1 **Title: Examining Recent Trends in the Racial Disparity Gap in Tap Water Consumption:**

2 **NHANES 2011–2018**

Authors: Asher Y. Rosinger[1,2,*], Anisha I. Patel[3], and Francesca Weaks[4]

Affiliations:
[1]Department of Biobehavioral Health, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802
[2]Department of Anthropology, Pennsylvania State University, State College PA, 16802
[3]Department of Pediatrics, Stanford School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305
[4]Health Department, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Baltimore, MD 21215

*Corresponding Author: Asher Y. Rosinger
The Pennsylvania State University
219 Biobehavioral Health Building
University Park, PA 16802
Email: arosinger@psu.edu
Phone: 814-865-5220

Under consideration at Public Health Nutrition

Short title: Trends in tap water consumption disparities

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest.

Funding statement: This work was supported by the Ann Atherton Hertzler Early Career Professorship funds, and Penn State's Population Research Institute (NICHD P2CHD041025). The funders had no role in the research or interpretation of results.

Abstract:

Objective. As tap water distrust has grown in the US with greater distrust among Black and

Hispanic households, we aimed to examine the most recent trends in not drinking tap water

including the period covering the US Flint Water Crisis and racial/ethnic disparities in these

trends.

Design, Setting, and Participants. We analyzed data from the cross-sectional National Health

and Nutrition Examination Survey data (2011–2018) for 9,439 children aged 2-19 and 17,268

adults. Log-binomial regressions and marginal predicted probabilities examined US nationally-

representative trends in tap water consumption overall and by race/ethnicity.

Results. Among US children and adults, respectively, in 2017–2018 there was a 63% (adjusted

prevalence ratio [PR]:1.63, 95% CI: 1.25-2.12, p<0.001) and 40% (PR:1.40, 95% CI: 1.16-1.69,

p=0.001) higher prevalence of not drinking tap water compared to 2013–2014 (pre-Flint Water

Crisis). For Black children and adults, the probability of not drinking tap water increased

significantly from 18.1% (95% CI: 13.4-22.8) and 24.6% (95% CI: 20.7-28.4) in 2013–14 to

29.3% (95% CI: 23.5-35.1) and 34.5% (95% CI: 29.4-39.6) in 2017–2018. Among Hispanic

children and adults, not drinking tap water increased significantly from 24.5% (95% CI: 19.4-

29.6) and 27.1% (95% CI: 23.0-31.2) in 2013–14 to 39.7% (95% CI: 32.7-46.8) and 38.1% (95%

CI: 33.0-43.1) in 2017–2018. No increases were observed among white children and adults.

Conclusions. Approximately 20% of US children and adults did not drink tap water post-Flint

Water Crisis in 2017-2018. Black and Hispanics' probability of not drinking tap water continued

to increase compared to whites.

Keywords: Tap water; health disparities; water insecurity; bottled water

Introduction

Approximately two million Americans lack basic access to drinking water[1]. However, this figure does not capture individuals who have access but do not drink their tap water. Not drinking tap water occurs for many reasons, including aesthetic considerations or distrust due to water quality violations in homes[2] or schools[3]. The Flint, Michigan Water Crisis[4], in which the Flint community was exposed to high concentrations of lead in their water from 2015 through 2016 raised national awareness[5] of tap water safety concerns in US communities[2,6]. Then, Newark, New Jersey reported a lead crisis in 2016[7] further stoking fears. Both Flint and Newark are comprised predominantly of non-white residents.

Other households in the US lack consistent access to tap water at home because of water shutoffs due to affordability issues[8], which disproportionately affect residents in low-income housing, and Black and Hispanic households[9,10]. The differential treatment of individuals in these categories increases exposure to environmental injustice and racism[11] which may affect both access to and use of tap water. Consequently, these groups are also more likely to drink bottled water[12] and sugar-sweetened beverages[13,14] that are more costly[15] and lack the protective benefits of fluoride[16] in tap water.

While previous work documented an increase in US children not drinking tap water in 2015-2016[12] during the Flint Water Crisis compared to prior years, it is critical to surveil this important health behavior as a way to monitor trust and usage of tap water. Therefore, we examined whether not drinking tap water in the US continued to increase in 2017-2018 by analyzing nationally-representative trends among children/adolescents and adults, and whether disparities in this behavior persisted by race/ethnicity.

Methods

Data come from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)[17], a cross-sectional survey of the civilian, non-institutionalized population, which uses a complex, multistage probability design. Detailed sampling procedures and methodology are described elsewhere[18]. NHANES is conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and approved by their research ethics board. Children aged 7-17 years provided assent and parents provided consent for children under 18; adults consented for themselves.

This analysis uses the four most recent two-year cycles (2011–2018) because

oversampling of non-Hispanic (NH) Asians began in 2011-12 allowing for an examination of

this population's trends in tap water consumption, and because prior work already examined

trends in Black, Hispanic and White tap water consumption from 2007-2016[12]. Response rates

ranged from 77.0% in 2011–12 to 54.6% in 2017–18 for the examination component for youth

aged 1-19 years, and from 64.5% in 2011–12 to 45.3% in 2017–18 for adults aged 20+.

To assess the prevalence of not drinking tap water, we analyzed responses to the dietary

recall question: "When you drink tap water, what is the main source of the tap water?" If

respondents reported not drinking tap water, they were coded as "did not drink tap." All others

were coded as "drank tap water"[16,19]. A proxy responded to interview questions for children aged

≤5 years; interviews for children aged 6–11 years were conducted with proxy assistance while

children aged ≥12 years responded themselves. If they responded that they did not know their

primary source, they were excluded from analyses.

For robustness analyses, we analyzed day-one 24-hour dietary recall data and

dichotomized tap water consumption and bottled water consumption as >0 ml or 0 ml following

prior work[13]. This allowed for a comparison of consumption on a given day to self-reports of not

drinking water from their main source of tap and if not drinking tap water shifted consumption to

bottled water.

Statistical analyses

A 2-sided *P*-value of .05 was used to assess statistical significance. Multiple log-binomial

regression models were estimated since prevalence of not drinking tap was >10%[12]. As there

were no significant race/ethnicity by survey cycle interactions (all p>0.05), we estimated the

regressions and covariate-adjusted time-trends without interaction terms. We used the 2013-14

survey cycle as the reference category as it was the last cycle prior to the Flint Water Crisis. We

plotted trends from the log-binomial regressions using marginal standardization[20] to generate

predicted probabilities by self-reported race/ethnicity (white, Black, Asian, Hispanic, or other).

We adjusted for the range[21] of the following covariates: age (2-5, 6-11, 12-19, 20-39, 40-59,

60+), sex, federal income to poverty ratio (FIPR; ratio of family income to the federal poverty

guideline[22]: ≤130%, 131-350%, >350%), household reference educational attainment (for

children/adolescents; high school graduate/GED equivalent and some college were released

combined) and educational attainment (for adults), and whether respondents were born in the US

or not[12,19].

As robustness analyses, we re-estimated log-binomial regressions models as described

above with the dichotomized outcome of not drinking any tap water (0 ml) on a given day using

the 24-hour dietary recall. We repeated this analysis with the dichotomized outcome of any (>0

ml) bottled water intake.

All analyses accounted for the complex survey design, including day-one dietary sample

weights, which adjusted for oversampling, non-response, non-coverage, and day of week[18,23] and

incorporated guidelines from NCHS[24]. Statistical analyses were conducted using Stata V15.1

(Statacorp, College Station, TX).

Results

Data for the primary analyses were analyzed for 9,439 children and 17,268 adults with

complete covariate information (**Table 1**). Among children/adolescents and adults, respectively,

in the post-Flint period (2017–2018) there was a 63% (adjusted prevalence ratio [PR]:1.63,

95%CI:1.25-2.12, p<0.001) and 40% (PR:1.40, 95%CI:1.16-1.69, p=0.001) higher prevalence of

not drinking tap water compared to pre-Flint (2013–2014), respectively (**Figure 1a-b;**

Supplemental Table 1: Models 1-2). Overall, the probability of not drinking tap increased

significantly from 12.3% (95%CI:9.5-15.0) and 13.9% (95%CI:11.8-16.0) among children and

adults, respectively in 2013–2014 to 19.9% (95%CI:16.0-23.8) and 19.5% (95%CI:16.5-22.5) in

2017–2018.

Hispanic, Black, and Asian children/adolescents and adults had significantly higher

prevalence of not drinking tap than white persons (all p<0.001, **Figure 1a-1b; Supplemental**

Table 1: Models 1-2). Lower income, less educational attainment, and being born outside the US

were associated with higher prevalence of not drinking tap water (**Figure 1a-1b**).

Covariate-adjusted trends by race/ethnicity of not drinking tap water are presented in

Figure 2a-2b. Among Black children/adolescents and adults, the probability of not drinking tap

water increased significantly from 18.1% (95CI:13.4-22.8) and 24.6% (95%CI:20.7-28.4) in

2013–14 to 29.3% (95%CI:23.5-35.1) and 34.5% (95%CI:29.4-39.6) in 2017–18, respectively.

Among Hispanic children/adolescents and adults, not drinking tap increased from 24.5%

(95%CI:19.4-29.6) and 27.1% (95%CI:23.0-31.2) in 13-14 to 39.7% (95%CI:32.7-46.8) and

38.1% (95%CI:33.0-43.1) in 2017–2018, respectively (**Figure 2a-2b**).

Robustness analysis

In robustness analyses, we used data from 10,254 children/adolescents and 17,829 adults

with valid dietary recall data, slightly more than the primary analyses due to fewer missing

responses. Results of the log-binomial regressions examining not drinking tap water on a given

day were slightly attenuated but largely consistent with main analyses (**Supplemental Table 2**).

Overall for children/adolescents and adults, the prevalence of not drinking tap water was 14.0%

(PR=1.14; 95%CI:0.98-1.33) and 12.6% (PR=1.13; 95%CI:1.01-1.25) higher in 2017-2018 than

2013-2014. As in primary analyses, Black and Hispanic children/adolescents and adults had

significantly higher prevalence of not drinking any tap water on a given day than white persons

(**Supplemental Table 2**, Models 1-2).

In the second analysis, prevalence of any bottled water consumption on a given day was

significantly higher overall for both children (PR: 1.26; 95%CI:1.12-1.41) and adults (PR: 1.25;

95%CI:1.12-1.40) in 2017-18 than in 2013-14. Further, Black, Asian, and Hispanic persons all

had higher prevalence of drinking bottled water than white children and adults, respectively

(**Supplemental Table 3**, Models 1-2).

Discussion

This study used nationally-representative data to examine trends and racial/ethnic

disparities in tap water consumption among US children and adults which included the pre- and

post-Flint period as national awareness about water safety concerns increased. There was little

change between 2011-14, but probability of not drinking tap water increased successively from

2013-14 to 2017–2018, with Hispanic, Black, and Asian individuals being more likely to not

drink tap water than whites. Self-reported race/ethnicity continued to be the largest predictor of

not drinking tap water of all covariates examined as the differential treatment of individuals in

these categories increases exposure to environmental injustice and racism[11].

While recent studies indicate that up to two million Americans lack basic water access[1] -

particularly in urban areas[25] - our study indicates the broader problem is far more pervasive. In

2017–2018, ~20% of US children/adolescents and adults did not drink tap water, which

translates into 14.8 million children and 46.6 million adults using the NCHS Census population

totals. Tap water consumption has health benefits through fluoride delivery that lowers risk of

dental caries[16]. Moreover, when individuals do not drink water they consume double the calories

from sugar-sweetened beverages[13].

We found persistent racial/ethnic disparities, and that among Hispanic and Black

households their probability of not drinking tap has increased recently. Prior research found that

Black and Hispanic populations are less likely to drink tap water[12,19] due to higher inequities in

water access[9] and distrust among these populations[26]. A recent paper examining trends in tap

water intake using NHANES 2011-2016 data did not find significant changes in the volume of

tap water consumed over time but did uncover increases in the volume of bottled water

consumed[27]. While our primary variable was based on whether persons consumed their tap water

rather than the average volume of water consumed, our results were robust when using a

dichotomous variable of any tap water intake from the 24-hour dietary recall. It is critical to

monitor trends in the lack of any tap water consumption beyond average mean intakes because

this can provide a window into potential underlying water insecurity. Second, we also found that

prevalence of any bottled water consumption on a given day was 25% higher in 2017-18 than

2013-14, supporting the recent trends of increasing bottled water intake in the US[12,27].

Environmental injustice and racism contribute to these disparities[9] as water quality

violations[28] and water shutoffs[9] disproportionately affect these communities. Drinking water

quality violations often occur at the intersection of race and class where low-income Black and

Hispanic communities are at the greatest risk of marginalization[29]. Our results suggest little

progress in addressing these inequities. Increased national awareness of water quality violations

following the Flint Water Crisis, emerging local water contaminant exceedances in other cities

like Newark, NJ, or other unknown factors may help explain our findings. In particular,

increasing reports of lead violations in school drinking water, following increasing requirements

to test at the tap, have likely heightened concerns regarding tap water safety[3]. Future studies

should be conducted to confirm these hypotheses.

Study limitations include the inability to know why participants did not drink their tap

water as follow-up questions were not asked. However, we used two measures of tap water

consumption to provide additional internal validity of results and find that shifts to bottled water

occurred. This study is limited by not having geographical data, but its goal was to examine

recent national trends in tap water consumption rather than geographic differences. Recent work

has demonstrated that structural issues like precarious housing within communities of color

increases risk of incomplete plumbing[25,30]. Tap water filtration was discontinued following the

2009-2010 survey and thus was not controlled for. However, prior work has demonstrated that

use of tap water filters do not have an overall effect on these national estimates of tap water

consumption[12]. NCHS addressed the declining response rates through the sampling weights,

which further took into account non-response and loss of screener to examination[18].

Conclusion

188   Approximately 63% and 40% more Black and Hispanic children and adults did not drink

their tap water in 2017–18 compared to 2013-14. That approximately 61.4 million Americans did

not drink tap water represents a substantial opportunity to improve public health nutrition.

Further, that bottled water consumption continues to increase while Black, Asian, and Hispanic

households are more likely to rely on it levies additional socioeconomic strain. Policies that

address the root environmental injustices that low-income and minority communities' face in

accessing safe tap water are necessary to halting the growing racial disparity gap observed in tap

water consumption[26]. To design meaningful solutions more work with communities of color is

needed to understand the various factors that contribute to eschewing tap water.

References

1.   Roller Z, Gasteyer S, Nelson N, Lai W, Shingne M. Closing the water access gap in the United States: a national action plan. Washington, DC: Dig Deep and US Water Alliance. *Retrieved on July.* 2019;25:2020.

2.   Hanna-Attisha M, LaChance J, Sadler RC, Champney Schnepp A. Elevated Blood Lead Levels in Children Associated With the Flint Drinking Water Crisis: A Spatial Analysis of Risk and Public Health Response. *American Journal of Public Health.* 2015;106(2):283-290.

3.   Cradock AL, Poole MK, Vollmer LY, Barrett JL, Flax CN, Hecht CA. *State approaches to testing school drinking water for lead in the United States.* Boston, MA: Prevention Research Center on Nutrition and Physical Activity at the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health;2019.

4.   Pauli BJ. The Flint water crisis. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water.* 2020;7(3):e1420.

5.   Jackson DZ. Environmental justice? Unjust coverage of the Flint water crisis. *Shorenstein center on media, politics and public policy.* 2017:1-55.

6.   Butler LJ, Scammell MK, Benson EB. The Flint, Michigan, Water Crisis: A Case Study in Regulatory Failure and Environmental Injustice. *Environmental Justice.* 2016;9(4):93-97.

7.   Gostin LO. Lead in the Water: A Tale of Social and Environmental Injustice. *JAMA.* 2016;315(19):2053-2054.

8.   Teodoro MP, Saywitz RR. Water and sewer affordability in the United States: a 2019 update. *AWWA Water Science.* 2020;2(2):e1176.

9.   Montag C. Municipal Power and Racial Injustice: Solutions for Water Discrimination. *Natural Resources & Environment.* 2020;34(4):16-20.

10.   Swain M, McKinney E, Susskind L. Water Shutoffs in Older American Cities: Causes, Extent, and Remedies. *Journal of Planning Education and Research.*0(0):0739456X20904431.

11.   Jones CP. Invited Commentary: "Race," Racism, and the Practice of Epidemiology. *American Journal of Epidemiology.* 2001;154(4):299-304.

12.   Rosinger AY, Young SL. In-Home Tap Water Consumption Trends Changed Among
229   U.S. Children, but Not Adults, Between 2007 and 2016. *Water Resources Research.*
230   2020;56(7):e2020WR027657.

231   13.   Rosinger AY, Bethancourt H, Francis LA. Association of Caloric Intake From Sugar-
232   Sweetened Beverages With Water Intake Among US Children and Young Adults in the
233   2011-2016 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *JAMA Pediatrics.*
234   2019;173(6):602-604.

235   14.   Onufrak SJ, Park S, Sharkey JR, Sherry B. The relationship of perceptions of tap water
236   safety with intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and plain water among US adults.
237   *Public Health Nutrition.* 2014;17(01):179-185.

238   15.   Javidi A, Pierce G. U.S. Households' Perception of Drinking Water as Unsafe and its
239   Consequences: Examining Alternative Choices to the Tap. *Water Resources Research.*
240   2018;54(9):6100-6113.

241   16.   Sanders AE, Slade GD. Blood Lead Levels and Dental Caries in U.S. Children Who Do
242   Not Drink Tap Water. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine.* 2018;54(2):157-163.

243   17.   National Cancer Institute. Dietary Screener Questionnaire in the National Health and
244   Nutrition Examination Survey. Available at:
245   https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/nhanes/dietscreen/. Accessed on May 20.

246   18.   National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
247   (NHANES): Questionnaires, datasets, and related documentation. 2020;
248   https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/default.aspx. Accessed August 8, 2020.

249   19.   Patel AI, Shapiro DJ, Wang YC, Cabana MD. Sociodemographic Characteristics and
250   Beverage Intake of Children Who Drink Tap Water. *American Journal of Preventive*
251   *Medicine.* 2013;45(1):75-82.

252   20.   Graubard BI, Korn EL. Predictive Margins with Survey Data. *Biometrics.*
253   1999;55(2):652-659.

254   21.   Muller CJ, MacLehose RF. Estimating predicted probabilities from logistic regression:
255   different methods correspond to different target populations. *Int J Epidemiol.*
256   2014;43(3):962-970.

257   22.   U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. U.S. Federal Poverty Guidelines.
258   Washington, D.C 2016

259   23.   Korn EL, Graubard BI. *Analysis of health surveys.* Vol 323: John Wiley & Sons; 2011.

260   24.   Ingram DD, Malec DJ, Makuc DM, et al. National Center for Health Statistics guidelines

261   for analysis of trends. 2018.

262   25.   Meehan K, Jurjevich JR, Chun NMJW, Sherrill J. Geographies of insecure water access

263   and the housing–water nexus in US cities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

264   *Sciences.* 2020;117(46):28700-28707.

265   26.   Patel AI, Schmidt LA. Water Access in the United States: Health Disparities Abound and

266   Solutions Are Urgently Needed. *American Journal of Public Health.* 2017;107(9):1354-

267   1356.

268   27.   Vieux F, Maillot M, Rehm CD, Barrios P, Drewnowski A. Trends in tap and bottled

269   water consumption among children and adults in the United States: analyses of NHANES

270   2011–16 data. *Nutrition Journal.* 2020;19(1):10.

271   28.   McDonald YJ, Jones NE. Drinking Water Violations and Environmental Justice in the

272   United States, 2011–2015. *American Journal of Public Health.*0(0):e1-e7.

273   29.   Switzer D, Teodoro MP. Class, Race, Ethnicity, and Justice in Safe Drinking Water

274   Compliance*. *Social Science Quarterly.* 2018;99(2):524-535.

275   30.   Deitz S, Meehan K. Plumbing Poverty: Mapping Hot Spots of Racial and Geographic

276   Inequality in U.S. Household Water Insecurity. *Annals of the American Association of*

277   *Geographers.* 2019;109(4):1092-1109.

279 Table 1: Descriptive characteristics of US children/adolescents and adults, NHANES 2011-2018

	Children/adolescents [a,c] (n=9,439) [b]Mean	Adults [a,c] (n=17,268) [b]Mean
2011-12	25.9%	24.4%
2013-14	25.3%	25.2%
2015-16	25.0%	25.2%
2017-18	23.8%	25.2%
% Don't drink tap from main water source	15.0%	16.2%
% Didn't drink tap on a given day	48.6%	46.3%
% Drink bottled water on a given day	37.1%	37.5%
% Male	48.5%	51.4%
2-5 years / 20-39 years	22.3%	35.9%
6-11 years / 40-59 years	35.6%	36.8%
12-19 years / 60+ years	42.1%	27.3%
NH white	54.7%	66.6%
NH Black	13.5%	10.8%
Asian	4.0%	5.2%
Hispanic	22.0%	13.9%
% Born in US	95.2%	83.9%
FIPR: ≤130%	34.9%	22.6%
131-350%	37.3%	35.2%
>350%	27.7%	42.2%
Adult education:	--	
Less than high school		13.0%
High school grad	--	22.7%
Some college	--	32.8%
College +	--	31.4%
HH Ref education:		--
Less than high school	17.8%	
High school grad/some college	54.3%	--
College +	27.9%	--

[a]Unweighted sample size. [b]Weighted mean percentages for each category. [c]Without missing

covariate data and valid dietary recall status. Other race/Hispanic origin included in analyses but

not shown. FIPR: Federal income poverty ratio

Figure 1: Log-binomial regression models of not drinking tap water by survey cycle,

race/ethnicity, and socio-demographics, NHANES 2011-2018 among (a)

children/adolescents and (b) adults.

Notes: n=9,439 children/adolescents aged 2-19; n=17,268 adults; models adjusted for all

variables shown in addition to sex, and age (for adults). Full models shown in Supplemental

Table 1.

 Figure 2: Covariate-adjusted predicted probability time trends and 95% confidence

intervals of not drinking tap water by race/ethnicity among (a) children and (b) adults,

NHANES 2011-2018

Notes: n=9,439 children aged 2-19; n=17,268 adults; models adjusted for nativity status, sex, age

group, income level (federal income to poverty ratio), and educational attainment (of household

reference for children, self for adults); dashed line at 2013–2014 indicates beginning of Flint,

Michigan Water Crisis. Built from models shown in Supplemental Table 1.

 Supplemental Table 1: Log-binomial regression models of not drinking tap water by survey
cycle, race/ethnicity, and socio-demographics, NHANES 2011-2018 among children/adolescents
and adults.

Independent variables	Adjusted Prevalence ratio of not drinking tap water (95% CI) Children/adolescents	Adjusted Prevalence ratio of not drinking tap water (95% CI) Adults
	Model 1	Model 2
Survey cycle 2011-12	1.00	1.07
	(0.76 - 1.32)	(0.84 - 1.37)
2013-2014	1	1
2015-16	1.28*	1.17
	(0.96 - 1.70)	(0.96 - 1.43)
2017-18	1.63***	1.40***
	(1.25 - 2.12)	(1.16 - 1.69)
Race/ethnicity: NH white	1	1
NH Black	2.46***	2.28***
	(1.79 - 3.38)	(1.96 - 2.65)
NH Asian	1.84***	1.49***
	(1.35 - 2.52)	(1.22 - 1.80)
Hispanic	3.12***	1.89***
	(2.41 - 4.03)	(1.56 - 2.29)
Other/Mixed	1.52**	1.52***
	(1.04 - 2.20)	(1.26 - 1.84)
Age: [a]2-5 years / [b]60+	1	1
6-11 years / 20-39	0.88**	1.01
	(0.78 - 1.00)	(0.90 - 1.14)
12-19 years / 40-59	0.50***	1.10*
	(0.44 - 0.58)	(0.99 - 1.22)
Nativity status: Born in US	1	1
Born outside US	1.49***	1.53***
	(1.23 - 1.80)	(1.31 - 1.80)
Male	1	1
Female	1.10*	1.22***
	(0.99 - 1.22)	(1.13 - 1.32)
[c]FIPR >350%	1	1
≤130%	1.42**	1.23**
	(1.06 - 1.90)	(1.05 - 1.45)
131-350%	1.33*	1.15*
	(0.98 - 1.81)	(1.00 - 1.34)
Education[b]: College +	--	1
Less than high school	--	2.12***
		(1.77 - 2.53)
High school grad	--	1.93***

		(1.60 - 2.33)
Some college	--	1.61***
		(1.37 - 1.89)
HH reference education[a]: College +	1	--
Less than high school	1.55***	--
	(1.19 - 2.00)	
High school grad/some college	1.42***	--
	(1.10 - 1.84)	
Observations	9,439	17,268

95% CI in parentheses; *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1;

[a]for children; [b]for adults;

[c]FIPR: federal income to poverty ratio; household income for children;

Note: Data using question inquiring about normal drinking water source in which persons indicated if they did not consume their tap water.

314　**Supplemental Table 2:** Log-binomial regression models of not drinking any tap water on a

315　given day by survey cycle, race/ethnicity, and socio-demographics, NHANES 2011-2018 among

316　children/adolescents and adults.

Independent variables	Adjusted Prevalence ratio of not drinking tap water (95% CI) Children/adolescents	Adjusted Prevalence ratio of not drinking tap water (95% CI) Adults
	Model 1	Model 2
Survey cycle 2011-12	1.06	0.95
	(0.93 - 1.21)	(0.86 - 1.05)
2013-2014	1	1
2015-16	1.05	1.02
	(0.91 - 1.21)	(0.91 - 1.13)
2017-18	1.14*	1.13**
	(0.98 - 1.33)	(1.01 - 1.25)
Race/ethnicity: NH white	1	1
NH Black	1.38***	1.39***
	(1.22 - 1.56)	(1.31 - 1.48)
NH Asian	1.08	0.99
	(0.93 - 1.26)	(0.92 - 1.08)
Hispanic	1.40***	1.28***
	(1.25 - 1.56)	(1.19 - 1.37)
Other/Mixed	1.08	1.16**
	(0.91 - 1.27)	(1.02 - 1.32)
Age: [a]2-5 years / [b]60+	1	1
6-11 years / 20-39	0.95	1.11***
	(0.89 - 1.01)	(1.05 - 1.17)
12-19 years / 40-59	1.02	1.14***
	(0.95 - 1.09)	(1.08 - 1.20)
Nativity status: Born in US	1	1
Born outside US	1.03	1.09***
	(0.94 - 1.14)	(1.03 - 1.15)
Male	1	1
Female	1.02	1.00
	(0.95 - 1.09)	(0.96 - 1.04)
[c]FIPR >350%		
≤130%	1.14*	1.15***
	(1.00 - 1.30)	(1.07 - 1.24)
131-350%	1.08	1.08**
	(0.96 - 1.23)	(1.02 - 1.15)
Education[b]: College +	--	1
Less than high school	--	1.70***
		(1.55 - 1.86)
High school grad	--	1.62***

		(1.49 - 1.76)
Some college	--	1.45***
		(1.34 - 1.57)
HH reference education [a]: College +	1	--
Less than high school	1.49***	--
	(1.29 - 1.72)	
High school grad/some college	1.41***	--
	(1.23 - 1.61)	
Observations	10,254	17,829

322 **Supplemental Table 3:** Log-binomial regression models of drinking any bottled water on a

323 given day by survey cycle, race/ethnicity, and socio-demographics, NHANES 2011-2018 among

324 children/adolescents and adults.

Independent variables	Adjusted Prevalence ratio of drinking bottled water (95% CI) Children/adolescents	Adjusted Prevalence ratio of drinking bottled water (95% CI) Adults
	Model 1	Model 2
Survey cycle 2011-12	0.94	0.87**
	(0.76 - 1.16)	(0.77 - 1.00)
2013-2014	1	1
2015-16	1.03	1.08
	(0.91 - 1.17)	(0.96 - 1.21)
2017-18	1.26***	1.25***
	(1.12 - 1.41)	(1.12 - 1.40)
Race/ethnicity: NH white	1	1
NH Black	1.20**	1.56***
	(1.03 - 1.40)	(1.43 - 1.69)
NH Asian	1.27***	1.14**
	(1.08 - 1.50)	(1.01 - 1.28)
Hispanic	1.55***	1.50***
	(1.39 - 1.73)	(1.34 - 1.67)
Other/Mixed	0.98	1.25***
	(0.80 - 1.19)	(1.06 - 1.47)
Age: [a]2-5 years / [b]60+	1	1
6-11 years / 20-39	1.11*	1.23***
	(0.99 - 1.24)	(1.13 - 1.34)
12-19 years / 40-59	1.22***	1.22***
	(1.11 - 1.34)	(1.12 - 1.34)
Nativity status: Born in US	1	1
Born outside US	1.09*	1.26***
	(0.98 - 1.22)	(1.17 - 1.36)
Male	1	1
Female	1.17***	1.16***
	(1.07 - 1.27)	(1.11 - 1.22)
[c]FIPR >350%	1	1
≤130%	0.89*	0.90***
	(0.79 - 1.00)	(0.84 - 0.96)
131-350%	0.87*	0.93**
	(0.76 - 1.00)	(0.87 - 0.99)
Education[b]: College +	--	1
Less than high school	--	1.33***
		(1.21 - 1.46)
High school grad	--	1.24***

		(1.13 - 1.37)
Some college	--	1.29***
		(1.20 - 1.39)
HH reference education [a]: College +	1	--
Less than high school	1.20*	--
	(0.99 - 1.45)	
High school grad/some college	1.23**	--
	(1.05 - 1.44)	
Observations	10,254	17,829

95% CI in parentheses; *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1;

[a]for children; [b]for adults;

[c]FIPR: federal income to poverty ratio; household income for children;

Note: Data using day one 24-hour dietary recall