Hemodynamic Differences Between Women and Men with Elevated Blood Pressure in China

Short title: Caraballo, Hemodynamic Sex Differences in Elevated Blood Pressure

César Caraballo, MD,[1,2] Shiwani Mahajan, MBBS, MHS,[1,2] Jianlei Gu, MSc,[3,4] Yuan Lu, ScD,[1] Erica S. Spatz, MD, MHS,[1,2] Rachel P. Dreyer, PhD,[5] MaoZhen Zhang, MD,[6,7] NingLing Sun, MD,[8] Yihong Ren, MD,[9] Xin Zheng, MD, PhD,[10] Hongyu Zhao, PhD,[3,11] Hui Lu, PhD,[3,12] Zheng J. Ma, PhD, MBA,[3,11,13] Harlan M. Krumholz, MD, SM[1,2,14]

[1] Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation, Yale-New Haven Hospital, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America

[2] Section of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America

[3] SJTU-Yale Joint Center for Biostatistics, School of Life Science and Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

[4] Shanghai Engineering Research Center for Big Data in Pediatric Precision Medicine, Shanghai, China

[5] Department of Emergency Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, United States

[6] iKang Healthcare Group, Inc., Shanghai, China

[7] Department of Cardiology, Xinhua Hospital Affiliated with Shanghai Jiao Tong University

[8] Department of Hypertension at Heart Center, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, China

[9] The First Medical Center of Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China

[10] National Clinical Research Center of Cardiovascular Diseases, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China

[11] Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America

[12] Center for Biomedical Informatics, Shanghai Children's Hospital, Shanghai, China School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

[13] Beijing Li-Heng Medical Technologies, Ltd, Beijing, China

[14] Department of Health Policy and Management, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America

Address correspondence to:

Harlan M. Krumholz MD, SM

1 Church Street, Suite 200, New Haven, CT 06510 USA

Telephone: 203-764-5885

Fax: 203-764-5653

Email: harlan.krumholz@yale.edu

Tables: 2; Figures: 3

Supplementary material: 4 Figures, 3 Tables

Total word count: 3536

Abstract word count: 249

Subject Terms: Hemodynamics; Women, Sex, and Gender; High Blood Pressure; Hypertension; Epidemiology

ABSTRACT

Background: Whether there are sex differences in hemodynamic profiles among people with elevated blood pressure is not well understood and could guide personalization of treatment.

Methods and results: We described the clinical and hemodynamic characteristics of adults with elevated blood pressure in China using impedance cardiography. We included 45,082 individuals with elevated blood pressure (defined as systolic blood pressure of ≥130 mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure of ≥80 mmHg), of which 35.2% were women. Overall, women had a higher mean systolic blood pressure than men (139.0 [±15.7] mmHg vs 136.8 [±13.8] mmHg, P<0.001), but a lower mean diastolic blood pressure (82.6 [±9.0] mmHg vs 85.6 [±8.9] mmHg, P<0.001). After adjusting for age, region, and body mass index, women <50 years old had lower systemic vascular resistance index (beta-coefficient [β] -31.68; 95% CI: -51.18, -12.19) and higher cardiac index (β 0.07; 95% CI: 0.04, 0.09) than men of their same age group, whereas among those ≥50 years old women had higher systemic vascular resistance index (β 120.43; 95% CI: 102.36, 138.51) but lower cardiac index (β -0.15; 95% CI: -0.16, -0.13). Results were consistent with a propensity score matching sensitivity analysis, although the magnitude of the SVRI difference was lower and non-significant. However, there was substantial overlap between women and men in the distribution plots of these variables, with overlapping areas ranging from 78% to 88%.

Conclusions: Our findings indicate that there are sex differences in hypertension phenotype, but that sex alone is insufficient to infer an individual's profile.

Keywords: sex characteristics, hypertension, hemodynamics, cardiac index, vascular resistance, precision medicine

INTRODUCTION

Mean arterial pressure is determined by the cardiac output (CO) and systemic vascular resistance (SVR), and there are important sex-specific differences in its regulation and the risk of developing hypertension.[1-4] Recent studies[5-7] have shown that, on average, women with hypertension have a higher SVR and a lower CO when compared with men. Such observations suggest that sex could serve as a proxy for the underlying hemodynamic phenotype among individuals with elevated blood pressure. Considering that tailoring antihypertensive treatment based on individuals' hemodynamic profile may be associated with better blood pressure control,[8-10] these sex differences on hemodynamic phenotypes could help to identify more personalized therapeutic approaches. However, current hypertension guidelines have no sex-specific recommendations on therapy–other than in pregnancy–due to a lack of evidence of benefit from sex-stratified therapies.[11, 12]

Most of the studies addressing these underlying hemodynamic sex differences have had small samples or focused exclusively on younger individuals,[5, 6] which limits the generalizability of their findings to a broader population that includes the elderly, among whom the hypertension burden is greater.[13-15] Particularly, after menopause women experience a sharp increase in hypertension prevalence,[16-18] eventually surpassing aged-matched men.[19] Thus, assessing the sex-specific differences in hemodynamic profiles across age groups can provide a better understanding on whether there are substantial hemodynamic differences by sex which could be used to guide therapy.

Accordingly, we used data from tens of thousands of individuals with elevated blood pressure from an outpatient setting in China to evaluate the overall patterns of sex differences in hemodynamic variables and to determine how these sex hemodynamic differences may vary with

age. We also aimed to evaluate the distribution of these variables among women and men, and to what extent they overlap by sex. Results from this study can advance our understanding of the association of sex with hemodynamic patterns in people with hypertension and suggest if sex can be used to guide therapy.

METHODS

The data that support the findings of this study are available from ZJ Ma (email: jonathan.ma@yale.edu) upon reasonable request from qualified researchers.

Study population

Between January 2012 and October 2018, 116,851 individuals (65,172 men and 51,679 women) underwent an impedance cardiography (ICG) test offered as part of the employee routine annual physical examination at 51 sites of iKang Health Checkup Centers throughout China. We excluded those younger than 20 years and those older than 80 years (n=839). Then, we excluded 1,814 individuals with outlier values of weight, height, blood pressure, heart rate, stroke volume, and baseline thoracic impedance (**Supplementary Figure S1**). Of the 114,198 remaining individuals, we included 45,082 with elevated blood pressure, which was defined as a systolic blood pressure (SBP) of ≥130 mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of ≥80 mmHg, consistent with the 2017 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association hypertension guidelines.[11]

Data collection

At health centers, nurses collected information on the patient's age, sex, geographical region, weight, height, SBP, and DBP. Weight was measured using a calibrated and standardized

scale, rounded to the nearest 0.1 kg. Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a portable stadiometer (Omron HNJ-318; Omron Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) with patients standing without shoes and heels against the wall. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. After 5 minutes of resting in a seated position, blood pressure was measured once using an automated monitor (Omron HBP-9020; Omron Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) on the right arm.

Patients were then requested to lay supine and, after 3 minutes in this position, all hemodynamic parameters were measured using ICG. The ICG method that has been validated against invasive techniques for estimation of stroke volume and CO in both stable and high-risk populations,[20-22] and has been shown to be a highly reproducible technique.[23] By applying a constant, low amplitude, high-frequency, alternating electrical current to the thorax, ICG device measures the corresponding voltage to detect beat-to-beat changes in thoracic electrical resistance, known as impedance, and with it stroke volume is estimated.[24, 25] Then, using heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, and BMI, other hemodynamic parameters are calculated, including CO, cardiac index (CI), SVR, and systemic vascular resistance index (SVRI).[26] The ICG device used (CHM T3002/P3005, designed by Beijing Li-Heng Medical Technologies, Ltd, manufactured by Shandong Baolihao Medical Appliances, Ltd.) was developed based on improved hardware and advanced digital filtering algorithms,[27] and has been validated versus both invasive thermodilution and non-invasive echocardiography in different settings.[28-30]

Variable definitions

We described demographic characteristics and hemodynamic parameters of blood pressure in women and men overall and by age. Considering that the mean age of natural

menopause in China is reported as approximately 50 years of age,[31-33] we stratified our study population as < 50 years old and ≥ 50 years old. We used the World Health Organization recommended cutoff values for BMI classification in Asian populations, defining underweight as < 18.5 kg/m^2, normal weight from 18.5 kg/m^2 to <23 kg/m^2, overweight from 23 kg/m^2 to < 27.5 kg/m^2, and obesity as ≥ 27.5 kg/m^2.[34] We defined a predominantly vascular hypertension phenotype as high SVRI (>2400 dynes·sec·cm^{-5}·m^2) with a low or normal CI (<2.5 L/min/m^2 or 2.5–4 L/min/m^2, respectively), and predominantly cardiac hypertension phenotype as high CI (>4 L/min/m^2) with low or normal SVRI (<2000 dynes·sec·cm^{-5}·m^2 or 2000–2400 dynes·sec·cm^{-5}·m^2, respectively).[35, 36]

Statistical analysis

We calculated means with standard deviations (SD) for continuous variables and frequencies for categorical variables and assessed for the significance of the inter-group differences using ANOVA and Chi-square test (with Yates' correction), respectively. Next, the relationship between these parameters and age, BMI and SBP, was evaluated using least squares method (LMS) curves.[37] To assess the association of sex with CO, CI, SVR, and SVRI we used a unadjusted and sequential adjusted linear regression models and reported the female sex beta coefficient its respective 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for the entire study population, among <50 years old, and among ≥50 years old. The sequential adjusted models were built as follows: adjusted model 1 included age and region; model 2 included variables from model 1 plus BMI. Finally, used density plots to characterize the distribution of the hemodynamic parameters by sex across the different strata, estimating the percentage of the plot area that overlaps between women and men on each stratum. To account for potential residual

confounding, we performed a nearest neighbor propensity score matching sensitivity analysis, using region, age, SBP, DBP, BMI. Propensity score generation and 1:1 match for samples between men and women groups were performed using the MatchIt package in R.[38] For reproducibility and comparison with prior studies, and in line with Chinese hypertension guidelines cutoff blood pressure values,[39] we also performed a sensitivity analysis replicating these analyses on a subpopulation of individuals with SBP ≥140 mmHg or DBP ≥90 mmHg.

All statistical analyses were conducted using R, version 3.6.2 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). Statistical significance was defined as a 2-tailed P<0.05. This project received an exemption for review from the Institutional Review Board at Yale School of Medicine and at Shanghai Jiao Tong University College of Biotechnology, as we used de-identified data provided by the iKang group. Coauthors JG, HZ, and ZJM take responsibility for the data analysis.

RESULTS

Age, Body Mass Index, and Hemodynamic Variables and Phenotypes by Sex

We included 45,082 individuals with elevated blood pressure, of which 15,888 (35.2%) were women. Overall, women had a higher mean age than men (54.5 [±11.8] years vs 48.0 [±13.0] years, P<0.001) and were less likely to be obese (17.2% vs 23.5%, P<0.001) (**Table 1**). Women had a higher mean SBP than men (139.0 [±15.7] mmHg vs 136.8 [±13.8] mmHg, respectively, P<0.001), but a lower mean DBP (82.6 [±9.0] mmHg vs 85.6 [±8.9] mmHg, P< 0.001). Among those <50 years of age, women had lower mean SBP and DBP compared with men of the same age group (P<0.001 for each), whereas among those older than 50 years they had higher mean SBP and lower mean DBP than men (P<0.001 for each) (**Table 1**).

Overall, women had lower mean CO and CI and higher mean SVR and SVRI than men (P <0.001 for all). When stratified by age, women <50 years old had a higher mean CI and a lower mean SVRI than men (P < 0.001 for both), whereas, among those older than 50 years, women had a lower mean CI (P < 0.001) and a higher mean SVRI (P<0.001) (**Table 1**). Also, compared with men, women were more likely to have predominantly vascular hemodynamic hypertension phenotype (61.6% vs 56.2%, P <0.001) but only slightly less likely to have a predominantly cardiac phenotype (16.11% vs 17.8%, P <0.001). When compared with men of the same age, women <50 years old were less likely to have a predominantly vascular phenotype and more likely to have a predominantly cardiac phenotype (38% vs 46.4% and 31.5% vs 22.5%, respectively, P<0.001 for each comparison). Women older than 50 years, on the other hand, when compared with men of the same age group, were more likely to have a predominantly vascular phenotype (70.5% vs 67.2%, P<0.001) and less likely to have a predominantly cardiac phenotype (10.2% vs 12.4%, P<0.001) (**Table 1**). Similar results were found in the sensitivity analysis among those with SBP ≥140 mmHg or DBP ≥90 mmHg, although noting that in this subpopulation there was no significant difference in the mean CI and SVRI between men and women <50 years (**Supplemental Table S1**).

Relationship of Hemodynamic Variables and Age Among Women and Men

Plots of median CO, CI, SVR, and SVRI with age are presented in **Figure 1**. With age, median CO decreased for both sexes, being consistently lower among women (**Figure 1A**). Although median CI also decreased with age for both sexes, it was higher in women before age 50 than men of the same age, becoming similar afterwards (**Figure 1B)**. On the other hand, SVR increased with age in both sexes, being consistently higher among women (**Figure 1C**).

Although SVRI increased in both groups, it was lower among women when compared to men among individuals <50 years old, having a steeper increase with age among young women and becoming similar between the 2 groups among individuals ≥50 years of age (**Figure 1D)**. These results were consistent with the ones observed when analyzing those with SBP ≥140 mmHg or ≥90 mmHg only (**Supplemental Figure S2**).

Multivariable Linear Regression and Propensity Score Matching Sensitivity Analysis

Unadjusted and sequentially-adjusted female sex beta coefficients (β) for CO, CI, SVR, and SVRI are presented in **Table 2.** After adjusting for age, BMI, and region, female sex was associated with lower cardiac output overall (β = -0.78 [95% CI: -0.8, -0.75]), among those <50 years old (β =-0.59 [95% CI: -0.64, -0.54]), and among those ≥50 years old (β = -0.86 [95% CI: -0.89, -0.83]). However, female sex was positively associated with CI only among those <50 years (β = 0.07 [95% CI: 0.04, 0.09]), having a negative association overall (β = -0.08 [95% CI: -0.09, -0.06]) and among those ≥50 years of age (β = -0.15 [95% CI: -0.16, -0.13]). On the other hand, female sex was associated with higher SVR overall (β = 229.37 [95% CI: 221.12, 237.62]), among those <50 years (β =139.89 [95% CI: 128.37, 151.41]), and among those ≥50 years of age (β = 273.58 [95% CI: 262.1, 285.06]). Lastly, female sex had a negative association with SVRI only among those <50 years old (β = -31.68 [95% CI: -51.18, -12.19]), having a positive association among those >50 years of age (β = 120.43 [95% CI: 102.36, 138.51]) and among the entire study population (β = 73.52 [95% CI: 60.25, 86.79]). The direction and magnitude of these findings were mostly consistent with the ones from the adjusted model that did not include BMI (**Table 2**).

These hemodynamic differences remained in the propensity score matching sensitivity analysis (**Supplemental Table S2**) and in the sensitivity analysis among those with SBP ≥140 mmHg or DBP ≥90 mmHg (**Supplemental Table S3**), although the magnitude of the SVRI difference among those younger than 50 years was not significant.

Hemodynamic Variables Distribution Overlap Between Women and Men

Density plots of CO and CI, by sex and age are shown in **Figure 2.** Overall, the CO showed a distribution overlap of 52.1% between women's and men's density plots (**Figure 2A**). Among those younger than 50 years, women's CO distribution was slightly shifted to the left compared to men's, with a 65.4% overlap between sexes (**Figure 2B**). On the other hand, among those older than 50 years, CO distribution among women had higher kurtosis and was shifted to the left when compared with men, with an overlap between sexes of 55.6% (**Figure 2C**). The indexed variable (CI) distribution showed a greater overlap between sexes, reaching 79.6% overall, 80.8% among those <50 years old, and 82.6% among those ≥50 years old (**Figures 2D, 2E,** and **2F**, respectively).

Density plots of SVR and SVRI by sex and age are shown in **Figure 3.** Distribution of SVR showed an overlap between men and women of 56.7%, with women's density plot having lower kurtosis and shifted to the right when compared to men's (**Figure 3A**). Among those <50 years old, women's SVR distribution had less kurtosis and was slightly shifted to the right when compared to men's, with an overlap between sexes of 72.1% (**Figure 3B**). On the other hand, among those ≥50 years old, women's SVR distribution was shifted to the right when compared to men's, with an overlap of 61.4% between the two groups (**Figure 3C**). The indexed variable (SVRI), also increased in overlap between both sexes, reaching 82.4%, 78.3%, and 87.7% among

the entire study population, among those <50 years old, and among ≥50 years old, respectively (**Figures 3D**, **3E**, and **3F**, respectively).

When analyzing only those with SBP ≥140 mmHg or DBP ≥90 mmHg, the overlapping areas of the distribution of CO, CI, SVR, and SVRI were highly consistent with the ones form our main analysis (**Supplementary Figures S3 and S4**).

DISCUSSION

In our study, we investigated sex differences in hemodynamic variables, mainly CI and SVRI, among adults presenting with elevated blood pressure. We found that, on average, young women have higher mean CI and lower SVRI than age-matched men, although the magnitude of the SVRI difference was significantly reduced when accounting for confounders. Notably, we also found that these hemodynamic differences between sexes were reversed among those older than 50 years of age, the mean age of menopause in China,[33] with women having lower mean CI and higher mean SVRI than men. Nonetheless, a key finding of our study was that, despite these overall differences, there is substantial hemodynamic heterogeneity within individuals of the same sex and age: the overlapping area of the distribution plots of CI and SVRI ranged from nearly 80% among those younger than 50 years to nearly 90% among those older than 50 years.

Our results expand the existing knowledge on two major ways. First, while most studies have been performed on small samples or among young individuals,[5-7] our study allowed us to describe the hemodynamic sex differences in a much larger sample of individuals with elevated blood pressure, including older individuals. To the best of our knowledge this is the largest study that has compared hemodynamic variables and phenotypes between women and men across different age groups. Doing so is instrumental in these studies because of the cardiovascular

changes associated with menopause.[17, 18, 33] Second, our study is the first to estimate the full distribution of hemodynamic parameters by sex, rather than limiting to comparing the average values. This approach allowed us to describe for the first time that–besides significant average differences–sex is not a reliable indicator of the individual hemodynamic phenotype, particularly among those older than 50 years old where the distributions of CI and SVRI among women and men were almost identical.

Despite the geographical, sample size, and inclusion criteria differences, our results complement and are consistent with the findings from other studies in non-Asian populations[5-7] in which the authors found that, among hypertensive individuals, men had a higher CO and lower SVR than women. However, considering the well-known body composition differences between men and women,[40] we analyzed the hypertension phenotype using the body surface area adjusted values (CI and SVRI) and stratified by age, increasing the comparability of these variables between sexes, consistent with our previous studies.[41, 42] We found that–despite the differences in mean CO and SVR–among those <50 years old hypertensive women were more likely to have a predominantly cardiac phenotype (high CI with normal/low SVRI) and less likely to have a predominantly vascular phenotype (high SVRI with normal/low CI) when compared with men of the same age. Interestingly, among those older than 50 years, women were more likely to present a predominantly vascular phenotype than men. One plausible explanation for such an observation is that, along with hormonal and environmental factors,[18] young women have a blunted alpha-adrenergic vasoconstriction response because of an increased beta-adrenergic vasodilatation[43] that disappear after menopause and that is absent in men.[44] This, however, does not fully explain the association between sex and blood pressure: when compared with men, women have a steeper increase in SBP thorough life, even decades

before menopause.[45] Altogether, such findings might suggest that the underlying mechanisms of elevated blood pressure might differ by sex, particularly among young individuals. The therapeutically implications of such sex differences, however, might be limited because of the high same-sex heterogeneity in these parameters that we observed. Furthermore, beyond its potential implications for treatment adjustment or initiation,[8-10] understanding if these differences in hypertension phenotypes are implicated in the known sex differences in terms of risk of subsequent cardiovascular outcomes[46] is still uncertain and deserves further investigation.

Our study also has important implications for personalizing the care of patients presenting to an outpatient clinic with elevated blood pressure. As hypertension remains as one of the biggest public health challenges worldwide,[47] there is urgency in determining possible ways of improving its treatment efficacy by using personalized therapies tailored to each patient characteristics. Although we and other studies have shown that, on average, there are significant hemodynamic differences by sex, the substantial same-sex heterogeneity found in our study indicates that sex is not a good proxy of the underlying hemodynamic patterns of patients with elevated blood pressure. Notably, as hypertension prevalence and arterial stiffening increases among women after menopause,[14, 17, 48] the distribution overlap of CI and SVRI among those older than 50 years was almost complete between women and men. Considering that three randomized trials have shown that a hemodynamic-guided antihypertensive therapy (e.g. adding or adjusting beta blockers based on CI, or adding/adjusting angiotensin converter enzyme inhibitors based on SVRI) results in lower SBP and DBP,[8-10] point-of-care non-invasive hemodynamic measurement technologies–like ICG–could be leveraged to improve blood pressure control rates among hypertensive individuals.

Limitations

The results from our study should be interpreted in the light of the following limitations. First, our findings only represent a snapshot of individuals hemodynamic status, preventing us from longitudinal adaptations that may occur with long-term exposure to a particular hypertension phenotype, including structural and physiological changes in heart and vessels.[49, 50] Second, although we had a very detailed hemodynamic information of each individual, the sociodemographic and clinical information available to our analyses was limited. Of great importance is the lack of information regarding current antihypertensive medications usage, which could alter the hemodynamic phenotype and inclusion criteria (e.g. beta-blockers lowering CO or a patient with controlled hypertension not being included). However, studies have shown that hypertension treatment and blood pressure control rates are low in China,[51-53] though they are higher among women than in men, suggesting that the majority of the patients in our study would be untreated and that most individuals with hypertension would have been included. Nonetheless, our results represent participants' hemodynamic status at the time of examination in an outpatient clinic, regardless of their medications, which could help guide the treatment initiation or adjustment based on the underlying hemodynamic derangement among patients with uncontrolled blood pressure.

Additionally, although menopause is a key determinant of blood pressure regulation, we did not have this self-reported event from our participants. However, for our analysis we used the average age of menopause in China and the results were consisting with this hemodynamic shift among women around age 50 years. Lastly, we did not have information on participants' smoking status or smoking history, factors associated with hypertension and increased arterial stiffness, although the latter is less certain.[54, 55] Smoking is one of the major public health

challenges among Chinese men, with a prevalence of nearly 1 in 2 among them and only around 2% among women.[56, 57] They impact of smoking on the hypertension phenotype and if such an association is modified by sex remains to be studied in detail.

Third, a single BP measurement was recorded per participant, which could have affected the precision in this variable. However, all study centers followed the same standardized protocol, as described in the Methods section, to reduce inter-observer variability.

Conclusions

Women and men with elevated blood pressure in China have differences in the average values of the hemodynamic determinants of blood pressure. However, the magnitude of such differences is significantly reduced with age and there is substantial overlap in the distribution of the hemodynamic variables. This indicates that sex alone is insufficient to infer the underlying hypertension phenotype.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of Mr. Zhang Ligang, CEO of iKang Health Group, for the permission to use the data.

SOURCE OF FUNDING: This study was self-funded.

DISCLOSURES

Yuan Lu is supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (K12HL138037) and the Yale Center for Implementation Science. She was a recipient of a research agreement, through Yale University, from the Shenzhen Center for Health Information for work to advance intelligent disease prevention and health promotion. **Erica S. Spatz** receives support from the Food and Drug Administration to support projects within the Yale-Mayo Clinic Center of Excellence in Regulatory Science and Innovation (CERSI); the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (U54MD010711-01) to study precision-based approaches to diagnosing and preventing hypertension; and the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (R01EB028106-01) to study a cuff-less blood pressure device. **Xin Zheng** is supported by the CAMS Innovation Fund for Medical Science (2016-I2M-1-006), the National Key Research and Development Program (2016YFE0103800) from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China. **Hui Lu** is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFC0910500) and Neil Shen's SJTU Medical Research Fund. **Zheng J. Ma** is affiliated with Beijing Li-Heng Medical Technologies, Ltd, which designed the ICG device used in this study. **Harlan Krumholz** works under contract with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services to support quality measurement programs; was a recipient of a research grant, through Yale, from Medtronic and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to develop methods for post-market surveillance of medical devices; was a recipient of a research grant with Medtronic and is the recipient of a research grant from Johnson & Johnson, through Yale University, to support clinical trial data sharing; was a recipient of a research agreement, through Yale University, from the Shenzhen Center for Health Information for work to advance intelligent disease prevention and health promotion; collaborates with the National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases in

Beijing; receives payment from the Arnold & Porter Law Firm for work related to the Sanofi clopidogrel litigation, from the Ben C. Martin Law Firm for work related to the Cook IVC filter litigation, and from the Siegfried and Jensen Law Firm for work related to Vioxx litigation; chairs a Cardiac Scientific Advisory Board for UnitedHealth; was a participant/participant representative of the IBM Watson Health Life Sciences Board; is a member of the Advisory Board for Element Science, the Advisory Board for Facebook, and the Physician Advisory Board for Aetna; and is the founder of HugoHealth, a personal health information platform, and co-founder of Refactor Health, an enterprise healthcare AI-augmented data management company. The other co-authors report no potential competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Hart EC, Charkoudian N, Wallin BG, Curry TB, Eisenach J and Joyner MJ. Sex and ageing differences in resting arterial pressure regulation: the role of the beta-adrenergic receptors. *J Physiol*. 2011;589:5285-97.

2. Hart EC, Charkoudian N, Wallin BG, Curry TB, Eisenach JH and Joyner MJ. Sex differences in sympathetic neural-hemodynamic balance: implications for human blood pressure regulation. *Hypertension*. 2009;53:571-6.

3. Reckelhoff JF. Sex Differences in Regulation of Blood Pressure. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2018;1065:139-151.

4. Briant LJB, Charkoudian N and Hart EC. Sympathetic regulation of blood pressure in normotension and hypertension: when sex matters. *Experimental Physiology*. 2016;101:219-229.

5. Nardin C, Maki-Petaja KM, Miles KL, Yasmin, McDonnell BJ, Cockcroft JR, Wilkinson IB and McEniery CM. Cardiovascular Phenotype of Elevated Blood Pressure Differs Markedly Between Young Males and Females: The Enigma Study. *Hypertension (Dallas, Tex : 1979)*. 2018;72:1277-1284.

6. Ferrario CM, Jessup JA and Smith RD. Hemodynamic and hormonal patterns of untreated essential hypertension in men and women. *Therapeutic Advances in Cardiovascular Disease*. 2013;7:293-305.

7. Krzesinski P, Stanczyk A, Gielerak G, Uzieblo-Zyczkowska B, Kurpaska M, Piotrowicz K and Skrobowski A. Sex determines cardiovascular hemodynamics in hypertension. *J Hum Hypertens*. 2015;29:610-7.

8. Smith RD, Levy P and Ferrario CM. Value of Noninvasive Hemodynamics to Achieve Blood Pressure Control in Hypertensive Subjects. *Hypertension (Dallas, Tex : 1979)*. 2006;47:771-777.

9. Krzesiński P, Gielerak GG and Kowal JJ. A "patient-tailored" treatment of hypertension with use of impedance cardiography: a randomized, prospective and controlled trial. *Med Sci Monit*. 2013;19:242-50.

10. Taler SJ, Textor SC and Augustine JE. Resistant Hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2002;39:982-988.

11. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE, Collins KJ, Himmelfarb CD, DePalma SM, Gidding S, Jamerson KA, Jones DW, MacLaughlin EJ, Muntner P, Ovbiagele B, Smith SC, Spencer CC, Stafford RS, Taler SJ, Thomas RJ, Williams KA, Williamson JD and Wright JT. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Hypertension (Dallas, Tex : 1979)*. 2018;71:e13-e115.

12. Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, Agabiti Rosei E, Azizi M, Burnier M, Clement DL, Coca A, de Simone G, Dominiczak A, Kahan T, Mahfoud F, Redon J, Ruilope L, Zanchetti A, Kerins M, Kjeldsen SE, Kreutz R, Laurent S, Lip GYH, McManus R, Narkiewicz K, Ruschitzka F, Schmieder RE, Shlyakhto E, Tsioufis C, Aboyans V, Desormais I and Group ESD. 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society of Hypertension (ESH). *European heart journal*. 2018;39:3021-3104.

13. Peralta CA, Katz R, Newman AB, Psaty BM and Odden MC. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, incident cardiovascular events, and death in elderly persons: the role of functional limitation in the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Hypertension (Dallas, Tex : 1979)*. 2014;64:472-80.

14. Cheng S, Xanthakis V, Sullivan LM and Vasan RS. Blood pressure tracking over the adult life course: patterns and correlates in the Framingham heart study. *Hypertension*. 2012;60:1393-9.

15. Vishram JK, Borglykke A, Andreasen AH, Jeppesen J, Ibsen H, Jorgensen T, Broda G, Palmieri L, Giampaoli S, Donfrancesco C, Kee F, Mancia G, Cesana G, Kuulasmaa K, Sans S and Olsen MH. Impact of age on the importance of systolic and diastolic blood pressures for stroke risk: the MOnica, Risk, Genetics, Archiving, and Monograph (MORGAM) Project. *Hypertension (Dallas, Tex : 1979)*. 2012;60:1117-23.

16. Coylewright M, Reckelhoff JF and Ouyang P. Menopause and hypertension: an age-old debate. *Hypertension (Dallas, Tex : 1979)*. 2008;51:952-9.

17. Zhou Y, Zhou X, Guo X, Sun G, Li Z, Zheng L, Yang H, Yu S, Li W, Zou L and Sun Y. Prevalence and risk factors of hypertension among pre- and post-menopausal women: a cross-sectional study in a rural area of northeast China. *Maturitas*. 2015;80:282-7.

18. Colafella KMM and Denton KM. Sex-specific differences in hypertension and associated cardiovascular disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2018;14:185-201.

19. Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, Cushman M, Das SR, Deo R, de Ferranti SD, Floyd J, Fornage M, Gillespie C, Isasi CR, Jimenez MC, Jordan LC, Judd SE, Lackland D, Lichtman JH, Lisabeth L, Liu S, Longenecker CT, Mackey RH, Matsushita K, Mozaffarian D, Mussolino ME, Nasir K, Neumar RW, Palaniappan L, Pandey DK, Thiagarajan RR, Reeves MJ, Ritchey M,

Rodriguez CJ, Roth GA, Rosamond WD, Sasson C, Towfighi A, Tsao CW, Turner MB, Virani SS, Voeks JH, Willey JZ, Wilkins JT, Wu JH, Alger HM, Wong SS and Muntner P. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2017 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2017;135:e146-e603.

20. Belardinelli R, Ciampani N, Costantini C, Blandini A and Purcaro A. Comparison of impedance cardiography with thermodilution and direct Fick methods for noninvasive measurement of stroke volume and cardiac output during incremental exercise in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol*. 1996;77:1293-301.

21. McFetridge-Durdle JA, Routledge FS, Parry MJE, Dean CRT and Tucker B. Ambulatory Impedance Cardiography in Hypertension: A Validation Study. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*. 2008;7:204-213.

22. Drazner MH, Thompson B, Rosenberg PB, Kaiser PA, Boehrer JD, Baldwin BJ, Dries DL and Yancy CW. Comparison of impedance cardiography with invasive hemodynamic measurements in patients with heart failure secondary to ischemic or nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol*. 2002;89:993-5.

23. Greenberg BH, Hermann DD, Pranulis MF, Lazio L and Cloutier D. Reproducibility of impedance cardiography hemodynamic measures in clinically stable heart failure patients. *Congest Heart Fail*. 2000;6:74-80.

24. Bernstein DP. A new stroke volume equation for thoracic electrical bioimpedance: theory and rationale. *Crit Care Med*. 1986;14:904-9.

25. Sherwood A, Allen MT, Fahrenberg J, Kelsey RM, Lovallo WR and van Doornen LJ. Methodological guidelines for impedance cardiography. *Psychophysiology*. 1990;27:1-23.

26. Yancy C and Abraham WT. Noninvasive hemodynamic monitoring in heart failure: utilization of impedance cardiography. *Congest Heart Fail*. 2003;9:241-50.

27. Ma L-y. Development and application of the latest model of the cardiac hemodynamics monitoring system. Chinese J Cardiovasc Med. 2008;13(1):72-73.

28. An X-g, Zhao Y and Gao J. Clinic evaluation of noninvasive hemodynamic monitoring in patients undergoing coronary artery surgery. *Chin J Cardiovasc Rev*. 2008;6(2):96-98

29. Hong H, Jin X, Pan C, Gao X, Liu M, Jiang H, Ge J. Analysis of the correlation between non-invasive hemodynamic monitor and cardiac echocardiography on the evaluation of cardiac function. Chinese Journal of Medical Instrumentation. 2009;33:328-331.

30. Chen W, Huang D, Zeng J, Deng R, Deng G, Chen W, Zou Y. Application of noninvasive cardiac hemodynamic monitor for ICU critically ill patients. Hainan Medical Journal. 2018;29:15.

31. Dorjgochoo T, Kallianpur A, Gao YT, Cai H, Yang G, Li H, Zheng W and Shu XO. Dietary and lifestyle predictors of age at natural menopause and reproductive span in the Shanghai Women's Health Study. *Menopause*. 2008;15:924-33.

32. Wang M, Gong WW, Hu RY, Wang H, Guo Y, Bian Z, Lv J, Chen ZM, Li LM and Yu M. Age at natural menopause and associated factors in adult women: Findings from the China Kadoorie Biobank study in Zhejiang rural area. *PLoS One*. 2018;13:e0195658.

33. Song L, Shen L, Li H, Liu B, Zheng X, Zhang L, Liang Y, Yuan J and Wang Y. Age at natural menopause and hypertension among middle-aged and older Chinese women. *J Hypertens*. 2018;36:594-600.

34. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2004;363:157-63.

35. Wannenburg T and Little WC. Regulation of cardiac output. In: A. Jeremias and D. L. Brown, eds. *Cardiac Intensive Care* Second ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 2010: 61-68.

36. Gidwani UK, Mohanty B and Chatterjee K. The pulmonary artery catheter: a critical reappraisal. *Cardiol Clin*. 2013;31:545-65, viii.

37. Cole TJ, Green PJ. Smoothing reference centile curves: the LMS method and penalized likelihood. Stat Med. 1992;11:1305-1319.

38. Stuart EA, King G, Imai K and Ho D. MatchIt: nonparametric preprocessing for parametric causal inference. *Journal of statistical software*. 2011.

39. 2018 Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension-A report of the Revision Committee of Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension. *J Geriatr Cardiol*. 2019;16:182-241.

40. He X, Li Z, Tang X, Zhang L, Wang L, He Y, Jin T and Yuan D. Age- and sex-related differences in body composition in healthy subjects aged 18 to 82 years. *Medicine*. 2018;97:e11152.

41. Mahajan S, Gu J, Caraballo C, Lu Y, Spatz ES, Zhao H, Zhang M, Sun N, Zheng X, Lu H, Yuan H, Ma ZJ and Krumholz HM. Relationship of Age With the Hemodynamic Parameters in Individuals With Elevated Blood Pressure. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. n/a.

42. Mahajan S, Gu J, Lu Y, Khera R, Spatz ES, Zhang M, Sun N, Zheng X, Zhao H, Lu H, Ma ZJ and Krumholz HM. Hemodynamic Phenotypes of Hypertension Based on Cardiac Output and Systemic Vascular Resistance. *The American journal of medicine*. 2019.

43. Hart EC, Charkoudian N, Wallin BG, Curry TB, Eisenach J and Joyner MJ. Sex and ageing differences in resting arterial pressure regulation: the role of the β□adrenergic receptors. *The Journal of physiology*. 2011;589:5285-5297.

44. Joyner MJ, Wallin BG and Charkoudian N. Sex differences and blood pressure regulation in humans. *Experimental Physiology*. 2016;101:349-355.

45. Ji H, Kim A, Ebinger JE, Niiranen TJ, Claggett BL, Merz CNB and Cheng S. Sex differences in blood pressure trajectories over the life course. *JAMA cardiology*. 2020;5:19-26.

46. Ji H, Niiranen TJ, Rader F, Henglin M, Kim A, Ebinger JE, Claggett B, Merz CNB and Cheng S. Sex Differences in Blood Pressure Associations With Cardiovascular Outcomes. *Circulation*. 2021;143:761-763.

47. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2018;392:1923-1994.

48. Lu Y, Pechlaner R, Cai J, Yuan H, Huang Z, Yang G, Wang J, Chen Z, Kiechl S and Xu Q. Trajectories of Age-Related Arterial Stiffness in Chinese Men and Women. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2020;75:870-880.

49. Julius S. Transition from high cardiac output to elevated vascular resistance in hypertension. *American heart journal*. 1988;116:600-6.

50. Falkner B. Cardiac Output Versus Total Peripheral Resistance. *Hypertension (Dallas, Tex : 1979)*. 2018;72:1093-1094.

51. Lu J, Lu Y, Wang X, Li X, Linderman GC, Wu C, Cheng X, Mu L, Zhang H, Liu J, Su M, Zhao H, Spatz ES, Spertus JA, Masoudi FA, Krumholz HM and Jiang L. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China: data from 1.7 million adults in a population-based screening study (China PEACE Million Persons Project). *Lancet*. 2017;390:2549-2558.

52. Wang Z, Chen Z, Zhang L, Wang X, Hao G, Zhang Z, Shao L, Tian Y, Dong Y, Zheng C, Wang J, Zhu M, Weintraub WS and Gao R. Status of Hypertension in China: Results From the China Hypertension Survey, 2012-2015. *Circulation*. 2018;137:2344-2356.

53. Wang J, Zhang L, Wang F, Liu L and Wang H. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China: results from a national survey. *Am J Hypertens*. 2014;27:1355-61.

54. Doonan RJ, Hausvater A, Scallan C, Mikhailidis DP, Pilote L and Daskalopoulou SS. The effect of smoking on arterial stiffness. *Hypertension Research*. 2010;33:398-410.

55. Camplain R, Meyer ML, Tanaka H, Palta P, Agarwal SK, Aguilar D, Butler KR and Heiss G. Smoking Behaviors and Arterial Stiffness Measured by Pulse Wave Velocity in Older Adults: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *Am J Hypertens*. 2016;29:1268-1275.

56. Parascandola M and Xiao L. Tobacco and the lung cancer epidemic in China. *Transl Lung Cancer Res*. 2019;8:S21-s30.

57. Wang M, Luo X, Xu S, Liu W, Ding F, Zhang X, Wang L, Liu J, Hu J and Wang W. Trends in smoking prevalence and implication for chronic diseases in China: serial national cross-sectional surveys from 2003 to 2013. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2019;7:35-45.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1.

Legend: Median Cardiac Output (A), Cardiac Index (B), Systemic Vascular Resistance (C), and Systemic Vascular Resistance Index (D) by Age Among Women and Men with Elevated Blood Pressure. Abbreviations: CO, cardiac output; CI, cardiac index; SVR, systemic vascular resistance; SVRI, systemic vascular resistance index.

Figure 2

Legend: Cardiac Output (A, B, and C) and Cardiac Index (D, E, and F) Density Plots Overlap Between Women And Men with Elevated Blood Pressure by Age Category. Abbreviations: CO, cardiac output; CI, cardiac index; OA, overlapping area.

Figure 3

Legend: Systemic Vascular Resistance (A, B, and C), and Systemic Vascular Resistance Index (D, E, and F) Density Plots Overlap Between Women And Men with Elevated Blood Pressure by Age Category. Abbreviations: SVR, systemic vascular resistance; SVRI, systemic vascular resistance index; OA, overlapping area.

Table 1. Sex differences in clinical and hemodynamic variables by age group among adults with elevated blood pressure

	All			**< 50 years old**			**≥ 50 years old**		
	Women N=15,888	Men N= 29194	P value	Women N = 4,384	Men N = 15,512	P value	Women N = 11,504	Men N = 13,682	P value
Age (years), mean (SD)	54.5 (11.8)	48.0 (13.0)	<0.001	39.3 (8.1)	38.0 (7.3)	<0.001	60.2 (6.9)	59.5 (7.2)	<0.001
BMI (kg/m2), mean (SD)	24.4 (3.5)	25.5 (3.2)	<0.001	23.5 (3.7)	25.7 (3.4)	<0.001	24.8 (3.3)	25.2 (3.0)	<0.001
Obese (BMI ≥27.5 kg/m2), n(%)	2733 (17.2%)	6858 (23.49%)	<0.001	585 (13.34%)	4057 (26.15%)	<0.001	2148 (18.67%)	2801 (20.47%)	<0.001
Region, n (%)			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
East	5965 (37.54%)	13275 (45.47%)		1956 (20.86%)	7419 (79.14%)		4009 (40.64%)	5856 (59.36%)	
North	3665 (23.07%)	4156 (14.24%)		779 (29.59%)	1854 (70.41%)		2886 (55.63%)	2302 (44.37%)	
South	2737 (17.23%)	4317 (14.79%)		686 (22.66%)	2341 (77.34%)		2051 (50.93%)	1976 (49.07%)	
Southwest	3521 (22.16%)	7446 (25.51%)		963 (19.81%)	3898 (80.19%)		2558 (41.89%)	3548 (58.11%)	
Blood Pressure in mmHg, mean (SD)									
Systolic	139.0 (15.7)	136.7 (13.8)	<0.001	131.2 (13.2)	133.7 (12.2)	<0.001	142.0 (15.6)	140.1 (14.8)	<0.001
Diastolic	82.6 (9.00)	85.6 (8.9)	<0.001	83.3 (7.9)	85.3 (8.9)	<0.001	82.4 (9.4)	86.01 (9.0)	<0.001
Hypertension phenotype, n (%)									
Predominantly cardiac (High CI with low/normal SVRI)	2559 (16.11%)	5185 (17.76%)	<0.001	1382 (31.52%)	3495 (22.53%)	<0.001	1177 (10.23%)	1690 (12.35%)	<0.001
Predominantly vascular (Low/normal CI with high SVRI)	9780 (61.56%)	16396 (56.16%)	<0.001	1666 (38.00%)	7197 (46.40%)	<0.001	8114 (70.53%)	9199 (67.23%)	<0.001
Low/normal CI & SVRI	3531 (22.22)%	7548 (25.86%)	<0.001	1,330 (30.34%)	4,790(30.88%)	0.504	2,201 (19.13%)	2,758 (20.16%)	0.043
High CI & SVRI	18 (0.11%)	65 (0.22%)	0.001	6 (0.14%)	30 (0.19%)	0.565	12 (0.10%)	35 (0.26%)	0.009
ICG parameters, mean (SD)									
Heart rate (bpm)	69.4 (11.4)	69.5 (11.3)	0.63	72.6 (11.9)	70.8 (11.1)	<0.001	68.2 (10.9)	68.0 (11.3)	0.15
Stroke Volume (mL)	72.9 (18.6)	88.8 (21.5)	<0.001	80.0 (18.8)	93.0 (21.6)	<0.001	70.2 (17.7)	84.0 (20.5)	<0.001
CO (L/min)	5.0 (1.4)	6.1 (1.5)	<0.001	5.8 (1.4)	6.5 (1.4)	<0.001	4.7 (1.2)	5.6 (1.4)	<0.001
CI (L/min/m^2)	3.2 (0.8)	3.3 (0.8)	<0.001	3.6 (0.9)	3.5 (0.7)	<0.001	3.0 (0.8)	3.2 (0.7)	<0.001
SVR (dynes·sec·cm^{-5})	1743.9 (523.4)	1432.5 (388.5)	<0.001	1470.9 (411.3)	1315.3 (323.9)	<0.001	1848.0 (524.1)	1565.4 (412.1)	<0.001
SVRI (dynes·sec·cm^{-5}·m^2)	2734.1 (809.9)	2596.3 (677.2)	<0.001	2326.0 (658.0)	2435.1 (598.6)	<0.001	2889.6 (808.3)	2779.2 (713.8)	<0.001

SD= Standard Deviation, BMI= Body Mass Index, ICG= Impedance Cardiography, SVR= Systemic Vascular Resistance, SVRI= Systemic Vascular Resistance Index, CO= Cardiac Output, CI= Cardiac Index.

Table 2. Unadjusted and Sequentially-Adjusted Association of Female Sex with Cardiac Output, Cardiac Index, Systemic Vascular Resistance, and Systemic Vascular Resistance Index, Overall and by Age Categories

Hemodynamic Variable	**Female Sex β Coefficient (95% CI)**		
	Unadjusted Model	Adjusted Model 1*	Adjusted Model 2†
Cardiac Output, (L/min)			
Overall	-1.07 (-1.1, -1.04)	-0.79 (-0.82, -0.77)	-0.78 (-0.8, -0.75)
<50 years old	-0.73 (-0.78, -0.68)	-0.67 (-0.71, -0.62)	-0.59 (-0.64, -0.54)
≥50 years old	-0.90 (-0.93, -0.87)	-0.86 (-0.89, -0.83)	-0.86 (-0.89, -0.83)
Cardiac Index, (L/min/m^2)			
Overall	-0.15 (-0.16, -0.13)	-0.01 (-0.03, 0)[‡]	-0.08 (-0.09, -0.06)
<50 years old	0.14 (0.12, 0.17)	0.19 (0.16, 0.21)	0.07 (0.04, 0.09)
≥50 years old	-0.14 (-0.16, -0.12)	-0.12 (-0.14, -0.1)	-0.15 (-0.16, -0.13)
Systemic Vascular Resistance,(dynes·sec·cm^{-5})			
Overall	311.46 (302.95, 319.98)	224.53 (216.39, 232.68)	229.37 (221.12, 237.62)
<50 years old	155.65 (144.08, 167.22)	137.7 (126.6, 148.8)	139.89 (128.37, 151.41)
≥50 years old	282.62 (271.05, 294.19)	270.96 (259.52, 282.41)	273.58 (262.1, 285.06)
Systemic Vascular Resistance Index, (dynes·sec·cm^{-5}·m^2)			
Overall	137.77 (123.73, 151.82)	6.03 (-7.62, 19.68)[§]	73.52 (60.25, 86.79)
<50 years old	-109.13 (-129.65, -88.6)	-146.94 (-166.59, -127.28)	-31.68 (-51.18, -12.19)
≥50 years old	110.49 (91.69, 129.3)	88.53 (69.86, 107.21)	120.43 (102.36, 138.51)

* Model 1 was adjusted for age and region
† Model 2 was adjusted for age, region, and body mass index

[‡]P value=0.16
[§]P value=0.39
All other P values <0.001

FIGURES

Figure 1



Figure 2


A, All
Men
Women
OA:0.521
Density
CO (L/min)
B, < 50 years old
OA:0.654
C, >= 50 years old
OA:0.556
D, All
OA:0.796
CI (L/min/m2)
E, < 50 years old
OA:0.808
F, >= 50 years old
OA:0.826

Figure 3


Men
Women
OA:0.567
OA:0.721
OA:0.614
OA:0.824
OA:0.783
OA:0.877
Density
0.0000
0.0010
0e+00
4e-04
8e-04
500
1500
2500
3500
1000
3000
5000
SVR (dynes*sec*cm^{-5})
SVRI (dynes*sec*cm^{-5}*m^{2})