medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252651; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

Article Summary Line: Healthcare workers are hospitalized more frequently than non-healthcare workers when adjusting for age, sex, and comorbidities.

Running Title: Impact of COVID-19 on healthcare workers in the EU/EEA

Keywords: Health Personnel, COVID-19, Mortality, Public Health Surveillance, European Union

Title: Risk of hospitalization and risk of death for healthcare workers with COVID-19 in nine European Union/European Economic Area countries, January 2020–January 2021

Authors: Lisa Ferland, Joana Gomes Dias, Carlos Carvalho, Cornelia Adlhoch, Carl Suetens, Julien Beauté, Pete Kinross, Diamantis Plachouras, Favelle Lamb, Tuula Hannila-Handelberg, Massimo Fabiani, Flavia Riccardo, Joël Mossong, Anne Vergison, Rianne van Gageldonk-Lafeber, Anne Teirlinck, Jackie Melillo, Tanya Melillo, Piers Mook, Richard Pebody, Ana Paula Coutinho Rehse, Dominique L. Monnet

Affiliations:

European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Solna, Sweden (L. Ferland, J. Gomes Dias, C. Carvalho, C. Adlhoch, C. Suetens, J. Beauté, P. Kinross, D. Plachouras, F. Lamb, D.L. Monnet)

Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland (T. Hannila-Handelberg)

Italian National Institute of Health, Rome, Italy (M. Fabiani, F. Riccardo)

Health Directorate, Luxembourg, Luxembourg (J. Mossong, A. Vergison)

National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands (R. van

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252651; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

Gageldonk-Lafeber, A. Terlinck)

Ministry for Health, Gwardamanġa, Malta (J. Melillo, T. Melillo)

World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark (R. Pebody, P. Mook, A. Coutinho Rehse)

Abstract

We assessed the impact of COVID-19 on healthcare workers (HCWs) from data on 2.9 million cases reported from nine countries in the EU/EEA. Compared to non-HCWs, HCWs had a higher adjusted risk of hospitalization (IRR 3.0 [95% CI 2.2-4.0]), but not death (IRR 0.9, 95% CI 0.4-2.0).

Text

Introduction: The impact of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic on healthcare workers (HCWs) has been unprecedented. HCWs are exposed to infected patients making them among the most affected professional groups [1-7], with nurses being the most infected [4]. In a meta-analysis of 97 studies that assessed infection among HCWs, 5% HCW COVID-19 cases had severe complications, and 0.5% died [8]. Using surveillance data provided by European Union and European Economic Area (EU/EEA) countries, we described the risk of disease, hospitalization, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and death and identified possible risk factors for death in hospitalized HCW and non-HCW (general population) cases of COVID-19.

Methods: COVID-19 surveillance in the World Health Organization (WHO) European Region is jointly coordinated by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the WHO Regional Office for Europe. Using case-based surveillance data reported

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252651; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

to The European Surveillance System (TESSy), we analyzed the risk of disease, hospitalization, ICU admission, and death among HCWs and non-HCWs using case-to-case study design [8]. Cases with unknown HCW status were assumed to be non-HCWs. All cases with unknown outcome status were recorded as 'alive' to avoid overestimating death.

We included all COVID-19 cases aged 20-69 years (working ages) reported to TESSy between January 31, 2020 and January 13, 2021 from countries that had (a) consistent case-based reporting throughout the COVID-19 pandemic (n=15) [9], (b) at least 50% of cases reported as case-based data in TESSy, and (c) at least 40% internal completeness of HCW status [10]. We estimated the crude and adjusted rates for disease, hospitalization, admission to ICU, and death by HCW status using negative binomial regression and accounting for country reporting heterogeneity. We used the total number of HCWs in 2020 by country using the latest HCW population statistics from Eurostat [11] for denominator values in Table 1. We subtracted the HCW population[1] from the 2020 population statistics from Eurostat [12] to calculate the non-HCW population.

As HCWs are tested more frequently than non-HCWs (risk of detection bias), we estimated incidence rate ratios (IRRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for death for only hospitalized cases using negative binomial regression, adjusting for age group (20-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, and 60-69 years), sex, comorbidities[2], and three reporting periods (January 31 to May 31, 2020, June 1 to September 30, 2020, and October 1, 2020 to January 13, 2021). We included the time variable in the model to control for differences in testing, reporting, and healthcare capacity during the pandemic. To account for country reporting heterogeneity, we calculated adjusted IRRs using robust clustered standard errors with reporting countries as a cluster effect in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252651; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

the negative binomial regression model. The level of statistical significance was $p<0.05$ and the analyses were performed using Stata 16 and R 3.6.2.

Results: Nine countries met our inclusion criteria: Austria, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, and Slovakia. These countries (70 million total population aged 20-69, 2.6 million HCWs) reported a total of 2.9 million cases from January 31, 2020 to January 13, 2021, corresponding to an overall attack rate of 4.1%. The proportion of HCW cases in the first reporting period (28%) differed greatly from reporting periods later in the pandemic (7.7%-7.5%) (Table 1). The attack rate in the population aged 20-69 was 10.1% for HCWs and 3.9% for non-/unknown-HCWs (Table 1), corresponding to a crude IRR of 2.6 and an adjusted IRR of 3.0 (95% CI 2.2-4.0) for HCWs. The adjusted risk of COVID-19 requiring hospitalization or admission to ICU was respectively 1.8 and 1.9 times higher in HCWs than in non-HCWs (95% CIs 1.2-2.7 and 1.1-3.2, respectively), but the adjusted risk of death was not significantly different (adjusted IRR 0.9, 95% CI 0.4-2.0).

Of 127,712 hospitalized cases aged 20-69 years, 8,169 (6.4%) were HCWs and 119,543 (93.6%) non-HCWs (Table 1). Of those hospitalized, 147 (1.8%) HCWs died, compared to 9,773 (8.2%) non-HCWs (Table 2).

Hospitalized HCWs had a lower risk of in-hospital death (IRR 0.3 [95% CI 0.2-0.6]), but this effect was halved for HCWs admitted to an ICU (IRR 0.6 [95% CI 0.4-0.9]) (Table 2).

ICU admission was an independent predictor of death after hospitalization (IRR 4.6 [95% CI 2.7-7.7]), which likely reflects risk factors for severity of disease that were not included in the model (Table 2). Being 60-69 years old compared to cases aged 20-29 (IRR 5.8 [95% CI 3.8-8.8]), having at least one comorbidity (IRR 2.0 [95% CI 1.8-2.3]) or being male (IRR 1.3 [95%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252651; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

CI 1.1-1.5]) was associated with increased risk of death among hospitalized cases (Table 2). Being hospitalized between June-September 2020 was associated with a decreased risk of death (IRR 0.5 [95% CI 0.4 to 0.7]) compared to the early phase of the pandemic. There was no increased risk of death based on the number of comorbidities for either hospitalized HCWs or hospitalized non-HCWs.

Discussion: In our analyses, HCWs in all countries were at increased risk of COVID-19-related hospitalization, suggesting an increased risk of exposure to SARS-CoV-2. However, HCWs may seek and receive care earlier than non-HCWs, thereby impacting hospitalization and survival rates. By restricting analyses to cases requiring hospitalization, we limited the effect of differential underdiagnosis of cases in non-HCWs vs. HCWs who are regularly tested in healthcare settings.

HCWs were less likely than non-HCWs to die following hospitalization. Risk factors for death such as sex, age, and comorbidity reflect what has been previously reported [13]. After May 31, 2020, there was a lower risk of dying in the hospital for all COVID-19 cases than during the initial phase of the pandemic. Testing practices changed over time and the availability of better equipment, knowledge, and treatment of cases most likely influenced health outcomes for all cases. During the second and third reporting periods, the decreased risk of death may be linked to improved management of severe cases [14].

In addition, it is plausible that HCWs, because they are close to the healthcare system, receive early treatment and are better able to identify clinical symptoms that can lead to severe outcomes that affected their survival rates. HCWs in our analyses may also have benefited from

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252651; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

the healthy worker effect [15] or HCWs with high-risk comorbidities may have left the practice during the pandemic.

While we tried to control for detection bias by restricting our multivariable analysis to hospitalized cases, it is possible that HCWs were hospitalized with less severe illness than non-HCWs. Country-specific definitions of HCWs vary and we did not have data on the professions of HCW cases, so their distribution and data completeness in our surveillance dataset is unknown. While misclassification of cases (either as non-HCWs or as alive) was possible, the adjusted IRRs did not change when we restricted the analysis to only cases with known HCW and outcome statuses.

In conclusion, HCWs were at higher risk for COVID-19-related hospitalization than non-HCWs, which could possibly be explained by their proximity to healthcare services and prompt recognition of illness. Among hospitalized cases, the risk of death was lower for HCWs than for non-HCWs, likely due to the healthy worker effect, better or earlier access to treatment, and under ascertainment. Further research is needed on exposure levels by HCW profession to fully explore the risk factors for COVID-19-related hospitalization and death among HCWs.

Acknowledgments

We would like to gratefully acknowledge all the contributing public health practitioners who provided data used in this manuscript. We would also like to acknowledge the contributions of Gianfranco Spiteri, Nick Bundle, Pasi Penttinen, Andrew J Amato-Gauci, Karl Ekdahl, Antonino Bella, and all ECDC employees and staff who helped collect, analyze, and report these data during the public health emergency response. We would like to acknowledge the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252651; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

contributions of all public health practitioners in EU/EEA countries conducting surveillance and reporting data related to COVID-19. ECDC continues to collect surveillance data and collaborate with the WHO to monitor risk factors and advise EU/EEA countries on the severity of disease as the pandemic progresses.

Disclaimers

The authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this publication and they do not necessarily represent the decisions or policies of the institutions with which they are affiliated. Data are publicly available through https://www.ecdc.europa.eu.

Author Bio

Lisa Ferland, MPH, is an interim surveillance expert at the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control with a primary interest in surveillance of infectious diseases and public health workforce capacity and competency.

Footnotes

[1] HCW professional categories included: (i) medical doctors, (ii) nursing and midwifery, (iii) dentistry, (iv) pharmaceutical, (v) environmental and occupational health and hygiene personnel, (vi) medical and pathology laboratory personnel, (vii) physiotherapy personnel, (viii) traditional and complementary medicine personnel, and (ix) community HCWs.

[2] Comorbidities included asthma, cancer, cardiac disease, diabetes, HIV, hypertension, kidney disease, liver disease, lung disease, neuromuscular disease, obesity, pregnancy, smoking status, tuberculosis, and other/unspecified.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252651; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

References

1. Nguyen, LH, Drew DA, Graham MS, Joshi AD, Guo CG, Ma W, et al. Risk of COVID-19 among front-line health-care workers and the general community: a prospective cohort study. The Lancet. 2020;5:e475-e483.
2. Mutambudzi M, Niedzwiedz CL, Macdonald EB, Leyland AH, Mair FS, Anderson JJ, et al. Occupation and risk of severe COVID-19: prospective study of 120,075 UK Biobank participants. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2020;0:1-8.
3. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 clusters and outbreaks in occupational settings in the EU/EEA and the UK. ECDC. 2020.
4. Bandyopadhyay S, Baticulon RE, Kadhum M, et al. Infection and mortality of healthcare workers worldwide from COVID-19: a systematic review. BMJ Global Health. 2020;5:e003097.
5. Barrett ES, Horton DB, Roy J, Gennaro ML, Brooks A, Tischfield J, et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in previously undiagnosed health care workers in New Jersey, at the onset of the U.S. COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Infect Dis. 2020; 20, 853.
6. Gómez-Ochoa SJ, Franco OH, Rojas LZ, Raguindin PF, Roa-Diaz ZM, Wyssmann BM, et al. COVID-19 in Health-Care Workers: A Living Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Prevalence, Risk Factors, Clinical Characteristics, and Outcomes, American Journal of Epidemiology. 2021;190:161-175.
7. Shah SV, Wood R, Gribben C, Caldwell D, Bishop J, Weir A, et al. Risk of hospital admission with coronavirus disease 2019 in healthcare workers and their households: nationwide linkage cohort study, BMJ. 2020;371:m3582.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252651; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

8. Pogreba-Brown K, Austhof E, Ellingson K. Methodology minute: An overview of the case-case study design and its applications in infection prevention. American Journal of Infection Control. 2020. 48;342-344.
9. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 surveillance report introduction. 2020-2021 [cited 2021 Jan 20]. Available from: https://covid19-surveillance-report.ecdc.europa.eu/#1_Introduction
10. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 surveillance report introduction. 2020-2021 [cited 2021 Jan 20]. Available from: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/covid-19/surveillance/weekly-surveillance-report
11. Eurostat. Health care staff including health personnel, nursing and caring professionals, physicians, and health personnel (excluding nursing and caring professionals). 2018. [data extracted 18 January 2021]. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/health/data/database
12. Eurostat. EUROPOP2019 Population projection at national level (2019-2100) (proj_19n). 2019 [cited 2021 Jan 20]. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/main/data/database.
13. Flavia R, Marco A, Xanthi AD, Antonino B, Martina DM, Massimo F, et al. Epidemiological characteristics of COVID-19 cases and estimates of the reproductive numbers 1 month into the epidemic, Italy, 28 January to 31 March 2020, Eurosurveillance. 2020. 25(49).
14. Heidi Ledford. Why do COVID death rates seem to be falling? Nature. 2020. [cited 18 February 2021]. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-03132-4

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252651; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

15. C.-Y. Li, F.-C. Sung, A review of the healthy worker effect in occupational epidemiology, Occupational Medicine, Volume 49, Issue 4, May 1999, Pages 225–229. Available from: C.-Y. Li, F.-C. Sung, A review of the healthy worker effect in occupational epidemiology, Occupational Medicine, Volume 49, Issue 4, May 1999, Pages 225–229, https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/49.4.225

Address for correspondence: Lisa Ferland, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Gustav III:s boulevard 40, 169 73 Solna, Sweden; email: lisa.ferland@ecdc.europa.eu;

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

Table 1. Number of COVID-19 cases reported from case-based surveillance and COVID-19 attack rate by period, healthcare worker status and outcome, EU/EEA countries†, January 2020 — January 2021*

Reporting period		Reported cases			Hospitalized cases‡		Cases admitted to ICU‡		Deceased	
		Total	**HCWs**	**Non-HCWs§**	**HCWs**	**Non-HCWs§**	**HCWs**	**Non-HCWs§**	**HCWs**	**Non-HCWs§**
Overall (Jan 31, 2020 – Jan 13, 2021)	No.	2,918,100	261,080	2,657,020	8,169	119,543	716	16,946	220	13,857
	Attack rate (%)	4.1	10.1	3.9	0.40	0.21	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02
Jan 31, 2020 – May 31, 2020	No.	209,993	58,734	151,259	4,557	40,139	387	6,371	102	6,120
	Attack rate (%)	0.3	2.3	0.2	0.22	0.07	0.019	0.011	0.004	0.009
Jun 1, 2020 – Sep 30, 2020	No.	174,072	13,435	160,637	265	7,714	18	673	7	340
	Attack rate (%)	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001
Oct 1, 2020 – Jan 13, 2021	No.	2,534,035	188,911	2,345,124	3,347	71,690	311	9,902	111	7,397
	Attack rate (%)	3.6	7.3	3.5	0.16	0.13	0.015	0.017	0.004	0.011

*EU/EEA, European Union and Economic Area; HCWs, healthcare workers; ICU, intensive care unit; TESSy, The European Surveillance System.

†Nine EU/EEA countries with consistent reporting case-based surveillance data reported to TESSy for cases aged 20-69 years: Austria, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, and Slovakia. Consistent reporting was defined as reporting case-based surveillance data throughout the entire study period of January 2020-January 2021.

Reserved space. Do not place any text in this section. Include the mandatory author checklist or your manuscript will be returned. Use *continuous* line numbering in your manuscript.

‡One country (the Netherlands) was excluded as data on hospitalizations and ICU admissions were incomplete or not reported.

§Non-HCWs include cases with unknown HCW status.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252651; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Number of hospitalized COVID-19 cases and risk of death (IRR) by characteristics of cases, EU/EEA countries†, January 31, 2020-January 13, 2021*

Characteristic	No. hospitalized cases (% within stratum)		Number of deaths (%)		IRR	95% CI
	HCWs	Non-HCWs	HCWs	Non-HCWs		
HCW and ICU admission						
Non-HCW, not admitted to ICU	--	102,597 (80.3)	--	4,823 (4.7)	Ref.	--
Non-HCW, admitted to ICU	--	7,453 (5.8)	--	4,950 (29.2)	4.6	2.7-7.7
HCW, not admitted to ICU	16,946 (13.3)	--	48 (0.6)	--	0.30	0.2-0.6
HCW, admitted to ICU	716 (0.6)	--	99 (13.8)	--	0.62	0.4-0.9
Sex						
Female	5,180 (59.5)	45,747 (36.5)	34 (0.7)	2 537 (5.8)	Ref.	--
Male	3,529 (40.5)	79,561 (63.5)	113 (3.3)	7 236 (9.5)	1.3	1.1-1.5
Age group, y						
20-29	545 (6.3)	6,834 (5.5)	(0.0)	44 (0.7)	Ref.	--
30-39	1,154 (13.2)	11,059 (8.8)	2 (0.2)	149 (1.4)	1.6	1.0-2.6
40-49	2,070 (23.8)	19,731 (15.7)	12 (0.6)	561 (3.0)	2.1	1.3-3.2
50-59	3,245 (37.3)	38,259 (30.5)	42 (1.4)	2,274 (6.2)	3.0	2.0-4.6
60-69	1,697 (19.5)	49,435 (39.4)	91 (5.8)	6,745 (14.4)	5.8	3.8-8.8
Any comorbidity						
No	7,196 (82.6)	101,260 (80.8)	89 (1.3)	6,347 (6.6)	Ref.	--
Yes‡	1,515 (17.4)	24,058 (19.2)	58 (3.8)	3,426 (14.2)	2.0	1.8-2.3
Reporting period						
Jan 31, 2020 – May 31, 2020	5,086 (58.4)	45,646 (36.4)	83 (1.8)	4,520 (11.3)	Ref.	--
Jun 1, 2020 – Sep 30, 2020	278 (3.2)	7,980 (6.4)	4 (1.5)	212 (2.7)	0.53	0.42-0.67
Oct 1, 2020 – Jan 13, 2021	3,347 (38.4)	71,692 (57.2)	60 (1.8)	5,041 (7.0)	0.83	0.71-0.96

*EU/EEA, European Union and European Economic Area; HCWs, healthcare workers; IRR, incidence rate ratio; CI, confidence interval.

†Eight EU/EEA countries with consistent reporting case-based surveillance data reported to TESSy for hospitalized cases aged 20-69 years, including ICU admission: Austria, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Norway, and Slovakia. Consistent reporting was defined as reporting case-based surveillance data throughout the entire study period of January 2020-January 2021.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.01.21252651; this version posted March 6, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

‡Presence of at least one of the following: asthma, cancer, cardiac disease, diabetes, HIV, hypertension, kidney disease, liver disease, lung disease, neuromuscular disease, obesity, pregnancy, smoking status, tuberculosis, and other/unspecified.