

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

1 **Title:** Risk of hospitalization and risk of death for healthcare workers with COVID-19 in nine
2 European Union/European Economic Area countries, January 2020–January 2021

3 **Authors:** Lisa Ferland¹, Joana Gomes Dias¹, Carlos Carvalho¹, Cornelia Adlhoch¹, Carl
4 Suetens¹, Julien Beauté¹, Pete Kinross¹, Diamantis Plachouras¹, Favelle Lamb¹, Tuula Hannila-
5 Handelberg², Massimo Fabiani, Flavia Riccardo, Joël Mossong, Anne Vergison, Rianne van
6 Gageldonk-Lafeber, Anne Teirlinck, Jackie Melillo, Tanya Melillo, Piers Mook, Richard
7 Pebody, Ana Paula Coutinho Rehse, Dominique L. Monnet

8 **Affiliations:**

9 European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Solna, Sweden (L. Ferland, J. Gomes Dias,
10 C. Carvalho, C. Adlhoch, C. Suetens, J. Beauté, P. Kinross, D. Plachouras, F. Lamb, D.L.
11 Monnet)

12 Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland (T. Hannila-Handelberg)

13 Health Directorate, Luxembourg, Luxembourg (J. Mossong, A. Vergison)

14 Italian National Institute of Health, Rome, Italy (M. Fabiani, F. Riccardo)

15 National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands (R. van
16 Gageldonk-Lafeber, A. Terlinck)

17 Ministry for Health, Gwardamanga, Malta (J. Melillo, T. Melillo)

18 World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark (R. Pebody, P.
19 Mook, A. Coutinho Rehse)

20 **Abstract**

21 We assessed the impact of COVID-19 on healthcare workers (HCWs) from data on 2.9
22 million cases reported from nine countries in the EU/EEA. Compared to non-HCWs, HCWs had
23 a higher adjusted risk of hospitalization (IRR 3.0 [95% CI 2.2-4.0]), but not death (IRR 0.9, 95%
24 CI 0.4-2.0).

25 **Article Summary Line:** Healthcare workers are hospitalized more frequently than non-
26 healthcare workers when adjusting for age, sex, and comorbidities.

27 **Running Title:** Impact of COVID-19 on healthcare workers in the EU/EEA

28 **Keywords:** Health Personnel, COVID-19, Mortality, Public Health Surveillance, European
29 Union

30

31

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

32 **Introduction:** The impact of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic on healthcare workers (HCWs)
33 has been unprecedented. HCWs are exposed to infected patients making them among the most
34 affected professional groups [1-7], with nurses being the most infected [4]. In a meta-analysis of
35 97 studies that assessed infection among HCWs, 5% HCW COVID-19 cases had severe
36 complications, and 0.5% died [8]. Using surveillance data provided by European Union and
37 European Economic Area (EU/EEA) countries, we described the risk of disease, hospitalization,
38 intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and death and identified possible risk factors for death in
39 hospitalized HCW and non-HCW (general population) cases of COVID-19.

40 **Methods:** COVID-19 surveillance in the World Health Organization (WHO) European
41 Region is jointly coordinated by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control
42 (ECDC) and the WHO Regional Office for Europe. Using case-based surveillance data reported
43 to The European Surveillance System (TESSy), we analyzed the risk of disease, hospitalization,
44 ICU admission, and death among HCWs and non-HCWs using case-to-case study design [8].
45 Cases with unknown HCW status were assumed to be non-HCWs. All cases with unknown
46 outcome status were recorded as ‘alive’ to avoid overestimating death.

47 We included all COVID-19 cases aged 20-69 years (working ages) reported to TESSy
48 between January 31, 2020 and January 13, 2021 from countries that had (a) consistent case-based
49 reporting throughout the COVID-19 pandemic (n=15) [9], (b) at least 50% of cases reported as
50 case-based data in TESSy, and (c) at least 40% internal completeness of HCW status [10]. We
51 estimated the crude and adjusted rates for disease, hospitalization, admission to ICU, and death
52 by HCW status using negative binomial regression and accounting for country reporting
53 heterogeneity. We used the total number of HCWs in 2020 by country using the latest HCW
54 population statistics from Eurostat [11] for denominator values in Table 1. We subtracted the

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

55 HCW population¹ from the 2020 population statistics from Eurostat [12] to calculate the non-
56 HCW population.

57 As HCWs are tested more frequently than non-HCWs (risk of detection bias), we
58 estimated incidence rate ratios (IRRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for death for only
59 hospitalized cases using negative binomial regression, adjusting for age group (20-29, 30-39, 40-
60 49, 50-59, and 60-69 years), sex, comorbidities², and three reporting periods (January 31 to May
61 31, 2020, June 1 to September 30, 2020, and October 1, 2020 to January 13, 2021). We included
62 the time variable in the model to control for differences in testing, reporting, and healthcare
63 capacity during the pandemic. To account for country reporting heterogeneity, we calculated
64 adjusted IRRs using robust clustered standard errors with reporting countries as a cluster effect in
65 the negative binomial regression model. The level of statistical significance was $p < 0.05$ and the
66 analyses were performed using Stata 16 and R 3.6.2.

67 **Results:** Nine countries met our inclusion criteria: Austria, Finland, Ireland, Italy,
68 Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, and Slovakia. These countries (70 million total
69 population aged 20-69, 2.6 million HCWs) reported a total of 2.9 million cases from January 31,
70 2020 to January 13, 2021, corresponding to an overall attack rate of 4.1%. The proportion of
71 HCW cases in the first reporting period (28%) differed greatly from reporting periods later in the
72 pandemic (7.7%-7.5%) (Table 1). The attack rate in the population aged 20-69 was 10.1% for
73 HCWs and 3.9% for non-/unknown-HCWs (Table 1), corresponding to a crude IRR of 2.6 and
74 an adjusted IRR of 3.0 (95% CI 2.2-4.0) for HCWs. The adjusted risk of COVID-19 requiring
75 hospitalization or admission to ICU was respectively 1.8 and 1.9 times higher in HCWs than in
76 non-HCWs (95% CIs 1.2-2.7 and 1.1-3.2, respectively), but the adjusted risk of death was not
77 significantly different (adjusted IRR 0.9, 95% CI 0.4-2.0).

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

78 Of 127,712 hospitalized cases aged 20-69 years, 8,169 (6.4%) were HCWs and 119,543
79 (93.6%) non-HCWs (Table 1). Of those hospitalized, 147 (1.8%) HCWs died, compared to 9,773
80 (8.2%) non-HCWs (Table 2).

81 Hospitalized HCWs had a lower risk of in-hospital death (IRR 0.3 [95% CI 0.2-0.6]), but
82 this effect was halved for HCWs admitted to an ICU (IRR 0.6 [95% CI 0.4-0.9]) (Table 2).

83 ICU admission was an independent predictor of death after hospitalization (IRR 4.6 [95%
84 CI 2.7-7.7]), which likely reflects risk factors for severity of disease that were not included in the
85 model (Table 2). Being 60-69 years old compared to cases aged 20-29 (IRR 5.8 [95% CI 3.8-
86 8.8]), having at least one comorbidity (IRR 2.0 [95% CI 1.8-2.3]) or being male (IRR 1.3 [95%
87 CI 1.1-1.5]) was associated with increased risk of death among hospitalized cases (Table 2).
88 Being hospitalized between June-September 2020 was associated with a decreased risk of death
89 (IRR 0.5 [95% CI 0.4 to 0.7]) compared to the early phase of the pandemic. There was no
90 increased risk of death based on the number of comorbidities for either hospitalized HCWs or
91 hospitalized non-HCWs.

92 **Discussion:** In our analyses, HCWs in all countries were at increased risk of COVID-19-
93 related hospitalization, suggesting an increased risk of exposure to SARS-CoV-2. However,
94 HCWs may seek and receive care earlier than non-HCWs, thereby impacting hospitalization and
95 survival rates. By restricting analyses to cases requiring hospitalization, we limited the effect of
96 differential underdiagnosis of cases in non-HCWs vs. HCWs who are regularly tested in
97 healthcare settings.

98 HCWs were less likely than non-HCWs to die following hospitalization. Risk factors for
99 death such as sex, age, and comorbidity reflect what has been previously reported [13]. After

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

100 May 31, 2020, there was a lower risk of dying in the hospital for all COVID-19 cases than during
101 the initial phase of the pandemic. Testing practices changed over time and the availability of
102 better equipment, knowledge, and treatment of cases most likely influenced health outcomes for
103 all cases. During the second and third reporting periods, the decreased risk of death may be
104 linked to improved management of severe cases [14].

105 In addition, it is plausible that HCWs, because they are close to the healthcare system,
106 receive early treatment and are better able to identify clinical symptoms that can lead to severe
107 outcomes that affected their survival rates. HCWs in our analyses may also have benefited from
108 the healthy worker effect [15] or HCWs with high-risk comorbidities may have left the practice
109 during the pandemic.

110 While we tried to control for detection bias by restricting our multivariable analysis to
111 hospitalized cases, it is possible that HCWs were hospitalized with less severe illness than non-
112 HCWs. Country-specific definitions of HCWs vary and we did not have data on the professions
113 of HCW cases, so their distribution and data completeness in our surveillance dataset is
114 unknown. While misclassification of cases (either as non-HCWs or as alive) was possible, the
115 adjusted IRRs did not change when we restricted the analysis to only cases with known HCW
116 and outcome statuses.

117 In conclusion, HCWs were at higher risk for COVID-19-related hospitalization than non-
118 HCWs, which could possibly be explained by their proximity to healthcare services and prompt
119 recognition of illness. Among hospitalized cases, the risk of death was lower for HCWs than for
120 non-HCWs, likely due to the healthy worker effect, better or earlier access to treatment, and

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

121 under ascertainment. Further research is needed on exposure levels by HCW profession to fully
122 explore the risk factors for COVID-19-related hospitalization and death among HCWs.

123

124 **Acknowledgments**

125 We would like to gratefully acknowledge all the contributing public health practitioners
126 who provided data used in this manuscript. We would also like to acknowledge the contributions
127 of Gianfranco Spiteri, Nick Bundle, Pasi Penttinen, Andrew J Amato-Gauci, Karl Ekdahl,
128 Antonino Bella, and all ECDC employees and staff who helped collect, analyze, and report these
129 data during the public health emergency response. We would like to acknowledge the
130 contributions of all public health practitioners in EU/EEA countries conducting surveillance and
131 reporting data related to COVID-19. ECDC continues to collect surveillance data and collaborate
132 with the WHO to monitor risk factors and advise EU/EEA countries on the severity of disease as
133 the pandemic progresses.

134 **Disclaimers**

135 The authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this publication and they do
136 not necessarily represent the decisions or policies of the institutions with which they are
137 affiliated. Data are publicly available through <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu>.

138

139

140

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

141 **Footnotes**

142 ¹ HCW professional categories included: (i) medical doctors, (ii) nursing and midwifery, (iii)
143 dentistry, (iv) pharmaceutical, (v) environmental and occupational health and hygiene personnel,
144 (vi) medical and pathology laboratory personnel, (vii) physiotherapy personnel, (viii) traditional
145 and complementary medicine personnel, and (ix) community HCWs.

146 ² Comorbidities included asthma, cancer, cardiac disease, diabetes, HIV, hypertension, kidney
147 disease, liver disease, lung disease, neuromuscular disease, obesity, pregnancy, smoking status,
148 tuberculosis, and other/unspecified.

149

150 **References**

- 151 1. Nguyen, LH, Drew DA, Graham MS, Joshi AD, Guo CG, Ma W, et al. Risk of COVID-
152 19 among front-line health-care workers and the general community: a prospective cohort
153 study. *The Lancet*. 2020;5:e475-e483.
- 154 2. Mutambudzi M, Niedzwiedz CL, Macdonald EB, Leyland AH, Mair FS, Anderson JJ, et
155 al. Occupation and risk of severe COVID-19: prospective study of 120,075 UK Biobank
156 participants. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 2020;0:1-8.
- 157 3. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 clusters and outbreaks
158 in occupational settings in the EU/EEA and the UK. ECDC. 2020.
- 159 4. Bandyopadhyay S, Baticulon RE, Kadhum M, et al. Infection and mortality of healthcare
160 workers worldwide from COVID-19: a systematic review. *BMJ Global
161 Health*. 2020;5:e003097.

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

- 162 5. Barrett ES, Horton DB, Roy J, Gennaro ML, Brooks A, Tischfield J, et al. Prevalence of
163 SARS-CoV-2 infection in previously undiagnosed health care workers in New Jersey, at
164 the onset of the U.S. COVID-19 pandemic. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2020; 20, 853.
- 165 6. Gómez-Ochoa SJ, Franco OH, Rojas LZ, Raguindin PF, Roa-Diaz ZM, Wyssmann BM,
166 et al. COVID-19 in Health-Care Workers: A Living Systematic Review and Meta-
167 Analysis of Prevalence, Risk Factors, Clinical Characteristics, and Outcomes, *American*
168 *Journal of Epidemiology.* 2021;190:161-175.
- 169 7. Shah SV, Wood R, Gribben C, Caldwell D, Bishop J, Weir A, et al. Risk of hospital
170 admission with coronavirus disease 2019 in healthcare workers and their households:
171 nationwide linkage cohort study, *BMJ.* 2020;371:m3582.
- 172 8. Pogreba-Brown K, Austhof E, Ellingson K. Methodology minute: An overview of the
173 case-case study design and its applications in infection prevention. *American Journal of*
174 *Infection Control.* 2020. 48;342-344.
- 175 9. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 surveillance report
176 introduction. 2020-2021 [cited 2021 Jan 20]. Available from: [https://covid19-](https://covid19-surveillance-report.ecdc.europa.eu/#1_Introduction)
177 [surveillance-report.ecdc.europa.eu/#1_Introduction](https://covid19-surveillance-report.ecdc.europa.eu/#1_Introduction)
- 178 10. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 surveillance report
179 introduction. 2020-2021 [cited 2021 Jan 20]. Available from:
180 <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/covid-19/surveillance/weekly-surveillance-report>
- 181 11. Eurostat. Health care staff including health personnel, nursing and caring professionals,
182 physicians, and health personnel (excluding nursing and caring professionals). 2018.
183 [data extracted 18 January 2021]. Available from:
184 <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/health/data/database>

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

185 12. Eurostat. EUROPOP2019 Population projection at national level (2019-2100) (proj_19n).

186 2019 [cited 2021 Jan 20]. Available from:

187 <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/main/data/database>.

188 13. Flavia R, Marco A, Xanthi AD, Antonino B, Martina DM, Massimo F, et al.

189 Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 cases and estimates of the reproductive

190 numbers 1 month into the epidemic, Italy, 28 January to 31 March 2020,

191 Eurosurveillance. 2020. 25(49).

192 14. Heidi Ledford. Why do COVID death rates seem to be falling? Nature. 2020. [cited 18

193 February 2021]. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-03132-4>

194 15. C.-Y. Li, F.-C. Sung, A review of the healthy worker effect in occupational

195 epidemiology, Occupational Medicine, Volume 49, Issue 4, May 1999, Pages 225–229.

196 Available from: C.-Y. Li, F.-C. Sung, A review of the healthy worker effect in

197 occupational epidemiology, Occupational Medicine, Volume 49, Issue 4, May 1999,

198 Pages 225–229, <https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/49.4.225>

199 Address for correspondence: Lisa Ferland, European Centre for Disease Prevention and

200 Control, Gustav III:s boulevard 40, 169 73 Solna, Sweden;

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

201 Table 1. Number of COVID-19 cases reported from case-based surveillance and COVID-19 attack rate by period, healthcare worker
202 status and outcome, EU/EEA countries†, January 2020 — January 2021*

Reporting period		Reported cases			Hospitalized cases‡		Cases admitted to ICU‡		Deceased	
		Total	HCWs	Non-HCWs§	HCWs	Non-HCWs§	HCWs	Non-HCWs§	HCWs	Non-HCWs§
Overall (Jan 31, 2020 – Jan 13, 2021)	No.	2,918,100	261,080	2,657,020	8,169	119,543	716	16,946	220	13,857
	Attack rate (%)	4.1	10.1	3.9	0.40	0.21	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02
Jan 31, 2020 – May 31, 2020	No.	209,993	58,734	151,259	4,557	40,139	387	6,371	102	6,120
	Attack rate (%)	0.3	2.3	0.2	0.22	0.07	0.019	0.011	0.004	0.009
Jun 1, 2020 – Sep 30, 2020	No.	174,072	13,435	160,637	265	7,714	18	673	7	340
	Attack rate (%)	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001
Oct 1, 2020 – Jan 13, 2021	No.	2,534,035	188,911	2,345,124	3,347	71,690	311	9,902	111	7,397
	Attack rate (%)	3.6	7.3	3.5	0.16	0.13	0.015	0.017	0.004	0.011

203 *EU/EEA, European Union and Economic Area; HCWs, healthcare workers; ICU, intensive care unit; TESSy, The European
204 Surveillance System.

205 †Nine EU/EEA countries with consistent reporting case-based surveillance data reported to TESSy for cases aged 20-69 years:
206 Austria, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, and Slovakia. Consistent reporting was defined as
207 reporting case-based surveillance data throughout the entire study period of January 2020-January 2021.

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

208 ‡One country (the Netherlands) was excluded as data on hospitalizations and ICU admissions were incomplete or not reported.

209 §Non-HCWs include cases with unknown HCW status.

Table 2. Number of hospitalized COVID-19 cases and risk of death (IRR) by characteristics of cases, EU/EEA countries†, January 31, 2020-January 13, 2021*

Characteristic	No. hospitalized cases (% within stratum)		Number of deaths (%)		IRR	95% CI
	HCWs	Non-HCWs	HCWs	Non-HCWs		
HCW and ICU admission						
Non-HCW, not admitted to ICU	--	102,597 (80.3)	--	4,823 (4.7)	Ref.	--
Non-HCW, admitted to ICU	--	16,946 (13.3)	--	4,950 (29.2)	4.6	2.7-7.7
HCW, not admitted to ICU	7,453 (5.8)	--	48 (0.6)	--	0.30	0.2-0.6
HCW, admitted to ICU	716 (0.6)	--	99 (13.8)	--	0.62	0.4-0.9
Sex						
Female	4,786 (58.6)	43,729 (36.6)	34 (0.7)	2 537 (5.8)	Ref.	--
Male	3,382 (41.4)	75,808 (63.4)	113 (3.3)	7 236 (9.5)	1.3	1.1-1.5
Age group, y						
20-29	518 (6.3)	6,673 (5.6)	0(0.0)	44 (0.7)	Ref.	--
30-39	1,099 (13.5)	10,724 (9.0)	2 (0.2)	149 (1.4)	1.6	1.0-2.6
40-49	1,966 (24.1)	18,918 (15.8)	12 (0.6)	561 (3.0)	2.1	1.3-3.2
50-59	3,027 (37.1)	36,398 (30.4)	42 (1.4)	2,274 (6.2)	3.0	2.0-4.6
60-69	1,559 (19.1)	46,830 (39.2)	91 (5.8)	6,745 (14.4)	5.8	3.8-8.8
Any comorbidity						
No	6,654 (81.5)	95,485 (79.9)	89 (1.3)	6,347 (6.6)	Ref.	--
Yes‡	1,515 (18.5)	24,058 (20.1)	58 (3.8)	3,426 (14.2)	2.0	1.8-2.3
Reporting period						
Jan 31, 2020 – May 31, 2020	4,557 (55.8)	40,139 (33.6)	83 (1.8)	4,520 (11.3)	Ref.	--
Jun 1, 2020 – Sep 30, 2020	265 (3.2)	7,714 (6.5)	4 (1.5)	212 (2.7)	0.53	0.42-0.67
Oct 1, 2020 – Jan 13, 2021	3,347 (41.0)	71,690 (60.0)	60 (1.8)	5,041 (7.0)	0.83	0.71-0.96

*EU/EEA, European Union and European Economic Area; HCWs, healthcare workers;

IRR, incidence rate ratio; CI, confidence interval.

†Eight EU/EEA countries with consistent reporting case-based surveillance data reported to TESSy for hospitalized cases aged 20-69 years, including ICU admission: Austria, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Norway, and Slovakia. Consistent reporting was defined as reporting case-based surveillance data throughout the entire study period of January 2020-January 2021.

‡Presence of at least one of the following: asthma, cancer, cardiac disease, diabetes, HIV,

hypertension, kidney disease, liver disease, lung disease, neuromuscular disease, obesity, pregnancy, smoking status, tuberculosis, and other/unspecified.