

Absent or insufficient anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies at ICU admission are associated to higher viral loads in plasma, antigenemia and mortality in COVID-19 patients

María Martin-Vicente^{1*}, Raquel Almansa^{2,3,4*}, Isidoro Martínez^{1*}, Ana P. Tedim^{2,3}, Elena Bustamante^{2,5}, Luis Tamayo^{2,6}, César Aldecoa^{2,7,8}, José Manuel Gómez⁹, Gloria Renedo⁵, Jose Ángel Berezo⁶, Jamil Antonio Cedeño⁹, Nuria Mamolar⁵, Pablo García Olivares⁹, Rubén Herrán^{2,6}, Ramón Cicuendez⁵, Pedro Enríquez^{2,6}, Alicia Ortega^{2,3}, Noelia Jorge^{2,3,4}, Amanda de la Fuente^{2,3}, Juan Bustamante-Munguira¹⁰, María José Muñoz-Gómez¹, Milagros González-Rivera¹¹, Carolina Puertas¹¹, Vicente Más¹², Mónica Vázquez¹², Felipe Pérez-García¹³, Jesús Rico-Feijoo^{2,8}, Silvia Martín^{2,8}, Anna Motos^{4,14}, Laia Fernandez-Barat^{4,14}, Jose María Eiros¹⁵, Marta Dominguez-Gil¹⁵, Ricard Ferrer^{4,16}, Ferrán Barbé^{4,17}, David J Kelvin^{4,18,19†}, Jesús F Bermejo-Martin^{2,3,4†}, Salvador Resino^{1†}, Antoni Torres^{4,14†}.

*These authors contributed equally

†These authors contributed equally

1. Unidad de Infección Viral e Inmunidad, Centro Nacional de Microbiología, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Majadahonda, Spain.

2. Group for Biomedical Research in Sepsis (BioSepsis). Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Salamanca, (IBSAL), Gerencia Regional de Salud, Paseo de San Vicente, 58-182, 37007 Salamanca, Spain

3. Hospital Universitario Río Hortega, Gerencia Regional de Salud, Calle Dulzaina, 2, 47012 Valladolid, Spain.

4. Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red en Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES),

Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Av. de Monforte de Lemos, 3-5, 28029 Madrid, Spain

NOTES: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

5. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid, Gerencia Regional de Salud. Av. Ramón y Cajal, 3, 47003 Valladolid, Spain
6. Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Universitario Río Hortega, Gerencia Regional de Salud, Calle Dulzaina, 2, 47012 Valladolid, Spain.
7. Department of Anesthesiology, Facultad de Medicina de Valladolid, Av. Ramón y Cajal, 7, 47005 Valladolid, Spain.
8. Anesthesiology and Reanimation Service, Hospital Universitario Río Hortega, Gerencia Regional de Salud, Calle Dulzaina, 2, 47012 Valladolid, Spain.
9. Intensive Care Unit. Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón. Calle del Dr. Esquerdo, 46, 28007 Madrid, Spain.
10. Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid. Gerencia Regional de Salud, Av. Ramón y Cajal, 3, 47003 Valladolid, Spain
11. Department of Laboratory Medicine, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Calle del Dr. Esquerdo, 46, 28007 Madrid, Spain.
12. Unidad de Biología Viral, Centro Nacional de Microbiología, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain.
13. Servicio de Microbiología Clínica, Hospital Universitario Príncipe de Asturias, Carr. de Alcalá, s/n, 28805, Madrid, Spain
14. Department of Pulmonology, Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, Universidad de Barcelona, Institut D investigacions August Pi I Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Carrer del Rosselló, 149, 08036 Barcelona, Spain.

15. Microbiology Service. Hospital Universitario Río Hortega, Gerencia Regional de Salud, Calle Dulzaina, 2, 47012 Valladolid, Spain.

16. Intensive Care Department, Vall d'Hebron Hospital Universitari. SODIR Research Group, Vall d'Hebron Institut de Recerca, Passeig de la Vall d'Hebron, 119, 08035 Barcelona, Spain.

17. Respiratory Department, Institut Ricerca Biomedica de Lleida, Av. Alcalde Rovira Roure, 80, 25198 Lleida, Spain.

18. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Canadian Center for Vaccinology CCfV, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 4R2, Canada

19. Laboratory of Immunity, Shantou University Medical College, 22 Xinling Rd, Jinping, Shantou, Guangdong, China.

Corresponding autor: Jesús F Bermejo-Martin, Group for Biomedical Research in Sepsis (BioSepsis). Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Salamanca, (IBSAL), Paseo de San Vicente, 58-182, 37007 Salamanca, Spain., jfbermejo@saludcastillayleon.es

Abstract

Purpose: to evaluate the association between anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgM and IgG antibodies with viral RNA load in plasma, the frequency of antigenemia and with the risk of mortality in critically ill patients with COVID-19.

Methods: anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies levels, viral RNA load and antigenemia were profiled in plasma of 92 adult patients in the first 24 hours following ICU admission. The impact of these variables on 30-day mortality was assessed by using Kaplan-Meier curves and multivariate Cox regression analysis.

Results: non survivors showed more frequently absence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgG and IgM antibodies than survivors (26.3% vs 5.6% for IgM and 18.4% vs 5.6% for IgG), and a higher frequency of antigenemia (47.4% vs 22.2%) ($p < 0.05$). Non survivors showed lower concentrations of anti-S IgG and IgM and higher viral RNA loads in plasma, which were associated to increased 30-day mortality and decreased survival mean time. [Adjusted HR (CI95%), p]: [S IgM ($AUC \geq 60$): 0.48 (0.24; 0.97), 0.040]; [S IgG ($AUC \geq 237$): 0.47 (0.23; 0.97), 0.042]; [Antigenemia (+): 2.45 (1.27; 4.71), 0.007]; [N1 viral load (≥ 2.156 copies/mL): 2.21 (1.11; 4.39), 0.024]; [N2 viral load (≥ 3.035 copies/mL): 2.32 (1.16; 4.63), 0.017]. Frequency of antigenemia was >2.5-fold higher in patients with absence of antibodies. Levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies correlated inversely with viral RNA load.

Conclusion: absence / insufficient levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies following ICU admission is associated to poor viral control, evidenced by increased viral RNA loads in plasma, higher frequency of antigenemia, and also to increased 30-day mortality.

Keywords: antigenemia, antibodies, COVID-19, ICU, plasma, viral load.

Take-home message: absent or low levels of antibodies against the S protein of SARS-CoV-2 at ICU admission is associated to an increased risk of mortality, higher frequency of antigenemia and higher viral RNA loads in plasma. Profiling anti-SARS-CoV-2 s antibodies at ICU admission could help to predict outcome and to better identify those patients potentially deserving replacement treatment with monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies.

Introduction

Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies bind to the viral spike protein, inhibiting virus attachment to cell surface receptors [1]. Therefore, during infection with SARS-CoV-2, the development of anti-S antibodies could reduce viral replication by interfering with virus entry into a cell.

From the clinical point of view, recent evidence suggests that anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies could play a role in protecting against severe disease in patients with COVID-19 [2].

Nonetheless, the impact of these antibodies on patients' survival with COVID-19 admitted to the ICU has not been sufficiently addressed to the present moment.

Recent works from our group and others have evidenced the importance of SARS-CoV-2 RNAemia [3] [4] [5] and antigenemia as markers of severity in COVID-19 [6]. The presence of viral material in plasma could represent a surrogated marker of poor viral control by patient's immune response. Whether anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies could have any influence on the dissemination of viral genomic material or viral proteins at the systemic level has yet to be properly studied.

In this work, we profiled levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgM and IgG antibodies in plasma of 92 patients with COVID-19 in the first 24 hours following admission to the ICU, and evaluated their association with mortality. In parallel, we quantified viral RNA load in plasma and tested the presence or absence of antigenemia in these patients, correlating them with the levels of antibodies and outcome.

Materials and Methods

Study design: 92 critically ill adult patients with a positive nasopharyngeal swab polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test for SARS-CoV-2 performed at participating hospitals were recruited during the first pandemic wave in Spain from March 16th to April 15th 2020.

Blood samples: Plasma from blood collected in EDTA tubes samples was obtained in the first 24 hours following admission to the ICU, following proper centrifugation. Samples were stored at -80°C until quantification of antibodies, viral RNA load and antigenemia evaluation.

Detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in plasma: RNA was extracted from 100 µl of plasma using an automated system, eMAG® from bioMérieux® (Marcy l'Etoile, France). Detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA was performed in five µl of the eluted solution using the Bio-Rad SARS-CoV-2 ddPCR kit according to manufacturer's specifications on a QX-200 droplet digital PCR platform from the same provider. This PCR targets the N1 and N2 regions of the viral nucleoprotein gene and also the human ribonuclease (RNase) P gene using the primers and probes sets detailed in the CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel [7]. Samples were considered positive for SARS-CoV-2 when N1 and/or N2 presented values ≥ 0.1 copies/µL in a given reaction. RNase P gene was considered positive when it presented values ≥ 0.2 copies/µL, following manufacturer's indications. The test was only considered valid when RNase P gene was positive. Final results were given in copies of cDNA / mL of plasma.

Immunoassay for antibody quantification: a specific immunoassay was developed to quantify anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgG and IgM antibodies in plasma. The plasmid pαH coding for the S protein ectodomain (residues 1-1208) of the SARS-CoV-2 2019-nCoV (GenBank: MN908947) was kindly provided by Dr. Jason McLellan (the University of Texas at Austin-USA) [8]. Mutagenesis was carried out to obtain a HexaPro construct that allowed a high-

yield production of a stabilized prefusion spike protein [9]. The following substitutions were included at the ectodomain: glycine at residue 614 (D614G), a "GSAS" substitution at the furin cleavage site (residues 682–685), and proline at residues 817, 892, 899, 942, 986, and 987. For trimerization and purification, the C-terminal end of the S protein ectodomain was fused to the T4 fibrin trimerization motif (foldon), an HRV3C protease cleavage site, and an 8XHisTag. The expression vector coding for the SARS-CoV-2 S protein ectodomain was used to transiently transfect FreeStyle 293F cells (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) using polyethylenimine. The S protein domain was purified from filtered cell supernatants using Ni-NTA resins (Sigma Aldrich, San Luis, MO, USA) and subjected to an additional purification step by size-exclusion chromatography using a Superose 6 10/300 column (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA).

Antibody titration: Antibody titers against the S protein were determined by incubating serial dilutions of serum samples (starting at a 1:50 dilution) with the purified S protein ectodomain. Ninety-six well plates were coated with 200 ng per well of the S protein ectodomain. The following day, serum samples were added, and the binding to the S protein was determined by successive incubations with a secondary peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG or IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA) antibody and the OPD substrate (Sigma Aldrich, San Luis, MO, USA). The area under the curve (AUC) was calculated by using GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and the following parameters: Baseline $Y=0.1$; ignore peaks that are less than 10% of the distance from minimum to maximum Y ; all peaks must go above the baseline. The AUC is expressed as X units times the Y units.

Antigenemia: The presence/absence of N antigen of SARS-CoV-2 in plasma was evaluated by using the Panbio™ COVID-19 Ag Rapid Test Device from Abbott (Chicago, IL, USA).

Outcome and factor variables: The outcome variable was mortality at 30 days. The factors analyzed were: i) plasma IgM titers against SARS-CoV-2 spike protein; ii) plasma IgG titers against SARS-CoV-2 spike protein; iii) plasma SARS-CoV-2 N-antigenemia; iv) plasma SARS-CoV-2 N1-RNA; v) plasma SARS-CoV-2 N2-RNA.

Statistical analysis: Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0 (SPSS INC, Armonk, NY, U.S.A), and figures were generated using GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, U.S.A). The level of significance was set at 0.05 (2-tailed). For descriptive analysis of patient characteristics, the differences between independent groups were assessed using the Chi-square test or Fisher's Exact Test for categorical variables. Differences for continuous variables were evaluated by using the Mann-Whitney U test. The ability of the factor variables to differentiate survivors from non-survivors was evaluated using the receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve. The cut-off of those factor variables for 30-day mortality prediction was obtained by calculating the optimal operating point (OOP) in the ROC, namely, the point on the ROC that had the minimum distance to the upper left corner calculated by Pythagorean theorem.

$$OOP = \sqrt{(1 - sensitivity)^2 + (1 - specificity)^2}$$

For association analysis, survival analysis was carried out to evaluate 30-day mortality. According to presence/absence and OOP for the ROC curve, these factor variables were stratified into categorical variables. Kaplan-Meier product-limit method was used to calculate survival probabilities and the log-rank test to compare groups. We also used Cox proportional-hazards models to estimate the risk of dying, adjusted by the significant covariates at baseline (see **Table 1**).

Results

Characteristics of the patients

Baseline characteristics of the patients according to 30-day mortality are shown in **Table 1**.

Patients who died were older than those who survived ($p < 0.001$). Besides, patients who died had more frequently arterial hypertension ($p = 0.012$) and type-2 diabetes ($p = 0.031$), higher values of glucose ($p = 0.027$) and creatinine ($p = 0.005$), lower values of platelets ($p < 0.001$) and monocytes ($p < 0.001$), and higher APACHE ($p < 0.001$) and SOFA ($p = 0.013$) scores. Eight and thirteen patients had no detectable levels in the plasma of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgG or anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgM respectively. Patients who died were more frequently treated with beta interferon ($p = 0.044$) and had a shorter hospital stay ($p < 0.001$) than those who survived the first 30 days in ICU.

Antibodies, antigenemia, viral RNA load and 30-day mortality

Samples from those patients with absence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgM were collected at a median time since symptoms onset of 5 days, for 10 days in those with presence of IgM ($p = 0.008$). In turn, samples from those patients with absence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgG were collected at a median time since symptoms onset of 8 days, for 10 days in those with presence of IgG ($p = 0.147$). Patients who died had lower frequency of positive anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgM (**Supp file 1A**; $p = 0.005$) and IgG (**Supp file 1B**; $p = 0.050$) than those who survived, and higher frequency of plasma SARS-CoV-2 N antigenemia (**Supp file 1C**; $p = 0.011$). The Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that patients with absence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgM and IgG had lower survival than patients with seropositivity of IgM (**Figure 1A**; $p < 0.001$) and IgG (**Figure 1B**; $p = 0.003$). However, patients with SARS-CoV-2 N antigenemia had lower survival than patients without N antigenemia (**Figure 1C**; $p = 0.011$). The Cox regression analysis adjusted by the most relevant covariates (**Table 2**) showed that the presence of anti-

SARS-CoV-2 S IgM (adjusted hazard ratio (aHR) = 0.39 (95% of confidence interval (95%CI) = 0.17; 0.88); $p = 0.023$) and IgG (aHR = 0.17 (95%CI = 0.06; 0.44); $p < 0.001$) were associated with a lower risk of death. Besides, the presence of plasma N antigenemia were associated with a higher risk of death (aHR = 2.45 (95%CI = 1.27; 4.71); $p = 0.007$). Patients who died had lower values of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgM (**Supp file 2A**; $p = 0.002$) and IgG (**Supp file 2B**; $p = 0.004$) than those who survived. Conversely, patients who died had higher viral RNA load in plasma of SARS-CoV-2 N1 (**Supp file 2C**; $p = 0.028$) and N2 (**Supp file 2D**; $p = 0.041$) than survivors. Patients with low values of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgM and IgG had lower survival than patients with high values of IgM (**Figure 2A**; $p < 0.001$) and IgG (**Figure 2B**; $p < 0.001$) values. Conversely, patients with high plasma viral load values of SARS-CoV-2 N1 (**Figure 2C**; $p < 0.001$) and N2 (**Figure 2D**; $p < 0.001$) had lower survival than those with low values. The adjusted Cox regression analysis (**Table 2**) reported that high plasma values of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgM (aHR = 0.48 (95%CI = 0.24; 0.97); $p = 0.040$) and IgG (aHR = 0.47 (95%CI = 0.23; 0.97); $p = 0.042$) were associated with a lower risk of death; and high plasma viral load values of SARS-CoV-2 N1 (aHR = 2.21 (95%CI = 1.11; 4.39); $p = 0.024$) and N2 (aHR = 2.32 (95%CI = 1.16; 4.63); $p = 0.017$) were associated with a higher risk of death.

Correlate between antibody responses, antigenemia and viral RNA load in plasma:

Frequency of antigenemia was >2.5 fold higher in patients with absence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies than in those who presented to the ICU with detectable antibodies (frequency of antigenemia in those patients with absence/presence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgM: 77% / 25%; frequency of antigenemia in those patients with absence/presence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgG: 70% / 28%) (**Figure 3**). In turn, levels of anti-S antibodies correlated inversely with viral RNA load in plasma: (correlation coefficient, p): anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgG / N1 (copies/mL) (-0.45, < 0.001); anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgG / N2 (copies/mL) (-0.48, < 0.001); anti-SARS-

CoV-2 S IgM / N1 (copies/mL) (-0.34, < 0.001); anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgM / N2 (copies/mL) (-0.37, < 0.001) (**Figure 3**).

Discussion

Our study demonstrates that those critically ill COVID-19 patients with absent or insufficient levels of specific IgM or IgG antibodies against the S protein of SARS-CoV-2 following ICU admission show an increased risk of mortality. Li et al found that the production of antibodies is delayed in severe COVID-19 patients as compared to non-severe ones [10], although the former seem to exhibit higher antibody concentrations than patients with milder forms of the disease [10] [11]. The impact of antibody levels on mortality risk in COVID-19 is controversial. In the study from Röltgen K *et al*, antibody responses in acute illness were not related with patients' outcomes [2]. In contrast, Hashem AM et al reported significantly higher levels of anti-S1 and -N IgG and IgM antibodies in patients with fatal outcomes [11]. Nonetheless, these studies include a mixture of mild, moderate and severe patients, with only a limited representation of critically ill patients. Previous work coming from our group evidence that the biological response of critically ill patients to SARS-CoV-2 infection differs from that of patients with milder forms of the disease [3]. Consequently, to study the association between levels of antibodies and mortality, it is important to analyze a homogenous population, as we do here, by considering exclusively critically ill COVID-19 patients. Results from Li K et al seem to confirm this notion. By studying only severe/critically ill COVID-19 patients, they found similar results to ours: patients non-surviving to the disease had significantly lower levels of both IgM and IgG compared to those who survived [10]. Nonetheless, in contrast to our work, the study of Li K et al lacks a multivariate analysis to confirm the association between antibody levels and mortality risk, which constitutes a major strength of our study. In turn, Asif S et al found that at both early and late timepoints following ICU admission, plasma concentrations of IgA, IgG and IgM

antibodies tend to be higher in COVID-19 patients who survived compared to those who had died at 30 days, but their study involved only 19 critically ill patients [12].

Our findings suggest that the potential protective role of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies in critically patients with COVID-19 could be related to an improved control of viral replication and of dissemination of viral material at the systemic level. Patients with absent /low levels of these antibodies show higher viral RNA loads in plasma and present antigenemia more frequently, which translates into an increased risk of mortality. Although we could not determine if the presence of lower antibody levels also correlated with the presence of live virus in plasma, systemic spread of viral RNA or viral antigens can drive unspecific stimulation of the innate immune response [13], which could contribute to induce immunopathological events. Results from Li et al also support the role of antibodies in controlling SARS-CoV-2 replication, since they found higher frequencies of anti-S receptor-binding domain (RBD)-specific IgG levels in those recovered patients who were SARS CoV-2 RNA negative than those who were RNA positive in respiratory samples [10]. In agreement with our results, Röltgen K *et al* found that increases in plasma anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies correlated with decreases in viral RNAemia along the course of COVID-19 [2]. In a small study with 39 patients (critically and non-critically ill), Ogata AF et al found a correlation between high concentrations of S1 antigen in plasma and ICU admission [6]. For viral-antigen positive patients, full antigen clearance in plasma was observed 5 ± 1 days after seroconversion [6]. Recent findings in a mixed cohort also of ICU and non-ICU patients from Hingrat QL et al also suggest that clearance of antigenemia seems to be linked with the apparition of specific anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies [14]. As far as we know, our work is pioneer in evidencing the direct association between low antibody titers and the presence of antigenemia, and also in demonstrating the impact of antigenemia in the mortality risk of those COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU.

In conclusion, a higher concentration of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies following ICU admission is associated with improved survival, lower antigenemia rates and lower viral RNA loads in plasma. Whether anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies mediate a direct protective effect against the virus and/or reflect a broader immunological response also involving a more efficient cellular response remains to be elucidated. Further works shedding light on this regard will help to clarify the potential role of polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 S protein as treatment of critically ill COVID-19 patients [15] [16] [17].

Stratifying patients by levels of antibodies at ICU admission could help to optimize patients' inclusion criteria, by selecting those with absence or low levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S IgM and IgG antibodies as those who could potentially benefit the most from these treatments. In addition, quantifying levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies at ICU admission could help to identify those individuals at higher risk of dying from COVID-19.

References

1. Deb P, Molla MdMA, Rahman KMS-U (2021) An update to monoclonal antibody as therapeutic option against COVID-19. Biosaf Health. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bsheal.2021.02.001>
2. Röltgen K, Powell AE, Wirz OF, et al (2020) Defining the features and duration of antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection associated with disease severity and outcome. Sci Immunol 5:. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abe0240>
3. Bermejo-Martin JF, González-Rivera M, Almansa R, et al (2020) Viral RNA load in plasma is associated with critical illness and a dysregulated host response in COVID-19. Crit Care 24:691. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-020-03398-0>
4. Veyer D, Kernéis S, Poulet G, et al (2020) Highly sensitive quantification of plasma SARS-CoV-2 RNA sheds light on its potential clinical value. Clin Infect Dis. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1196>
5. Hagman K, Hedenstierna M, Gille-Johnson P, et al (2020) SARS-CoV-2 RNA in serum as predictor of severe outcome in COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. Clin Infect Dis. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1285>

6. Ogata AF, Maley AM, Wu C, et al (2020) Ultra-sensitive Serial Profiling of SARS-CoV-2 Antigens and Antibodies in Plasma to Understand Disease Progression in COVID-19 Patients with Severe Disease. Clin Chem. <https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvaa213>
7. CDC (2020) Information for Laboratories about Coronavirus (COVID-19). In: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/rt-pcr-panel-primer-probes.html>. Accessed 14 Oct 2020
8. Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, et al (2020) Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. Science 367:1260–1263. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb2507>
9. Hsieh C-L, Goldsmith JA, Schaub JM, et al (2020) Structure-based design of prefusion-stabilized SARS-CoV-2 spikes. Science 369:1501–1505. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd0826>
10. Li K, Huang B, Wu M, et al (2020) Dynamic changes in anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies during SARS-CoV-2 infection and recovery from COVID-19. Nat Commun 11:6044. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19943-y>
11. Hashem AM, Algaissi A, Almahboub SA, et al (2020) Early Humoral Response Correlates with Disease Severity and Outcomes in COVID-19 Patients. Viruses 12:. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v12121390>
12. Asif S, Frithiof R, Lipcsey M, et al (2020) Weak anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody response is associated with mortality in a Swedish cohort of COVID-19 patients in critical care. Critical Care 24:639. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-020-03362-y>
13. Birra D, Benucci M, Landolfi L, et al (2020) COVID 19: a clue from innate immunity. Immunol Res 68:161–168. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12026-020-09137-5>
14. Hingrat QL, Visseaux B, Laouenan C, et al (2020) Detection of SARS-CoV-2 N-antigen in blood during acute COVID-19 provides a sensitive new marker and new testing alternatives. Clin Microbiol Infect. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.11.025>
15. Ali S, Luxmi S, Anjum F, et al (2020) Hyperimmune anti-COVID-19 IVIG (C-IVIG) Therapy for Passive Immunization of Severe and Critically Ill COVID-19 Patients: A structured summary of a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials 21:905. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-020-04839-5>
16. Salazar E, Christensen PA, Graviss EA, et al (2021) Significantly Decreased Mortality in a Large Cohort of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Patients Transfused Early with Convalescent Plasma Containing High-Titer Anti-Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Spike Protein IgG. Am J Pathol 191:90–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2020.10.008>
17. Marovich M, Mascola JR, Cohen MS (2020) Monoclonal Antibodies for Prevention and Treatment of COVID-19. JAMA 324:131–132. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.10245>

Funding: This work was supported by awards from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the Canadian 2019 Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Rapid Research Funding initiative (CIHR OV2 – 170357) (DJK) and the “Subvenciones de concesión directa para proyectos y programas de investigación del virus SARS-CoV2, causante del COVID-19”, FONDO - COVID19, Instituto de Salud Carlos III (COV20/00110, CIBERES, 06/06/0028), (AT) and finally by the “Convocatoria extraordinaria y urgente de la Gerencia Regional de Salud de Castilla y León, para la financiación de proyectos de investigación en enfermedad COVID-19” (GRS COVID 53/A/20) (CA). APT was funded by the Sara Borrell Research Grant CD018/0123 funded by Instituto de Salud Carlos III and co-financed by the European Development Regional Fund (A Way to Achieve Europe programme). The funding sources did not play any role neither in the design of the study and collection, not in the analysis, in the interpretation of data or in writing the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Availability of data and material: Original data are available under reasonable request for scientist working in the field of COVID-19

Code availability: Not applicable

Authors ‘contribution: SR, RA, AT, IM, JFBM, RF, FB, DJK designed the study. SR, JFBM, IM and AF drafted the manuscript. EB, LT, CA, JMG, GR, JAB, JAC, NM, PGO, RH, RC, PE, JBM, MGR, CP, FPG, MGR, JRF, SM, AM, LFB contributed with patient recruitment and data acquisition. MMV, MJMG, IM, VM, MV developed the antibody assay and profiled antibodies levels in plasma. APT, AO, NJ, JME, MDG set up the viral RNA quantification assays and profiled viral RNA load in plasma. All the authors critically reviewed the article and provided final approval of the version submitted for publication.

Ethics approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The study was approved by the Committee for Ethical Research of the coordinating institution, “Comite de Etica de la Investigacion con Medicamentos del Area de Salud de Salamanca”, code PI 2020 03 452.

Consent to participate: Informed consent was obtained orally when clinically possible. In the remaining cases, the informed consent waiver was authorized by the Ethics committee.

Consent for publication: not applicable

Acknowledgements: we thank the IBSAL and CIBER administrative support to run this study.

Characteristics	All	Alive by day 30	Dead by day 30	P-value
No.	92	54	38	
Epidemiology				
Age (years)	66 (50; 71.5)	60 (47; 67)	70 (66; 75)	<0.001
Male	60 (65.2%)	37 (68.5%)	23 (60.5%)	0.428
Alcoholism	1 (1.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.6%)	0.413
Smoking	5 (5.4%)	2 (3.7%)	3 (7.9%)	0.645
Comorbidities				
Cardiac disease	7 (7.6%)	2 (3.7%)	5 (13.2%)	0.121
Chronic vascular disease	5 (5.4%)	4 (7.4%)	1 (2.6%)	0.401
COPD	3 (3.3%)	2 (3.7%)	1 (2.6%)	0.999
Asthma	2 (2.2%)	1 (1.9%)	1 (2.6%)	0.999
Obesity	22 (23.9%)	16 (29.6%)	6 (15.8%)	0.125
Hypertension	39 (42.4%)	17 (31.5%)	22 (57.9%)	0.012
Dyslipidemia	30 (32.6%)	17 (31.5%)	13 (34.2%)	0.783
Chronic renal disease	3 (3.3%)	1 (1.9%)	2 (5.3%)	0.567
Chronic hepatic disease	3 (3.3%)	1 (1.9%)	2 (5.3%)	0.567
Type 1 diabetes	3 (3.3%)	3 (5.6%)	0 (0%)	0.265
Type 2 diabetes	19 (20.7%)	7 (13%)	12 (31.6%)	0.031
Measurements at ICU admission				
Temperature (°C)	37 (36.5; 37.6)	37 (36.6; 37.7)	36.8 (36; 37.2)	0.109
Systolic pressure (mmHg)	120 (108.5; 130)	120 (109; 132)	115.6 (108; 125)	0.274
Oxygen saturation (%)	92 (88; 94)	92 (88; 94)	91 (88; 95.5)	0.851
Bilateral pulmonary infiltrate	86 (93.5%)	51 (94.4%)	35 (92.1%)	0.688
Glucose (mg/dl)	160.5 (124.5; 208)	152 (114; 173)	174 (126; 267)	0.027
Creatinine (mg/dl)	0.9 (0.7; 1.3)	0.8 (0.7; 1)	1.1 (0.7; 1.7)	0.005
Na (mEq/L)	138.5 (135; 142)	139 (136; 142)	138 (134; 142)	0.328
K (mEq/L)	3.9 (3.5; 4.4)	3.9 (3.5; 4.4)	4 (3.5; 4.4)	0.763
Platelets (cell x 10³/ µl)	206.5 (163.5; 289.5)	262.5 (175; 309)	179.5 (154; 216)	<0.001
INR	1.2 (1.1; 1.3)	1.2 (1.1; 1.3)	1.3 (1.1; 1.4)	0.166
D Dimer (ng/ml)	6182 (1733; 51407)	5403 (1940; 50079)	6182 (976; 59829)	0.768
LDH (UI/L)	489 (358; 607.5)	502 (330; 606)	470.4 (362; 609)	0.962
GPT (UI/L)	46.5 (25.5; 69.5)	51.5 (29; 74)	37.5 (23.4; 69)	0.175
Ferritin (ng/ml)	885 (110; 1569)	10295 (296; 1518)	730 (75; 1621)	0.134
C-reactive protein (mg/dl)	97.6 (28; 182.8)	74.5 (23.7; 144)	117 (44.3; 227)	0.211
Hematocrit (%)	37.7 (34.6; 40.7)	38.4 (35.7; 41)	35.8 (32.9; 39.6)	0.116
WBC (cells/mm³)	9145 (6705; 13095)	9450 (7400; 13720)	8450 (6200; 11900)	0.216
Lymphocytes (cells/mm³)	525 (335; 800)	595 (400; 830)	465 (290; 670)	0.073
Neutrophils (cells/mm³)	8310 (5865; 11190)	8395 (6630; 11480)	7950 (5300; 10400)	0.526
Monocytes (cells/mm³)	300 (170; 496.9)	350 (200; 600)	190 (120; 400)	<0.001
Severity score				
APACHE	15 (10.5; 19)	13 (8; 16)	18 (14; 23)	<0.001
SOFA	6 (4; 8)	5.5 (4; 7)	7 (5; 9)	0.013
Treatment during hospitalization				
Invasive ventilation	88 (95.7%)	50 (92.6%)	38 (100%)	0.140
Non-invasive ventilation	32 (34.8%)	19 (35.2%)	13 (34.2%)	0.923
Hydroxychloroquine	91 (98.9%)	54 (100%)	37 (97.4%)	0.413
Corticoids	79 (85.9%)	49 (90.7%)	30 (78.9%)	0.111

Azithromycin	76 (82.6%)	44 (81.5%)	32 (84.2%)	0.732
Remdesivir	9 (9.8%)	7 (13%)	2 (5.3%)	0.298
Tocilizumab	29 (31.5%)	20 (37%)	9 (23.7%)	0.175
Lopinavir/ritonavir	89 (96.7%)	52 (96.3%)	37 (97.4%)	0.999
Beta Interferon	51 (56%)	25 (47.2%)	26 (68.4%)	0.044
Time course and outcome				
Hospital stay (days)	23.5 (17.5; 38)	36 (21; 58)	18 (14; 23)	<0.001
ARDS	88 (95.7%)	50 (92.6%)	38 (100%)	0.140
Sepsis	51 (55.4%)	29 (53.7%)	22 (57.9%)	0.690
Septic shock	43 (46.7%)	24 (44.4%)	19 (50%)	0.599

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of patients admitted to the intensive care unit.

Statistics: Continuous variables are represented as median (interquartile range) and categorical variables as absolute count (%). *P-values* were calculated by Mann-Whitney for continuous variables and chi-squared tests or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables.

Significant differences are shown in bold. **Abbreviations:** p-value, level of significance; INR, international normalized ratio; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LDH, lactic acid dehydrogenase; GPT, glutamic-pyruvate transaminase; WBC, white blood cell; APACHE II, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health disease Classification System II; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment, ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome.

Outcome	Unadjusted		Adjusted	
	HR (95% CI)	p ^(a)	aHR (95% CI)	p ^(b)
SARS-CoV-2 S IgM				
S IgM (+)	0.28 (0.14; 0.59)	0.001	0.39 (0.17; 0.88)	0.023
S IgM (AUC ≥60)	0.35 (0.18; 0.67)	0.002	0.48 (0.24; 0.97)	0.040
SARS-CoV-2 S1 IgG				
S IgG (+)	0.31 (0.13; 0.7)	0.005	0.17 (0.06; 0.44)	<0.001
S IgG (AUC ≥237)	0.31 (0.16; 0.6)	<0.001	0.47 (0.23; 0.97)	0.042
SARS-CoV-2 N-antigenemia				
N-antigenemia (+)	2.1 (1.11; 3.98)	0.022	2.45 (1.27; 4.71)	0.007
SARS-CoV-2 N RNA				
N1 viral load (≥ 2.156 copies/mL)	2.97 (1.57; 5.62)	0.001	2.21 (1.11; 4.39)	0.024
N2 viral load (≥ 3.035 copies/mL)	3.19 (1.68; 6.05)	0.000	2.32 (1.16; 4.63)	0.017

Table 2. Risk factors of 30-day mortality following ICU admission. Statistics: P-values were calculated by univariate Cox regression (a) and multivariate Cox regression (b) adjusted by the most relevant covariates (see statistical analysis section). Significant differences are shown in bold. Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; aHR, adjusted HR; 95%CI, 95% confidence interval; p-value, level of significance.




Figure 1: Kaplan Meier curves to represent survival by day 30 following ICU admission depending on the presence or absence of SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies (IgM, IgG) and antigenemia




Figure 2: Kaplan Meier curves to represent survival by day 30 following ICU admission depending on the presence of high/low levels of SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies (IgM, IgG) or viral RNA load in plasma (N1,N2).




Figure 3. A) Frequency of antigenemia in those patients with absence or presence of anti SARS-CoV-2 S IgM. B) Frequency of antigenemia in those patients with absence or presence of anti SARS-CoV-2 S IgG. C) Heat map showing the correlation coefficients between anti SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies and viral RNA load in plasma