Silver diamine fluoride and oral-health related quality of life: A network meta-analysis

Ryan Richard Ruff[*,a], Rachel Whittemore[a], Martyna Grochecki[a], Jillian Bateson, Tamarinda Barry-Godin[a]

[a] *New York College of Dentistry, Department of Epidemiology & Health Promotion*

Abstract

Objectives: Silver diamine fluoride (SDF) is an effective non-surgical treatment for dental caries which may also impact oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL). The objective of this study was to conduct a network meta-analysis of SDF versus other standard of care therapies on OHRQoL. *Methods*: Studies comparing SDF to an acceptable comparator and included a quantitatively-evaluated oral health-related quality of life measure were reviewed for eligibility and analyzed using network meta-analysis. Studies were also assessed for risk of bias and quality of evidence. *Results*: Following screening, ten studies were evaluated for full-text eligibility and five were retained for meta-analyses. Studies included in quantitative synthesis were classified as a high degree of evidence, suggesting estimated effects are similar to true effects. Direct and indirect estimates from network meta-analysis indicated that OHRQoL in children was not significantly different when treated with SDF versus atraumatic restorations (d = 0.02, 95% CI = -0.32, 0.36) or placebo (d = 0.03, 95% CI = -0.16, 0.22). *Conclusions*: Evidence from the literature consistently shows no discernible impact on OHRQoL across various non-surgical treatments for dental caries. Overall oral health-related quality of life may increase regardless of treatment protocol due to treatment of the underlying disease. Concerns over the staining of dental decay and oral mucosa resulting from treatment with silver diamine fluoride do not seem to affect OHRQoL.

*Corresponding Author

Email addresses: ryan.ruff@nyu.edu (Ryan Richard Ruff), rw117@nyu.edu (Rachel Whittemore), mg6385@nyu.edu (Martyna Grochecki), je.bateson@yahoo.com (Jillian Bateson), t.barrygodin@nyu.edu (Tamarinda Barry-Godin)

1. Introduction

Silver diamine fluoride is a novel therapy for the non-surgical treatment and prevention of dental caries, primarily delivered as a 38% concentration solution consisting of 24-27% silver, 7.5-11% ammonia, and 5-6% fluoride (Crystal and Niederman, 2016). Systematic reviews demonstrate that SDF is highly effective at arresting dental caries (Schmoeckel et al., 2020; Trieu et al., 2019). The comparative simplicity and efficiency of applying SDF make it an attractive alternative to traditional nonrestorative treatments (Gooch et al., 2009; Griffin et al., 2016), commonly used in community settings to mitigate the substantial burden of disease in underserved populations (Contreras et al., 2017). When applied to dental decay, the oxidizing effects of silver diamine fluoride results in irreversible black stains and superficial staining of the oral mucosa, potentially leading to aesthetic problems and negative impacts on oral health-related quality of life (Crystal et al., 2017a; Kyoon-Achan et al., 2020).

Oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL) is a multidimensional construct consisting of subjective evaluations of oral health, functional well-being, emotional well-being, satisfaction with care, and sense of self (Ruff et al., 2017). Prior research suggests that dental caries negatively affects oral health-related quality of life (Chaffee et al., 2017). The focus on socio-psychological and cultural outcomes related to QoL, in addition to more traditional biological change, encourages greater consideration of orofacial appearance and overall aesthetics in the treatment of oral diseases. It is therefore possible that prototypical quality of life may increase due to a reduction of the burden of disease, yet simultaneously harm subjective perceptions of self (Sischo and Broder, 2011).

Research on the secondary effects of treating dental caries with silver diamine fluoride on quality of life yields mixed results, with SDF treatment demonstrating both improvements and no discernable effect on OHRQoL in children (Duangthip et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2020, 2019; Rodrigues et al., 2020; Sihra et al., 2020). Similarly, the difference in OHRQoL when comparing SDF to atraumatic restorative treatment has previously shown to be both significant and non-significant (Rodrigues et al., 2020; Vollu et al., 2019). These results are further complicated in that silver diamine fluoride is occasionally applied in different populations as a combinative treatment with other preventive therapies for caries, such as fluoride varnish or dental sealants (Ruff and Niederman, 2018a). The objective of this study was to assess the comparative effects of SDF on OHRQoL relative to other therapies using network meta-analysis.

2. Methods

2.1. Search and extraction

This study is reported using the PRISMA checklist for network meta-analyses (PRISMA-NMA) (Hutton et al., 2015). The MEDLINE/PubMed database was used with no restriction on language or date of publication. The last search was performed on 8 March, 2021. We included any randomized controlled trial, cohort, or case-control study that included silver diamine fluoride as either a

single or combinative treatment for dental caries and a quantitatively measured outcome for oral health-related quality of life. The complete search strategy was as follows: ((((silver diamine fluoride) OR sdf) OR diamine silver fluoride) OR silver ammonia fluoride) AND (((((((dental caries[MeSH Terms]) OR dental caries) OR caries) OR tooth decay) OR dental decay) OR carious lesion) OR dmf) AND ((((quality of life) OR qol) OR oral health related quality of life) OR ohrqol).

Potentially eligible studies were first independently screened by two reviewers (TBG and RW) who were previously trained for systematic reviews. Eligible studies were those that met study inclusion criteria: studies must have used silver diamine fluoride for the treatment of dental caries and must use a validated quantitative instrument for oral health-related quality of life. Any study that lacked a control group or comparator was excluded from quantitative synthesis but not from qualitative review. All comparative interventions were included, such as atraumatic restorations (ART), glass ionomer sealants, traditional amalgam restorations, fluoride varnish, or combinations of therapies (e.g., ART plus fluoride varnish). Studies that met inclusion criteria underwent a full-text review with the following data being extracted using a standardized form: publication details (authors, title, and year of publication), study methodology (design type, treatment, comparator), outcome measure (e.g., COHIP, ECOHIS) and assessor (parent or child), and sample information (sample size, treatment effect, and standard error/standard deviation).

2.2. Risk of bias assessment

Risk of bias of included studies was independently evaluated (RW and TBG) using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of non-randomized studies (Wells et al., 2021) and the revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2) (Higgins and Green, 2008; Sterne et al., 2019). Reviewers ranked each item included in NOS and RoB 2 forms as low risk of bias, high risk of bias, or unable to identify. Disagreements were resolved via a third reviewer (RRR).

2.3. Quality of evidence evaluation (GRADE)

The GRADE tool was used to asses quality of evidence of studies included in network meta-analyses (Higgins and Green, 2008). Each study was evaluated and assigned a quality score of very low (true effect probably markedly different from estimated effect), low (true effects might be different), moderate (true effects probably close to estimated effects), and high (a great deal of confidence that true effects are similar to estimated effects).

2.4. Data Synthesis

Overall pooled analysis of direct evidence was computed using a random effects meta-analysis, which also produced consistent treatment effects and standard errors for each study. Heterogeneity was determined using the Q and I^2 statistics. Individual treatments across all studies were then evaluated using

Table 1: Study characteristics

Author/Year	Country	Design	OHRQoL	Assessor	Ages	Treatment	Comparator	N	NMA?
Jiang et al, 2020a	China	RCT	C-ECOHIS	Parent	3-4 y	SDF	Placebo	253	Yes
Cernigliaro et al, 2019	USA	Cross-sectional	ECOHIS	Parent	0-14 y	SDF	N/A	48	No
Duangthip et al, 2018	China	Cohort	C-ECOHIS	Parent	4-5 y	SDF	N/A	226	No
Ruff et al, unpublished data	USA	RCT	COHIP-SF	Child	5-13 y	SDF+FV	ART+FV	246	Yes
Hiremath et al, 2020	India	Cross-sectional	COHIP-SF	Child	12-16 y	SDF	N/A	84	No
Jiang et al, 2019	China	RCT	C-ECOHIS	Child	3-4 y	SDF	Placebo	187	Yes
Rodrigues et al, 2020	Brazil	RCT	B-ECOHIS	Parent	2-5 y	SDF	ART	108	Yes
Shira et al, 2020	Canada	Cohort	ECOHIS	Parent	0-6 y	SDF+FV	N/A	40	No
Vollu et al, 2019	Brazil	RCT	B-ECOHIS	Parent	3.62 y	SDF	ART	26	Yes
Renugalakshmi et al, 2021	Saudi Arabia	Cohort	A-ECOHIS	Parent	2-6 y	SDF	N/A	51	No

a frequentist network meta-analysis. Mean differences and standard errors were included for each study. Network geometry was evaluated and it was determined that two subnetwork analyses were required due to node disconnection. Fixed effects network meta-analyses were then performed on each subnetwork and network graphs were computed. Node split analyses were not performed due to the small number of studies included in analysis. Tables for direct and indirect evidence as well as forest plots or subnetworks were computed. Analysis was performed using R v4.0.2.

3. Results

Eighteen articles were returned after searching and one additional unpublished manuscript was obtained by the authors. There were no duplicate records. Nine articles were excluded following initial screening yielding ten full-text records assessed for eligibility (Cernigliaro et al., 2019; Duangthip et al., 2019; Hiremath et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2020, 2019; Renugalakshmi et al., 2021; Rodrigues et al., 2020; Sihra et al., 2020; Vollu et al., 2019) (one unpublished). Five of these studies were excluded, all due to a lack of a control group or adequate comparator (e.g., single sample pre-post designs). Five studies were therefore included in quantitative synthesis (Figure 1). Major study characteristics of studies included in network meta-analyses (Table 1) show that all included articles were randomized controlled trials; all of the articles reviewed for full-text eligibility that were excluded were either cross-sectional or prospective cohort studies. Among the included studies, four assessed oral health-related quality of life using either the child or parent form of the Early Childhood Oral Health Impact Scale (ECOHIS) and one used the Child Oral Health Impact Profile (COHIP). Treatments consisted of SDF or SDF+FV, while comparators included placebo, ART, and ART+FV. The average sample size across all studies was 126.

3.1. Risk of bias

Bias assessment for randomized studies included in quantitative synthesis (Table 2)indicated that three of the four studies had some concerns of bias due to the likelihood that both participants and caregivers were aware of the assigned intervention (Jiang et al., 2020, 2019; Vollu et al., 2019). Specifically,



Figure 1: PRISMA Diagram

Table 2: Risk of bias assessment for randomized studies

Author/Year	Bias Domain					
	Randomization Process	Deviations from intended observations	Missing outcome data	Outcome measurement	Selection of reported result	Overall
Jiang et al, 2020a	(Y/Y/N); Low risk	(PY/PY/NI/Y); Some concerns	(Y); Low risk	(N/N/N); Low risk	(Y/N/N); Low risk	Some concerns
Jiang et al, 2019	(Y/Y/N); Low risk	(PY/PY/NI/Y); Some concerns	(Y); Low risk	(N/N/N); Low risk	(Y/N/N); Low risk	Some concerns
Rodrigues et al, 2020	(NI/NI/N); Some concerns	(Y/Y/NI/Y); Some concerns	(N); Low risk	(N/N/PY/PY/PY); High risk	(Y/N/N); Low risk	High risk
Vollu et al, 2019	(Y/Y/N); Low risk	(Y/Y/NI/Y); Some concerns	(Y); Low risk	(N/N/Y/N); Low risk	(Y/N/N); Low risk	Some concerns

the likelihood of participants experiencing the staining side effect characteristic of silver diamine fluoride, despite the presence or absence of patient and operator blinding, may have contributed to deviations from the intended intervention. However, none of the studies provided information to this effect. A single study had a high risk of bias due to concerns regarding allocation concealment and missing outcome data for approximately 9% of participants (Rodrigues et al., 2020). In contrast, non-randomized cohort and case-control studies not included in meta-analyses (Table 3) all included a clinical examination for exposure assessment, had relatively lengthy follow-up periods, and exhibited 100% retention of study participants. However, all studies used convenience sampling with no randomization and lacked an adequate comparator. Finally, two cross-sectional studies evaluated (Table 4) used appropriate statistical methods but similarly lacked an acceptable comparator, did not justify the sample size, and provided no information on the characteristics of non-responders.

3.2. Quality of evidence

GRADE evaluation of retained studies (Table 5) was determined to be of a high degree of certainty, and effects pooled by meta-analyses may be considered similar to the true effect of SDF on OHRQoL. All included studies in NMA were randomized controlled trials with sufficient sample sizes, with high precision and consistency in effects across studies. Point estimates were similar and all confidence intervals overlapped, with no evidence of heterogeneity in results. Studies were conducted in different populations using established methods for assessing oral health-related quality of life (e.g., ECOHIS and COHIP) and utilized standards of care protocols for treatment.

3.3. Meta-analysis

Meta-analysis results indicate that, irrespective of comparator, there was no significant difference in quality of life versus silver diamine fluoride. There was no heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 0.0\%$, $Q = 0.75$, $p = 0.95$, Figure 2). Pooled effects showed a difference in oral health-related quality of life that was not significantly different from zero (SMD = -0.06, 95% CI = -0.20, 0.08). Direct and indirect evidence from network meta-analyses show similarity in effects regardless of comparator used: there were no differences in OHRQoL between children treated with SDF versus placebo (MD = 0.03, 95% CI = -0.16,

Table 3: Risk of bias assessment for non-randomized studies

Author/Year	Selection				Comparability	Outcome		
	Representativeness of exposed	Selection of non-exposed	Exposure ascertainment	Outcome not present at study start	Cohort comparability	Assessment	Follow-up	Retention
Duangthip et al, 2018	C: no randomization, may not be generalizable	C : No comparator	A: Clinical exam & B: QOL	A: Yes	A: Caries arrest with SDF B: QOL	C: Self report	A: Yes, 6 months	A: 100% retention, only 4 excluded in data analysis
Shira et al, 2020	C: Convenience sampling, not representative	C: No Comparator	A: Clinical exam & B: QOL	A: Yes	A: Caries arrest with SDF B: QOL	B: Record Linkage & C: Self Report	A: Yes, 8 months total, 3 visits	A: 100% retention
Renugalakshmi et al, 2021	C: Convenience sampling, not representative	C: No Comparator	A: Clinical exam & B: QOL	A: Yes	A: Caries arrest with SDF B: QOL	A: Idenpendent assessment & C. Self Report	Potential limitation, 4 week f/u	A: 100% retention

0.22) and atraumatic restorative treatments (MD = 0.02, 95% CI = -0.32, 0.36); nor were there any differences between ART and placebo (MD = -0.01, 95% CI = -0.40, 0.38) or between SDF+FV versus ART+FV (MD = 0.16, 95% CI = -0.1, 0.42). Effects from network meta-analyses are shown via league tables ("League Table") and forest plots (Figures 3 & 4).

4. Discussion

The American Association of Pediatric Dentistry supports the use of silver diamine fluoride as part of a caries management plan and provides clinical practice guidelines for its use (Crystal et al., 2017b). Single-use applications in pediatric populations for caries arrest has demonstrated effectiveness ranging from 47-90 percent, and SDF-arrested lesions can either be later restored as part of traditional surgical caries treatment or perpetually reinforced with annual or bi-annual reapplications. The off-label use of SDF is particularly attractive for high-risk populations as an effective, efficient non-surgical therapy for untreated caries (Ruff and Niederman, 2018a, 2018b). Previous research on the acceptability of silver diamine fluoride suggests that the staining effect of arrested lesions in primary teeth is more tolerated by parents when applied to posterior teeth than in anterior teeth. Notably, concerns over teeth staining from SDF depended on the presence of extant behavioral issues of the child towards dental care or whether alternative treatments for unmet disease required more invasive measures such as general anesthesia (Seifo et al., 2020).

Facial aesthetics are a potential significant influence on perceptions of self, such as in children with orofacial anomalies (Ruff et al., 2016) and in adolescents seeking orthodontic treatment (Phillips and Beal, 2009). In particular, self-perceptions of appearance and positive feelings of dentofacial regions were related to self-concept (Phillips and Beal, 2009). Personal beliefs of self-concept may be related to health-related quality of life, and suitable QoL measures are those that include ideographic and subjective approaches to self-concept, such as values, feelings, experiences, and attitudes towards self in the contest of relationships and the world in general (Zlatanović, 2000). This connection emphasizes the need to explore any unintended consequences of the use of treatments for oral disease that might negatively impact facial appearance and sense of self.

Table 4: Risk of bias assessment for cross-sectional studies

	Selection				Comparability	Outcome	
Author/Year	Representativeness of exposed	Sample Size	Non-Respondents	Risk Factor	Comparability	Assessment	Statistical Test
Cernigliaro et al, 2019	C: Selected group of users	B: Not justified	C: No description or non-response rate	B: Measurement tool is described	n/a	C: Self report	A: Appropriate
Hiremath et al, 2020	C: Selected group of users	B: Not justified	C: No description or non-response rate	B: Measurement tool is described	n/a	C: Self report	A: Appropriate

Our findings indicate that while there is no comparative difference in OHRQoL among children receiving silver diamine fluoride versus other standard of care treatments such as atraumatic restorations, excluded studies suggest that there may be general improvement in OHRQoL over time due to treating underlying disease. Studies that only assessed within-subject change in oral health-related quality of life prior to and after treatment with silver diamine fluoride for dental caries were not quantitatively evaluated in this study due to a lack of an adequate comparator. Of these excluded studies, two found that OHRQoL/caregiver satisfaction improved (Cernigliaro et al., 2019; Renugalakshmi et al., 2021), two showed no appreciable change (Duangthip et al., 2019; Sihra et al., 2020), and one showed a negative effect on OHRQoL (Hiremath et al., 2020), though this latter study used inappropriate statistical analysis for a single-sample repeated measures design. Longitudinal research on the change in ORHQoL both within SDF treatment and compared to other treatments would support a greater understanding of the long-term impact on quality of life.

The small number of clinical trials of silver diamine fluoride that include measures for subjective quality of life prohibit analyses by severity of disease. We were therefore unable to explore whether the baseline severity of untreated caries treated by SDF had any impact on OHRQoL. Some studies have shown that oral health-related quality of life was negatively impacted by the general increase in severity (Corrêa-Faria et al., 2018; Fernandes et al., 2017), therefore it may be that the negligible impact of SDF on OHRQoL relative to other interventions is relevant only at certain levels of disease burden. Similarly, included studies did not stratify by whether SDF was applied on posterior versus anterior teeth. Indeed, some pragmatic studies of SDF did not include anterior teeth application in their clinical protocols (Ruff and Niederman, 2018a, 2018b).

```
League table (fixed effects model):

                 ART                   . 0.02 (-0.32; 0.36)
 -0.01 (-0.40; 0.38)            Placebo 0.03 (-0.16; 0.22)
  0.02 (-0.32; 0.36) 0.03 (-0.16; 0.22)                SDF
```

The diversity of available non-surgical therapies for dental caries (e.g., atraumatic restorative treatments, fluoride varnish or gels, glass ionomer sealants, or combinations of these interventions) means that numerous studies are necessary for a fully connected network. The disconnected networks presented in this analysis due to inadequate support therefore limits estimates of effects,

Table 5: GRADE evaluation of quality of evidence

Domain	Confidence	Reason
OHRQoL	High	Low risk of bias; consistency of effects; good precision



Figure 2: Random effects meta-analysis

specifically the disconnection for packaged treatments of SDF plus FV versus ITRs plus sealants. While we considered incorporating nonrandomized studies in analysis to expand upon the network, the lack of a comparator in these studies jeopardized the plausibility of the transitivity assumption (Rouse et al., 2016). Further studies that can improve the connectivity of the network by providing missing links between treatments is recommended.

Despite these limitations, our study suggests that overall oral health-related quality of life is not appreciably affected by silver diamine fluoride treatment for dental caries when compared to other standard of care interventions and results are strengthened by the similarity of effects across alternative treatments. The impact of the baseline severity of disease treated by SDF or the role of anterior versus posterior treatment on OHRQoL is still unknown.



Figure 3: Subnetwork 1 forest plot



Figure 4: Subnetwork 2 forest plot

References

Cernigliaro, D., Kumar, A., Northridge, M.E., Wu, Y., Troxel, A.B., Cunha-Cruz, J., Balzer, J., Okuji, D.M., 2019. Caregiver satisfaction with interim silver diamine fluoride applications for their children with caries prior to operating room treatment or sedation. J Public Health Dent 79, 286–291. doi:10.1111/jphd.12338

Chaffee, B.W., Rodrigues, P.H., Kramer, P.F., Vitolo, M.R., Feldens, C.A., 2017. Oral health-related quality-of-life scores differ by socioeconomic status and caries experience. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 45, 216–224. doi:10.1111/cdoe.12279

Contreras, V., Toro, M.J., Elías-Boneta, A.R., Encarnación-Burgos, A., 2017. Effectiveness of silver diamine fluoride in caries prevention and arrest: A systematic literature review. Gen Dent 65, 22–29.

Corrêa-Faria, P., Daher, A., Freire, M., Abreu, M. de, Bönecker, M., Costa, L.R., 2018. Impact of untreated dental caries severity on the quality of life of preschool children and their families: A cross-sectional study. Qual Life Res 27, 3191–3198. doi:10.1007/s11136-018-1966-5

Crystal, Y.O., Janal, M.N., Hamilton, D.S., Niederman, R., 2017a. Parental perceptions and acceptance of silver diamine fluoride staining. J Am Dent Assoc 148, 510–518 e4. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2017.03.013

Crystal, Y.O., Marghalani, A.A., Ureles, S.D., Wright, J.T., Sulyanto, R., Divaris, K., Fontana, M., Graham, L., 2017b. Use of silver diamine fluoride for dental caries management in children and adolescents, including those with special health care needs. Pediatr Dent 39, 135–145.

Crystal, Y.O., Niederman, R., 2016. Silver diamine fluoride treatment considerations in children's caries management. Pediatric dentistry 38.

Duangthip, D., Gao, S.S., Chen, K.J., Lo, E.C.M., Chu, C.H., 2019. Oral health-related quality of life of preschool children receiving silver diamine fluoride therapy: A prospective 6-month study. J Dent 81, 27–32.

Fernandes, I.B., Pereira, T.S., Souza, D.S., Ramos-Jorge, J., Marques, L.S., Ramos-Jorge, M.L., 2017. Severity of dental caries and quality of life for toddlers and their families. Pediatr Dent 39, 118–123.

Gooch, B.F., Griffin, S.O., Gray, S.K., Kohn, W.G., Rozier, R.G., Siegal, M., Fontana, M., Brunson, D., Carter, N., Curtis, D.K., Donly, K.J., Haering, H., Hill, L.F., Hinson, H.P., Kumar, J., Lampiris, L., Mallatt, M., Meyer, D.M., Miller, W.R., Sanzi-Schaedel, S.M., Simonsen, R., Truman, B.I., Zero, D.T., Centers for Disease, C., Prevention, 2009. Preventing dental caries through school-based sealant programs: Updated recommendations and reviews of evidence. J Am Dent Assoc 140, 1356–65.

Griffin, S., Naavaal, S., Scherrer, C., Griffin, P.M., Harris, K., Chattopadhyay, S., 2016. School-based dental sealant programs prevent cavities and are cost-effective. Health Aff (Millwood) 35, 2233–2240. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2016.0839

Higgins, J.P.T., Green, S., 2008. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. doi:10.1002/9780470712184

Hiremath, A.M., Anbu, V., Kuduruthullah, S., Khalil, E., Elsahn, N.A., Samuel, S.R., 2020. Acceptability of silver diamine fluoride as interim measure towards untreated dental caries and its impact on ohrqol among children with HIV: Pilot study. Indian J Dent Res 31, 502–506. doi:10.4103/ijdr.IJDR_58_20

Hutton, B., Salanti, G., Caldwell, D.M., Chaimani, A., Schmid, C.H., Cameron, C., Ioannidis, J.P., Straus, S., Thorlund, K., Jansen, J.P., Mulrow, C., Catala-Lopez, F., Gotzsche, P.C., Dickersin, K., Boutron, I., Altman, D.G., Moher, D., 2015. The PRISMA extension statement for reporting of systematic reviews incorporating network meta-analyses of health care interventions: Checklist and explanations. Ann Intern Med 162, 777–84. doi:10.7326/M14-2385

Jiang, M., Wong, M.C.M., Chu, C.H., Dai, L., Lo, E.C.M., 2019. Effects of restoring SDF-treated and untreated dentine caries lesions on parental satisfaction and oral health related quality of life of preschool children. J Dent 88, 103171. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2019.07.009

Jiang, M., Xie, Q.Y., Wong, M.C.M., Chu, C.H., Lo, E.C.M., 2020. Association between dental conditions, sliver diamine fluoride application, parental satisfaction, and oral health-related quality of life of preschool children. Clin Oral Investig. doi:10.1007/s00784-020-03542-8

Kyoon-Achan, G., Schroth, R.J., Martin, H., Bertone, M., Mittermuller, B.A., Sihra, R., Klus, B., Singh, S., Moffatt, M.E.K., 2020. Parents' views on silver diamine fluoride to manage early childhood caries. JDR Clin Trans Res 2380084420930690. doi:10.1177/2380084420930690

Phillips, C., Beal, K.N., 2009. Self-concept and the perception of facial appearance in children and adolescents seeking orthodontic treatment. Angle Orthod 79, 12–6. doi:10.2319/071307-328.1

Renugalakshmi, A., Vinothkumar, T.S., Hakami, F.B., Salem, R.M., Qadri, A.A., Harbosh, Z.M., Hakami, Z., 2021. Impact of silver diamine fluoride therapy on oral health-related quality of life of uncooperative preschool children: A prospective study. Oral Health Prev Dent 19, 93–99. doi:10.3290/j.ohpd.b927709

Rodrigues, G.F., Costa, T.D.C., Massa, G.D.S., Vollu, A.L., Barja-Fidalgo, F., Fonseca-Goncalves, A., 2020. Oral health-related quality of life in preschool children after silver diamine fluoride versus atraumatic restorative treatments. Pediatr Dent 42, 373–379.

Rouse, B., Chaimani, A., Li, T., 2016. Network meta-analysis: An introduction for clinicians. Intern Emerg Med 12.

Ruff, R.R., Niederman, R., 2018a. Silver diamine fluoride versus therapeutic sealants for the arrest and prevention of dental caries in low-income minority children: Study protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial. Trials 19, 523. doi:10.1186/s13063-018-2891-1

Ruff, R.R., Niederman, R., 2018b. Comparative effectiveness of treatments to prevent dental caries given to rural children in school-based settings: Protocol for a cluster randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open 8, e022646. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022646

Ruff, R.R., Sischo, L., Broder, H., 2016. Resiliency and socioemotional functioning in youth receiving surgery for orofacial anomalies. Community Dent Oral

Epidemiol 44, 371–80. doi:10.1111/cdoe.12222

Ruff, R.R., Sischo, L., Chinn, C.H., Broder, H.L., 2017. Development and validation of the child oral health impact profile - preschool version. Community Dent Health 34, 176–182. doi:10.1922/CDH_4110Ruff07

Schmoeckel, J., Gorseta, K., Splieth, C.H., Juric, H., 2020. How to intervene in the caries process: Early childhood caries - a systematic review. Caries Res 54, 102–112. doi:10.1159/000504335

Seifo, N., Robertson, M., MacLean, J., Blain, K., Grosse, S., Milne, R., Seeballuck, C., Innes, N., 2020. The use of silver diamine fluoride (SDF) in dental practice. Br Dent J 228, 75–81. doi:10.1038/s41415-020-1203-9

Sihra, R., Schroth, R.J., Bertone, M., Martin, H., Patterson, B., Mittermuller, B.A., Lee, V., Patterson, B., Moffatt, M.E., Klus, B., Fontana, M., Robertson, L., 2020. The effectiveness of silver diamine fluoride and fluoride varnish in arresting caries in young children and associated oral health-related quality of life. J Can Dent Assoc 86, k9.

Sischo, L., Broder, H.L., 2011. Oral health-related quality of life: What, why, how, and future implications. J Dent Res 90, 1264–70. doi:10.1177/0022034511399918

Sterne, J.A.C., Savovic, J., Page, M.J., Elbers, R.G., Blencowe, N.S., Boutron, I., Cates, C.J., Cheng, H.Y., Corbett, M.S., Eldridge, S.M., Emberson, J.R., Hernan, M.A., Hopewell, S., Hrobjartsson, A., Junqueira, D.R., Juni, P., Kirkham, J.J., Lasserson, T., Li, T., McAleenan, A., Reeves, B.C., Shepperd, S., Shrier, I., Stewart, L.A., Tilling, K., White, I.R., Whiting, P.F., Higgins, J.P.T., 2019. RoB 2: A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 366, l4898. doi:10.1136/bmj.l4898

Trieu, A., Mohamed, A., Lynch, E., 2019. Silver diamine fluoride versus sodium fluoride for arresting dentine caries in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep 9, 2115. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-38569-9

Vollu, A.L., Rodrigues, G.F., Rougemount Teixeira, R.V., Cruz, L.R., Dos Santos Massa, G., Lima Moreira, J.P. de, Luiz, R.R., Barja-Fidalgo, F., Fonseca-Goncalves, A., 2019. Efficacy of 30 percent silver diamine fluoride compared to atraumatic restorative treatment on dentine caries arrestment in primary molars of preschool children: A 12-months parallel randomized controlled clinical trial. J Dent 88, 103165. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2019.07.003

Wells, G.A., Shea, B., O'Connell, D., Peterson, J., Welch, V., Losos, M., Tugwell, P., 2021. The newcastle-ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses.

Zlatanović, L., 2000. The role of the person's self - concept in quality of life research. FACTA UNIVERSITATIS 2.