medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Running title: The *p*-factor in childhood

The General Psychopathology Factor from Early to Middle Childhood: Longitudinal Genetic and Risk Analyses

Reut Avinun, Ariel Knafo-Noam, and Salomon Israel

Department of Psychology, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background. Accumulating research suggests the structure of psychopathology is best represented by continuous higher-order dimensions, including a general dimension, *p*-factor, and more specific dimensions, such as externalizing and internalizing factors. Here, we aimed to 1) replicate the *p*-factor in early childhood; 2) externally validate the dimensions with measures relating to mental health; 3) examine stability and change of the genetic and environmental influences on the dimensions of psychopathology from early- to mid-childhood; and 4) test the links between the factors and pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal measures.

Methods. The Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins from age 3 to 9 was used for the analyses. Data was available for 2770 children at age 3, 1908 at age 5, 1020 at age 6.5, and 973 at age 8-9. Mothers reported on developmental problems, pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal conditions, and filled in questionnaires on each twin's externalizing and internalizing symptoms. Possible correlates of psychopathology, as reported by the twins or assessed in the lab, were also included.

Results. A bi-factor model that included the *p*-factor and specific/residualized externalizing and internalizing factors fit the data best at each wave. Longitudinal twin analyses indicated that shared environmental influences do not contribute to psychopathology and that the *p*-factor is highly heritable (64-73%) with a substantial proportion of the genetic influences already present at age 3. When the *p*-factor is accounted for, the internalizing factor was also highly heritable, while the externalizing factor was mostly explained by non-shared environmental influences. Higher *p*-factor scores were associated with more developmental problems and lower self-esteem. Pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal measures were not strongly associated with psychopathology.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Conclusion. Our study suggests that a general psychopathology factor is discernible in early childhood and highly heritable, with genetic factors contributing to continuity and change during childhood.

Keywords: Psychopathology; childhood; general psychopathology factor; *p*-factor; heritability; longitudinal.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Comorbidity of psychopathology is prevalent. About 40% of individuals with one class of disorders (e.g., mood, anxiety, substance abuse) are likely to be diagnosed with another (Merikangas et al., 2010; Newman, Moffitt, Caspi, & Silva, 1998). As a result of this co-occurrence, instead of discrete mental disorders, researchers have begun to conceptualize the structure of psychopathology as consisting of broad transdiagnostic dimensions, including externalizing (e.g., hyperactivity and antisocial behavior), internalizing (e.g., depression and anxiety), and thought disorders (schizophrenia and obsessive compulsive disorder). Accumulating evidence has suggested that in addition to specific factors, such as externalizing and internalizing, a general psychopathology factor, often called *p* (Caspi et al., 2014), is also needed to represent the structure of psychopathology (Lahey et al., 2012). The *p*-factor captures shared variation across most, if not all, types of psychopathology and is thought to represent a general liability for mental disorders, as well as their persistence and severity (Caspi & Moffitt, 2018).

The *p*-factor has been identified in diverse samples (Caspi & Moffitt, 2018; Lahey, Krueger, Rathouz, Waldman, & Zald, 2017), including preschoolers (Olino, Dougherty, Bufferd, Carlson, & Klein, 2014) and children (Gomez, Stavropoulos, Vance, & Griffiths, 2019), and shown to have a genetic component (Allegrini et al., 2020; Riglin et al., 2019; Selzam, Coleman, Caspi, Moffitt, & Plomin, 2018). A longitudinal twin analysis from childhood (age 7 years) to adolescence (age 16 years), has shown that the *p*-factor is substantially heritable across ages (50-60%) and that the genetic component is relatively stable, so that most genetic influences are already present at age 7 (Allegrini et al., 2020). Notably, to our knowledge, the latter study is the only study to date that employed a longitudinal twin design to examine change and stability in the genetic and environmental effects on the *p*-factor, and no study has

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

examined the specific/residualized factors (e.g., externalizing and internalizing). Additional developmental research is therefore warranted, especially during early life when executive functions rapidly develop.

To further characterize and validate the *p*-factor, associations with external measures such as personality traits, cognitive ability, and socioeconomic status (SES) have been examined. In early and middle adulthood, the *p*-factor has been found to correlate positively with neuroticism and negatively with agreeableness and conscientiousness (e.g., Avinun, Romer, & Israel, 2020; Caspi et al., 2014; Etkin, Mezquita, López-Fernández, Ortet, & Ibáñez, 2020). The correlations between the *p*-factor and measures relating to cognitive ability and executive function have been found to be relatively weak and negative in middle childhood (Martel et al., 2017), early-adolescence (Patalay et al., 2015), and adulthood (Caspi et al., 2014), similar to the correlations with SES (Caspi et al., 2014; Patalay et al., 2015). The *p*-factor has also been shown to predict negative outcomes (e.g., Blanco et al., 2019; Lahey et al., 2015). For example, estimates of the *p*-factor in middle childhood and early adolescence predict clinical diagnoses, use of anxiolytics and antidepressants, school failure, and court convictions in adolescence (Pettersson, Lahey, Larsson, & Lichtenstein, 2018). Together with the findings from genetic studies, these associations support the reliability and validity of the *p*-factor as a common variance that captures an inherent and general risk for psychopathology.

Although the shared variance across mental disorders is a robust and replicable finding, the mechanisms that underlie this general risk are less clear and longitudinal studies are scarce. While various candidates likely play a role in the etiology of the *p*-factor, of special interest perhaps, are those that are present at or around birth, as previous studies suggest that the *p*-factor can be found early in development, in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

children as young as 4 years old (Morales et al., In press). For example, pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal complications have previously been identified as increasing risk for poorer mental health and/or cognitive function (Chiorean et al., 2020; De Mola, De França, de Avila Quevedo, & Horta, 2014; Ehrenstein et al., 2009; Hamlyn, Duhig, McGrath, & Scott, 2013; Van Lieshout & Voruganti, 2008). Because these factors are not necessarily specific to any particular psychopathology, they may serve as transdiagnostic risk factors.

In the current study, we test the replicability of the finding of a general psychopathology factor from early to middle childhood (e.g., Hankin et al., 2017; Olino et al., 2014). Next, we evaluate the external validity of *p* and the specific/residualized externalizing and internalizing factors by testing for associations with personality traits and cognitive ability, and examine if/how these associations change during development. Following these analyses aimed at replication and validation, we conduct a genetically informed longitudinal analysis from early to middle childhood to examine stability and change of the genetic and environmental influences on the psychopathology factors. We next examine links between the psychopathology factors and pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal complications, to provide a first look on these possible associations. Finally, we test the predictive value of the three factors in the context of well-being, self-esteem and developmental problems. A longitudinal sample of twins followed from age 3.5 to age 9 was used for the analyses, to capture the possible developmental changes in psychopathology during this life period for the first time.

Methods

Participants

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Families in this study were participants in the Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins (LIST), a study of social development, in which parents of all Hebrew-speaking families of twins born in Israel during 2004-2005 were invited to participate (Avinun & Knafo, 2013; Vertsberger, Abramson, & Knafo-Noam, 2019). The protocol for the experiment was approved by the ethics committee of the local hospital and informed consent was obtained from all participating parents. Mothers were asked to complete questionnaires regarding their pregnancy (only age 3 and 5), demographic details, and children's development, when the twins were 3, 5, 6.5, and 9 years old. Data for this study was available for 2,770 children at age 3 (M=3.16 years, SD=.26; 50.3% males; 582 MZ [monozygotic], 2,164 DZ [dizygotic], and 24 of unknown zygosity), 1,908 at age 5 (M=5.13 years, SD=.14; 51% males; 370 MZ, 1,518 DZ, and 20 of unknown zygosity), 1,020 at age 6.5 (M=6.57 years, SD=.30; 50% males; 285 MZ, 729 DZ, and 6 of unknown zygosity), and 973 at age 8-9 (M=9.01 years, SD=.52; 48.7% males; 260 MZ, 699 DZ, and 14 of unknown zygosity). Due to design and budgetary constraints, in ages 6.5 and 8-9 only a small proportion of opposite-sex DZ twins were recruited. Twins' zygosity was determined based on either a DNA analysis or a parental questionnaire of physical similarity, which has been shown to be in 95% agreement with DNA information (Goldsmith, 1991).

Measures

Similar to a previous study in childhood that relied on symptom-level, instead of disorder-level, scores (Patalay et al., 2015), items from 2 different questionnaires were used for the assessment of psychopathology. Internalizing symptoms were assessed at the four waves by mothers' ratings of 5 items from the emotional symptoms subscale of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ; Goodman, 1997) and 5 items

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

from the negative emotionality subscale of the Emotionality, Activity and Sociability Temperament Survey (Buss & Plomin, 1984). Ratings were given on a 3-point scale ranging from 0 (not true/rare) to 2 (very true/often) or on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (does not characterize at all) to 5 (highly characterizes), respectively. Externalizing symptoms were assessed at the four waves by mothers' ratings of 10 items from the SDQ (Goodman, 1997). The 10 items were taken from the hyperactivity subscale (5 items) and the conduct problems subscale (5 items).

Socioeconomic status (SES) was calculated based on mother reports from age 3 of number of rooms/number of residents' ratio, income below or above average national household income, and mother's years of education. These variables were standardized and averaged together (e.g., Avinun & Knafo-Noam, 2017).

When the twins were 3 and 5, mothers provided details regarding complications during pregnancy and/or delivery. These yes/no questions were summed to create scores of complications during pregnancy/delivery and neonatal problems. Mothers also reported on developmental problems (yes/no) at all ages (i.e., 3, 5, 6, and 9). Additional variables of interest were total weeks of pregnancy, Apgar scores of each twin 1- and 5-minutes after birth, whether each twin was hospitalized immediately after birth or afterwards, and breastfeeding (whether they breastfed each twin). Cognitive ability was assessed based on testing at age 6.5. The Big Five personality traits, self-esteem, and subjective well-being, were assessed using questionnaires that were given to the twins at ages 11 (personality and self-esteem) or 13 (subjective well-being). For further details please see the supporting information.

Statistical analyses

Replication of the p-factor/Verifying the bifactor model

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The same 20 items representing internalizing and externalizing symptoms were used for the factor analyses at each age, to allow developmental analyses and unambiguous comparisons across waves (as previously done, e.g., Murray, Eisner, & Ribeaud, 2016). The items were defined as categorical in the analyses. As previously done (Avinun et al., 2020; Caspi et al., 2014; Romer et al., 2018) we used confirmatory factor analysis to fit three structural models: 1) A bifactor/hierarchical model, which consists of a *p*-factor that loads on all items, and two additional factors that are allowed to correlate, each loading separately on either internalizing or externalizing symptoms items; 2) A one factor model, which consists of a factor that loads on all items; and 3) A correlated factor model, which consists of only two factors, each loading separately on either internalizing or externalizing symptoms items. All confirmatory factor analyses were performed in Mplus version 7 (Muthén & Muthén, 2007) using the weighted least squares means and variance adjusted (WLSMV) algorithm, which is appropriate for categorical or ordinal data, and type=complex with the 'cluster' option, to account for the structure of the data (i.e., twins nested within families). This was done for each age (i.e., 3, 5, 6.5, and 8-9) separately.

We assessed how well each of the three models (one factor, correlated, and bifactor model) fit the data using the comparative fit index (CFI), the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), and the root-mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). CFI and TLI closer to 1 and RMSEA closer to 0 indicate good fit (Hu & Bentler, 1999).

Externally validating the psychopathology factors

To test the external validity of the psychopathology factors, we examined the association between the three factor scores, personality traits, and cognitive ability. All analyses were done in R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team, 2020). The package

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

'geepack' (Halekoh, Højsgaard, & Yan, 2006) was used to conduct linear regression analyses with generalized estimating equations (GEE) with an "exchangeable" correlation structure, due to the cluster structure of the data (i.e., families). The factors were entered as independent variables in separate analyses, and either personality traits (age 11) or cognitive ability (age 6) were entered as the dependent variables. Sex was also examined as an independent variable, to enable a comparison of sex-*p* associations with previous studies. All models were tested separately.

Longitudinal twin analysis/Cholesky decomposition model

To test stability and change of the genetic and environmental influences on the psychopathology factors from age 3 to age 8-9 a longitudinal twin analysis/Cholesky decomposition model was conducted. Analyses were done in R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team, 2020) with the "openMx" (Neale et al., 2016) and "umx" (Bates, Neale, & Maes, 2016) packages. Additional details on the model are available in the supporting information.

Predicting the psychopathology factors and the psychopathology factors as predictors

Associations between the psychopathology factors and various measures were also performed in R in GEE models as explained above in the *Validating the p-factor* section (when developmental problems were tested as the dependent variable, a logistic regression with GEE was performed). Here, sex, age, and SES as assessed at age 3 were used as covariates.

Results

Descriptive statistics are available as Supporting Table 1.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Replication of the p-factor/Verifying the bifactor model

At all four ages, the structural model that fit the data best and showed adequate to good fit was the bifactor model; this was evidenced by the highest CFI and TLI scores and the lowest RMSEA value among the three models (Supporting Table 2). The items and their standardized loadings on each factor are presented in Supporting Table 2. The relative strength of the loading on each item was relatively consistent between early and middle childhood, so that items with higher loadings on the *p*-factor at age 3 had higher loadings in all 4 waves (the correlations between the factor loadings at each age ranged between .975 and .987). In other words, the *p*-factor appeared to be stable across waves, without substantial fluctuations between items.

Externally validating and Testing the Reliability of the p-factor

The correlations between the *p*, externalizing, and internalizing factors across ages are shown in Figure 1A (here we limited the sample to the older twin in each family to avoid dependency and allow a simple presentation). The correlations between the *p*-factor in different ages were consistently moderate to high (r=.45-.68), as were the correlations between the internalizing factors (r=.37-.58) and the externalizing factors (r=.34-.67).

To examine how the psychopathology factors (*p*, externalizing, and internalizing) are associated with the big five personality traits, cognitive ability, and sex, we conducted linear regressions with GEE to account for clustering. Results are presented in Supporting Table 3. As expected, children with higher *p*-factor scores, tended to be less agreeable, less conscientious (although not at age 3), and more neurotic. Children with higher internalizing factor scores tended to be less extraverted

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and more neurotic, while children with higher externalizing factor scores tended to be more extraverted and less conscientious. The association between the *p*-factor and sex was consistently negative, indicating that across ages 3 to 9, boys had higher *p*-factor scores than girls. The association between sex and the internalizing factor was positive, but mostly weak, and the association with the externalizing factor was negative, and indicated that boys were characterized by higher externalizing symptoms. Cognitive ability showed a negative and very weak association with the *p*-factor only in ages 3 and 5 (R^2 between .004 and .005), a negative association with the externalizing factor (R^2 between .007 in age 3 and .058 in age 8-9), and no association with the internalizing factor.

Longitudinal twin analysis/Cholesky decomposition model

Twin correlations for the psychopathology factors from early to middle childhood are presented in Figure 1B. MZ twin correlations were consistently higher than DZ twin correlations and in most instances were more than twice as large suggesting that non-additive genetic influences may be at play (e.g., an ADE model) and that the influence of the shared environment is negligible. Notably, in the case of the externalizing factor, DZ correlations were negative and weak, whereas MZ correlations were consistently moderate and ranged between .43 to .49. Sensitivity analyses showed that regressing the psychopathology factors on sex or excluding the DZ-opposite sex twins did not lead to meaningful changes in the correlations between MZ and DZ twins (Supporting Table 4).

For the *p*-factor, an AIC comparison indicated that an AE model fit the data best (ADE AIC was 983 compared to the AE AIC of 967). The AE longitudinal twin analysis of the *p*-factor from age 3 to age 8-9 is presented in Figure 2A (estimates

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

with 95% confidence intervals are presented in Supporting Table 5A). The analysis indicated that the genetic influences on the *p*-factor are substantial and relatively stable in size (total heritability at each age ranged from .64 to .73), and that although new genetic influences arise at each age, a major proportion of the genetic influence is already present at age 3, and persists throughout childhood. Notably, because the difference in fit was small and the twin correlations suggested the presence of non-additive genetic influences, the ADE model along with 95% confidence intervals is presented in supporting Table 5B.

For both the specific/residualized internalizing and externalizing factors, the AIC comparison suggested that a DE model fit the data best (internalizing factor ADE AIC: 1067, AE AIC: 1069, and DE AIC: 1056; externalizing factor ADE AIC: 464, AE AIC: 444, and DE AIC: 395). However, a model with a dominant genetic effect, i.e., interaction effects, without an additive effect, i.e., main effect, is considered unlikely (Evans, 2020), and we therefore show the second best fitting model in Figure 2, which is the ADE model for the internalizing factor and the AE model for the externalizing factor (estimates with 95% confidence intervals are presented in Supporting Tables 6A and 7A, respectively). The DE models for the internalizing and externalizing factors are presented in Supporting Tables 6B and 7B, respectively. For the externalizing factor, when the ADE model was fitted, the A component was always estimated as 0, and consequently the model was similar to the DE model.

The ADE model for the specific/residualized internalizing factor, shown in Figure 2B, suggested that about half (.41-.60) of the individual differences in internalizing symptoms from early to middle childhood are affected by genetic influences (whether additive or dominant). Most of these genetic effects were already present at age 3 or 5. The rest of the variance was accounted for by non-shared

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

environmental effects and measurement error. The AE model for the specific/residualized externalizing factor on the other hand, shown in Figure 2C, indicated that most of the variance (.74-.90) was explained by non-shared environmental effects and measurement error. The remaining variance was explained by genetic influences.

Predicting the psychopathology factors

Exploratory analyses, examining possible predictors of the psychopathology factors, are presented in Supporting Table 8. Most predictors explained about 1% or less of the variance in the psychopathology factors. On average across waves, the summary score of neonatal problems, which consisted of neonatal hepatitis, neonatal diabetes, and having been in an incubator, explained the most *p*-factor variance at each age (R^2 from .004 to .013), such that greater neonatal problems were associated with higher *p*-factor scores.

For the specific/residualized internalizing factor, childhood SES appeared to be the strongest predictor, explaining the most variance on average across waves (R^2 ranging from .005 to .017); children raised in families with higher SES tended to have fewer internalizing symptoms. For the specific/residualized externalizing factor, childhood developmental problems explained the most variance on average across waves (R^2 ranging from .006 to .01); children with more developmental problems tended to have more externalizing symptoms.

The psychopathology factors as predictors

The *p*-factor was the most consistent and reliable of the three psychopathology factors in predicting developmental problems at age 8-9 (odds ratios ranging between 1.42

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and 1.84; see Figure 3 and additional statistics in Supporting Table 9A). Interestingly, the *p*-factor retained its value as a predictor even when developmental problems from the same age as the *p*-factor were included in the model (odds ratios ranging between 1.36 and 1.60; Figure 3 and Supporting Table 9B). Of note, all three psychopathology factors showed a positive association with developmental problems at age 8-9. The *p*-factor was also the best predictor of self-esteem at age 11 (R^2 ranging from .015 to .04), indicating that, on average, children with higher *p*-factor scores had lower self-esteem at age 11. Interestingly, the specific/residualized externalizing factor was the best predictor of well-being at age 13 (R^2 ranging from .007 to .014); children with higher externalizing symptoms tended to show poorer well-being (Figure 3 and Supporting Table 3C).

Discussion

In the current study, we investigated the *p*-factor and the more specific/residulaized internalizing and externalizing factors from early-to-middle childhood. In general, we found that 1) the *p*-factor is present in children as young as 3 years old; 2) there is little to no effect of the shared-environment for all three psychopathology factors, and both *p* and the specific/residualized internalizing factor show strong genetic influences; 3) neonatal and pregnancy risk measures, while at times significantly associated with the *p*-factor, do not explain more than 1% of the variance in any of the three psychopathology factors; and 4) the *p*-factor in early childhood can help to predict developmental problems and self-esteem in ages 9 and 11, respectively.

Our analyses indicated that a bifactor model which includes a general psychopathology factor, i.e., the *p*-factor, and two specific/residualized factors (internalizing and externalizing), fit the data best across all four waves from early to middle childhood. This supports and replicates previous findings of a transdiagnostic

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

factor in childhood (e.g., McElroy, Belsky, Carragher, Fearon, & Patalay, 2018; Morales et al., 2021). However, the use of fit indices for determining the model that best represents the structure of psychopathology has been criticized, as simulations have shown that the bifactor model is found as the best fitting model even when simulated data is created based on a correlated factors model (Greene et al., 2019). We were therefore interested in externally validating the *p*-factor, by examining its association with known correlates.

Indeed, as previous studies on different samples have indicated (Avinun et al., 2020; Caspi et al., 2014; Etkin et al., 2020), the *p*-factor was negatively associated with agreeableness and conscientiousness, and positively associated with neuroticism. It is noteworthy that in our study the *p*-factor was estimated based on mother reports, while personality traits were assessed by self-reports of the children, thus ruling out shared method (source) variance as accounting for this association. The specific/residualized externalizing factor was negatively associated with conscientiousness, an association that increased with age, and positively associated with extraversion. In contrast, the specific/residualized internalizing factor was positively associated with neuroticism and negatively associated with extraversion. This association between the three psychopathology factors and profiles of Big Five traits has now been demonstrated across cohorts varying in age and culture, and further supports the notion of overlapping taxonomies between the basic structure of personality and psychopathology (Brandes, Herzhoff, Smack, & Tackett, 2019).

The validity of the *p*-factor was further demonstrated by its negative association with self-esteem, as measured in age 11 and self-reported by the children. This association may be related to findings suggesting that psychopathology increases the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

risk of being bullied (Arseneault, Bowes, & Shakoor, 2010), and supports the need for early interventions that target children with high *p*-factor scores.

We found that the specific/residualized externalizing factor was most strongly associated with cognitive ability, a finding that is inconsistent with previous studies in which the *p*-factor was more strongly associated with measures of executive functioning (Caspi & Moffitt, 2018; Martel et al., 2017). It is possible that the use of different measurements or the reliance on symptom-level (our study) rather than diagnosis-level measures for the factor analysis (symptom counts or diagnostic probabilistic bands; Caspi & Moffitt, 2018; Martel et al., 2017) accounts for this inconsistency. Alternatively, if psychopathology is causal to cognitive impairment, it may be that disruptions to cognitive ability have yet to emerge by this age. Lastly, the items with the highest loadings on the specific/residualized externalizing factor related to inattention (e.g., easily distracted and cannot concentrate or finish tasks) which likely affected the children's performance during the cognitive ability tasks.

Our finding of moderate to high correlations between the *p*-factor across waves and relatively consistent factor loadings, which showed little change during development from early to middle childhood, suggested that *p* is reliable and stable. Additional support for the reliability of the *p*-factor was obtained from our longitudinal genetic analysis, which indicated that the *p*-factor was substantially heritable and that the genetic effects on the *p*-factor at age 3 meaningfully contribute to the genetic influences on the *p*-factor in all ages. The only previous longitudinal genetic study of the *p*-factor included twins from age 7 to 16 and has also found that the *p*-factor is highly heritable and that genetic factors contribute to stability (Allegrini et al., 2020).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Interestingly, while our longitudinal genetic analysis showed that genetic effects, both additive and dominant, accounted for a large portion of the variance in the specific/residualized internalizing factor (.41-.60), most of the specific/residualized externalizing factor variance was accounted for by non-shared environmental influences and measurement error. It is not likely that the specific/residualized externalizing factor mostly represented measurement error because 1) the correlations between the externalizing factor at different ages were moderate to strong (r=.34-.67), and 2) the externalizing factor was externally validated with measures collected through different methods (i.e., the factor was based on parental reports, while personality was based on child reports and cognitive ability was based on testing). It is therefore suggested that after accounting for *p*, the remaining variance in externalizing symptoms is largely due to unique experiences and exposures.

Although the *p*-factor appears to represent a real shared general risk for psychopathology, its origins remain unclear. Here, we examined whether complications in pregnancy and neonatal measures are related to the *p*-factor in childhood, guided by previous research suggesting that fetal and early life programming may be linked to mental health (Lewis, Galbally, Gannon, & Symeonides, 2014; O'Donnell & Meaney, 2017). All measures showed only weak associations, if at all, with the *p*-factor, explaining 1%, and usually less, of the factor variance. This was also true for the specific/residualized externalizing and internalizing factors. Similarly, SES at age 3 and developmental problems before age 3 did not explain more than 1% of the variance in the psychopathology factors. These suggest that a cumulative risk factor score composed of many fetal and early life

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

stressors may be a better approach for the prediction of the latent factors of psychopathology (Meehan et al., 2020).

Strengths and Limitations

To our knowledge, the current study is the first to provide an in-depth developmental and longitudinal genetic investigation of the specific/residualized externalizing and internalizing factors from the bifactor model and the first to examine the links between the general and specific/residualized factors of psychopathology and pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal measures. However, this study also has several limitations. First, the assessment of psychopathology was restricted to maternal reports and relied on a relatively limited number of items. While this is not exceptional (e.g., McElroy et al., 2018; Patalay et al., 2015, respectively), further research is needed to understand how these and the inclusion of only identical items across ages, affected the findings. Second, our sample consisted only of twins and therefore the generalizability of the associations between the psychopathology factors and other measures need to be replicated. Notably however, in the context of the associations with the pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal measures, the reliance on twins was advantageous, as twin pregnancies are associated with higher complications compared to singletons (Obiechina, Okolie, Eleje, Okechukwu, & Anemeje, 2011), allowing for greater variance. Third, our study was restricted to the developmental period of early to mid-childhood, research encompassing longer developmental periods is needed.

Conclusions

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Our study supports accumulating research indicating a general factor of psychopathology, which represents transdiagnostic risk across mental disorders (Caspi & Moffitt, 2018), and shows that this general factor is highly heritable, discernible in early childhood, and stable from early to mid-childhood. Results also suggest that the *p*-factor is not meaningfully predicted by pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal events. Lastly, to our knowledge, this is the first in-depth genetically informed investigation of the specific/residualized factors of psychopathology in childhood. We found that while the internalizing factor is still substantially heritable after accounting for the *p*-factor, the externalizing factor is mostly affected by non-shared environmental influences.

Key Points

- The general psychopathology factor is highly heritable, discernible in early childhood, and stable from early to mid-childhood, with genetic factors accounting for most of the stability.
- From early to mid-childhood, the specific/residualized internalizing factor was highly heritable, while the specific/residualized externalizing factor was mostly affected by non-shared environmental effects.
- Pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal complications did not meaningfully predict any of the psychopathology factors.
- The p-factor was longitudinally positively associated with developmental problems and negatively with self-esteem. The externalizing factor showed a negative longitudinal association with self-esteem and subjective well-being.

Acknowledgements

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

We thank the participating families for their cooperation and all the lab members of the Social Development lab throughout the years for data collection and coding. The Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins (LIST) was founded by grant No. 31/06 from the Israel Science Foundation. The work was further supported by grant No. 1670/13 from the Israel Science Foundation, starting grant No. 240994 from the European Research Council, and by a grant from The Science of Generosity Initiative, funded by the John Templeton Foundation to Ariel Knafo-Noam. RA is supported by a Lady Davis fellowship.

Correspondence

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Reut Avinun, PhD, Department of Psychology, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Mount Scopus, Jerusalem, 91905 Israel. E-mail: reut.avinun@mail.huji.ac.il

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

Allegrini, A. G., Cheesman, R., Rimfeld, K., Selzam, S., Pingault, J. B., Eley, T. C., & Plomin, R. (2020). The p factor: genetic analyses support a general dimension of psychopathology in childhood and adolescence. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *61*(1), 30-39.

Arseneault, L., Bowes, L., & Shakoor, S. (2010). Bullying victimization in youths and mental health problems:'much ado about nothing'? *Psychological Medicine*, *40*(5), 717.

Avinun, R., & Knafo-Noam, A. (2017). Parental brain-derived neurotrophic factor genotype, child prosociality, and their interaction as predictors of parents' warmth. *Brain and Behavior*, *7*(5).

Avinun, R., & Knafo, A. (2013). The Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins (LIST)—An Integrative View of Social Development. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, *16*(1), 197-201. doi: 10.1017/thg.2012.73

Avinun, R., Romer, A. L., & Israel, S. (2020). Vitamin D polygenic score is associated with neuroticism and the general psychopathology factor. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, 109912.

Bates, T., Neale, M., & Maes, H. (2016). umx: A library for Structural Equation and Twin Modelling in R. *Journal of statistical software*.

Blanco, C., Wall, M. M., Hoertel, N., Krueger, R. F., Liu, S.-M., Grant, B. F., & Olfson, M. (2019). Psychiatric disorders and risk for multiple adverse outcomes: a national prospective study. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 1-10.

Brandes, C. M., Herzhoff, K., Smack, A. J., & Tackett, J. L. (2019). The p factor and the n factor: Associations between the general factors of psychopathology and neuroticism in children. *Clinical Psychological Science*, *7*(6), 1266-1284.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Buss, A. H., & Plomin, R. (1984). *Temperament: early developing personality traits*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Caspi, A., Houts, R. M., Belsky, D. W., Goldman-Mellor, S. J., Harrington, H., Israel, S., . . . Moffitt, T. E. (2014). The p factor: one general psychopathology factor in the structure of psychiatric disorders? *Clinical Psychological Science, 2*(2), 119-137.

Caspi, A., & Moffitt, T. E. (2018). All for one and one for all: Mental disorders in one dimension. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 175*(9), 831-844.

Chiorean, A., Savoy, C., Beattie, K., el Helou, S., Silmi, M., & Van Lieshout, R. J. (2020). Childhood and adolescent mental health of NICU graduates: an observational study. *Archives of Disease in Childhood, 105*, 684-689. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2019-318284

De Mola, C. L., De França, G. V. A., de Avila Quevedo, L., & Horta, B. L. (2014). Low birth weight, preterm birth and small for gestational age association with adult depression: systematic review and meta-analysis. *The British Journal of Psychiatry, 205*(5), 340-347.

Ehrenstein, V., Pedersen, L., Grijota, M., Nielsen, G. L., Rothman, K. J., & Sørensen, H. T. (2009). Association of Apgar score at five minutes with long-term neurologic disability and cognitive function in a prevalence study of Danish conscripts. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth, 9*(1), 1-7.

Etkin, P., Mezquita, L., López-Fernández, F. J., Ortet, G., & Ibáñez, M. I. (2020). Five Factor model of personality and structure of psychopathological symptoms in adolescents. *Personality and Individual Differences, 163*, 110063.

Evans, D. M. (2020). The Boulder Workshop Question Box. *Behavior Genetics*, 1-10.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Goldsmith, H. (1991). A zygosity questionnaire for young twins: A research note. *Behavior Genetics, 21*(3), 257-269.

Gomez, R., Stavropoulos, V., Vance, A., & Griffiths, M. D. (2019). Re-evaluation of the latent structure of common childhood disorders: Is there a general psychopathology factor (p-factor)? *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction, 17*(2), 258-278.

Goodman, R. (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines, 38*(5), 581.

Greene, A. L., Eaton, N. R., Li, K., Forbes, M. K., Krueger, R. F., Markon, K. E., . . . Docherty, A. R. (2019). Are fit indices used to test psychopathology structure biased? A simulation study. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 128*(7), 740.

Halekoh, U., Højsgaard, S., & Yan, J. (2006). The R package geepack for generalized estimating equations. *Journal of statistical software, 15*(2), 1-11.

Hamlyn, J., Duhig, M., McGrath, J., & Scott, J. (2013). Modifiable risk factors for schizophrenia and autism—shared risk factors impacting on brain development. *Neurobiology of Disease, 53*, 3-9.

Hankin, B. L., Davis, E. P., Snyder, H., Young, J. F., Glynn, L. M., & Sandman, C. A. (2017). Temperament factors and dimensional, latent bifactor models of child psychopathology: Transdiagnostic and specific associations in two youth samples. *Psychiatry Research, 252*, 139-146.

Hu, L. t., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural equation modeling: a multidisciplinary journal, 6*(1), 1-55.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Lahey, B. B., Applegate, B., Hakes, J. K., Zald, D. H., Hariri, A. R., & Rathouz, P. J. (2012). Is there a general factor of prevalent psychopathology during adulthood? *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *121*(4), 971.

Lahey, B. B., Krueger, R. F., Rathouz, P. J., Waldman, I. D., & Zald, D. H. (2017). A hierarchical causal taxonomy of psychopathology across the life span. *Psychological Bulletin*, *143*(2), 142.

Lahey, B. B., Rathouz, P. J., Keenan, K., Stepp, S. D., Loeber, R., & Hipwell, A. E. (2015). Criterion validity of the general factor of psychopathology in a prospective study of girls. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *56*(4), 415-422.

Lewis, A. J., Galbally, M., Gannon, T., & Symeonides, C. (2014). Early life programming as a target for prevention of child and adolescent mental disorders. *BMC Medicine*, *12*(1), 1-15.

Martel, M. M., Pan, P. M., Hoffmann, M. S., Gadelha, A., do Rosário, M. C., Mari, J. J., . . . Bressan, R. A. (2017). A general psychopathology factor (P factor) in children: structural model analysis and external validation through familial risk and child global executive function. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *126*(1), 137.

McElroy, E., Belsky, J., Carragher, N., Fearon, P., & Patalay, P. (2018). Developmental stability of general and specific factors of psychopathology from early childhood to adolescence: dynamic mutualism or p-differentiation? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *59*(6), 667-675.

Meehan, A. J., Latham, R. M., Arseneault, L., Stahl, D., Fisher, H. L., & Danese, A. (2020). Developing an individualized risk calculator for psychopathology

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

among young people victimized during childhood: A population-representative cohort study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *262*, 90-98.

Merikangas, K. R., He, J.-p., Burstein, M., Swanson, S. A., Avenevoli, S., Cui, L., . . . Swendsen, J. (2010). Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in US adolescents: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication–Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *49*(10), 980-989.

Morales, S., Tang, A., Bowers, M. E., Miller, N. V., Buzzell, G. A., Smith, E., . . . Fox, N. A. (2021). Infant temperament prospectively predicts general psychopathology in childhood. *Development and Psychopathology*, 1-10. doi: 10.1017/s0954579420001996

Morales, S., Tang, A., Bowers, M. E., Miller, N. V., Buzzell, G. A., Smith, E., . . . Fox, N. A. (In press). Infant temperament prospectively predicts general psychopathology in childhood. *Development and Psychopathology*.

Murray, A. L., Eisner, M., & Ribeaud, D. (2016). The development of the general factor of psychopathology 'p factor'through childhood and adolescence. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *44*(8), 1573-1586.

Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2007). Mplus User's Guide. *Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén*.

Neale, M. C., Hunter, M. D., Pritikin, J. N., Zahery, M., Brick, T. R., Kirkpatrick, R. M., . . . Boker, S. M. (2016). OpenMx 2.0: Extended structural equation and statistical modeling. *Psychometrika*, *81*(2), 535-549.

Newman, D. L., Moffitt, T. E., Caspi, A., & Silva, P. A. (1998). Comorbid mental disorders: implications for treatment and sample selection. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *107*(2), 305.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

O'Donnell, K. J., & Meaney, M. J. (2017). Fetal origins of mental health: the developmental origins of health and disease hypothesis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *174*(4), 319-328.

Obiechina, N., Okolie, V., Eleje, G., Okechukwu, Z., & Anemeje, O. (2011). Twin versus singleton pregnancies: the incidence, pregnancy complications, and obstetric outcomes in a Nigerian tertiary hospital. *International journal of women's health*, *3*, 227.

Olino, T. M., Dougherty, L. R., Bufferd, S. J., Carlson, G. A., & Klein, D. N. (2014). Testing models of psychopathology in preschool-aged children using a structured interview-based assessment. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *42*(7), 1201-1211.

Patalay, P., Fonagy, P., Deighton, J., Belsky, J., Vostanis, P., & Wolpert, M. (2015). A general psychopathology factor in early adolescence. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, *207*(1), 15-22.

Pettersson, E., Lahey, B. B., Larsson, H., & Lichtenstein, P. (2018). Criterion Validity and Utility of the General Factor of Psychopathology in Childhood: Predictive Associations With Independently Measured Severe Adverse Mental Health Outcomes in Adolescence. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *57*(6), 372-383.

R Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from http://www.R-project.org/

Riglin, L., Thapar, A. K., Leppert, B., Martin, J., Richards, A., Anney, R., . . . Lahey, B. B. (2019). Using genetics to examine a general liability to childhood psychopathology. *Behavior Genetics*, 1-8.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.20.21253838; this version posted March 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Romer, A. L., Knodt, A. R., Houts, R., Brigidi, B. D., Moffitt, T. E., Caspi, A., & Hariri, A. R. (2018). Structural alterations within cerebellar circuitry are associated with general liability for common mental disorders. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *23*(4), 1084.

Selzam, S., Coleman, J. R., Caspi, A., Moffitt, T. E., & Plomin, R. (2018). A polygenic p factor for major psychiatric disorders. *Translational Psychiatry*, *8*(1), 205.

Van Lieshout, R. J., & Voruganti, L. P. (2008). Diabetes mellitus during pregnancy and increased risk of schizophrenia in offspring: a review of the evidence and putative mechanisms. *Journal of psychiatry & neuroscience: JPN*, *33*(5), 395.

Vertsberger, D., Abramson, L., & Knafo-Noam, A. (2019). The Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins (LIST) Reaches Adolescence: Genetic and Environmental Pathways to Social, Personality and Moral Development. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, *22*(6), 567-571.

Figure 1. Psychopathology factors correlations.

1A. Correlations between the p, internalizing (INT), and externalizing (EXT) factors from early- to mid- childhood (for one twin per family)**.**



1B. Twin correlations on psychopathology factors from early- to mid-childhood.



Figure 2. A longitudinal twin model. Genetic and environmental influences on psychopathology factors, from early to middle childhood.

A. The p factor, AE model.



B. The specific/residualized internalizing factor, ADE model.



B. continued. The specific/residualized internalizing factor.



C. The specific/residualized externalizing factor, AE model.



Figure 3. The psychopathology factors as predictors of developmental problems, self-esteem, and well-being.



Note. In all models age, sex, and socioeconomic status as assessed at age 3 were entered as covariates. Results of generalized estimating equation models are presented. All models are independent (i.e., each factor was examined as a predictor in an independent statistical model). The model "with control" also included a developmental problems (yes/no) measure, assessed at the same age as the tested factor, as a covariate.