medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Relative burdens of the COVID-19, malaria, tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS epidemics in sub-Saharan Africa in 2020.

David Bell[1*], Kristian Schultz Hansen[2]

[1]Independent Consultant, Issaquah, Washington, USA

[2]Department of Public Health and Centre for Health Economics and Policy, University of Copenhagen, Øster Farimagsgade 5A, 1014 Copenhagen K, Denmark.

*Corresponding author

David Bell, [1]25 Mt Quay Dr NW, Issaquah, WA 98027, USA, Email: bell00david@gmail.com, Ph: +1 206 953 8354

Transparency statement

The lead author affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that there are no discrepancies from the study as originally planned.

Word count: Abstract 282, Main text: 2000

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

List of abbreviations

Africa CDC	Africa Centres for Disease Control and Prevention
AIDS	Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
DALYs	Disability-Adjusted Life Years
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
SARS-CoV-2	Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Corona Virus -2
WHO	World Health Organization

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

Objectives: While the COVID-19 pandemic has had considerable global impact, recorded mortality in sub-Saharan Africa has been relatively low. Ensuring the public health response creates overall benefit is therefore critical. However, the highly age-dependent nature of COVID-19 mortality makes comparisons of disease burden challenging unless considered in terms of metrics that incorporate life years lost and time lived in adverse health. We therefore assessed the relative disease burdens of COVID-19 and the three major epidemic-causing pathogens; malaria, tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS, in sub-Saharan Africa.

Design: We compared estimates of 2020 disease burdens in sub-Saharan African populations in terms of mortality and Disability-Adjusted Life Years lost (DALYs) for COVID-19, malaria, tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS, applying known age-related mortality to UN estimates of sub-Saharan population age structure. We further compared exacerbations of these diseases predicted to occur through the COVID-19 public health response. Data was derived from public sources, predicted disease exacerbations from those published by international agencies.

Main outcome measures: Mortality and DALYs lost

Results: For sub-Saharan African populations north of South Africa, recorded COVID-19 DALYs lost in 2020 was 2.0%, 1.2% and 1.3% of those estimated for tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS and malaria respectively. The predicted exacerbations alone of each of these comparator diseases were greater than the estimated COVID-19 burden. Including South Africa and Lesotho, COVID-19 DALYs lost were ≤6% of each of these predicted disease burdens and dominated by them in all age groups below 70 years.

Conclusions: The analysis here suggests a relatively low impact from COVID-19. While all four epidemics continue, concentration on COVID-19 runs a high risk of increasing the overall health burden, further increasing global inequities in health and life expectancy, and needs to be guided by clear economic evaluation.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

MAIN MANUSCRIPT

Introduction

COVID-19 has massively impacted life and society in sub-Saharan Africa, as elsewhere. Despite relatively low COVID-19 mortality rates in most countries of sub-Saharan Africa, aspects of the lockdown responses including business and school closures and restricted health service access introduced in the early days of the pandemic continue in various forms.[1] In South Africa, infection with the virus itself has significantly impacted health, with 28469 deaths attributed by the end of 2020,[1] but the younger aged populations to the north have recorded far lower mortality.[2] Public health interventions must be tailored to address such variation. This requires realistic metrics for disease burden that take the characteristics of the population, and the individual impacted by disease, into account.[3]

Metrics encompassing life years lost or disrupted are critical when comparing diseases that are strongly age-associated. A young child dying from pneumonia is clearly expected to lose more potential life years than an 80-year-old dying from the same. Malaria, with its mortality centred in these countries, imparts a disproportionate burden in disability adjusted life years (DALYs) lost, as most mortality occurs before age five.[4] HIV/AIDS leads to long spells of severe ill-health and premature death primarily among young and middle-aged adults leading to significant life years lost and extended time lost due to less-than-optimal health. In contrast, COVID-19 is characterized by it's strong association with advanced age, with a mean age of death similar to that for all-cause mortality in many countries.[5,6] However, reporting of the burden of COVID-19 has generally it centred on comparisons of mortality which ignore the influence of age. These mortality-based burden estimates can further mislead through the strong association of death from COVID-19 with pre-existing morbidities, which further reduce expected life years lost from most COVID-19-associated deaths.[6] Time-based measures such as Disability-Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) that incorporate lost healthy life caused both by premature death and time lived in less-than-optimal health have potential to better represent the full disease impact – as opposed to mortality alone.

Low COVID-19-associated mortality among sub-Saharan African populations is at least partially predicted by their young age structure,[5,7] while lifestyle factors may be protective through a lower prevalence of major co-morbidities,[8-10] higher vitamin D levels and broad antigen exposure leading to non-specific T-cell prior immunity.[11-13] Comparisons of lockdown severity suggest that more restrictive measures have had limited

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

additional impact on reducing COVID-19 mortality.[14-16] However, as with many public health responses, lockdown responses are not without cost. Predicted exacerbations of high burden diseases including malaria, HIV/AIDS and tuberculosis impact particularly children and younger adults.[17-19] Broader impacts of reduced food security and interruption of vaccination will have far-reaching health consequences,[20,21] while loss of family income and reductions in national gross domestic product will impede the capacity to respond.[22]

While lockdowns may be easing, proposals for continent-wide mass vaccination under the COVAX mechanism will raise new costs and divert resources, and the urgency of developing good public health policy that appropriately prioritizes relative burdens including COVID-19 management are no less urgent.[23] We therefore compared the disease burdens of COVID-19 and the three pre-existing major infectious disease 'epidemics' of sub-Saharan African countries,[24] with and without South Africa and Lesotho, to estimate the relative burden of COVID-19 in relation to these other epidemics.

Methods

The health indicators used for the analyses were the number of deaths and Disability-Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) lost by age groups caused by COVID-19 and three major diseases: malaria, HIV/AIDS and tuberculosis. Published data were the main sources of the presented analyses. For the calculation of DALYs lost caused by a disease at the population level, the estimates for number of deaths by age and the number of non-fatal episodes of ill-health by age in 2020 were required. Estimates with and without South Africa and Lesotho were included as these two countries have very different burdens of the four diseases, and differing demographics.

According to Africa CDC there had been 41,551 reported COVID-19 deaths in sub-Saharan Africa in the 2020 calendar year and 13,031 COVID-19 deaths if South Africa and Lesotho were excluded.[2] These total number of reported COVID-19 deaths were allocated across age groups and also used to estimate the number of COVID-19 infections by applying the following method and assumptions. Using data on COVID-19 deaths and seroprevalence surveys from 45 mainly European countries, O'Driscoll et al (2020) estimated the infection fatality ratio by age.[25] Assuming that these estimated infection fatality ratios also represented the sub-Saharan African situation and further assuming a constant share of infection across age groups, the number of infections and deaths were inferred for a sub-Saharan Africa population size according to United Nations

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

population estimate for 2020 and compatible with a total number of 41,551 (13,031) COVID-19 deaths (see Table S1 in the supplementary material).[26] These estimated numbers of deaths and infections by age group were inserted in the standard DALY formula used for calculating the burden of disease and with no discounting of future life years and without the age weighting function.[27] For the calculation of life years lost, the standard life expectancies by age from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 were used,[28] and the reference life table was downloaded from the Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation website.[29] The non-fatal COVID-19 infections were assumed to be mild of a two-week duration and using a disability weight of 0.051 corresponding to the weight attached to a moderate to severe upper respiratory infection.[28]

The number of deaths and DALYs lost for HIV/AIDS and tuberculosis by age group for 2019 were extracted from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 results.[29] These estimates were updated to 2020 by assuming a growth from 2019 to 2020 corresponding to the annual population growth rate in sub-Saharan Africa. Population growth rates were estimated by age group using population estimates from 2015 and 2020.[26] Cases of combined HIV/AIDS and tuberculosis are considered as HIV/AIDS only and not included in the tuberculosis burden calculations.

Total number of deaths and non-fatal illness episodes caused by malaria in sub-Saharan Africa were obtained from the WHO estimates for 2019,[4] however, the published numbers were not available by age group. It was assumed that the deaths and illness spells followed the same distribution across age groups as malaria deaths as estimated by the Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation.[29] The number of malaria deaths and illness episodes by age in sub-Saharan Africa (with and without South Africa and Lesotho) were translated into DALYs lost applying the same method as described above including the assumption that a non-fatal malaria infection lasted two weeks and with a disability weight of 0.051.

Predicted exacerbations of the three comparator diseases accrued from 2020 lockdown responses were derived from modelling published by the World Health Organization, StopTB Partnership and The Global Fund.[17-19]

Results

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Recorded COVID-19 mortality constituted 3.4%, 2.6% and 3.4% of the mortality of tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS and malaria respectively in sub-Saharan Africa north of South Africa and Lesotho. As DALYs lost, the COVID-19 burden amounted to 2.0%, 1.2% and 1.3% of that estimated for the three comparator diseases respectively. Tuberculosis is never dominated by COVID-19 in any age-group, while HIV/AIDS is only dominated above 75 years of age and malaria equaled at 80 years (Figure 1).

Including South Africa and Lesotho, COVID-19 mortality and DALYs lost were 10.3%, 6.3% and 10.8%, and 6.0%, 2.9% and 4.0% of tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS and malaria respectively. All three comparator diseases individually dominated COVID-19 mortality and DALYs lost except in those >70 years where COVID-19 dominated HIV/AIDS, and >75 years where malaria was also dominated (Figure S1).

Accrued COVID-19 DALYs lost within 2020 were also lower than the lower-limit estimates of exacerbation of malaria, tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS (increase over baseline) predicted due to the COVID-19 public health response). If we assume significant under-reporting of COVID-19, arbitrarily multiplying by a factor of 10 only dominates the lower predicted exacerbations of malaria-burden, and remains below predicted burden increase tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS (Table 1).

Discussion

These comparisons emphasize the relatively low disease burden that COVID-19 is exerting on sub-Saharan African populations, with the pre-existing 'epidemics' of malaria, tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS dominating in life-years impacted by up to a factor of 100 in younger age groups. This use of DALYs lost to assess relative disease burden follows well-accepted practice,[30,31] but is relatively unusual for assessment of COVID-19. It is unclear why this standard public health metric, or the related metric of Quality-Adjusted Life Years (QALYs), has not been widely applied to a disease with such a characteristic age-dependent impact. Appropriate assessment of burden is of extreme importance as decisions are made globally on resources to be allocated to vaccination as well as the imposition of recurrent lockdowns and other cost-bearing responses. Mass vaccination of the sub-Saharan African population against COVID-19, as is advocated in some quarters,[23] will draw essential resources from interventions aimed at health problems with far greater burden through diversion of financial resources and personnel on the ground. Such a wide-scale vaccine intervention has never been attempted before and the implications for already over-stretched health services will be significant. To ensure

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

equity in health care, comprehensive economic evaluation comparing costs and effects of interventions against all four epidemics, including cost-effectiveness analysis, is urgently needed.

This data analysis has a number of limitations. COVID-19 mortality reporting in sub-Saharan Africa is doubtless incomplete, though low mortality is predicted by population age structure and lower prevalence of major co-morbidities including obesity,[5,7,32] while other lifestyle factors and prior immunity may also be protective.[10,33-35] Given lack of strong local data on age-related mortality, we assumed that mortality rates reflect those found elsewhere.[25] Lack of transmission appears an unlikely explanation for low recorded mortality as high seroprevalence has been recorded in various sub-Saharan African settings.[36-40] While the higher mortality of COVID-19 in South Africa could be partially explained by higher reporting rates, South Africa also has higher rates of known mortality risk factors.[41] Evidence of very high asymptomatic infection,[40] and the level of community testing taking place (868823 tests for 333 deaths by 23 February 2021),[42] suggests that the low recorded mortality in most countries reflects reality, in common with much of Asia.[43]

The relative burden of COVID-19 in 2020 is also subject to the first cases only being reported in March in most of these populations,[43] compared with a full year for the comparator diseases. Twelve months of COVID-19 data will clearly present a higher burden, though as the total mortality rate is declining across the continent at time of writing,[43] the bulk of COVID-19 burden may already have been accrued.

DALYs lost through COVID-19 morbidity as estimated in this paper do not take post-viral syndromes into account (e.g. 'long-covid'). These have limited prevalence and may be lighter in younger (less severe illness) African populations, but this is still unclear and will add somewhat to COVID-19 burden. Conversely, the age-based nature of DALYs lost applied to COVID-19 does not take into account the high prevalence of life-shortening comorbidities associated with these cases,[6] which will in turn lead to an over-estimation of the actual life years lost. Even assuming 90% under-estimation of COVID-19 mortality here, other single disease metrics still dominate COVID-19, as do most estimates of exacerbation of these through the COVID-19 public health response.

In comparing impact of COVID-19 and other health burdens, we considered just three diseases. The impact of broad malnutrition, and of reduced educational attainment (closed schools) and damage to local and national economies will have major long-term impacts on population and societal health.[20-22,44] As a greater proportion of the population achieves post-infection immunity,[36-38,42,45,46] COVID-19 burden is likely to further reduce, and the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

cost-effectiveness of response interventions may then decrease further. It is therefore imperative that the cost-effectiveness analyses of further COVID-19 related interventions in these sub-Saharan African populations be tailored to local need, based on realistic metrics that reflect the relative impact of COVID-19, of other disease states, and of the proposed public health responses. Continued emphasis on COVID-19 responses including severe lockdowns or mass vaccination risk exacerbating other health priorities, increasing health inequities rather than reducing them.

Declaration of competing interests

No conflict of interest to declare.

Funding source:

None

Availability of data

All data is in the public domain, and calculations are freely available from the authors.

Dissemination statement

Dissemination to patients is not applicable.

Author contributions:

DB and KSH jointly conceived the study, contributed to the study design and conceptualization, and sourced the data. KSH performed the economic analyses, both authors participated in the drafting, revising and approval of the manuscript.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Declaration

The lead author (DB) affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned (and, if relevant, registered) have been explained.

Patient and public involvement

There was no direct patient or public involvement in this study. All data used was in the public domain.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

References

1. University of Oxford. Coronavirus government response tracker. Blavatnik School of Government. University of Oxford. 2021. (https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/research-projects/coronavirus-government-response-tracker),. Accessed 02 March 2021

2. Africa CDC. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Latest updates on the COVID-19 crisis from Africa CDC. Africa Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021. (https://africacdc.org/covid-19/). Accessed 01 January 2021.

3. WHO. WHO methods and data sources for global burden of disease estimates 2000-2015, Global Health Estimates Technical Paper WHO/HIS/IER/GHE/2018.4. World Health Organization. 2018. (https://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/GlobalDALYmethods_2000_2015.pdf). Accessed. April 26, 2020.

4. WHO. World Malaria Report 2019. May 02, 2020. World Health Organization. Geneva. 2019. (https://www.who.int/publications-detail/world-malaria-report-2019). Accessed 11 March 2021.

5. Verity R, Okell LC, Dorigatti I, et al. Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2020. Mar 30;S1473-3099(20)30243-7

6. CDC. COVID-19 Weekly Updates by Select Demographic and Geographic Characteristics. United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/covid_weekly/index.htm#AgeAndSex). Accessed 23 February 2021

7. UN. World population prospects. United Nations Department of Economics and Population Affairs – Population Dynamics. United Nations. New York, NY. 2019. May 02, 2020. (https://population.un.org/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/). Accessed 17 February 2021.

8. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, et al. Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities, and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 in the New York City Area. *JAMA.* 2020 May 26;323(20):2052-2059. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.6775.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

9. Grant WB, Lahore H, McDonnell SL, Baggerly CA, French CB, Aliano JL, Bhattoa HP. Evidence that Vitamin D Supplementation Could Reduce Risk of Influenza and COVID-19 Infections and Deaths. *Nutrients.* 2020 Apr 2;12(4):988. doi: 10.3390/nu12040988. PMID: 32252338; PMCID: PMC7231123.

10. Jain A, Chaurasia R, Sengar NS, Singh M, Mahor S, Narain S. Analysis of vitamin D level among asymptomatic and critically ill COVID-19 patients and its correlation with inflammatory markers. Sci Rep. 2020 Nov 19;10(1):20191. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-77093-z. PMID: 33214648; PMCID: PMC7677378.

11. Tan CCS, Owen CJ, Tham CYL, Bertoletti A, van Dorp L, Balloux F. 2020. Pre-existing T cell-mediated cross-reactivity to SARS-CoV-2 cannot solely be explained by prior exposure to endemic human coronaviruses. *bioRxiv* 2020.12.08.415703; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.08.415703

12. Echeverría G, Guevara Á, Coloma J, Ruiz AM, Vasquez MM, Tejera E, de Waard JH. Pre-existing T-cell immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in unexposed healthy controls in Ecuador, as detected with a COVID-19 Interferon-Gamma Release Assay. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2021; S1201-9712(21)00120-X. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2021.02.034.

13. CDC. Weekly Updates by Select Demographic and Geographic Characteristics - Comorbidities and other conditions. US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/covid_weekly/index.htm?fbclid=IwAR3-wrg3tTKK5-9tOHPGAHWFVO3DfslkJ0KsDEPQpWmPbKtp6EsoVV2Qs1Q). Accessed 18 February 2021

14. De Larochelambert Q, Marc A, Antero J, Le Bourg E, Toussaint JF. COVID-19 Mortality: A Matter of Vulnerability Among Nations Facing Limited Margins of Adaptation. *Front Public Health*. 2020. 8:604339. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.604339. PMID: 33330343; PMCID: PMC7710830.

15. Keep KP, Bjørnskov C. Lockdown 2020. Effects on Sars-CoV-2 Transmission – The evidence from Northern Jutland. m*edRxiv* 2020.12.28.20248936;
doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.28.20248936

16. Chaudhry R, Dranitsaris G, Mubashir T, Bartoszko J, Riazi S. A country level analysis measuring the impact of government actions, country preparedness and socioeconomic factors on

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

COVID-19 mortality and related health outcomes. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020. 25:100464. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100464.

17. WHO. The potential impact of health service disruptions on the burden of malaria: a modelling analysis for countries in sub-Saharan Africa. Geneva, Switzerland. World Health Organization. Geneva. 2020.

18. Stop TB Partnership. The potential impact of the COVID-19 response on tuberculosis in high-burden countries: A modelling analysis. The Stop TB Partnership. 2020 (http://www.stoptb.org/assets/documents/news/Modeling%20Report_1%20May%202020_FINAL.pdf). Accessed 19 February 2021.

19. The Global Fund. 2020. Mitigating the impact if COVID-19 on countries affected by HIV, tuberculosis and malaria. The Global Fund to fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria. Geneva. (https://www.theglobalfund.org/media/9819/covid19_mitigatingimpact_report_en.pdf) . Accessed 19 February 2021.

20. World Food Programme. WFP Chief warns of hunger pandemic as COVID-19 spreads (Statement to UN Security Council). Originally published 21 Apr 2020. Relief Web. OCHA Services. United Nations. New York. 2020 (https://reliefweb.int/report/world/wfp-chief-warns-hunger-pandemic-covid-19-spreads-statement-un-security-council) Accessed April 27, 2020.

21. WHO. WHO and UNICEF warn of a decline in vaccinations during COVID-19. The World Health Organization. Geneva. 2020. (https://www.who.int/news/item/15-07-2020-who-and-unicef-warn-of-a-decline-in-vaccinations-during-covid-19). Accessed 19 February 2021

22. Oxfam. 12.000 people per day could die from COVID-19 linked hunger by end of year, potentially more than the disease, warns Oxfam. Oxfam International. 2020. (https://www.oxfam.org/en/press-releases/12000-people-day-could-die-covid-19-linked-hunger-end-year-potentially-more-disease). Accessed 19 February 2021.

23. WHO. COVAX: Working for global equitable access to COVID-19 vaccines. The World Health Organization. 2021. (https://www.who.int/initiatives/act-accelerator/covax). Accessed 22 February 2021

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

24. The Global Fund. The Global Fund Strategy 2017-2022 Investing to end epidemics. The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria. 2016. (https://www.theglobalfund.org/media/2531/core_globalfundstrategy2017-2022_strategy_en.pdf). Accessed 22 February 2021.

25. O'Driscoll M, Dos Santos GR, Wang L, Cummings DAT, Azman AS, Paireau J, Fontanet A, Cauchemez S, Salje H (2020). Age-specific mortality and immunity patterns of SARS-CoV-2. Nature 590: 140-145

26. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2019). World Population Prospects 2019, Online Edition. Rev. 1.

27. Murray CJL (1996). Rethinking DALYs. In: The global burden of disease: a comprehensive assessment of mortality and disability from diseases, injuries and risk factors in 1990 and projected to 2020. Edited by: Murray CJL, Lopez AD. Boston: Harvard University Press.

28. GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators (2020). Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet 396: 1204–1222.

29. IHME, 2021. Global Data Exchange – Global Results Tool. Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation – University of Washington. (http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool). Accessed 02 March 2021.

30. Murray JLC, Lopez AD. The Global burden of disease: A comprehensive assessment of mortality and disability from diseases, injuries, and risk factors in 1990 and projected to 2020 : summary / edited by Christopher J. L. Murray, Alan D. Lopez. World Health Organization. Geneva.1996. (https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/41864) Accessed 06 May 2020.

31. WHO. WHO methods and data sources for global burden of disease estimates 2000-2015, Global Health Estimates Technical Paper WHO/HIS/IER/GHE/2018.4. World Health Organization. Geneva. 2018 (https://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/GlobalDALYmethods_2000_2015.pdf). Accessed. April 26, 2020.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

32. World Population Review. Obesity Rates by Country 2021. World Population Review. 2021. (https://worldpopulationreview.com/country-rankings/obesity-rates-by-country). Accessed 23 February 2021.

33. Ali N. Role of vitamin D in preventing of COVID-19 infection, progression and severity. *J Infect Public Health*. 2020. 13(10):1373-1380. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2020.06.021.

34. Tan CCS, Owen CJ, Tham CYL, Bertoletti A, van Dorp L, Balloux F. Pre-existing T cell-mediated cross-reactivity to SARS-CoV-2 cannot solely be explained by prior exposure to endemic human coronaviruses. *bioRxiv* 2020.12.08.415703; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.08.415703

35. Grifoni A, Weiskopf D, Ramirez SI, et al. Targets of T Cell Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus in Humans with COVID-19 Disease and Unexposed Individuals. *Cell*. 2020. 181(7):1489-1501.e15. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.015.

36. Olayanju O, Bamidele O, Edem F, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Seropositivity in Asymptomatic Frontline Health Workers in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2021 Jan;104(1):91-94. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.20-1235.

37. Chibwana MG, Jere KC, Kamng'ona R, et al. High SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in health care workers but relatively low numbers of deaths in urban Malawi [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]. *Wellcome Open Res*. 2020; 5: 199. (https://wellcomeopenresearch.org/articles/5-199). Accessed 26 February 2021.

38. Ojal J, Brand SPC, Were V et al. Revealing the extent of the COVID-19 pandemic in Kenya based on serological and PCR-test data *medRxiv* preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.02.20186817;

39. Sykes W, Mhlanga L, Swanevelder R, et al. Prevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies among blood donors in Northern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal, Eastern Cape, and Free State provinces of South Africa in January 2021. 2021, Preprint (Version 1) available at Research Square [https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-233375/v1]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

40. Mulenga LB, Hines JZ, Fwoloshi S, et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in six districts in Zambia in July, 2020: a cross-sectional cluster sample survey. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2021 Mar 9:S2214-109X(21)00053-X. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00053-X.

41. Sartorius B, Veerman LJ, Manyema M, Chola L, Hofman K. Determinants of Obesity and Associated Population Attributability, South Africa: Empirical Evidence from a National Panel Survey, 2008-2012. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(6):e0130218. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0130218.

42. Uganda MoH. Coronavirus (pandemic) COVID-19. Uganda Ministry of Health. (https://www.health.go.ug/covid/). Accessed 23 February 2021

43. Johns Hopkins University. COVID-19 Dashboard by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU). Johns Hopkins University Corona Virus Resource Center. USA. (https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html). Accessed 23 February 2021.

44. Cousins S. 2·5 million more child marriages due to COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet.* 2020; 396(10257):1059. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32112-7. PMID: 33038955; PMCID: PMC7544458.

45. Zuo J, A Dowell A, Pearce H, et al. Robust SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cell immunity is maintained at 6 months following primary infection. *bioRxiv* 2020.11.01.362319; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.01.362319

46. Dan JM, Mateus J, Kato Y, et al. Immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 assessed for up to eight months after infection. *bioRxiv* 2020.11.15.383323; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.15.383323

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Tables

Table 1. Comparisons of recorded disease burden (DALYs lost) from COVID-19 in sub-Saharan Africa compared to predicted exacerbations for malaria, tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS from the impact of the COVID-219 public health response.

		DALYs lost			
		COVID-19	**Malaria**	**Tuberculosis**	**HIV/AIDS**
Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA)	**Baseline**	1,075,353	26,798,253	18,057,844	36,674,765
	Exacerbation - low	-	1,901,866	10,769,549	15,579,790
	Exacerbation - high	10,753,534	26,783,055	14,095,787	24,474,183
SSA excluding South Africa and Lesotho	**Baseline**	345,560	26,797,264	17,051,568	28,253,799
	Exacerbation - low	-	1,907,695	10,169,414	12,002,484
	Exacerbation - high	3,455,603	26,793,081	13,310,297	18,854,617

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Comparison of disease burdens accrued in 2020 for sub-Saharan countries north of South Africa and Lesotho: Recorded Covid-19 mortality and predicted baseline mortality (pre-lockdown impact) for malaria, tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS by age (A1) and total (A2). Corresponding DALYs lost for each of these diseases by age (B1) and in total (B2).


A1
1.000.000
100.000
10.000
1.000
100
10
1
Number of deaths (log scale)
0-4
5-9
10-14
15-19
20-24
25-29
30-34
35-39
40-44
45-49
50-54
55-59
60-64
65-69
70-74
75-79
80+
Age group
COVID-19
Tuberculosis
HIV/AIDS
Malaria


A2
600.000
500.000
400.000
300.000
200.000
100.000
0
Number of deaths
13.031
378.688
501.706
384.324
COVID-19
Tuberculosis
HIV/AIDS
Malaria
Diseases


B1
100.000.000
10.000.000
1.000.000
100.000
10.000
1.000
100
10
1
Number of DALYs lost (log scale)
0-4
5-9
10-14
15-19
20-24
25-29
30-34
35-39
40-44
45-49
50-54
55-59
60-64
65-69
70-74
75-79
80+
Age group
COVID-19
Tuberculosis
HIV/AIDS
Malaria


B2
30.000.000
25.000.000
20.000.000
15.000.000
10.000.000
5.000.000
0
Number of DALYs lost
341.189
17.051.568
28.253.799
26.797.264
COVID-19
Tuberculosis
HIV/AIDS
Malaria
Diseases

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Relative burdens of COVID-19 and the malaria, tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS epidemics in sub-Saharan Africa in 2020. SUPPLEMENTARY FILE

David Bell[1*], Kristian Schultz Hansen[2]

[1]Independent Consultant, Issaquah, Washington, USA

[2]Department of Public Health and Centre for Health Economics and Policy, University of Copenhagen, Øster Farimagsgade 5A, 1014 Copenhagen K, Denmark.

*Corresponding author

David Bell, [1]25 Mt Quay Dr NW, Issaquah, WA 98027, USA, Email: bell00david@gmail.com, Ph: +1 206 953 8354

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Supplementary information.

Table S1a. Estimated COVID-19 infections (individuals infected) and deaths by age in Sub-Saharan Africa excluding South Africa and Lesotho, 2020.

Age group	United Nations population estimate 2020[a]	Share of population infected (%)[b]	Infection fatality ratio (%)[c]	Estimated COVID-19 infections	Estimated COVID-19 deaths
0-4	164,804,603	1.224	0.003	2,016,839	61
5-9	147,530,309	1.224	0.001	1,805,440	18
10-14	130,237,158	1.224	0.001	1,593,811	16
15-19	112,354,975	1.224	0.003	1,374,973	41
20-24	95,039,505	1.224	0.006	1,163,070	70
25-29	79,611,837	1.224	0.013	974,270	127
30-34	66,961,607	1.224	0.024	819,460	197
35-39	55,972,752	1.224	0.040	684,981	274
40-44	45,533,164	1.224	0.075	557,224	418
45-49	36,505,391	1.224	0.121	446,744	541
50-54	28,697,760	1.224	0.207	351,196	727
55-59	22,713,657	1.224	0.323	277,964	898
60-64	17,441,001	1.224	0.456	213,439	973
65-69	12,682,251	1.224	1.075	155,202	1,668
70-74	8,585,543	1.224	1.674	105,068	1,759
75-79	5,010,511	1.224	3.203	61,317	1,964
80+	3,232,639	1.224	8.292	39,560	3,280
Total	1,032,914,663			12,640,558	13,031

[a] Reference (7).

[b] Assumed population share compatible with 13,031 COVID-19 deaths in total.

[c] Reference (25).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table S1b. Estimated COVID-19 infections (individuals infected) and deaths by age in Sub-Saharan Africa, 2020.

Age group	United Nations population estimate 2020[a]	Share of population infected (%)[b]	Infection fatality ratio (%)[c]	Estimated COVID-19 Infections	Estimated COVID-19 deaths
0-4	170,823,061	3.509	0.003	5,993,482	180
5-9	153,576,204	3.509	0.001	5,388,361	54
10-14	135,944,992	3.509	0.001	4,769,753	48
15-19	117,485,136	3.509	0.003	4,122,073	124
20-24	100,167,519	3.509	0.006	3,514,468	211
25-29	85,020,504	3.509	0.013	2,983,022	388
30-34	72,510,463	3.509	0.024	2,544,095	611
35-39	60,906,502	3.509	0.040	2,136,960	855
40-44	49,462,677	3.509	0.075	1,735,443	1,302
45-49	39,829,404	3.509	0.121	1,397,451	1,691
50-54	31,464,591	3.509	0.207	1,103,964	2,285
55-59	24,999,129	3.509	0.323	877,117	2,833
60-64	19,290,886	3.509	0.456	676,838	3,086
65-69	14,093,620	3.509	1.075	494,487	5,316
70-74	9,510,448	3.509	1.674	333,683	5,586
75-79	5,609,970	3.509	3.203	196,831	6,304
80+	3,670,499	3.509	8.292	128,783	10,679
Total	1,094,365,605			38,396,811	41,551

[a] Reference (7).

[b] Assumed population share compatible with 41,551 COVID-19 deaths in total.

[c] Reference (25).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.27.21254483; this version posted March 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license.

Figure S1. Comparison of disease burdens accrued in 2020 for sub-Saharan countries (including South Africa and Lesotho): Recorded Covid-19 mortality and predicted baseline mortality (pre-lockdown impact) for malaria, tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS by age (A1) and total (A2). Corresponding DALYs lost for each of these diseases by age (B1) and in total (B2).