

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

1 **Article type:** Original Article

2

3 **Obesity Related Glomerulopathy: weighing in the effect of Body Surface Area**

4 Bielopolski Dana, MD PhD,¹ Singh Neha, MA,¹ Bentur Ohad.S, MD,¹ Renert-Yuval Yael,

5 MD,¹ MacArthur Robert, PharmD,¹ Vasquez Kimberly.S, MA,¹ Mofteh Dena.S MA,²

6 Vaughan Roger.D, PhD,¹ Kost Rhonda.G, MD,¹ Tobin Jonathan.N, PhD.^{1,2}

7 ¹The Rockefeller University Center for Clinical and Translational Science, New York NY

8 ²Clinical Directors Network (CDN), New York NY

9

10

11 **Corresponding author:**

12 Dana Bielopolski, MD, PhD

13 The Rockefeller University Center for Clinical and Translational Science

14 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10065

15 Email: dbielopols@rockefeller.edu

16 Telephone: 636-361-0413; Fax – 212-327-7373

17

18 **Manuscript word count:** 2,878/3000

19 **Abstract word count:** 330

20 **Main Figures:**3

21 **Main Tables:**2

22 **References:** 42/50

23 **Supplementary Figures:**2

1

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

24 **Supplementary Tables:2**

25 **Abbreviations used:**

26 CKD: Chronic kidney disease

27 ESRD: End-stage renal disease

28 ORG: Obesity-related Glomerulopathy

29 eGFR: estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate

30 BSA: Body Surface Area

31 BMI: Body Mass Index

32 CDK-EPI: CKD-Epidemiology Collaboration

33 KDIGO: Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcome

34 CrCl: Creatinine Clearance

35 Absolute eGFR: actual BSA based eGFR

36 **Keywords:** Obesity, hyperfiltration, adolescence, body measures

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

47 **Key points**

48 Question – Do the currently recommended formulae estimating GFR reliably predict

49 hyperfiltration due to Obesity Related Glomerulopathy (ORG)?

50 Findings – Renal function in relation to BMI was evaluated in a cohort of 22,417

51 adolescents from the New York metropolitan. Serum creatinine values and BSA-

52 standardized eGFR (mL/min/1.73m²) were similar across BMI groups, and as a result,

53 hyperfiltration rates were also similar. However, Absolute eGFR (mL/min) adjusted to

54 individual BSA, created a positive trend across BMI groups similar to urine creatinine.

55 Meaning – Absolute eGFR better reflects the prevalence of hyperfiltration due to

56 Obesity Related Glomerulopathy providing an opportunity for early intervention and

57 damage reversal.

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

70 **Abstract:**

71 **Importance:** Obesity-related glomerulopathy (ORG), part of the cardio-renal spectrum,
72 has an early reversible stage of hyperfiltration. Early identification in the obese
73 adolescent population provides an opportunity to reverse the damage.

74 **Objective:** Age-appropriate formulae for estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), are
75 standardized to ideal body surface area (BSA) and provide assessment of renal function
76 in mL/min/1.73 m² units, may underestimate prevalence of early ORG. We investigated
77 whether adjusting eGFR to actual BSA more readily identifies early ORG.

78 **Design:** Cross sectional cohort study. Data were collected between 2011-2015 and
79 analysis was performed between January-November 2020.

80 **Setting:** Electronic health records clinical data base from 12 academic health centers
81 and community health centers in the New York metropolitan area.

82 **Participants:** 22,417 women and girls ages 12-21 years for whom data of body
83 measurements and renal function were available.

84 **Main Outcome and measures:** The hypothesis was generated using previously
85 collected health record data. eGFR was calculated in two ways: BSA-standardized
86 eGFR according to KDIGO recommended formula; and Absolute eGFR adjusted to
87 individual BSA. Hyperfiltration was defined above a threshold of 135mL/min/1.73 m² or
88 135 mL/min, respectively. The prevalence of hyperfiltration according to each formula
89 was assessed in parallel to 24-hour urine creatinine.

90 **Results:** 22,417 female adolescents mean age 17 with high prevalence of
91 underrepresented populations (32.6% African American, 12.8% Caucasians and 40.4%
92 Hispanic) were evaluated. Serum creatinine values and hyperfiltration rates according to

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

93 BSA-standardized eGFR were similar, 13.4-15.3%, across Body Mass Index (BMI)
94 groups. Prevalence of hyperfiltration determined by Absolute eGFR differed across
95 groups: Underweight – 2.3%; Normal 6.1%; Overweight – 17.4%; Obese – 31.4%. This
96 trend paralleled the rise in 24-hour urine creatinine across BMI groups.

97 **Conclusions and relevance:** Absolute eGFR more readily identifies early ORG
98 compared to currently used formulae, which are adjusted to an archaic value of a BSA,
99 not representative of current population BMI measures. The high proportion of
100 underrepresented populations in this study accounts for the higher-than-expected
101 obesity rates and should raise awareness for missed opportunities for screening, early
102 diagnosis, and intervention particularly in young Black adults.

103

104

105

106

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

107 **Introduction**

108 Obesity has reached epidemic proportions in the United States over the past three
109 decades with significant increases in rates of obesity and severe obesity among
110 adolescent females ages 16 to 19 years.^{1,2} Obesity is a modifiable risk factor for both
111 chronic kidney disease (CKD) and end-stage renal disease (ESRD).¹ In children,
112 significant increases in the prevalence of CKD and ESRD have been reported over the
113 last three decades, paralleling the increase in the prevalence of childhood obesity.^{1,3}
114 Obesity-related glomerulopathy (ORG) is a secondary form of focal segmental
115 glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) occurring in obese patients with a BMI >30 kg/m², and
116 results from hemodynamic changes, manifesting as glomerular hyperperfusion and
117 hyperfiltration, due to afferent arteriolar dilatation.⁴ It is well established that in the first
118 stages of ORG, hyperfiltration occurs as a physiological adaptation of the kidney to the
119 increased body mass.⁴ Several studies have reported that obesity-associated
120 hyperfiltration improves after marked weight loss, indicating that hyperfiltration
121 represents a reversible physiologic adaptation.⁵ Although a universal definition of
122 hyperfiltration does not exist, the commonly used threshold is a glomerular filtration rate
123 (GFR) value greater than 135 mL/min/1.73 m^{6,7}
124 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcome (KDIGO) guidelines recommend using age-
125 appropriate serum creatinine-based equations to calculate estimated GFR (eGFR):
126 CKD-Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) in the adult patient population and
127 Schwartz in the pediatric patient population.^{8,9,10} However, these equations were
128 empirically developed in populations with renal function impairment (i.e. reduced GFR),
129 and their performance is modest to poor in healthy populations.¹⁰⁻¹²

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

130 The gold standard for determining GFR is measurement of exogenous filtration
131 markers, such as inulin, or iothalamate.¹³ However, these are available only as research
132 tools.¹⁴ Creatinine clearance (CrCl), a readily available measure of GFR, systematically
133 overestimates GFR as a result of net tubular secretion of creatinine.¹⁵

134 The eGFR reported by the currently used formulae is standardized to a BSA of 1.73m^2
135 rather than the individual's actual BSA. The value of 1.73 m^2 reflects the mean BSA of
136 25-year-old men and women in the United States in 1927⁹ compared to a mean BSA of
137 1.98 m^2 in men and women in 2018.¹⁶ However, the reference value of 1.73m^2 is
138 maintained for normalization purposes.⁹

139 We hypothesized that adjusting eGFR to individual BSA, rather than 1.73m^2 , will identify
140 more patients with hyperfiltration in the obese adolescent population, thus making it
141 possible to intervene and reverse the natural course of ORG before attendant kidney
142 damage has long-term, irreversible consequences.

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

143 **Methods**

144 Cohort construction

145 This study design grew out of an earlier National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)-
146 funded clinical trial to compare the effectiveness of two different prenatal care strategies
147 among pregnant adolescent women¹⁷ and a subsequent “big-data” observational
148 study¹⁸ which examined cardio-metabolic risk factors and birth outcomes among a
149 cohort of adolescent and young women receiving primary care in NYC Health Centers
150 and hospitals. De-identified electronic health record (EHR) data were extracted for
151 female adolescents aged 12-21 years who received health care services from 1/1/2011
152 to 12/31/2015 in New York City (NYC) in 12 academic health centers and community
153 health centers that are part of Patient Centered Outcomes Research (PCOR)-funded
154 NYC Clinical Data Research Network (NYC-CDRN).¹⁹
155 Data extraction and transmission were reviewed and approved, and a waiver of
156 informed consent for analyzing de-identified data was granted by the Institutional
157 Review Boards at Clinical Directors Network (CDN), BRANY (Biomedical Research
158 Alliance of New York), and the Rockefeller University.

159 Study findings are described in accordance with STROBE guidelines.

160 Data-Cleaning steps for biologically plausible limits

161 The first encounter for each individual where height, weight, blood pressure and serum
162 creatinine were available was included in the cohort. Extreme outlier values that may
163 result from data entry errors rather than true outliers were excluded by setting
164 physiologic limits for systolic blood pressure (60-220 mmHg), diastolic blood pressure

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

165 (30-150 mmHg), BMI (12-80 kg/m²), height (127-200cm), weight (24-240 kg) and serum
166 creatinine (0.3-3 mg/dL).

167 BSA was calculated using the metric system according to the Du-Bois formula (BSA =
168 $0.007184 * \text{Height}^{0.725} * \text{Weight}^{0.425}$).²⁰

169 BMI was calculated by dividing a person's weight in kilograms by the square of height in
170 meters. BMI values were classified according to the Center for Disease Control and
171 Prevention²¹ data for BMI-for-age z-score into the following categories: Underweight:
172 BMI $\leq 5^{\text{th}}$ percentile, Overweight: $95^{\text{th}} \geq \text{BMI} \geq 85^{\text{th}}$ percentile, Obese: BMI $\geq 95^{\text{th}}$ percentile,
173 all for children and teens of the same age and sex.²¹

174 eGFR calculation

175 The BSA-standardized eGFR was determined by the CKD-EPI and modified Schwartz
176 formulae, for patients aged 18-21 years and 12-18 years, respectively.¹⁰ Hyperfiltration
177 was defined as BSA-standardized eGFR $>135 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$.

178 To eliminate the standardized correction and calculate Absolute eGFR, the BSA-
179 standardized eGFR values were divided by 1.73 and multiplied by individual BSA. This
180 modification was carried out for all patients, regardless of the formula used to calculate
181 eGFR. Hyperfiltration was defined as Absolute eGFR $>135 \text{ ml/min}$.⁶

182 Statistical Analysis

183 Statistical analyses were performed using SAS (r 9.4). Nominal variables were
184 expressed as numbers (%). Comparison of proportions between groups was performed
185 using the chi-squared test. Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm SD or
186 median (minimum-maximum). Pearson's coefficient was used to assess correlation
187 between continuous variables. Continuous variables were compared using ANOVA

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

188 including Dunnett's approach for multiple comparisons, where a $p < 0.05$ (two-tailed) was
189 considered statistically significant. Bland-Altman's analysis was used to assess the
190 relative agreement between BSA-standardized and Absolute eGFR.

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

215 **Results**

216 From an original cohort of 123,448 unique patients, following data-cleaning steps to
217 remove biologically implausible values and to verify age and visit dates, 22,417 unique
218 female patients (mean age 17 ± 3 years) with serum creatinine values recorded remained
219 in the final analysis (Supplementary Figure 1). 9,823 (43.8%) of patients were older than
220 18 years of age, and 12,594 (56.1%) were younger.

221 Distribution of BMI was sorted into BMI-for-age categories according to CDC²¹
222 definitions: underweight: 1,085 (4.8%), normal weight: 11,971 (53.4%), overweight:
223 4,353 (19.4%), and obese: 5,008 (22.3%). The racial distribution of this cohort was:
224 7,315 (32.6%) African American, and 2,877 (12.8%) Caucasians. 9,068 (40.4%) were
225 Hispanic. African Americans were overrepresented in the obese group compared to
226 Caucasians, (38.2% vs 7.9%, respectively; $p < 0.001$) and underrepresented in the
227 underweight group (27.5% vs 20.6%, respectively; $p < 0.001$) (Table 1).

228

229 Renal characteristics

230 Mean serum creatinine values were 0.73 ± 0.2 mg/dL, 0.75 ± 0.2 mg/dL, 0.74 ± 0.2 mg/dL,
231 and 0.74 ± 0.2 mg/dL (p -value=0.0001) in the underweight, normal, overweight, and
232 obese groups respectively (Table 2).

233 Serum creatinine values were similar across BMI groups, but given our large power as
234 a result of the large sample size, BSA-standardized eGFR values were observed to be
235 statistically different yet clinically indistinguishable.²² The mean BSA-standardized
236 eGFR in the obese group was 105 ± 26 ml/min/ 1.73m^2 vs 106 ± 27 ml/min/ 1.73m^2 in the
237 overweight group, 103 ± 27 ml/min/ 1.73m^2 in the normal weight group, and 106 ± 30
238 ml/min/ 1.73m^2 in the underweight group (Figure 1A, Table 2). Of note, BSA-

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

239 standardized eGFR values were similar across BMI groups in both the pediatric and
240 adult groups (Table S2, Supplementary Figure 2). In contrast, there was a statistically
241 significant positive trend in mean Absolute eGFR across BMI groups: 82 ± 26 mL/min in
242 the underweight group, 92 ± 26 mL/min in the normal weight group, 105 ± 29 mL/min in
243 the overweight group, and 119 ± 33 mL/min in the obese group ($p<0.05$; Figure 1B, Table
244 2).

245 **Hyperfiltration.** 2,909 patients (12.9%) met the criteria for hyperfiltration according to
246 BSA-standardized eGFR (>135 mL/min/ 1.73 m²): 15.5% from the underweight, 12.0%
247 from the normal weight, 14.5% from the overweight, and 13.3% from the obese groups
248 ($p<0.001$). Using Absolute eGFR, 3,076 (13.7%) individuals met the criteria for
249 hyperfiltration: 2.3% in the underweight group, 6.1% in the normal weight group, 17.4%
250 in the overweight group and 31.4% in the obese group (Table 2, Figure 2, $p<0.001$).

251 CrCl data were available for only 74 patients from the entire cohort, hence we used
252 another approach to impute hyperfiltration. Urine creatinine from 24-hour urine
253 collections was available for 309 patients (without urine volume to calculate CrCl).

254 Similar to the trend of increasing Absolute eGFR with increasing BMI, urine creatinine
255 also increased across BMI groups: mean urine creatinine was 0.57 ± 0.25 gr/day in the
256 underweight group, 0.95 ± 0.36 gr/day in the normal weight group, 1.17 ± 0.44 gr/day in
257 the overweight group, and 1.26 ± 0.5 gr/day in the obese group ($p<0.05$; Figure 1A).

258 Trend analysis confirmed this finding where for every increase in BMI unit urine
259 creatinine increased by 0.02 gr/24 hours ($p<0.05$; Figure 1A).

260 Bland Altman analyses were performed to test the agreement between BSA-
261 standardized eGFR and Absolute eGFR across the different BMI groups. While there

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

262 was relatively small negative bias for the overall group (Figure 3e), bias was clearly
263 differential across BMI groups. There was a positive bias for the underweight BMI
264 group, no observed bias for the normal BMI group, an increasing negative bias for the
265 overweight BMI group, and a relatively large negative bias for the obese BMI group
266 (Figure 3a-d).

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

285 **Discussion**

286 Accurate calculation of eGFR has a vital role in the diagnosis of kidney disease and
287 CKD management and prognosis. Currently used BSA-standardized eGFR formulae
288 may be adequate in non-obese individuals but might significantly underestimate the true
289 GFR in obese patients,²³ leading to underdiagnosis of the early stages of ORG, and
290 thus missing an opportunity for intervention. The increasing prevalence of overweight
291 and obesity in children and young adults raises the concern that this metabolic risk
292 gradient probably begins in childhood.

293 The rationale for using Absolute eGFR is rooted in the pathophysiology of ORG. As
294 body size increases, the number of nephrons remains the same²⁴ therefore obesity
295 must result in an increase in single nephron GFR.²⁵ Absolute eGFR reflects this
296 phenomenon, whereas ideal BSA (1.73 m²)-standardized eGFR obscures it.²⁶ As body
297 size increases, the increased single nephron GFR is also burdened by increased
298 sympathetic and renin-angiotensin system activity which leads to an increment of blood
299 pressure, accelerating the progressive deterioration of renal function over time.²⁷

300 We describe a large practice-based cohort of young women and girls ages 12-21
301 followed in 12 NYC academic and community health centers. While serum creatinine
302 and BSA-standardized eGFR were similar across BMI groups, according to Absolute
303 eGFR, hyperfiltration rates increased as BMI rose in a manner that points to obesity as
304 a possible risk factor for kidney disease. This observation, which may be indicative of a
305 higher prevalence of early stages of ORG, is supported by increased 24-hour urinary
306 creatinine as BMI increased. As BMI rises the kidneys are forced to hyperfiltrate,²⁸
307 however, the CKD-EPI and Schwartz formulae do not reflect this process adequately.

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

308 The Bland-Altman analysis revealed a lack of agreement between Absolute eGFR vs
309 BSA-standardized eGFR in the obese group and supports the notion of underestimation
310 of eGFR and hyperfiltration according to traditional calculations as we have observed.
311 Standardizing eGFR for BSA had minimal influence in non-obese adults, but can have a
312 major impact and influence decision making in overweight individuals.²⁹

313

314 Of note, when reviewing data of patients whose renal function were not available (Table
315 S2), we noticed our cohort is more affluent for obese and minority patients, revealing
316 the increased awareness among primary care physicians to these risk factors. Yet the
317 currently available formulae do not support early identification of ORG in this population,
318 and hence this opportunity to improve cardio-renal health is missed.

319 The course and timeline of ORG in the adolescent has not been thoroughly described.

320 On average, GFR decline is minimal prior to age 35 years, after which the rate of
321 decline accelerates,³⁰ highlighting the concept of “renal reserve”. The trajectory of GFR
322 decline over time in relation to BMI is very grim. As BMI increases, kidney function
323 declines, and the decline is more rapid with higher BMI.³¹ Over time the risk of incident
324 end-stage renal disease (ESRD) is also increased in the presence of obesity.³² Our
325 study is the first to show the turning point during adolescence, when early intervention,
326 based on Absolute eGFR values, could reverse the initial damage of ORG. Creatinine-
327 based eGFR is strongly influenced by body composition³³ and our findings suggest that
328 body measures, such as BSA, should be incorporated when eGFR is calculated based
329 on serum creatinine concentrations.³⁴

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

330 Our study has some limitations. First, we lack parallel data of a gold standard filtration
331 marker for validation of our findings. GFR was not measured directly using inulin or
332 radioisotope methods which are considered the best measures of renal function.
333 Clearly, the use of these exogenous markers to estimate GFR is impractical in clinical
334 practice; however, in patients with normal GFR, CrCl calculated from a 24-hour urine
335 collection provides estimates that are very similar to those obtained with inulin or
336 radioisotopes.³⁵ In our cohort, CrCl data were available in only 74 patients, while 24-
337 hour urine creatinine was available in 309 patients without urine volume, which is
338 required for CrCl calculation.

339 While we observed similar serum creatinine concentrations across BMI groups, 24-hour
340 urine creatinine increased across BMI groups (from underweight to obese), and this
341 implies that the prevalence of hyperfiltration increases as BMI increases. Obesity is
342 associated with higher muscle mass,^{15,36} the main determinant of urinary creatinine.¹⁵
343 Measure GFR correlates with urinary creatinine, but formulae used to estimate GFR
344 underestimate it more when urinary creatinine is higher.¹⁵

345 Thus, in the presence of normal and similar serum creatinine values across BMI groups,
346 increase in urine creatinine excretion parallel to BMI increase, represents the kidney's
347 effort to filtrate.

348 Second, eGFR was calculated using formulae that are recommended by KDIGO, which
349 were designed for different age groups. There are additional formulae, based on serum
350 analytes, such as cystatin c or a combination with creatinine, that are not commonly
351 available in clinical care, thus could not be used in this large cohort, which is based on
352 data from electronic health records.

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

353 Another limitation is that according to the BSA-standardized eGFR, 15% of the
354 underweight patients who are not at risk for ORG met the criteria for hyperfiltration.
355 Moreover, this finding was not paralleled by an increase in urinary creatinine in these
356 patients, which questions the reliability of this observation. After adjustment to BSA,
357 Absolute eGFR values in the underweight group correspond to the currently defined
358 CKD2 range according to KDIGO guidelines,⁹ yet data were not extracted in the current
359 data-set to explore renal pathology in this BMI group.

360 Our study has some clear strengths. Our cohort is large and diverse, including urban
361 population with different racial and ethnic composition compared to the American
362 population. Non-Hispanic Whites make up 61% of the country's population³⁷ compared
363 to less than 15% in our cohort. The high proportion of underrepresented populations in
364 our cohort could account at least partially for the higher-than-expected rates of
365 overweight and obesity, 41% in our cohort compared to 20% the general population, in
366 at ages 12-19.²¹ Obesity at ages 2-19 is more common among Hispanics (25.8%) and
367 non-Hispanic Blacks (22.0%) compared to non-Hispanic Whites (14.1%).¹⁶ The higher
368 rates of obesity among minorities highlight the urgent unmet clinical need for change in
369 asymptomatic screening and health management among populations affected by health
370 disparities, whose clinical management currently does not adequately address the
371 slippery slope of childhood obesity, when early signs of ORG may already be present.

372 The age range of our cohort, although included under the same definition of
373 adolescence, mandated the use of two different formulae to calculate eGFR. However,
374 the relation we describe, between BSA-standardized eGFR, Absolute eGFR and

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

375 hyperfiltration rates across BMI groups in the entire cohort exists also separately for the
376 two different age groups and related formula.

377 Of note, the Schwartz formula does not include a race correction factor, but this factor's
378 relevance has been questioned in recent publications.^{38,39} Considering the absence of
379 this factor, the high prevalence of overweight and obesity among minority youth and the
380 risk to kidney health needs to be recognized.

381

382 In sum, the hypothesis behind this investigation is that eGFR equations may perform
383 differently in those who are obese and overweight compared to those with a normal
384 weight. Currently recommended equations for estimating GFR were empirically
385 developed in populations with reduced GFR, and their performance is modest to poor in
386 healthy populations or during early ORG, when hyperfiltration may predominate.

387 While the United States Preventive Services Task Force stated in its last report that
388 there are insufficient evidence to recommend routine screening for kidney disease in
389 asymptomatic adults,⁴⁰ early identification of individuals with increased cardio-renal risk
390 could provide opportunities for behavioral, lifestyle, and pharmacologic interventions to
391 improve long term outcomes.

392 The most severe form of ORG, associated with significant glomerulosclerosis, has a
393 poor prognosis, where up to 30% of affected individuals reach ESRD 2-6 years after
394 development of glomerulosclerosis.⁵ Lifestyle modification and bariatric surgery can
395 reverse hyperfiltration, reducing GFR for patients with eGFR >90 mL/min following the
396 intervention.^{41,42 43}

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

397 While the increase in the prevalence of hyperfiltration in the obese group is expected
398 given the mathematical adjustment that was performed, our data show that this
399 seemingly simple adjustment may better represent the true distribution of hyperfiltration
400 in the population and prevalence of ORG in this patient group.

401 Using Absolute eGFR in clinical practice and research may improve the ability to
402 identify, intervene and reverse early ORG. Application of this tool may address missed
403 opportunities for screening, early diagnosis, and intervention in obese adults, including
404 the Black population, among whom renal disease is over represented, and obesity rates
405 are increasing. Successful intervention has the potential to improve quality of life and
406 prevent subsequent comorbidities, along with care costs reduction for a substantial part
407 of the population at risk, where there is a high representation of underserved minorities.

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

420 **Funding –**

421 This project was supported by:

422 (1) The Sackler Center for Biomedicine and Nutrition Research at The Rockefeller

423 University;

424 (2) The Sackler Institute for Nutrition Science at The New York Academy of Sciences;

425 (3) N²: Building a Network of Safety-Net PBRNs (AHRQ 1-P30-HS-021667);

426 (4) The National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences grants to the Rockefeller

427 University Center for Clinical and Translational Science, #UL1TR001866 and UL1

428 TR000043.

429 (5) Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI); contract number CDRN-

430 1306-03961.

431 (6) The Rockefeller University Clinical Scholar Endowment Fund.

432

433

434

435 **Conflict of interest –**

436 Jonathan N. Tobin: NIH-NCI: Payments or Remuneration, Bio-Ascend LLC/Regional

437 Cancer Care Associates: Payments or Remuneration, Reimbursed or Sponsored,

438 Travel, AstraZeneca: Board of Directors Compensation, Payments or Remuneration,

439 Reimbursed or Sponsored Travel. Clinical Directors Network, Inc. (CDN): Board of

440 Directors Compensation, Payments or Remuneration, Reimbursed or Sponsored Travel

441

442

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

443 Acknowledgment – We thank Dr. Mark Weiner from Weill Cornell School of Medicine for
444 persistence in access and data quality. We thank Caroline Jiang from the Rockefeller
445 University for supervising and mentoring data analysis.

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

466 **References**

467

- 468 1. Gunta SS, Mak RH. Is obesity a risk factor for chronic kidney disease in children?
469 *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2013;28(10):1949-1956. doi:10.1007/s00467-012-2353-z
- 470 2. Childhood Obesity Facts | Overweight & Obesity | CDC.
471 <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/childhood.html>. Accessed January 7, 2020.
- 472 3. Correia-Costa L, Afonso AC, Schaefer F, et al. Decreased renal function in
473 overweight and obese prepubertal children. *Pediatr Res.* 2015;78(4):436-444.
474 doi:10.1038/pr.2015.130
- 475 4. Ruster C, Wolf G. The role of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system in obesity-
476 related renal diseases. *Semin Nephrol.* 2013;33(1):44-53.
477 doi:10.1016/j.semnephrol.2012.12.002
- 478 5. Nehus E, Mitsnefes M. Childhood obesity and the metabolic syndrome. *Pediatr*
479 *Clin North Am.* 2019;66(1):31-43. doi:10.1016/j.pcl.2018.08.004
- 480 6. Cachat F, Combescure C, Cauderay M, Girardin E, Chehade H. A systematic
481 review of glomerular hyperfiltration assessment and definition in the medical
482 literature. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2015;10(3):382-389. doi:10.2215/CJN.03080314
- 483 7. Helal I, Fick-Brosnahan GM, Reed-Gitomer B, Schrier RW. Glomerular
484 hyperfiltration: definitions, mechanisms and clinical implications. *Nat Rev Nephrol.*
485 2012;8(5):293-300. doi:10.1038/nrneph.2012.19
- 486 8. Schwartz GJ, Work DF. Measurement and estimation of GFR in children and
487 adolescents. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2009;4(11):1832-1843.
488 doi:10.2215/CJN.01640309
- 489 9. KDIGO 2012 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of '
490 Chronic Kidney Diseases.
- 491 10. Schwartz GJ, Muñoz A, Schneider MF, et al. New equations to estimate GFR in
492 children with CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2009;20(3):629-637.
493 doi:10.1681/ASN.2008030287
- 494 11. Tent H, Waanders F, Krikken JA, et al. Performance of MDRD study and CKD-EPI
495 equations for long-term follow-up of nondiabetic patients with chronic kidney
496 disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2012;27 Suppl 3:iii89-95. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfr235
- 497 12. Maclsaac RJ, Ekinci EI, Premaratne E, et al. The Chronic Kidney Disease-
498 Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation does not improve the
499 underestimation of Glomerular Filtration Rate (GFR) in people with diabetes and
500 preserved renal function. *BMC Nephrol.* 2015;16:198. doi:10.1186/s12882-015-
501 0196-0

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

- 502 13. Wilson DM, Bergert JH, Larson TS, Liedtke RR. GFR determined by
503 nonradiolabeled iothalamate using capillary electrophoresis. *Am J Kidney Dis.*
504 1997;30(5):646-652. doi:10.1016/s0272-6386(97)90488-1
- 505 14. Swinkels DW, Hendriks JC, Nauta J, de Jong MC. Glomerular filtration rate by
506 single-injection inulin clearance: definition of a workable protocol for children. *Ann*
507 *Clin Biochem.* 2000;37 (Pt 1):60-66. doi:10.1258/0004563001901533
- 508 15. Sinkeler SJ, Visser FW, Krikken JA, Stegeman CA, Homan van der Heide JJ,
509 Navis G. Higher body mass index is associated with higher fractional creatinine
510 excretion in healthy subjects. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2011;26(10):3181-3188.
511 doi:10.1093/ndt/gfq850
- 512 16. Adult Obesity Facts | Overweight & Obesity | CDC.
513 <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/adult.html>. Accessed July 31, 2020.
- 514 17. Ickovics JR, Earnshaw V, Lewis JB, et al. Cluster randomized controlled trial of
515 group prenatal care: perinatal outcomes among adolescents in new york city
516 health centers. *Am J Public Health.* 2016;106(2):359-365.
517 doi:10.2105/AJPH.2015.302960
- 518 18. McLean M, Jiang CS, Cheng A, et al. Can De-identified Electronic Health Records
519 Be Used to Describe Nutrition-Related Outcomes in a Cohort of Adolescent
520 Women Attending Primary Care Facilities? *FASEB J.* October 2018.
- 521 19. Kaushal R, Hripcsak G, Ascheim DD, et al. Changing the research landscape: the
522 New York City Clinical Data Research Network. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.*
523 2014;21(4):587-590. doi:10.1136/amiajnl-2014-002764
- 524 20. Shuter B, Aslani A. Body surface area: Du Bois and Du Bois revisited. *Eur J Appl*
525 *Physiol.* 2000;82(3):250-254. doi:10.1007/s004210050679
- 526 21. Defining Childhood Obesity | Overweight & Obesity | CDC.
527 <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/childhood/defining.html>. Accessed July 30, 2020.
- 528 22. Levey AS, Gansevoort RT, Coresh J, et al. Change in albuminuria and GFR as
529 end points for clinical trials in early stages of CKD: A scientific workshop
530 sponsored by the national kidney foundation in collaboration with the US food and
531 drug administration and european medicines agency. *Am J Kidney Dis.*
532 2020;75(1):84-104. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2019.06.009
- 533 23. Wuerzner G, Bochud M, Giusti V, Burnier M. Measurement of glomerular filtration
534 rate in obese patients: pitfalls and potential consequences on drug therapy. *Obes*
535 *Facts.* 2011;4(3):238-243. doi:10.1159/000329547
- 536 24. Singer MA. Of mice and men and elephants: metabolic rate sets glomerular
537 filtration rate. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2001;37(1):164-178. doi:10.1016/s0272-
538 6386(01)80073-1

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

- 539 25. Denic A, Glasscock RJ. Obesity-Related Glomerulopathy and Single-Nephron GFR.
540 *Kidney Int Rep.* 2020;5(8):1126-1128. doi:10.1016/j.ekir.2020.05.017
- 541 26. Chagnac A, Weinstein T, Herman M, Hirsh J, Gafer U, Ori Y. The effects of weight
542 loss on renal function in patients with severe obesity. *J Am Soc Nephrol.*
543 2003;14(6):1480-1486. doi:10.1097/01.asn.0000068462.38661.89
- 544 27. Gerchman F, Tong J, Utzschneider KM, et al. Body mass index is associated with
545 increased creatinine clearance by a mechanism independent of body fat
546 distribution. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2009;94(10):3781-3788. doi:10.1210/jc.2008-
547 2508
- 548 28. Srivastava T. Nondiabetic consequences of obesity on kidney. *Pediatr Nephrol.*
549 2006;21(4):463-470. doi:10.1007/s00467-006-0027-4
- 550 29. Geddes CC, Woo YM, Brady S. Glomerular filtration rate--what is the rationale and
551 justification of normalizing GFR for body surface area? *Nephrol Dial Transplant.*
552 2008;23(1):4-6. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfm662
- 553 30. Peralta CA, Vittinghoff E, Bansal N, et al. Trajectories of kidney function decline in
554 young black and white adults with preserved GFR: results from the Coronary
555 Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study. *Am J Kidney Dis.*
556 2013;62(2):261-266. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2013.01.012
- 557 31. Grubbs V, Lin F, Vittinghoff E, et al. Body mass index and early kidney function
558 decline in young adults: a longitudinal analysis of the CARDIA (Coronary Artery
559 Risk Development in Young Adults) study. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2014;63(4):590-597.
560 doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2013.10.055
- 561 32. Vivante A, Golan E, Tzur D, et al. Body mass index in 1.2 million adolescents and
562 risk for end-stage renal disease. *Arch Intern Med.* 2012;172(21):1644-1650.
563 doi:10.1001/2013.jamainternmed.85
- 564 33. Fotheringham J, Weatherley N, Kavar B, Fogarty DG, Ellam T. The body
565 composition and excretory burden of lean, obese, and severely obese individuals
566 has implications for the assessment of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.*
567 2014;86(6):1221-1228. doi:10.1038/ki.2014.112
- 568 34. Miliku K, Bakker H, Dorresteijn EM, et al. Childhood estimates of glomerular
569 filtration rate based on creatinine and cystatin C: importance of body composition.
570 *Am J Nephrol.* 2017;45(4):320-326. doi:10.1159/000463395
- 571 35. Breckenridge A, Metcalfe-Gibson A. Methods of measuring glomerular-filtration
572 rate: a comparison of inulin, vitamin-b 12 and creatinine clearances. *Lancet.*
573 1965;2(7406):265-267. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(65)92387-1

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

- 574 36. Baxmann AC, Ahmed MS, Marques NC, et al. Influence of muscle mass and
575 physical activity on serum and urinary creatinine and serum cystatin C. *Clin J Am*
576 *Soc Nephrol.* 2008;3(2):348-354. doi:10.2215/CJN.02870707
- 577 37. American FactFinder - Results.
578 [https://archive.vn/20200213005659/https://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en](https://archive.vn/20200213005659/https://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/17_1YR/B03002/0100000US)
579 [/ACS/17_1YR/B03002/0100000US](https://archive.vn/20200213005659/https://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/17_1YR/B03002/0100000US). Accessed July 31, 2020.
- 580 38. Diao JA, Inker LA, Levey AS, Tighiouart H, Powe NR, Manrai AK. In Search of a
581 Better Equation - Performance and Equity in Estimates of Kidney Function. *N Engl*
582 *J Med.* January 2021. doi:10.1056/NEJMp2028243
- 583 39. Norris KC, Eneanya ND, Boulware LE. Removal of race from estimates of kidney
584 function: first, do no harm. *JAMA.* 2021;325(2):135-137.
585 doi:10.1001/jama.2020.23373
- 586 40. Moyer VA, U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for chronic kidney
587 disease: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann*
588 *Intern Med.* 2012;157(8):567-570. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-157-8-201210160-
589 00533
- 590 41. Nehus EJ, Khoury JC, Inge TH, et al. Kidney outcomes three years after bariatric
591 surgery in severely obese adolescents. *Kidney Int.* 2017;91(2):451-458.
592 doi:10.1016/j.kint.2016.09.031
- 593 42. van Dam M, Rijks J, Dorenbos E, Horuz F, van Dael K, Vreugdenhil A. The effect
594 of one year lifestyle intervention on eGFR in children and adolescents with
595 overweight, obesity and morbid obesity. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9(1):4504.
596 doi:10.1038/s41598-019-40767-4
- 597 43. Chang AR, George J, Levey AS, Coresh J, Grams ME, Inker LA. Performance of
598 glomerular filtration rate estimating equations before and after bariatric surgery.
599 *Kidney Medicine.* 2020;2(6):699-706.e1. doi:10.1016/j.xkme.2020.08.008
- 600
- 601
- 602
- 603
- 604
- 605
- 606
- 607

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

608 **Figure legends and Tables:**

609

610 Table 1: Demographic and vital characteristics of the population.

Characteristic	Underweight (N=1,085)	Normal (N=11,971)	Overweight (N=4,353)	Obese (N=5,008)	Overall (N=22,417)	P-value
Age (years)	17±2.8	17±2.5	17±2.6	17±2.6	17.1±2.5	<.0001*
Height (cm)	157±10	159±8	160±8	161±8	160±8	<.0001
Weight (kg)	40±7	53±9	69±9	91±19	±6420	<.0001
BMI (kg/m ²)	16±1.4	21±2.3	27±1.8	35±6.1	25±4.2	<.0001
BSA (m ²)	1.3±0.2	1.5±0.2	1.7±0.2	1.9±0.2	1.7±1.7	<.0001
Race						
African American	299 (27.5%)	3,631 (30%)	1,467 (34%)	1,918 (38.2%)	7,315 (32.6%)	<.0001
Caucasian	224 (20.6%)	1,807 (15%)	448 (10%)	398 (7.9%)	2,877 (12.8%)	<.0001
Hispanic	380 (35.0%)	4,695 (39.2%)	1,881 (43.2%)	2,112 (42.1%)	9,068 (40.4%)	<.0001
Smoking	58 (6.1%)	791 (7.4%)	375 (8.6%)	454 (9.0%)	1,678 (8.4%)	<.0001
Systolic BP (mmHG)	102±11	105±11	110±11	115±13	109±12	<.0001
Diastolic BP (mmHG)	64.0±8	64.7±8	67±9	69±9	66±9	<.0001
Hemoglobin A1c(%)	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.052

611

612 Continuous variables are presented as mean ± SD. For race and smoking numbers in
 613 brackets represent row percent, except for the total which represents column
 614 percent. *Dunnet multiple comparison approach reveals that differences exist only
 615 between normal weight and overweight group, but mean age of underweight-
 616 normal weight and obese are not significantly different. BMI – Body Mass Index,
 617 BSA – Body Surface Area, BP – Blood Pressure.

618

619

620

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

621 Table 2 - Renal characteristics across BMI groups.

Characteristic	Underweight (N=1,085)	Normal (N=11,971)	Overweight (N=4,353)	Obese (N=5,008)	Overall (N=22,417)	P-value
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	0.73±0.2	0.75±0.2	0.74±0.2	0.74±0.2	0.74±0.2	0.001*
Urine creatinine (G/24h)	0.57±0.3 (10)	0.95±0.4 (124)	1.17±0.4 (62)	1.26±0.5 (113)	1.09±0.4 (309)	0.001**
BSA-standardized eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	106±30	103±27	106±27	105±26	104±27	<.0001
Hyperfiltration BSA-standardized eGFR	167 (15%)	1,445 (12%)	630 (15%)	667 (13%)	2,909 (13%)	<.0001
Absolute eGFR (ml/min)	82±26	92±26	105±29	119±33	100±31	<.0001
Hyperfiltration Absolute GFR	25 (2.3%)	728 (6.1%)	755 (17.4%)	1,568 (31.4%)	3,076 (14%)	<.0001

622

623 Continuous variables are presented as mean ± SD. Absolute numbers are counted

624 individuals with eGFR>135. Numbers in brackets represent column percent.

625 **trend analysis was significant with p<0.05.

626 *Dunnet multiple comparison approach reveals that normal weight and overweight

627 group are statistically indifferent, but mean creatinine of underweight-normal

628 weight and normal weight-obese are significantly different.

629 eGFR=estimated GFR for ideal body surface area. Absolute GFR=estimated GFR for

630 individuals' body surface area.

631

632

633

634


BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

635 **Figure legends**

636 **Figure 1**

637 Measured renal characteristics and predicted filtration rate by the eGFR formulae.

638



639

640 A) Bars represent mean values of serum creatinine (blue) vs. urine creatinine (orange)
641 for each BMI group. B). Bars represent mean values of predicted filtration
642 according to BSA-standardized eGFR, which is normalized to ideal BSA (blue) vs.
643 Absolute eGFR, which is adjusted to individual BSA (orange). Standard deviations
644 across bars are shown in black lines. Linear lines across bars of the same variable
645 are used to illustrate the trend.

646 $p < 0.001$ for trend of Absolute eGFR and BSA-standardized eGFR across BMI groups,
647 for every unit increase in BMI, Absolute eGFR increases by 2 ml/min compared to
648 0.3 ml/min/1.73m² for BSA-standardized eGFR.

649 $p = 0.07$ for trend of serum creatinine across BMI groups and $p < 0.05$ for trend of urine
650 creatinine across BMI groups. These are accompanied by an increase in serum
651 creatinine of 0.0002 mg/dL for every unit increase in BMI, whereas for every unit

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

652 increase in BMI, urine creatinine increases by 0.17 gr/24 hours (equals 170mg/24
653 hours).

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673


674

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

675 **Figure 2**

676 Hyperfiltration prevalence according to BMI group by BSA-standardized eGFR (blue) vs.
677 Absolute eGFR (orange).

Hyperfiltration According to BMI Group by BSA-Standardized eGFR vs. Absolute eGFR



678
679 Hyperfiltration was defined according to GFR threshold of 135 mL/min/17.3m² (BSA-
680 standardized eGFR) or 135 mL/min (Absolute eGFR). (p<0.001 for trend of
681 hyperfiltration according to Absolute eGFR across BMI groups, and p=0.1 for trend
682 of hyperfiltration according to BSA-standardized eGFR across BMI groups)

683

684

685


686

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

687 **Figure 3**

688 Agreement between BSA-standardized eGFR and Absolute eGFR across BMI groups

689 using the Bland Altman approach.



690

691 A) Underweight. B) Normal weight. C) Overweight. D) Obese. E) entire cohort.

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724

Supplementary materials

Screening for Obesity-Related Renal Damage in Adolescent Women – Body

Surface area matters.

Bielopolski D, MD PhD,¹ Singh N, MA,¹ Bentur OS, MD,¹ Renert-Yuval Y, MD,¹
MacArthur R, PharmD,¹ Vasquez K, MA,¹ Moftah DS MA,² Vaughan RD, PhD,¹ Kost
RG, MD,¹ Tobin JN, PhD.^{1,2}

¹The Rockefeller University Center for Clinical and Translational Science, New York NY

²Clinical Directors Network (CDN), New York NY

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

725

726 **Table of contents**

727 **Supplementary figure 1** **Page 3**

728 **Supplementary figure 2** **Page 4**

729 **Supplementary table 1** **Page 5**

730 **Supplementary table 2** **Page 7**

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747


BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

748

749

750 **Supplementary Figure 1**

751 STROBE diagram illustrating cohort construction and data cleaning steps.



752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762


763

764

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

765 **Supplementary Figure 2**

Hyperfiltration Rates According to BSA-Standardized eGFR and Absolute eGFR by BMI Groups and Age-Related Formulae



766
 767
 768 Bars represent rates (%) of hyperfiltration according to age-related formula and
 769 adjustment to BSA. (age <18 yo) -age below 18 years where Schwartz formula
 770 was used, (age >18 yo) age above 18 years old where CKD-EPI formula was
 771 used. (p=0.002 for trend of hyperfiltration rates according to BSA standardized
 772 eGFR for patients above age 18, p=0.01 for trend of hyperfiltration rates according
 773 to BSA standardized eGFR for patients below age 18, p<0.001 for trend of
 774 hyperfiltration rates according to absolute eGFR for patients below age 18,
 775 p<0.001 for trend of hyperfiltration rates according to absolute eGFR for patients
 776 above age 18)

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

777

778

779

780 Table S1 – Renal characteristics across BMI groups according to the age specific
781 formulae.

Characteristic	Underweight (N=1,085)	Normal (N=11,971)	Overweight (N=4,353)	Obese (N=5,008)	Overall (N=22,417)	P-value
BSA standardized eGFR Schwartz (ml/min/1.73m ²)	98±31	94±25	94±23	96±23	95±25	P=0.001
BSA standardized eGFR _{CKD-EPI} (ml/min/1.73m ²)	117±26	117±24	119±24	119±23	118±24	p=0.002
Hyperfiltration BSA standardized eGFR _{CKD-EPI}	59 (12%)	451 (9%)	240 (12%)	221 (10%)	971 (10%)	P<0.001
Hyperfiltration BSA standardized eGFR Schwartz	47 (8%)	318 (5%)	94 (4%)	133 (5%)	592 (5%)	P<0.001
absolute GFR (ml/min) Schwartz	71±24	80.72±22	91.44±24	104.37±28	87.5±26	P<0.001
absolute GFR (ml/min) CKD-EPI	97±22	107±23	121±26	138±31	117±29	P<0.001
Hyperfiltration absolute GFR _{CKD-}	2 (0.4%)	191 (4%)	444 (22%)	1,020 (47%)	1,657 (17%)	P<0.001

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

EPI						
Hyperfiltration absolute GFR Schwartz	2 (0.3%)	100 (2%)	96 (4%)	350 (13%)	548 (4%)	P<0.001

782

783 Continuous variables are presented as mean \pm SD. Absolute numbers are counted

784 individuals with eGFR>135. Numbers in brackets represent column percent.

785 Indicating Schwartz under a parameter means it relates to ages 12-18, whereas 'CKD-

786 EPI' relates to ages 18-21.

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799 Table S2 – Demographic characterization of patients without documented renal
800 function.

Characteristic	Underweight	Normal	Overweight	Obese	Overall	p-value
----------------	-------------	--------	------------	-------	---------	---------

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

	(N=2,637)	(N=23,320)	(N=6,788)	(N=5,387)	(N=38,132)	
Age (years)	16±2.8	17±2.5	17±2.6	17±2.6	17±2.5	0.0001***
Height (cm)	158±10	160±8	160±8	160±8	160±8	<0.0001**
Weight (kg)	40±7	53±8	68±9	88±17	60±17	<0.0001
BMI (kg/m ²)	16±1.4	21±2.2	27±1.8	34±5.4	23±5.7	<0.0001
BSA (m ²)	1.34±0.2	1.53±0.2	1.7±0.2	1.9±0.2	1.6±0.2	<0.0001
Race						
African	458	5,584	1,798	1,823	9,663	<0.0001
American	(17.3%)	(24.0%)	(26.5%)	(33.8%)	(25.3%)	
Caucasian	1,026	5,917	1,235	763	8,941	<0.0001
	(38.9%)	(25.3%)	(18.1%)	(14.1%)	(23.4%)	
Hispanic	520	6,834	2,334	1,874	11,562	<0.0001
	(19.7%)	(29.3%)	(34.3%)	(34.7%)	(30.2%)	
Smoking	72	887	316	316	1,591	<0.0001
	(3.9 %)	(5.2%)	(6.1%)	(7.8%)	(5.7%)	
Systolic BP (mmHG)	104±11	106±11	109±11	114±12	107±12	<0.0001
Diastolic BP (mmHG)	63±8	65±8	67±8	69±9	66±8	<0.0001
Hemoglobin A1c (%)	5.8	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.5	<0.0001*

801

802 Continuous variables are presented as mean ± SD. Absolute numbers are counted

803 individuals and numbers in brackets represent column percent.

804

BSA adjusted GFR in adolescence

805 *Differences exist only between underweight and normal weight

806 **Differences exist only between normal weight and obese and normal weight and
807 underweight.

808 ***Differences exist only between underweight and normal weight.

809

810