 CATEQ – Carers Assistive Technology Experience Questionnaire

472 SF-12 - 12-item Short Form Health Survey (version 1)

Declarations:

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

This study was granted ethical approval by the University of Oxford Central University Research Ethics Committee (Reference number: R57703/RE001). Participants gave informed consent for the survey and interviews.

Consent to publish:

Not required

Availability of data and materials:

The datasets generated during the study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests:

The authors declare that they do not have any competing interests.

Funding:

This research is part of a DPhil in Population Health at the University of Oxford and received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Authors' contributions:

VS, CJ and MP conceived the design of the study. VS drafted this version of the manuscript with critical revision and input from MP and CJ. All authors have read and given approval for this version.

VS is the guarantor of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements:

Authors would like to acknowledge support from the three members of the patient and public engagement and involvement group set up as part of the carers' experience of assistive technology use in dementia study.

Authors' information:

VS is an Occupational Therapist and postgraduate student registered for his DPhil at the University of Oxford exploring informal carers' experience of assistive technology use in dementia. MP is an Associate Professor within the Health Services Research Unit (HSRU), Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford. CJ is Professor of Health Services Research and Director of the HSRU, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford. MP and CJ have extensive experience in research methods and are joint supervisors of VS for the DPhil.

REFERENCES:

1. WHO, World Health Organization. Dementia a public health priority. World Heal Organ. London: World Health Organization; 2012. Available: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/75263/9789241564458_eng.pdf;jsessionid=629AEAE59BD603C3498033CB6DE6931B?sequence=1
2. Jiancaro T, Jaglal SB, Mihailidis A. Technology, design and dementia: an exploratory survey of developers. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol*. 2017;12: 573–584. doi:10.1080/17483107.2016.1187671
3. Gibson G, Dickinson C, Brittain K, Robinson L. Personalisation, customisation and bricolage: how people with dementia and their families make assistive technology work for them. *Ageing Soc*. 2018; 1–18. doi:10.1017/S0144686X18000661
4. Sriram V, Jenkinson C, Peters M. Informal carers' experience of assistive technology use in dementia care at home: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatr*. 2019;19: 160. doi:10.1186/s12877-019-1169-0
5. The Audit commission. Assistive technology. Assist Technol Indep well-being. London; 2004. Available: http://www.wales.nhs.uk/documents/National20Report_FINAL.pdf
6. Gagnon-Roy M, Bourget A, Stocco S, Courchesne A-CL, Kuhne N, Provencher V. Assistive Technology Addressing Safety Issues in Dementia: A Scoping Review. *Am J Occup Ther*. 2017;71: 7105190020p1. doi:10.5014/ajot.2017.025817
7. Meiland F, Innes A, Mountain G, Robinson L, van der Roest H, García-Casal JA, et al. Technologies to Support Community-Dwelling Persons With Dementia: A Position Paper on Issues Regarding Development, Usability, Effectiveness and Cost-Effectiveness, Deployment, and Ethics. *JMIR Rehabil Assist Technol*. 2017;4: e1. doi:10.2196/rehab.6376
8. Brims L, Oliver K. Effectiveness of assistive technology in improving the safety of people with

- dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Routledge;
doi:10.1080/13607863.2018.1455805
9. Sriram V, Jenkinson C, Peters M. Carers' Experiences of Assistive Technology Use in Dementia Care: A Cross Sectional Survey. 2020 [cited 22 Oct 2020]. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-80622/v1
 10. Sriram V, Jenkinson C, Peters M. Using rapid cycle tests of change to develop the Carers Assistive Technology Experience Questionnaire: a cognitive interview study in the UK. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11: e042361. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042361
 11. Jenkinson C, Layte R. Development and Testing of the UK SF-12. *J Health Serv Res Policy*. 1997;2: 14–18. doi:10.1177/135581969700200105
 12. Jenkinson C, Layte R, Jenkinson D, Lawrence K, Petersen S, Paice C, et al. A shorter form health survey: can the SF-12 replicate results from the SF-36 in longitudinal studies? *J Public Health (Bangkok)*. 1997;19: 179–186. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.pubmed.a024606
 13. O'Cathain A, Murphy E, Nicholl J. Why, and how, mixed methods research is undertaken in health services research in England: A mixed methods study. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2007;7: 1–11. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-7-85
 14. Ivankova N V., Creswell JW, Stick SL. Using Mixed-Methods Sequential Explanatory Design: From Theory to Practice. *Field methods*. 2006;18: 3–20. doi:10.1177/1525822X05282260
 15. Shorten A, Smith J. Mixed methods research: Expanding the evidence base. *Evid Based Nurs*. 2017;20: 74–75. doi:10.1136/eb-2017-102699
 16. National Institute of Health Research, Alzheimer's Society. Join dementia research. 2019 [cited 2 Jul 2019]. Available: <https://www.joindementiaresearch.nihr.ac.uk/home?login>
 17. NIHR Oxford BRC. Oxford Dementia and Ageing Research (OxDARE). 2019 [cited 2 Jul 2019]. Available: <https://oxfordhealthbrc.nihr.ac.uk/our-work/oxdare/>

18. Sriram V, Jenkinson C, Peters M. Carers' experience of using assistive technology for dementia care at home: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10: e034460.
doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-034460
19. Lindseth A, Norberg A. A phenomenological hermeneutical method for researching lived experience. *Scand J Caring Sci*. 2004;18: 145–153. doi:10.1111/j.1471-6712.2004.00258.x
20. Lavery SM. Hermeneutic Phenomenology and Phenomenology: A Comparison of Historical and Methodological Considerations. *Int J Qual Methods*. 2003;2: 21–35.
doi:10.1177/160940690300200303
21. Figal G. Hermeneutical phenomenology. Zahavi D, editor. *The Oxford Handbook of Contemporary Phenomenology*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2012.
doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199594900.013.0026
22. QSR International Private Limited. NVivo version 12. NY; 2020.
23. Bazeley P. *Integrating Analyses in Mixed Methods Research*. First. Seaman J, editor. London: SAGE publications Ltd; 2018.
24. Dodgson JE. Reflexivity in Qualitative Research. *J Hum Lact*. 2019;35: 220–222.
doi:10.1177/0890334419830990
25. Etherington K. *Becoming a Reflexive Researcher - Using Our Selves in Research*. London: Jessica Kingsley; 2004.
26. Pickett J, Brayne C. The scale and profile of global dementia research funding. *The Lancet*. Lancet Publishing Group; 2019. pp. 1888–1889. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32599-1
27. Pickard L. A growing care gap' the supply of unpaid care for older people by their adult children in England to 2032. *Ageing Soc*. 2015;35: 96–123. doi:10.1017/S0144686X13000512
28. Greenwood N, Smith R. The oldest carers: A narrative review and synthesis of the experiences

- of carers aged over 75 years. *Maturitas*. 2016;94: 161–172.
doi:10.1016/J.MATURITAS.2016.10.001
29. Quinn C, Nelis SM, Martyr A, Morris RG, Victor C, Clare L. Caregiver influences on ‘living well’ for people with dementia: Findings from the IDEAL study. *Aging Ment Heal*. 2020;24: 1505–1513. doi:10.1080/13607863.2019.1602590
30. Howard R, Gathercole R, Bradley R, Harper E, Davis L, Pank L, et al. The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of assistive technology and telecare for independent living in dementia: a randomised controlled trial. *Age Ageing*. 2021;00: 1–9. doi:10.1093/ageing/afaa284
31. O’connell B, Hawkins M, Ostaszkiwicz J, Millar L. Carers’ perspectives of respite care in Australia: An evaluative study. *Contemp Nurse*. 2012;41: 111–119.
doi:10.5172/conu.2012.41.1.111
32. Tretteteig S, Vatne S, Rokstad AMM. The influence of day care centres for people with dementia on family caregivers: an integrative review of the literature. *Aging Ment Health*. 2016;20: 450–462. doi:10.1080/13607863.2015.1023765

