

Title : mouth-to-mouth ventilation through cardiopulmonary resuscitation, is there any other way ?

Authors list : Aini Maimaitiming ; Xiaohai Wang , MD

Frist author: Aini Maimaitiming MS

Corresponding author : Xiaohai Wang , MD

Affiliations : Aini Maimaitiming : Department of Anesthesiology, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital , The Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, Nanjing, People's Republic of China. Email : [geni00@163.com](mailto:geni00@163.com)

Xiaohai Wang :Department of Anesthesiology, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital , The Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, Nanjing, People's Republic of China. Email : [519602322@qq.com](mailto:519602322@qq.com)

## **Mouth-to-mouth ventilation through cardiopulmonary resuscitation, is there any other way?**

**Abstract objective:** to provide and explore possibility of new idea that perform mouth-to-mouth ventilation through cardiopulmonary resuscitation. **Methods:** stage one is establishing the ventilation technique using cola bottle, stage two is measuring the tidal volume when different sized cola bottles were used. **Result:** the smallest sized cola bottle(500ml) can also make obvious thorax rise in manikin CPR model. The tidal volume is  $174.5 \pm 9.1$ ml,  $220 \pm 7.6$ ml and  $447 \pm 15.9$ ml respectively for 500ml, 600ml and 1.25L cola bottles when using single hand performance. There are statistical differences (0.001) in tidal volume of different sized cola bottle by using one hand performance and two hands. **Conclusion:** larger sized cola bottles(600ml,1.25L) could be used as substitute ventilation technique for mouth-to-mouth ventilation in special circumnutates.

Key words: Cardiopulmonary resuscitation, Mouth to mouth ventilation, Prehospital resuscitation,

### **Introduction**

Mouth-to-mouth ventilation (MMV) has been used in prehospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) for decades. Studies have showed that bystander's willingness toward performing MMV to stranger is different from each other. Dobbie etc's<sup>1</sup> survey showed that 35% respondent's primary concern about performing CPR is MMV related concerns, despite over half (52%) of them had been trained in CPR courses. One of the main reason holds back trained adult performing MMV on stranger is fears of contracting infection disease<sup>2, 3</sup>. Another disadvantage of MMV is oxygen content in expired air around 16%-17% much lower

than oxygen content in the air (21%), furthermore expired air in MMV also content 4% of carbon dioxide which can easily lead to hypercapnia<sup>4</sup>. In addition to MMV there is some ventilation technique like bag-valve-mask, mouth-to-mask ventilation for use before more permanent (endotracheal intubation) ventilation technique has performed<sup>5</sup>. However these temporal ventilation technique isn't widely available for public in real-time out of hospital CPR. The cola bottles, can be found anywhere, have a completely different usage in CPR. Since cola bottles have strong elasticity, it can be used as simple breathing balloon in the special circumstances. Our aim in this study is to provide new prospect of ventilation technique by using cola bottle for public in CPR and explore its possibility.

### **Material and method**

Three different sized cola bottles (500ml,680ml,1.25L) were used in this study. In first stage we have performed ventilation technique on manikin CPR model. The cola bottle has put into mouth of manikin model then one hand grab around bottle and hold nose to prevent air leakage while other hand squeezes the middle of the bottle (Fig.1).

Second stage we connect cola bottles to anesthesia machine (Drager, FabliusGS) to measure tidal volume. The cola bottle installed with airbag connector and breathing circuit connected to test lung. During operation all gas flowmeter turned off and APL valve adjusted to 30mmH<sub>2</sub>O. Three coworker who has not involved in authorship voluntarily participated in the study. Every participant squeezes middle of the bottle, with full recoil, with one hand and two hands respectively for ten time and tidal volume have recorded.

## Result

Chest arousal have been observed even when the smallest bottle(500ml) is used. The thorax fluctuation is more evident while using the larger cola bottle. There is no statistical difference in there particpance's tidal volume (not given respectively). The tidal volume is  $174.5 \pm 9.1$ ml and  $218.5 \pm 11.4$  for 500ml cola bottle using single hand an both hands respectively,  $220 \pm 7.6$ ml,  $259.1 \pm 21.2$ ml for 650ml and  $447 \pm 15.9$ ml,  $477 \pm 15.8$ ml for 1.25L bottle(table.1). There is statistical signficance (0.000) in tidal volume which performed single hand and two hands in three bottles. There is no statistical difference (0.087) in tidal volume of 500ml and 650ml cola bottle when technique performed by two hands.

## DISCUSSION

Is tidal volume which performed by cola bottle enough to maintain the oxygen level and to eliminate carbon dioxide? AHA has suggested that tidal volume should be provided to cause visible chest rise<sup>6</sup>. In our study chest rise has observed even when smallest sized cola bottle(500ml) is used. there have been controversial ideas about optimal ventilation volume during CPR<sup>7-9</sup>. Stallinger A etc's study<sup>10</sup> shows that compared to 500ml and 1000ml MTM gas there are higher oxygen saturation and arterial oxygen partial pressure values in using 500ml room air for ventilation and arterial carbon dioxide partial pressure is lower when room air used for ventilation. Furthermore, the study performed on healthy volunteer with normal blood pressure, which means that tidal volume which cardiac arrest victim needed may lower then studied tidal volume because of relatively insufficient blood follow in body.

Experiment can't be carried in real time out of hospital CPR, therefor there is no

standard tidal volume for prehospital resuscitation yet. Since the reason of CPR is to maintain circulation in body to transfer oxygen for the main organs, it is beneficial to ventilate as much as possible within normal limit. Bola bottles(500ml) could not contribute safe tidal volume in pre hospital rescue, still it is better than no ventilation during prolonged CPR. The public concerns about performing MTM ventilation can be avoided by using cola bottles in ventilation. Also, it may increase the quality of prehospital ventilation by using larger cola bottles.

## **Conclusion**

Using cola bottles as simple breathing balloon may increase bystander's willingness performing ventilation in prehospital CPR. Tidal volume may be sufficient when largest (1.25L) cola bottle is used. However, the positive effects and feasibility of the technique need further examination in real time CPR.

## **Acknowledgements**

Conception and design of the study, acquisition and interpretation of data, revising the article, final approval of the version to be published: Xiaohai Wang. Acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article, final approval of the version to be published : Aini Maimaitiming

## **Availability of data and materials**

The study data are available on request to the corresponding author([519602322@qq.com](mailto:519602322@qq.com))

## **Informed consent and Ethical approval**

The present study did not include the patients or patient's data.

### **Declaration of conflicting interests**

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

### **Funding**

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article

### **References**

1. Dobbie F, MacKintosh AM, Clegg G, Stirzaker R, Bauld L. Attitudes towards bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation: Results from a cross-sectional general population survey. *PLoS one*. 2018;13:e0193391.
2. Shibata K, Taniguchi T, Yoshida M, Yamamoto K. Obstacles to bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation in Japan. *Resuscitation*. 2000;44:187-193.
3. Savastano S, Vanni V. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation in real life: the most frequent fears of lay rescuers. *Resuscitation*. 2011;82:568-571.
4. Wenzel V, Idris AH, Banner MJ, Fuerst RS, Tucker KJ. The composition of gas given by mouth-to-mouth ventilation during CPR. *Chest*. 1994;106:1806-1810.
5. Palmisano JM, Moler FW, Galura C, Gordon M, Custer JR. Influence of tidal volume, respiratory rate, and supplemental oxygen flow on delivered oxygen fraction using a mouth to mask ventilation device. *The Journal of emergency medicine*. 1993;11:685-689.
6. 2005 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and

- Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation*. 2005;112:lv1-203.
7. Wenzel V, Idris AH, Banner MJ, Kubilis PS, Williams JL, Jr. Influence of tidal volume on the distribution of gas between the lungs and stomach in the nonintubated patient receiving positive-pressure ventilation. *Critical care medicine*. 1998;26:364-368.
  8. Wenzel V, Keller C, Idris AH, Dörge V, Lindner KH, Brimacombe JR. Effects of smaller tidal volumes during basic life support ventilation in patients with respiratory arrest: good ventilation, less risk? *Resuscitation*. 1999;43:25-29.
  9. Fitz-Clarke JR. Effect of tidal volume on gas exchange during rescue ventilation. *Respiratory physiology & neurobiology*. 2020;273:103335.
  10. Stallinger A, Wenzel V, Oroszy S, et al. The effects of different mouth-to-mouth ventilation tidal volumes on gas exchange during simulated rescue breathing. *Anesthesia and analgesia*. 2001;93:1265-1269.

Fig.1. the model of ventilation using cola bottle




Table 1. tidal volume of ventilation when different sized cola bottle and different method is used

Bottle size	Single hand	Two hands	<i>P</i> (between groups)
500ml	174.5±9.1a	218.5±11.4a	0.000
680ml	220±7.6b	259.1±21.2ab	0.001
1.25L	447±15.9c	477±15.8c	0.000
<i>P</i> (between bottles)	0.000	0.000	

All values are given in mean ± standard error of the mean