

1 **Health risk behaviours among people with severe mental ill health during the**
2 **COVID-19 pandemic: analysis of linked cohort data**

3

4 **Authors**

5 Emily Peckham^{1*}, Victoria Allgar², Suzanne Crosland¹, Paul Heron¹, Gordon Johnston³,

6 Elizabeth Newbronner¹, Panagiotis Spanakis¹, Ruth Wadman¹, Lauren Walker¹ and Simon

7 Gilbody^{1 2}

8

9 1. Mental Health and Addiction Research Group, University of York, Heslington, YO10

10 5DD e-mail: emily.peckham@york.ac.uk

11 2. Hull York Medical School, Heslington, YO10 5DD

12 3. Independent peer researcher

13

14 • Corresponding author

15

16

17

18 **Abstract**

19

20 Background

21

22 People with severe mental ill health (SMI) experience a mortality gap of 15-20 years. COVID-
23 19 has amplified population health inequalities, and there is concern that people with SMI
24 will be disproportionately affected. Understanding how health risk behaviours have changed
25 during the pandemic is important when developing strategies to mitigate future increases in
26 health inequalities.

27

28 Methods

29

30 We sampled from an existing cohort of people with SMI. Researchers contacted participants
31 by phone or post to invite them to take part in a survey about how the pandemic had
32 affected them. We asked people about their health risk behaviours and how these had
33 changed during the pandemic. We created an index of changed behaviours, comprising
34 dietary factors, smoking, lack of exercise, and drinking patterns. By creating data linkages,
35 we compared their responses during pandemic restrictions to responses they gave prior to
36 the pandemic.

37

38 Outcomes

39

40 367 people provided health risk data. 47.5% of participants reported taking less physical
41 activity during the pandemic and of those who smoke 54.5% reported smoking more heavily.
42 Self-reported deterioration in physical health and younger age were significantly associated

43 with an increase in health risk behaviours (adjusted OR for physical health 1.59, 95%CI 1.22-
44 2.07; adjusted OR for Age 0.99, 95%CI 0.98-1.00).

45

46 Interpretation

47

48 COVID-19 is likely to amplify health inequalities for people with SMI. Health services should
49 target health risk behaviours for people with SMI to mitigate the immediate and long lasting
50 impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.

51

52 Funding

53

54 Medical Research council, Yorkshire and Humber Applied Research Collaboration, Wellcome
55 and UKRI.

56

57

58 **Introduction**

59

60 The COVID-19 pandemic is likely to impact on public health in ways that extend beyond the
61 number of deaths attributable to coronavirus (1, 2). One possible impact on public health is
62 a change in health related behaviours, which may be affected by the social measures
63 introduced to limit the spread of the virus (pandemic restrictions) such as minimizing social
64 contacts and staying at home. The pandemic restrictions, coupled with daily news coverage
65 of the number of COVID-19 related deaths, are likely to impact on people in a variety of
66 ways. For example, the perceived risks of contracting COVID-19 may provide a ‘teachable
67 moment’ that acts as a prompt to encourage people to make changes to their behaviour;
68 such as cutting down on drinking alcohol and smoking or increasing physical activity (3). On
69 the other hand, concerns about COVID-19 may lead people to avoid undertaking physical
70 activity outdoors and lead more sedentary lives. Additionally concerns around contracting
71 the virus, increased social isolation or the stress as a result of the pandemic restrictions may
72 lead some people to drink and smoke more and find it more difficult to make healthy food
73 choices (4). Furthermore, access to healthy food may have become more difficult due to
74 fears about supermarkets, difficulty in assessing online food shopping and closure of cafes
75 and community projects where people may have accessed healthy meals. In addition, the
76 closure of gyms and swimming pools may have impacted on physical activity levels (5).

77

78 Understanding the impacts of the pandemic restrictions on health-related behaviours is
79 important for assessing the wider public health consequences of the pandemic. In turn this
80 is also helpful in informing how these impacts can be mitigated. Addressing health risk
81 behaviours remains a public health priority (6, 7). Smoking, excessive alcohol consumption,
82 physical inactivity and obesity (8-10) are some of the leading causes of disease and
83 premature death worldwide. The effects of the pandemic on health related behaviours in

84 the general population have been mixed. It has been reported that in the early stages of the
85 pandemic 300,000 quit (smoking) for Covid (11), yet the percentage of people who smoke in
86 the general population remains very similar to the figure before the pandemic (12). A similar
87 picture has been reported for alcohol consumption, with high risk drinkers being more likely
88 to report trying to reduce their alcohol consumption at the start of the pandemic. However,
89 the prevalence of high risk drinking has increased (12). A study looking at behaviours
90 around weight and physical activity has shown comparable results, with some people
91 reporting having undertaken more physical activity and others reporting taking less (4).
92 Importantly, studies have generally demonstrated that the pandemic restrictions may have a
93 disproportionately large influence on behaviours which cause weight gain among adults with
94 a pre-existing higher BMI (4), and among people from lower socio-economic groups and
95 with pre-existing conditions (13).

96

97 It is possible that the pandemic and its associated restrictions will amplify already existing
98 health inequalities; people with severe mental ill health (SMI) are one such group that may
99 be adversely affected. People with SMI currently experience a mortality gap of 15-20 years
100 when compared to people without SMI (14, 15). One of the major drivers of this health gap
101 is preventable physical health conditions linked to behavioural risk factors such as smoking,
102 low physical activity and nutritionally-poor diet. Although studies have explored the impacts
103 of the pandemic and pandemic restrictions on the general population (4, 12, 13, 16) there
104 have, to the best of our knowledge, been no studies that have explored the health related
105 behaviours among people with SMI.

106

107 People with SMI are an especially vulnerable group who already experience significant
108 health inequalities. Understanding the specific difficulties encountered by people with SMI
109 becomes critical in identifying how to best to mitigate the risks of them experiencing further

110 deprivation and disadvantage during the current pandemic and beyond. People with SMI
111 are also under-researched with respect to health and health related behaviours, and we
112 were able examine this issue with respect to the COVID pandemic using a large clinical
113 cohort which was recruited in the years immediately prior to the pandemic restrictions(17).

114

115 Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine self-reported changes in a range of health
116 related behaviors before vs during the pandemic in a transdiagnostic sample of people with
117 SMI.

118

119 **Design**

120 The Closing the Gap (CtG) study is a large (n=9, 914) transdiagnostic clinical cohort recruited
121 between April 2016 and March 2020. Participants have documented diagnoses of
122 schizophrenia or delusional/psychotic illness (ICD 10 F20.X & F22.X or DSM equivalent) or
123 bipolar disorder (ICD F31.X or DSM-equivalent). The composition of the CtG cohort has
124 previously been described (17), and the data at inception included descriptions of self-
125 reported alcohol consumption, consumption of fruit and vegetables, participation in physical
126 activity, smoking behavior and e-cigarette use.

127

128 We were funded to explore the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in a sub-section of the
129 CtG clinical cohort and we identified participants for Optimising Well-being in Self-Isolation
130 study (OWLS) (<https://sites.google.com/york.ac.uk/owls-study/home>). To ensure that the
131 OWLS COVID-19 sub-cohort was captured a range of demographics we created a sampling
132 framework based on gender, age, ethnicity and whether they were recruited via primary or
133 secondary care. OWLS participants were recruited from 17 mental health trusts (across
134 urban and rural settings in England).

135

136 **Recruitment and participants**

137 Ethical approval was granted by the Health Research Authority North West – Liverpool
138 Central Research Ethics Committee (REC reference 20/NW/0276). To be eligible to take part
139 in OWLS COVID-19 study, people had to be aged 18 or over, to have taken part in CtG study
140 and have consented to be contacted again to be invited to further research. This enabled us
141 to create longitudinal data linkage and to rapidly identify participants during the COVID-19
142 pandemic.

143

144 **Procedure**

145 People who met the eligibility criteria were contacted by telephone or letter and invited to
146 take part in the OWLS COVID-19 study. Those who agreed to take part were provided with a
147 range of options; i. to carry out the survey over the phone with a researcher, ii. to be sent a
148 link to complete the survey online or iii. to be sent a hard copy of the questionnaire in the
149 post to complete and return.

150

151 **Changes in health related behaviours**

152

153 Participants were asked about five health related behaviours; (1) alcohol consumption, (2)
154 levels of physical activity, (3) fruit and vegetable consumption, (4) vaping and e-cigarette
155 use, and (5) smoking. For each health related behaviour participants were asked about how
156 often they engaged in that behaviour compared to before the pandemic and could chose
157 from the following options; I don't do that in general, more than before, about the same or
158 less than before. For physical activity and fruit and vegetable consumption a response of
159 'less than before' was considered to be a negative change in behaviour and for drinking,
160 smoking a response of more than before was considered to be a negative change in
161 behaviour. A cumulative index of harmful health related behaviours were calculated from 0

162 to 4, (18) we did not include vaping in this metric as vaping could be seen as a positive
163 change if it led to a harm reduction or abstinence from more harmful tobacco use (19).

164

165 **Self-reported changes in health during pandemic restrictions**

166

167 For both physical health and mental health study participants were asked ‘compared to your
168 life before the pandemic restrictions, how would you rate your health in general, ‘ with the
169 following response options; ‘better than before’, ‘about the same’, ‘worse than before’, ‘not
170 sure/ don’t know’. After excluding the participants who reported ‘not sure/ don’t know’, a
171 binary variable was derived coded as ‘decline in health’ (including those reporting worse
172 than before) and ‘no decline’ (including those reporting better than before or about the
173 same).

174

175 **Global health and wellbeing**

176

177 To align with general population estimates of health related behaviour, study participants
178 were asked four questions taken from the ONS Health and Lifestyle Survey (HLS) (20) about
179 how they had been feeling with a response option scored on a Likert scale of 0-10. The
180 questions asked were; (1) overall how satisfied are you with your life, (2) overall to what
181 extent do you feel that the things you do in your life are worthwhile, (3) overall how happy
182 did you feel yesterday and overall how anxious did you feel yesterday. For the first three
183 questions a score of 0 indicated not at all and a score of 10 completely and for the final
184 question a score of 0 indicated not at all anxious and 10 indicated completely anxious. After
185 reversing the scores for the anxiety question the scores for the four questions were totaled
186 to give a total wellbeing score (0-40), with higher scores indicating greater wellbeing.

187

188 **Ethnicity**

189

190 Ethnicity was used to define a binary minority variable (BAME (Black, Asian and minority
191 ethnic) and non-BAME).

192

193 **Indices of multiple deprivation**

194

195 We used postcodes already collected at the point of inception to the CtG study to determine
196 levels of deprivation using the level of deprivation assigned to postcodes by the Ministry of
197 Housing, Communities and Local Government ([https://imd-by-
198 postcode.opendatacommunities.org/imd/2019](https://imd-by-postcode.opendatacommunities.org/imd/2019)). Scores are given between 1 and 10 and
199 then reduced these scores to give five possible outcomes; very high deprivation (1 and 2),
200 high deprivation (3 and 4), moderate deprivation (5 and 6), low deprivation (7 and 8) and
201 very low deprivation (9 and 10).

202

203 **Statistical analysis**

204

205 The study analysis plan was registered on Open Science Framework (available at
206 <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/E3KDM> - section 2.1). Analyses were undertaken using
207 SPSS v.26. Descriptive statistics were used to describe sociodemographic characteristics,
208 wellbeing, changes in physical and mental health, health related behaviours, and whether
209 the person was currently receiving support form mental health services. We planned to test
210 (using multiple regression) whether the cumulative index of harmful behaviours was
211 associated with wellbeing and deterioration in physical or mental health after adjusting for
212 age, gender, minority status, socio-economic deprivation and being seen in secondary care.
213 However, as the outcome variable was a count variable (0-4) with the majority of

214 participants (40.3%) scoring 0 we used a poisson regression instead. Predictors were
215 examined individually in univariate models (unadjusted models) before entered into the
216 multivariate model (adjusted model).

217

218 **Results**

219

220 Between July and December 2020 367 people from the CtG study were recruited to the
221 OWLS COVID-19 study. Table One describes the socio-demographic characteristics of OWLS
222 participants. The mean age was 50.5 (range = 20 to 86, SD \pm 15.69) with 51.0% male and
223 77.4% white British.

224

225 Table 1: Demographic characteristics of OWLS COVID-19 study SMI population during

226 pandemic restrictions

Characteristic	N(%) total n= 367
Self- reported gender	
Male	187 (51.0)
Female	174 (47.4)
Transgender	6 (1.6)
Age (mean, range)	50.5 (20-86)
Ethnicity	
White British	284 (77.4)
Other white	18 (4.9)
Mixed white/ black	5 (1.4)
Mixed white/ Asian	5 (1.4)
Other mixed	4 (1.1)

Asian	24 (6.5)
Black	15 (4.1)
Other non-white	12 (3.3)
Index of deprivation	
Very high deprivation	97(26.4)
High deprivation	81 (22.1)
Moderate deprivation	67 (18.3)
Low deprivation	55 (15.0)
Very low deprivation	52 (14.2)
Being seen in secondary care	
Yes	224 (61.0)
No	139 (37.9)
Wellbeing score (mean, SD)	23.1 (8.74)

227

228 Table 2 shows the self-reported health related behaviours measured in the CTG study prior
 229 to the pandemic. It also shows the changes in health related behaviours and mental and
 230 physical health measured in the OWLS COVID-19 study since the beginning of the pandemic.
 231 In terms of negative behaviour changes, 29.1% of those who drink reported drinking more
 232 than before the pandemic, 54.5% of those who smoke reported smoking more, 47.5% who
 233 take part in physical activity reported doing less physical activity and 21.2% of those who eat
 234 fruit and vegetables reported eating less fruits and vegetables.

235

236 Table 2: self-reported health and health risk behaviours for the OWLS COVID-19 study
 237 participants

	N (%) total n=367
Drinking and alcohol use	
Pre-COVID-19	
Doesn't drink alcohol	147 (40.1)
Drinks more than 14 units per week	28 (7.6)
During COVID-19	
I don't do that in general	197 (53.7)
Those who report drinking alcohol	165 (45.0)
More than usual	48 (29.1)
About the same	72 (43.6)
Less than usual	45 (27.3)
Smoking and tobacco use	
Pre-COVID-19	
People who smoke	114 (31.1)
People who used to smoke	110 (30.0)
People who have never smoked	140 (38.1)
During COVID-19	
I don't do that in general	259 (70.6)
Those who report smoking	99 (27.0)
More than usual	54 (54.5)
About the same	33 (33.3)
Less than usual	12 (12.1)
Vaping and e-cigarette use	
I don't do that in general	309 (84.2)
Those who report vaping	47 (12.8)

More than usual	21 (44.7)
About the same	20 (42.6)
Less than usual	6 (12.8)
Taking part in physical activity	
Pre-COVID-19	
Never take part in physical activity	74 (20.2)
Takes part in physical activity	288 (78.5)
Met government guidelines	156 (42.5)
During COVID-19	
I don't do that in general	67 (18.3)
Those who report taking part in physical activity	295 (80.4)
More than usual	61 (20.7)
About the same	94 (31.9)
Less than usual	140 (47.5)
Eating 5 portions of fruit and veg per day	
Pre-COVID-19	
Don't eat fruit and veg	63 (17.2)
Eats fruit and veg	296 (80.7)
Met government guidelines	16 (4.4)
During COVID-19	
I don't do that in general	77 (21.0)
Those who report eating fruit and veg	274 (77.4)
More than usual	38 (13.4)
About the same	188 (66.2)

Less than usual	58 (20.4)
Self reported global mental health	
No deterioration	210 (57.2)
Deterioration	148 (40.3)
Self-reported global physical health	
No deterioration	236 (64.3)
Deterioration	118 (32.2)

238

239 Table 3 shows the cumulative index of harmful health related behaviour changes reported
 240 by participants. 40.3% (148/367) reported no negative behaviour changes, while out of the
 241 56.4% (207/367) who did, 62.8% reported 1 negative behavior change.

242

243 Table 3: Cumulative index of self-reported behaviours harmful to health for the OWLS

244 COVID-19 study participants

	n (%) total n=367
No reported changes in behaviours known to be harmful to health	148 (40.3)
One or more changes in behaviours known to be harmful to health	207 (56.4)
1	130 (62.8)
2	67 (32.4)
3	9 (4.3)
4	1 (0.5)

245

246 We found that self-reported deterioration in physical health and younger age were
 247 significantly associated with an increase in behaviours known to be harmful to health (Table

248 4), (adjusted OR for physical health 1.59, 95%CI 1.22-2.07; adjusted OR for Age 0.99, 95%CI
 249 0.98-0.999). In the univariate models, deterioration in mental health and lower wellbeing
 250 scores were significantly associated with an increase in behaviours known to be harmful to
 251 health. However, these factors were not significantly associated in the adjusted model.
 252
 253 Table 4: Associations between socio-demographic factors behaviours known to be harmful
 254 to health

	Unadjusted model		Adjusted model	
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P
Age	0.992 (0.98- 0.999)	0.03	0.991 (0.98- 0.999)	0.03
Wellbeing score	0.98 (0.97- 0.999)	0.03	0.997 (0.98- 1.01)	0.72
Self reported gender				
Male	1		1	
Female	0.83 (0.31- 2.25)	0.72	1.01 (0.79- 1.31)	0.894
Transgender	1.09 (0.87- 1.37)	0.46	0.67 (0.25- 1.85)	0.443
Self-reported ethnicity				
Non BAME	1.10 (0.80- 1.51)	0.55	1.06 (0.75- 1.50)	0.74
BAME	1		1	

Level of deprivation				
Very low deprivation	1.03 (0.72- 1.46)	0.875	1.13 (0.78- 1.63)	0.51
Low deprivation	0.81 (0.56- 1.18)	0.27	0.84 (0.57- 1.24)	0.38
Moderate deprivation	0.74 (0.52- 1.06)	0.10	0.79 (0.54- 1.15)	0.22
High deprivation	0.85 (0.61- 1.18)	0.34	0.91 (0.65- 1.28)	0.59
Very high deprivation	1		1	
Ongoing contact with secondary care				
Yes	1.04 (0.82- 1.32)	0.742	1.04 (0.80- 1.34)	0.78
No	1		1	
Self-reported decline in physical health				
Decline	1.74 (1.38- 2.19)	0.00	1.59 (1.22- 2.07)	0.00
No decline	1		1	
Decline in mental health				
Decline	0.67 (0.57- 0.79)	0.00	1.28 (0.96- 1.69)	0.09
No decline	1		1	

256 **Discussion**

257

258 In the transdiagnostic study sample of adults with severe mental ill health (N=367) we
259 explored behaviours known to be harmful to health and how these may have changed
260 during the COVID-19 pandemic. To the best of our knowledge this is the first study to
261 explore health related behaviours in this population. Prior to the pandemic 20.2% of
262 participants reported that they did not take part in physical activity, this is compared to 18%
263 who reported that they did not take part in physical activity during the pandemic. Of those
264 that were physically active during the pandemic 47.5% report that they are doing less than
265 they did prior to the pandemic. This compares to 36.2% of adults in the general population
266 who reported taking part in less physical activity during the pandemic (13). This is a cause for
267 concern in a population with already low baseline levels of physical activity and high levels of
268 sedentary behaviour (21). Furthermore, prior to the pandemic only 4.4% of CtG study
269 participants were meeting government guidelines of five portions of fruit and vegetables per
270 day. During the pandemic 20.4% of people reported eating fewer than before the pandemic.
271 This is a slight improvement over a general population sample (4) that reported 35% of
272 respondents saying they were eating a healthy balanced diet less than before the pandemic.
273 However, it should be borne in mind the low baseline that people with SMI are starting from
274 in terms of fruit and vegetable consumption.

275

276 In terms of smoking, the number of people who reported being smokers during the
277 pandemic was nearly double that of the general population. Twenty seven percent in our
278 sample compared to 14.8% in a general population representative sample (16). However
279 this number has decreased slightly from when participants in the OWLS COVID-19 study
280 were recruited to the CtG cohort (between 2016 and 2020), when the percentage that
281 smoked was 31.4%. Of concern is the fact that of those who do smoke 54.5% were smoking

282 more during the pandemic. More encouragingly, we found that drinking alcohol is of
283 relatively low prevalence amongst our study sample, prior to the pandemic 40.1% of people
284 reported not drinking alcohol compared to 53.7% who reported not drinking alcohol during
285 the pandemic. However, of those that do drink 29.1% were drinking more during the
286 pandemic. These results however should be interpreted with caution as some people in this
287 study are taking medication that contradicts the consumption of alcohol and therefore it
288 may be that some people who drink alcohol did not want to report that they consumed
289 alcohol.

290

291 Over half of the OWLS COVID-19 study sample reported greater levels of behaviours known
292 to be harmful to health during the pandemic restrictions. In particular, they reported
293 smoking more, drinking more, eating less fruit and vegetables or taking part in less physical
294 activity. The majority of people had only one increase in behaviour harmful to health,
295 although just under a third had an increase in two behaviours known to be harmful to
296 health. When we explored associations with behaviours known to be harmful to health we
297 found that a reporting a deterioration in physical health was associated with increased
298 health risk behaviour. However, reporting a deterioration in mental health was not found to
299 be associated with behaviours known to be harmful to health. Socio-demographic factors
300 (BAME, IMDD, gender) were also not found to be associated with behaviours known to be
301 harmful to health. We do not know whether an increase in health risk behaviours led to a
302 deterioration in physical health or whether a deterioration in physical health led to people
303 being less able to take care of themselves, and this relationship is likely to be bidirectional.
304 The relationship between physical health and health related behaviours highlights the
305 importance of developing and maintaining strategies to improve the physical health of
306 people with SMI. In addition, younger age was found to be another predictor of new
307 behaviours known to be harmful to health under the pandemic. This may be explained by a

308 number of factors, it could be that older people perceive themselves to be more at risk from
309 COVID-19 and are therefore less likely to adopt additional behaviours known to be harmful
310 to health. Younger people may have been more likely to take part in physical activity, which
311 had to stop as the gyms closed and sports clubs couldn't meet. Another possibility is that
312 people with SMI who engage in negative health behaviours are more likely to have a shorter
313 life expectancy, and not survive into older age. This could be a manifestation of the healthy
314 survivor phenomenon observed in cohort studies (22).

315

316 This OWLS COVID-19 study has several strengths. First, we report on a group of people who
317 are underrepresented in general population surveys, and yet have some of the highest levels
318 of unmet health needs(23). People were directly approached to take part in this study using
319 a sampling framework that allowed people from a range of demographics to be invited
320 rather than a self-selected group, which is a risk for online surveys and can lead to selection
321 bias. The problem of potentially unrepresentative self-selected samples in COVID-19 studies
322 has been shown to be a particular limitation in mental health research (24). Second, the
323 OWLS COVID-19 study participants had previously been recruited to a large transdiagnostic
324 study of people with SMI, and had consented to be contacted about future research. This
325 allowed us to recruit to the OWLS COVID -19 study rapidly in response the COVID-19
326 pandemic, whilst still using a sampling strategy. This study does however have some
327 weaknesses. First, whilst every effort was made to include the most vulnerable people from
328 what is a vulnerable group we were rarely able to recruit from inpatient settings or people
329 under the care of assertive outreach teams. When potential participants were on an
330 inpatient ward we asked their care team if they could support the person to take part where
331 it was appropriate to do so. Unfortunately there were very few cases where this was
332 possible. We also attempted to include people in community supported living facilities and
333 asked their care team to support them to take part, in some cases this was possible but in

334 others it proved more difficult.

335

336 **Conclusion**

337

338 People with SMI are considered clinically vulnerable, and these concerns predate the COVID-

339 19 pandemic. The results of this study highlight the additional threats to the health of a

340 group of people who already experience profound health inequalities. SMI is listed as an

341 underlying health condition which puts them at greater risk during the COVID-19 pandemic

342 ([https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/priority-groups-for-coronavirus-covid-19-](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/priority-groups-for-coronavirus-covid-19-vaccination-advice-from-the-jcvi-30-december-2020/joint-committee-on-vaccination-and-immunisation-advice-on-priority-groups-for-covid-19-vaccination-30-december-2020)

343 [vaccination-advice-from-the-jcvi-30-december-2020/joint-com mittee-on-vaccination-and-](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/priority-groups-for-coronavirus-covid-19-vaccination-advice-from-the-jcvi-30-december-2020/joint-committee-on-vaccination-and-immunisation-advice-on-priority-groups-for-covid-19-vaccination-30-december-2020)

344 [immunisation-advice-on-priority-groups-for-covid-19-vaccination-30-december-2020](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/priority-groups-for-coronavirus-covid-19-vaccination-advice-from-the-jcvi-30-december-2020/joint-committee-on-vaccination-and-immunisation-advice-on-priority-groups-for-covid-19-vaccination-30-december-2020)) and

345 many people with SMI also have co-morbid physical health conditions which are additional

346 risk factors also listed as underlying health conditions, for example diabetes and obesity

347 (25). The results around smoking and exercise are especially concerning, as being a smoker

348 and low levels of physical activity are risk factors for poor COVID-19 outcomes (26, 27) and

349 smoking is a possible risk factor for greater transmission (28).

350

351 This evidence presented in this paper further emphasises the need for health services that

352 target health risk behaviours, such as smoking, diet and physical exercise, for people with

353 SMI to mitigate these high risks of adverse outcomes in the presence of COVID-19 and in the

354 longer term.

355

356 **Declaration of Interest**

357 None

358

359 **Funding statement**

360 This study is supported by the MRC (grant reference MR/V028529), and links with the
361 Closing the Gap Cohort which was part-funded by the Wellcome Trust [ref: 204829] through
362 the Centre for Future Health (CFH) at the University of York, UKRI [ES/S004459/1], and the
363 NIHR Yorkshire and Humberside Applied Research Collaboration (YHARC). Any views
364 expressed here are those of the project investigators and do not necessarily represent the
365 views of the MRC, Wellcome, UKRI, National Institute for Health Research or the
366 Department of Health and Social Care.

367

368 **Acknowledgements**

369 We would like to thank the participants in the OWLS study and NHS mental health staff for
370 their support with this study. Particular thanks go to our partner trust Tees, Esk and Wear
371 Valleys NHS Foundation Trust.

372

373 **Author contribution**

374 All authors contributed to the study protocol. SC, PH, PS and LW recruited participants to the
375 study. PS and EP conducted the statistical analysis supported by VA. EP, LW & SG took the
376 lead in drafting the manuscript. All authors were responsible for critical review of the
377 manuscript for important intellectual content and all authors read and reviewed the
378 transcript.

379

380 **Data availability**

381 The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the
382 corresponding author, EP.

383

384

385 References

386

387 1. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et
388 al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of
389 the evidence. *The Lancet*. 2020;395(10227):912-20.

390 2. Shevlin M, Nolan E, Owczarek M, McBride O, Murphy J, Gibson Miller J, et
391 al. COVID-19-related anxiety predicts somatic symptoms in the UK population.
392 *British Journal of Health Psychology*. 2020;25(4):875-82.

393 3. Lawson PJ, Flocke SA. Teachable moments for health behavior change: A
394 concept analysis. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2009;76(1):25-30.

395 4. Robinson E, Boyland E, Chisholm A, Harrold J, Maloney NG, Marty L, et al.
396 Obesity, eating behavior and physical activity during COVID-19 lockdown: A
397 study of UK adults. *Appetite*. 2021;156:104853.

398 5. Kaur H, Singh T, Arya YK, Mittal S. Physical Fitness and Exercise During
399 the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Qualitative Enquiry. *Frontiers in Psychology*.
400 2020;11(2943).

401 6. Adhikari K, Scott LA, Worrell M, Friesen BT, Teare G. A Call to Action:
402 Commercial Tobacco Smoking Cessation Support as a Priority for Health Care
403 Services During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*. 2020.

404 7. Clay JM, Parker MO. Alcohol use and misuse during the COVID-19
405 pandemic: a potential public health crisis? *The Lancet Public Health*.
406 2020;5(5):e259.

407 8. Lear SA, Hu W, Rangarajan S, Gasevic D, Leong D, Iqbal R, et al. The effect
408 of physical activity on mortality and cardiovascular disease in 130 000 people

- 409 from 17 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries: the PURE
410 study. *Lancet*. 2017;390(10113):2643-54.
- 411 9. Reitsma MB, Fullman N, Ng M, Salama JS, Abajobir A, Abate KH, et al.
412 Smoking prevalence and attributable disease burden in 195 countries and
413 territories : a systematic analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015.
414 *The Lancet*. 2017;389(10082):1885-906.
- 415 10. Griswold MG, Fullman N, Hawley C, Arian N, Zimsen SRM, Tymeson HD, et
416 al. Alcohol use and burden for 195 countries and territories : a systematic
417 analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet*.
418 2018;392(10152):1015-35.
- 419 11. Action on Smoking and Health. ASH Daily News for 4 May 2020. 2020.
- 420 12. Jackson SE, Garnett C, Shahab L, Oldham M, Brown J. Association of the
421 COVID-19 lockdown with smoking, drinking and attempts to quit in England: an
422 analysis of 2019-20 data. *Addiction*. 2020.
- 423 13. Herbec A, Schneider V, Fisher A, Kale D, Shahab L, Lally P. Correlates of
424 and changes in aerobic physical activity and strength training before and after
425 the onset of COVID-19 pandemic in the UK – findings from the HEBECO study.
426 *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.01.16.21249925.
- 427 14. Walker ER, McGee RE, Druss BG. Mortality in mental disorders and global
428 disease burden implications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*
429 *Psychiatry*. 2015;72(4):334-41.
- 430 15. Hjorthøj C, Stürup AE, McGrath JJ, Nordentoft M. Years of potential life lost
431 and life expectancy in schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
432 *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2017;4(4):295-301.

- 433 16. Tattan-Birch H, Perski O, Jackson S, Shahab L, West R, Brown J. COVID-19,
434 smoking, vaping and quitting: a representative population survey in England.
435 *Addiction*. 2020;21(12):2020-2021.
- 436 17. Mishu MP, Peckham EJ, Heron PN, Tew GA, Stubbs B, Gilbody S. Factors
437 associated with regular physical activity participation among people with severe
438 mental ill health. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2019;54(7):887-95.
- 439 18. Foster HME, Celis-Morales CA, Nicholl BI, Petermann-Rocha F, Pell JP, Gill
440 JMR, et al. The effect of socioeconomic deprivation on the association between an
441 extended measurement of unhealthy lifestyle factors and health outcomes: a
442 prospective analysis of the UK Biobank cohort. *The Lancet Public Health*.
443 2018;3(12):e576-e85.
- 444 19. Gilbody S, Peckham E. What does the rise and rise of electronic nicotine
445 delivery systems mean for mental health services? *The Lancet Psychiatry*.
446 2020;7(1):11-3.
- 447 20. Opinions and Lifestyle Survey methodology [Internet]. Office for National
448 Statistics. 2018 [cited 19th February 2021].
- 449 21. Stubbs B, Vancampfort D, Hallgren M, Firth J, Veronese N, Solmi M, et al.
450 EPA guidance on physical activity as a treatment for severe mental illness: a
451 meta-review of the evidence and Position Statement from the European
452 Psychiatric Association (EPA), supported by the International Organization of
453 Physical Therapists in Mental Health (IOPTMH). *Eur Psychiatry*. 2018;54:124-44.
- 454 22. Arrighi HM, Hertz-Picciotto I. The evolving concept of the healthy worker
455 survivor effect. *Epidemiology*. 1994;5(2):189-96.
- 456 23. Lawrence D, Kisely S. Inequalities in healthcare provision for people with
457 severe mental illness. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2010;24(4 Suppl):61-8.

- 458 24. Pierce M, McManus S, Jessop C, John A, Hotopf M, Ford T, et al. Says who?
459 The significance of sampling in mental health surveys during COVID-19. *The*
460 *lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(7):567-8.
- 461 25. Muniyappa R, Gubbi S. COVID-19 pandemic, coronaviruses, and diabetes
462 mellitus. *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism*.
463 2020;318(5):E736-E41.
- 464 26. Hamer M, Kivimäki M, Gale CR, Batty GD. Lifestyle risk factors,
465 inflammatory mechanisms, and COVID-19 hospitalization: A community-based
466 cohort study of 387,109 adults in UK. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2020;87:184-7.
- 467 27. Salah HM, Sharma T, Mehta J. Smoking Doubles the Mortality Risk in
468 COVID-19: A Meta-Analysis of Recent Reports and Potential Mechanisms. *Cureus*.
469 2020;12(10):e10837-e.
- 470 28. Hopkinson NS, Rossi N, El-Sayed_Moustafa J, Lavery AA, Quint JK, Freidin
471 M, et al. Current smoking and COVID-19 risk: results from a population symptom
472 app in over 2.4 million people. *Thorax*. 2021;thoraxjnl-2020-216422.
- 473