1 **Title: Examining Recent Trends in the Racial Disparity Gap in Tap Water Consumption:**

2 **NHANES 2011–2018**

Authors: Asher Y. Rosinger[1,2,*], Anisha I. Patel[3], and Francesca Weaks[4]

Affiliations:
[1]Department of Biobehavioral Health, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802
[2]Department of Anthropology, Pennsylvania State University, State College PA, 16802
[3]Department of Pediatrics, Stanford School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305
[4]Health Department, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Baltimore, MD 21215

*Corresponding Author: Asher Y. Rosinger
The Pennsylvania State University
219 Biobehavioral Health Building
University Park, PA 16802
Email: arosinger@psu.edu
Phone: 814-865-5220

Under consideration at Public Health Nutrition

Short title: Trends in tap water consumption disparities

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest.

Funding statement: This work was supported by the Ann Atherton Hertzler Early Career Professorship funds, and Penn State's Population Research Institute (NICHD P2CHD041025). The funders had no role in the research or interpretation of results.

Abstract:

Objective. As tap water distrust has grown in the US with greater levels among Black and

Hispanic households, we aimed to examine recent trends in not drinking tap water including the

period covering the US Flint Water Crisis and racial/ethnic disparities in these trends.

Design, Setting, and Participants. We analyzed data from the cross-sectional National Health

and Nutrition Examination Survey data (2011–2018) for 9,439 children aged 2-19 and 17,268

adults. Log-binomial regressions and marginal predicted probabilities examined US nationally-

representative trends in tap and bottled water consumption overall and by race/ethnicity.

Results. Among US children and adults, respectively, in 2017-2018 there was a 63% (adjusted

prevalence ratio [PR]:1.63, 95%CI: 1.25-2.12, p<0.001) and 40% (PR:1.40, 95%CI: 1.16-1.69,

p=0.001) higher prevalence of not drinking tap water compared to 2013-2014 (pre-Flint Water

Crisis). For Black children and adults, the probability of not drinking tap water increased

significantly from 18.1% (95%CI: 13.4-22.8) and 24.6% (95%CI: 20.7-28.4) in 2013–14 to

29.3% (95%CI: 23.5-35.1) and 34.5% (95%CI: 29.4-39.6) in 2017–2018. Among Hispanic

children and adults, not drinking tap water increased significantly from 24.5% (95%CI: 19.4-

29.6) and 27.1% (95%CI: 23.0-31.2) in 2013-14 to 39.7% (95%CI: 32.7-46.8) and 38.1%

(95%CI: 33.0-43.1) in 2017-2018. No significant increases were observed among Asian or white

persons between 2013-14 and 2017-18. Similar trends were found in bottled water consumption.

Conclusions. This study found persistent disparities in the tap water consumption gap from

2011–2018. Black and Hispanics' probability of not drinking tap water increased following the

Flint Water Crisis.

Keywords: Tap water; health disparities; water insecurity; bottled water

Introduction

Approximately two million Americans lack basic access to drinking water[1]. However, this figure does not capture individuals who have access but do not drink their tap water. Not drinking tap water occurs for many reasons, including aesthetic considerations or distrust due to water quality violations in homes[2] or schools[3]. The Flint, Michigan Water Crisis[4], in which the Flint community was exposed to high concentrations of lead in their water from 2015 through 2016 raised national awareness[5] of tap water safety concerns in US communities[2,6]. Then, Newark, New Jersey reported a lead crisis in 2016[7] further stoking fears. Both Flint and Newark are comprised predominantly of non-white residents.

Other households in the US lack consistent access to tap water at home because of water shutoffs due to affordability issues[8], which disproportionately affect residents in low-income housing, and Black and Hispanic households[9,10]. The differential treatment of individuals in these categories increases exposure to environmental injustice and racism[11] which may affect both access to and use of tap water. Consequently, these groups are also more likely to drink bottled water[12] and sugar-sweetened beverages[13,14] that are more costly[15] and lack the protective benefits of fluoride[16] in tap water.

While previous work documented an increase in US children not drinking tap water in 2015-2016[12] during the Flint Water Crisis compared to prior years, it is critical to surveil this nutritional behavior as a way to monitor trust and usage of tap water. Therefore, we examined whether not drinking tap water in the US continued to increase in 2017-2018 by analyzing nationally-representative trends among children/adolescents and adults, and whether disparities in this behavior persisted by race/ethnicity.

Methods

Data come from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)[17], a cross-sectional survey of the civilian, non-institutionalized household population, which uses a complex, multistage probability design. Detailed sampling procedures and methodology are described elsewhere[18]. NHANES is conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and approved by their research ethics board. Children aged 7-17 years provided assent and parents provided consent for children under 18; adults consented for themselves.

This analysis uses the four most recent two-year cycles (2011–2018) because

oversampling of non-Hispanic (NH) Asians began in 2011-12 allowing for an examination of

this population's trends in tap water consumption, and because prior work already examined

trends in Black, Hispanic and white tap water consumption from 2007-2016[12]. Response rates

ranged from 77.0% in 2011–12 to 54.6% in 2017–18 for the examination component for youth

aged 1-19 years, and from 64.5% in 2011–12 to 45.3% in 2017–18 for adults aged 20+.

To assess the prevalence of not drinking tap water, we analyzed responses to the dietary

recall question: "When you drink tap water, what is the main source of the tap water?" If

respondents reported not drinking tap water, they were coded as "did not drink tap." All others

were coded as "drank tap water"[16,19]. A proxy responded to interview questions for children aged

≤5 years; interviews for children aged 6–11 years were conducted with proxy assistance while

children aged ≥12 years responded themselves. If they responded that they did not know their

primary source, they were excluded from analyses.

For robustness analyses, we analyzed day-one 24-hour dietary recall data and

dichotomized tap water consumption and bottled water consumption as >0 ml or 0 ml following

prior work[13]. This allowed for a comparison of consumption on a given day to self-reports of not

drinking water from their main source of tap and if not drinking tap water shifted consumption to

bottled water.

Statistical analyses

A 2-sided *P*-value of .05 was used to assess statistical significance. Multiple log-binomial

regression models were estimated since prevalence of not drinking tap was >10%[12]. As there

were no significant race/ethnicity by survey cycle interactions (all p>0.05), we estimated the

regressions and covariate-adjusted time-trends without interaction terms. We used the 2013-14

survey cycle as the reference category as it was the last cycle prior to the Flint Water Crisis. We

plotted trends from the log-binomial regressions using marginal standardization[20] to generate

predicted probabilities by self-reported race/ethnicity (white, Black, Asian, Hispanic, or other).

We adjusted for the range[21] of the following covariates: age (2-5, 6-11, 12-19, 20-39, 40-59,

60+), sex, federal income to poverty ratio (FIPR; ratio of family income to the federal poverty

guideline[22]: ≤130%, 131-350%, >350%), household reference educational attainment (for

children/adolescents; high school graduate/GED equivalent and some college were released

combined) and educational attainment (for adults), and whether respondents were born in the US
or not[12,19].

As robustness analyses, we re-estimated log-binomial regressions models as described
above with the dichotomized outcome of not drinking any tap water (0 ml) on a given day using
the 24-hour dietary recall. We repeated this analysis with the dichotomized outcome of any (>0
ml) bottled water intake.

All analyses accounted for the complex survey design, including day-one dietary sample
weights, which adjusted for oversampling, non-response, non-coverage, and day of week[18,23] and
incorporated guidelines from NCHS[24]. Statistical analyses were conducted using Stata V15.1
(Statacorp, College Station, TX).

Results
Data for the primary analyses were analyzed for 9,439 children and 17,268 adults with
complete covariate information (**Table 1**). Overall, the probability of not drinking tap increased
significantly from 12.3% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 9.5-15.0) and 13.9% (95% CI: 11.8-
16.0) among children and adults, respectively in 2013-2014 to 19.9% (95% CI: 16.0-23.8) and
19.5% (95% CI: 16.5-22.5) in 2017-2018. Among children/adolescents and adults, respectively,
in the post-Flint period (2017-2018) there was a 63% (adjusted prevalence ratio [PR]: 1.63, 95%
CI: 1.25-2.12, p<0.001) and 40% (PR: 1.40, 95% CI: 1.16-1.69, p=0.001) higher prevalence of
not drinking tap water compared to pre-Flint (2013-2014), respectively (**Figure 1a-b;**
Supplemental Table 1: Models 1-2).

Hispanic, Black, and Asian children/adolescents and adults had significantly higher
prevalence of not drinking tap than white persons (all p<0.001, **Figure 1a-1b; Supplemental**
Table 1: Models 1-2). Lower income, less educational attainment, and being born outside the US
were associated with higher prevalence of not drinking tap water (**Figure 1a-1b**).

Covariate-adjusted trends by race/ethnicity of not drinking tap water are presented in
Figure 2a-2b. Among Black children/adolescents and adults, the probability of not drinking tap
water increased significantly from 18.1% (95% CI: 13.4-22.8) and 24.6% (95% CI: 20.7-28.4) in
2013–14 to 29.3% (95% CI: 23.5-35.1) and 34.5% (95% CI :29.4-39.6) in 2017-18, respectively.
Among Hispanic children/adolescents and adults, not drinking tap increased from 24.5% (95%
CI: 19.4-29.6) and 27.1% (95% CI: 23.0-31.2) in 2013-14 to 39.7% (95% CI: 32.7-46.8) and

38.1% (95% CI: 33.0-43.1) in 2017–2018, respectively (**Figure 2a-2b**). The probability of not

drinking tap water did not significantly increase during between 2013-14 and 2017-18 among

Asian or non-Hispanic white children/adolescents and adults, respectively.

Robustness analysis

In robustness analyses, we used data from 10,254 children/adolescents and 17,829 adults

with valid dietary recall data, slightly more than the primary analyses due to fewer missing

responses. Results of the log-binomial regressions examining not drinking tap water on a given

day were slightly attenuated but largely consistent with main analyses (**Table 3**). Overall for

children/adolescents and adults, the prevalence of not drinking tap water was 14.0% (PR=1.14;

95% CI: 0.98-1.33) and 12.6% (PR=1.13; 95% CI: 1.01-1.25) higher in 2017-2018 than 2013-

2014. As in primary analyses, Black and Hispanic children/adolescents and adults had

significantly higher prevalence of not drinking any tap water on a given day than white persons

(**Table 3**, Models 1-2).

In the second analysis, prevalence of any bottled water consumption on a given day was

significantly higher overall for both children (PR: 1.26; 95% CI: 1.12-1.41) and adults (PR: 1.25;

95% CI: 1.12-1.40) in 2017-18 than in 2013-14. Further, Black, Asian, and Hispanic persons all

had higher prevalence of drinking bottled water than white children and adults, respectively

(**Table 4**, Models 1-2).

Discussion

This study used nationally-representative data to examine trends and racial/ethnic

disparities in tap water consumption among US children and adults which included the pre- and

post-Flint period as national awareness about water safety concerns increased. There was little

change between 2011–14, but probability of not drinking tap water increased successively from

2013-14 to 2017-2018, with Hispanic, Black, and Asian individuals being more likely to not

drink tap water than whites. Self-reported race/ethnicity continued to be the largest predictor of

not drinking tap water of all covariates examined as the differential treatment of individuals in

these categories increases exposure to environmental injustice and racism[11].

Our findings demonstrate persistent racial/ethnic disparities in the tap water consumption

gap and that Hispanic and Black households' probability of not drinking tap has further increased

in recent years. Higher inequities in water access[9] and distrust[25] has been pointed to in prior

research as reasons that Black and Hispanic populations are less likely to drink tap water[12,19,26]. It

is critical for public health nutrition to examine how environmental racism and policies may

contribute to health disparities, including disparities in water access and consumption. Tap water

consumption has health benefits through fluoride delivery that lowers risk of dental caries[16].

Moreover, when individuals do not drink water they consume double the calories from sugar-

sweetened beverages[13].

Environmental injustice and racism contribute to these disparities[9] as water quality

violations[27] and water shutoffs[9] disproportionately affect these communities. Drinking water

quality violations often occur at the intersection of race and class where low-income Black and

Hispanic communities are at the greatest risk of marginalization[28]. Our results suggest little

progress in addressing these inequities. Increased national awareness of water quality violations

following the Flint Water Crisis, emerging local water contaminant exceedances in other cities

like Newark, NJ, or other unknown factors may help explain our findings. In particular,

increasing reports of lead violations in school drinking water, following increasing requirements

to test at the tap, have likely heightened concerns regarding tap water safety[3].

To address these disparities and distrust, expanded water quality testing, communication,

and lead remediation efforts are needed. Simplified water quality reports that are easy to

understand coupled with clear communication between utility companies and households are

paramount (e.g. http://policyinnovation.org/wp-content/uploads/WaterDataPrize_Report.pdf).

Further, targeted interventions for marginalized communities that use trusted community

stakeholders can help address environmental injustice and rebuild trust[9].

While recent studies indicate that up to two million Americans lack basic water access[1] -

particularly in urban areas[29] - our study indicates the broader problem is far more pervasive and

growing. In 2017–2018, approximately 20% of US children/adolescents and adults did not drink

tap water up from ~13% in 2013-14. Using the NCHS Census population totals[18], this translates

into 14.8 million children and 46.6 million adults or 61.4 million people in 2017-18 in the US

who did not drink their tap water (**Supplemental Table 2**). Since 2013-14, this represents an

increase of 19 million people (~5.6 million children, ~13.4 million adults) not drinking their tap

water, which could be considered an epidemic of tap water distrust and disuse.

Our results differ somewhat from a recent paper examining trends in tap water intake

using NHANES 2011-2016 data, which did not find significant changes in the volume of tap

water consumed over time, but did uncover increases in the volume of bottled water consumed[30].

While our primary variable was based on whether persons consumed their tap water rather than

the average volume of water consumed, our results were robust when using a dichotomous

variable of any tap water intake from the 24-hour dietary recall. Second, we found that

prevalence of any bottled water consumption on a given day was 25% higher in 2017-18 than

2013-14, supporting the recent trends of increasing bottled water intake in the US[12,30,31]. It is

critical for public health nutrition to monitor trends in tap and bottled water use beyond average

mean intakes because this can provide a window into potential underlying water insecurity.

Study limitations include the inability to know why participants did not drink their tap

water as follow-up questions were not asked. However, we used two measures of tap water

consumption to provide additional internal validity of results and find that shifts to bottled water

occurred. We were not able to exclude individuals with no access to tap water, however,

NHANES samples the civilian, household population[18] and thus does not sample homeless, who

represent a large percentage of those without tap access[32]. This study is limited by not having

geographical data, but its goal was to examine recent national trends in tap water consumption

rather than geographic differences. Recent work has demonstrated that structural issues like

precarious housing within communities of color increases risk of incomplete plumbing[29,33]. The

question regarding tap water filtration was discontinued following the 2009-2010 survey and

thus was not controlled for. However, prior work has demonstrated that use of tap water filters

do not have an overall effect on these national estimates of tap water consumption[12]. NCHS

addressed the declining response rates through the sampling weights, which further took into

account non-response and loss of screener to examination[18].

Conclusion

Approximately 63% and 40% more Black and Hispanic children and adults did not drink

their tap water in 2017-18 compared to 2013-14. Overall, that approximately 61.4 million people

in the US did not drink tap water, an increase of 19 million since the Flint Water Crisis,

represents a substantial opportunity to improve public health nutrition. Further, bottled water

consumption continued to increase in the US from 2011–2018, particularly among Black, Asian,

and Hispanic households. This reliance on bottled water levies additional socioeconomic strain.

Policies that address the root of environmental injustices that low-income and minority

communities face in accessing safe tap water are necessary to halting the growing racial disparity

gap observed in tap water consumption[25]. To design meaningful solutions and rebuild trust more

work with communities of color is needed to understand the various factors that contribute to

eschewing tap water. The field of public health nutrition should engage with utility companies

and community stakeholders to design interventions aimed at ensuring access to clean water and

reducing these disparities in tap water distrust.

References

1.   Roller Z, Gasteyer S, Nelson N, Lai W, Shingne M. Closing the water access gap in the
United States: a national action plan. Washington, DC: Dig Deep and US Water Alliance.
Retrieved on July. 2019;25:2020.

2.   Hanna-Attisha M, LaChance J, Sadler RC, Champney Schnepp A. Elevated Blood Lead
Levels in Children Associated With the Flint Drinking Water Crisis: A Spatial Analysis
of Risk and Public Health Response. *American Journal of Public Health.*
2015;106(2):283-290.

3.   Cradock AL, Poole MK, Vollmer LY, Barrett JL, Flax CN, Hecht CA. *State approaches*
to testing school drinking water for lead in the United States. Boston, MA: Prevention
Research Center on Nutrition and Physical Activity at the Harvard T.H. Chan School of
Public Health;2019.

4.   Pauli BJ. The Flint water crisis. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water.*
2020;7(3):e1420.

5.   Jackson DZ. Environmental justice? Unjust coverage of the Flint water crisis.
Shorenstein center on media, politics and public policy. 2017:1-55.

6.   Butler LJ, Scammell MK, Benson EB. The Flint, Michigan, Water Crisis: A Case Study
in Regulatory Failure and Environmental Injustice. *Environmental Justice.* 2016;9(4):93-
97.

7.   Gostin LO. Lead in the Water: A Tale of Social and Environmental Injustice. *JAMA.*
2016;315(19):2053-2054.

8.   Teodoro MP, Saywitz RR. Water and sewer affordability in the United States: a 2019
update. *AWWA Water Science.* 2020;2(2):e1176.

9.   Montag C. Municipal Power and Racial Injustice: Solutions for Water Discrimination.
Natural Resources & Environment. 2020;34(4):16-20.

10.   Swain M, McKinney E, Susskind L. Water Shutoffs in Older American Cities: Causes,
Extent, and Remedies. *Journal of Planning Education and*
*Research.*0(0):0739456X20904431.

11.   Jones CP. Invited Commentary: "Race," Racism, and the Practice of Epidemiology.
American Journal of Epidemiology. 2001;154(4):299-304.

12.   Rosinger AY, Young SL. In-Home Tap Water Consumption Trends Changed Among
U.S. Children, but Not Adults, Between 2007 and 2016. *Water Resources Research.*
2020;56(7):e2020WR027657.

13.   Rosinger AY, Bethancourt H, Francis LA. Association of Caloric Intake From Sugar-
Sweetened Beverages With Water Intake Among US Children and Young Adults in the
2011-2016 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *JAMA Pediatrics.*
2019;173(6):602-604.

14.   Onufrak SJ, Park S, Sharkey JR, Sherry B. The relationship of perceptions of tap water
safety with intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and plain water among US adults.
Public Health Nutrition. 2014;17(01):179-185.

15.   Javidi A, Pierce G. U.S. Households' Perception of Drinking Water as Unsafe and its
Consequences: Examining Alternative Choices to the Tap. *Water Resources Research.*
2018;54(9):6100-6113.

16.   Sanders AE, Slade GD. Blood Lead Levels and Dental Caries in U.S. Children Who Do
Not Drink Tap Water. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine.* 2018;54(2):157-163.

17. National Cancer Institute. Dietary Screener Questionnaire in the National Health and
Nutrition Examination Survey. Available at:
https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/nhanes/dietscreen/. Accessed on May 20.
18. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
(NHANES): Questionnaires, datasets, and related documentation. 2020;
https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/default.aspx. Accessed August 8, 2020.
19. Patel AI, Shapiro DJ, Wang YC, Cabana MD. Sociodemographic Characteristics and
Beverage Intake of Children Who Drink Tap Water. *American Journal of Preventive*
Medicine. 2013;45(1):75-82.
20. Graubard BI, Korn EL. Predictive Margins with Survey Data. *Biometrics.*
1999;55(2):652-659.
21. Muller CJ, MacLehose RF. Estimating predicted probabilities from logistic regression:
different methods correspond to different target populations. *Int J Epidemiol.*
2014;43(3):962-970.
22. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. U.S. Federal Poverty Guidelines.
Washington, D.C 2016
23. Korn EL, Graubard BI. *Analysis of health surveys.* Vol 323: John Wiley & Sons; 2011.
24. Ingram DD, Malec DJ, Makuc DM, et al. National Center for Health Statistics guidelines
for analysis of trends. 2018.
25. Patel AI, Schmidt LA. Water Access in the United States: Health Disparities Abound and
Solutions Are Urgently Needed. *American Journal of Public Health.* 2017;107(9):1354-
1356.
26. Rosinger AY, Herrick KA, Wutich AY, Yoder JS, Ogden CL. Disparities in plain, tap
and bottled water consumption among US adults: National Health and Nutrition
Examination Survey (NHANES) 2007–2014. *Public Health Nutrition.* 2018;21(8):1455-
1464.
27. McDonald YJ, Jones NE. Drinking Water Violations and Environmental Justice in the
United States, 2011–2015. *American Journal of Public Health.*0(0):e1-e7.
28. Switzer D, Teodoro MP. Class, Race, Ethnicity, and Justice in Safe Drinking Water
Compliance*. *Social Science Quarterly.* 2018;99(2):524-535.
29. Meehan K, Jurjevich JR, Chun NMJW, Sherrill J. Geographies of insecure water access
and the housing–water nexus in US cities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences. 2020;117(46):28700-28707.
30. Vieux F, Maillot M, Rehm CD, Barrios P, Drewnowski A. Trends in tap and bottled
water consumption among children and adults in the United States: analyses of NHANES
2011–16 data. *Nutrition Journal.* 2020;19(1):10.
31. Gleick PH. *Bottled and sold: The story behind our obsession with bottled water.* Island
Press; 2010.
32. Capone D, Cumming O, Nichols D, Brown J. Water and Sanitation in Urban America,
2017–2019. *American journal of public health.* 2020;110(10):1567-1572.
33. Deitz S, Meehan K. Plumbing Poverty: Mapping Hot Spots of Racial and Geographic
Inequality in U.S. Household Water Insecurity. *Annals of the American Association of*
Geographers. 2019;109(4):1092-1109.

Table 1: Descriptive characteristics of US children/adolescents and adults, NHANES 2011-2018

	Children/adolescents [a,c] (n=9,439) [b]Mean	Adults [a,c] (n=17,268) [b]Mean
2011-12	25.9%	24.4%
2013-14	25.3%	25.2%
2015-16	25.0%	25.2%
2017-18	23.8%	25.2%
% Don't drink tap from main water source	15.0%	16.2%
% Didn't drink tap on a given day	48.6%	46.3%
% Drink bottled water on a given day	37.1%	37.5%
% Male	48.5%	51.4%
2-5 years / 20-39 years	22.3%	35.9%
6-11 years / 40-59 years	35.6%	36.8%
12-19 years / 60+ years	42.1%	27.3%
NH white	54.7%	66.6%
NH Black	13.5%	10.8%
Asian	4.0%	5.2%
Hispanic	22.0%	13.9%
% Born in US	95.2%	83.9%
FIPR: ≤130%	34.9%	22.6%
131-350%	37.3%	35.2%
>350%	27.7%	42.2%
Adult education:	--	
Less than high school		13.0%
High school grad	--	22.7%
Some college	--	32.8%
College +	--	31.4%
HH Ref education:		--
Less than high school	17.8%	
High school grad/some college	54.3%	--
College +	27.9%	--

309 [a]Unweighted sample size. [b]Weighted mean percentages for each category. [c]Without missing

310 covariate data and valid dietary recall status. Other race/Hispanic origin included in analyses but

311 not shown. FIPR: Federal income poverty ratio

 Table 2: Log-binomial regression models of not drinking any tap water on a given day by survey

 cycle, race/ethnicity, and socio-demographics, NHANES 2011-2018 among children/adolescents

 and adults.

Independent variables	Adjusted Prevalence ratio of not drinking tap water (95% CI) Children/adolescents	Adjusted Prevalence ratio of not drinking tap water (95% CI) Adults
	Model 1	Model 2
Survey cycle 2011-12	1.06	0.95
	(0.93 - 1.21)	(0.86 - 1.05)
2013-2014	1	1
2015-16	1.05	1.02
	(0.91 - 1.21)	(0.91 - 1.13)
2017-18	1.14*	1.13**
	(0.98 - 1.33)	(1.01 - 1.25)
Race/ethnicity: NH white	1	1
NH Black	1.38***	1.39***
	(1.22 - 1.56)	(1.31 - 1.48)
NH Asian	1.08	0.99
	(0.93 - 1.26)	(0.92 - 1.08)
Hispanic	1.40***	1.28***
	(1.25 - 1.56)	(1.19 - 1.37)
Other/Mixed	1.08	1.16**
	(0.91 - 1.27)	(1.02 - 1.32)
Age: [a]2-5 years / [b]60+	1	1
6-11 years / 20-39	0.95	1.11***
	(0.89 - 1.01)	(1.05 - 1.17)
12-19 years / 40-59	1.02	1.14***
	(0.95 - 1.09)	(1.08 - 1.20)
Nativity status: Born in US	1	1
Born outside US	1.03	1.09***
	(0.94 - 1.14)	(1.03 - 1.15)
Male	1	1
Female	1.02	1.00
	(0.95 - 1.09)	(0.96 - 1.04)
[c]FIPR >350%		
≤130%	1.14*	1.15***
	(1.00 - 1.30)	(1.07 - 1.24)
131-350%	1.08	1.08**
	(0.96 - 1.23)	(1.02 - 1.15)
Education[b]: College +	--	1
Less than high school	--	1.70***
		(1.55 - 1.86)
High school grad	--	1.62***

		(1.49 - 1.76)
Some college	--	1.45***
		(1.34 - 1.57)
HH reference education [a]: College +	1	--
Less than high school	1.49***	--
	(1.29 - 1.72)	
High school grad/some college	1.41***	--
	(1.23 - 1.61)	
Observations	10,254	17,829

321   **Table 3:** Log-binomial regression models of drinking any bottled water on a given day by survey

322   cycle, race/ethnicity, and socio-demographics, NHANES 2011-2018 among children/adolescents

323   and adults.

Independent variables	Adjusted Prevalence ratio of drinking bottled water (95% CI) Children/adolescents	Adjusted Prevalence ratio of drinking bottled water (95% CI) Adults
	Model 1	Model 2
Survey cycle 2011-12	0.94	0.87**
	(0.76 - 1.16)	(0.77 - 1.00)
2013-2014	1	1
2015-16	1.03	1.08
	(0.91 - 1.17)	(0.96 - 1.21)
2017-18	1.26***	1.25***
	(1.12 - 1.41)	(1.12 - 1.40)
Race/ethnicity: NH white	1	1
NH Black	1.20**	1.56***
	(1.03 - 1.40)	(1.43 - 1.69)
NH Asian	1.27***	1.14**
	(1.08 - 1.50)	(1.01 - 1.28)
Hispanic	1.55***	1.50***
	(1.39 - 1.73)	(1.34 - 1.67)
Other/Mixed	0.98	1.25***
	(0.80 - 1.19)	(1.06 - 1.47)
Age: [a]2-5 years / [b]60+	1	1
6-11 years / 20-39	1.11*	1.23***
	(0.99 - 1.24)	(1.13 - 1.34)
12-19 years / 40-59	1.22***	1.22***
	(1.11 - 1.34)	(1.12 - 1.34)
Nativity status: Born in US	1	1
Born outside US	1.09*	1.26***
	(0.98 - 1.22)	(1.17 - 1.36)
Male	1	1
Female	1.17***	1.16***
	(1.07 - 1.27)	(1.11 - 1.22)
[c]FIPR >350%	1	1
≤130%	0.89*	0.90***
	(0.79 - 1.00)	(0.84 - 0.96)
131-350%	0.87*	0.93**
	(0.76 - 1.00)	(0.87 - 0.99)
Education[b]: College +	--	1
Less than high school	--	1.33***
	--	(1.21 - 1.46)
High school grad	--	1.24***

		(1.13 - 1.37)
Some college	--	1.29***
		(1.20 - 1.39)
HH reference education [a]: College +	1	--
Less than high school	1.20*	--
	(0.99 - 1.45)	
High school grad/some college	1.23**	--
	(1.05 - 1.44)	
Observations	10,254	17,829

95% CI in parentheses; *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1;

[a]for children; [b]for adults;

[c]FIPR: federal income to poverty ratio; household income for children;

Note: Data using day one 24-hour dietary recall

Figure 1: Log-binomial regression models of not drinking tap water by survey cycle,

race/ethnicity, and socio-demographics, NHANES 2011-2018 among (a)

children/adolescents and (b) adults.

Notes: n=9,439 children/adolescents aged 2-19; n=17,268 adults; models adjusted for all

variables shown in addition to sex, and age (for adults). Full models shown in Supplemental

Table 1.

Figure 2: Covariate-adjusted predicted probability time trends and 95% confidence

intervals of not drinking tap water by race/ethnicity among (a) children and (b) adults,

NHANES 2011-2018

Notes: n=9,439 children aged 2-19; n=17,268 adults; models adjusted for nativity status, sex, age

group, income level (federal income to poverty ratio), and educational attainment (of household

reference for children, self for adults); dashed line at 2013–2014 indicates beginning of Flint,

Michigan Water Crisis. Built from models shown in Supplemental Table 1.

Supplemental Materials for

Title: Examining Recent Trends in the Racial Disparity Gap in Tap Water Consumption:

NHANES 2011–2018

Supplemental Table 1: Log-binomial regression models of not drinking tap water by survey

cycle, race/ethnicity, and socio-demographics, NHANES 2011-2018 among children/adolescents

and adults.

Independent variables	Adjusted Prevalence ratio of not drinking tap water (95% CI) Children/adolescents	Adjusted Prevalence ratio of not drinking tap water (95% CI) Adults
	Model 1	Model 2
Survey cycle 2011-12	1.00	1.07
	(0.76 - 1.32)	(0.84 - 1.37)
2013-2014	1	1
2015-16	1.28*	1.17
	(0.96 - 1.70)	(0.96 - 1.43)
2017-18	1.63***	1.40***
	(1.25 - 2.12)	(1.16 - 1.69)
Race/ethnicity: NH white	1	1
NH Black	2.46***	2.28***
	(1.79 - 3.38)	(1.96 - 2.65)
NH Asian	1.84***	1.49***
	(1.35 - 2.52)	(1.22 - 1.80)
Hispanic	3.12***	1.89***
	(2.41 - 4.03)	(1.56 - 2.29)
Other/Mixed	1.52**	1.52***
	(1.04 - 2.20)	(1.26 - 1.84)
Age: [a]2-5 years / [b]60+	1	1
6-11 years / 20-39	0.88**	1.01
	(0.78 - 1.00)	(0.90 - 1.14)
12-19 years / 40-59	0.50***	1.10*
	(0.44 - 0.58)	(0.99 - 1.22)
Nativity status: Born in US	1	1
Born outside US	1.49***	1.53***
	(1.23 - 1.80)	(1.31 - 1.80)
Male	1	1
Female	1.10*	1.22***
	(0.99 - 1.22)	(1.13 - 1.32)
[c]FIPR >350%	1	1
≤130%	1.42**	1.23**
	(1.06 - 1.90)	(1.05 - 1.45)

131-350%	1.33*	1.15*
	(0.98 - 1.81)	(1.00 - 1.34)
Education[b]: College +	--	1
Less than high school	--	2.12***
		(1.77 - 2.53)
High school grad	--	1.93***
		(1.60 - 2.33)
Some college	--	1.61***
		(1.37 - 1.89)
HH reference education[a]: College +	1	--
Less than high school	1.55***	--
	(1.19 - 2.00)	
High school grad/some college	1.42***	--
	(1.10 - 1.84)	
Observations	9,439	17,268

356 95% CI in parentheses; *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1;
357 [a]for children; [b]for adults;
358 [c]FIPR: federal income to poverty ratio; household income for children;
359 Note: Data using question inquiring about normal drinking water source in which persons
360 indicated if they did not consume their tap water.
361

362 **Supplemental Table 2:** Estimated amount of people in the US not drinking tap water and

363 change between 2013-2014 and 2017-2018.

	2013-2014	2017-2018	Total change
Children/adolescents (2-19 year olds)	9,167,905	14,822,954	5,655,049
Adults (20+ years)	33,184,518	46,553,820	13,369,302
Total	42,352,423	61,376,774	19,024,351

365 Note: Data calculated from adjusted prevalence estimates in this paper multiplied by the NCHS
366 census population totals (https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/responserates.aspx#population-
367 totals) in 2013-14 and 2017-18.