

# 1 COVID-19 Implications of the Physical Interaction of Artificial Fog on 2 Respiratory Aerosols

3  
4 **Matthew Loss<sup>1</sup>, Mark Katchen<sup>2</sup>, Ilan Arvelo<sup>3</sup>, Phil Arnold<sup>3</sup>, Mona Shum<sup>1</sup>**

5  
6 <sup>1</sup>Aura Health and Safety Corporation, 3981 Kingsway, Unit B, Burnaby, BC V5H 1Y7, Canada

7 <sup>2</sup>The Phylmar Group Inc, 10513 Santa Monica Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90025, USA

8 <sup>3</sup>SafeTraces Inc., 4473 Willow Rd, Suite 260, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

## 9 10 **Abstract**

11  
12 **Introduction** Artificial fog is used in the film, television, and live entertainment industries to  
13 enhance lighting, as a visual effect, and to create a specific sense of mood or atmosphere. This  
14 study investigated whether the suspension time of respiratory aerosols spiked with tagged DNA  
15 tracers would change in the presence of glycerin- or glycol-containing artificial fogs.

16  
17 **Methods & Materials** Respiratory aerosols with tagged DNA tracers were sprayed into a closed  
18 environment without and with glycerin- or glycol-containing artificial fog, with air samples taken at  
19 regular intervals to determine the decay of tagged DNA tracer over time. The study treatments  
20 included Control (no fog), Glycerin Low (3 mg/m<sup>3</sup>), Glycerin High (~15 mg/m<sup>3</sup>), Glycol Low (~5  
21 mg/m<sup>3</sup>), and Glycol High (~40 mg/m<sup>3</sup>).

22  
23 **Results** All artificial fog treatments had lower mean log reduction curves compared to the Control  
24 treatment. Compared to the Control and Glycerin Low treatments, the differences in mean log  
25 reduction for nearly all other artificial fog treatments were statistically significant (p<0.001); the  
26 difference between Control and Glycerin Low treatments was not statistically significant  
27 (p=0.087). The differences in mean log reduction between treatments using the same artificial fog  
28 type were not statistically significant.

29  
30 **Conclusion** Artificial fog use does not increase suspension time of respiratory aerosols, and  
31 therefore does not appear to increase the risk of airborne transmission of diseases from  
32 respiratory aerosols, such as COVID-19. Of the two types of artificial fogs investigated, that  
33 containing glycol decreased suspension time more than that containing glycerin. In practice, the  
34 additional reduction in suspension time provided by the physical interaction of respiratory aerosols  
35 with artificial fog does not suggest any practical benefit for using artificial fog as a control measure.

36  
37 **Keywords:** Aerosols, Artificial Fog, Atmospheric Fog, COVID-19, Entertainment, Exposure, Film,  
38 Physical Interaction, Respirable, Respiratory Aerosols, SARS-CoV-2, Suspension, Television,  
39 Theatrical Fog, Transmission

## 40 **1 Introduction**

41  
42 Artificial fog is used most often for creating special effects in the film, television, and live  
43 entertainment industries to make lighting or lighting effects visible, and to create a specific sense  
44 of mood or atmosphere. Devices, referred to as fog machines, work by either condensing vapor  
45 generated by heating liquid fogging fluid, or by mechanically generating aerosols directly from  
46 liquids. The fog consists of small liquid aerosols suspended in air. The aerosols include the same  
47 ingredients as the fluids used in the machines, which are water-based, but often combined with a  
48 percentage of glycerin, glycols, or highly-refined mineral oils. The fog is not real smoke, soot, or  
49 char. It is not generated by thermal decomposition or burning of fluid ingredients, although a small  
50 amount of thermal decomposition byproducts may be produced during the process of heating the  
51 fluid prior to condensation.

52  
53 With the onset of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic,  
54 now termed as Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), there has been a concern expressed  
55 within these entertainment industries regarding the interaction of artificial fog and respiratory  
56 aerosols, which may contain and transmit COVID-19. The aerosol transmission of COVID-19, in  
57 the absence of artificial fog, in well-ventilated indoor spaces is not an efficient route of  
58 transmission for the virus based on modeling of the COVID-19 aerosol (Smith et al., 2020), as  
59 COVID-19 microdroplets, owing to their small size, contain less virus than the larger droplets,  
60 known as respiratory aerosols. Respiratory aerosols, generated by coughing, sneezing, or  
61 speaking, tend to fall to the ground within approximately three feet (one meter) of the generating  
62 source. The question arises whether the physical interaction of artificial fog on respiratory  
63 aerosols could increase suspension of these larger aerosols containing more virus and thus  
64 increase the likelihood of COVID-19 transmission and subsequent infection. Specifically, this  
65 current study investigated whether the suspension time of respiratory aerosols spiked with tagged  
66 DNA tracers would change in the presence of glycerin- or glycol-containing artificial fogs.

## 67 68 **2 Methods and Materials**

### 69 70 **2.1 DNA Tracers**

71  
72 The tagged DNA tracers, supplied by SafeTraces Inc., were housed in and sprayed by Flairosol  
73 spray bottles. The DNA tracer solutions were approximately 1% solids to mimic saliva. The tagged  
74 DNA tracers used by SafeTraces are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) by qualified experts  
75 when aerosolized in this type of application, and when aerosolized, they are well below the U.S.  
76 Occupational Safety and Health Administration's exposure limit for particulates not otherwise  
77 regulated. The Flairosol spray bottle produced a median particle size (D50) of 87.27 micrometers  
78 ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) (+/- 1.62  $\mu\text{m}$ ) with a distribution ranging from 43.25  $\mu\text{m}$  on the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile to 191.36  $\mu\text{m}$   
79 on the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile; the volume mean diameter (if all particles were the same sized spheres)  
80 was on average 103.87  $\mu\text{m}$  (+/- 1.92  $\mu\text{m}$ ). Therefore, the spray bottle reproduced respirable  
81 aerosols and droplets that are similar in size and distribution compared to those generated by  
82 sneezing, coughing, and talking (Xie et al., 2007; Xie et al., 2009). Due to these factors, and the

83 low detection limit achievable, SafeTraces Inc. and their tagged DNA tracers were deemed safe  
84 and adequate in simulating respiratory aerosols.

85

## 86 2.2 Study Design Summary

87

88 Respiratory aerosols with tagged DNA tracers were sprayed into a closed environment with and  
89 without artificial fog, where air samples of aerosols were taken at regular intervals to determine  
90 the decay of tagged DNA tracer over time. A small office boardroom measuring 545 cubic feet  
91 (8'11" long by 8' 4" wide by 7' 5" high), occupied with one table and two chairs, was sealed along  
92 the walls, door, window, supply air diffuser, and ceiling with one millimeter-thick poly sheeting  
93 (HDX, Canada). This poly sheeting created a closed environment where airflow in or out of the  
94 room was minimized, thereby limiting tagged DNA tracer decay due to natural settling processes  
95 only. Five treatments were completed: one control treatment, two glycerin-containing artificial fog  
96 treatments, and two glycol-containing artificial fog treatments. The two glycerin-containing  
97 artificial fog treatments aimed to maintain airborne glycerin concentrations at approximately 1.5  
98 milligrams per cubic meter ( $\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ ) or  $15 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$ ; the two glycol-containing artificial fog treatments  
99 aimed to maintain airborne glycol concentrations at approximately  $5 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$  or  $40 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$ . These  
100 glycerin and glycol concentrations aligned with regulatory or guideline limits commonly used for  
101 workplaces in North America (i.e., for 12-hour time-weighted average and ceiling limits,  
102 respectively). For each treatment, six trials were completed; each trial consisted of spraying a  
103 unique tagged DNA tracer into the room and collecting one five-minute sample every five minutes  
104 from the time of spray until thirty-minutes had elapsed, for a total of six samples collected per trial  
105 and 36 samples per treatment.


106

## 107 2.3 Air Sampling

108

109 Two Pilot Studies were conducted to refine the method to ensure proper set-up of equipment and  
110 capture of the decay of tagged DNA tracer (Figure 1). Each sample consisted of a Grade A-E 25-  
111 millimeter (mm) glass fiber filter (Sterlitech Corporation, USA) housed in a 50 mm long, three-  
112 piece conductive black polypropylene cassette housing cowl with a backing pad (Zefon  
113 International, USA) attached to a Leland Legacy Pump via Tygon® tubing (Saint-Gobain  
114 Performance Plastics Corp., USA). The Leland Legacy Pump was pre-calibrated to draw air at  
115 approximately 8 liters per minute using a Defender 510 (Mesa Labs, USA) with the first sample.  
116 The cowl was angled downward at approximately 45 degrees and suspended approximately five  
117 feet above the ground in the middle of the room by an aluminum tripod (Environmental Monitoring  
118 Systems, USA). The cassette angle helped minimize collection of aerosols through deposition  
119 and mimicked the human nose more accurately when used in conjunction with the cowl. Once  
120 the first sample was ready, the tagged DNA tracer fluid was sprayed five times from a Flairosol  
121 spray bottle, distributing the aerosols into each corner and center of the room; the different  
122 directions of each spray assisted in homogenizing the aerosol in the room quickly. Immediately  
123 after spraying, the Leland Legacy Pump was turned on to begin the first sample; the first sample  
124 started after the sprays owing to Researcher limitations. Once this first sample started, a table  
125 fan with a blade diameter of twelve inches (GD Midea Environment Appliance Mfg. Co., Ltd,  
126 China) located in the Southeast corner was turned on to its lowest speed (660 feet per minute

127 (ft/min) at the face, 275 ft/min at a distance five feet away) and oscillated over a 90 degree range  
128 from the Southwest to Northeast corners; operation of the fan began after the sprays to ensure it  
129 did not disrupt the initial natural dispersion of aerosols but helped homogenize the aerosols in the  
130 room afterwards. After a sample duration of five minutes, the Leland Legacy Pump was paused,  
131 sampled cassette was removed, a new cassette was attached to the Tygon® tubing, and then the  
132 Leland Legacy Pump was restarted; it took approximately ten seconds to complete sample  
133 swapping. The same Leland Legacy Pump was used to ensure the flow rates and pump  
134 parameters were consistent between each sample. This process was repeated for each  
135 subsequent sampling time (interval): 5 to 10 minutes, 10 to 15 minutes, 15 to 20 minutes, 20 to  
136 25 minutes, and 25 to 30 minutes. After all six samples were completed for a given trial, the last  
137 sample was used to post-calibrate the Leland Legacy Pump.



138  
139 **Figure 1. Study design physical layout for performing air sampling.** The arrows indicate the  
140 direction of air movement away from the fan and fog machine, and the direction of air movement  
141 when collecting air samples.

#### 142 143 2.4 Artificial Fog

144  
145 Water-Vapor Haze™ (CITC, USA) was used in a Haze Max machine (CITC, USA) to generate  
146 the glycerin-containing artificial fog treatments. SmartFog™ Fogging Fluid: 3 Minute Low-Ground  
147 Fog (CITC, USA) was used in a Fog Max machine (CITC, USA) to generate the glycol-containing  
148 artificial fog treatments. The fog machines were turned on and dispensed fog until the desired  
149 airborne glycerin or glycol concentration was reached. A personal DataRAM™ pDR-1000AN  
150 Monitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA) placed next to the samples was adjusted using a  
151 calibration factor of 1.87 (Environ International Corporation, 2014) to measure glycerin aerosols

152 and 0.66 (Environ International Corporation, 2002) to measure glycol aerosols; calibration factors  
153 adjusted the instrument's sensors to specifically measure glycerin or glycol aerosols. This  
154 instrument was moved around the room periodically to ensure homogeneous glycerin and glycol  
155 concentrations. Before each treatment, the instrument was zero calibrated and programmed to  
156 record every ten second average concentration throughout the treatment. The pDR-1000AN  
157 Monitor has an aerodynamic particle cut point range at 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and a concentration measurement  
158 range from 0.001 to 400  $\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ . The Researcher inside the room encouraged dispersion of the  
159 artificial fog by manually fanning the air with a clipboard. When fanning, care was taken to not fan  
160 air upwards towards the sample being collected. Once the desired concentration was reached,  
161 the tagged DNA tracer and sample collection process started. Periodically throughout the  
162 sampling period, the fog machine dispensed artificial fog in 0.5 to 1.5 second bursts, followed by  
163 dispersion via fanning, to maintain a consistent glycerin or glycol concentration in the air. The  
164 same process and actions were repeated with the Control treatment, except distilled water was  
165 used in the fog machine instead of a glycerin- or glycol-containing artificial fog.

166

## 167 2.5 Temperature and Relative Humidity

168

169 Temperature in Celsius ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and relative humidity in percentage (%) were measured continuously  
170 during every sample using a Q-Trak Model 7565 with Probe 982 (TSI, USA), with every ten  
171 second average reading recorded. The instrument probe was located next to the samples in the  
172 middle of the room.

173

## 174 2.6 Sampling Shipment

175

176 All trials for a treatment were completed in the same day. A unique tagged DNA tracer was used  
177 for each trial to eliminate possible cross-contamination between trials. At the end of each  
178 treatment, each sampled filter was removed from its cowl using clean plastic tweezers and placed  
179 into a 2 milliliter (mL) DNA LoBind Tube (Eppendorf AG, Germany), then placed into a 2-millimeter  
180 thick plastic bag. All samples were shipped to SafeTraces Inc. (Pleasanton, California, USA) for  
181 laboratory analysis. Bulk liquid samples of each tagged DNA tracer used were collected by  
182 pouring 2 mL of the fluid into a 2 mL DNA LoBind Tube and placing into a 2-millimeter thick plastic  
183 bag. The floor, walls, ceiling, table, and chairs of the closed environment and plastic tweezers  
184 were cleaned with a 10% bleach solution at the end of each treatment.

185

## 186 2.7 Quality Control

187

188 An OmniAire 1200PAC Portable Air Cleaner (Omnitech Design, USA) was operated overnight for  
189 approximately sixteen hours at medium speed to filter the air between treatments to minimize  
190 cross-contamination between different treatments, because the same set of tagged DNA tracers  
191 were used for each treatment. Approximately three field blanks per treatment were collected for  
192 quality assurance and quality control purposes to evaluate sample handling and potential routes  
193 of contamination. Each field blank was treated the same as samples, except no air was drawn  
194 through them.

195





241 DA = number of DNA copies aerosolized

242 DD = number of DNA copies detected

243

$$244 \quad \text{DNA copies per million sprayed} = 10^{(6 - \text{Log}_{10}\text{reduction})} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

245

## 246 2.10 Mean Log Reductions

247

248 For each treatment, the mean log reduction, standard deviation, sample size, and 95% confidence  
249 interval were calculated for each sampling time. This analysis was repeated for the number of  
250 DNA copies per million sprayed. For each treatment, the mean log reduction and 95% confidence  
251 interval were plotted against the sampling time, with the x-axis for sampling time and y-axis on a  
252 log scale for log reduction and number of DNA copies per million sprayed, yielding a mean log  
253 reduction curve for each treatment.

254

## 255 2.11 Temperature and Relative Humidity Analysis

256

257 The mean temperature, relative humidity, and artificial fog concentration were calculated for each  
258 sample, sampling time, trial, and treatment. The mean differences in these variables were  
259 calculated and compared between all treatments, between all sampling times, and between trials  
260 within each treatment.

261

## 262 2.12 Statistical Analysis

263

264 All data were organized using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, 2018); statistical analyses  
265 and figures for log reductions were conducted and produced in R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team,  
266 2021) using packages contained in Tidyverse (Wickham et al., 2019).

267

268 The assumption of normality for the treatments was qualitatively assessed, because the sample  
269 size was too small for formal statistical tests. The assumption of homogeneity of variance was  
270 tested using a Bartlett Test of Homogeneity of Variance (Bartlett, 1937), applied to the combined  
271 levels of the variables "Treatment - Interval". A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Chambers  
272 & Hastie, 1992) was performed with the levels of the variables "Treatment" and "Interval" to  
273 determine if there was any significant interaction between the two variables. An ANOVA and  
274 Tukey Honest Significant Differences test (Miller, 1981; Yandell, 1997) was performed for  
275 "Treatment" and "Interval" to determine if mean differences in overall log reductions were  
276 statistically significant. For all statistical analyses, a significance level of 5% was used to reject  
277 the null hypothesis ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

278

## 279 3 Results

280

### 281 3.1 Summary

282

283 Sampling was completed between November 2020 and January 2021 in Burnaby, British  
284 Columbia, Canada. All treatments were completed by the same Researcher, in the same office

285 space, under similar environmental conditions. The maximum mean difference in temperature  
286 and relative humidity between treatments was 3.6°C and 12.4%. Two trials were excluded from  
287 the analysis: one was a calibration trial to refine the methodology (Glycerin Low, Trial 1) and the  
288 other was analyzed for the incorrectly tagged DNA tracer (Glycol Low, Trial 3). Nearly all  
289 treatments with artificial fog maintained glycerin or glycol concentrations near the desired  
290 concentration. One exception is the Glycerin Low treatment, where the glycerin concentration was  
291 higher (Table 1).

292  
293

**Table 1. Summary of Sampling Completed**

Treatment	Condition	Trials	Samples
Control	No artificial Fog	6	36
Glycerin Low	Glycerin Concentration 3.0mg/m <sup>3</sup>	5*	30
Glycerin High	Glycerin Concentration 15.6 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	6	36
Glycol Low	Glycol Concentration 5.2 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	5**	30
Glycol High	Glycol Concentration 38.8 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	6	36
Total		28	168

294 *Notes.* mg/m<sup>3</sup> = milligrams per cubic meter, \* Trial #1 was a calibration trial to refine the methodology and was removed  
295 as an outlier, \*\* Trial #3 was analyzed for the incorrect tagged DNA tracer and was removed

296  
297  
298

### 3.2 Suspension Time


299 All artificial fog treatments had lower mean log reduction curves compared to the Control  
300 treatment, indicating the tagged DNA tracers in air decayed at a faster rate, and their suspension  
301 time in air was shorter (Figure 2). The Glycol High mean log reduction curve was the lowest, with  
302 the shortest suspension time of tagged DNA tracers in air. The glycol-containing fog treatments  
303 had lower mean log reduction curves compared to the glycerin-containing fog treatments. The  
304 Glycerin High mean log reduction curve was lower than the Glycerin Low mean log reduction  
305 curve.

306  
307  
308  
309  
310

The overall mean log reduction, from the time of spray until 30 minutes had elapsed, ranged from  
6.4 logs for the Control treatment to 7.5 logs for the Glycol High treatment. Within the first and  
last measured sampling times (intervals), the total log reduction measured for the Control  
treatment was 2.6 logs. The artificial fog treatments resulted in reductions ranging from 2.8 to 3.4



311 logs, with Glycol High yielding the largest overall log reduction. In general, with each successive  
312 sampling interval, the magnitude of reduction decreased for all treatments. The largest mean log  
313 reductions for all treatments occurred during the first three sampling times, which were the first  
314 15 minutes after spraying. Between 15 to 30 minutes, the total mean log reduction was 1.1 logs  
315 or less for all treatments.



316 **Figure 2. Mean log reduction of tagged DNA tracers in air over time with and without artificial fog.** For each treatment, the mean  
 317 log reduction (mean  $\pm$  95% CI) was calculated and plotted at each sampling time (interval). The solid point represents the mean  
 318 and the bar and whiskers represent the 95% confidence interval around the mean. Within a treatment panel, the colored line represents  
 319 that treatment's mean log reduction curve while the grey curves represent all other treatment mean log reduction curves.

320 Given the sample size, no formal statistical test was applied to test the assumption of normality  
 321 for the log reductions. Based on a qualitative assessment of the individual data points, the data  
 322 follows a central trend; therefore, this assumption is moderately accurate. The test for  
 323 homogeneity of variance applied to the combined levels of the variables “Treatment-Interval”  
 324 yielded no statistically significant differences ( $p = 0.11$ , K-squared = 38.37). The two-way ANOVA  
 325 determined the interaction between the variables “Treatment” and “Interval” was not statistically  
 326 significant ( $p = 0.633$ ), indicating that there is no interaction between the variables, and their  
 327 effects on mean log reduction are independent of each other. When analyzed independently, the  
 328 effect of “Treatment” was statistically significant ( $p < 0.001$ ), and the effect of “Interval” was also  
 329 statistically significant ( $p < 0.001$ ).

330  
 331 Compared to the Control and Glycerin Low treatments, the differences in mean log reduction for  
 332 nearly all other artificial fog treatments were statistically significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 2). The  
 333 difference in mean log reduction between Control and Glycerin Low treatments was not  
 334 statistically significant ( $p = 0.087$ ). The differences in mean log reduction between treatments  
 335 using the same artificial fog type were not statistically significant.

336  
 337 **Table 2. Tukey Honest Significant Difference Comparing Treatment Mean Log Reductions**

Treatment Comparison	Mean Difference in Log Reduction	95% CI of the Mean Difference		p-value
		Low	High	
Glycerin Low vs Control	0.23	-0.02	0.49	0.087
Glycerin High vs Control*	0.45	0.21	0.69	<0.001
Glycol Low vs Control*	0.65	0.39	0.90	<0.001
Glycol High vs Control*	0.78	0.53	1.02	<0.001
Glycerin High vs Glycerin Low	0.22	-0.04	0.47	0.129
Glycol Low vs Glycerin Low*	0.41	0.15	0.68	<0.001
Glycol High vs Glycerin Low*	0.54	0.29	0.80	<0.001
Glycol Low vs Glycerin High	0.20	-0.06	0.45	0.209
Glycol High vs Glycerin High*	0.33	0.08	0.57	0.003

Treatment Comparison	Mean Difference in Log Reduction	95% CI of the Mean Difference		p-value
		Low	High	
Glycol High vs Glycol Low	0.13	-0.12	0.38	0.617

338 *Notes.* \* statistically significant, CI = confidence interval

339  
340 The differences in mean log reduction between nearly each sampling time were statistically  
341 significant ( $p < 0.05$ ); the exception is between sampling times “20 to 25” and “25 to 30”, where the  
342 difference in mean log reduction was not statistically significant ( $p = 0.18$ ).

#### 343 344 **4 Discussion**

345  
346 It was shown that artificial fog appears to decrease suspension time to varying degrees depending  
347 on the chemical composition and airborne concentration. The largest decrease in suspension time  
348 was observed after the first five minutes, where all treatments had at least a four-log reduction.  
349 The log reduction observed in the artificial fog treatments was, in general, statistically significant  
350 compared to the Control treatment. The magnitude of reduction past four logs became  
351 exponentially smaller with each additional log reduction. A change from four to five logs is  
352 equivalent to a reduction of an additional 0.009%, and a change from five to six logs is equivalent  
353 to a reduction of an additional 0.0009%. Although artificial fog treatments were observed to have  
354 lower mean reduction curves, the amount of additional reduction yielded from the physical  
355 interaction of artificial fogs does not appear to be practically significant as a control measure for  
356 reducing airborne aerosols.

357  
358 The natural decay of artificial fog in air was semi-quantitatively assessed by bringing up fog levels  
359 to both high and low levels and observing the decay with the *pDR-1000AN* Monitor. To decay  
360 90% from a starting concentration that matched the “High” treatment, it took the glycerin-  
361 containing artificial fog approximately one hour and seventeen minutes and the glycol-containing  
362 artificial fog approximately ten minutes. The presence of the tagged DNA tracer in air did not  
363 appear to drastically alter this decay duration. The intended use of these two artificial fogs were  
364 different, where the glycerin-containing artificial fog was designed to stay suspended in air to  
365 create a haze effect, while the glycol-containing artificial fog was designed to be a low-lying fog.  
366 The different purposes of each artificial fog may have contributed to their effect on respiratory  
367 aerosol suspension time. If the artificial fog physically interacts with respiratory aerosols, artificial  
368 fogs that are designed to fall more quickly out of air, or be low-lying, may physically remove  
369 respiratory aerosols and shorten suspension time compared to artificial fogs designed to remain  
370 in air longer. The opposite does not appear to be true, as the artificial fogs designed to stay in air  
371 did not increase respiratory aerosol suspension time in air compared to no artificial fog.

372  
373 The relative humidity during the Glycol High treatment had a mean difference of -12.4% compared  
374 to the Control treatment, noticeably lower than the other artificial fog treatments. Lower relative

375 humidity promotes increased desiccation of aerosols in air. One previous study identified that with  
376 decreasing relative humidity, the total mass of aerosols with a mean aerodynamic diameter of 2.5  
377 micrometer in air increases, meaning the suspension time increases (Zhaou et al., 2020). Given  
378 the mean differences in temperature and relative humidity between the Glycol High treatment  
379 (22.0°C and 64.1%) and Control treatment (21.7°C and 76.6%), the aerosol suspension time  
380 during the Glycerin High treatment is estimated to increase by approximately less than 1% based  
381 on the work performed by Zhaou et al. (2020). Additionally, Chen and Zhao (2010) determined  
382 that the influence of temperature and relative humidity on the dispersion of droplets with an initial  
383 diameter range of 0.1 to 200 µm was negligible. It is possible the differences in temperature and  
384 relative humidity may have affected the Glycol High treatment mean log reduction curve, but the  
385 impact is not expected to meaningfully alter its relationship with the Control treatment mean log  
386 reduction curve. The same is true for the other artificial fog treatments.

387  
388 The limitations of this study are noteworthy. The small sample size for each treatment was limited,  
389 which impacted the resolution of mean log reduction curves and reduced the power to detect  
390 statistically significant differences in mean log reductions. Despite this limitation, there was  
391 consistency within each treatment and sampling interval, with all mean standard deviations being  
392 less than 0.50. The samplers used were not size selective, thereby may have captured all aerosol  
393 size fractions and potentially captured larger aerosols outside the respiratory size range. This  
394 limitation was partially controlled for by adjusting the calculated number of DNA copies to align  
395 the Flairosol spray bottle aerosol distribution with the distribution of aerosols generated by  
396 sneezing, talking, and coughing and which partially or totally evaporated. This study did not  
397 investigate how artificial fog may affect the propagation distance of respirable aerosols, nor the  
398 disinfection properties of glycerin or glycol on tagged DNA tracers. Only one type of each glycerin-  
399 containing and glycol-containing artificial fog fluid was used for this study. There are a large range  
400 of manufacturers and fluid types available, each with slightly different liquid compositions and  
401 percentages of glycerin or glycol. The impact of different liquid compositions and percentages of  
402 glycerin or glycol were outside the scope of this study.

## 403 404 **5 Conclusion**

405  
406 This study supports that artificial fog does not increase the suspension time of respiratory aerosols  
407 in air, but rather has no effect or decreases the suspension time. Of the two types of artificial fogs  
408 investigated, artificial fog containing glycol decreased suspension time more than that containing  
409 glycerin. Regardless of the type of artificial fog used, suspension time decreased more with  
410 increasing artificial fog concentration, albeit not statistically significantly. In practice, the additional  
411 reduction in suspension time provided by the physical interaction of respiratory aerosols with  
412 artificial fog does not suggest any practical benefit for using artificial fog as a control measure.  
413 The principal outcome supported by this study was that artificial fog use does not increase  
414 suspension time of respiratory aerosols, and therefore does not appear to increase the risk of  
415 airborne transmission of diseases from respiratory aerosols, such as COVID-19.

416 **Acknowledgements**

417  
418 Thank you to the International Alliance for Theatrical Stage Employees (IATSE), Local 891,  
419 Directors Guild of Canada – BC (DGC-BC), and the Alliance of Canadian Cinema, Television and  
420 Radio Artists’ Union of British Columbia Performs (UBCP/ACTRA) for their financial support of  
421 this study. Thank you to SafeTraces Inc. for the tagged DNA tracers, sampling equipment, and  
422 laboratory analysis support. Thank you to CITC and Omnitech Design for supplying the artificial  
423 fog fluids, fog machines, and OmniAire 1200PAC Portable Air Cleaner.



424 **References**

425

426 Bartlett, M. S. (1937). Properties of sufficiency and statistical tests. Proceedings of the Royal  
427 Society of London. *Series A-Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 160(901), 268-282.

428  
429 Chambers, J. M., & Hastie, T. J. (1992). Statistical models in S. *Pacific Grove, CA: Wadsworth*  
430 & Brooks.

431  
432 Chen, C., & Zhao, B. (2010). Some questions on dispersion of human exhaled droplets in  
433 ventilation room: answers from numerical investigation. *Indoor Air*, 20(2), 95-111.

434  
435 ENVIRON International Corporation. (2002). Calibration Factors for Monitoring Theatrical  
436 Smoke and Haze. *Prepared for Entertainment Services and Technology Association*.

437  
438 ENVIRON International Corporation. (2014). Calibration Factors and Time-And-Distance  
439 Guidelines for Use of Theatrical Fog Equipment: Aquamax with Organic Haze Fluid and  
440 HazeMax with Water Vapour Haze Fluid. *Prepared for CITC*.

441  
442 Microsoft Corporation. (2018). Microsoft Excel. Retrieved from <https://office.microsoft.com/excel>

443  
444 Miller, R. G. (1981). Simultaneous Statistical Inference. *Springer*.

445  
446 R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for  
447 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from <https://www.R-project.org/>.

448  
449 Smith, S. H., Somsen, G. A., Van Rijn, C., Kooij, S., Van Der Hoek, L., Bem, R. A., & Bonn, D.  
450 (2020). Aerosol persistence in relation to possible transmission of SARS-CoV-2. *Physics of*  
451 *Fluids*, 32(10), 107108.

452  
453 Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L. D. A., François, R., ... & Yutani, H.  
454 (2019). Welcome to the Tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(43), 1686.

455  
456 Xie, X., Li, Y., Chwang, A. T. Y., Ho, P. L., & Seto, W. H. (2007). How far droplets can move in  
457 indoor environments-revisiting the Wells evaporation-falling curve. *Indoor air*, 17(3), 211-225.

458  
459 Xie, X., Li, Y., Sun, H., & Liu, L. (2009). Exhaled droplets due to talking and coughing. *Journal of*  
460 *the Royal Society Interface*, 6(suppl\_6), S703-S714.

461  
462 Yandell, B. (2017). Practical data analysis for designed experiments. *Routledge*.

463  
464 Zhao, L., Qi, Y., Luzzatto-Fegiz, P., Cui, Y., & Zhu, Y. (2020). COVID-19: effects of  
465 environmental conditions on the propagation of respiratory droplets. *Nano letters*, 20(10),  
466 7744-7750.