medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Hemodynamic Differences Between Women and Men with Elevated Blood Pressure in China: A Non-Invasive Assessment of 45,082 Adults Using Impedance Cardiography

Short title: Hemodynamic Sex Differences in Elevated Blood Pressure

César Caraballo,[1,2] Shiwani Mahajan,[1,2] Jianlei Gu,[3,4] Yuan Lu,[1] Erica S. Spatz,[1,2] Rachel P. Dreyer,[5] MaoZhen Zhang,[6,7] NingLing Sun,[8] Yihong Ren,[9] Xin Zheng,[10] Hongyu Zhao,[3,11] Hui Lu,[3,12] Zheng J. Ma,[3,11,13] Harlan M. Krumholz[1,2,14,*]

[1] Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation, Yale New Haven Hospital, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America

[2] Section of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America

[3] SJTU-Yale Joint Center for Biostatistics, School of Life Science and Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

[4] Shanghai Engineering Research Center for Big Data in Pediatric Precision Medicine, Shanghai, China

[5] Department of Emergency Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, United States

[6] iKang Healthcare Group, Inc., Shanghai, China

[7] Department of Cardiology, Xinhua Hospital Affiliated with Shanghai Jiao Tong University

[8] Department of Hypertension at Heart Center, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, China

[9] The First Medical Center of Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

[10] National Clinical Research Center of Cardiovascular Diseases, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China

[11] Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America

[12] Center for Biomedical Informatics, Shanghai Children's Hospital, Shanghai, China School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

[13] Beijing Li-Heng Medical Technologies, Ltd, Beijing, China

[14] Department of Health Policy and Management, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America

***Corresponding author**

Email: harlan.krumholz@yale.edu

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: Whether there are sex differences in hemodynamic profiles among people with elevated blood pressure is not well understood and could guide personalization of treatment.

Methods and results: We described the clinical and hemodynamic characteristics of adults with elevated blood pressure in China using impedance cardiography. We included 45,082 individuals with elevated blood pressure (defined as systolic blood pressure of ≥130 mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure of ≥80 mmHg), of which 35.2% were women. Overall, women had a higher mean systolic blood pressure than men (139.0 [±15.7] mmHg vs 136.8 [±13.8] mmHg, P<0.001), but a lower mean diastolic blood pressure (82.6 [±9.0] mmHg vs 85.6 [±8.9] mmHg, P<0.001). After adjusting for age, region, and body mass index, women <50 years old had lower systemic vascular resistance index (beta-coefficient [β] -31.7; 95% CI: -51.2, -12.2) and higher cardiac index (β 0.07; 95% CI: 0.04, 0.09) than men of their same age group, whereas among those ≥50 years old women had higher systemic vascular resistance index (β 120.4; 95% CI: 102.4, 138.5) but lower cardiac index (β -0.15; 95% CI: -0.16, -0.13). Results were consistent with a propensity score matching sensitivity analysis, although the magnitude of the SVRI difference was lower and non-significant. However, there was substantial overlap between women and men in the distribution plots of these variables, with overlapping areas ranging from 78% to 88%.

Conclusions: Our findings indicate that there are sex differences in hypertension phenotype, but that sex alone is insufficient to infer an individual's profile.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Mean arterial pressure is determined by cardiac output (CO) and systemic vascular resistance (SVR), and there are important sex-specific differences in its regulation and the risk of developing hypertension.[1-4] Recent studies[5-7] have shown that, on average, women with hypertension have a higher SVR and a lower CO when compared with men. Such observations suggest that sex could serve as a proxy for the underlying hemodynamic phenotype among individuals with elevated blood pressure. Considering that tailoring antihypertensive treatment based on individuals' hemodynamic profile may be associated with better blood pressure control,[8-11] these sex differences on hemodynamic phenotypes could help to identify more personalized therapeutic approaches. However, current hypertension guidelines have no sex-specific recommendations on therapy–other than in pregnancy–due to a lack of evidence of benefit from sex-stratified therapies.[12, 13]

Most of the studies addressing these underlying hemodynamic sex differences have had small samples or focused exclusively on younger individuals,[5, 6] which limits the generalizability of their findings to a broader population that includes the elderly, among whom the hypertension burden is greater.[14-16] Particularly, after menopause, women experience a sharp increase in hypertension prevalence,[17-19] eventually surpassing aged-matched men.[20] Thus, assessing the sex-specific differences in hemodynamic profiles across age groups can provide a better understanding on whether there are substantial hemodynamic differences by sex that could be used to guide therapy.

Accordingly, we used data from tens of thousands of individuals with elevated blood pressure from an outpatient setting in China to evaluate the overall patterns of sex differences in hemodynamic variables and to determine how these sex hemodynamic differences may vary with

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

age. We also aimed to evaluate the distribution of these variables among women and men, and to what extent they overlap by sex. Furthermore, we stratified our analysis at the mean age of menopause in China because of its association with hemodynamic changes. Results from this study can advance our understanding of the association of sex with hemodynamic patterns in people with hypertension and suggest if sex could be used to guide therapy.

Methods

Data source

iKang Health Group provided the de-identified data used in this study to SJTU-Yale Joint Center for Biostatistics in Shanghai, China. This is where all data are stored and all analyses were performed. Requests for data can be submitted to the iKang Health Group at maozhen.zhang@ikang.com. The code used to analyze the data is publicly available at https://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5931975.

Study population

Between January 2012 and October 2018, 116,851 individuals (65,172 men and 51,679 women) underwent an impedance cardiography (ICG) test offered as part of the employee routine annual physical examination at 51 sites of iKang Health Checkup Centers throughout China. We excluded those younger than 20 years and those older than 80 years (n=839). Then, we excluded 1,814 individuals with outlier values of weight, height, blood pressure, heart rate, stroke volume, and baseline thoracic impedance (**Fig 1**). Of the 114,198 remaining individuals, we included 45,082 with elevated blood pressure, which was defined as a systolic blood pressure

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

(SBP) of ≥130 mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of ≥80 mmHg, consistent with the 2017 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association hypertension guidelines.[12]

Fig 1. Study population flowchart. Abbreviations: ICG, impedance cardiography; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SV, stroke volume; HR, heart rate; Z0, baseline impedance.

Data collection

At health centers, nurses collected information on the patient's age, sex, geographical region, weight, height, SBP, and DBP. Weight was measured using a calibrated and standardized scale, rounded to the nearest 0.1 kg. Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a portable stadiometer (Omron HNJ-318; Omron Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) with patients standing without shoes and heels against the wall. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. After 5 minutes of resting in a seated position, blood pressure was measured once using an automated monitor (Omron HBP-9020; Omron Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) on the right arm.

Patients were then requested to lay supine and, after 3 minutes in this position, all hemodynamic parameters were measured using ICG. The ICG method that has been validated against invasive techniques for estimation of stroke volume and CO in both stable and high-risk populations,[21-23] and has been shown to be a highly reproducible technique.[24] By applying a constant, low amplitude, high-frequency, alternating electrical current to the thorax, ICG device measures the corresponding voltage to detect beat-to-beat changes in thoracic electrical resistance, known as impedance, and with it stroke volume is estimated.[25, 26] Then, using

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, and BMI, other hemodynamic parameters are calculated, including CO, cardiac index (CI), SVR, and systemic vascular resistance index (SVRI).[27] The ICG device used (CHM T3002/P3005, designed by Beijing Li-Heng Medical Technologies, Ltd, manufactured by Shandong Baolihao Medical Appliances, Ltd.) was developed based on improved hardware and advanced digital filtering algorithms,[28] and has been validated versus both invasive thermodilution and non-invasive echocardiography in different settings.[29-31]

Variable definitions

We described demographic characteristics and hemodynamic parameters of blood pressure in women and men overall and by age. Considering that the mean age of natural menopause in China is reported as approximately 50 years of age,[32-34] we stratified our study population as <50 years old and ≥50 years old. We used the World Health Organization recommended cutoff values for BMI classification in Asian populations, defining underweight as <18.5 kg/m^2, normal weight from 18.5 kg/m^2 to <23 kg/m^2, overweight from 23 kg/m^2 to <27.5 kg/m^2, and obesity as ≥27.5 kg/m^2.[35] We defined a predominantly vascular hypertension phenotype as high SVRI (>2400 dynes·sec·cm^{-5}·m^2) with a low or normal CI (<2.5 L/min/m^2 or 2.5–4 L/min/m^2, respectively), and predominantly cardiac hypertension phenotype as high CI (>4 L/min/m^2) with low or normal SVRI (<2000 dynes·sec·cm^{-5}·m^2 or 2000–2400 dynes·sec·cm^{-5}·m^2, respectively).[11, 36, 37]

Statistical analysis

We calculated means with standard deviations (SD) for continuous variables and frequencies for categorical variables, and assessed for the significance of the inter-group

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

differences using ANOVA and Chi-square test (with Yates' correction), respectively. Next, the relationship between these parameters and age, BMI and SBP was evaluated using least squares method (LMS) curves.[38] To assess the association of sex with CO, CI, SVR, and SVRI we used unadjusted and sequential adjusted linear regression models and reported the female sex beta coefficient and its respective 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for the entire study population, among those <50 years old, and among those ≥50 years old. The sequential adjusted models were built as follows: adjusted model 1 included age and region; model 2 included variables from model 1 plus BMI. Finally, we used density plots to characterize the distribution of the hemodynamic parameters by sex across the different strata, estimating the percentage of the plot area that overlaps between women and men on each stratum. To account for potential residual confounding, we performed a nearest neighbor propensity score matching sensitivity analysis, using region, age, SBP, DBP, and BMI. Propensity score generation and 1:1 match for samples between men and women groups were performed using the MatchIt package in R.[39] For reproducibility and comparison with prior studies, and aligned with Chinese hypertension guidelines cutoff blood pressure values,[40] we also performed a sensitivity analysis replicating these analyses on a subpopulation of individuals with SBP ≥140 mmHg or DBP ≥90 mmHg.

All statistical analyses were conducted using R, version 3.6.2 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). Statistical significance was defined as a 2-tailed $P<0.05$. Coauthors JG, HZ, and ZJM take responsibility for the analysis.

Ethics statement

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

This project received an exemption from review from the Institutional Review Board at Yale School of Medicine and at Shanghai Jiao Tong University College of Biotechnology as we used de-identified data provided by the iKang Health group. Given that the de-identified data were provided by a third party, we did not need to collect consent for participation.

Results

Age, body mass index, and hemodynamic variables and phenotypes by sex

We included 45,082 individuals with elevated blood pressure, of which 15,888 (35.2%) were women. Overall, women had a higher mean age than men (54.5 [±11.8] years vs 48.0 [±13.0] years, P<0.001) and were less likely to be obese (17.2% vs 23.5%, P<0.001) (Table 1). Women had a higher mean SBP than men (139.0 [±15.7] mmHg vs 136.8 [±13.8] mmHg, respectively, P<0.001), but a lower mean DBP (82.6 [±9.0] mmHg vs 85.6 [±8.9] mmHg, P< 0.001). Among those <50 years of age, women had lower mean SBP and DBP compared with men of the same age group (P<0.001 for each), whereas among those older than 50 years they had higher mean SBP and lower mean DBP than men (P<0.001 for each) (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Sex differences in clinical and hemodynamic variables by age group among adults with elevated blood pressure.

	All			**<50 years old**			**≥50 years old**		
	Women N=15,888	Men N= 29194	P value	Women N = 4,384	Men N = 15,512	P value	Women N = 11,504	Men N = 13,682	P valu
Age (years)	54.5 (11.8)	48.0 (13.0)	<0.001	39.3 (8.1)	38.0 (7.3)	<0.001	60.2 (6.9)	59.5 (7.2)	<0.001
BMI (kg/m2)	24.4 (3.5)	25.5 (3.2)	<0.001	23.5 (3.7)	25.7 (3.4)	<0.001	24.8 (3.3)	25.2 (3.0)	<0.001

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

	All			<50 years old			≥50 years old		
Obesity*	2733 (17.2%)	6858 (23.49%)	<0.001	585 (13.34%)	4057 (26.15%)	<0.001	2148 (18.67%)	2801 (20.47%)	<0.001
Region			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
East	5965 (37.54%)	13275 (45.47%)		1956 (20.86%)	7419 (79.14%)		4009 (40.64%)	5856 (59.36%)	
North	3665 (23.07%)	4156 (14.24%)		779 (29.59%)	1854 (70.41%)		2886 (55.63%)	2302 (44.37%)	
South	2737 (17.23%)	4317 (14.79%)		686 (22.66%)	2341 (77.34%)		2051 (50.93%)	1976 (49.07%)	
Southwest	3521 (22.16%)	7446 (25.51%)		963 (19.81%)	3898 (80.19%)		2558 (41.89%)	3548 (58.11%)	
Blood pressure (mmHg)									
Systolic	139.0 (15.7)	136.7 (13.8)	<0.001	131.2 (13.2)	133.7 (12.2)	<0.001	142.0 (15.6)	140.1 (14.8)	<0.001
Diastolic	82.6 (9.00)	85.6 (8.9)	<0.001	83.3 (7.9)	85.3 (8.9)	<0.001	82.4 (9.4)	86.01 (9.0)	<0.001
Hypertension phenotype									
Predominantly cardiac†	2559 (16.11%)	5185 (17.76%)	<0.001	1382 (31.52%)	3495 (22.53%)	<0.001	1177 (10.23%)	1690 (12.35%)	<0.001
Predominantly vascular‡	9780 (61.56%)	16396 (56.16%)	<0.001	1666 (38.00%)	7197 (46.40%)	<0.001	8114 (70.53%)	9199 (67.23%)	<0.001
Low/normal CI & low/normal SVRI	3531 (22.22)%	7548 (25.86%)	<0.001	1,330 (30.34%)	4,790(30.88%)	0.50	2,201 (19.13%)	2,758 (20.16%)	0.04
High CI & high SVRI	18 (0.11%)	65 (0.22%)	0.01	6 (0.14%)	30 (0.19%)	0.56	12 (0.10%)	35 (0.26%)	0.01
ICG parameters									
Heart rate (bpm)	69.4 (11.4)	69.5 (11.3)	0.63	72.6 (11.9)	70.8 (11.1)	<0.001	68.2 (10.9)	68.0 (11.3)	0.15
Stroke volume (mL)	72.9 (18.6)	88.8 (21.5)	<0.001	80.0 (18.8)	93.0 (21.6)	<0.001	70.2 (17.7)	84.0 (20.5)	<0.001
CO (L/min)	5.0 (1.4)	6.1 (1.5)	<0.001	5.8 (1.4)	6.5 (1.4)	<0.001	4.7 (1.2)	5.6 (1.4)	<0.001
CI (L/min/m^2)	3.2 (0.8)	3.3 (0.8)	<0.001	3.6 (0.9)	3.5 (0.7)	<0.001	3.0 (0.8)	3.2 (0.7)	<0.001
SVR (dynes·sec·cm^{-5})	1744 (523)	1433 (389)	<0.001	1471 (411)	1315 (324)	<0.001	1848 (524)	1565 (412)	<0.001
SVRI (dynes·sec·cm^{-5}·m^2)	2734.1 (809.9)	2596.3 (677.2)	<0.001	2326.0 (658.0)	2435.1 (598.6)	<0.001	2889.6 (808.3)	2779.2 (713.8)	<0.001

Data are presented as mean (SD) for continuous variables and n (%) for categorical variables.

* Obesity was defined as BMI ≥27.5 kg/m2
† A predominantly cardiac hypertension phenotype was determined by high CI with low or normal SVRI
‡ Predominantly vascular hypertension phenotype was determined by low or normal CI with high SVRI

Abbreviations: SD= Standard Deviation, BMI= Body Mass Index, ICG= Impedance Cardiography, SVR= Systemic Vascular Resistance, SVRI= Systemic Vascular Resistance Index, CO= Cardiac Output, CI= Cardiac Index.

Overall, women had lower mean CO and CI and higher mean SVR and SVRI than men (P<0.001 for all). When stratified by age, women <50 years old had a higher mean CI and a lower mean SVRI than men (P< 0.001 for both), whereas, among those older than 50 years, women had a lower mean CI (P< 0.001) and a higher mean SVRI (P<0.001) (**Table 1**). Also,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

compared with men, women were more likely to have predominantly vascular hemodynamic hypertension phenotype (61.6% vs 56.2%, P<0.001) but only slightly less likely to have a predominantly cardiac phenotype (16.11% vs 17.8%, P<0.001). Compared with men of the same age, women <50 years old were less likely to have a predominantly vascular phenotype and more likely to have a predominantly cardiac phenotype (38% vs 46.4% and 31.5% vs 22.5%, respectively, P<0.001 for each comparison). Women older than 50 years, on the other hand, compared with men of the same age group, were more likely to have a predominantly vascular phenotype (70.5% vs 67.2%, P<0.001) and less likely to have a predominantly cardiac phenotype (10.2% vs 12.4%, P<0.001) (**Table 1**). Similar results were found in the sensitivity analysis among those with SBP ≥140 mmHg or DBP ≥90 mmHg, although in this subpopulation there was no significant difference in the mean CI and SVRI between men and women <50 years (**S1 Table**).

Relationship of hemodynamic variables and age among women and men

Plots of median CO, CI, SVR, and SVRI with age are presented in **Fig 2**. With age, median CO decreased for both sexes, being consistently lower among women (**Fig 2A**). Although median CI also decreased with age for both sexes, it was higher in women before age 50 than men of the same age, becoming similar afterwards (**Fig 2B)**. On the other hand, SVR increased with age in both sexes, being consistently higher among women (**Fig 2C**). Although SVRI increased in both groups, it was lower among women compared with men among individuals <50 years old, having a steeper increase with age among young women and becoming similar between the 2 groups among individuals ≥50 years of age (**Fig 2D)**. These

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

results were consistent with those observed when analyzing individuals with SBP ≥140 mmHg or ≥90 mmHg only (**S1 Fig**).

Fig 2. Median Cardiac Output (A), Cardiac Index (B), Systemic Vascular Resistance (C), and Systemic Vascular Resistance Index (D) by Age Among Women and Men with Elevated Blood Pressure. Solid lines represent the median. Dashed lines represent the 25th and 75th percentile. Abbreviations: CO, cardiac output; CI, cardiac index; SVR, systemic vascular resistance; SVRI, systemic vascular resistance index.

Multivariable linear regression and propensity score matching sensitivity analysis

Unadjusted and sequentially-adjusted female sex beta coefficients (β) for CO, CI, SVR, and SVRI are presented in **Table 2.** After adjusting for age, BMI, and region, female sex was associated with lower cardiac output overall (β = -0.78 [95% CI: -0.8, -0.75]), among those <50 years old (β =-0.59 [95% CI: -0.64, -0.54]), and among those ≥50 years old (β = -0.86 [95% CI: -0.89, -0.83]). However, female sex was positively associated with CI only among those <50 years (β = 0.07 [95% CI: 0.04, 0.09]), having a negative association overall (β = -0.08 [95% CI: -0.09, -0.06]) and among those ≥50 years of age (β = -0.15 [95% CI: -0.16, -0.13]). On the other hand, female sex was associated with higher SVR overall (β = 229 [95% CI: 221, 238]), among those <50 years (β =140 [95% CI: 128, 151]), and among those ≥50 years of age (β = 274 [95% CI: 262, 285]). Lastly, female sex had a negative association with SVRI only among those <50 years old (β = -31.7 [95% CI: -51.2, -12.2]), having a positive association among those >50 years of age (β = 120.4 [95% CI: 102.4, 138.5]) and among the entire study population (β = 73.5 [95%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

CI: 60.3, 86.8]). The direction and magnitude of these findings were mostly consistent with the ones from the adjusted model that did not include BMI (**Table 2**).

Table 2. Unadjusted and Sequentially-Adjusted Association of Female Sex with Cardiac Output, Cardiac Index, Systemic Vascular Resistance, and Systemic Vascular Resistance Index, Overall and by Age Categories.

Hemodynamic Variable	**Female Sex β Coefficient (95% CI)**		
	Unadjusted Model	Adjusted Model 1*	Adjusted Model 2†
Cardiac Output, (L/min)			
Overall	-1.07 (-1.1, -1.04)	-0.79 (-0.82, -0.77)	-0.78 (-0.8, -0.75)
<50 years old	-0.73 (-0.78, -0.68)	-0.67 (-0.71, -0.62)	-0.59 (-0.64, -0.54)
≥50 years old	-0.90 (-0.93, -0.87)	-0.86 (-0.89, -0.83)	-0.86 (-0.89, -0.83)
Cardiac Index, (L/min/m^2)			
Overall	-0.15 (-0.16, -0.13)	-0.01 (-0.03, 0)[‡]	-0.08 (-0.09, -0.06)
<50 years old	0.14 (0.12, 0.17)	0.19 (0.16, 0.21)	0.07 (0.04, 0.09)
≥50 years old	-0.14 (-0.16, -0.12)	-0.12 (-0.14, -0.1)	-0.15 (-0.16, -0.13)
Systemic Vascular Resistance,(dynes·sec·cm^{-5})			
Overall	312 (303, 320)	225 (216, 233)	230 (221, 238)
<50 years old	156 (144, 167)	138 (127, 149)	140 (128, 151)
≥50 years old	282 (271, 294)	271 (260, 282)	274 (262, 285)
Systemic Vascular Resistance Index, (dynes·sec·cm^{-5}·m^2)			
Overall	137.8 (123.7, 151.8)	6.0 (-7.6, 19.7)[§]	73.5 (60.2, 86.8)
<50 years old	-109.1 (-129.7, -88.6)	-146.9 (-166.6, -127.3)	-31.7 (-51.2, -12.2)
≥50 years old	110.5 (91.7, 129.3)	88.5 (69.9, 107.2)	120.4 (102.4, 138.5)

* Model 1 was adjusted for age and region
† Model 2 was adjusted for age, region, and body mass index

[‡]P value=0.16
[§]P value=0.39
All other P values <0.001

These hemodynamic differences remained in the propensity score matching sensitivity analysis (**S2 Table**) and in the sensitivity analysis among those with SBP ≥140 mmHg or DBP

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

≥90 mmHg (**S3 Table**), although the magnitude of the SVRI difference among those younger than 50 years was not significant.

Hemodynamic variables distribution overlap between women and men

Density plots of CO and CI, by sex and age are shown in **Fig 3.** Overall, the CO showed a distribution overlap of 52.1% between women's and men's density plots (**Fig 3A**). Among those younger than 50 years, women's CO distribution was slightly shifted to the left compared with men's, with a 65.4% overlap between sexes (**Fig 3B**). On the other hand, among those older than 50 years, CO distribution among women had higher kurtosis and was shifted to the left when compared with men, with an overlap between sexes of 55.6% (**Fig 3C**). The indexed variable (CI) distribution showed a greater overlap between sexes, reaching 79.6% overall, 80.8% among those <50 years old, and 82.6% among those ≥50 years old (**Figs 3D, 3E,** and **3F**, respectively).

Fig 3. Cardiac Output (A, B, and C) and Cardiac Index (D, E, and F) Density Plots Overlap Between Women And Men with Elevated Blood Pressure by Age Category. Abbreviations: CO, cardiac output; CI, cardiac index; OA, overlapping area.

Density plots of SVR and SVRI by sex and age are shown in **Fig 4.** Distribution of SVR showed an overlap between men and women of 56.7%, with women's density plot having lower kurtosis and shifted to the right compared with men's (**Fig 4A**). Among those <50 years old, women's SVR distribution had less kurtosis and was slightly shifted to the right compared with men's, with an overlap between sexes of 72.1% (**Fig 4B**). On the other hand, among those ≥50 years old, women's SVR distribution was shifted to the right compared with men's, with an

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

overlap of 61.4% between the 2 groups (**Fig 4C**). The indexed variable (SVRI), also increased in overlap between both sexes, reaching 82.4%, 78.3%, and 87.7% among the entire study population, among those <50 years old, and among those ≥50 years old, respectively (**Figs 4D**, **4E**, and **4F**, respectively).

Fig 4. **Systemic Vascular Resistance (A, B, and C), and Systemic Vascular Resistance Index (D, E, and F) Density Plots Overlap Between Women And Men with Elevated Blood Pressure by Age Category.** Abbreviations: SVR, systemic vascular resistance; SVRI, systemic vascular resistance index; OA, overlapping area.

When analyzing only those with SBP ≥140 mmHg or DBP ≥90 mmHg, the overlapping areas of the distribution of CO, CI, SVR, and SVRI were highly consistent with the ones from our main analysis (**S2 and S3 Figs**).

Discussion

In our study, we investigated sex differences in hemodynamic variables, mainly CI and SVRI, among adults presenting with elevated blood pressure. We found that, on average, young women have higher mean CI and lower SVRI than age-matched men, although the magnitude of the SVRI difference was significantly reduced when accounting for confounders. Notably, we also found that these hemodynamic differences between sexes were reversed among those older than 50 years of age, the mean age of menopause in China,[34] with women having lower mean CI and higher mean SVRI than men. Nonetheless, a key finding of our study was that, despite these overall differences, there is substantial hemodynamic heterogeneity within individuals of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

the same sex and age: the overlapping area of the distribution plots of CI and SVRI ranged from nearly 80% among those younger than 50 years to nearly 90% among those older than 50 years.

Our results expand the existing knowledge in 2 major ways. First, while most studies have been performed on small samples or among young individuals,[5-7] our study allowed us to describe the hemodynamic sex differences in a much larger sample of individuals with elevated blood pressure, including older individuals. To the best of our knowledge this is the largest study that has compared hemodynamic variables and phenotypes between women and men across different age groups. Doing so is instrumental in these studies because of the cardiovascular risk changes associated with menopause.[18, 19, 34, 41] Second, our study is the first to estimate the full distribution of hemodynamic parameters by sex, rather than being limited to comparing the average values. This approach allowed us to describe for the first time that—besides significant average differences—sex is not a reliable indicator of the individual hemodynamic phenotype, particularly among those older than 50 years old where the distributions of CI and SVRI among women and men were almost identical.

Despite the geographical, sample size, and inclusion criteria differences, our results complement and are consistent with the findings from other studies in non-Asian populations[5-7] in which the authors found that, among hypertensive individuals, men had a higher CO and lower SVR than women. However, considering the well-known body composition differences between men and women,[42] we analyzed the hypertension phenotype using the body surface area adjusted values (CI and SVRI) and stratified by age, increasing the comparability of these variables between sexes, consistent with our previous studies.[43, 44] We found that despite the differences in mean CO and SVR, among those <50 years old hypertensive women were more likely to have a predominantly cardiac phenotype (high CI with normal/low SVRI) and less

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

likely to have a predominantly vascular phenotype (high SVRI with normal/low CI) compared with men of the same age. Interestingly, among those older than 50 years, women were more likely to present a predominantly vascular phenotype than men. One plausible explanation for such an observation is that, along with hormonal and environmental factors,[19] young women have a blunted alpha-adrenergic vasoconstriction response because of an increased beta-adrenergic vasodilatation[45] that disappears after menopause and that is absent in men.[46] This, however, does not fully explain the association between sex and blood pressure: compared with men, women have a steeper increase in SBP thorough life, even decades before menopause.[47] Altogether, such findings might suggest that the underlying mechanisms of elevated blood pressure might differ by sex, particularly among young individuals. The therapeutically implications of these sex differences are limited because of the high same-sex heterogeneity in these parameters that we observed. Beyond its potential implications for treatment adjustment or initiation,[8-10] understanding if these differences in hypertension phenotypes are implicated in the known sex differences in terms of risk of subsequent cardiovascular outcomes[48] is still uncertain and deserves further investigation. Furthermore, there is also a need for longitudinal studies that help us to understand how chronic exposure to different hypertension phenotypes is associated with clinical outcomes, and if there are sex-differences in such associations.

Our study also has important implications for personalizing the care of patients presenting to an outpatient clinic with elevated blood pressure. As hypertension remains as one of the biggest public health challenges worldwide,[49] there is urgency in determining possible ways of improving its treatment efficacy by using personalized therapies tailored to each patient's characteristics. Although we and other studies have shown that, on average, there are

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

significant hemodynamic differences by sex, we also found that there is substantial same-sex heterogeneity in the hemodynamic profile. Notably, as hypertension prevalence and arterial stiffening increases among women after menopause,[15, 18, 50] the distribution of CI and SVRI among those older than 50 years was almost the same between women and men. Thus, our study indicates that sex alone is not a good proxy of the underlying hemodynamic patterns of patients with elevated blood pressure and should not be used clinically to assume the hypertension phenotype. Instead, it is necessary to measure these parameters directly if information about the hemodynamic profile is considered necessary to guide the antihypertensive therapy.

Limitations

The results from our study should be interpreted in light of the following limitations. First, our findings only represent a snapshot of an individual's hemodynamic status, preventing us from assessing longitudinal clinical outcomes and pathologic adaptations that may occur with long-term exposure to a particular hypertension phenotype, including structural and physiological changes in heart and vessels.[51, 52]

Second, although we had highly detailed hemodynamic information of each individual, the sociodemographic and clinical information (including comorbidities that may affect hemodynamic status) available to our analyses was limited. Of great importance is the lack of information regarding current antihypertensive medications usage, which could alter the hemodynamic phenotype and inclusion criteria (e.g. beta-blockers lowering CO or a patient with controlled hypertension not being included). However, studies have shown that hypertension treatment and blood pressure control rates are low in China,[53-55] though they are higher among women than in men, suggesting that the majority of the patients in our study would be

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

untreated and that most individuals with hypertension would have been included. Nonetheless, our results represent participants' hemodynamic status at the time of examination in an outpatient clinic, regardless of their medications, which could help guide the treatment initiation or adjustment based on the underlying hemodynamic derangement among patients with uncontrolled blood pressure. Additionally, although menopause is a key determinant of blood pressure regulation, we did not have this self-reported event from our participants. However, for our analysis we used the average age of menopause in China and the results were consistent with this hemodynamic shift among women around age 50 years. Lastly, we did not have information on participants' smoking status or smoking history, factors associated with hypertension and increased arterial stiffness, although the latter is less certain.[56, 57] Smoking is one of the major public health challenges among Chinese men, with a prevalence of nearly 1 in 2 among them and only around 2% among women.[58, 59] The impact of smoking on the hypertension phenotype and if such an association is modified by sex remains to be studied in detail.

Third, a single BP measurement was recorded per participant, which could have affected the precision in this variable. However, all study centers followed the same standardized protocol for men and women, as described in the Methods section, to reduce inter-observer variability. Moreover, such a compromise in precision would most likely shift the observed sex differences towards the null rather than towards significance.

Fourth, although we performed a robust main analysis, which included adjustment for multiple confounders, and a sensitivity analysis that used propensity score matching, we cannot rule out that the differences observed between men and women were due to residual confounding.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Conclusions

Women and men with elevated blood pressure in China have differences in the average values of the hemodynamic determinants of blood pressure. However, the magnitude of such differences is significantly reduced with age and there is substantial overlap in the distribution of the hemodynamic variables. This indicates that sex alone is insufficient to infer the underlying hypertension phenotype.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of Mr. Zhang Ligang, CEO of iKang Health Group, for the permission to use the data.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Source of funding

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Disclosures

Yuan Lu is supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (K12HL138037) and the Yale Center for Implementation Science. **Erica S. Spatz** receives support from the Food and Drug Administration to support projects within the Yale-Mayo Clinic Center of Excellence in Regulatory Science and Innovation (CERSI); the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (U54MD010711-01) to study precision-based approaches to diagnosing and preventing hypertension; and the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (R01EB028106-01) to study a cuff-less blood pressure device. **Xin Zheng** is supported by the CAMS Innovation Fund for Medical Science (2016-I2M-1-006), the National Key Research and Development Program (2016YFE0103800) from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China. **Hui Lu** is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFC0910500) and Neil Shen's SJTU Medical Research Fund. **Zheng J. Ma** is affiliated with Beijing Li-Heng Medical Technologies, Ltd, which designed the ICG device used in this study. This affiliation did not play a role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. In the past three years, **Harlan Krumholz** received expenses and/or personal fees from UnitedHealth, Element Science, Aetna, Reality Labs, Tesseract/4Catalyst, F-Prime, the Siegfried and Jensen Law Firm, Arnold and Porter Law Firm, and Martin/Baughman Law Firm. He is a co-founder of Refactor Health and HugoHealth, and is associated with contracts, through Yale New Haven Hospital, from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services and through Yale University from Johnson & Johnson. Such competing interests do not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials. The other co-authors report no potential competing interests.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Hart EC, Charkoudian N, Wallin BG, Curry TB, Eisenach J, Joyner MJ. Sex and ageing differences in resting arterial pressure regulation: the role of the beta-adrenergic receptors. J Physiol. 2011;589(Pt 21):5285-97. Epub 2011/08/24. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2011.212753. PubMed PMID: 21859824; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3225680.

2. Hart EC, Charkoudian N, Wallin BG, Curry TB, Eisenach JH, Joyner MJ. Sex differences in sympathetic neural-hemodynamic balance: implications for human blood pressure regulation. Hypertension. 2009;53(3):571-6. Epub 2009/01/28. doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.108.126391. PubMed PMID: 19171792; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3733790.

3. Reckelhoff JF. Sex differences in regulation of blood pressure. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2018;1065:139-51. Epub 2018/07/28. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-77932-4_9. PubMed PMID: 30051382.

4. Briant LJB, Charkoudian N, Hart EC. Sympathetic regulation of blood pressure in normotension and hypertension: when sex matters. Exp Physiol. 2016;101(2):219-29. doi: 10.1113/ep085368.

5. Nardin C, Maki-Petaja KM, Miles KL, Yasmin, McDonnell BJ, Cockcroft JR, et al. Cardiovascular phenotype of elevated blood pressure differs markedly between young males and females: The Enigma Study. Hypertension. 2018;72(6):1277-84. Epub 2019/02/15. doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.118.11975. PubMed PMID: 30763511; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6221425.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

6. Ferrario CM, Jessup JA, Smith RD. Hemodynamic and hormonal patterns of untreated essential hypertension in men and women. Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis. 2013;7(6):293-305. doi: 10.1177/1753944713513221. PubMed PMID: 24280597.

7. Krzesinski P, Stanczyk A, Gielerak G, Uzieblo-Zyczkowska B, Kurpaska M, Piotrowicz K, et al. Sex determines cardiovascular hemodynamics in hypertension. J Hum Hypertens. 2015;29(10):610-7. Epub 2015/01/30. doi: 10.1038/jhh.2014.134. PubMed PMID: 25631222.

8. Smith RD, Levy P, Ferrario CM. Value of noninvasive hemodynamics to achieve blood pressure control in hypertensive subjects. Hypertension. 2006;47(4):771-7. doi: doi:10.1161/01.HYP.0000209642.11448.e0.

9. Krzesiński P, Gielerak GG, Kowal JJ. A "patient-tailored" treatment of hypertension with use of impedance cardiography: a randomized, prospective and controlled trial. Med Sci Monit. 2013;19:242-50. Epub 2013/04/06. doi: 10.12659/msm.883870. PubMed PMID: 23558598; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3659156.

10. Taler SJ, Textor SC, Augustine JE. Resistant hypertension. Hypertension 2002;39(5):982-8. doi: doi:10.1161/01.HYP.0000016176.16042.2F.

11. Lu Y, Wang L, Wang H, Gu J, Ma ZJ, Lian Z, et al. Effectiveness of an impedance cardiography guided treatment strategy to improve blood pressure control in a real-world setting: results from a pragmatic clinical trial. Open Heart. 2021;8(2). doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2021-001719. PubMed PMID: 34580169; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8477318.

12. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE, Collins KJ, Himmelfarb CD, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Practice Guidelines. Hypertension 2018;71(6):e13-e115. doi: doi:10.1161/HYP.0000000000000065.

13. Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, Agabiti Rosei E, Azizi M, Burnier M, et al. 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: The task force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society of Hypertension (ESH). Eur Heart J. 2018;39(33):3021-104. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy339.

14. Peralta CA, Katz R, Newman AB, Psaty BM, Odden MC. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, incident cardiovascular events, and death in elderly persons: the role of functional limitation in the Cardiovascular Health Study. Hypertension. 2014;64(3):472-80. Epub 2014/06/18. doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.114.03831. PubMed PMID: 24935945; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4134400.

15. Cheng S, Xanthakis V, Sullivan LM, Vasan RS. Blood pressure tracking over the adult life course: patterns and correlates in the Framingham heart study. Hypertension 2012;60(6):1393-9. Epub 2012/10/31. doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.112.201780. PubMed PMID: 23108660; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3499677.

16. Vishram JK, Borglykke A, Andreasen AH, Jeppesen J, Ibsen H, Jorgensen T, et al. Impact of age on the importance of systolic and diastolic blood pressures for stroke risk: the MOnica, Risk, Genetics, Archiving, and Monograph (MORGAM) Project. Hypertension. 2012;60(5):1117-23. Epub 2012/09/26. doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.112.201400. PubMed PMID: 23006731.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

17. Coylewright M, Reckelhoff JF, Ouyang P. Menopause and hypertension: an age-old debate. Hypertension. 2008;51(4):952-9. Epub 2008/02/09. doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.107.105742. PubMed PMID: 18259027.

18. Zhou Y, Zhou X, Guo X, Sun G, Li Z, Zheng L, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of hypertension among pre- and post-menopausal women: a cross-sectional study in a rural area of northeast China. Maturitas. 2015;80(3):282-7. Epub 2015/01/08. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2014.12.001. PubMed PMID: 25563399.

19. Colafella KMM, Denton KM. Sex-specific differences in hypertension and associated cardiovascular disease. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2018;14(3):185-201. Epub 2018/01/31. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2017.189. PubMed PMID: 29380817.

20. Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, Cushman M, Das SR, Deo R, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2017 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2017;135(10):e146-e603. Epub 2017/01/27. doi: 10.1161/cir.0000000000000485. PubMed PMID: 28122885; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5408160.

21. Belardinelli R, Ciampani N, Costantini C, Blandini A, Purcaro A. Comparison of impedance cardiography with thermodilution and direct Fick methods for noninvasive measurement of stroke volume and cardiac output during incremental exercise in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol. 1996;77(15):1293-301. Epub 1996/06/15. PubMed PMID: 8677869.

22. McFetridge-Durdle JA, Routledge FS, Parry MJE, Dean CRT, Tucker B. Ambulatory impedance cardiography in hypertension: a validation study. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2008;7(3):204-13. doi: 10.1016/j.ejcnurse.2007.10.003.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

23. Drazner MH, Thompson B, Rosenberg PB, Kaiser PA, Boehrer JD, Baldwin BJ, et al. Comparison of impedance cardiography with invasive hemodynamic measurements in patients with heart failure secondary to ischemic or nonischemic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol. 2002;89(8):993-5. Epub 2002/04/13. PubMed PMID: 11950446.

24. Greenberg BH, Hermann DD, Pranulis MF, Lazio L, Cloutier D. Reproducibility of impedance cardiography hemodynamic measures in clinically stable heart failure patients. Congest Heart Fail. 2000;6(2):74-80. Epub 2002/05/25. doi: 10.1111/j.1527-5299.2000.80140.x. PubMed PMID: 12029190.

25. Bernstein DP. A new stroke volume equation for thoracic electrical bioimpedance: theory and rationale. Crit Care Med. 1986;14(10):904-9. Epub 1986/10/01. PubMed PMID: 3757533.

26. Sherwood A, Allen MT, Fahrenberg J, Kelsey RM, Lovallo WR, van Doornen LJ. Methodological guidelines for impedance cardiography. Psychophysiology. 1990;27(1):1-23. Epub 1990/01/01. PubMed PMID: 2187214.

27. Yancy C, Abraham WT. Noninvasive hemodynamic monitoring in heart failure: utilization of impedance cardiography. Congest Heart Fail. 2003;9(5):241-50. Epub 2003/10/18. PubMed PMID: 14564142.

28. Ma L-y. Development and application of the latest model of the cardiac hemodynamics monitoring system. Chinese J Cardiovasc Med. 2008;13(1):72-73.

29. An X-g, Zhao Y, Gao J. Clinic evaluation of noninvasive hemodynamic monitoring in patients undergoing coronary artery surgery. Chin J Cardiovasc Rev. 2008;6(2):96-98.

30. Hong H, Jin X, Pan C, Gao X, Liu M, Jiang H, Ge J. Analysis of the correlation between non-invasive hemodynamic monitor and cardiac echocardiography on the evaluation of cardiac function. Chinese Journal of Medical Instrumentation. 2009;33:328-331.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

31. Chen W, Huang D, Zeng J, Deng R, Deng G, Chen W, Zou Y. Application of noninvasive cardiac hemodynamic monitor for ICU critically ill patients. Hainan Medical Journal. 2018;29:15.

32. Dorjgochoo T, Kallianpur A, Gao YT, Cai H, Yang G, Li H, et al. Dietary and lifestyle predictors of age at natural menopause and reproductive span in the Shanghai Women's Health Study. Menopause. 2008;15(5):924-33. Epub 2008/07/05. doi: 10.1097/gme.0b013e3181786adc. PubMed PMID: 18600186; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2615483.

33. Wang M, Gong WW, Hu RY, Wang H, Guo Y, Bian Z, et al. Age at natural menopause and associated factors in adult women: Findings from the China Kadoorie Biobank study in Zhejiang rural area. PLoS One. 2018;13(4):e0195658. Epub 2018/04/19. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0195658. PubMed PMID: 29668705; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5905992.

34. Song L, Shen L, Li H, Liu B, Zheng X, Zhang L, et al. Age at natural menopause and hypertension among middle-aged and older Chinese women. J Hypertens. 2018;36(3):594-600. Epub 2017/10/11. doi: 10.1097/hjh.0000000000001585. PubMed PMID: 29016533.

35. WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. Lancet. 2004;363(9403):157-63. Epub 2004/01/17. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(03)15268-3. PubMed PMID: 14726171.

36. Wannenburg T, Little WC. Regulation of cardiac output. In: Jeremias A, Brown DL, editors. Cardiac Intensive Care, Second ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 2010. p. 61-8.

37. Gidwani UK, Mohanty B, Chatterjee K. The pulmonary artery catheter: a critical reappraisal. Cardiol Clin. 2013;31(4):545-65, viii. Epub 2013/11/06. doi: 10.1016/j.ccl.2013.07.008. PubMed PMID: 24188220.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

38. Cole TJ, Green PJ. Smoothing reference centile curves: the LMS method and penalized likelihood. Stat Med. 1992;11:1305-1319.

39. Stuart EA, King G, Imai K, Ho D. MatchIt: nonparametric preprocessing for parametric causal inference. J Stat Softw. 2011.

40. 2018 Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension-A report of the Revision Committee of Chinese Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension. J Geriatr Cardiol. 2019;16(3):182-241. Epub 2019/05/14. doi: 10.11909/j.issn.1671-5411.2019.03.014. PubMed PMID: 31080465; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6500570

41. Khoudary SRE, Aggarwal B, Beckie TM, Hodis HN, Johnson AE, Langer RD, et al. Menopause transition and cardiovascular disease risk: implications for timing of early prevention: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2020;142(25):e506-e32. doi: doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000912.

42. He X, Li Z, Tang X, Zhang L, Wang L, He Y, et al. Age- and sex-related differences in body composition in healthy subjects aged 18 to 82 years. Medicine. 2018;97(25):e11152. doi: 10.1097/md.0000000000011152. PubMed PMID: 00005792-201806220-00052.

43. Mahajan S, Gu J, Caraballo C, Lu Y, Spatz ES, Zhao H, et al. Relationship of age with the hemodynamic parameters in individuals with elevated blood pressure. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2020 Jul;68(7):1520-1528. doi: 10.1111/jgs.16411. Epub 2020 Mar 25. PMID: 32212398.

44. Mahajan S, Gu J, Lu Y, Khera R, Spatz ES, Zhang M, et al. Hemodynamic phenotypes of hypertension based on cardiac output and systemic vascular resistance. Am J Med. 2020 Apr;133(4):e127-e139. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2019.08.042. Epub 2019 Sep 13. PMID: 31525336.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

45. Hart EC, Charkoudian N, Wallin BG, Curry TB, Eisenach J, Joyner MJ. Sex and ageing differences in resting arterial pressure regulation: the role of the β□adrenergic receptors. J Physiol. 2011 Nov 1;589(Pt 21):5285-97. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2011.212753. Epub 2011 Aug 22. PMID: 21859824; PMCID: PMC3225680.

46. Joyner MJ, Wallin BG, Charkoudian N. Sex differences and blood pressure regulation in humans. Exp Physiol. 2016 Mar;101(3):349-55. doi: 10.1113/EP085146. Epub 2015 Aug 16. PMID: 26152788.

47. Ji H, Kim A, Ebinger JE, Niiranen TJ, Claggett BL, Merz CNB, et al. Sex differences in blood pressure trajectories over the life course. JAMA cardiology. 2020;5(3):19-26.

48. Ji H, Niiranen TJ, Rader F, Henglin M, Kim A, Ebinger JE, et al. Sex differences in blood pressure associations with cardiovascular outcomes. Circulation. 2021;143(7):761-3. doi: doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.049360.

49. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet. 2018;392(10159):1923-94. Epub 2018/11/30. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)32225-6. PubMed PMID: 30496105; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6227755.

50. Lu Y, Pechlaner R, Cai J, Yuan H, Huang Z, Yang G, et al. Trajectories of age-related arterial stiffness in Chinese men and women. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020 Mar 3;75(8):870-880. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.12.039. PMID: 32130922.

51. Julius S. Transition from high cardiac output to elevated vascular resistance in hypertension. Am Heart J. 1988;116(2 Pt 2):600-6. Epub 1988/08/01. doi: 10.1016/0002-8703(88)90557-1. PubMed PMID: 3293404.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

52. Falkner B. Cardiac output versus total peripheral resistance. Hypertension. 2018 Nov;72(5):1093-1094. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.118.11963. PMID: 30354830.

53. Lu J, Lu Y, Wang X, Li X, Linderman GC, Wu C, et al. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China: data from 1.7 million adults in a population-based screening study (China PEACE Million Persons Project). Lancet. 2017;390(10112):2549-58. Epub 2017/11/06. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(17)32478-9. PubMed PMID: 29102084.

54. Wang Z, Chen Z, Zhang L, Wang X, Hao G, Zhang Z, et al. Status of hypertension in China: Results from the China Hypertension Survey, 2012-2015. Circulation. 2018;137(22):2344-56. Epub 2018/02/17. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.117.032380. PubMed PMID: 29449338.

55. Wang J, Zhang L, Wang F, Liu L, Wang H. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China: results from a national survey. Am J Hypertens. 2014;27(11):1355-61. Epub 2014/04/05. doi: 10.1093/ajh/hpu053. PubMed PMID: 24698853; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4263934.

56. Doonan RJ, Hausvater A, Scallan C, Mikhailidis DP, Pilote L, Daskalopoulou SS. The effect of smoking on arterial stiffness. Hypertension Research. 2010;33(5):398-410. doi: 10.1038/hr.2010.25.

57. Camplain R, Meyer ML, Tanaka H, Palta P, Agarwal SK, Aguilar D, et al. Smoking behaviors and arterial stiffness measured by pulse wave velocity in older adults: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. Am J Hypertens. 2016;29(11):1268-75. Epub 2015/12/15. doi: 10.1093/ajh/hpv189. PubMed PMID: 26657706; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5055735.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

58. Parascandola M, Xiao L. Tobacco and the lung cancer epidemic in China. Transl Lung Cancer Res. 2019;8(Suppl 1):S21-s30. Epub 2019/06/19. doi: 10.21037/tlcr.2019.03.12. PubMed PMID: 31211103; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6546632.

59. Wang M, Luo X, Xu S, Liu W, Ding F, Zhang X, et al. Trends in smoking prevalence and implication for chronic diseases in China: serial national cross-sectional surveys from 2003 to 2013. Lancet Respir Med. 2019;7(1):35-45. Epub 2018/11/30. doi: 10.1016/s2213-2600(18)30432-6. PubMed PMID: 30482646.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Median Cardiac Output, Cardiac Index, Systemic Vascular Resistance, and Systemic Vascular Resistance Index by Age Among Women and Men with Systolic Blood Pressure ≥140 mmHg or Diastolic Blood Pressure ≥90 mmHg.

S2 Fig. Cardiac Output and Cardiac Index Density Plots Overlap Between Women And Men with Systolic Blood Pressure ≥140 mmHg or Diastolic Blood Pressure ≥90 mmHg, by Age Category.

S3 Fig. Systemic Vascular Resistance and Systemic Vascular Resistance Index Density Plots Overlap Between Women And Men with Systolic Blood Pressure ≥140 mmHg or Diastolic Blood Pressure ≥90 mmHg, by Age Category.

S1 Table. Sex Differences in Clinical and Hemodynamic Variables by Age Group Among Adults With Systolic Blood Pressure ≥140 mmHg or Diastolic Blood Pressure ≥90 mmHg.

S2 Table. Sex Differences In Clinical And Hemodynamic Variables By Nearest Neighbor Propensity Score Matched Subgroups.

S3 Table. Unadjusted and Sequentially-Adjusted Association of Female Sex with Cardiac Output, Cardiac Index, Systemic Vascular Resistance, and Systemic Vascular Resistance

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.21253998; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Index, Overall and by Age Categories Among Adults with Systolic Blood Pressure ≥140 mmHg or Diastolic Blood Pressure ≥90 mmHg, by Age Category.


Individuals with ICG measurements
January 2012 – October 2018
N = 116,851
Excluded Individuals = 71,769
839 due to age <20 or >80 years
1814 due to outlier values of:
a) Weight (<40kg or >140kg): N = 266
b) Height (<120 cm or >220 cm): N = 7
c) DBP (<50 mmHg or >150 mmHg): N = 479
d) SBP (<80 mmHg or >250 mmHg): N = 47
e) SV (<25 ml/beat or >180 ml/beat): N = 249
f) HR (<30 bpm or >200 bpm): N = 33
g) Z0 (<15 ohm or >45 ohm): N = 733
69,116 due to normal blood pressure values
Included Participants with Accurate Measurements and Elevated Blood Pressure
N = 45,082
Women
n = 15,888 (35.2%)
Men
n = 29,194 (64.8%)


CO, Median
Men
Women
CO (L/min)
3.0
4.4
5.8
7.2
8.6
20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80
Age (years)


CI, Median
Men
Women
CI (L/min/m2)
2.0
2.8
3.6
4.4
5.2
20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80
Age (years)


SVR, Median
Men
Women
SVR (dynes*sec*cm−5)
747
1159
1571
1983
20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80
Age (years)


SVRI, Median
Men
Women
SVRI (dynes*sec*cm−5*m2)
1712
2342
2972
3602
20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80
Age (years)


A, All
Men
Women
OA:0.521
Density
CO (L/min)
B, < 50 years old
Men
Women
OA:0.654
Density
CO (L/min)
C, >= 50 years old
Men
Women
OA:0.556
Density
CO (L/min)
D, All
Men
Women
OA:0.796
Density
CI (L/min/m2)
E, < 50 years old
Men
Women
OA:0.808
Density
CI (L/min/m2)
F, >= 50 years old
Men
Women
OA:0.826
Density
CI (L/min/m2)


A, All
B, < 50 years old
C, >= 50 years old
D, All
E, < 50 years old
F, >= 50 years old
Men
Women
OA:0.567
OA:0.721
OA:0.614
OA:0.824
OA:0.783
OA:0.877
Density
0.0000
0.0010
0e+00
4e−04
8e−04
500
1500
2500
3500
1000
3000
5000
SVR (dynes*sec*cm^{-5})
SVRI (dynes*sec*cm^{-5}*m^{2})