

1 **Title**

2 **Investigating the evolution of undergraduate medical students' perception and**  
3 **performance in relation to an innovative curriculum-based research module: a**  
4 **convergent mixed methods study launching the 8A-Model**

5 **Short title**

6 **A convergent mixed methods study launching the 8A-Model**

7 Farah Otaki<sup>1</sup>, Deena AlHashmi<sup>2</sup>, Amar Hassan Khamis<sup>3</sup>, Aida Joseph Azar<sup>2\*</sup>

8 <sup>1</sup>Strategy and Institutional Excellence, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health  
9 Sciences, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

10 <sup>2</sup>College of Medicine, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences, Dubai,  
11 United Arab Emirates

12 <sup>3</sup>Hamdan Bin Mohammed College of Dental Medicine, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine  
13 and Health Sciences, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

14 **\*Corresponding author:**  
15 Email: [aida.azar@mbru.ac.ae](mailto:aida.azar@mbru.ac.ae) (AJA)

## 16 **Abstract**

17 Embedding an experiential research curriculum into medical programs is still not  
18 widely adopted. This study investigated, using convergent mixed methods design,  
19 the journey of medical students in relation to a research module. The students'  
20 perception of the experience was qualitatively explored using thematic analysis. The  
21 students' performance data were quantitatively analyzed using multi-repeated  
22 ANOVA.

23 The exploration generated four themes: 1- Attend-Acquire, 2- Accumulate-  
24 Assimilate, 3- Apply-Appreciate, and 4-Articulate-Affect. Quantitatively, two distinct  
25 clusters of mean Grade Point Average were revealed ( $p < 0.01$ ). Joint display analysis  
26 enabled integrating the qualitative and quantitative findings, generating the 8A-  
27 Model. The students start appreciating the experience upon conducting their  
28 research.

29 **Keywords:** Evidence-based Medicine; Curriculum; Undergraduate; Convergent  
30 Mixed Methods; Joint Display Analysis

## 31 Introduction

32 Early research training, particularly as part of undergraduate medical  
33 education, has been proven to significantly affect physicians' career development (1-  
34 4) and professional identity (5-7). Physicians are more likely to perform research as  
35 one of their primary professional activities if they are exposed to research  
36 experiences early-on in their educational trajectory (8-10). For example, a study that  
37 investigated the impact of the Vanderbilt University School of Medicine Medical  
38 Scholars Program, showed that such programs prepare students for careers in  
39 academic medicine, and influences their career choices at an early juncture in their  
40 training (11). Such experiences are associated with improved academic  
41 performance, and increased research interest and productivity (2, 12).

42 The provision of quality medical care and the development of strong research  
43 skills, among healthcare practitioners, are inextricably linked (6). It is believed that  
44 research makes medical students better future clinicians and that it constitutes the  
45 core of the practice of medicine (1, 7). Research empowers the students to practice  
46 evidence-based medicine, enabling them to generate the necessary evidence to  
47 reinforce decisions during residency and in their future practice (7). In a study aimed  
48 at exploring the students' perception of a unique student-driven Undergraduate  
49 Research Committee at Alfaisal University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, showed that such  
50 committees provide the future generation of physicians with diverse training  
51 opportunities to pursue research careers (3).

52 Embedding research curricula into undergraduate medical programs is still not  
53 widely adopted (2), although it is globally recognized to be an integral component of  
54 physician training (3, 13, 14). This is especially true if the learning opportunity is

55 experiential in nature (15-18), and based on a holistic theory of education, which  
56 emphasizes learning as participation in the social world (19). To the best of the  
57 authors knowledge, there seems to be a gap in the literature regarding the trajectory  
58 through which undergraduate medical students go through to learn applied research  
59 concepts. Accordingly, this study aimed at investigating the journey of undergraduate  
60 medical students in relation to a compulsory curriculum-based research module,  
61 which has a prominent experiential component. The research questions of this study  
62 are as follows:

- 63 1. How do the MBBS students perceive the research module courses at different  
64 stages in their learning trajectory?
- 65 2. How is the performance of the students evolving as they progress in the  
66 module, and how does this trend relate to that of the students' performance  
67 across all courses in the respective semesters?
- 68 3. What meta-inferences can be derived from integrating the qualitative data  
69 analysis (i.e., perception) with that of the quantitative one (i.e., performance)?

## 70 **Materials and methods**

### 71 **Context of the study**

72 This study was conducted at the Mohammed Bin Rashid University of  
73 Medicine and Health Sciences (MBRU) in Dubai, United Arab Emirates. The College  
74 of Medicine (CoM), at MBRU, offers an undergraduate Bachelor of Medicine and  
75 Bachelor of Surgery (MBBS) program (20).

76 This MBBS program consists of a six-year curriculum built on a competency-  
77 based learning model. The learning process is spiral, with integrated courses

78 through-out the six-years, totaling 12 semesters (ie 2 semesters per academic year)  
 79 (21). The CoM received its first MBBS batch of students in August 2016 (ie Class of  
 80 2022).

## 81 **Description of the research module under investigation**

82 The research module is an integral part of the MBBS program at MBRU and is  
 83 compulsory for all enrolled undergraduate medical students (22). Those students  
 84 transition from secondary school directly into the program without academic  
 85 induction. This module consists of a series of five interrelated courses in  
 86 epidemiology, biostatistics, and research methodology delivered over the first five  
 87 consecutive semesters of the MBBS program, Table 1. These 5-integrated courses  
 88 are in complete alignment with the MBBS Program Learning Outcomes at MBRU.  
 89 Where, upon completing those 5-integrated courses, the student would have  
 90 developed the competences needed for the third Program Learning Outcome:  
 91 *“practicing evidence-based medicine, and engaging in scholarship and generation of*  
 92 *new knowledge” (20).*

93 **Table 1. Illustrating the outline of the research module under investigation.**

Semester	1	2	3	4	5
Credit Hour	1	1	2	2	2
Courses' Description	Three courses aimed at enhancing the students understanding of the sciences of epidemiology and biostatistics, and of the basic technicalities of			Two courses to lead each student through undergoing his/ her own research project	

	research methodology	
--	----------------------	--

94 Legend, Table 1, an outline of the compulsory research module at MBRU, which is  
95 composed of 5-integrated courses given in 5-consecutive semesters, totaling 8  
96 Credit Hours.

97       Each course builds upon the knowledge and skills obtained in the preceding  
98 course. The mode of delivery is sequential that reinforces the acquired knowledge  
99 and skills, among the students, as they progress in the research module. Eight  
100 Credit Hours (CH) are awarded for completing this module: the two consecutive  
101 courses offered in semesters 1 and 2 are each awarded 1 CH. As for the courses  
102 offered consecutively in semesters 3, 4, and 5, each are awarded 2 CH, Table1.

103       The first three courses, delivered in Semesters 1 through 3 (totaling 4 CH),  
104 provide the students with a comprehensive background and understanding of the  
105 sciences of epidemiology and biostatistics, and of the basic technicalities of research  
106 methodology. The last two courses, delivered in Semesters 4 and 5 (totaling 4 CH),  
107 are more practical in nature, and are designed to further reinforce the students'  
108 understanding of the principles of research design and methodology, where they  
109 apply what they learn through undergoing an actual research study, Table 1. This  
110 component of the learning experience is based on Situated Learning Theory, which  
111 is one of the holistic theories of education and emphasizes participation in the social  
112 world (19).

113       Each student performs their own research project, with an assigned  
114 supervisor, either basic or clinical sciences faculty member (depending on the scope  
115 of the study). The students are given an extensive list of health-related research

116 opportunities of which they are given the autonomy to choose from. These projects  
117 are biomedical (clinical or lab-based) or socio-behavioral in nature; the projects in  
118 the latter track are related to health systems or medical education. The supervisors'  
119 main responsibility is to co-create with their assigned supervisees, while mentoring  
120 them in relation to the subject matter throughout the scientific research process. As  
121 such, the individual students go through a process of adaption as they progress in  
122 the learning experience, which takes place in the authentic context, among the  
123 respective community of practice; all of which depends on what the individual  
124 student's research project is.

125         The course learning objectives guide the instructional learning strategy of  
126 each course. The first three courses are delivered using both lecture-based teaching  
127 and case-based learning. The summative assessment contributes to the Grade Point  
128 Average (GPA) which is out of 4.0 and constitutes a direct measure of the individual  
129 students' performance. The attainment of the learning objectives of these courses is  
130 measured by a combination of summative and formative assessments: Assignments  
131 (formative), Open-book Assessment (summative), and End-of-term Examination  
132 (summative). The course instructors are responsible for grading all the assessments.

133         As for the last two courses, they are delivered using both case-based  
134 teaching and project-based learning. The attainment of the learning objectives in  
135 semester 4 is measured by a combination of summative and formative assessments:  
136 Research Ethics Module (formative), Student Research Project Form (formative),  
137 Research Proposal (summative), In-course Assessment (summative), Student  
138 Progress (summative), and Oral Presentation (summative). As for semester 5,  
139 students are assessed on the following parameters: Conference Poster Presentation  
140 (summative), Research Project Dissertation (summative), Student Progress

141 (summative), and Digital Abstract (summative). It is worth noting that the same group  
142 of instructors (three faculty members) are involved in delivering the 5-integrated  
143 courses and in grading all entailed assessments (using pre-defined rubric). The  
144 assessment plan, question type, and grading method used are congruent across all  
145 cohorts. Accordingly, consistency of assessment and corresponding grading scheme  
146 is ensured.

## 147 **Research design**

148 A convergent mixed methods study design was adapted to develop a  
149 systemic understanding of the experience of the undergraduate medical students  
150 throughout the respective research module. For that matter, qualitative and  
151 quantitative datasets were concurrently collected and in turn systematically  
152 integrated. The triangulation of data, as such, enables investigating the same  
153 phenomenon from differing perspectives, which in turn raises the validity of the  
154 generated findings.

155 The qualitative segment of this study aimed at exploring the development of  
156 the perception of students in relation to the module, and to its immediate output, and  
157 foreseen outcome and long-term impact. It relied on a phenomenological research  
158 methodology (23, 24) based on the deployment of the Braun and Clarke (2006) six-  
159 step model of conducting thematic analysis (25). This framework, which is based on  
160 a constructivist epistemology, is commonly used in the realm of social and  
161 behavioral research (26, 27).

162 In parallel, the performance of the students as they progress through each  
163 course of the research module was quantitatively analyzed. This quantitative  
164 segment relied on a cross-sectional time-series design (28), using the GPA of the

165 students of all three classes (2022, 2023, and 2024) on an aggregate level. The  
 166 performance of the students in each of the courses of the research module was  
 167 measured. The generated trend across those courses was compared to that of the  
 168 students' performance of all courses (of the MBBS program) in the respective  
 169 semesters.

## 170 Ethical Approval

171 Ethical approval for the study was granted by the MBRU, Institutional Review  
 172 Board (Reference # MBRU-IRB-2020-015).

## 173 Participants

174 The study was conducted in Fall 2019-2020 and involved three cohorts of  
 175 MBBS students: Class of 2022 who had completed the entire research module, all 5  
 176 integrated courses (8 CH), Class of 2023 who had completed the first four courses (6  
 177 CH) out of 5 courses, and Class of 2024 who had completed the first two courses (2  
 178 CH) out of 5 courses, Table 2.

179 **Table 2. Illustrating the respective cohorts progress in the research module**  
 180 **under investigation.**

Progress		Semester				
		1	2	3	4	5
Cohort	2022	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑
	2023	☑	☑	☑	☑	-
	2024	☑	☑	-	-	-

181 Legend, Table 2, the study was conducted in Fall 2019-2020 and involved three  
 182 cohorts of MBBS students: Class of 2022 (54 students) who had completed the

183 entire research module (ie all 5 integrated courses given across 5 consecutive  
184 semesters), Class of 2023 (38 students) who had completed the four out of five  
185 courses, and Class of 2024 (66 students) who had completed the first two courses.

## 186 **Data Collection**

### 187 **Qualitative data**

188 The qualitative segment of this study involved exploring the evolution of the  
189 perception of the students regarding their experiences as part of the research  
190 module from joining the MBBS program. For that matter, three focus group sessions  
191 were conducted. Since the ideal size of a focus group is between five to eight  
192 participants (29, 30), seven randomly selected students, from each of the three  
193 classes (2022, 2023, and 2024), were invited to the focus group sessions (one  
194 corresponding to each class). The randomization was done using the ‘Select Cases’  
195 function of SPSS statistical package (Windows version 25.0, IBM Corp, NY, USA).

196 A focus group protocol was tailor-made to guide the data collection initiative  
197 across all three sessions. Forty-five minutes were allocated for each group session,  
198 which was divided into four segments. The first 15 minutes inquired about the  
199 knowledge, skills, and competencies acquired through the module (including but not  
200 limited to: basic concepts and research process). The following 10 minutes were  
201 focused on the research learning experience, across the module, and the output,  
202 outcome, and impact of the module. The next 10 minutes included discussions  
203 around the effectiveness of the module, the students’ level of appreciation, and the  
204 value of research. The last 10 minutes constituted the wrap-up where the strengths  
205 and opportunities for improvement of the module were pinpointed. These focus

206 group sessions were facilitated by a researcher experienced in designing and  
207 undergoing qualitative studies (FO).

208 The data collection tool, which was designed especially for this study,  
209 underwent two validation phases. Firstly, five faculty members at CoM were  
210 contacted for the content validity. Secondly, the questions of the tool were discussed  
211 with 10 randomly selected students to assess the readability and comprehensibility  
212 of the questions, and the sequence by which the questions were presented (ie face  
213 validity).

214 Students were informed that participation in the focus group sessions was  
215 completely voluntary and were given the option to withdraw from participation any  
216 time before or during the focus group session. In addition, the students were  
217 requested to provide written consent as a prerequisite to participation. Participating  
218 students were assured regarding the anonymity and confidentiality of the data  
219 generated from the respective sessions.

220 Each participant was given a unique identification number (ie participants  
221 were numbered 1 through 15). These unique identification numbers were  
222 complimented with '1' for Class of 2024, '2' for Class of 2023, and '3' for Class of  
223 2022 (ie participants 1 through 6 were followed by '1', 7 through 11 by '2', and 12  
224 through 15 by '3').

## 225 **Quantitative data**

226 Assessment data, including but not limited to the GPA, is routinely gathered  
227 by the Student Services and Registration department at MBRU (SSR). Data on the  
228 students' performance (GPA), per semester, across all courses offered in the  
229 respective semester, and in relation to each of the sequential courses of the

230 research module were requested by the researchers from the SSR. The obtained  
231 GPA values corresponded to Semesters 1 through 5 (Class of 2022), Semesters 1  
232 through 3 (Class of 2023), and Semester 1 (Class of 2024). This depended on where  
233 the respective students were in their learning trajectory. All the data related to the  
234 performance of the students was deidentified by a member of the SSR department  
235 prior handing it over to the research team.

## 236 **Data Analysis**

### 237 **Qualitative data**

238 The qualitative data was thematically analyzed by three researchers (AJA,  
239 DA, & AH), following the six steps of the abovementioned framework (25). The data  
240 collected from each of the three cohorts was handled separately; the researchers  
241 were not informed which cohort each of the datasets corresponded to. The  
242 researchers started with familiarizing themselves with the data; patterns across the  
243 datasets were systematically identified and reflected upon. The second step included  
244 segmenting the data into meaningful statements and generating initial codes. The  
245 third step included searching for themes. This led to the generation of a set of  
246 themes that refer to differing stages of the students' learning journey. The themes  
247 were then reviewed as the fourth step, where they underwent several rounds of  
248 reflections.

249 The three researchers, as part of the fifth step, agreed on the optimal way to  
250 sequence those themes based on their collective understanding of the encapsulating  
251 context, delivered curriculum, and receiving students. They also coded the themes.  
252 The researchers factored into the analysis their interpretation of differing knowledge  
253 transfer, exchange, and valorization theories (eg Kirkpatrick model, Learning-

254 Transfer Evaluation, system thinking, knowledge management, and processual  
255 analyses) (31-36).

256 NVivo software version 12 plus (QSR International Pty Ltd, Vic, Australia) was  
257 used to code the data, as per the agreed upon conceptual framework, and in turn  
258 facilitate the categorization of the relevant text fragments. The transcripts were  
259 examined, line-by-line, while coding the text fragments that relate to the research  
260 questions until no new information was observed in the data, and hence data  
261 saturation was attained. All this paved the way for the last step of the adapted  
262 framework which constituted the basis of reporting upon the results and was done in  
263 alignment with recently published recommendations for reporting qualitative research  
264 (37, 38).

## 265 **Quantitative data**

266 The extracted quantitative data was analyzed using the SPSS statistical  
267 package (Windows version 25.0, IBM Corp, NY, USA). Continuous data was  
268 described by measures of tendency and dispersion. Analysis of variance was used  
269 to compare the mean GPA in the different semesters. A p-value of less than 0.05  
270 was considered statistically significant (39).

## 271 **Mixed Methods Integration**

272 The findings from both types of analyses (qualitative and quantitative) were  
273 mapped onto each other and carefully reflected upon. This mixed methods  
274 integration took the form of an iterative process, namely: the joint display analysis  
275 (40). This ultimately led to meta inferences (41). The researchers explored how the  
276 output of the analyses relate to one another to synthesize a meaningful narrative.

## 277 Results

### 278 Qualitative

279 Out of twenty-one randomly selected students who were invited to the  
 280 sessions, 15 (71%) agreed to participate: 4 (Class of 2022), 5 (Class of 2023), and 6  
 281 (Class of 2024).

282 The generated data included reflections of the research learning trajectory,  
 283 from the perception of the students. As illustrated in the conceptual framework  
 284 generated as part of this study (Fig 1), the thematic analysis depicts four sequential  
 285 steps, namely: 1- Attend-Acquire, 2- Accumulate-Assimilate, 3- Apply-Appreciate,  
 286 and 4-Articulate-Affect, that the students go through as part of the research module  
 287 to effectively integrate the scientific research method, Table 3.

288 **Table 3. Mapping the study’s conceptual framework (output of analysis of the**  
 289 **qualitative component of this study) onto the courses of the research module**  
 290 **under investigation.**

Semester	1	2	3	4	5
Study’s conceptual framework	Attend & Acquire				
	-	Accumulate & Assimilate			
	-	-	-	Apply & Appreciate	
	-	-	-	-	Articulate & Affect

291

292 Legend, Table 3, the thematic analysis depicted four stages, namely: 1- Attend-  
 293 Acquire, 2- Accumulate-Assimilate, 3- Apply-Appreciate, and 4-Articulate-Affect, that

294 the students go through as part of the research module. The respective stages  
295 appeared to start at differing points in the research learning trajectory and continue  
296 until the students complete the module (ie a total of 5 semesters).

297 **Fig 1. Sequential steps of the research module learning journey.**

298 Fig 1 legend, the qualitative component of this mixed methods research study  
299 generated this framework, depicting the different phases that students go through as  
300 part of the curriculum-based research module (represented as the Grey arrow). Each  
301 phase, representing a theme of the inductive analysis, appeared to be characterized  
302 by an interplay of two verbs. Hence, the code mindfully ascribed to each theme  
303 brings together two verbs, each starting with the letter 'A'. The increasing size of  
304 circles represent the students' accumulation of expertise (ie integrated knowledge  
305 and skills), and the color development (ie from light to dark) represents the gradual  
306 evolution that the students go through, over time.

307 **Attend-Acquire**

308 The first theme encapsulated the text fragments that refer to the attendance of  
309 the students, which highlighted the students' enrolment, their in-class experience  
310 and contributions, and their interactions with the instructors. The content of the first  
311 two courses was described as novel, and in some cases, confusing, to those who  
312 had just started their journey.

313 1.1. "...before we start the lecture, I go over the learning objectives, and as  
314 the instructor goes through the presentation explaining its content, I would be  
315 ticking off the learning objectives to confirm that we covered them all..."

316 6.1. "...while the instructors are delivering the biostatistics content, we  
317 continuously shift between the theory and the practice using SPSS. It is

318 difficult for us to see the link. We do not see how the theory translates into  
319 practice. We are told there are links, but we do not see them. This confuses  
320 us...”

321 This theme also included text fragments that related to the knowledge and  
322 skills that the students acquired from their experience.

323 2.1. “...we learned about the different types of studies. For example:  
324 experimental and non-experimental studies. We will be using this knowledge  
325 to design our own research studies at a later stage...for biostatistics, it is all  
326 based on formulas. We need to practice it step-by-step to be able to  
327 understand and implement it in our research studies...”

328 5.1. “...we learned about public health... it is the art and science revolving  
329 around the health of the population...it shows you how it is all interlinked...the  
330 health and wellbeing of one patient is related to the status of the community  
331 that s/he belongs to...”

332 7.2. “... we learned mostly about research. We started off the module learning  
333 about epidemiology... In parallel, they were teaching us biostatistics. We did  
334 not know back then the relevance and importance of biostatistics. Its true  
335 importance became apparent to us in Semester 4...”

336 9.2. “...I am now better at writing professional emails, because there are so  
337 many emails that I had to send to my supervisors; there were a lot of  
338 correspondences, back and forth, between us...”

## 339 **Accumulate-Assimilate**

340           The second theme is related to how the knowledge and skills are  
341 accumulating, and in turn shaping the students' attitudes and habits (eg critical  
342 appraisal and retrieving evidence). At this stage in the learning curve, the students  
343 start realizing the importance of the disciplines of biostatistics, epidemiology, and  
344 research, and how they are interlinked:

345           3.1. "...this course enabled us to understand articles. We critically appraise  
346 articles. We gained the habit of screening data, information, and knowledge.  
347 We evaluate the quality of the evidence prior taking it into account..."

348           7.2. "... we now know how to properly read a peer-reviewed article. We do  
349 not just read the findings from the perception of the investigators. We  
350 investigate the numbers, the calculations, and the statistical techniques. We  
351 check the reliability of the study and the validity of the results. We critically-  
352 appraise its core, along with going through and reflecting upon the findings of  
353 the authors..."

354           8.2. "... in the beginning, we were given epidemiology and biostatistics. Back  
355 then, I did not understand neither their importance, nor their relevance to each  
356 other...I started seeing the link between them in the fourth semester..."

357           14.3. "... it is important to know how to effectively interpret data if one wants  
358 to implement evidence-based medicine..."

359           15.3. "...I started to use what I acquired from this module in other  
360 courses...the five courses turned out to be interlinked. ..."

361 Then comes the stage, where the students seem to play an active role in  
362 assimilating, and in turn integrating the acquired skills and knowledge. They start  
363 building expertise and resilience:

364 1.1. "...knowledge and skills of research are very important, and go hand-in-  
365 hand with practicing medicine... as clinicians, we need to continue on reading  
366 articles, keeping an eye on new studies so we can stay up-to-date..."

367 11.2. "... my supervisor effectively mentored me to integrate all that I had  
368 been acquiring to ask the right questions. It was not an easy journey for me,  
369 but I made it through. It has been so enriching..."

### 370 **Apply-Appreciate**

371 Following that, the students start applying what they have been acquiring,  
372 accumulating, and assembling. They feel empowered and a sense of ownership  
373 while undergoing their own research study:

374 7.2. "...for you to know something very well, you would have to do it..."

375 9.2. "...I used to always hear about research and physicians doing research,  
376 and that would get me really excited, but I did not know what research is all  
377 about...Now, I am informed, and feel empowered to attain my aspirations..."

378 After the students start putting into practice what they have been learning,  
379 they start appreciating the journey and all that it entails. The students have  
380 expressed gratitude, and in some cases- excitement:

381 9.2. "...we are grateful that we are given this opportunity at an early stage in  
382 our educational journey..."

383 12.3. "...my research topic was a literature review. I am now proficient in  
384 running systematic literature reviews. This is helping me during my  
385 internships, and as I am studying for other courses..."

386 14.3. "... this module made me appreciate research and how research is  
387 done. I really enjoy the scientific research process. I felt a strong sense of  
388 accomplishment upon completing and submitting my research study...I am  
389 now involving myself in other research opportunities..."

### 390 **Articulate-Affect**

391 The last theme included reflections in regard to how the students are  
392 articulating their findings and contributing to the theory (and practice) of the subject  
393 matter (be it through presenting and/ or publishing), which they are convinced would  
394 enrich their professional profiles.

395 9.2. "...we get a lot of opportunities to share, present, and collectively reflect  
396 upon our work...it is good to indicate this experience on our professional  
397 profiles..."

398 13.3. "...I learned what it takes to publish an article, and that, as they say: 'the  
399 whole is more than the sum of its parts'..."

400 14.3. "...I learned how to design...I designed a research study and wrapped-  
401 up the experience with designing a poster presentation and a conference  
402 digital abstract video. You need to learn how to ask the right questions, and  
403 to be equipped with the knowledge and skills to answer them effectively..."

404 This theme also related to how the students perceive themselves to be  
405 affecting and altering the field by practicing evidence-based medicine, improving

406 performance (clinical or otherwise), and developing communities. They also refer to  
407 how they are leveraging the expertise that they acquired in this module to coach  
408 others:

409 7.2. "...this is particularly relevant to our region. By conducting research and  
410 generating knowledge, we will be contributing to the development of our  
411 countries..."

412 13.3. "... this module enabled me to understand the importance of research  
413 and practicing evidence-based medicine..."

414 14.3. "...I learned a lot from the entire research experience...I am using what I  
415 gained from these courses in my internships..."

## 416 **Quantitative**

### 417 **Sample description**

418 The total number of students in all 3 classes (2022, 2023, and 2024), whose  
419 GPA values were factored into the analyses, was 158. Yet, the number of students  
420 per semester differed as this was dependent, by design of the study, on where the  
421 respective students were in their learning trajectory: Class of 2022 (54 students) who  
422 had completed the entire research module; Class of 2023 (38 students) who  
423 completed the first 4 Semesters; and Class of 2024 (66 students) who completed the  
424 first two semesters. In other words, 158 students completed Semesters 1 and 2, 92  
425 completed Semesters 3 and 4, and 54 completed Semester 5.

### 426 **Research module**

427 The quantitative analysis resulted in two distinct clusters of mean GPA values  
428 across the 5-integrated courses' module given in semesters 1 through 5, as shown in

429 Fig 2. The first cluster was for courses delivered in semesters 1, 2, and 3 where the  
430 mean GPA values were found to be homogenous ( $p>0.05$ ). The second cluster,  
431 which was also established to be homogeneous ( $p>0.05$ ), was for the mean GPA  
432 values of students across the courses offered in semesters 4 and 5. Moreover, the  
433 mean GPA values for Semesters 1, 2, and 3, as compared to Semester 4 and 5, was  
434 significantly lower in the former cluster ( $p<0.01$ ). The same trend, with a similar  
435 significance, was observed when the data of Semester 1 to 5 of Class of 2022 only  
436 was analyzed. It became evident that two significantly different clusters were  
437 observed cluster 1 (Semesters 1, 2, and 3) and cluster 2 (Semesters 4 and 5)  
438 ( $P<0.01$ ).

439 **Fig 2. Mean Grade Point Average (GPA), with the corresponding 95%**  
440 **Confidence Interval (CI), for the research module courses (Blue), and all the**  
441 **MBBS program courses together (Red), offered in the respective *semester*.**

442 Fig 2 legend, Blue lines- There are two distinct clusters of mean GPA values across  
443 the 5-integrated course modules: first cluster was for courses of semesters 1, 2, and  
444 3, and the second cluster was for courses of semesters 4 and 5. The 95% CI for  
445 Semester 1, 2, and 3 overlap indicating no statistical difference between the three  
446 research courses given in the first 3 semesters. Similarly, the 95% CI for the  
447 research courses given in Semester 4 and 5 overlap indicating no statistical  
448 difference ( $p>0.05$ ). However, post-hoc ANOVA analysis revealed two distinct  
449 clusters of mean GPA, the first cluster (Semesters 1, 2, and 3) and the second  
450 cluster (Semesters 4 and 5) ( $p<0.01$ ).

## 451 **All program courses**

452 As evident in Fig 2, the mean GPA values of the students, across all courses  
453 offered as part of the MBBS program (including the courses of the research module),  
454 was heterogeneous. The results showed that the mean GPA in semester 1 was  
455 significantly different than that of semesters 2, 3, and 5 ( $p<0.01$ ); semester 2 was  
456 significantly different than that of semesters 1 and 5 ( $p<0.01$ ); semester 3 was  
457 significantly different than that of semesters 1 and 4 ( $p<0.01$ ); semester 4 was  
458 significantly different than that of semesters 1 and 2 ( $p<0.01$ ); and semester 5 was  
459 significantly different than that of semester 3 ( $p<0.01$ ). Indicating the absence of any  
460 clustering.

## 461 **Mixed methods integration**

462 Merging the output of the thematic analysis into that of the quantitative  
463 analysis unearthed a holistic perspective of the situation, illustrated in the study's  
464 joint display (Fig 3). The convergence of findings enabled the development of a  
465 thorough understanding of how the students' perception and performance evolve as  
466 they progress through the module. On its own, the narrative analysis showed the 4  
467 steps that the students go through as part of the journey, with particular emphasis on  
468 the value of the step where the students start putting into practice what they have  
469 been learning. As for the quantitative analysis (on its own), it revealed that the  
470 students' performance can be observed as two distinct clusters. These findings  
471 confirmed the results of the qualitative segment of this study, illustrating that the  
472 turning point in the learning trajectory of the students is at the "Apply" step of the  
473 introduced conceptual framework.

474 **Fig 3. A joint display, mapping the qualitative findings (the study's conceptual**  
475 **framework) with the quantitative analysis (dispersion of cluster-level**  
476 **performance measure) in the 5-integrated research courses across 5**  
477 **consecutive semesters (semesters 1, 2, and 3 where students were 'taught**  
478 **about research' and semesters 4 and 5 where students were 'enabled to**  
479 **conduct research').**

480 Fig 3 legend, mapping the study's conceptual framework onto the student's  
481 performance revealed a holistic perspective of the situation. The convergence of  
482 findings, as such, confirmed the existence of a turning point (highlighted with a  
483 Yellow dotted line). The students started expressing appreciation of the value of the  
484 learning experience upon putting into practice the knowledge and skills that they  
485 were acquiring, accumulating, and assimilating. The color transformation [ie from a  
486 primary color (ie Blue) to a secondary color (ie Green: Blue + Yellow)] further  
487 emphasizes this turning point, and how the increased appreciation happened  
488 concurrently with their increased engagement and enhanced performance.

## 489 **Discussion**

490 This study introduced the evidence-driven 8A-Model. This framework, in  
491 alignment with the Kirkpatrick's four level model (42, 43) and other theories around  
492 knowledge acquisition (44-46), suggests that the undergraduate medical students  
493 enrolled in an integrated research module go through specific steps in order to  
494 effectively integrate the scientific research method. These steps start with students  
495 attending the courses, followed by acquiring and in turn accumulating the knowledge  
496 and skills. Next the students assimilate what they are acquiring, which in turn,  
497 enables them to apply what they have been learning all along. This empowers the

498 students, solicits their appreciation, and encourages them to articulate their findings,  
499 which in turn affect the fields of medicine and/ or public health, instilling constructive  
500 change and improvements.

501 In parallel, the quantitative analysis revealed that the learning curve integral to  
502 the trajectory of the students, as part of the research module, can be observed as  
503 two segments. From the first through the third course, the three averages of  
504 performance of the students were similar. The averages of performance significantly  
505 increased in the fourth and fifth courses. Congruent with the results of the qualitative  
506 segment of this study, the turning point is at the “Apply” step of the introduced  
507 framework. As suggested, in previous studies, it is not enough to teach students  
508 about research (47). Students need to be provided with the opportunities to conduct  
509 research, and to be counselled on the attitudes needed for them to thrive in research  
510 environments (47, 48). This is especially true when, similar to the case of the  
511 research module under investigation in this study, the experiential learning  
512 component is based on the Situated Learning Theory (19, 49), and when the  
513 educator considers individuals, and their experiences and environments (50, 51). As  
514 such, the experiential education was maximized through the individual students’  
515 embeddedness in the authentic context, among a community-of-practice. Their  
516 active adaption is facilitated by experts in the subject matter.

517 Along these lines, this study showed that the students start to truly appreciate  
518 the value of the learning experience upon using the knowledge and skills that they  
519 are acquiring, accumulating, and assimilating. This appreciation is reflected in their  
520 increased engagement and enhanced performance. It is worth noting that this  
521 observation is quite different than the suggestions of other traditional knowledge  
522 transfer and integration models (eg Kirkpatrick model and the Learning-Transfer

523 Evaluation) which highlight appreciation (or the lack of it) as an instant result of  
524 participating in any one learning experience (32, 43). When it comes to effectively  
525 integrating the scientific research method, there is a prominent learning curve with a  
526 gradual evolution, and the content acquired is usually novel. So, it takes time to  
527 properly digest the acquired content, and start putting it into practice.

528           Moreover, the results show that the performance of the students throughout  
529 the research module is more consistent, with less fluctuations, than their  
530 performance across all courses in the first five semesters of the MBBS program.  
531 This could be indicative of the level of integration within each course of the research  
532 module, and across all five courses of the respective module. It is worth highlighting  
533 that although the 8A-Model is diagrammatically depicted as a linear process. It is  
534 more likely to be an iterative one given the required spiral mode of delivery which  
535 includes purposeful repetition of specific content to ensure effective integration within  
536 each course and across all five courses.

537           The convergent mixed methods study design enabled the development of  
538 thorough insights into this innovative research module, and its application as part of  
539 an undergraduate medical program. Yet, the generalizability of the results is limited  
540 to contexts that are like MBRU. Hence, it is worthwhile for future studies to  
541 investigate the application of such modules, and the validity and reliability of the  
542 generated 8A-Model across multiple medical programs. Moreover, by virtue of the  
543 selected study design no causality can be established. It would be worthwhile for  
544 future studies to investigate the same variables (ie perception and performance)  
545 longitudinally (preferably through an experimental design) to better understand how  
546 the respective variables relate to one another.

## 547 **Conclusion**

548           The evidence-driven 8A-Model, generated by this study, highlights that  
549 students' understanding of the true value of research seems to increase as they  
550 progress in the module. They begin expressing appreciation of the significance of  
551 the experience when they start implementing what they are learning (acquiring,  
552 accumulating, and assimilating) as part of their own research studies. It is  
553 recommended for such a research module, with a firm experiential component, to be  
554 integral to undergraduate medical programs.

## 555 **Acknowledgement**

556           The corresponding author would like to extend gratitude to Dr. Tom Loney for his  
557 valuable contribution to delivering components of the research module under  
558 investigation as part of this study.

## 559 **References**

- 560 1.       Langhammer CG, Garg K, Neubauer JA, Rosenthal S, Kinzy TG. Medical  
561 student research exposure via a series of modular research programs. *J Investig*  
562 *Med.* 2009;57(1):11-7.
- 563 2.       Amgad M, Man Kin Tsui M, Liptrott SJ, Shash E. Medical Student Research: An  
564 Integrated Mixed-Methods Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One.*  
565 2015;10(6):e0127470.
- 566 3.       Alamodi AA, Abu-Zaid A, Anwer LA, Khan TA, Shareef MA, Shamia AA, et al.  
567 Undergraduate research: an innovative student-centered committee from the  
568 Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Med Teach.* 2014;36 Suppl 1:S36-42.
- 569 4.       Peacock J. G. WLL, Drozdowicz L. B., Martin B. A., Suresh R., Denzer B. J.,  
570 Wentworth A. B., Adefusika J. A., Bachman M J., Grande J. P. Curricular Flexibility in  
571 the Pre-Clinical Years Promotes Medical Student Scholarship. *Medical Science*  
572 *Educator* volume. 2013;23:92-8.
- 573 5.       Rosenberg L. Physician-scientists--endangered and essential. *Science.*  
574 1999;283(5400):331-2.
- 575 6.       Weston WW. Do we pay enough attention to science in medical education?  
576 *Can Med Educ J.* 2018;9(3):e109-e14.

- 577 7. Detsky ME, Detsky AS. Encouraging medical students to do research and  
578 write papers. *CMAJ*. 2007;176(12):1719-21.
- 579 8. Hunskar S, Breivik J, Siebke M, Tommeras K, Figenschau K, Hansen JB.  
580 Evaluation of the medical student research programme in Norwegian medical  
581 schools. A survey of students and supervisors. *BMC Med Educ*. 2009;9:43.
- 582 9. Toledo P, McLean S, Duce L, Wong CA, Schubert A, Ward DS. Evaluation of  
583 the Foundation for Anesthesia Education and Research Medical Student Anesthesia  
584 Research Fellowship Program Participants' Scholarly Activity and Career Choices.  
585 *Anesthesiology*. 2016;124(5):1168-73.
- 586 10. Peacock JG, Grande JP. A flexible, preclinical, medical school curriculum  
587 increases student academic productivity and the desire to conduct future research.  
588 *Biochem Mol Biol Educ*. 2015;43(5):384-90.
- 589 11. Brown AM, Chipps TM, Gebretsadik T, Ware LB, Islam JY, Finck LR, et al.  
590 Training the next generation of physician researchers - Vanderbilt Medical Scholars  
591 Program. *BMC Med Educ*. 2018;18(1):5.
- 592 12. Brown AM, Morrow JD, Limbird LE, Byrne DW, Gabbe SG, Balsler JR, et al.  
593 Centralized oversight of physician-scientist faculty development at Vanderbilt: early  
594 outcomes. *Acad Med*. 2008;83(10):969-75.
- 595 13. Murdoch-Eaton D, Drewery S, Elton S, Emerson C, Marshall M, Smith JA, et  
596 al. What do medical students understand by research and research skills? Identifying  
597 research opportunities within undergraduate projects. *Med Teach*. 2010;32(3):e152-  
598 60.
- 599 14. Kupfer DJ, Hyman SE, Schatzberg AF, Pincus HA, Reynolds CF, 3rd. Recruiting  
600 and retaining future generations of physician scientists in mental health. *Arch Gen*  
601 *Psychiatry*. 2002;59(7):657-60.
- 602 15. Hill B. Research into experiential learning in nurse education. *Br J Nurs*.  
603 2017;26(16):932-8.
- 604 16. Fredericks L, Koch PA, Liu AA, Galitzdorfer L, Costa A, Utter J. Experiential  
605 Features of Culinary Nutrition Education That Drive Behavior Change: Frameworks  
606 for Research and Practice. *Health Promot Pract*. 2020;21(3):331-5.
- 607 17. de Oliveira SN, do Prado ML, Kempfer SS, Martini JG, Caravaca-Morera JA,  
608 Bernardi MC. Experiential learning in nursing consultation education via clinical  
609 simulation with actors: action research. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2015;35(2):e50-4.
- 610 18. Afshari M, Witek NP, Galifianakis NB. Education Research: An experiential  
611 outpatient teleneurology curriculum for residents. *Neurology*. 2019;93(4):170-5.
- 612 19. Quay J. Experience and Participation: Relating Theories of Learning. *The*  
613 *Journal of Experiential Education*. 2003;26(2):105-16.
- 614 20. CER CaERd. Discover your potential at Mohammed Bin Rashid University of  
615 Medicine and Health Sciences (MBRU) Dubai, United Arab Emirates 2016 [University  
616 Website]. Available from: <https://www.mbru.ac.ae/>.
- 617 21. Banerjee Y, Tuffnell C, Alkhadragey R. Mento's change model in teaching  
618 competency-based medical education. *BMC Med Educ*. 2019;19(1):472.
- 619 22. Azar AK, A.; Naidoo, N.; Lindsbro, M.; Gonuguntla, S.; Banarjee, Y. Creating a  
620 'simulacrum' of in-class learning environment employing distance learning modality  
621 during the COVID-19 pandemic: Quod Erat Demonstrandum. *Research Square*.  
622 2020.
- 623 23. Wong LP. Focus group discussion: a tool for health and medical research.  
624 *Singapore Med J*. 2008;49(3):256-60; quiz 61.

- 625 24. Varkey P, Peloquin J, Reed D, Lindor K, Harris I. Leadership curriculum in  
626 undergraduate medical education: a study of student and faculty perspectives. *Med*  
627 *Teach.* 2009;31(3):244-50.
- 628 25. Braun V, Clarke, V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative*  
629 *Research in Psychology* □. 2006;3(2):77-101.
- 630 26. Amir Rad FA, Otaki F, AlGurg R, Khan E, Davis D. A qualitative study of trainer  
631 and trainee perceptions and experiences of clinical assessment in post-graduate  
632 dental training. *Eur J Dent Educ.* 2020.
- 633 27. Kiger ME, Varpio L. Thematic analysis of qualitative data: AMEE Guide No.  
634 131. *Med Teach.* 2020;42(8):846-54.
- 635 28. Velicer WF, McDonald RP. Cross-Sectional Time Series Designs: A General  
636 Transformation Approach. *Multivariate Behav Res.* 1991;26(2):247-54.
- 637 29. Tavakol M, Sandars J. Quantitative and qualitative methods in medical  
638 education research: AMEE Guide No 90: Part I. *Med Teach.* 2014;36(9):746-56.
- 639 30. Tavakol M, Sandars J. Quantitative and qualitative methods in medical  
640 education research: AMEE Guide No 90: Part II. *Med Teach.* 2014;36(10):838-48.
- 641 31. Frye AW, Hemmer PA. Program evaluation models and related theories: AMEE  
642 guide no. 67. *Med Teach.* 2012;34(5):e288-99.
- 643 32. Moreau KA. Has the new Kirkpatrick generation built a better hammer for our  
644 evaluation toolbox? *Med Teach.* 2017;39(9):999-1001.
- 645 33. Webb AM, Tsipis NE, McClellan TR, McNeil MJ, Xu M, Doty JP, et al. A first  
646 step toward understanding best practices in leadership training in undergraduate  
647 medical education: a systematic review. *Acad Med.* 2014;89(11):1563-70.
- 648 34. Ali K, Cockerill J, Bennett JH, Belfield L, Tredwin C. Transfer of basic science  
649 knowledge in a problem-based learning curriculum. *Eur J Dent Educ.* 2020.
- 650 35. Brousselle A, Contandriopoulos D, Lemire M. Using Logic Analysis to Evaluate  
651 Knowledge Transfer Initiatives: The Case of the Research Collective on the  
652 Organization of Primary Care Services. *Evaluation (Lond).* 2009;15(2):165-83.
- 653 36. Cummingsa JL, Teng, B.S. Transferring R&D knowledge: the key factors  
654 affecting knowledge transfer success. *J Eng Technol Manage.* 2003;20:39-68.
- 655 37. Seaman JD, U.; Humberstone, B.; Martin, B.; Prince, H.; Quay, J. Joint  
656 Recommendations on Reporting Empirical Research in Outdoor, Experiential,  
657 Environmental, and Adventure Education Journals. *Journal of Experiential Education.*  
658 2020.
- 659 38. O'Brien BC, Harris IB, Beckman TJ, Reed DA, Cook DA. Standards for  
660 reporting qualitative research: a synthesis of recommendations. *Acad Med.*  
661 2014;89(9):1245-51.
- 662 39. Bernard R. *Fundamentals of Biostatistics.* 8th ed. ed. Brooks/Cole CL,  
663 editor2016.
- 664 40. Feters MD. *The Mixed Methods Research Workbook: Activities for Designing,*  
665 *Implementing, and Publishing Projects (Mixed Methods Research Series) 1st Edition.*  
666 2020.
- 667 41. Guetterman TF, M.D.; Creswell, J.W. Integrating Quantitative and Qualitative  
668 Results in Health Science Mixed Methods Research Through Joint Displays. *The*  
669 *Annals of Family Medicine.* 2015;13(6):554-61.
- 670 42. Kirkpatrick D KJ. *Evaluating training programs: The four levels.* : Berrett-  
671 Koehler Publishers; 2006.

- 672 43. Thalheimer W. The Learning-Transfer Evaluation Model (LTEM) 2018  
673 [Available from: [https://www.worklearning.com/2018/02/14/the-learning-transfer-  
675 evaluation-model-ltem/](https://www.worklearning.com/2018/02/14/the-learning-transfer-<br/>674 evaluation-model-ltem/).  
676 44. Rukavina I, Daneman, M. Integration and its effect on acquiring knowledge  
677 about competing scientific theories for text. . Journal of Educational Psychology.  
678 1996;88(2):272–87.  
679 45. Schmidt HG, van der Arend A, Moust JH, Kokx I, Boon L. Influence of tutors'  
680 subject-matter expertise on student effort and achievement in problem-based  
681 learning. Acad Med. 1993;68(10):784-91.  
682 46. Schmidt HG, Boshuizen, H.P.A. On Acquiring Expertise in Medicine.  
683 Educational Psychology Review. 1993;5(3):205-21.  
684 47. Mabvuure NT. Twelve tips for introducing students to research and  
685 publishing: a medical student's perspective. Med Teach. 2012;34(9):705-9.  
686 48. Mabvuure NT, Rodrigues J, Cumberworth A, Mahmud M. Twelve tips for  
687 running successful junior doctor-led teaching programmes for medical students. Med  
688 Teach. 2013;35(8):628-32.  
689 49. Seaman JB, M.; Quay, J. The Evolution of Experiential Learning Theory:  
690 Tracing Lines of Research in the JEE. Journal of Experiential Education. 2017.  
691 50. Norcini JJ, Banda SS. Increasing the quality and capacity of education: the  
692 challenge for the 21st century. Med Educ. 2011;45(1):81-6.  
693 51. Zigmont JJ, Kappus LJ, Sudikoff SN. Theoretical foundations of learning  
694 through simulation. Semin Perinatol. 2011;35(2):47-51.

## 694 **Supporting information**

### 695 *Glossary terms and abbreviations*

696 8A-Model: The framework generated by this study to suggest that students go  
697 through four phases of an iterative process: Attend-Acquire, Accumulate- Assimilate,  
698 Apply-Appreciate, and Articulate-Affect, with an evident turning point around “Apply”.

699 CoM: College of Medicine

700 CH: Credit Hours

701 GPA: Grade Point Average

702 IRB: Institutional Review Board

703 ANOVA: Analysis of Variance

704 MBBS: Bachelor of Medicine, Bachelor of Surgery, or in Latin: Medicinae

705 Baccalaureus, Baccalaureus Chirurgiae

706 MBRU: Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences

707 SD: Standard Deviation

708 SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

709 UAE: United Arab Emirates

710 ***Declaration of interest statement***

711 No potential competing interest was reported by the authors.

712 ***Funding***

713 No funding was obtained for this study.

714 ***Ethics approval and consent***

715 Prior to the commencement of the study, written informed consent was obtained  
716 from all participants. Ethical approval was obtained from Ethical approval for the  
717 study was granted by the MBRU, Institutional Review Board (Reference # MBRU-  
718 IRB-2020-015).


719 ***Notes on contributors***

720 FO- collected and analyzed the data, designed the 8A-Model with inputs from all  
721 authors, composed the final version of the manuscript; DAH- helped in analyzing the  
722 data and curation, and assisted in revising the manuscript; AHK- contributed to  
723 delivering components of the research module, and analyzed the data and assisted  
724 in revising the manuscript; AJA- developed and facilitated the delivery of the  
725 research module, maintained the macro perspective of the study, drafted the  
726 protocol, analyzed the data, composed, approved and submitted the final submission

727 of the manuscript with inputs from all authors. All authors approved the final  
728 manuscript.

729 ***Availability of Data***

730 The dataset is not publicly available but can be shared by the corresponding author  
731 (AJA) upon request.



*Figure 1 Sequential steps of the research module learning journey.*




Figure 2 Mean Grade Point Average (GPA), with the corresponding 95% Confidence Interval (CI), for the research module courses (Blue), and all the MBBS program courses together (Red), offered in the respective semester.




Figure 3 A joint display, mapping the qualitative findings (the study’s conceptual framework) with the quantitative analysis (dispersion of cluster-level performance measure) in the 5-integrated research courses across 5 consecutive semesters (semesters 1, 2, and 3 where students were ‘taught about research’ and semesters 4 and 5 where students were ‘enabled to conduct research’).