

1 **An automated Dashboard to improve laboratory COVID-19 diagnostics**  
2 **management**

3

4

5 Emma Maury <sup>(1)</sup>, Marc-Olivier Boldi <sup>(1)</sup>, Gilbert Greub <sup>(2,3)</sup>, Valérie Chavez <sup>(1)</sup>, Katia Jaton <sup>(2)</sup>, Onya  
6 Opota <sup>(2)\*</sup>

7

8

9 Short running title: Covid-19 Dashboard improving laboratory management

10

11 1) Faculty of Business and Economics, University of Lausanne, Switzerland.

12 2) Institute of Microbiology, Lausanne University and University Hospital of Lausanne,  
13 Lausanne, Switzerland.

14 3) Infectious Diseases Service, Lausanne University and University Hospital of Lausanne,  
15 Lausanne, Switzerland.

16

17

18 Keywords: CoVID-19, SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR, microbiology diagnostic, dashboard, automation,  
19 digitalization, reliability, laboratory management, quality management.

20

21 Correspondance: Dr Onya Opota [onya.opota@chuv.ch](mailto:onya.opota@chuv.ch)

22

23

24

25

26

## 27 **Abstract**

28 **Background:** In response to the CoVID-19 pandemic, our microbial diagnostic laboratory  
29 located in a university hospital has implemented several distinct SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR systems  
30 in a very short time. Thanks to our automated molecular diagnostic platform, more than 140'000  
31 SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR tests were achieved over 12 months, with peaks higher than 1'500 daily  
32 tests. A dashboard was developed to give access to Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to  
33 improve laboratory operational management.

34 **Methods:** RT-PCR data extraction of four respiratory viruses – SARS-CoV-2, influenza A and  
35 B and RSV – from our laboratory information system (LIS), was automated. Important KPIs were  
36 identified and the visualization was achieved using an in-house dashboard based on the open-  
37 source language R (Shiny). Information is updated every 4 hours.

38 **Results:** The dashboard is organized into three main parts. The “Filter” page presents all the  
39 KPIs, divided into five sections: i) general and gender-related indicators, ii) number of tests and  
40 positivity rate, iii) cycle threshold and viral load, iv) test durations, and v) not valid results.  
41 Filtering allows to select a given period, a dedicated instrument, a given specimen, or a  
42 requester for instance. The “Comparison” page allows a custom charting of all the available  
43 variables, which represents more than 182 combinations. The “Data” page gives the user access  
44 to the raw data in table format, with the possibility of filtering, allowing for a deeper analysis and  
45 data download in Excel format.

46 **Conclusions:** The dashboard, that gives a rapid access to a huge number of up-to-date  
47 information, represents a reliable and user-friendly tool improving the decision-making process,  
48 resource planning and quality management. The dashboard represent an added value for  
49 diagnosric laboratories during a pandemic, where rapid and efficient adaptation is mandatory.

50

51

## 52 1 Introduction

53 In December 2019, a new virus causing pneumonia of unknown etiology has emerged in China.  
54 Its incidence exploded very quickly, first in the Wuhan region (Hubei province), then in the other  
55 regions of China and other countries in Southeast Asia. On January 30, the World Organization  
56 of Health (WHO) declared this new coronavirus as a "public health emergency of international  
57 concern" [1]. On the 20<sup>th</sup> of February 2020, a first patient was diagnosed in Italy in the Lombardy  
58 region. The epidemic has since spread to other European countries, including Switzerland [2]  
59 and a first case was admitted in our hospital already on 28<sup>th</sup> February. On the 11<sup>th</sup> of March,  
60 2020 that WHO declared Pandemic Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) [3-5].

61 To face the COVID-19 pandemic caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus  
62 2 (SARS-CoV-2), diagnostic laboratories had to develop reverse transcriptase polymerase chain  
63 reactions (RT-PCR) tests allowing the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in patients with suspected  
64 COVID-19. Our laboratory, the Institute of Microbiology (IMU), located in one of the five teaching  
65 hospitals of Switzerland, the Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV), rapidly developed RT-PCR  
66 to detect SARS-CoV-2 in clinical specimens [6]. Microbiological diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2  
67 represents one of the pillars of the diagnosis of COVID-19. Indeed, RT-PCR is also the heart of  
68 the patient care and epidemic control process and will be the mainstay of several clinical studies.  
69 Although our laboratory has extensive experience in the development of RT-PCR, the  
70 introduction of this new parameter represented a challenge in terms of speed of development  
71 [7]. It is also the first time that an introduced parameter has been used on such a large scale in  
72 such a short time; more than 10'000 tests were carried out in one month in Spring [6] and even  
73 in a single week during fall 2020. This was possible thanks to automation and digitalization, to  
74 allow high throughput and acceptable time to results [7]. In this context, the IMU set strategies  
75 to ensure the quality and reliability of the RT-PCR. This included the monitoring of key  
76 performance indicators (KPIs) for quality management such as the proportions of positive tests  
77 or the virus load, both per day, per instruments, and per requester. These indicators aimed for  
78 instance to identify variations not explained by epidemiological changes. Indeed, abnormal  
79 variations could be synonymous with pre-analytical problems (sampling problem, transport  
80 medium, etc.) or even analytical problems (mutation in the target sequences of PCRs associated  
81 with losses of sensitivity or specificities). The IMU also defined KPIs for operations management  
82 such as the time to results [8].

83 Before COVID-19, such indicators were monitored periodically, for example in the context of an  
84 annual report or retrospective studies. At the beginning of the COVID-19 outbreak, the IMU  
85 decided to follow these indicators frequently. Because the needed manual analyses were time-  
86 consuming, the monitoring of analytical and operational KPIs was carried out once a week  
87 initially and then, twice a week depending on the period. These analyses were also prone to

88 error, due to multiple sources of information, repeated manual actions (e.g., copy/cut and paste)  
89 and the diversity of the data.

90 A dashboard is a graphical user interfaces (GUI) with a data base. It allows to retrieve the  
91 relevant information, often KPIs, in a specific context by representing the data in a meaningful  
92 and usable way [9]. For a detailed presentation, see [10]. In the management and business  
93 contexts, dashboards aim at reversing the overwhelming information volume into an opportunity  
94 [11] and are part of visual analytics, defined as the "science of analytical reasoning facilitated  
95 by interactive visual interface" by Cook and Thomas [12].

96 Like any other information technology in the healthcare industry, which affects positively its  
97 efficiency [13], dashboards help monitor daily activities [14], such as tracking ongoing  
98 operations, a priority in healthcare institutions [15]. Providing an easy access to this information  
99 helps the team to make better informed decisions [16], which could take a tremendous amount  
100 of time without the technology [17]. Correctly designed and built, dashboards improve the  
101 institution's efficiency while providing a better care quality [18]. See Cheng, et al. [19], for an  
102 example of a previous study on how to build dashboard to track respiratory viruses, such as  
103 Influenza.

104 With COVID-19 spreading fast over the world, the speed at which data are gathered, integrated,  
105 and used became central in the management of this crisis by all health-involved institution  
106 teams. Interactive dashboards appeared to be appropriate to this aim. A famous example  
107 remains the one from John Hopkins University [20]. In Switzerland, corona-data.ch [21] is an  
108 up-to-date webpage built at the macro level. The whole healthcare industry being impacted by  
109 the pandemic, various topics and areas were analyzed through dashboards: e-consultations  
110 [22], incident command [23], performance comparisons to similar institutions [24].

111 Also, laboratories responsible for testing patients during an outbreak must control some  
112 information to ensure the highest quality of results. In particular, it is crucial to define KPIs, for  
113 example to better track the daily operations [25] ensuring enough testing capacity. Moreover,  
114 providing valuable insights and pieces of information to the laboratory management can be  
115 critical when the objective is to increase capacity and quality as well as maintaining schedules  
116 [26].

117 A dashboard is an expression of a database. Therefore, like mentioned by O'Donnell and David  
118 [27], the resulting decision process depends on the Information System (IS), the environment,  
119 and the user skills. Regarding the dashboard content, there is no consensus on the format of  
120 visualizations: from no effect on the user's judgement [28] to the lack of universality in  
121 representation [29], or a preference for tabular information [30]. Wilson and Zigurs [31] showed  
122 that even the user's preferred format did not lead to greater performance, except for symbolic  
123 and spatial tasks [32]. Choosing the appropriate visualization can be challenging and is subject

124 to various principles. Lengler and Eppler [33] condensed many visualizations into a [Periodic](#)  
125 [Table of Visualization Methods](#), according to which the dashboard content can be classified into  
126 three categories: boxes, tables and plots. Each has advantages and drawbacks, the choice  
127 being made based on the end-users needs.

128 In this paper, we present the design, the development, and the use of a dashboard targeted to  
129 a laboratory located in a teaching hospital, in charge of PCR test, following the COVID-19  
130 outbreak, such as the IMU. This includes i) to define the need, ii) to build the dashboard, iii) to  
131 deploy the tool, and iv) to demonstrate the added value in terms of the quality and operations  
132 management for the laboratory mission. This research focuses on aspects other than  
133 epidemiological matters (patient type, pathogen, period of the year, etc.), and which can explain  
134 some variation of results in the laboratory. We split these aspects into two main categories:  
135 quality issues and management issues.

136

## 137 **2 Material and Methods**

### 138 **2.1 RT-PCR and Data**

139 RT-PCR for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 from clinical specimen where achieved as previously  
140 described using our in-house molecular diagnostic platform (MDx platform), the Cobas SARS-  
141 CoV-2 test on the Cobas 6800 instrument (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), and the Xpert Xpress  
142 SARS-CoV-2 assay (Cepheid, Ca, USA) [6,34,35]. Viral load were obtained by conversion of  
143 the Ct (Cycle threshold) values of the instruments using either a plasmid containing the target  
144 sequence of the PCR obtained from RD-Biotech (Besançon, France) or using purified viral RNA,  
145 kindly provided by the Institute of Virology of the University of Berlin, la Charite [34,35].

146 The dataset feeding the dashboard is an extract from Molis, an IS used at the IMU. The extract  
147 is performed every four hours. The analyses of four respiratory virus of interest were flagged in  
148 the system: SARS CoV-2, Influenza A and B, and the Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV). A  
149 comma-separated values file (csv) with new observations validated in the past four hours is  
150 uploaded in a specific folder to be read through the dashboard.

151

152 To date, the system has more than 140'000 observations, of which the SARS-CoV-2 accounts  
153 for more than 96%. For each sample (swab, blood, etc.), the available entries include a unique  
154 ID, date of birth and gender of the patient, its hospitalization status, an anonymized code of the  
155 entity requesting the test (doctors, clinics, other laboratories, etc.), the date-time of the sampling  
156 (when available), of the test, and of the result sending as well as the type of sampling  
157 (nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal secretions, blood sample, etc.). The original dataset also  
158 contains analysis codes showing the test result (positive, negative, cancelled, *NOT VALID*), the

159 Ct values, the viral quantification (in copy per milliliter, cp/mL), and whether the analysis had to  
160 be repeated.

161 A sample is related to one patient but that a patient may be tested several times. The analysis  
162 codes correspond to a test, which is performed for a specific virus, on a specific device (machine  
163 used to perform the test), for a targeted gene.

164 Some cleaning and data wrangling were performed before building the dashboard. Using a  
165 matching table shared by the IMU, the analysis codes were renamed using more user-friendly  
166 structure (NOM.VIRUS\_TYPE.ANALYSE\_APPAREIL\_GENE). Then, different measures are  
167 extracted, especially on date-time data: the reception duration is the difference between the  
168 sampling time and the reception time at the laboratory, the test duration is the difference  
169 between the reception time and the results validation time and the total duration is the sum of  
170 the last two. Using the date of birth, patients were categorized into age groups with a 10-year  
171 window. Similarly, the type of sampling was recoded using wider groups categories, the most  
172 present being NPS (nasopharyngeal secretions). Then, each analysis is assigned a "Virus" and  
173 a "Device", corresponding to the non-empty analysis codes described above. Another  
174 "Confirmation" variable is added, showing whether the analysis had to be reperformed.

175 Finally, four different tables are created, corresponding to each virus present in the dashboard.

## 176 **2.2 Platform**

177 The interactive dashboard was built using Shiny [36], based on the opensource programming  
178 language R [37] in the RStudio Interactive Development Environment [38]. CSS and JavaScript  
179 were also used to tailor the dashboard to the needs of the end-users. The dashboard relies on  
180 several packages: *shinydashboard* [39] for the page structure, *plotly* [40], and *ggplot2* [41] for  
181 interactive graphs, *DT* [42] for interactive tables, *shinyjs* [43] for some custom interaction,  
182 *shinyWidgets* [44] for input objects and *readxl* [45], *plyr* [46], *dplyr* [47], *lubridate* [48], *tidyr* [49],  
183 *tidyverse* [50], *stringr* [51], *psych* [52] and *forcats* [53] for data wrangling. The dashboard runs  
184 locally on every user's computer, in order to prevent from security issues.

185 The dashboard is built in two dimensions (Figure 1). The horizontal dimension is the *Target* and  
186 the vertical dimension, the *Action*. The level of detail and the amount of possibilities increase  
187 when the user goes down on the *Action* sections.

188 As stated above, picking the appropriate visualization can be challenging and is subject to  
189 various principles. The main components chosen to build the dashboard are: 1) infoboxes: this  
190 type of visualizations gives an immediate information on some key metrics. Placed at the top of  
191 the dashboard, the user directly sees crucial information. When having several of these, it is  
192 important to group and label them appropriately [54], 2) tables: columns describe a specific  
193 attribute for each row, showing the user a detailed view, ready for a deeper inspection. Filtering

194 and ordering options are available to display the part of interest of the data and 3) plots: we used  
195 both Abela [55] and the data-to-viz.com tool created by Holtz [56], which provide clear tools to  
196 select the appropriate chart relative to the data. This dashboard mostly uses Column charts and  
197 Stacked Column charts, Scatter plots, Line charts and Boxplots. The latter has the advantage  
198 of displaying many information at once, and the end-users are accustomed to this format.  
199 Finally, for a quicker adoption and an optimal usage, the dashboard was built in French, the  
200 mother tongue of the end-users.

## 201 **2.3 Ethics**

202 The data were obtained during a quality enhancement project at our institution. According to  
203 national law, the performance and publishing the results of such a project can be done without  
204 asking the permission of the competent research ethics committee.

## 205 **3 Results**

### 206 **3.1 Structure and main KPIs of the dashboard**

#### 207 ***Global structure of the dashboard***

208 The content of the dashboard was guided by three main principles: comprehensive initial brief,  
209 multiple feedback loops, and close monitoring of latest breakthrough discoveries about Sars-  
210 CoV-2. At the beginning of the process, the end-users, namely the managers of the laboratory  
211 of molecular diagnostic, formulated their main needs and made suggestions on what to report  
212 in the dashboard. This included the main KPIs, such as the number of tests per day as well as  
213 some of the inputs that could be entered by the user, for instance filters such as RT-PCR  
214 instruments or patients' gender or age. In an AGILE-like methodology, applying continuous  
215 improvement [57], demos were regularly performed and feedbacks shortly implemented. The  
216 SARS-CoV-2 being a novel virus, the scientific literature was closely scrutinized to incorporate  
217 new relevant elements, such as gender-related indicators, which were included after [58]  
218 published their research on gender bias in Covid-19 diagnosis.

219 The current version of the dashboard contains three main pages detailed in figure 1: i) "*Filter*",  
220 ii) "*Comparison*" and iii) "*Data*". The *Filter* page allows the user to select inputs such as a date  
221 range, the gender, the age, the test result, the hospitalization status, the device used, the  
222 confirmation status, the type of sample, and the type of requesters. In the *Comparison* page, the  
223 user selects variables to appear on graphs, but also filters the dataset to narrow down  
224 comparison subjects. Finally, the *Data* page also lets the user filter the dataset to look at  
225 individual observations. Overall, the user therefore has a role in filtering observations and in  
226 deciding which information to be represented (Figure 1 and suppl. Table 1).

## 227 **The Filter page**

228 The Filter page presents a total of 10 KPIs and is divided into five sections: general and gender-  
229 related indicators, number of tests and positivity rate, viral load, test durations, and “NOT  
230 VALID”.

### 231 *General and gender-related indicators*

232 The ten KPIs are presented in aggregate form in this section, some of them being detailed in the  
233 subsequent sections. The most general figures are shown at the top of the layout. This first  
234 section is split into two groups. The “General Indicators” groups i) three workload-related KPIs:  
235 the number of tests performed for the selected virus, the proportion of the tests after filtering,  
236 the number of positive tests, the positivity rate, the average test duration displayed next to the  
237 median duration, ii) three quality related KPIs: the average number of tests per patient, the  
238 percentage of patient having to take two or more tests, the proportion of tests marked as “NOT  
239 VALID” and iii) gender-related Indicators including the sex ratio (positive women out of positive  
240 men), the average age, the positivity rate and the hospitalization rate (Suppl. Figure 1.A and  
241 1.B).

### 242 *Number of tests and positivity rate*

243 In this section, the number of tests and the proportions of daily positive specimen are detailed.  
244 The number of tests is presented per day of reception at the laboratory, per date of sending the  
245 results, and per age category. The proportions are presented per day and week of reception. In  
246 addition, an interactive table shows the number of tests per top categories of requesters. The  
247 plots are interactive to avoid cluttered visualization while allowing the user to choose the  
248 appropriate representation (zoom, labels, etc.) (Figure 2.A and 2.B).

### 249 *Durations of the tests*

250 In this section, the user finds the hours of reception of the tests and of the sending of the results,  
251 along with the test durations. These are presented by test results (positive or negative); the  
252 number of tests is displayed for each hour of a 24-hour day (Figure 3.B). The average test  
253 durations and the average reception durations are displayed below for each day of sending,  
254 respectively day of reception.

### 255 *Viral load*

256 This section focuses on the viral load and Cycle Threshold (Ct), a KPI not presented in the first  
257 section. First, the median Ct for each day is showed, grouped by analysis (each device, each  
258 gene, each repetition); in total 12 analyses (Figure 5). The viral load is also available in

259 copy/millimeter (cp/mL) since April 9th, 2020, date from which the laboratory started to keep this  
260 record. These are shown in a scatterplot crossed by type of sampling (blood, in nasopharyngeal  
261 secretions, etc.) as well as in a summary statistics table (Suppl. Figure 3).

262 *Not valid*

263 Finally, a descriptive table of the cases for which the result of the analysis was “NOT VALID” is  
264 displayed. The user can then identify any issue and investigate further. For sake of readability  
265 only some variables are shown (Suppl. Figure 4).

### 266 **Comparison page**

267 When moving to the *Comparison* page, the user sees first a global summary for all viruses. It  
268 shows the daily number of tests for each disease as well as the corresponding daily positivity  
269 rate. Then, like the *Filter* page, each virus information is shown in a specific tab. For each virus,  
270 the user can select the x-axis variable and the coloring variable. An error message is displayed  
271 when the chosen variables are the same (Table 1).

272 Upon this choice, the absolute and the relative number of tests are plotted, such as shown in  
273 Suppl. Figure 5 for gender and week of reception. The following plot shows data about the test  
274 duration. An example in Figure 4.B shows the average test duration per instrument, depending  
275 on the result of the test. This is especially useful to control the speed of some devices. Finally,  
276 the Ct information is displayed using boxplots (Figure 6.A), a familiar representation to the end-  
277 users. All the described figures are common to all viruses. For SARS-Cov-2, an additional  
278 boxplot of the viral load in  $\log_{10}$  scale is displayed (Figure 6.B). This additional boxplot appears  
279 only for this virus whose load can vary from 1000 to 14000000000 cp/mL. The  $\log_{10}$  scale  
280 provides a more readable graph.

### 281 **Data page**

282 On the *Data* page, the users can have a look at the raw data in a table format, after filtering if  
283 wanted, thus deepening the analysis of an issue located with the previous pages tools. They  
284 can then download the data and explore it in Excel. This simple feature appeared to be  
285 surprisingly useful to the users who can then extract data more quickly than with a data base  
286 request from Molis.

### 287 **3.2 Operational management improvement**

288 The general KPIs (e.g., number of daily tests, average number of tests per patient, etc.), such  
289 as Suppl. Figure 2.A, appearing at the very top of the dashboard are used to continuously  
290 reassess the current situation. Seeing the evolution of the past few days or weeks helps the staff

291 adjust their resources: launch some recruitment or send back the technicians from other  
292 department, adjust the repartition of staff among the laboratory, handle material shortage by  
293 anticipating future volumes, etc.

294 On a regular basis, the KPIs regarding the durations of the test allow the detection of operational  
295 issues. For instance, the dashboard led to the discovery of a huge amount of samples received  
296 at the end of the business day, and helped tune the process of deliveries at the laboratory. This  
297 is central to meet the needs of the hospital, of the requesters and, at the end, of the patients  
298 themselves. The tool also supports the team in charge of identifying potential causes of delay:  
299 by being able to look into various combination and level of details, it is possible to point out these  
300 causes during the whole process (from sampling, to testing, to result sending). They hence can  
301 then be investigated individually (e.g., by requester) or more globally (e.g., by day of the week).  
302 Figures 3.B and 3.C are used on a daily basis to continuously reassess this crucial aspect of  
303 timing.

304 The dashboard also comforted some intuitions the institute had from its experience: it helped  
305 identify the peak hours, adapt the distribution of the employees, and make recommendation to  
306 major requesters in order to smooth the operational activity during the day.

307 Finally, the daily tracking of these KPIs allowed the inventory monitoring of materials and  
308 avoided an unexpected shortage of scarce test resources.

### 309 **3.3 Quality management improvement**

310 Positivity rate

311 Having the possibility to observe the positivity rate by instrument can help diagnose any failure,  
312 such as contamination. For instance, an increase in the positivity rate could be due to a  
313 contamination of the test reagent. Easily accessing this information, (Suppl. Figure 2.B for an  
314 example) being able to quickly analyze it and linking it to Ct values provides a critical advantage  
315 in maintaining high quality results over the course of the outbreak. Since the users of the  
316 dashboard can also look at the data per requester, or requester type, in case of a sudden  
317 variation in the positive rate test results, it allows them to look for non-epidemiologic reasons.  
318 Possible explanations include change of sampling methodologies, change of patient type (e.g.,  
319 from mostly children to elderly patients), addition of new facilities in some requester categories.  
320 They can also investigate the geographical origin of the test and share relevant information with  
321 the appropriate authorities.

322 Finally, being able to verify the number of positive specimens by age category is critical, as the  
323 patients' age is playing a key role in the pandemic (spreading role of children, disease evolution  
324 among elderly, etc.). Figure 3.A shows the number of tests per age group, which can be filtered  
325 for specific periods of time, or different requesters.

326 Viral load.  
327 Among various data in the dashboard, the viral load especially helps guarantee the accuracy of  
328 the test or conversely identify and solve analytic problems. For instance, any sudden drop or  
329 jump in the daily or weekly median viral load raised a warning and calls for explanation (e.g.,  
330 change of testing strategy, population target). If no explanation is found, one could suspect a  
331 problem at the analytical stage (i.e.: pre-analytical stage, virus mutation etc.). A major viral load  
332 drop could mean a decrease in analytic sensitivity, which could be dramatic during an outbreak.  
333 A decrease in the median viral load was observed in April 2020 which led to a modification of  
334 the testing strategy, namely a universal testing strategy [35].  
335 Similarly, tracking the virus loads per patient types helps addressing the test sensitivity. Indeed,  
336 since the institute performs tests on a large variety of patients, it needs to track this measure to  
337 adjust the tests sensitivity in real time, if relevant.  
338 Additionally, the viral load by type of specimen (nasopharyngeal secretions, blood samples,  
339 throat secretions...) helps at addressing the performance of the test in different test of specimens  
340 [34,59].  
341 The viral load by instrument gives information on the analytical performance of each methods.  
342 Indeed, any drop out or increase could be the result of virus mutation or contamination.

#### 343 **4 Conclusion**

344 To respond to the CoVID-19 pandemic, our laboratory had to introduce several methods to  
345 detect SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples by RT-PCR within a short time. In fact, this sensitive  
346 method is reliable to control the spread of the virus by identifying infected patients, including  
347 asymptomatic subjects [35,60]. As a result, the number of RT-PCR tests performed each day  
348 increased rapidly with an average of 350 tests per day with peaks beyond 1000 tests per day  
349 during the first epidemic wave in Switzerland and more than 1700 tests per day during the  
350 second wave.

351  
352 Working with the dashboard improved the functioning of the laboratory both in term of  
353 management of operations and in term of quality. This improvement was reflected in the  
354 reactivity and decision making resulting from the real-time interpretation of indicators. Indeed,  
355 this emerging virus posed a large number of challenges for diagnostic laboratories, which had  
356 to quickly introduce new diagnostic methods and to adapt to the challenges faced during the  
357 different stages of the pandemic.

358  
359 **Reliability of the RT-PCR tests.** The first challenge for diagnostic laboratory was to ensure the  
360 reliability of the RT-PCR assays. This was especially important because it is the first time that a

361 test has been used with such a high throughput soon after its introduction. In this, the statistical  
362 analyzes proposed by the dashboard help to improve the reliability of these tests. For example,  
363 the real-time monitoring of the positivity rate of the tests or of the viral load in the clinical samples  
364 enables to highlight analytical problems, which could lead to false positives or false negatives.  
365 Using the dashboard, the positivity rate was compared according to the different instruments to  
366 check whether one instrument might be associated with a systematic error. However, cautious  
367 interpretation of the data available through the dashboard is mandatory since differences might  
368 also be due to various other factors. For example, because highly symptomatic subjects  
369 admitted at the emergency ward of the hospital were tested with the GenXpert rapid RT-PCR,  
370 the tests performed with that instruments were more often positive.

371  
372 ***Management of multiple instruments and stocks.*** We also had to introduce several different  
373 RT-PCR methods. The goal was to guarantee continuity of service in the case of instrument  
374 failure or reagent shortage [6], but also to respond to a need for faster tests, notably for analyzes  
375 carried out in emergencies to avoid nosocomial infections [35]. In this context of a fleet of several  
376 RT-PCR instruments the dashboard allows real-time monitoring of laboratory activity in terms of  
377 tests carried out on each instrument. This monitoring has enabled us, for example, to identify a  
378 risk of significant penury of the rapid molecular test. This problem was solved by quickly  
379 introducing a second test.

380 *Time to result.* A challenge for the laboratory was to adapt to the needs of clinicians for the  
381 patients care and to be useful for public health decisions. This involved a short rendering time.  
382 The dashboard allows to dynamically monitor the time of arrival of samples at the laboratory and  
383 thus to adjust the work organization. Typically, we added human resources at the end of the  
384 afternoon to allow more same-day results.

385  
386 In its current version, the code is specific to the practice of our laboratory. Thus, it is difficult to  
387 generalize to other laboratories or users. So far, the app is still in a development version and  
388 suffers from limited performances: slow to refresh, and unlikely to support database growth on  
389 the long term.

390 On the analytical part, there is no multivariate analysis feature. It is thus, for example, not  
391 possible to correlate KPIs of several viruses altogether. Furthermore, no automated detection  
392 has been implemented yet. And, in the same vein, no efficient forecasting method is available.

393  
394 We can expect that this type of tool will also help to consolidate the user's intuitions on the long  
395 term. This is linked to the predictive aspect of the dashboard, a topic not addressed in this paper,  
396 but a subject of future research. The data accumulation allows to develop models leading to

397 implement (i) automatic alerts (when should resources be increased and when should they be  
398 decreased), (ii) predictions (number of positive rates, the number of tests, etc.), (iii) scenario  
399 stressing (what would happen if the authorities change the sanitary restrictions?).  
400 These dynamic and automated developments could be based on models for times series  
401 forecasting. It may incorporate external factors such as data from other laboratories in the  
402 country or other exogenous factors (governmental decisions and meteorological conditions for  
403 instance). This could optimize the use of the dashboard for improved impact in the laboratory  
404 daily life.

## 405 **Acknowledgments**

406 We thank all the staff of the Laboratory of Molecular Diagnostic of the Institute of Microbiology  
407 of the University of Lausanne and in particular Miss Zahera Naseri. We also thank Mr Fabien  
408 Faverjon, Mr Frank Hottin and the IT and Data Team for their support. All the authors declare  
409 no conflict of interest related to this work.

## 410 **References**

- 411 1. World Health Organization. Statement on the second meeting of the International  
412 Health Regulations (2005) Emergency Committee regarding the outbreak of novel  
413 coronavirus (2019-nCoV). (2020)
- 414 2. Tadini E, Papamidimitriou-Olivgeris M, Opota O *et al.* SARS-CoV-2, a point in the  
415 storm. *Revue medicale suisse*, 16(692), 917-923 (2020).
- 416 3. Federal Office of Public Health. New Coronavirus 2019-nCoV: first confirmed case  
417 in Switzerland. (2020)
- 418 4. World Health Organization. WHO | Pneumonia of unknown cause ? China. (2019)
- 419 5. World Health Organization. WHO statement on novel coronavirus in Thailand.  
420 (2020)
- 421 6. Opota O, Brouillet R, Greub G, Jaton K. Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR on a  
422 high-throughput molecular diagnostic platform and the cobas SARS-CoV-2 test for the  
423 diagnostic of COVID-19 on various clinical samples. *Pathogens and Disease*, 78(8)  
424 (2020).
- 425 7. Greub G, Sahli R, Brouillet R, Jaton K. Ten years of R&D and full automation in  
426 molecular diagnosis. *Future Microbiology*, 11(3), 403-425 (2016).
- 427 8. Marquis B, Opota O, Jaton K, Greub G. Impact of different SARS-CoV-2 assays on  
428 the turnaround time (In revision).

- 429 9. Wikipedia Contributors. Dashboard (business). (Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia.,  
430 2020)
- 431 10. Eckerson WW. The Landscape for Performance dashboards. In: *Performance*  
432 *dashboards: measuring, monitoring, and managing your business*. (John Wiley & Sons,  
433 2010) 4.
- 434 11. Keim D, Andrienko G, Fekete J-D, Görg C, Kohlhammer J, Melançon G. Visual  
435 Analytics: Definition, Process, and Challenges. In: *Information Visualization: Human-*  
436 *Centered Issues and Perspectives*. Kerren, A, Stasko, JT, Fekete, J-D, North, C (Eds.)  
437 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008) 154-175.
- 438 12. Cook K, Thomas J. Illuminating the Path: The Research and Development Agenda  
439 for Visual Analytics (2005).
- 440 13. Menon NM, Lee B, Eldenburg L. Productivity of Information Systems in the  
441 Healthcare Industry | Information Systems Research. *Information System Research*,  
442 11(1), 83-92 (2000).
- 443 14. Pestana M, Pereira R, Moro S. Improving Health Care Management in Hospitals  
444 Through a Productivity Dashboard. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 44(4), 87 (2020).
- 445 15. Koumpouros Y. Balanced scorecard: application in the General Panarcadian  
446 Hospital of Tripolis, Greece. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*,  
447 26(4), 286-307 (2013).
- 448 16. Al-Hajj S, Pike I, Fisher B. Interactive dashboards: Using visual analytics for  
449 knowledge transfer and decision support. In: *Proceedings of the 2013 Workshop on*  
450 *Visual Analytics in Healthcare, Washington, DC, USA*. (Ed.^(Eds) (2013)
- 451 17. Stadler JG, Donlon K, Siewert JD, Franken T, Lewis N. Improving the Efficiency and  
452 Ease of Healthcare Analysis Through Use of Data Visualization Dashboards. *Big data*,  
453 4 (2), 129-135 (2016).
- 454 18. Ward MJ, Marsolo KA, Froehle CM. Applications of business analytics in healthcare.  
455 *Business horizons*, 57(5), 571-582 (2014).
- 456 19. Cheng CK, Ip DK, Cowling BJ, Ho LM, Leung GM, Lau EH. Digital dashboard design  
457 using multiple data streams for disease surveillance with influenza surveillance as an  
458 example. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 13(4), e85 (2011).
- 459 20. Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19  
460 in real time. *The Lancet infectious diseases*, 20(5), 533-534 (2020).

- 461 21. Probst D. Communicating Near Real-Time Data During the COVID-19 Pandemic.  
462 *CHIMIA International Journal for Chemistry*, 74(7-8), 613-614 (2020).
- 463 22. Dixit RA, Hurst S, Adams KT *et al.* Rapid development of visualization dashboards  
464 to enhance situation awareness of COVID-19 telehealth initiatives at a multihospital  
465 healthcare system. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 27(9),  
466 1456-1461 (2020).
- 467 23. Grange ES, Neil EJ, Stoffel M *et al.* Responding to COVID-19: the UW medicine  
468 information technology services experience. *Applied clinical informatics*, 11(2), 265  
469 (2020).
- 470 24. de Lusignan S, Bernal JL, Zambon M *et al.* Emergence of a novel coronavirus  
471 (COVID-19): protocol for extending surveillance used by the Royal College of General  
472 Practitioners Research and Surveillance Centre and Public Health England. *JMIR public  
473 health and surveillance*, 6(2), e18606 (2020).
- 474 25. Azadmanjir Z, Torabi M, Safdari R, Bayat M, Golmahi F. A Map for Clinical  
475 Laboratories Management Indicators in the Intelligent Dashboard. *Acta Inform Med*,  
476 23(4), 210-214 (2015).
- 477 26. Hill HM. Measuring productivity in bioanalysis. *Bioanalysis*, 4(19), 2317-2319 (2012).
- 478 27. O'Donnell E, David JS. How information systems influence user decisions: a  
479 research framework and literature review. *International Journal of Accounting  
480 Information Systems*, 1(3), 178-203 (2000).
- 481 28. Kaplan SE. An Examination Of The Effect Of Presentation Format On Auditors'  
482 Expected Value Judgments. *Accounting Horizons*, 2(3), 90 (1988).
- 483 29. Davis FD. Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of  
484 Information Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340 (1989).
- 485 30. Dilla WN, Steinbart PJ. The effects of alternative supplementary display formats on  
486 balanced scorecard judgments. *International Journal of Accounting Information  
487 Systems*, 6(3), 159-176 (2005).
- 488 31. Vance Wilson E, Zigurs I. Decisional guidance and end-user display choices.  
489 *Accounting, Management and Information Technologies*, 9(1), 49-75 (1999).
- 490 32. Vessey I. Cognitive Fit: A Theory-Based Analysis of the Graphs Versus Tables  
491 Literature\*. *Decision Sciences*, 22(2), 219-240 (1991).

- 492 33. Lengler R, Eppler MJ. Towards a periodic table of visualization methods of  
493 management. In: *Proceedings of the IASTED International Conference on Graphics and*  
494 *Visualization in Engineering*. (ACTA Press, Clearwater, Florida, 2007) 83–88.
- 495 34. Jacot D, Greub G, Jaton K, Opota O. Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 across patients and  
496 compared to other respiratory viruses. *Microbes and Infection*, 22(10), 617-621 (2020).
- 497 35. Moraz M, Jacot D, Papadimitriou-Olivgeris M *et al.* Universal admission screening  
498 strategy for COVID-19 highlighted the clinical importance of reporting SARS-CoV-2 viral  
499 loads. *New Microbes and New Infections*, 38, 100820 (2020).
- 500 36. Chang W, Cheng J, Allaire JJ, Xie Y, McPherson J. shiny: Web Application  
501 Framework for R. (2020)
- 502 37. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. (R  
503 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2019)
- 504 38. RStudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R. (RStudio,  
505 PBC., Boston, MA, 2020)
- 506 39. Chang W, Borges Ribeiro B. shinydashboard: Create Dashboards with 'Shiny'.  
507 (2018)
- 508 40. Sievert C. Interactive Web-Based Data Visualization with R, plotly, and shiny  
509 (Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2020).
- 510 41. Wickham H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis* (Springer-Verlag New  
511 York, 2016).
- 512 42. Xie Y, Cheng J, Tan X. DT: A Wrapper of the JavaScript Library 'DataTables'. (2020)
- 513 43. Attali D. shinyjs: Easily Improve the User Experience of Your Shiny Apps in Seconds.  
514 (2020)
- 515 44. Perrier V, Meyer F, Granjon D. shinyWidgets: Custom Inputs Widgets for Shiny.  
516 (2020)
- 517 45. Wickham H, Bryan J. readxl: Read Excel Files. (2019)
- 518 46. Wickham H. The Split-Apply-Combine Strategy for Data Analysis. *Journal of*  
519 *Statistical Software*, 40(1), 1-29 (2011).
- 520 47. Wickham H, Francois R, Henry L, Müller K. dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation.  
521 (2020)
- 522 48. Grolemund G, Wickham H. Dates and Times Made Easy with lubridate. *Journal of*  
523 *Statistical Software*, 40(3), 1-25 (2011).
- 524 49. Wickham H, Henry L. tidyr: Tidy Messy Data. (2020)

- 525 50. Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J *et al.* Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open*  
526 *Source Software*, 4(43), 1686 (2019).
- 527 51. Wickham H. stringr: Simple, Consistent Wrappers for Common String Operations.  
528 (2019)
- 529 52. Revelle W. psych: Procedures for Psychological, Psychometric, and Personality  
530 Research. (Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, 2019)
- 531 53. Wickham H. forcats: Tools for Working with Categorical Variables (Factors). (2020)
- 532 54. Kerzner H. Dashboards. In: Project management metrics, KPIs, and dashboards: a  
533 guide to measuring and monitoring project performance. (John Wiley & Sons, 2017)  
534 323-329.
- 535 55. Abela A. Advanced presentations by design: Creating communication that drives  
536 action (John Wiley & Sons, 2008).
- 537 56. Holtz Y. From data to Viz | Find the graphic you need. (<https://www.data-to-viz.com/>,  
538 2018)
- 539 57. Wikipedia Contributors. Agile software development. (Wikipedia, The Free  
540 Encyclopedia., 2020)
- 541 58. Ancochea J, Izquierdo JL, Soriano JB. Evidence of gender bias in the diagnosis and  
542 management of COVID-19 patients: A Big Data analysis of Electronic Health Records.  
543 *medRxiv*, 2020.2007.2020.20157735 (2020).
- 544 59. Mueller L, Scherz V, Greub G, Jatou K, Opota O. Computer-aided medical  
545 microbiology monitoring tool: A strategy to adapt to the sars-cov-2 epidemic and that  
546 highlights rt-pcr consistency. *medRxiv* 2020: 2020.07.27.20162123.
- 547 60. Caruana G, Croxatto A, Coste AT *et al.* Diagnostic strategies for SARS-CoV-2  
548 infection and interpretation of microbiological results. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*,  
549 26(9), 1178-1182 (2020).
- 550
- 551

552 **Tables**

553

554 **Table 1. available variables for custom charting in the Comparison page**

555

Variable name	Description
Genre	Patient gender
Age	Patient age
categorie_age	Patient age category
Resultat	Test result
Appareil	Device used for testing
hospitalize	Hospitalization status (Y/N)
reprise_confirmation	Analysis status: confirmation performed? (Y/N)
type_prelevement_categorie	Sample type category
demandeur_niveau_2	Requester (high level)
demandeur_canton	Requester (region)
date_reception	Date of reception
mois_reception	Month of reception
semaine_reception	Week of reception
date_envoi_resultats	Date of result sending


556

557

558 **Figures**

559


FILTER PAGE

INPUTS	OUTPUTS			
<p><i>Filters</i></p> <p>Date range</p>  <p>Gender</p> <p>Age range</p> <p>Test result</p> <p>Hospitalization status</p> <p>Testing instrument</p> <p>Sample type</p> <p>Requester (unique)</p> <p>Requester (grouped)</p>	SARS-CoV-2	Influenza A	Influenza B	RSV
	<p><b>General and Gender-related KPIs:</b> number and proportion of tests, positivity rate, mean/median test duration, average number of tests per patient, percentage of patient having to take two or more tests, proportion of tests marked as "NOT VALID", sex ratio, average age (F vs. M), positivity rate (F vs. M), hospitalization rate (F vs. M)</p> <p><b>Number of tests and positivity rate:</b> number of tests, proportions of daily/weekly positive specimen, number of tests per top categories of requesters</p> <p><b>Durations of the tests:</b> hours of reception of the tests, of the sendings of the results, test durations</p> <p><b>Viral load:</b> daily median Cycle Threshold and viral load, summary statistics</p> <p><b>Not valid:</b> table of not valid cases</p>			

COMPARISON PAGE

INPUTS	OUTPUTS				
<p><i>Comparison variables (cf. Table 1)</i></p> <p>X-axis</p> <p>Fill colors</p> <p><i>Filters</i></p> <p>Date range</p> <p>Gender</p> <p>Age range</p> <p>Test result</p> <p>Hospitalization status</p> <p>Testing instrument</p> <p>Sample type</p> <p>Requester (unique)</p> <p>Requester (grouped)</p>	All viruses	SARS-CoV-2	Influenza A	Influenza B	RSV
	<p><b>Number of tests</b></p> <p><b>Proportions of tests</b></p> <p><b>Durations of the tests</b></p> <p><b>Cycle threshold</b></p> <p><b>Viral load</b></p> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;"><b>182 different possibilities (14*13)</b></p>				


DATA PAGE

INPUTS	OUTPUTS			
<p><i>Filters</i></p> <p>Date range</p>  <p>Gender</p> <p>Age range</p> <p>Test result</p> <p>Hospitalization status</p> <p>Testing instrument</p> <p>Sample type</p> <p>Requester (unique)</p> <p>Requester (grouped)</p>	SARS-CoV-2	Influenza A	Influenza B	RSV
	<p><b>Variables to look at:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Patient and sample ID</li> <li>- Patient gender and date of birth</li> <li>- Requester: ID, region, category</li> <li>- Date and time: sampling, reception, result sending</li> <li>- Durations: reception, testing, total</li> <li>- Sample type</li> <li>- Testing instrument</li> <li>- Confirmation analysis</li> <li>- Test result</li> <li>- Ct and Viral load</li> <li>- Gene target</li> </ul>			




561 **Figure 1: Dashboard Structure.** The dashboard is organized in three main parts: *Filter* page,  
562 *Comparison* page and *Data* page. On the filtering page, key indicators are available such as the  
563 number of samples, time to results, positivity rate, percent of invalid tests, etc. These indicators  
564 are provided by default for the whole dataset, but are also available for subgroups, according to  
565 the filtering criteria applied to the whole dataset. Thus, it is possible in a click to observe  
566 specifically the tests done during a given period or done on a dedicated instrument. It is also  
567 possible to select only the analysis performed for a given requester. The *Comparison* page offers  
568 more than 182 combinations of the KPI. The *Data* page, gives access to the raw data in a table  
569 format that can be downloaded; filters can be applied to choose a subset of data of interest.

570


571



572  
 573 **Figure 2. Screen shots of the upper panel of the Filter page. A.** Number of tests and test  
 574 results per date of results sending. **B.** Weekly number of tests and positivity rate. **C.** Panel A  
 575 zoomed on the label shown when hovering. **D.** Panel B zoomed on the label shown when  
 576 hovering.  
 577




578  
 579  
 580  
 581 **Figure 3. Screen shots of the middle panel of Filter page showing A.** The number of tests  
 582 and test results, per age group, **B.** The number of tests per hour of reception and **C.** The number  
 583 of tests per hour of results sending.  
 584



585  
586  
587  
588 **Figure 4. Screen shot of the Comparison page that allows 182 different combinations;**  
589 **focus on tests duration. A.** Average test duration, per week of reception and test result. **B.**  
590 Average test duration, per device and test result.

591  
592  
593




594

595 **Figure 5. Screenshots of the lower panel of the Filter page. Cycle threshold time series plot, per date of**  
596 **reception.**

597


**A**

Weekly Cycle Threshold – per week of reception and hospitalization status



**B**

Weekly Viral Load – per week of reception and hospitalization status



598

599 **Figure 6. Screen shot of the Comparison page that allows 182 different combinations,**

600 **focus on cycle thresholds (Ct). A. Cycle Threshold boxplots per hospitalisation status, per**

601 **week of reception. B. Comparison page - Viral load boxplots per hospitalisation status, per week**

602 **of reception**

603

604


605 **Supplementary material**

606


607

608

**A** General and Gender-related KPIs



**B** General and Gender-related KPIs filtered for GeneXpert





609

610

611 **Suppl. Figure 1. Screen shots of the Filter page. A.** Number of tests and test results per date of reception.

612 **B.** Positivity rate per date of reception.

613



614  
615  
616 **Suppl. Figure 2. Screen shots of the Filter page. A.** Number of tests and test results per date  
617 of reception. **B.** Positivity rate per date of reception.

618  
619

### A Median viral load (cp/mL)



### B Descriptive Statistics table

Copier Télécharger Show 13 entries Search:

Quantification	n	Minimum	25% quantile	Médiane	75% quantile	Maximum	Moyenne	Ecart-type
AS	23	1100	2050	4900	21000	220000	28786.957	57166.426
OTHERS	6	3200	2550000	4950000	9975000	28000000	8550533.333	10282998.478
BAL	19	1200	5100	13000	3100000	19000000	3222668.421	6046376.701
EXPECT.	170	1100	7050	35500	450000	1600000000	18017206.471	127899929.531
NPS	18781	1000	390000	14000000	120000000	14000000000	191415549.409	651463212.152
BLOOD	7	1100	4300	7100	52100	110000	33000	48368.413
SPUTUM	27	1100	2800	9100	2750000	290000000	14759170.37	55785578.596
STOOLS	3	1200	1700	2200	316100	630000	211133.333	362749.519
TS	629	1100	12000	74000	560000	190000000	6839260.731	78967894.684
NA	43	1200	125500	3000000	11300000	52000000	9562262.791	14236507.384


Showing 1 to 10 of 10 entries Previous 1 Next

620


621 **Suppl. Figure 3: Screen shots of the Filter page. A.** Viral load time series plot per sampling type, per  
 622 date of reception and **B.** descriptive statistics table. AS: anal swab, BAL: bronchoalveolar lavage,  
 623 EXPECT: expectoration; NPS, nasopharyngeal swab; TS: throat swab, NA: non applicable.

624

**A** Number of tests – week of reception and gender



**B** Proportions per category – week of reception and gender



625

626 **Suppl. Figure 4: Screen shots of the Comparison page that allows 182 different**  
627 **combinations, focus on gender KPI. A. Number of tests per gender, per week of reception**  
628 **and B. proportion of each gender, per week of reception**

629