

1 **Title:** COVID-19 Mortality in California Based on Death Certificates: Disproportionate Impacts Across Racial/Ethnic
2 Groups and Nativity

3
4 **Authors**

5 Erika Garcia, PhD¹

6 Sandrah P. Eckel, PhD¹

7 Zhanghua Chen, PhD¹

8 Kenan Li, PhD²

9 Frank D. Gilliland, MD¹

10
11 **Affiliations:**

12 ¹ Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California.

13 ² Spatial Sciences Institute, University of Southern California.

14
15 **Corresponding Author**

16 Erika Garcia

17 Keck School of Medicine of USC

18 Department of Preventive Medicine

19 2001 N. Soto Street

20 SSB1 Rm 225F MC 9237

21 Los Angeles, CA 90089-9237

22 Tel: (323) 442-1092

23 Email: garc991@usc.edu

24

25 **ABSTRACT**

26
27 **Purpose:** To examine characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) decedents in California (CA) and evaluate
28 for disproportionate mortality across race/ethnicity and ethnicity/nativity.

29
30 **Methods:** COVID-19 deaths were identified from death certificates. Age-adjusted mortality rate ratios (MRR) were
31 compared across race/ethnicity. Proportionate mortality rates (PMR) were compared across race/ethnicity and by
32 ethnicity/nativity.

33
34 **Results:** We identified 10,200 COVID-19 deaths in CA occurring February 1 through July 31, 2020. Decedents tended to
35 be older, male, Hispanic, foreign-born, and have lower educational attainment. MRR indicated elevated COVID-19
36 mortality rates among Asian/Pacific Islander, Black, and Hispanic groups compared with the White group, with Black and
37 Hispanic groups having the highest MRR at 2.75 (95%CI:2.54-2.97) and 4.18 (95%CI: 3.99-4.37), respectively.
38 Disparities were larger at younger ages. Similar results were observed with PMR, which remained in analyses stratified by
39 education. Elevated PMR were observed in all ethnicity/nativity groups, especially foreign-born Hispanic individuals,
40 relative to U.S.-born non-Hispanic individuals, were generally larger at younger ages, and persisted after stratifying by
41 education.

42
43 **Conclusions:** Differential COVID-19 mortality was observed in California across racial/ethnic groups and by
44 ethnicity/nativity groups with evidence of greater disparities among younger age groups. Identifying COVID-19
45 disparities is an initial step towards mitigating disease impacts in vulnerable communities.

46
47
48 **Keywords:**

49 COVID-19, mortality, disparities, race, ethnicity, nativity

51 **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS**

52 AI/AN, American Indian/Alaskan Native

53 A/PI, Asian/Pacific Islander

54 CA, California

55 CCDF, California Comprehensive Death Files

56 CCMDF, California Comprehensive Master Death File

57 COVID-19, Coronavirus disease 2019

58 ICD-10, International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems

59 MR, Mortality rates

60 MRR, Mortality rate ratios

61 PM, Proportionate mortality

62 PMR, Proportionate mortality rates

63 U.S., United States

64

65

66 INTRODUCTION

67
68 The is a growing body of literature on the differential impacts of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in historically
69 marginalized groups in the United States (U.S.), including disease incidence,¹⁻³ hospitalization,⁴⁻⁸ severity,⁸⁻¹⁰ and
70 mortality.^{2,6,8,11-13} Identifying COVID-19 disparities is an initial step towards mitigating disease impacts in vulnerable
71 communities. Much of the current evidence, however, has relied on ecologic analyses using aggregate data for both the
72 characteristic under study (e.g., percent Black in a county) and COVID-19 outcome (e.g., county-level mortality).^{1,2,11,13}
73 Studies that have been conducted with individual-level data primarily derive from hospital/healthcare networks or
74 insurance companies,^{3-6,9,14} which may not be representative of COVID-19 impacts in the general population given
75 barriers to healthcare access and insurance among historically marginalized groups. Use of death certificate data allows
76 for investigation into individual-level characteristics and captures all individuals in the catchment area. Appropriate
77 estimates of disease are needed, not only by single factors, such as racial/ethnic group, but also by intersections with other
78 factors, such as with age, sex, and educational attainment, which considers that groups are not homogenous and indeed
79 reflect diverse experiences and impacts of the pandemic.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Intersectional analysis allows for a more nuanced
80 understanding of disparate burden of COVID-19, which is crucially needed to design and implement effective public
81 health interventions to mitigate disease impacts.

82
83 The objective of this study was to examine demographic characteristics of COVID-19 decedents in California (CA)—
84 which as of September 2020 is amongst the hardest hit states in terms of cases and deaths¹⁸—and evaluate for
85 disproportionate mortality across these characteristics. In addition to descriptive information on decedents, COVID-19
86 mortality was compared across racial/ethnic groups considering age, sex, and education using mortality rate ratios (MRR)
87 and proportionate mortality ratios (PMR). We also examined COVID-19 mortality by ethnicity and nativity combined,
88 considering age and education, with PMR.

90 METHODS

92 Study Setting and Population

93

94 Data on causes of death, race/ethnicity, sex, age, educational attainment, country of birth, and county of residence were
95 obtained using the California Comprehensive Death Files (CCDF) and California Comprehensive Master Death File
96 (CCMDF) from the California Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics and Informatics for 2016 to 2020
97 (CCMDF for 2016-2018 and CCDF for 2019-2020). Data for 2020 were updated weekly and last date of data export used
98 in this analysis was September 9, 2020. Race/ethnicity were grouped into non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black,
99 Asian/Pacific Islander (regardless of Hispanicity; Asian/PI), American Indian/Alaskan Native (regardless of Hispanicity;
100 AI/AN), Hispanic (excludes A/PI, AI/AN), and Multiracial/Other/Unknown. County of birth was used to define
101 decedents' nativity status, categorized as U.S.-born or foreign-born. Categories of ethnicity and nativity combined were
102 U.S.-born non-Hispanic, U.S.-born Hispanic, Foreign-born non-Hispanic, and Foreign-born Hispanic, using Hispanicity
103 as defined for race/ethnicity. This study was approved by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects of the state
104 of CA.

105

106 **COVID-19-Related Deaths**

107

108 Mortality data included the 10th revision of the *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health*
109 *Problems* (ICD-10) codes for underlying cause of death and up to 20 relevant conditions. Effective April 1, 2020, there
110 was a new ICD-10 code for COVID-19, U07.1; however, because we used the dynamic CCDF file with weekly updates
111 for recent mortality, final coded data on underlying cause of death and relevant conditions were not available for all 2020
112 deaths. Thus, we developed an algorithm using the code U07.1 and a keyword search to identify COVID-19-related
113 deaths and applied it to all deaths occurring after February 1, 2020 (eFigure 1). Among deaths with coded data on
114 underlying cause of death and relevant conditions, our algorithm corrected identified 99.95% of deaths coded with U07.1.
115 Analyses were restricted to COVID-19-related deaths occurring February 1-July 31, 2020.

116

117 **Statistical Analysis**

118

119 Temporal trends in COVID-19 mortality in CA during the study period are presented. Descriptive statistics among
120 decedents identified as have COVID-19 mortality were presented for the entire study period as well as for sub-periods
121 with distinct patterns in mortality (i.e., distinct “epidemic periods”).
122
123 COVID-19 age-adjusted mortality rates (MR) per 100,000 person-years were calculated using direct standardization to the
124 2019 CA population using 5-year age intervals and were compared across racial/ethnic groups using mortality rate ratios
125 (MRR) by sex and epidemic period, accounting for variation in observation time. Non-Hispanic White was used as the
126 referent group. Population estimates were obtained from the CDC WONDER Online Database using the Bridged-Race
127 Population Estimates for CA¹⁹ and racial/ethnic groups were combined to align with categories described above (does not
128 include “Multiracial/Other/Unknown” group). Age-adjusted MR were also calculated and compared across racial/ethnic
129 groups using MRR for selected counties with >500 COVID-19 deaths using 2019 county-specific population estimates for
130 both population and direct standardization. COVID-19 age-specific MR were calculated and compared across
131 racial/ethnic groups using MRR by age groups (excluding 0-19 years category, which had only 5 deaths) and sex.
132
133 Analyses using proportionate mortality (PM) were restricted to deaths among decedents aged 20 years and older and
134 occurring March 1-July 31 (only 2 COVID-19 deaths occurred before March 1, 2020). PM was defined as number of
135 COVID-19 deaths in a specified subpopulation (e.g., a certain racial/ethnic group) divided by average all-cause mortality
136 in the same sub-population occurring March 1-July 31 in 2016-2019 among decedents aged 20 years and older (multiplied
137 by 100 to be akin to a percentage). The PM metric allows for COVID-19 mortality to be interpreted as a percentage of
138 “typical” mortality in a group. Mortality for past years was restricted to match the time frame for 2020 COVID-19 deaths
139 to account for annual trends in mortality. All-cause mortality in 2020 was not used as the reference, which would have
140 been common for PM, because evidence suggests all-cause mortality has been affected by the pandemic not only through
141 COVID-19 mortality.²⁰ PM ratios (PMR) were used to compare across decedent characteristics, including racial/ethnic
142 group, sex, education attainment, and ethnicity and nativity combined, as well as combinations of these. Educational
143 attainment may serve as a proxy for socioeconomic status which is linked with healthcare access, co-morbidities, and
144 other factors such as employment type that may impact COVID-19 infection and mortality.²¹⁻²⁴ Because the PMR metric

145 relies on all-cause mortality, we additionally examined differences in all-cause mortality rates for 2019 by age among
146 racial/ethnic groups using 2019 death data and population estimates.

147
148 All analyses were performed using SAS statistical software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and statistical tests
149 were based on 2-sided tests with $\alpha=0.05$. Standard errors and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were computed using
150 standard methods (see eMethods).²⁵

151
152 **RESULTS**

153
154 We identified 10,200 COVID-19 deaths occurring in CA between February 1 and July 31, 2020. Distinct temporal
155 patterns in weekly mortality identified three periods in the COVID-19 epidemic in CA (eFigure 2): the first period,
156 through April 19, had an upward trend; the second period, April 20-June 16, demonstrated a steady slight decline; the
157 third period, June 17-July 31, had an upward trend similar to the first period. Distribution of characteristics among
158 decedents with COVID-19 mortality are shown for the entire study period (Table 1) and by the three epidemic periods
159 (eTable 1). Decedents were consistently older, more likely to be male, had lower educational attainment, and most were
160 foreign-born. Hispanic was the predominant race/ethnicity among decedents, which increased proportionately during the
161 epidemic.

162

Table 1. Distribution of selected characteristics among decedents identified as having COVID-19 mortality.	
	N (%)
Deaths	10200
Age	
0 to 19	5 (0.1)
20 to 44	421 (4.1)
45 to 54	710 (7.0)
55 to 64	1467 (14.4)
65 to 74	2222 (21.8)
75 to 84	2450 (24.0)
85 or older	2925 (28.7)
Sex	
Male	5914 (58.0)
Female	4286 (42.0)
Race/ethnicity	
Hispanic (Excludes A/PI, AI/AN)	4914 (48.2)
<i>Mexican</i>	3333 (67.8)
<i>Other Hispanic</i>	1581 (32.2)
NH White	3025 (29.7)
Asian/Pacific Islander	1290 (12.7)
<i>Filipino</i>	441 (34.2)
<i>Chinese</i>	251 (19.5)
<i>Korean</i>	207 (16.1)
<i>Vietnamese</i>	97 (7.5)
<i>Japanese</i>	82 (6.4)
<i>Other Asian</i>	212 (16.4)
Black	804 (7.9)
Multiracial/Other/Unknown	124 (1.2)
American Indian/Alaskan Native	43 (0.4)
Educational Attainment	
Less than High School	3637 (35.7)
High School	3027 (29.7)
Some college	1040 (10.2)
Associate Degree	478 (4.7)
Bachelor's Degree	966 (9.5)
Graduate Degree	499 (4.9)
Unknown	553 (5.4)
Country of Birth	
United States	4413 (43.3)
Mexico	2942 (28.8)
Philippines	442 (4.3)
El Salvador	364 (3.6)
Guatemala	219 (2.2)
South Korea	195 (1.9)
Other country	1302 (12.8)
Unknown	323 (3.2)


165

166 Mortality Rate Ratios

167

168 Mortality rates were considerably higher among older individuals but with differences observed across racial/ethnic groups
169 (Figure 1). Compared with the White group, MRR were elevated among Asian/PI, Black, and Hispanic groups, with
170 Black and Hispanic groups having the highest MRR at 2.75 (95%CI:2.54-2.97) and 4.18 (95%CI:3.99-4.37), respectively
171 (Figures 2 and eFigure 3; eTable 2). This pattern persisted within each epidemic period (eFigure 3). Analyses by county of
172 residence for counties with >500 COVID-19 deaths (Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and San Diego
173 Counties) showed that while there were some differences in specific MRRs estimated by racial/ethnic group, the overall
174 pattern of COVID-19 mortality disparity persisted (eFigure 4).


175



176

177 **Figure 1.** Age-standardized COVID-19 mortality rates for all ages and select ages by race/ethnicity. Mortality rates are
178 per 100,000 person-years. Bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

179



180

181

Figure 2. Age-standardized COVID-19 mortality rate ratios for all ages and select ages by race/ethnicity. Bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Referent group is non-Hispanic Whites.

182

183

184

Age-specific MRR revealed greater differences among racial/ethnic groups, with greater disproportionate mortality among

185

younger Black and Hispanic individuals (Figure 2; ETable 3). Compared with Whites individuals aged 20-54 years, the

186

MR was 4.7-fold (95% CI:3.5-6.3) higher among Black individuals and 8.5-fold (95% CI:6.9-10.4) higher among Hispanic

187

individuals of the same age. When examined by sex, disparities observed among decedents aged less than 84 years were

188

higher among females in the Black group and among males in the Hispanic group. In the Asian/PI group, mortality

189

disparity was greatest among those aged 85 year or more, with the largest MRR observed for female, 1.70 (95% CI:1.50-

190

1.93).

191

192

Proportionate Mortality

193

194 Univariate analyses using PMR found elevated PM in males compared with females, in all non-White racial/ethnic
195 groups, in decedents with lower education attainment, and in all ethnicity/nativity groups relative to U.S.-born non-
196 Hispanic (eTable 4).

197

198 Analyses comparing across racial/ethnic groups by age demonstrated greater disparities among younger decedents, similar
199 to those observed with MRR (eTable 5). Elevated PMR persisted in analyses stratified by education (Figure 3; eTable 6).
200 For example, among decedents aged 20-64 years with high school education or less, the PM in the Hispanic group was
201 8.5-fold (95%CI:7.4-9.9) higher compared with the White group. For the same age group, but among those with some
202 college or more the PMR for Hispanics remained highly elevated at 7.0 (95%CI:5.8-8.6). All-cause mortality rates for
203 2019 were lower compared with the White group for all racial/ethnic group, except the Black group which were higher.
204 This may lead PMR to over or underestimate true COVID-19 mortality disparities which should be considered when
205 interpreting these results, but these differences were not sufficiently large to explain away all disparities observed (eFigure
206 5). Adjusting PMR for difference in all-cause mortality produces estimates similar to MRR reported above (eTable 7).

207




Figure 3. Proportionate mortality ratios for COVID-19 by race/ethnicity, age, and educational attainment. Proportionate mortality ratios are based on COVID-19 deaths occurring between March 1-July 31, 2020 among decedents aged 20 years and older. Sub-population proportionate mortalities were calculated using all-cause mortality in the same sub-population occurring March 1-July 31 in 2016-2019 among decedents aged 20 years and older. Bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Referent group for PMR is non-Hispanic White.

Examining ethnicity and nativity combined by age, elevated PMR were observed for all groups, especially for the foreign-born Hispanic group, relative to the U.S.-born non-Hispanic group and PMR were generally larger among younger age groups (eTable 8). After accounting for educational attainment by stratification, elevated PMR remained (Table 2).

Among decedents aged 20-64 years, PMR for foreign-born Hispanic compared with U.S.-born non-Hispanic among those with a high school education or less was PMR=10.7 (95%CI:9.5-12.1) while among those with some college or more was PMR=8.4 (95%CI:6.9-10.1).

Table 2. Proportionate mortality (PM) and proportionate mortality ratio (PMR) by ethnicity and nativity combined, age, and educational attainment for COVID-19 deaths occurring between March 1 - July 31, 2020 among decedents aged 20 years and older.

Ethnicity and Nativity Combined	Age	High school or less			Some college or more		
		COVID-19 Deaths	PM (95% CI) ^a	PMR (95% CI)	COVID-19 Deaths	PM (95% CI) ^a	PMR (95% CI)
U.S.-born non-Hispanic	20 to 64	295	3.6 (3.2-4.0)	Referent	223	3.1 (2.7-3.5)	Referent
	65 to 74	309	5.7 (5.1-6.3)	Referent	315	4.3 (3.8-4.8)	Referent
	75 to 84	441	6.1 (5.6-6.7)	Referent	468	5.4 (4.9-5.9)	Referent
	85<=	602	4.9 (4.6-5.3)	Referent	532	4.4 (4.0-4.8)	Referent
U.S.-born Hispanic	20 to 64	272	10.1 (9.0-11.4)	2.81 (2.40-3.29)	130	10.4 (8.8-12.4)	3.40 (2.76-4.18)
	65 to 74	170	18.1 (15.6-21.1)	3.20 (2.69-3.80)	67	13.0 (10.2-16.5)	3.06 (2.39-3.92)
	75 to 84	166	14.0 (12.0-16.3)	2.29 (1.94-2.71)	40	9.2 (6.8-12.6)	1.71 (1.26-2.33)
	85<=	236	12.1 (10.7-13.8)	2.46 (2.13-2.84)	33	8.6 (6.1-12.1)	1.96 (1.40-2.74)
Foreign-born non-Hispanic	20 to 64	84	6.7 (5.4-8.4)	1.87 (1.48-2.37)	138	7.9 (6.7-9.3)	2.57 (2.09-3.16)
	65 to 74	109	9.3 (7.7-11.2)	1.63 (1.32-2.01)	180	11.5 (10.0-13.4)	2.71 (2.27-3.23)
	75 to 84	224	10.0 (8.8-11.4)	1.63 (1.40-1.90)	207	9.5 (8.3-10.9)	1.77 (1.51-2.07)
	85<=	506	12.1 (11.1-13.2)	2.46 (2.20-2.75)	265	10.1 (8.9-11.4)	2.30 (1.99-2.64)
Foreign-born Hispanic	20 to 64	1177	38.7 (36.6-41.0)	10.73 (9.51-12.11)	161	25.6 (21.9-29.9)	8.35 (6.93-10.05)
	65 to 74	792	45.2 (42.1-48.4)	7.96 (7.06-8.98)	94	31.5 (25.7-38.5)	7.39 (6.06-9.02)
	75 to 84	691	32.4 (30.1-35.0)	5.31 (4.76-5.92)	59	19.2 (14.9-24.7)	3.55 (2.78-4.54)
	85<=	547	23.7 (21.8-25.8)	4.80 (4.31-5.34)	50	15.2 (11.5-20.0)	3.46 (2.64-4.52)

^a Sub-population PM were calculated using all-cause mortality in the same sub-population occurring March 1-July 31 in 2016-2019 among decedents aged 20 years and older.

225

226

227 **DISCUSSION**

228

229 This study used death certificate data to identify decedents with COVID-19 mortality in CA and investigate demographic
230 characteristics associated with mortality using two different metrics of association, MRR and PMR. Disproportionate
231 COVID-19 mortality was observed among Black, Hispanic, and Asian/PI groups in CA. Larger relative disparities were
232 observed at younger ages for Black and Hispanic individuals. Disparities persisted after accounting for educational
233 attainment, serving here as a proxy for socioeconomic status. Disproportionate mortality was also observed by
234 combinations of ethnicity and nativity, with all groups, but especially foreign-born Hispanic individuals, having greater
235 COVID-19 mortality compared with U.S.-born non-Hispanic individuals, particularly at younger ages. Disparities by
236 nativity remained in analyses controlling for educational attainment. The larger disparities in younger age groups are
237 particularly important given younger populations may be overlooked in public health campaign due to their overall lower
238 risk of severe disease. Here, however, we observed mortality rate for Black individuals aged 55-64 to be higher than
239 mortality rate for White individuals 10 years older, and mortality rate for Hispanic individuals aged 55-64 years to be
240 approaching mortality rate for White individuals 20 years older. Younger populations should be included among targets in
241 public health interventions. Disparities in COVID-19 mortality may be driven by a variety of factors, including complex
242 interaction between social and structural determinates of health, barriers to accessing care, higher prevalence of
243 underlying co-morbidities associated with more severe COVID-19 disease and adverse outcomes, and differential exposure
244 to virus due to working and living conditions.^{21,26-30}

245

246 Differences in COVID-19 mortality can develop anywhere along the disease pathway from exposure, incidence, severity,
247 through deaths, and may differ for different groups (e.g., younger Black group, older Asian/PI, etc.). Several studies
248 have reported differential COVID-19 impacts across racial/ethnic groups, although few studies have examined outcomes
249 by nativity. An ecologic study in Massachusetts found higher population percent Black and Latino to positively associate
250 with COVID-19 incidence rates. Percent foreign-born non-citizens living in a community, mean household size, and share
251 of food service workers were also positively associated with incidence, and after accounting for these factors the

252 association for the Latino population with incidence was attenuated, but for the Black population was not.³¹ COVID-19
253 hot spots in New York City and Chicago tended to be in areas with higher proportions of Black residents, and in New
254 York City in area with higher proportions of Hispanic and foreign-born residents.²³ In a separate study across 22 states,
255 disproportionate COVID-19 incidence was reported for Hispanic, Black, AI/AN, Asian, and Native Hawaiian/Pacific
256 Islander in counties considered hotspots for COVID-19 incidence.¹ A healthcare system-based study reported non-
257 Hispanic Black and Hispanic individuals as having higher odds of infection, adjusted for demographic and socioeconomic
258 characteristics.³² Another healthcare system-based study using prefer spoken language as a surrogate for immigrant status
259 found that compared with English-speakers, non-English speakers were less likely to have completed severe acute
260 respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 testing and that the proportion of positive cases was 4.6-fold higher.³³ Higher
261 hospitalization has been reported for Black and Hispanic patients, even after adjusting for co-morbidities and
262 demographic and socioeconomic factors.^{4,6,7} Among patients hospitalized with COVID-19 infection, non-White patients
263 were more likely to present with higher disease severity on admission chest radiographs, and increased severity was
264 associated with worse outcomes.¹⁰ A study using aggregate data on COVID-19 from regions across the U.S. report
265 disproportionately higher COVID-19 mortality relative to population size for Black individuals and higher estimated case-
266 fatality.¹² Among rural counties, average daily increase in COVID-19 mortality rates were significantly higher in counties
267 with largest shares of Black and Hispanic residents.¹³ A study using electronic medical record data from 24 healthcare
268 organization reported Black individuals having a greater odds of COVID-19 mortality, even after controlling for age, sex,
269 and several co-morbidities.²⁴ Differences between Black and White individuals were larger in those less than 50 years of
270 age, similar to larger MRR and PMR observed in younger age groups in the present study. Not all studies examining
271 race/ethnicity have observed difference in COVID-19 mortality. A large study using data from 92 U.S. hospitals found
272 that after adjusting for a variety of factors including comorbidities, insurance, and neighborhood deprivation there was no
273 difference in mortality between Black and White patients with COVID-19.⁵ Similarly, a study in Louisiana found that
274 after adjusting for sociodemographic and clinical factors, in-hospital mortality was not different between Black and White
275 patients.⁶ It may be that once COVID-19 patients are ill to the point of hospitalization, differences in mortality are less
276 appreciable. Overall, this evidence taken together supports the notion that mortality differences across racial/ethnic groups
277 and by ethnicity/nativity reported in the present study are likely the cumulative effect across the entire pathway of disease.

279 Quantification of COVID-19 mortality disparities is needed so effective public health interventions can be developed to
280 mitigate disproportionate burden of the pandemic on vulnerable populations.³⁴ Factors that may contribute to mortality
281 disparities and could be targets for interventions include barriers to healthcare access including medical mistrust and
282 insurance, living and working conditions, being essential/frontline workers, underlying health conditions including
283 suboptimal disease management, and social and structural determinates of health.^{21,26-30,35} Interactions between structural,
284 social, and individual factors that contribute to differential COVID-19 mortality, however, are complex and vary not only
285 across these different historically marginalized groups (e.g., Black, Hispanic, and Asian/PI), but also within these groups
286 (e.g., younger and older Hispanic individuals). Public health interventions and policies should consider the differing and
287 complex risk structure across and within these groups. For example, differential workplace exposure may contribute to
288 increased mortality among younger Black and Hispanic, including foreign-born Hispanic, individuals. People of color are
289 more likely to be employed in essential industries and in occupations with more exposure to infections and close
290 proximity to others, and Black workers in particular face an elevated risk for these factors.²⁷ A study of workplace
291 COVID-19 outbreaks in Utah found 73% of cases were in Hispanic or non-White workers, whereas Hispanic and non-
292 White workers represent only 24% of the workforce in affected industries.³⁰ Immigrant families faced additional
293 complicating factors which must be considered for public health planning. For example public charge regulations may
294 disincentivize immigrant families from accessing healthcare if they become symptomatic, which delays testing and
295 treatment and may increase disease transmission risk within their home and communities.^{36,37}

296

297 This study has several strengths. First, to identify COVID-19 mortality we used death certificate data which captures all
298 mortality in the state and does not restrict to individuals in a healthcare/insurance network. Second, we had information
299 on individual-level demographic characteristics on decedent rather than relying on aggregate demographic data which
300 could be subject to the ecologic fallacy. Third, because of this individual-level data we were able to examine mortality by
301 several demographic factors in combination to better understand intersectional impacts of COVID-19. This study also has
302 limitations. First, while use of death certificate data has many advantages, there are a limited number of available
303 demographic and few socioeconomic variables. Augmentation of these data with neighborhood characteristics based on
304 decedent residential address is an area of future work. Second, PM, and thus PMR, uses as a comparison all-cause
305 mortality, which if different between groups may over or underestimate differences in mortality between groups. When

306 all-cause mortality rates are lower compared with the referent group, PMR will overestimate differences in COVID-19
307 mortality but as discussed differences in all-cause mortality rates were not sufficient to explain away all observed
308 COVID-19 disparities. When all-cause mortality rates are higher, however, such as was observed for Black individuals the
309 PMR will underestimate differences COVID-19 mortality.

310

311 **CONCLUSIONS**

312 Differential COVID-19 mortality was observed in California across racial/ethnic groups and by ethnicity and nativity
313 combined, with evidence of greater disparities among younger age groups. Patterns of disparities persisted after
314 accounting for educational attainment. Drivers of these disparate COVID-19 impacts of are likely multi-factorial and
315 involve the interplay of structural, social, and individual factors that must be considered in the design and implementation
316 for public health interventions to effectively mitigate the impacts of this disease.

317

318

319 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

320 This research was supported by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (P30ES007048), the Hastings
321 Foundation, and by the Keck School of Medicine of USC COVID-19 Research Fund through a generous gift from the W.
322 M. Keck Foundation.

323

324

325 **REFERENCES**

326

- 327 1. Moore JT, Ricaldi JN, Rose CE, et al. Disparities in Incidence of COVID-19 Among Underrepresented
328 Racial/Ethnic Groups in Counties Identified as Hotspots During June 5-18, 2020 - 22 States, February-June 2020.
329 *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(33):1122-1126.
- 330 2. Adhikari S, Pantaleo NP, Feldman JM, Ogedegbe O, Thorpe L, Troxel AB. Assessment of Community-Level
331 Disparities in Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Infections and Deaths in Large US Metropolitan Areas.
332 *JAMA network open.* 2020;3(7):e2016938.
- 333 3. Rozenfeld Y, Beam J, Maier H, et al. A model of disparities: risk factors associated with COVID-19 infection.
334 *International journal for equity in health.* 2020;19(1):126.
- 335 4. Azar KMJ, Shen Z, Romanelli RJ, et al. Disparities In Outcomes Among COVID-19 Patients In A Large Health
336 Care System In California. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2020:101377hlthaff202000598.
- 337 5. Yehia BR, Winegar A, Fogel R, et al. Association of Race With Mortality Among Patients Hospitalized With
338 Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) at 92 US Hospitals. *JAMA network open.* 2020;3(8):e2018039.
- 339 6. Price-Haywood EG, Burton J, Fort D, Seoane L. Hospitalization and Mortality among Black Patients and White
340 Patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(26):2534-2543.
- 341 7. Karaca-Mandic P, Georgiou A, Sen S. Assessment of COVID-19 Hospitalizations by Race/Ethnicity in 12 States.
342 *JAMA internal medicine.* 2020.
- 343 8. Poulson M, Geary A, Annesi C, et al. National Disparities in COVID-19 Outcomes between Black and White
344 Americans. *Journal of the National Medical Association.* 2020.
- 345 9. Ebinger JE, Achamallah N, Ji H, et al. Pre-existing traits associated with Covid-19 illness severity. *PloS one.*
346 2020;15(7):e0236240.
- 347 10. Joseph NP, Reid NJ, Som A, et al. Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Disease Severity on Admission Chest Radiographs
348 among Patients Admitted with Confirmed COVID-19: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Radiology.* 2020:202602.
- 349 11. Mahajan UV, Larkins-Pettigrew M. Racial demographics and COVID-19 confirmed cases and deaths: a
350 correlational analysis of 2886 US counties. *Journal of public health (Oxford, England).* 2020;42(3):445-447.

- 351 12. Holmes L, Jr., Enwere M, Williams J, et al. Black-White Risk Differentials in COVID-19 (SARS-COV2)
352 Transmission, Mortality and Case Fatality in the United States: Translational Epidemiologic Perspective and
353 Challenges. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2020;17(12).
- 354 13. Cheng KJG, Sun Y, Monnat SM. COVID-19 Death Rates Are Higher in Rural Counties With Larger Shares of
355 Blacks and Hispanics. *The Journal of rural health : official journal of the American Rural Health Association and*
356 *the National Rural Health Care Association*. 2020.
- 357 14. Alobuia WM, Dalva-Baird NP, Forrester JD, Bendavid E, Bhattacharya J, Kebebew E. Racial disparities in
358 knowledge, attitudes and practices related to COVID-19 in the USA. *Journal of public health (Oxford, England)*.
359 2020;42(3):470-478.
- 360 15. Hankivsky O, Kapilashrami A. Beyond sex and gender analysis: an intersectional view of the COVID-19
361 pandemic outbreak and response. Global Policy Institute, QMUL; 2020.
- 362 16. Crenshaw K. Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stan*
363 *L Rev*. 1990;43:1241.
- 364 17. Collins PH. Intersectionality's definitional dilemmas. *Annual review of sociology*. 2015;41:1-20.
- 365 18. Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. *Lancet Infect*
366 *Dis*. 2020.
- 367 19. United States Department of Health and Human Services (US DHHS), Centers for Disease Control and
368 Prevention (CDC), National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Bridged-Race Population Estimates, United
369 States July 1st resident population by state, county, age, sex, bridged-race, and Hispanic origin. Compiled from
370 1990-1999 bridged-race intercensal population estimates (released by NCHS on 7/26/2004); revised bridged-race
371 2000-2009 intercensal population estimates (released by NCHS on 10/26/2012); and bridged-race Vintage 2019
372 (2010-2019) postcensal population estimates (released by NCHS on 7/9/2020). CDC WONDER Online Database.
373 <http://wonder.cdc.gov/bridged-race-v2019.html>. Accessed Sep 2, 2020.
- 374 20. Weinberger DM, Chen J, Cohen T, et al. Estimation of Excess Deaths Associated With the COVID-19 Pandemic
375 in the United States, March to May 2020. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2020.
- 376 21. Dorn AV, Cooney RE, Sabin ML. COVID-19 exacerbating inequalities in the US. *Lancet*.
377 2020;395(10232):1243-1244.

- 378 22. Abedi V, Olulana O, Avula V, et al. Racial, Economic, and Health Inequality and COVID-19 Infection in the
379 United States. *Journal of racial and ethnic health disparities*. 2020:1-11.
- 380 23. Maroko AR, Nash D, Pavilonis BT. COVID-19 and Inequity: a Comparative Spatial Analysis of New York City
381 and Chicago Hot Spots. *Journal of urban health : bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*. 2020;97(4):461-
382 470.
- 383 24. Harrison SL, Fazio-Eynullayeva E, Lane DA, Underhill P, Lip GYH. Comorbidities associated with mortality in
384 31,461 adults with COVID-19 in the United States: A federated electronic medical record analysis. *PLoS*
385 *medicine*. 2020;17(9):e1003321.
- 386 25. Greenland S, Rothman KJ. Ch. 14: Introduction to Categorical Statistics. In: Rothman KJ, Greenland S, Lash TL,
387 eds. *Modern Epidemiology*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins (LWW); 2008:238-257.
- 388 26. Chamie G, Marquez C, Crawford E, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Community Transmission disproportionately affects
389 Latinx population during Shelter-in-Place in San Francisco. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of*
390 *the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. 2020.
- 391 27. Hawkins D. Differential occupational risk for COVID-19 and other infection exposure according to race and
392 ethnicity. *Am J Ind Med*. 2020.
- 393 28. Tai DBG, Shah A, Doubeni CA, Sia IG, Wieland ML. The Disproportionate Impact of COVID-19 on Racial and
394 Ethnic Minorities in the United States. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious*
395 *Diseases Society of America*. 2020.
- 396 29. Evans MK. Covid's Color Line - Infectious Disease, Inequity, and Racial Justice. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(5):408-
397 410.
- 398 30. Bui DP, McCaffrey K, Friedrichs M, et al. Racial and Ethnic Disparities Among COVID-19 Cases in Workplace
399 Outbreaks by Industry Sector - Utah, March 6-June 5, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69(33):1133-
400 1138.
- 401 31. Figueroa JF, Wadhera RK, Lee D, Yeh RW, Sommers BD. Community-Level Factors Associated With Racial
402 And Ethnic Disparities In COVID-19 Rates In Massachusetts. *Health Aff (Millwood)*.
403 2020:101377hlthaff202001040.

- 404 32. Vahidy FS, Nicolas JC, Meeks JR, et al. Racial and ethnic disparities in SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: analysis of a
405 COVID-19 observational registry for a diverse US metropolitan population. *BMJ open*. 2020;10(8):e039849.
- 406 33. Kim HN, Lan KF, Nkyekyer E, et al. Assessment of Disparities in COVID-19 Testing and Infection Across
407 Language Groups in Seattle, Washington. *JAMA network open*. 2020;3(9):e2021213.
- 408 34. Webb Hooper M, Napoles AM, Perez-Stable EJ. COVID-19 and Racial/Ethnic Disparities. *JAMA*. 2020.
- 409 35. Kopel J, Perisetti A, Roghani A, Aziz M, Gajendran M, Goyal H. Racial and Gender-Based Differences in
410 COVID-19. *Frontiers in public health*. 2020;8:418.
- 411 36. Cholera R, Falusi OO, Linton JM. Sheltering in Place in a Xenophobic Climate: COVID-19 and Children in
412 Immigrant Families. *Pediatrics*. 2020;146(1).
- 413 37. Wilson FA, Stimpson JP. US Policies Increase Vulnerability of Immigrant Communities to the COVID-19
414 Pandemic. *Annals of global health*. 2020;86(1):57.

415