medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Intention of Healthcare Workers to Receive COVID-19 Vaccine: A Cross-Sectional Survey in 10 Countries in Eastern Mediterranean Region

Yasir Ahmed Mohammed Elhadi [1,2], Azza Mehanna [1], Yusuff Adebayo Adebisi [3], Mohammad Yasir Essar [4], Haider M. El Saeh [5], Saddam Abdulhakem Alnahari [1], Omar Hassan Alenezi [1,6], Diala El Chbib [7], Zahraa Yahya [8], Eiman Ahmed [9], Shoaib Ahmad [10], Saad Uakkas [11], Majdi Mohammed Sabahelzain [12], Bushra Ahmed Alyamani [1], Arash Nemat [13], Don Eliseo Lucero-Prisno III [14], Ashraf Zaghloul [1]*

1. Department of Health Administration and Behavioral Sciences, High Institute of Public Health. Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

2. Department of Public Health, Medical Research Office, Sudanese Medical Research Association. Khartoum, Sudan

3. Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

4. Medical Research Center, Kateb University, Kabul, Afghanistan

5. Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tripoli, Libya

6. Public Health Administration, Kuwait Ministry of Health, Kuwait

7. Lebanese University, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Beirut, Lebanon

8. Department of Food Health and Nutrition, College of Food Science, Al-Qasim Green University, Babylon, Iraq.

9. School of Global Public Health, New York University, New York, United States

10. Punjab Medical College, Faisalabad, Pakistan

11. Faculty of medicine, University Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco

12. Department of Public Health, School of Health Sciences, Ahfad University for Women, Sudan

13. Department of Microbiology, Kabul University of Medical Sciences, Kabul, Afghanistan

14. Department of Global health and Development, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

[*]Correspondence M.yasir.essar@kateb.edu.af

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: Healthcare workers intention to be vaccinated is an important factor to be consider for successful COVID-19 vaccination programme. Our study aimed to understand the intention of health workers to receive COVID-19 vaccine and associated concerns across 10 countries in Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMRO).

Method: A cross-sectional study was conducted in January 2021 among healthcare workers using an online survey. A total of 2806 health workers (physicians, nurses and pharmacists) completed and returned the informed consent along with the questionnaire electronically. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS software package version 20.0.

Results: More than half of the respondents (58.0%) intend to receive COVID-19 vaccine, even if the vaccination is not mandatory for them. On the other hand, 25.7% of respondents were not intending to undertake COVID-19 vaccination while 16.3 % answered undecided. The top three reasons for not intending to be vaccinated were unreliability of COVID-19 vaccine clinical trials (62.0%), fear of the side effects of the vaccine (45.3%), and that COVID-19 vaccine will not give immunity for a long period of time (23.1%).

Conclusion: Overall, our study revealed suboptimal acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine among healthcare workers in the 10 countries in EMRO.

Keywords: *COVID-19, EMRO, Vaccination, Healthcare Workers, Global health, Public Health*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

1. Introduction

COVID-19 is an infectious disease caused by the novel coronavirus that was first discovered in Wuhan, China. In 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the outbreak a global health emergency and later a pandemic after it has spread to many countries of the world [1,2]. COVID-19 pandemic has raised immense global concerns for humanity and has posed unprecedented challenges to healthcare systems worldwide. As of 1 February 2021, globally, there have been 102,399,513 confirmed cases of COVID-19 reported to the World Health Organization (WHO), including 2,217,005 deaths [3]. Unsurprisingly, healthcare workers account for a number of the reported cases [4].

The healthcare workers are on the first line of the battle against the COVID-19 [5]. Thus, protecting them should be one of the top priorities in the fight against COVID-19. Their contacts with patients can facilitate the spread of the virus [6]. More so, healthcare workers are at highest risk of COVID-19 exposure and mortality due to work environment conditions, including personal protective equipment (PPE) shortages, insufficient staffing, and inadequate safety training and preparation amid the COVID-19 pandemic [5]. As of July 2020, the United Nations announced that over 1.4 million infections of COVID-19 are accounted for in healthcare workers, at least 10% of all cases [7]. Comparisons of healthcare workers with and without COVID-19 infection showed an increased relative risk related to personal protective equipment, workplace setting, profession, exposure, contacts, and testing [8].

In low-income countries, healthcare workers are more vulnerable to the devastating impacts of COVID-19. Being away from home and facing the hardship of fighting COVID-19 put healthcare workers in dire situation [5]. Moreover, the economic hardship is another major problem healthcare workers facing amid the pandemic [9]. The COVID-19 pandemic continues to pose multiple health challenges across the world. In the Eastern Mediterranean Region where morbidity and mortality from the disease remain a serious cause for concern [10]. Concern like this can pose serious psychological health impacts on healthcare workers [11], hence causing serious health implications for them.

Since the emergence of the pandemic, the world is desperately waiting for a safe and effective vaccine. Efforts such as preventative measures have been put into action to curb the spread of the virus [12]. However, implementing a global vaccination program with broad range of clinical

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

and socioeconomic benefits is the most effective mean to end the pandemic [13]. As of December 2020, several vaccines against COVID-19 have been authorized [14]. However, with the growing vaccination coverage, worldwide, under-vaccinated or non-vaccinated communities are still a concern for vaccination programs [15].

The complex phenomenon of vaccine hesitancy refers to "delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccines despite availability of vaccine services" [16], it is one of the top public health issues listed by WHO [17]. Despite of proven safety, efficacy and effectiveness of vaccines, an increasing number of individuals perceive vaccines as unsafe and unnecessary [18] . Addressing vaccine hesitancy among healthcare workers is crucial. Healthcare workers are considered one of the most important strata of society and a priority target group for COVID-19; vaccinating them is an utmost task for the world. However, intention to take COVID-19 vaccine depends on the confidence and safety of the vaccine. Amid the pandemic, healthcare workers have also shown skepticism towards vaccine even in developed countries [13, 14]. This is a point of great concern for the world since healthcare workers are the most credible and trusted sources of the information and their doubt on vaccine will subjugate other people to follow the same pattern of believe.

To find out the notion of healthcare workers towards vaccination in a bigger context, a study is required. This research is aimed at understanding the intention of healthcare workers (physicians, nurses, and pharmacists) to take COVID-19 vaccines from 10 countries in Eastern Mediterranean Region.

2. Materials and Methods

Study Design and Sampling Technique: This is a cross-sectional survey among health workers (physicians, nurses, and pharmacists) in 10 countries in Eastern Mediterranean region (Afghanistan, Egypt, Iraq, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Pakistan, Sudan, and Yemen). Non-probability convenient sampling technique was used to recruit the respondents. The inclusion criteria were being a physician, nurse or pharmacist working in one of targeted countries at the time of data collection and having access to an internet connection to fill out the online questionnaire. Individuals who do not consent to participate in the study were excluded.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Study Instrument and Administration: A short online questionnaire was developed after a review of similar study (13) and it comprises sections on the demographic characteristics of the respondents including; nationality, age, sex, marital status, profession and years of working experience (independent variables).

Outcome variables include the respondents' intention to receive the vaccine and the reasons for non-acceptance of vaccine uptake among non-intenders. Question 1: if you are given the option to choose to take COVID-19 vaccine, will you take the vaccine? with a Yes or No and Undecided options). Participants who refused or undecided yet were further asked question 2: what is your reason(s) for not accepting COVID19 vaccine uptake?

The questions were entered into an online survey system and a link to the electronic questionnaire was generated. The final questionnaire was distributed by the research team members in each country to respondents across social media platforms, specifically WhatsApp and Facebook groups of health workers as used in previous studies [15, 16]. The data collected took place in January 2021.

Data Analysis: Data were fed to the computer and analyzed using IBM SPSS software package version 20.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov was used to verify the normality of distribution of variables and comparisons between groups for categorical variables were assessed using Chi-square test. Binary logistic regression analysis was carried out to identify parameters more strongly associated with the intention to take COVID-19 vaccine. Significance of the obtained results was judged at the 5% level.

Ethical Considerations: This study has been approved by Ethics and Technical Committee of High Institute of Public Health Alexandria University. Confidentiality and anonymity were also ensured by not putting names or attaching any identifiable codes to the online questionnaires, and the rights of the participants to withdraw anytime from the study were also clearly stated in the online survey.

3. Results

Distribution and sociodemographic characteristics of respondents: A total of 2806 health workers representing females (50.4%) and males (49.6%) from 10 countries in EMRO completed the online survey. The mean age of participants was 31.3 (± 9.1 years). Most respondents were

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

physicians (58.0%). Table 1 shows the distribution and sociodemographic characteristics of studied samples.

Table 1: Distribution and sociodemographic characteristics of respondents (n=2806)

Socio demographic data	**N (%)**
Nationality	
Egypt	261 (9.3%)
Sudan	520 (18.5%)
Yemen	717 (25.6%)
Libya	328 (11.7%)
Kuwait	239 (8.5%)
Iraq	127 (4.5%)
Morocco	111 (4.0%)
Afghanistan	143 (5.1%)
Pakistan	152 (5.4%)
Lebanon	208 (7.4%)
Sex	
Male	1393 (49.6%)
Female	1413 (50.4%)
Age (years)	
18 – 30	1577 (56.2%)
31 – 45	1023 (36.5%)
46 – 60	174 (6.2%)
61^{+}	32 (1.1%)
Mean ± SD.	31.3 ± 9.1
Marital Status	
Single	1494 (53.2%)
Married	1206 (43.0%)
Divorced / widowed	106 (3.8%)
Profession	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Physician	1628 (58.0%)
Pharmacist	724 (25.8%)
Nurse	454 (16.2%)
Years of working experience	
Less than 2 years	722 (25.7%)
2–5 years	458 (16.3%)
More than 5 years	1626 (57.9%)

Health workers' Intention to Receive COVID-19 Vaccination: More than half of the respondents (58.0%) intend to receive COVID-19 vaccine, even if the vaccination is not mandatory for them. On the other hand, 25.7% of respondents were not intending to take COVID-19 vaccine while 16.3% were undecided. **Figure 1** shows COVID-19 vaccination intention among health professional in studied countries.

Figure 1: Health workers' Intention to receive COVID-19 vaccine



Association between sociodemographic variables and intention to receive COVID-19 Vaccines: As shown in Table 2 results indicated respondent's nationality (P value <0.001), sex

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

(P value <0.001), marital Status (P value <0.022), profession (P value <0.001) and years of working experience were significantly associated with intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination. However, there was no significant association between age group and intention to receive COVID-19 vaccine. Additionally, Figure 2 below shows high vaccine acceptance among healthcare professionals working in Afghanistan (72.0%) and Libya (70.0%).

Table 2: Association between sociodemographic variables and intention to receive COVID-19 Vaccine

Sociodemographic variables	**If you are given the option to choose to take COVID-19 vaccine, will you take the vaccine?**		χ^2	**p**
	Yes (n=1626)	No/Undecided (n=1180)		
Nationality				
Egypt	119 (7.3%)	142 (12.0%)	122.43*	<0.001*
Sudan	292 (18.0%)	228 (19.3%)		
Yemen	464 (28.5%)	253 (21.4%)		
Libya	230 (14.1%)	98 (8.3%)		
Kuwait	103 (6.3%)	136 (11.5%)		
Iraq	58 (3.6%)	69 (5.8%)		
Morocco	64 (3.9%)	47 (4.0%)		
Afghanistan	103 (6.3%)	40 (3.4%)		
Pakistan	106 (6.5%)	46 (3.9%)		
Lebanon	87 (5.4%)	121 (10.3%)		
Sex				
Male	926 (56.9%)	467 (39.6%)	82.55*	<0.001*
Female	700 (43.1%)	713 (60.4%)		
Age (years)				

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

18 – 30	904 (55.6%)	673 (57%)	1.766	0.622
31 – 45	608 (37.4%)	415 (35.2%)		
46 – 60	96 (5.9%)	78 (6.6%)		
61+	18 (1.1%)	14 (1.2%)		
Marital Status				
Single	865 (53.2%)	629 (53.3%)	7.66*	0.022*
Married	713 (43.8%)	493 (41.8%)		
Divorced / widowed	48 (3%)	58 (4.9%)		
Profession				
Physicians	993 (61.1%)	635 (53.8%)	20.69*	<0.001*
Pharmacists	369 (22.7%)	355 (30.1%)		
Nurses	264 (16.2%)	190 (16.1%)		
Years of working experience				
Less than 2 years	0 (0%)	722 (61.2%)	2806.0*	<0.001*
2–5 years	0 (0%)	458 (38.8%)		
More than 5 years	1626 (100%)	0 (0%)		

χ^2: Chi square test *: Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$

Multivariate binary logistic regression analysis for the parameters affecting health workers' intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination: As shown in Table 3. Health professionals working in; Sudan (P <0.001); Yemen (P <0.001); Libya (P <0.001); Afghanistan (P <0.001); Pakistan (P <0.001) or Morocco (P <0.001), were more likely to receive COVID-19 vaccine than health professionals working in the other countries. However, females (P <0.001), pharmacists (P <0.001) and older ages (P <0.001) were more significantly associated with having reservations towards vaccination (not intending or didn't decide to receive COVID-19 vaccine).

Table 3: Multivariate binary logistic regression analysis for the parameters affecting health workers Intention to receive COVID-19 vaccination.

	p	**OR**	**95% C.I**	
			UL	LL
Nationality				

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Egypt	0.308	0.820	0.560	1.201
Sudan	<0.001*	0.541	0.388	0.754
Yemen	<0.001*	0.408	0.293	0.568
Libya	<0.001*	0.305	0.208	0.449
Kuwait	0.965	0.991	0.666	1.474
Iraq	0.967	0.991	0.628	1.563
Morocco	0.019*	0.566	0.352	0.912
Afghanistan	<0.001*	0.364	0.227	0.584
Pakistan	<0.001*	0.397	0.251	0.628
Lebanon	0.308	0.820	0.560	1.201
Age (years)	0.031*	1.013	1.001	1.024
Sex (Female)	<0.001*	1.898	1.610	2.238
Marital Status				
Single®				
Married	1.039	0.843	1.281	1.039
Divorced / widowed	1.473	0.950	2.283	1.473
Profession				
Physician®				
Pharmacist	<0.001*	1.422	1.171	1.725
Nurse	0.647	0.947	0.751	1.195

OR: Odds ratio

CI: Confidence interval

LL: Lower limit

UL: Upper Limit

®: reference group

*: Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$

Level of COVID-19 vaccination hesitancy among health workers in EMRO: Participants not intending or did not decide to take COVID-19 vaccine, despite of vaccine availability, confirmed safety and efficacy were regarded as "being hesitant to receive COVID-19 vaccine". Figure 2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

below shows the COVID-19 vaccine acceptance, and hesitancy level among health workers by countries, with the highest vaccine hesitancy observed in Lebanon (58.2%), Kuwait (56.9%) and Egypt (54.4%).

Figure (2): COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and hesitancy level among health workers by countries



	Egypt	Sudan	Yemen	Libya	Kuwait	Iraq	Morocco	Afghanistan	Pakistan	Lebanon
Covid vaccine acceptance	45.60%	56.20%	64.70%	70.10%	43.10%	45.70%	57.70%	72%	69.70%	41.80%
Covid vaccine hesitancy	54.40%	43.80%	35.30%	29.90%	56.90%	54.30%	42.30%	28.00%	30.30%	58.20%

Reasons associated with COVID-19 vaccination hesitancy: Table 4 shows different reasons associated with COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among our respondents. The unreliability of COVID-19 vaccine clinical trials and fear of the vaccine's side effects were reported as reasons behind COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy by 62.0% and 45.0% of the study participants, respectively. Approximately 23.0% of the healthcare professionals thought that the vaccine would not give immunity for an extended period.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 4: Reasons associated with COVID-19 vaccination hesitancy reported by health professionals in studied countries.

What is your reason(s) for not accepting COVID-19 vaccine?	**N (%)**
Unreliability of COVID-19 vaccine clinical trials	736 (62.0%)
Fear of the side effects of the vaccine	535 (45.3%)
COVID-19 vaccine will not give immunity for along period	272 (23.1%)
The immune system is sufficient to defeat the virus	216 (18.3%)
COVID-19 vaccine is likely to be expensive	123 (10.4%)
Strict infection control measures in my health facility (s) are sufficient to protect from the virus	99 (8.4%)
The vaccine is not necessary since the mortality rate is very low	80 (6.8%)
Results of safety and efficiency are still not completed	63(5.3%)
Adverse events should be observed at the developed countries for some time	57 (4.8%)
After a lot of people take the vaccine and I see them safe I could take it	49 (4.6%)
Symptomatic treatment is sufficient	23(1.9%)
Personal Protective equipment and hygiene is enough	11(0.9%)
The vaccine can cause death	37(3.1%)
Total	1180

4. Discussion

Vaccination is an important public health tool and one of the most important advances in healthcare in the fight against infectious diseases [22]. It is responsible for the eradication of rinderpest and smallpox and the control of infectious diseases such as polio in many parts of the world [23]. It is therefore clear that a safe, highly effective, and globally acceptable and equitable vaccination program, together with pre-existing precautionary measures, is essential to effectively contain the outbreak [24].

It is often mistakenly believed that healthcare professionals attitudes must be positive towards vaccines because they have scientific and medical training. However, this is not always true because healthcare workers are not a homogenous group, and most are not experts in the field of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

vaccination. Our study present insights regarding the willingness of healthcare professional in the EMRO region. Healthcare workers are among the priority group to receive vaccination, so it is important to understand their willingness to take COVID-19 vaccine or not [25]. This will better provide insights to address barriers to widespread COVID-19 vaccination acceptance.

Interestingly, we found that overall, more than half (58.0%) of our respondents are willing to take the COVID-19 vaccine. Our study also revealed that less than half of our respondents in Egypt, Kuwait, Iraq, and Lebanon are willing to take the COVID-19 vaccine. Similarly, a study also revealed that 28% of healthcare workers in Democratic Republic of Congo are willing to take the COVID-19 vaccine [26]. A study among healthcare workers in the United States revealed that only one in three said they would take the vaccine immediately it becomes available [25]. More so, in Malta, only half of the participants (healthcare workers) stated that they intend to take the COVID-19 vaccine [27]. Additionally, less than half of the healthcare workers in a survey conducted in France and French-speaking part of Belgium and Canada showed high acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine [28]. This is worrisome in that healthcare professionals are expected to have considerate believe in the safety and efficacy as well as the enormous benefits COVID-19 vaccine can reap for the pandemic response. In addition, healthcare workers, as models, are typically entrusted with the task of providing reliable information regarding health issues to the public and this is associated with greater compliance with health interventions. Furthermore, our study revealed that high vaccine acceptance among healthcare professionals working in Afghanistan (72.0%) and Libya (70.0%). This can be compared to a study in Turkey, where 68.8% of the healthcare worker are willing to take the COVID-19 vaccine [29].

Findings from our study also revealed that the top three reasons for not intending to be vaccinated are “unreliability of COVID-19 vaccine clinical trials”, “fear of the side effects of the vaccine”, and “COVID-19 vaccine will not give immunity for a long period.” This is worrisome in that healthcare professional’s recommendations play a key role in their patients’ vaccination behavior. They serve as an important source of information for the general public and their consultation can also be a key factor in patients’ decision to be vaccinated or not. There is a significant need to address concerns and increase awareness to improve chances for higher

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine. Otherwise, this poses additional possibility of mass rejection of COVID-19 vaccine in the general population.

A major strength of our study is the large sample size. In addition, our survey population is also diverse with representation from different genders, age groups, ethnic and roles in healthcare. However, our study is not without limitations. There is a risk of selection bias and this would limit the generalizability of our findings to all the healthcare professionals in EMRO. Additionally, our study only included nurses, physicians, and pharmacists. Despite these limitations, these findings are not inconsistent with the findings from previous studies about vaccine hesitancy among healthcare workers. The survey questionnaire was available in English and distributed in an online format, which can further introduce selection bias favoring English-literate HCWs and those with access to the Internet. Social desirability bias may also affect the interpretation of our study results. Most importantly, the survey was conducted in January 2021 when information regarding COVID-19 vaccines may have not circulated widely. Therefore, it possible that intention to be vaccinated would have changed.

5. Conclusion

Overall, our study revealed suboptimal acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine among our respondents in the EMRO region. Significant refusal of COVID-19 vaccine among healthcare professionals can reverse hard-won progress in building public trust in COVID-19 vaccination program. Our findings suggest the need to develop tailored strategies to address concerns identified in the study in order to ensure optimal vaccine acceptance among healthcare workers in the EMRO.

Author's Contribution

Conceptualization, Y.A.M.E, Y.A.A and A.M; Formal analysis, A.M, Y.A.A Investigation, S.A.A, O.H.A, D.E.C, S.A, S.U, B.A.A, H.M.E; Z. Y; Methodology Y.A.M.E, Y.A.A and M. M. S; Resources, Y.A.M.E, D.E.L.P, A.A.Z, M.Y.E, Y.A.A and A.M; Supervision, A.A.Z, D.E.L.P, A.M; Writing—original draft, Y.A.M.E, Y.A.A and E. A; Writing—review & editing, Y.A.M.E, Y.A.A, D.E.L.P, A.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Funding

None

Informed Consent Statement

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data availability Statement

The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to participant's privacy concerns.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Elhadi YAM, Adebisi YA, Hassan KF, Mohammed SEE, Lin X, Lucero-Prisno III DE. The formidable task of fighting COVID-19 in Sudan. Pan Afr Med J. 2020 Aug 7;35.

2. Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO declares COVID-19 a pandemic [Internet]. Vol. 91, Acta Biomedica. Mattioli 1885; 2020 [cited 2021 Feb 25]. p. 157–60. Available from: https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-0504

3. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard | WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard [Internet]. [cited 2021 Feb 24]. Available from: https://covid19.who.int/?gclid=Cj0KCQiA6t6ABhDMARIsAONIYyzGTXpVSQeManYzYqXC2PR6lFbeL4JRc7nvLwNc49h76dd5VSXBz5UaAmCuEALw_wcB

4. Gagneux-Brunon A, Detoc M, Bruel S, Tardy B, Rozaire O, Frappe P, et al. Intention to get vaccinations against COVID-19 in French healthcare workers during the first pandemic wave: a cross-sectional survey. J Hosp Infect [Internet]. 2021 Feb 1 [cited 2021 Feb 24];108:168–73. Available from: www.sciencedirect.com

5. Nemat A, Asady A, Raufi N, Zaki N, Ehsan E, Noor NAS, et al. A Survey of the Healthcare Workers in Afghanistan during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Am J Trop Med Hyg [Internet]. 2020 Dec 12 [cited 2021 Feb 24];104(2):537–9. Available from: https://www.

6. Jin YH, Huang Q, Wang YY, Zeng XT, Luo LS, Pan ZY, et al. Perceived infection transmission routes, infection control practices, psychosocial changes, and management of COVID-19 infected healthcare workers in a tertiary acute care hospital in Wuhan: A cross-sectional survey. Mil Med Res [Internet]. 2020 May 11 [cited 2021 Feb 24];7(1):24. Available from: https://mmrjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40779-020-00254-8

7. Coronavirus latest: WHO says health workers account for 10% of global infections | News | DW | 17.07.2020 [Internet]. [cited 2021 Feb 24]. Available from: https://www.dw.com/en/coronavirus-latest-who-says-health-workers-account-for-10-of-global-infections/a-54208221

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

8. Gholami M, Fawad I, Shadan S, Rowaiee R, Ghanem HA, Hassan Khamis A, et al. COVID-19 and healthcare workers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Infect Dis. 2021 Mar 1;104:335–46.

9. Kelley M, Ferrand RA, Muraya K, Chigudu S, Molyneux S, Pai M, et al. An appeal for practical social justice in the COVID-19 global response in low-income and middle-income countries [Internet]. Vol. 8, The Lancet Global Health. Elsevier Ltd; 2020 [cited 2021 Feb 24]. p. e888–9. Available from: www.thelancet.com/lancetgh

10. Al-Mandhari A. Coming together in the region to tackle COVID-19 [Internet]. Vol. 26, Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal. World Health Organization; 2020 [cited 2021 Feb 24]. p. 992–3. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33047787/

11. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N, et al. Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. JAMA Netw open [Internet]. 2020 Mar 2 [cited 2021 Feb 24];3(3):e203976. Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/

12. Koirala A, Joo YJ, Khatami A, Chiu C, Britton PN. Vaccines for COVID-19: The current state of play. Vol. 35, Paediatric Respiratory Reviews. W.B. Saunders Ltd; 2020. p. 43–9.

13. Adebisi YA, Alaran AJ, Bolarinwa OA, Akande-Sholabi W, Lucero-Prisno DE. When it is available, will we take it? Social Media User's perception of hypothetical COVID-19 vaccine in Nigeria [Internet]. Pan African Medical Journal. 2020.

14. Schaffer Deroo S, Pudalov NJ, Fu LY. Planning for a COVID-19 Vaccination Program [Internet]. Vol. 323, JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association. American Medical Association; 2020 [cited 2021 Feb 24]. p. 2458–9.

15. Pugliese-Garcia M, Heyerdahl LW, Mwamba C, Nkwemu S, Chilengi R, Demolis R, et al. Factors influencing vaccine acceptance and hesitancy in three informal settlements in Lusaka, Zambia. Vaccine. 2018 Sep 5;36(37):5617–24.

16. Dubé E, Gagnon D, Nickels E, Jeram S, Schuster M. Mapping vaccine hesitancy-Country-specific characteristics of a global phenomenon. Vaccine [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2021 Feb 25];32(49):6649–54. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC5355208/

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

17. Ten threats to global health in 2019 [Internet]. [cited 2021 Feb 24]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/spotlight/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019

18. Dubé E, Laberge C, Guay M, Bramadat P, Roy R, Bettinger J. Vaccine hesitancy: An overview [Internet]. Vol. 9, Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics. Taylor & Francis; 2013 [cited 2021 Feb 25]. p. 1763–73. Available from: www.landesbioscience.com

19. Dror AA, Eisenbach N, Taiber S, Morozov NG, Mizrachi M, Zigron A, et al. Vaccine hesitancy: the next challenge in the fight against COVID-19. Eur J Epidemiol [Internet]. 2020 Aug 1 [cited 2021 Feb 24];35(8):775–9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-020-00671-y

20. Karafillakis E, Dinca I, Apfel F, Cecconi S, Wűrz A, Takacs J, et al. Vaccine hesitancy among healthcare workers in Europe: A qualitative study. Vaccine. 2016 Sep 22;34(41):5013–20.

21. Awucha NE, Janefrances OC, Meshach AC, Henrietta JC, Daniel AI, Chidiebere NE. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on consumers' access to essential medicines in Nigeria. Am J Trop Med Hyg [Internet]. 2020 Oct 1 [cited 2021 Feb 25];103(4):1630–4. Available from: https://www.ajtmh.org/view/journals/tpmd/103/4/article-p1630.xml

22. Alaran AJ, Adebisi YA, Badmos A, Khalid-Salako F, Gaya SK, Ilesanmi EB, Olaoye DQ, Bamisaiye A, Lucero-Prisno DE 3rd. Uneven power dynamics must be levelled in COVID-19 vaccines access and distribution. Public Health Pract (Oxf). 2021 Nov;2:100096. doi: 10.1016/j.puhip.2021.100096. Epub 2021 Feb 17. PMID: 33615282; PMCID: PMC7886626.

23. Adebisi YA, Eliseo-Lucero Prisno D III, Nuga BB. Last fight of wild polio in Africa: Nigeria's battle. Public Health in Practice. 2020 Nov;1:100043. doi: 10.1016/j.puhip.2020.100043. Epub 2020 Dec 22. PMCID: PMC7528738.

24. Lucero-Prisno DE, Ogunkola IO, Imo UF, Adebisi YA. Who Will Pay for the COVID-19 Vaccines for Africa? Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2021 Jan 7. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.20-1506. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33427194.

25. Shekhar R, Sheikh AB, Upadhyay S, Singh M, Kottewar S, Mir H, Barrett E, Pal S. COVID-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253892; this version posted March 24, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

19 Vaccine Acceptance among Health Care Workers in the United States. Vaccines (Basel). 2021 Feb 3;9(2):119. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9020119. PMID: 33546165; PMCID: PMC7913135.

26. Ditekemena JD, Nkamba DM, Mutwadi A, Mavoko HM, Siewe Fodjo JN, Luhata C, Obimpeh M, Van Hees S, Nachega JB, Colebunders R. COVID-19 Vaccine Acceptance in the Democratic Republic of Congo: A Cross-Sectional Survey. Vaccines (Basel). 2021 Feb 14;9(2):153. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9020153. PMID: 33672938; PMCID: PMC7917589.

27. Grech V, Gauci C, Agius S. Vaccine hesitancy among Maltese Healthcare workers toward influenza and novel COVID□19 vaccination. Early Hum Dev. 2020;xx(xx):105213–xx□xx. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2020.10

28. Verger P, Scronias D, Dauby N, Adedzi KA, Gobert C, Bergeat M, Gagneur A, Dubé E. Attitudes of healthcare workers towards COVID-19 vaccination: a survey in France and French-speaking parts of Belgium and Canada, 2020. Euro Surveill. 2021 Jan;26(3):2002047. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.3.2002047. PMID: 33478623; PMCID: PMC7848677.

29. Kose, S, Mandiracioglu, A, Sahin, S, Kaynar, T, Karbus, O, Ozbel, Y. Vaccine hesitancy of the COVID□19 by health care personnel. Int J Clin Pract. 2020; 00:e13917. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.13917