Symptoms and risk factors for hospitalization of COVID-19 presented in primary care

Rabady S[1]*, Hoffmann K[2]*, Brose M[3], Lammel O[4], Poggenburg S[5], Redlberger-Fritz M [6], Stiasny K[7], Wendler M[8], Weseslindtner L [9], Zehetmayer S[10], Kamenski G[11].

*equally contributing authors

Corresponding author:

Dr. Susanne Rabady

Email: susanne.rabady@kl.ac.at

Phone: +436641351091

[1] MD, Karl Landsteiner University of Health Sciences, Department of General Health Studies, Division General and Family Medicine, Dr. Karl-Dorrek-Straße 30, 3500, Krems, Austria; ORCID-ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3603-5841

[2] Assoc. Prof. Priv.-Doz. Dr., MPH, Associate Professor, Head of Unit Health Services Research and Telemedicine in Primary Care, Department of Preventive- and Social Medicine, Center for Public Health, Medical University of Vienna, Kinderspitalgasse 15, 1090 Vienna, Austria; ORCID-ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8760-4250

[3] MD, Karl Landsteiner University of Health Sciences, Department of General Health Studies, Division General and Family Medicine, Dr. Karl-Dorrek-Straße 30, 3500, Krems, AustriaORCID-ID: 0000-0002-4902-4463

[4] MD, Praxis Dr Oliver Lammel, Ramsau am Dachstein. ORCID ID: 0000-0003-0641-7678

[5] MD, Ordination Dr. Stephanie Poggenburg, Hart bei Graz. ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6233-3455

[6] MD, Doz.Dr, Center of Virology, Medical University Vienna, Vienna, Austria ORCID-ID 0000-0001-6265-557X

[7] Prof, Center of Virology, Medical University Vienna, Vienna, Austria ORCID-ID: 0000-0002-1902-8674

[8] Karl Landsteiner University of Health Sciences, Department of General Health Studies, Division General and Family Medicine, Dr. Karl-Dorrek-Straße 30, 3500, Krems, AustriaORCID-ID: 0000-0003-3660-5637

[9] Prof, Center of Virology, Medical University Vienna, Vienna, Austria ORCID-ID: 0000-0001-8950-937X

[10] Assoc.Prof. Dr, Center for Medical Statistics, Informatics and Intelligent Systems, Medical University of Vienna, Spitalg.23 1090 Vienna Orcid-ID: ORCID: 0000-0001-6863-7997

[11] MD, Karl Landsteiner University of Health Sciences, Department of General Health Studies, Division General and Family Medicine, Dr. Karl-Dorrek-Straße 30, 3500, Krems, Austria; Karl Landsteiner Institute for Systematics in General Medicine, Angern, Austria ORCID ID: 0000-0002-9321-2293

Abstract

Background

Little is known about early symptoms and symptom development in mild to moderate Covid-19 disease, and about their prognostic value. This applies for health professionals as well as for decision makers and lays but has significant impact on testing strategies and early detection. Few data have been collected in primary care so far.

Aim

It was the aim of this study to extend knowledge of early symptoms as a precondition of early identification, and to gain understanding of associations between symptoms and severe courses of the disease.

Design and Settings

This study was designed as a retrospective observational study in Austrian GP practices in the year 2020.

Methods

Patients above 18 years with a positive SARS-CoV-2 test were included.
Data collection comprised basic demographic data, risk factors and the recording of symptoms at several points in time in the course of the illness.

Results

Little more than one third of patients report symptoms generally understood to be typical for Covid-1. Most patients present with a variety of unspecific complaints. We found symptoms indicating complicated disease if present at certain points in time. The number of symptoms is likely to be a predictor for the need of hospital care. More than 50% of patients experience symptoms after 14 days.

Conclusions

Underrating unspecific symptoms as possible indicators for SARS-CoV-2 infection harbours the danger of overlooking early disease. Monitoring patients during their illness using certain indicators for severe disease may help to identify patients who are likely to profit from early intervention.

Keywords

Primary Care, COVID-19, symptoms, hospital admission

Introduction

A central aspect in the containment of the COVID-19 pandemic is identification and isolation of possibly infectious persons, symptomatic and a- or presymptomatic, to prevent further spreading of the disease. Several studies were conducted with the goal of identifying diagnostic criteria that allow clinical differentiation between Covid- and non-Covid-infections: most investigations used data collected from hospitalized patients (1-6), thus from patients with severe disease. These studies have found high prevalence of fever (around 90%), dyspnoea (up to 50%), cough (60-70%), and fatigue in patients with COVID-19. Several other studies evaluated self- reported data from symptom tracker apps or outpatient clinics (2, 7-10). We could find but one investigation including data additionally derived from primary care health records (9). Studies conducted in non-

hospitalized patients reported a lower prevalence of the symptoms mentioned above, and a wide spectrum of additional symptoms, like myalgia, rhinorrhoea and/or nasal congestion, headache, sore throat, gastrointestinal and cardiovascular disturbances (7-9, 11). Loss of taste and/or smell was found to be rather specific when present (8, 11). All patients included in those studies had gone through a selection process before testing – by case definitions and testing criteria, by epidemiologic factors or by previous other tests (e.g. imaging). Some of these studies included only patients that had tested positive (10), others investigated patients that had tested positive or negative (8, 9). Patients not fulfilling established criteria may have escaped testing, and the symptoms found may reflect testing criteria (7). Some authors suspect that possibly a large proportion of COVID-19 cases are never tested and, thus, never recorded (12). This has not been investigated so far.

What this study can add

Patients presenting with other than the "typical" symptoms might be overlooked by "traditional" testing strategies and screening tools. It is those patients though, who are mobile enough to widely spread the disease. Awareness among stake holders as well as in the general population as to the wide range of seemingly uncharacteristic symptoms is most needed to enhance low-threshold and high sensitivity or repeated testing, particularly if any symptoms are present. This is an indispensable requirement for effective containment strategies.

Austrian GP practices are entitled to either test for SARS-CoV-2 at the point of care since mid-October 2020 or have their samples for SARS-CoV-2 PCR analysed via a Surveillance Network based at the Medical University Vienna. 25% of our study practices were part of this network. GPs were entitled to make an individual testing decision according to clinical judgement like when there is no alternative explanation for the symptom presented. GP practices in Austria are easily to access; in general it is possible to just walk in or to get an appointment on the same day.

Against this background, it was the aim of this study to assess early COVID-19 symptoms and their development in patients of different demographic groups in primary care, as well as their possible associations with complications in the course of the disease.

Patients, Materials and Methods

This study was designed as an observational, retrospective study in General Practice in Austria. Recruitment of practices and participants took place between July 2020 and December 2020.

The Austrian Society of General Practice invited their members, publicly funded GPs and their practices, to participate. For this purpose, first announcements and invitation letters were sent out between April and July 2020. After receiving a positive vote of the Ethics Committee of the Karl-Landsteiner University for Health Sciences, practices interested in participating were informed about the aims of this study in detail and after agreement study material was provided.

Participating GPs included patients above 18 years either after testing positive at the point of care or after reporting to their GP with a positive PCR test from another testing facility. These persons were invited to participate in this study. If they were willing to participate and after the provision of their written informed consent, they were included in the study.

Study material and data collection

A questionnaire using the open- source CDC program Epi Info 7 was designed to record demographic and anamnestic data, comorbidities, medication groups and risk factors regarding COVID-19 (Annex). Data extracted from the electronic health records (EHR) of the practices were transferred to the questionnaire. In addition, clinical parameters for assessing the patients` health

status over a period of two weeks starting with the date of the positive test result as day 1, were documented. Additional assessment days were day 5, 7, 8, 10 and 14. Clinical data were self-reported via a previously submitted monitoring sheet either by the patients or via phone calls by the GPs. Data regarding patients` health status and symptoms were temperature, blood pressure, heart rate, dyspnoea, chest pressure, tightness of chest, malaise, weakness, headache, rhinitis, anosmia, ageusia, sore throat or gastrointestinal symptoms (Annex 2). These clinical parameters were selected using published studies of signs and symptoms of patients with COVID-19 (13, 14). All data were pseudonymized before forwarding them to the study centre. There, the data were checked for completeness and correct entries. Ambiguities were clarified by telephone call or e-mail contact with the participating GP practice.

Data analysis

Data were converted into Excel files and accompanying statistical analysis was done using the statistical software program R (version 3.5.1).

Participants' demographics as well as symptoms were first analysed descriptively. Descriptive analyses for possible associations between demographics and symptoms were conducted by means of cross table. Group differences (hospitalized yes/no) were assessed using Fisher's exact test, group comparison of symptoms was done by using t-test or Wilcoxon test. The significance level was set to 0.05; due to the observational character of the study, no adjustment for multiplicity was performed. Analysis was done using the statistical software program R (version 3.5.1).

Results

Altogether, 25 GP practices and 295 patients from seven Austrian federal states could be recruited. On average, the practices recruited 12 patients (SD 8.94, min 2 - max 31).

As shown in table 1 in detail, slightly more women than men were included in the study. In addition, the percentage of obese persons (BMI >30) was 19,0 %, which is slightly higher than the general Austrian average of 16% (15). On the opposite, only 7% of participants were smokers which is less than half of the Austrian average of 20%. (16).

Initial symptoms, and development of symptomatology:

The most common out of the 13 symptoms to be selected were joint or muscle pain and malaise on day 1, each of them reported by half of the patients (Table 2, Fig.1). Loss of smell/taste was reported on day 1 by less than a quarter of patients but became the most frequently expressed complaint from day 7 onwards until the end of the observational period on day 14. Fatigue was the 3rd most prevalent symptom on day 1, and the most common symptom on day five, and was still highly prevalent on day 10. So, fatigue was found to be the most persistent of symptoms of all. Cough turned out to be a less common symptom on day 1 (5th of 13 symptoms), becoming more frequent from day 5 onwards. Fever was reported by one third of participants as an initial symptom.

Associations between symptoms and hospitalization

Analysis for associations of symptoms with the likelihood of hospitalization yielded the following results: For presence of fever and/or malaise from day 5 onwards we found significant associations with the need for hospitalization sometime in the further course of the disease. Headache showed a significant association if present on day 10. The likelihood for hospitalization was also increased for patients with either dyspnoea, fatigue, tightness of chest, and cough,

however not significantly. In contrast, persons with rhinitis, sore throat, chest pain and anosmia as an initial symptom were less likely to need hospital care (Table 3, Fig.2).

COVID-19 seems to start with several symptoms simultaneously: nearly half of the participants reported 3-5 symptoms on day 1. 10 participants had not reported symptoms on day one, with 3 of them having become symptomatic by day 5, and 7 remaining asymptomatic (Table 4).

68% of the patients reported symptoms persisting on day 10, and half of the sample still had complaints on day 14.

A higher number of symptoms was associated with higher probability of hospital admission. This was significant for days 7 and 8 (Fig.2).

Discussion

Initial symptoms, and development of symptomatology:

Early symptoms we found to be mostly unspecific and often discreet, with joint or muscle pain, malaise and fatigue being the most common symptoms (Table 2, Fig.1). We did not find any of the 13 symptoms in the selection suitable to rule out or confirm early diagnosis of COVID-19 by clinical means alone, which is supported by several of the more recent studies based at least partly on data from primary care (7, 9).

Symptoms generally considered to increase likelihood for Covid diagnosis i.e. fever, dyspnoea and loss of taste and/or smell (7, 9, 10, 17, 18) we found to be comparatively rare, at least at early stages of the disease (Table 2, Fig.1). On day 1 less than 30% of patients reported fever, less than one third (25.9%) reported loss of smell or taste, and only 13.6 % suffered from dyspnoea which renders those symptoms not well suited as testing criteria. These results differ from other studies that had derived data from hospitalized patients exclusively, or in combination with self- reported data using symptom apps. All of them were conducted in patients that had been tested according to pre-established testing criteria (8, 10). Our findings suggest that neither presence nor absence of any symptom is suitable to rule out COVID-19, and confirm results from another study, showing that patients reporting any kind of symptoms of a broad range of diseases profit from testing without delay and without restrictions(19).

We found fever, cough and dyspnoea still considered relevant for case definitions and used as screening criteria in many countries. According to our findings this procedure could be an obstacle to correct early diagnosis and case finding. In our sample, none of those symptoms was experienced by more than slightly over a third of the patients at any time during the illness.

This finding may have some impact on testing strategies. Austria like other countries propagates low threshold testing by test kits for lay use, which mostly lack external validation of their sensitivity and specificity(20). Sensitivity varies considerably altogether in Lateral Flow Tests (LFT) (21). We found presence of any of the discreet and unspecific we investigated to possibly indicate Covid-19 infection and thus to increase pre-test probability - particularly as long as the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 is clearly higher than that of any other respiratory virus. Especially self-administered tests may yield false negative results. Even antigen tests of higher sensitivity should be repeated if one of those symptoms is present since virus load can be too low for detection early into the disease. Users and decision makers need to be aware of this (22).

Anosmia is known to be the most specific symptom(8), but according to our results tends to appear in the later course of the disease. As an initial symptom we could trace it in only 22% of patients, but in double as many on days 7 and 8 (Table 2). Menni (8) identified this symptom in

64.5% of patients, and concludes that this symptom could help early diagnosis. Our findings do not support this conclusion. The difference may be caused by the time of detection or selection bias and thus shows the relevance of early investigation of symptoms at a low threshold point of care (13).

This finding should lead to reconsider contact-tracing strategies: finding the symptom anosmia might indicate delayed diagnosis, and should prompt an extension of the contact-tracing period to at least 7 days before the infection was detected.

Associations between symptoms and hospitalization

We found several associations between symptoms and the need for hospitalization. Our findings suggest that dyspnoea is prone to lead to admission to hospital when present on day 1, as well as on day 10 and significantly on day 14. Fatigue as well as malaise through the whole course of the disease starting from day 5, and cough, persisting on day 8 seem to be associated with higher rates of hospitalization, fever only if present from day 5 on (Table 3, Fig.2). Dyspnoea has been shown to be predictive for hospital admission in one systematic review and a meta-analysis (2, 17), which is in line with our findings. No other predictive symptoms have consistently been identified so far. Our findings on persisting symptoms at the end of the observational period fit in well with recent studies on Long COVID-19 (23, 24)

We detected a higher number of symptoms (3 or more) on day 7 and 8 to be associated with a significantly higher probability of hospital admissions (Table 5). Patients in the non-hospitalized group experience their peak in number of symptoms on day 1 to day 5. Patients in the hospitalized group had more symptoms from the start and experienced a further rise on day 5 as well as a clearly slower decrease. This could possibly indicate that patients might profit from being closely monitored: finding a rise in number of symptoms should arouse suspicion of an imminent severe course of disease. This might, if corroborated, even allow identifying individual cut off points to introduce innovative early interventions presently under discussion like budesonide, low-molecular heparins or monoclonal antibody therapy (25, 26).

Over 50% of patients reported symptoms at the end of the observational period on day 14, and more than two thirds on day 10, when the isolation period usually ends. Mostly this concerns loss of taste or smell or fatigue. This coincides with the most common complaints reported by patients suffering from Long Covid (23). Regarding the fact, that Long Covid is in many cases not a trivial complaint but may lead to delayed and severe complications (27), it seems justifiable to recommend medical examination after isolation to decide if and when a patient can be considered healthy and safe to return to physical activity and/or work.

Strengths and limitations

This study to our knowledge is the first one to investigate data on the course of COVID-19 collected exclusively from patients in primary care. GP´s were free to make their own testing decision as to their clinical judgement, and they followed each patient individually from day 1 to day 10 or 14 in most cases. Only 10% of the patients included in our study approached primary care *after* having been tested according to formal testing criteria by another testing facility. Most other studies (2, 7, 9, 10) recruited patients mainly or exclusively via symptom apps or in hospital care, after the testing decision had been made according to established testing criteria which makes them less likely to detect early symptoms not already known to be associated with Covid-19.

The study has several limitations though. We could recruit 25 practices in 7 out of the 9 Austrian provinces. The average number of patients included per practice was 12 (SD 8.9). The limited

number of patients is probably due to the increased workload under difficult working conditions during the pandemic in combination with a rather extensive questionnaire and the need to follow patients over a longer period, and the effort not being remunerated. We have to reckon with possible recruiting bias. However, the number of cases needed to identify group differences was calculated in advance, and this number has been reached. Our overall results are in accordance with our preliminary result analyses.

Another limitation is that not all data on symptoms were provided by the GPs, particularly on temperature. Most likely, this applies mainly for symptoms, which were not present, but this will have to be clarified by further research. Some patients (approximately 10%) were not diagnosed in primary care, so a possible confounder “testing criteria” cannot entirely be ruled out. We are likely to have overestimated some symptoms on day 1, because a proportion of patients may have unknowingly been diagnosed later than that.

We could not detect specific patterns of symptom-combinations. This may be due to the limited sample size.

Conclusion

We could demonstrate a variety of unspecific symptoms to be clearly more common - particularly in the early stages - than those widely considered typical of Covid-19. Understanding this can help to avoid failing to recognize potentially infectious patients because they are either not tested at all, or because inappropriate testing methods like low sensitivity self- test kits are being used. Low threshold contact for patients with any kind of symptoms combined with testing at the point of care can accelerate diagnosis and enhance effective contact tracing.

We found several symptoms possibly indicating upcoming complications. Timely identified by monitoring in primary care, threating severe disease might be averted at least in some cases. To facilitate follow up in primary care, patients need to be either diagnosed in primary care, or to reliably report with their GP if tested positive.

A high percentage of patients with Covid-19 experience symptoms for more than 10 or even 14 days. A final check-up should be recommended at least for those who do not feel fully fit before they plan to return to physical and occupational activities.

Implications for further research

Data collected in primary care settings can provide additional information and can offer a wider spectrum of understanding COVID-19 disease. The results of our exploratory retrospective study should be controlled by a prospective study.

We did not record data on patients who tested negative or were not tested at all. Future investigations into this topic should aim at recording symptoms in all patients reporting for suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Additional information

Funding

A grant was provided by the Styrian Academy of General Medicine, Graz.

Ethical approval

was given by Commission for Scientific Integrity and Ethics, Karl-Landsteiner Private University for Health Sciences, Krems, Austria. EK Nr: 1046/2020 13/07/20

The study was registered as DRKS00022448 on DRKS

Competing interests

None

Acknowledgements

The Austrian Society of General Practice and Family Medicine provided support by assisting the process of recruitment of study practices. This was essential for this study to be undertaken.

We thank all our colleague GPs who undertook the task of recruiting patients, completing questionnaires and onward them to us.

And we thank the many patients, who contributed their data and recorded their symptoms.

References

1. Guan W-j, Ni Z-y, Hu Y, Liang W-h, Ou C-q, He J-x, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020;382(18):1708-20.
2. Jain V, Yuan J-M. Systematic review and meta-analysis of predictive symptoms and comorbidities for severe COVID-19 infection. medRxiv. 2020:2020.03.15.20035360.
3. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020;323(11):1061-9.
4. Fu L, Wang B, Yuan T, Chen X, Ao Y, Fitzpatrick T, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Infection. 2020;80(6):656-65.
5. Sun P, Qie S, Liu Z, Ren J, Xi J. Clinical characteristics of 50466 patients with 2019-nCoV infection. medRxiv. 2020:2020.02.18.20024539.
6. Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, Antonelli M, Cabrini L, Castelli A, et al. Baseline Characteristics and Outcomes of 1591 Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 Admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy. JAMA. 2020.
7. Struyf T, Deeks JJ, Dinnes J, Takwoingi Y, Davenport C, Leeflang MM, et al. Signs and symptoms to determine if a patient presenting in primary care or hospital outpatient settings has COVID-19. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2021;2:Cd013665.
8. Menni C, Sudre CH, Steves CJ, Ourselin S, Spector TD. Quantifying additional COVID-19 symptoms will save lives. The Lancet. 2020;395(10241):e107-e8.
9. Mizrahi B, Shilo S, Rossman H, Kalkstein N, Marcus K, Barer Y, et al. Longitudinal symptom dynamics of COVID-19 infection. Nature Communications. 2020;11(1):6208.
10. Huang Y, Radenkovic D, Perez K, Nadeau K, Verdin E, Furman D. Age-dependent and Independent Symptoms and Comorbidities Predictive of COVID-19 Hospitalization. medRxiv. 2020:2020.08.14.20170365.
11. Menni C, Valdes AM, Freidin MB, Sudre CH, Nguyen LH, Drew DA, et al. Real-time tracking of self-reported symptoms to predict potential COVID-19. Nature Medicine. 2020;26(7):1037-40.
12. Alvarez E, Marsico F. COVID-19 mild cases determination from correlating COVID-line calls to reported cases. 2020.
13. Greenhalgh T, Koh GCH, Car J. Covid-19: a remote assessment in primary care. BMJ. 2020;368:m1182.
14. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. The Lancet. 2020;395(10229):1054-62.
15. Statista Austria BMI [08.03.2021]. Available from: http://www.statistik.at/web_de/statistiken/menschen_und_gesellschaft/gesundheit/gesundheitsdeterminanten/bmi_body_mass_index/025420.html.
16. Statista Austria Smoking [06.03.2021]. Available from: https://de.statista.com/statistik/daten/studie/510314/umfrage/anteil-der-raucher-gelegenheits-ehemaligen-und-nichtraucher-in-oesterreich/.
17. Case definition Austria [06.03.2021]. Available from: https://www.sozialministerium.at/Themen/Gesundheit/Uebertragbare-Krankheiten/Infektionskrankheiten-A-Z/Neuartiges-Coronavirus.html#patients.
18. Case definition bmj [08.03.2021]. Available from: https://bestpractice.bmj.com/topics/en-gb/3000201/criteria.
19. Leber W, Lammel O, Redlberger-Fritz M, Mustafa-Korninger ME, Stiasny K, Glehr RC, et al. RT-PCR testing to detect a COVID-19 outbreak in Austria: rapid, accurate and early diagnosis in primary care (The REAP study). medRxiv. 2020:2020.07.13.20152439.
20. Fitzpatrick MC, Pandey A, Wells CR, Sah P, Galvani AP. Buyer beware: inflated claims of sensitivity for rapid COVID-19 tests. The Lancet. 2021;397(10268):24-5.
21. Dinnes J, Deeks JJ, Berhane S, Taylor M, Adriano A, Davenport C, et al. Rapid, point-of-care antigen and molecular-based tests for diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2021(3).

22. Guglielmi G. Rapid coronavirus tests: a guide for the perplexed. Nature. 2021;590(7845):202-5.
23. Sudre CH, Murray B, Varsavsky T, Graham MS, Penfold RS, Bowyer RC, et al. Attributes and predictors of long COVID. Nature Medicine. 2021;27(4):626-31.
24. Sivan M, Taylor S. NICE guideline on long covid. BMJ. 2020;371:m4938.
25. Ramakrishnan S, Nicolau DV, Jr., Langford B, Mahdi M, Jeffers H, Mwasuku C, et al. Inhaled budesonide in the treatment of early COVID-19 (STOIC): a phase 2, open-label, randomised controlled trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine.
26. Taylor PC, Adams AC, Hufford MM, de la Torre I, Winthrop K, Gottlieb RL. Neutralizing monoclonal antibodies for treatment of COVID-19. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2021.
27. Greenhalgh T, Knight M, A'Court C, Buxton M, Husain L. Management of post-acute covid-19 in primary care. BMJ. 2020;370:m3026.

Table S1: Demographics (N=295)

Variable	Subvariable	percentage	Frequency
Sex	Female	54.6	161
	Male	47.4	134
Age	18-30	18.3	54
	31-50	34.2	101
	51-70	36.6	108
	71+	10.8	32
Adipositas	yes	19.0	56
	no	79.0	233
	NA	2.0	6
Smoking	yes	6.8	20
	no	92.9	274
	NA	0.3	1

Table S2: Symptoms reported on day 1,5,7,8,10,14 (N=295)

symptom present	day 1 % (n)	day 5 % (n)	day 7 % (n)	day 8 % (n)	day 10 % (n)	day 14 % (n)
fever	33.3 (77)	25.2 (55)	16.4 (32)	14.8 (25)	11.0 (17)	2.9 (4)
dyspnoea	9.8 (29)	13.2 (39)	11.5 (34)	9.8 (29)	7.1 (21)	6.4 (19)
malaise	49.2 (145)	41.7 (123)	31.9 (94)	26.1 (77)	17.3 (51)	7.8 (23)
fatigue	47.1 (139)	44.7 (132)	39.3 (116)	35.3 (104)	30.5 (90)	18.0 (53)
headache	44.7 (132)	32.2 (95)	23.1 (68)	16.3 (48)	12.5 (37)	4.7 (14)
joint/muscle pain	49.5 (146)	36.9 (109)	26.8 (79)	20.3 (60)	11.9 (35)	5.8 (17)
rhinitis	18.6 (55)	16.6 (49)	12.5 (37)	11.2 (33)	8.1 (24)	3.4 (10)
cough	38.0 (112)	40.3 (119)	35.3 (104)	32.5 (96)	23.7 (70)	17.6 (52)
chest pain / tightness of chest	14.9 (44)	19.3 (57)	16.9 (50)	11.9 (35)	10.2 (30)	7.8 (23)
anosmia / ageusia	22.4 (66)	39.0 (115)	41.4 (122)	41.7 (123)	36.9 (109)	26.8 (79)
gastrointestinal symptoms	15.9 (47)	18.6 (55)	13.6 (40)	10.5 (31)	7.1 (21)	4.1 (12)
sore throat	26,4 (78)	15.3 (45)	9.5 (28)	7.8 (23)	6.4 (19)	1.7 (5)

Colour coding according to frequency (descending): dark red – red - dark yellow – yellow – light yellow

Table 3: Hospital admission vs. non-admission in relation to the presence of a symptom

	day 1: n (%)			day 5: n (%)			day 8: n (%)			day 10: n (%)		
symptom present	No Hosp.	Hosp.	p	No Hosp.	Hosp.	p	No Hosp.	Hosp.	P	No Hosp.	Hosp.	P
fever	68 (32.1)	9 (47.4)	0.271	43 (21.5)	12 (66.7)	<0.001	17 (11.1)	8 (50.0)	<0.001	10 (7.1)	7 (50.0)	<0.001
dyspnoea	23 (8.6)	6 (25.0)	0.026	33 (12.3)	6 (27.3)	0.099	24 (9.2)	5 (23.8)	0.050	16 (6.1)	5 (23.8)	0.013
malaise	130 (48.1)	15 (62.5)	0.257	106 (39.3)	17 (77.3)	0.001	61 (23.2)	16 (72.7)	<0.001	41 (15.7)	10 (47.6)	0.001
fatigue	123 (45.6)	16 (66.7)	0.076	116 (43.0)	16 (72.7)	0.013	89 (33.8)	15 (68.2)	0.003	77 (29.5)	13 (61.9)	0.005
headache	123 (45.6)	9 (37.5)	0.585	85 (31.6)	10 (45.5)	0.273	42 (16.0)	6 (27.3)	0.287	29 (11.2)	8 (38.1)	0.001
joint/muscle pain	134 (49.6)	12 (50.0)	1.000	97 (35.9)	12 (54.5)	0.132	52 (19.8)	8 (36.4)	0.118	29 (11.2)	6 (28.6)	0.048
rhinitis	51 (19.0)	4 (16.7)	1.000	45 (16.7)	4 (18.2)	0.772	28 (10.6)	5 (22.7)	0.154	21 (8.0)	3 (14.3)	0.403
cough	97 (36.2)	15 (62.5)	0.020	104 (38.8)	15 (68.2)	0.014	82 (31.4)	14 (63.6)	0.005	60 (23.3)	10 (47.6)	0.027
chest pain	27 (10.0)	1 (4.2)	0.713	35 (13.1)	1 (4.5)	0.332	16 (6.1)	2 (9.1)	0.639	15 (5.7)	0 (0.0)	0.613
tightness of chest	24 (8.9)	5 (20.8)	0.073	29 (10.8)	5 (22.7)	0.156	22 (8.5)	3 (13.6)	0.427	14 (5.4)	4 (19.0)	0.035
anosmia / ageusia	61 (22.7)	5 (20.8)	1.000	102 (37.9)	13 (59.1)	0.084	110 (41.8)	13 (59.1)	0.178	98 (37.7)	11 (52.4)	0.273
gastrointest. symptoms	43 (15.9)	4 (16.7)	1.000	49 (18.1)	6 (27.3)	0.442	28 (10.7)	3 (13.6)	0.719	18 (6.9)	3 (14.3)	0.197
sore throat	74	4	0.337	41	4	0.530	17	6	0.002	12	7	<0.001

	(27.6)	(16.7)		(15.3)	(20.0)		(6.5)	(27.3)		(4.6)	(33.3)	

Table 4: Number of symptoms by days 1,5,7,8,10,14.

number of symptoms (incl. fever)	day 1 (n=285)	day 5 (n=280)	day 7 (n=280)	day 8 (n=277)	day 10 (n=275)	day 14 (n=272)
	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)
0	4.2 (12)	12.5 (35)	18.2 (51)	23.1 (64)	29.1 (80)	45,6 (124)
1	14.7 (42)	16.1 (45)	18.9 (53)	20.2(56)	26.9 (74)	26.1 (71)
2	14.4 (41)	12.9 (36)	16.8 (47)	17.3 (48)	13.8 (38)	14.3 (39)
3	18.2 (52)	14.6 (41)	9.6 (27)	10.1 (28)	10.9 (30)	4.8 (13)
4	14.0 (40)	12.1 (34)	11.1 (31)	11.2 (31)	6.5 (18)	4.8 (13)
5	14.0 (40)	10.7 (30)	10.4 (29)	7.6 (21)	5.5 (15)	2.9 (8)
6	8.1 (23)	8.2 (23)	6.4 (18)	3.6 (10)	3.6 (10)	1.1 (3)
7	7.0 (20)	5.4 (15)	4.3 (12)	4.7 (13)	2.2 (6)	-
8	3.5 (10)	3.9 (11)	2.1 (6)	1.4 (4)	1.5 (4)	0.4 (1)
9	0.7 (2)	2.1 (6)	1.4 (4)	0.4 (1)	-	-
10	0.7 (2)	0.7 (2)	0.4 (1)	0.4 (1)	-	-
11	0.4 (1)	0.4 (1)	0.4 (1)	-	-	-
12	-	0.4 (1)	-	-	-	-

Colour coding according to frequency (descending): dark red – red - dark yellow – yellow - grey.

Figure S1 Frequencies of symptoms in the course of the disease



Figure 2 Number of symptoms in comparison of hospitalized to non-hospitalized patients