medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Factors associated with maternal tetanus vaccination in Myanmar: An analysis of Demographic and Health Survey data

Zau Ring[a], Zaw Myo Tun[b], Clarence C Tam[b, c]

[a] Vector Borne Diseases Control Program, Kachin State Public Health Department, Ministry of Health and Sports, Myanmar

Kachin State Public Health Department Office, Yone Gyi Street, Ayar Quarter, Myitkyina, Myanmar

[b] Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore

12 Science Drive 2, #10-01, Singapore 117549

[c] London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine

Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

Corresponding author:

Zaw Myo Tun (Email: zawmyotun@nus.edu.sg)

Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore

12 Science Drive 2, #10-01, Singapore 117549

Word counts

Fulltext: 1807

Abstract: 249

Keywords:

Tetanus toxoid vaccination; Myanmar; Universal health coverage; Epidemiology; Demographic Health Survey

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: Tetanus toxoid vaccination is a life-saving maternal and child health intervention. Understanding gaps in maternal vaccination coverage is key to informing progress towards universal health coverage. We assessed maternal tetanus vaccination coverage in Myanmar and investigated factors associated with being unvaccinated.

Method: We analysed 2015-16 Demographic and Health Survey data including women aged 15-49 years with at least one childbirth in the last five years. The outcome was self-reported receipt of tetanus vaccine at least once during the last pregnancy. We used logistic regression models to assess factors associated with being unvaccinated.

Results: Overall maternal tetanus vaccination coverage was 85.7%. Sub-national coverage was lowest in the predominantly ethnic minority states of Shan, Kayin, and Chin at 69.6%, 77.4%, and 79.9%, respectively. Factors associated with a lack of vaccination were: not receiving antenatal care (odds ratio (OR): 18.99, 95% confidence interval (CI): 14.21, 25.39); receiving antenatal care at home (OR: 2.05, 95% CI: 1.46, 2.88), private and non-governmental organization clinics (OR: 2.88, 95% CI: 1.81, 4.58, compared to public facilities); and not wanting to go to a health facility alone (OR: 1.53, 95% CI: 1.14, 2.06). Higher educational attainment was associated with lower odds of being unvaccinated (OR: 0.48, 95% CI: 0.32, 0.70 for secondary relative to no education).

Interpretation: We identified regional, structural, and individual differences in maternal tetanus vaccination coverage. Achieving universal coverage of maternal tetanus vaccination will largely depend on the ability to provide accessible antenatal care to most women who do not currently receive it.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Maternal and neonatal tetanus (MNT) is a devastating disease with a high case fatality, especially in low-income settings [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated 25,000 new-borns died from neonatal tetanus in 2018 [1]. MNT remains a significant public health problem in 12 countries, most of them are in Asia and Africa [1]. MNT death is preventable by hygienic delivery, cord care practices, and by immunizing children and pregnant women with inexpensive and efficacious Tetanus Toxoid (TT) vaccine [1]. In the last 30 years, vaccinating pregnant women in their second or third trimester has reduced tetanus-related neonatal mortality by 94% [2]. WHO recommends that "all women giving birth and their newborn babies should be protected against tetanus" and that countries should ensure that a national policy and strategies to achieve high vaccination coverage among pregnant women are available and are correctly implemented [3].

Myanmar, with a population of 51.5 million [4], is composed of seven States, in which each of seven ethnic minority group mostly live, and eight Regions of majority Burmese ethnicity. Tetanus vaccination for pregnant women has been part of the routine Expanded Program on Immunization in Myanmar since 1978 [5]. Although MNT was eliminated (defined as <1 MNT per 1000 live births in every district) in Myanmar in 2010 [6], the MNT burden and gaps in MNT preventive services remains: 231 neonatal tetanus cases were reported from 2011 to 2018, and 64% of mothers of neonatal tetanus cases in 2018 were unimmunized [7]; only 72% of women giving birth in the previous five years are protected against neonatal tetanus [5].

Factors associated with maternal tetanus vaccination are not well understood. The Myanmar Ministry of Health and Sports launched the five-year National Health Plan in December 2016 to achieve universal health coverage by 2030, aiming to ensure access to a basic essential package of health services by the entire population while increasing financial protection [8]. Maternal tetanus vaccination is listed as a component of the essential package [9]. Information on factors associated with maternal tetanus under-vaccination would be useful to inform the implementation strategy. We estimated maternal tetanus vaccination coverage at both national and subnational levels using 2015-16 Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) data. We also investigated factors associated with a lack of vaccination among women reporting pregnancy in the previous five years.

Methods

We used maternal health data from the 2015-16 Myanmar DHS dataset. In this analysis, we included women who reported giving birth in the last five years. Outcome was self-reported tetanus vaccination during the last pregnancy. We estimated national and subnational (States and Regions) vaccine coverage with corresponding

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

95% confidence intervals (CIs), using sampling weights to account for the DHS survey design. Vaccine coverage is the percentage of women who reported receiving at least one tetanus toxoid injections during their last pregnancy.

We selected 14 variables in three domains related to receiving the vaccine: (1) Socio-demographic: state or region of residence, urban/rural residence, age, birth order of the last pregnancy, educational attainment, occupation type, household wealth quintiles; (2) Women's agency: whether the respondent needed permission from others to obtain medical help, who usually made decisions about the respondent's healthcare, whether the respondent was willing to go to a health facility alone; (3) Healthcare access: whether the last delivery was a caesarean section, whether the respondent had enough money for treatment of health problems, distance to the nearest health facility, where the respondent received antenatal care (at home, at a public clinic, a non-governmental organization clinic, a private clinic, or none received).

We conceptualized the domains of explanatory variables in a hierarchical framework [10]. In this framework, we hypothesized that vaccination was influenced by proximal factors related to healthcare access, which were themselves influenced by factors related to women's agency and autonomy in seeking care. These in turn were influenced by socio-demographic characteristics and place of residence (Figure 1).

We used logistic regression models to investigate factors associated with a lack of tetanus vaccination during pregnancy. In Model 1, we included distal factors of socio-demographic variables and summarized using odds ratios (ORs) and their corresponding CIs. In Model 2, we added factors of women's agency to Model 1. In the last model, we added variables of healthcare access domain to model 2. We performed modelling with and without accounting for the sampling weight and compared the results. All analyses were conducted in R (version 3.5.1) [11] and survey analysis was performed using `survey` package [12].

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required as this is a secondary analysis of publicly available data.

Role of the funding source

No funding was received for this work.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Results

Maternal tetanus vaccination coverage

A total of 3582 women reported giving birth in the previous five years. Of these, 58 (1.6%) reported not knowing whether they had received tetanus vaccination during pregnancy and were excluded, leaving 3524 for analysis. Of these, 2412 (68%) were from Regions and 2699 (77%) resided in rural areas. The median age was 31 years (interquartile range: 26, 36). A third of women (1204, 34%) had their first childbirth in the previous five years. Most women (2941, 83%) had at least primary school education (Table 1).

Overall, 85.7% (95% CI: 83.0%, 88.3%) of women had received tetanus vaccine during pregnancy. Coverage was highest in Mon State (95.0%) and lowest in Shan State (69.6%). Coverage was also higher in urban relative to rural areas and among women who attained secondary or higher education, and increased with increasing wealth quintiles. Conversely, coverage decreased with increasing parity and was lower among women in agricultural occupations (Table 1).

Factors associated with lack of vaccination

In Model 1, rural residence and higher parity were associated with higher odds of being unvaccinated, while older age, higher educational level and higher wealth quintiles were associated with lower odds of being unvaccinated. However, most of these associations were attenuated following the inclusion of intermediate and proximal variables, with only educational attainment remaining strongly associated with vaccination status (Table 2).

In Model 3, lack of antenatal care (OR: 18.99, 95% CI: 14.21, 25.39), or receiving antenatal care at home (OR: 2.05, 95% CI: 1.46, 2.88) or at private or non-governmental organization (NGO) facilities (OR: 2.88, 95% CI: 1.81, 4.58) were all strongly associated with increased odds of being unvaccinated relative to receiving antenatal care at public health facilities. In addition, women who reported not wanting to seek healthcare on their own were more likely to be unvaccinated (OR: 1.53, 95% CI: 1.14, 2.06). In contrast, higher educational attainment was associated with lower odds of being unvaccinated (OR: 0.48, 95% CI: 0.32, 0.70 for secondary relative to no education). Multivariable analysis accounting for survey sampling weights yielded largely similar results (Appendix 2).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

We found high overall coverage of maternal tetanus vaccination in Myanmar. Despite this, there were important regional variations, with three States reporting <80% coverage. Notably, coverage was lower in rural areas, among women of higher parity, lower educational attainment, and lower wealth quintiles. Our analysis indicates these inequalities are largely mediated through differences in access to healthcare and antenatal care. Among socio-demographic variables, only educational attainment remained strongly associated with vaccination status after controlling for healthcare access variables. A lack of antenatal care or receiving antenatal care other than in public healthcare facilities were strongly associated with being unvaccinated.

Our results revealed that the proportion of unvaccinated women was highest in Shan, Kayin and Chin States. This likely reflects challenges in providing maternal health services in conflict-affected areas, particularly in Shan[13] and Kayin States [14]. Chin State is highly mountainous with few transportation links, which poses challenges for access to maternal health services [15]. Antenatal care is recognized as a key strategy to deliver tetanus vaccination and sustain the MNT elimination [16]. However, antenatal care coverage (at least one antenatal visit throughout pregnancy) in areas of ethnic and community based health organizations in these areas is much lower than the national coverage [17]. Another study using DHS data also observed regional differences in antenatal care coverage (at least four antenatal visits): the coverage in Shan, Kayin and Chin States ranged from 37 to 50%, compared with the coverage in Yangon Region of 82% [18].

Of particular concern is the low vaccination coverage among women who did not access or receive antenatal care. Approximately 1 in 8 women reported not receiving any antenatal care and of these, less than half reported receiving tetanus vaccination. Studies have shown that maternal tetanus immunization is associated with receipt of antenatal care in Pakistan [19] and with higher number of antenatal care visits in Kenya, Egypt and Ethiopia [20-22]. Our findings similarly point to gaps in antenatal care provision that need to be addressed as Myanmar works towards achieving universal health coverage.

In Myanmar, antenatal care services including maternal tetanus vaccination are provided through networks of health facilities/centres at the village level [23]. Our results show that this system can effectively provide immunization services to most women, although the lower coverage among women receiving antenatal care outside the public health sector – private or NGO facilities or at home – points to an opportunity to increase vaccination coverage in these sectors. Antenatal care at home is usually provided by trained midwives and lady health visitors, but in some rural areas care is provided by traditional birth attendants who are not trained to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

administer vaccination. A study in Krabi Province, Thailand, found that although 91% of traditional birth attendants were aware of about the availability of an injection for antenatal care at the health centre, only half knew that it was for tetanus prevention [24], and this may point to similar gaps in the provision of home antenatal care in Myanmar.

Importantly, maternal tetanus vaccination was strongly associated with educational level, which remained independently associated after controlling for healthcare access variables. This suggests a synergistic opportunity for promoting access to both education and healthcare in generating demand for and acceptance of vaccination and improving maternal health outcomes. Lower maternal education is related to lower use of antenatal care services [25], while higher maternal education is also associated with higher uptake of maternal immunisation in several low-income countries, including India [26], Bangladesh [27], Kenya [20], and Malawi [28].

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting the results of this study. In common with other DHS surveys, maternal tetanus vaccination is self-reported and subject to inaccurate recall. However, a previous study from Brazil showed that self-reported uptake of maternal TT vaccination can be higher compared with information in medical records [29]. In addition, ethnicity and religion could be important determinants of maternal vaccination [30]. However, this information was not available in the survey.

Despite this, using data from a large, nationally representative, and geographically stratified survey, we were able to identify regional, structural, and individual differences in maternal tetanus vaccination coverage. Our findings indicate that achieving WHO's recommended target of protecting all pregnant women and babies against tetanus will largely depend on the ability to provide accessible antenatal care to the substantial fraction of women who currently do not currently receive it.

Data sharing

The 2015-16 DHS Myanmar dataset is accessible upon request from: https://dhsprogram.com/

Conflict of interest

We declare no competing interest.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Contributors

ZR, ZMT, and CCT conceived the study. ZR obtained the data. ZR analysed and interpreted the data. ZR wrote the first draft of the report. ZR, ZMT, and CCT contributed to the writing of the report. All authors agreed with report results and conclusions. ZMT and CCT accessed and verified the underlying data.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Demographic and Health Survey Program for providing data for this analysis.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Hierarchical framework of factors associated with maternal tetanus vaccination



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of women in our study and their maternal tetanus vaccination status during their last pregnancy (Sample weight-adjusted)

	N	%	Vaccine coverage*		
			n	%	(95% CI)
Overall	3524		3019	85.7	(83.0, 88.3)
States and Regions					
Shan State	454	12.9	316	69.6	(56.1, 83.1)
Kayin State	110	3.1	85	77.4	(63.6, 91.3)
Chin State	43	1.2	34	79.9	(68.0, 91.8)
Ayeyarwaddy Region	492	14.0	417	84.9	(77.4, 92.4)
Taninthayi Region	98	2.8	84	85.6	(76.2, 95.0)
Sagaing Region	394	11.2	338	85.9	(76.8, 95.1)
Rakhine State	234	6.7	202	86.1	(79.4, 92.7)
Magway Region	263	7.5	230	87.6	(82.1, 93.0)
Naypyidaw Union Territory (Region)	82	2.3	73	88.5	(83.7, 93.3)
Bago Region	322	9.2	292	90.6	(86.6, 94.5)
Yangon Region	383	10.9	349	91.0	(86.3, 95.8)
Mandalay Region	378	10.7	347	91.7	(87.5, 95.9)
Kachin State	131	3.7	119	91.3	(84.1, 98.5)
Kayah State	23	0.7	21	93.4	(88.9, 97.9)
Mon State	118	3.3	112	95.0	(91.4, 98.7)
State or Region of residence					
Region	2412	68.4	2130	88.3	(85.7, 90.9)
State	1112	31.6	889	80.0	(73.8, 86.1)
Type of residence					
Urban	825	23.4	755	91.5	(88.6, 94.3)
Rural	2699	76.6	2264	89.9	(80.6, 87.2)
Age group of women					
15-25	655	18.6	549	83.8	(79.3, 88.3)
25-30	913	25.9	786	86.1	(82.3, 89.9)
30-35	898	25.5	779	86.8	(83.7, 89.9)
35-49	1059	30.1	906	85.5	(82.0, 89.0)
Birth order of last pregnancy					
1st	1204	34.2	1070	88.9	(86.4, 91.3)
2nd	906	25.7	807	89.0	(85.7, 92.4)
3rd, 4th	929	26.4	781	84.1	(80.9, 87.2)
>4th	485	13.8	361	74.5	(66.6, 82.5)
Highest education level of woman					
No education	583	16.6	393	67.4	(58.3, 76.6)
Primary	1598	45.3	1379	86.3	(83.5, 89.1)
Secondary	1051	29.8	969	92.1	(90.1, 94.2)
Higher	292	8.3	278	95.3	(92.3, 98.4)
Woman's occupation type					
Unskilled manual	864	24.6	713	82.5	(77.2, 87.9)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Skilled manual	181	5.2	166	91.4	(86.5, 96.3)
Professionals, technical, Managerial	142	4.0	133	93.6	(88.4, 98.7)
Sales	530	15.1	471	88.7	(85.2, 92.3)
Agricultural self-employed	303	8.6	267	88.3	(83.1, 93.4)
Agricultural employee	198	5.6	138	69.9	(54.8, 84.9)
Others	59	1.7	52	89.1	(78.2, 100)
Not working	1236	35.2	1072	86.7	(83.2, 90.2)
Wealth quintiles					
Lowest	938	26.6	749	80.0	(75.1, 84.6)
Second	794	22.5	686	86.4	(81.9, 91.0)
Middle	660	18.7	567	85.9	(82.3, 89.4)
Fourth	577	16.4	510	88.5	(84.8, 92.1)
Highest	556	15.8	507	91.2	(85.7, 96.6)

CI, Confidence Interval

* Percentage of women who reported the receipt of at least one tetanus toxoid injections during their last pregnancy

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Factors associated with a lack of maternal tetanus vaccination (Sampling weight not adjusted)

Model 1 – Socio-demographic variables

Model 2 – Model 1 + Women's agency variables

Model 3 – Model 2 + Healthcare access variables

	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)		
		Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Demographic, Pregnancy and Socioeconomic Domain				
Residence				
Region or Union Territory	1	1	1	1
State	1.37 (1.14, 1.65)	0.99 (0.81, 1.22)	0.90 (0.72, 1.12)	0.81 (0.63, 1.04)
Type of place of residence				
Urban	1	1	1	1
Rural	2.51 (1.89, 3.32)	1.62 (1.18, 2.23)	1.43 (1.02, 1.99)	1.11 (0.76, 1.61)
Age group of women				
15-25	1	1	1	1
25-30	0.78 (0.59, 1.03)	0.75 (0.55, 1.03)	0.76 (0.55, 1.05)	0.78 (0.54, 1.13)
30-35	0.76 (0.57, 1.01)	0.58 (0.41, 0.83)	0.62 (0.43, 0.88)	0.75 (0.50, 1.13)
35-49	1.00 (0.77, 1.29)	0.52 (0.36, 0.75)	0.53 (0.36, 0.78)	0.67 (0.43, 1.04)
Birth order of last pregnancy				
1st	1	1	1	1
2nd	0.90 (0.68, 1.20)	0.87 (0.64, 1.19)	0.91 (0.66, 1.25)	0.79 (0.55, 1.14)
3rd, 4th	1.32 (1.02, 1.70)	1.23 (0.89, 1.69)	1.19 (0.86, 1.67)	0.93 (0.64, 1.37)
>4th	2.80 (2.17, 3.62)	2.14 (1.46, 3.13)	2.18 (1.47, 3.25)	1.29 (0.81, 2.05)
Highest education level of woman				
No education	1	1	1	1
Primary	0.37 (0.30, 0.46)	0.45 (0.35, 0.57)	0.46 (0.36, 0.59)	0.66 (0.49, 0.89)
Secondary	0.17 (0.13, 0.23)	0.26 (0.19, 0.36)	0.27 (0.19, 0.37)	0.48 (0.32, 0.70)
Higher	0.07 (0.04, 0.14)	0.20 (0.09, 0.43)	0.19 (0.08, 0.42)	0.29 (0.12, 0.69)
Woman's occupation type				
Unskilled manual	1	1	1	1
Skilled manual	0.58 (0.34, 1.01)	1.14 (0.64, 2.01)	1.06 (0.58, 1.95)	1.03 (0.52, 2.03)
Professionals, technical, Managerial	0.25 (0.12, 0.52)	0.55 (0.25, 1.22)	0.49 (0.21, 1.14)	0.58 (0.24, 1.43)
Sales	0.57 (0.41, 0.80)	1.06 (0.74, 1.52)	1.03 (0.70, 1.51)	1.31 (0.86, 2.01)
Agricultural self-employed	1.17 (0.85, 1.60)	1.16 (0.83, 1.62)	1.01 (0.71, 1.44)	0.94 (0.62, 1.41)
Agricultural employee	1.68 (1.14, 2.46)	1.68 (1.12, 2.51)	1.63 (1.07, 2.48)	1.29 (0.79, 2.10)
Others	0.40 (0.14, 1.12)	1.18 (0.40, 3.46)	1.27 (0.43, 3.74)	1.15 (0.36, 3.72)
Not working	0.88 (0.69, 1.12)	1.11 (0.86, 1.43)	1.10 (0.85, 1.44)	1.08 (0.80, 1.46)
Wealth quintiles				
Lowest	1	1	1	1
Second	0.59 (0.46, 0.75)	0.72 (0.56, 0.94)	0.72 (0.55, 0.94)	0.77 (0.56, 1.05)
Middle	0.49 (0.38, 0.64)	0.67 (0.50, 0.89)	0.73 (0.54, 0.99)	0.90 (0.63,1.27)
Fourth	0.38 (0.28, 0.52)	0.64 (0.46, 0.90)	0.72 (0.51, 1.02)	0.91 (0.61, 1.35)
Highest	0.30 (0.21, 0.42)	0.59 (0.40, 0.87)	0.65 (0.43, 0.98)	0.91 (0.56, 1.45)
Women's agency				
Getting permission to go for medical help				

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Not a big problem	1	1	1
Big problem	2.62 (1.92, 3.58)	1.41 (0.97, 2.04)	1.25 (0.81, 1.93)
Person who usually decide woman's health care			
Self	1	1	1
With others	1.06 (0.86, 1.31)	1.01 (0.81, 1.26)	0.97 (0.75, 1.25)
By others	1.21 (0.92, 1.59)	0.90 (0.67, 1.20)	0.75 (0.53, 1.04)
Woman not wanting to go alone			
Not a big problem	1	1	1
Big problem	2.28 (1.89, 2.75)	1.67 (1.35, 2.07)	1.53 (1.14, 2.06)
Healthcare Access			
Last birth a caesarean section			
No	1		1
Yes	0.32 (0.23, 0.45)		0.90 (0.59, 1.37)
Getting money needed for treatment of woman			
Not a big problem	1		1
Big problem	1.95 (1.61, 2.35)		1.10 (0.83, 1.46)
Distance to health facility			
Not a big problem	1		1
Big problem	2.7 (2.24, 3.26)		1.07 (0.78, 1.47)
Place of antenatal care			
Public health facilities	1		1
Home	2.64 (1.94, 3.60)		2.05 (1.46, 2.88)
Private health facilities or NGO clinics	2.11 (1.40, 3.19)		2.88 (1.81, 4.58)
None	24.77 (19.26, 31.87)		18.99 (14.21, 25.39)

CI, Confidence Interval; OR, Odds ratio

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

[1] World Health Organization. Maternal and Neonatal Tetanus Elimination (MNTE).

[2] World Health Organization. Maternal immunization and antenatal care service delivery situation analysis project. Geneva, Switzerland2020.

[3] World Health Organization. Maternal Immunization Against Tetanus. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2006.

[4] Ministry of Immigration and Population/Myanmar. The 2014 Population and Housing Census. Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar2014.

[5] Ministry of Health Sports - MoHS/Myanmar, ICF. Myanmar Demographic and Health Survey 2015-16. Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar: MoHS and ICF; 2017.

[6] United Nations. UN declares Myanmar free of maternal and neonatal tetanus. United Nations News; 2010.

[7] Ministry of Health Sports - MoHS/Myanmar. Neonatal Tetanus. Monthly Epidemiology Bulletin. 2019:3, 7.

[8] Ministry of Health and Sports/Myanmar. National Health Plan 2017-2021. Naypyitaw2016.

[9] Ministry of Health and Sports/Myanmar. National Health Plan: Annual Operational Plan (2018-2019). Naypyitaw2018.

[10] Victora CG, Huttly SR, Fuchs SC, Olinto MT. The role of conceptual frameworks in epidemiological analysis: a hierarchical approach. Int J Epidemiol. 1997;26:224-7.

[11] R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2019.

[12] Lumley T. survey: analysis of complex survey samples. 2020.

[13] Institute for Security & Development Policy. A Return to War:Militarized Conflicts inNorthern Shan State. Stockholm-Nacka, Sweden: European Union; 2018.

[14] Sandford S. Conflict Resumes in Karen State After Myanmar Army Returns. Washington: Voice of America; 2018.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

[15] Soe KH. Transport infrastructure upgrades take shape in Chin State. Yangon, Myanmar: The Global New Light of Myanmar; 2020.

[16] World Health Organization. Protecting all against tetanus: guide to sustaining maternal and neonatal tetanus elimination (MNTE) and broadening tetanus protection for all populations. Geneva: World Health Organization, ; 2019.

[17] Davis B, Jolliffe K. Achieving Health Equity in Contested Areas of Southeast Myanmar. The Asia Foundation; 2016.

[18] Han SM, Rahman MM, Rahman MS, Swe KT, Palmer M, Sakamoto H, et al. Progress towards universal health coverage in Myanmar: a national and subnational assessment. The Lancet Global Health. 2018;6:e989-e97.

[19] Yousuf F, Haider G, Shaikh RB. Factors for inaccessibility of antenatal care by women in Sindh. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2010;22:187-9.

[20] Haile ZT, Chertok IR, Teweldeberhan AK. Determinants of utilization of sufficient tetanus toxoid immunization during pregnancy: evidence from the Kenya Demographic and Health Survey, 2008-2009. J Community Health. 2013;38:492-9.

[21] Ahmed A, El-Berrawy M. Factors Affecting Maternal Tetanus Vaccination in Dakahlia Governorate, Egypt. Journal of High Institute of Public Health. 2019;49:30-5.

[22] Dubale Mamoro M, Kelbiso Hanfore L. Tetanus Toxoid Immunization Status and Associated Factors among Mothers in Damboya Woreda, Kembata Tembaro Zone, SNNP, Ethiopia. J Nutr Metab. 2018;2018:2839579.

[23] Ministry of Health Sports - MoHS/Myanmar. National Guidelines for Antenatal Care For Service Providers. 2018. p. 9, 16.

[24] Chongsuvivatwong V, Bucharkorn L, Treetrong R. Traditional birth attendants in an endemic area of tetanus neonatorum in Thailand: pitfalls in the control program. J Community Health. 1991;16:325-31.

[25] Titaley CR, Dibley MJ, Roberts CL. Factors associated with underutilization of antenatal care services in Indonesia: results of Indonesia Demographic and Health Survey 2002/2003 and 2007. BMC Public Health. 2010;10:485.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.21253169; this version posted March 11, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

[26] Khan REA, Raza MA. Maternal health-care in India: The case of tetanus toxoid vaccination. Asian Development Policy Review. 2013;1:1-14.

[27] Rahman M. Tetanus toxoid vaccination coverage and differential between urban and rural areas of Bangladesh. East Afr J Public Health. 2009;6:26-31.

[28] Pathirana J, Nkambule J, Black S. Determinants of maternal immunization in developing countries. Vaccine. 2015;33:2971-7.

[29] Morón-Duarte LS, Ramirez Varela A, Bassani DG, Bertoldi AD, Domingues MR, Wehrmeister FC, et al. Agreement of antenatal care indicators from self-reported questionnaire and the antenatal care card of women in the 2015 Pelotas birth cohort, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2019;19:410.

[30] McGlynn N, Wilk P, Luginaah I, Ryan BL, Thind A. Increased use of recommended maternal health care as a determinant of immunization and appropriate care for fever and diarrhoea in Ghana: an analysis pooling three demographic and health surveys. Health Policy and Planning. 2014;30:895-905.