

1 **Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cognitive function in Japanese community-dwelling**
2 **older adults in a class for preventing cognitive decline**

3 Short title: Impact of COVID-19 on Japanese community-dwelling older adults

4

5 Minoru Kouzuki ^{1*}, Shota Furukawa ¹, Keisuke Mitani ¹, and Katsuya Urakami ¹

6

7 ¹ Department of Biological Regulation, School of Health Science, Faculty of Medicine,
8 Tottori University, Tottori, Japan

9

10 * Corresponding author

11 Email: kouzuki@tottori-u.ac.jp

12 Abstract

13 We examined the effects of lifestyle and thoughts on cognitive function and change
14 in cognitive function due to restrictions in daily life during the coronavirus disease 2019
15 (COVID-19) pandemic in community-dwelling older adults with mild cognitive decline. This
16 was a retrospective case-control study. The participants were 88 older adults with mild
17 cognitive decline who participated in a class designed to help prevent cognitive decline. The
18 class was suspended from early-March to end of May 2020 to prevent the spread of
19 COVID-19, and resumed in June 2020. We collected demographic and cognitive function
20 test data (Touch Panel-type Dementia Assessment Scale [TDAS]) before and after class
21 suspension and questionnaire data on their lifestyle and thoughts during the suspension.
22 Change in TDAS scores from before and after the suspension was used to divide the
23 participants into decline (2 or more points worsening) and non-decline (all other
24 participants) groups, with 16 (18.2%) and 72 (81.8%) participants in each group,
25 respectively. A logistic regression model showed that the odds ratio (OR) for cognitive
26 decline was lower in participants whose responses were “engaged in hobbies” (OR = 0.07, p
27 = 0.015), “worked on a worksheet about cognitive training provided by the town hall” (OR =
28 0.19, p = 0.026), and “had conversations over the phone” (OR = 0.28, p = 0.0495). There was

29 a significant improvement in TDAS scores after class was resumed ($p < 0.01$). A proactive
30 approach to intellectual activities and social ties may be important for the prevention of
31 cognitive decline during periods of restrictions due to COVID-19. We found that cognitive
32 function test scores before class suspension significantly improved after resuming classes.
33 We speculate that continued participation in the class led to positive behavioral changes in
34 daily life during periods of restriction due to COVID-19.

35 Introduction

36 Recently, local governments throughout Japan have made efforts against dementia.
37 In Houki town (Tottori Prefecture, Japan), classes are held for community-dwelling older
38 adults with suspected mild cognitive decline to provide cognitive decline prevention
39 programs that combine physical exercise, cognitive training, and education on dementia
40 and lifestyle habits [1]. A previous study has shown that combined cognitive and physical
41 training, delivered either simultaneously or sequentially, is effective in promoting both
42 cognitive and physical health in old age [2]. In addition, social isolation is a risk factor for
43 poor cognitive function and dementia [3-7]. Participation in the class leads to the formation
44 of a community. An increase in interpersonal communication as well as physical exercise
45 and cognitive training may contribute synergistically to improving cognitive function.
46 However, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, there were concerns about gathering of people
47 causing infection transmission. The first case of COVID-19 in Japan was reported by the
48 Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare on January 16, 2020 [8], and COVID-19 has since
49 spread nationwide. A state of emergency was announced by the Japanese government on
50 April 7, 2020, and the government asked people to refrain from going out unless necessary.
51 Houki Town considered measures to prevent the spread of COVID-19 before the

52 announcement of the state of emergency, and decided to suspend the class from early
53 March 2020. There were concerns around the negative effects of the class suspension such
54 as deterioration of physical and cognitive health due to lack of physical exercise or cognitive
55 training during the class, decreased social contact due to the lack of places to gather, and
56 reduced communication. However, older patients with COVID-19 are more likely to exhibit
57 higher mortality rates and more severe symptoms [9-14]. Furthermore, given the social
58 situation, suspension of the class was an unavoidable decision. Although classes resumed in
59 June 2020, there were concerns around the progression of cognitive impairment that may
60 have occurred during the suspension period. Therefore, when the classes resumed,
61 participants were assessed by the Houki town hall staff using a cognitive function test and
62 a questionnaire on lifestyle and thoughts during the suspension. They grasped the
63 condition of the class participants and provided appropriate support.

64 There are ongoing concerns about further additional measures that will require
65 restrictions due to COVID-19. It is also possible that the services provided by local
66 governments will be suspended again in the future. Therefore, we thought it was important
67 to determine what can be done to prevent cognitive decline. A previous study has shown
68 that a multidomain lifestyle intervention was able to improve cognition in a group

69 experiencing cognitive decline [15]. Other studies reported on the relationships among
70 cognitive function and exercise, cognitive training, and social contact [2-7]. In this study, by
71 focusing on lifestyle and awareness of activities, the purpose was to investigate the changes
72 in cognitive function during COVID-19 restrictions. We assessed cognitive function,
73 lifestyle, and thoughts during the class suspension in community-dwelling older adults
74 with mild cognitive decline who participated in a class for preventing cognitive decline. We
75 also compared cognitive function before and after the class suspension to observe changes
76 during the period of self-restraint.

77

78

79 **Methods**

80 **Study design**

81 This was a retrospective case-control study. Data were collected in September 2020
82 from information stored at Houki town hall. The ethics committee of Tottori University
83 Faculty of Medicine (Yonago, Japan) approved the study (number: 20A076) and all
84 procedures complied with the Declaration of Helsinki. The need for consent was waived by
85 the ethics committee of Tottori University Faculty of Medicine because this was an

86 observational study that used pre-collected information. The study was not considered
87 neither invasive nor intervening, and an opt-out approach was employed. All information
88 pertaining to the study was disclosed in advance on the homepage of the Tottori University
89 website and the notice board of Houki town hall to ensure that participants were given the
90 opportunity to decline participation.

91

92 **Study participants**

93 The participants were community-dwelling older adults in Houki town (Tottori
94 Prefecture, Japan), who were enrolled in classes for prevention of cognitive decline in 2019
95 and 2020. Participants in the class were evaluated with suspected cognitive decline
96 previously using a cognitive function test on a touch-pad computer (Touch Panel-type
97 Dementia Assessment Scale: TDAS, Nihon Kohden Corporation, Tokyo, Japan [16]). There
98 are three types of classes: a weekly class (held for four months) for new people, and a once
99 or twice a month follow-up class (held throughout the year) for people who have completed
100 the weekly class. Participants are evaluated for cognitive function using the TDAS once a
101 year between January and March. Generally, the classification of the two follow-up classes
102 is based on the degree of cognitive impairment by evaluating the TDAS scores. People with

103 mild or greater cognitive dysfunction are invited to participate in the twice-a-month class,
104 whereas those with a normal cognition range or extremely mild cognitive dysfunction are
105 invited to participate in the once-a-month class. However, the classes were suspended from
106 early March 2020 to end of May 2020 to prevent the spread of COVID-19. When the classes
107 resumed in June 2020, participants were assessed by the Houki town hall staff using a
108 cognitive function test and a questionnaire on lifestyle and thoughts during the suspension.

109 In this study, we collected data from participants who completed the TDAS
110 evaluation between January 2020 to March 2020, and those who completed the TDAS
111 evaluation and a questionnaire on lifestyle and thoughts during the class suspension from
112 June 2020 to July 2020. The exclusion criteria were subjects who declined the use of their
113 data.

114

115 **Data collection**

116 We collected data on the demographic characteristics, results of the cognitive
117 function tests and the questionnaire on lifestyle and thoughts during the class suspension,
118 and the type of class attended (once or twice a month follow-up class or a weekly class).

119 The TDAS [16] is a modified version of the ADAS-Cog [17], in which participants

120 enter their answers directly onto a touch-panel computer by following instructions. The
121 nine examination items include “word recognition,” “following simple commands,”
122 “visual-spatial perception,” “accuracy of the order of a process,” “naming fingers,”
123 “orientation,” “money calculation,” “object recognition,” and “clock time recognition.” Scores
124 ranged from 0 (all correct answers) to 101 points (all incorrect answers), so that higher
125 scores indicate greater symptom severity.

126 The questionnaire was created independently by the Houki town hall staff and
127 included questions about participants’ lifestyle and thoughts during the class suspension
128 (S1 Table), such as anxiety (worrying about physical condition, getting sick, progression of
129 forgetfulness, weakening of lower body, reduced food intake, decreased conversation,
130 difficulty sleeping, and increased stress), awareness (exercising, working on cognitive
131 training, having conversations, eating a well-balanced diet, living a well-regulated lifestyle,
132 engaging in hobbies, and collecting information on dementia), working on cognitive
133 training paper worksheets of the contents covered in the class provided by the town hall
134 (sent by post once each in April and May 2020), opportunities for conversation, and method
135 of conversation (directly, by phone, or using an online communication tool). Although other
136 questions were present, the results of the items that were similar to the above questions

137 are not shown in this main text. The results are shown in S2 Table. Moreover, descriptive
138 answers were also excluded from the analysis. The questionnaire was self-administered.

139

140 **Statistical analysis**

141 Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 25, IBM Corporation,
142 Tokyo, Japan) and EZR (version 1.41, Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University,
143 Saitama, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical
144 Computing, Vienna, Austria) [18]. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess the normality
145 of the data, and Levene's test was used to assess the equality of variance.

146 In our previous study [1] that evaluated the cognitive function of older adults with
147 suspected cognitive decline using TDAS, we observed an average natural deterioration of
148 0.74 points (standard error 0.43) after a six month observational period. Based on this
149 result, we set the criteria for cognitive decline as a 2-point or more decrease in TDAS score
150 from the January to March 2020 assessment to the June to July 2020 assessment. The
151 results of the questionnaire were compared by dividing participants into two groups: those
152 whose TDAS score deteriorated (decline group) and those whose TDAS score did not
153 deteriorate (non-decline group). Differences in demographic characteristics between groups

154 were assessed using Student's t-test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Univariate and
155 multivariate binary logistic regression analyses were used to assess associations between
156 each of the questionnaire's results (the independent variables) and cognitive decline (the
157 dependent variable). Because of fewer participants in the decline group, we needed to
158 consider that the validity of the logistic model becomes problematic when the ratio of the
159 numbers of events per variable analyzed is small [19]. Therefore, with reference to a
160 previous study [20], for multivariable modeling, we used propensity score adjustment for
161 age, sex, TDAS score before the class suspension, and type of class attended. The
162 propensity score was estimated by a multivariate binary logistic regression analysis with
163 the answers to each item in the questionnaire as the dependent variable, and the above
164 adjustment factor as the independent variable. In the logistic regression analysis,
165 unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were
166 calculated.

167 Change in the TDAS score between before and after the class suspension was
168 evaluated using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. We also carried out the above analyses
169 based on the following stratifications: (1) level of cognitive function (based on January to
170 March 2020 scores), which was based on previous studies [21, 22] that showed that the

171 average or median TDAS score for mild cognitive impairment (MCI) was around 7 points,
172 those with suspected mild or greater cognitive decline had a TDAS score of 7 points or
173 higher, and those with a normal cognition range or extremely mild cognitive decline had a
174 TDAS score of 6 points or lower; (2) type of class attended (once or twice a month); (3) level
175 of anxiety, calculated by the total number of positive responses to items relating to “anxiety
176 during class suspension (question 1 in S1 Table)” in the questionnaire and grouped by the
177 median; (4) level of awareness, calculated by the total number of positive responses to items
178 relating to “awareness during classroom suspension (question 2 in S1 Table)” in the
179 questionnaire and grouped by the median.

180 All statistical significance tests were two-sided and used an alpha level of 0.05 as
181 statistically significant.

182

183 Results

184 Participant characteristics

185 We collected data from 88 participants. None of the individuals participated in the
186 weekly class, which is only attended by new participants, and thus our subjects comprised
187 those who participated in the follow-up classes that were held once or twice a month. All

188 participants attended the follow-up classes in both 2019 and 2020. The participants'
189 characteristics are summarized in Table 1. There were 26 men and 62 women, and the
190 mean age was 81.0 (standard deviation [SD] 6.5) years. The mean TDAS score before the
191 class suspension was 7.1 (SD 6.0), and the average degree of cognitive decline was
192 interpreted as mild. The average time intercurring between the first and second evaluation
193 of TDAS was 139.8 (SD 11.2) days. More than approximately 80% of respondents answered
194 that they worked on the worksheet about cognitive training that was provided by the town
195 hall, and had conversations almost every day, including direct conversations. Less than 5%
196 of respondents answered that they had opportunities for conversation less than once a week
197 or had conversations using an online communication medium.

198

199 **Table 1. Participants' characteristics**

	All participants
	(n = 88)
Age	81.0 ± 6.5
Sex	

Male	26 (29.5)
Female	62 (70.5)
TDAS score before class suspension	7.1 ± 6.0
Anxiety during class suspension	
Worrying about physical condition	41 (46.6)
Getting sick	10 (11.4)
Progressing of forgetfulness	28 (31.8)
Weakening of lower body	37 (42.0)
Reduced food intake	15 (17.0)
Decreased conversation	44 (50.0)
Difficulty sleeping	13 (14.8)
Increased stress	18 (20.5)
Awareness during class suspension	
Exercising	53 (60.2)
Working on cognitive training	32 (36.4)
Having conversations	37 (42.0)

Eating a well-balanced diet	43 (48.9)
Living a well-regulated lifestyle	47 (53.4)
Engaging in hobbies	36 (40.9)
Collecting information on dementia	22 (25.0)
Worksheet provided by the town hall ^a	
Not working	17 (20.2)
Working	67 (79.8)
Opportunity for conversation ^b	
Rarely	1 (1.2)
Once a week	3 (3.6)
Once every 2–3 days	10 (12.0)
Almost every day	69 (83.1)
Conversation method	
Directly	72 (81.8)
Using a phone	44 (50.0)
Using an online tool	3 (3.4)

200 Data presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%).

201 ^a There were 4 non-responders

202 ^b There were 5 non-responders

203 TDAS, Touch Panel-type Dementia Assessment Scale

204

205 **Questionnaire**

206 The results of the questionnaire are shown in Table 2 and S2 Table. In all, 16
207 participants (18.2%) had cognitive decline, and 72 (81.8%) had no cognitive decline. We
208 observed no significant differences in the age and sex ratio ($p = 0.475$, $p = 0.226$,
209 respectively). A logistic regression model showed that the ORs for cognitive decline were
210 lower in participants whose responses were “engaged in hobbies” (OR = 0.07, 95% CI =
211 0.01–0.60, $p = 0.015$), “worked on worksheet about cognitive training provided by the town
212 hall” (OR = 0.19, 95% CI = 0.04–0.82, $p = 0.026$), and “had conversations using a phone”
213 (OR = 0.28, 95% CI = 0.08–0.997, $p = 0.0495$).

214 **Table 2. Comparison of questionnaire results between the cognitive decline and non-decline group.**

	Decline group (n = 16)	Non-decline group (n = 72)	Unadjusted		Adjusted	
			OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value
Age	82.1 ± 7.1	80.8 ± 6.3				
Sex						
Male	7 (43.8)	19 (26.4)				
Female	9 (56.3)	53 (76.1)				
Anxiety during class suspension						
Worrying about physical condition	8 (50.0)	33 (45.8)	1.18 (0.40–3.49)	0.763	1.40 (0.45–4.36)	0.556
Getting sick	1 (6.3)	9 (12.5)	0.47 (0.05–3.97)	0.485	0.45 (0.05–3.88)	0.465
Progressing of forgetfulness	4 (25.0)	24 (33.3)	0.67 (0.19–2.29)	0.519	0.67 (0.19–2.32)	0.524
Weakening of lower body	9 (56.3)	28 (38.9)	2.02 (0.68–6.04)	0.208	2.12 (0.69–6.49)	0.188

Reduced food intake	4 (25.0)	11 (15.3)	1.85 (0.50–6.79)	0.355	1.78 (0.48–6.62)	0.386
Decreased conversation	9 (56.3)	35 (48.6)	1.36 (0.46–4.04)	0.581	1.51 (0.48–4.74)	0.477
Difficulty sleeping	2 (12.5)	11 (15.3)	0.79 (0.16–3.98)	0.777	0.81 (0.16–4.12)	0.798
Increased stress	5 (31.3)	13 (18.1)	2.06 (0.61–6.96)	0.243	2.10 (0.59–7.47)	0.250
Awareness during class suspension						
Exercising	8 (50.0)	45 (62.5)	0.60 (0.20–1.78)	0.358	0.62 (0.20–1.92)	0.407
Working on cognitive training	6 (37.5)	26 (22.2)	1.06 (0.35–3.26)	0.917	0.86 (0.26–2.84)	0.804
Having conversations	5 (31.3)	32 (44.4)	0.57 (0.18–1.80)	0.337	0.59 (0.18–1.91)	0.378
Eating a well-balanced diet	7 (43.8)	36 (50.0)	0.78 (0.26–2.31)	0.651	0.82 (0.26–2.60)	0.742
Living a well-regulated life style	11 (68.8)	36 (50.0)	2.20 (0.69–6.97)	0.180	2.41 (0.74–7.84)	0.145
Engaging in hobbies	1 (6.3)	35 (48.6)	0.07 (0.01–0.56)	0.012	0.07 (0.01–0.60)	0.015
Collecting information on dementia	4 (25.0)	18 (25.0)	1.00 (0.29–3.49)	1.000	0.85 (0.23–3.09)	0.798

Worksheet provided by the town hall ^a						
Not working	6 (42.9)	11 (15.7)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
Working	8 (57.1)	59 (84.3)	0.25 (0.07–0.86)	0.028	0.19 (0.04–0.82)	0.026
Opportunity for conversation ^b						
Rarely	0 (0)	1 (1.4)				
Once a week	0 (0)	3 (4.3)	1 (reference) ^c		1 (reference) ^c	
Once every 2–3 days	1 (7.7)	9 (12.9)				
Almost every day	12 (92.3)	57 (81.4)	2.74 (0.33–23.0)	0.354	3.84 (0.41–35.80)	0.238
Conversation method						
Directly	12 (75.0)	60 (83.3)	0.60 (0.17–2.18)	0.438	0.64 (0.16–2.49)	0.514
Using a phone	4 (25.0)	40 (55.6)	0.27 (0.08–0.91)	0.034	0.28 (0.08–0.997)	0.0495
Using an online tool	0 (0)	3 (4.2)	– ^d		– ^d	

215 Data presented as mean \pm standard deviation or number (%). Propensity score was used as an adjustment covariate.

216 ^a There were 2 non-responders in the decline group, and 2 non-responders in the non-decline group

217 ^b There were 3 non-responders in the decline group, and 2 non-responders in the non-decline group

218 ^c Reference was the total value of “almost none,” “once a week,” and “once every 2–3 days”

219 ^d Analysis was not possible because there were no participants in the decline group that had a conversation using an online

220 communication tool

221 OR, Odds ratio; CI, Confidence interval

222 Cognitive function test

223 Fig 1A-1E show the TDAS scores before and after the class suspension. In the
224 analysis of all participants, a significant improvement in TDAS score was observed after
225 the class resumed (Fig 1A, $p < 0.01$). When grouping according to the degree of cognitive
226 function before the class suspension, a significant improvement in cognitive function was
227 observed in the group with mild or greater cognitive decline (TDAS of 7 points or more
228 before the class suspension) (Fig 1B, $p < 0.01$). In addition, when groups were classified
229 according to the type of class attended, a significant improvement in cognitive function was
230 observed in the group that attended classes twice a month (Fig 1C, $p < 0.01$). Furthermore,
231 when grouped according to level of anxiety during class suspension (low anxiety: 0–2
232 positive responses; high anxiety: 3–8 positive responses), there was significant
233 improvement in cognitive function in both groups (Fig 1D, both $p < 0.05$). When
234 participants were grouped according to level of awareness (high awareness: 3–7 positive
235 responses; low awareness: 0–2 positive responses), a significant improvement in cognitive
236 function was observed in the high awareness group (Fig 1E, $p < 0.01$).

237

238 **Fig 1. Results of TDAS before class suspension (Pre) and after class resumption (Post).**

239 (A) The results of all participants are shown (n = 88). (B) The results of the mild or greater
240 cognitive decline group (TDAS score of 7 points or more) before class suspension (n = 41 [13
241 men and 28 women], mean age = 82.3 [SD = 6.2] years), and the normal cognition or
242 extremely mild cognitive decline group (TDAS score of 6 points or less) before class
243 suspension (n = 47 [13 men and 34 women], mean age = 79.9 [SD = 6.5] years). (C) The
244 results of subjects who participated in the class held twice a month (n = 64 [19 men and 45
245 women], mean age = 81.9 [SD = 6.6] years) and once a month (n = 24 [7 men and 17 women],
246 mean age = 78.6 [SD = 5.5] years). (D) The results of low anxiety participants (n = 53 [16
247 men and 37 women], mean age = 80.8 [SD = 6.8] years) and high anxiety participants (n =
248 35 [10 men and 25 women], mean age = 81.3 [SD = 5.9] years). (E) The results of high
249 awareness participants (n = 48 [10 men and 38 women], mean age = 81.2 [SD = 6.5] years)
250 and low awareness participants (n = 40 [16 men and 24 women]), mean age = 80.7 [SD =
251 6.5] years).

252 All data indicate mean \pm standard error, and the number on the error bar is the mean
253 value.

254 *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

255 TDAS, Touch Panel-type Dementia Assessment Scale; SD, standard deviation

256

257 **Discussion**

258 In this study, we performed an analysis of lifestyle and awareness during the
259 suspension of cognitive decline prevention classes due to COVID-19. We found that
260 intellectual activities, such as “engaging in hobbies,” “working on the worksheet provided
261 by the town hall,” and “having conversations on the phone” play an important role in
262 preventing cognitive decline during a period of self-restraint. In addition, participants who
263 had high levels of awareness during the class suspension showed significant improvement
264 in TDAS score. Previous studies have reported that certain lifestyle activities may play an
265 important role in the reversal of MCI to normal cognition [23]. Moreover, there is evidence
266 of a significant association between high frequency of stimulating leisure activities in the
267 past and reduced risk of dementia [24, 25]. Therefore, we suggest that the activities of daily
268 life are important in preventing deterioration of cognitive function. In addition, previous
269 studies have reported that having few social ties increased the risk for cognitive decline
270 among elderly people [3, 26]; therefore, it is presumed that the phone was a useful
271 communication medium in situations where the frequency of face-to-face meetings
272 decreased due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Participants who had high awareness and

273 engaged in many activities showed improvement in cognitive function, which demonstrates
274 that it is beneficial to continue any possible activities while refraining from activities due to
275 COVID-19.

276 Previous studies have shown that in older adults, the spread of COVID-19 may
277 lead to decreased social [27] and physical activity time [28], and an increasing risk of
278 problematic mental health symptoms such as depression and anxiety due to more days
279 spent staying at home [29]. Physical inactivity, social isolation, and depression in later life
280 (after 65 years) are risk factors for dementia [7]. Moreover, in a study investigating the
281 effects of COVID-19 lockdown in Italy, telephone interviews with caregivers of 31 subjects
282 with MCI revealed a worsening of cognition in 41.9% of people [30]. Although Japan did not
283 impose any lockdown, there was concern that class suspension and staying at home would
284 lead to cognitive decline. However, although 31.8% of the participants answered in the
285 questionnaire that they were worried about the progress of forgetfulness, 18.2% of the
286 participants in our study had cognitive decline. Moreover, cognitive function improved after
287 the classes resumed. Anxiety symptoms have also been reported to increase the risk of
288 developing MCI and dementia [31]; however, cognitive function improved after class
289 resumed, regardless of the levels of anxiety. In addition, subjects who participated in the

290 class held twice a month and subjects with mild or more severe cognitive decline were
291 concerned about their decline in cognitive function due to class suspension. However, no
292 adverse effect on cognitive function was observed because of changes in the environment
293 due to COVID-19. One possible reason can be that the extent of the measures taken to
294 counteract the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan was on a voluntary basis and presumably
295 irrelevant in determining a significant detrimental effect on the cognitive functions of
296 Japanese elderly with mild cognitive decline. Another reason can be that continued
297 attendance of the classes until the suspension may explain the limited cognitive decline
298 observed in our study. Although cultural differences and difference in survey years must be
299 taken into consideration, it has been proposed that both in Japan and other countries, older
300 adults' knowledge of dementia and cognitive disorders is currently deficient and that public
301 health approaches such as educational interventions or national campaigns are necessary
302 [32-35]. Although we did not investigate the degree of knowledge in our participants, we
303 can infer that the participants in this study had some understanding of the type of lifestyle
304 that is important to prevent cognitive decline because they had already been participating
305 in the classes. Therefore, we suspect that many participants carried out beneficial actions
306 to prevent the deterioration of cognitive function during the period of self-restraint imposed

307 due to COVID-19.

308 We found that few people had conversations using an online communication
309 medium. According to the results of the 2019 Communications Usage Trend Survey in
310 Japan released by the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, the usage status of
311 social networking services was reported to be 40.7% for people aged 70–79 and 42.8% for
312 people aged 80 and over [36]. In a study examining the compliance rate of computer-based
313 cognitive training in older adults with increased risk of dementia, 37% did no train at all,
314 and previous experience with computers, being married or cohabiting, better memory
315 performance, and positive expectations toward the study predicted greater likelihood for
316 starting the training [37]. In addition, a study investigating the frequency of exposure to
317 health information from information and communication technologies reported that older
318 adult respondents were less frequently exposed to health information from websites and
319 social media sites [38]. Taken together, we consider it important to provide support for older
320 adults who are suspected of having cognitive decline by using more traditional methods,
321 such as telephone and print media, rather than digital mediums, especially during
322 emergency situations. Furthermore, 79.8% of participants answered that during the class
323 suspension, they worked on the cognitive training worksheet provided by the town hall.

324 Although individual awareness was an important factor that influenced whether an
325 individual worked on the worksheet provided by the town hall, the results suggested that it
326 is important to provide information in an appropriate manner such as through paper.

327 Finally, this study has several limitations. First, the subjects of this study were
328 community-dwelling older adults, who participated in a class for the prevention of cognitive
329 decline. We did not examine older adults who do not participate in such classes. Therefore,
330 we may not be able to generalize these results to those older adults who experienced
331 restrictions due to COVID-19 but do not participate in such programs. The second
332 limitation is that we cannot control for the carryover effect of attending the classes. In a
333 previous study, it was suggested that the effect of the cognitive decline prevention program
334 used in the class may not be sustained for up to 6 months after the intervention is stopped
335 [1]. However, in this study, the class was only suspended for around three months.
336 Therefore, although we observed improvements in cognitive function after the class
337 suspension, it is possible that participation in the class before the suspension may have had
338 some influence. The third limitation is the small sample size. Specifically, the number of
339 participants with cognitive decline was small ($n = 16$). The fourth research limitation is
340 that we did not consider all the possible confounding factors that may affect cognitive

341 function. For example, the status of infection of COVID-19 in participants may also have an
342 effect on cognitive performance [39, 40] and daily activities. As the data available were
343 limited due to retrospective study, we could only adjust for a few factors. However, since the
344 number of patients with COVID-19 in Tottori Prefecture in Japan was three from March to
345 May 2020 and the classes resumed without any problems, it is estimated that no
346 participants were infected with COVID-19 during the class suspension. The fifth limitation
347 is that the subjects of this study do not include those who did not come to the class when it
348 resumed. The target sample size was set at about 120 participants at the research planning
349 stage, which was the total number of class participants in 2019 and 2020. Participation in
350 the class is voluntary, so there may be various reasons for absence (e.g., having another
351 plan, concerned about COVID-19 infection, etc.).

352

353 **Conclusions**

354 A proactive approach toward intellectual activities and social ties may be
355 important in preventing cognitive decline during periods of self-restraint due to COVID-19.
356 We observed significant improvement in cognitive function scores following the resumption
357 of the class. We speculate that participants' understanding of the importance of an active

358 lifestyle (reflected in their continued attendance of the classes) may explain these
359 improvements. We propose that the class is important not only to prevent cognitive decline,
360 but also to prepare for cognitive decline in situations of restrictive living, such as during the
361 COVID-19 pandemic.

362

363 **Acknowledgments**

364 We thank the staff of the Health measures section, Houki town who cooperated
365 with us during this study. In addition, we would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com)
366 for English language editing.

367

368 **Author contributions**

369 Conceptualization: Minoru Kouzuki, Katsuya Urakami.

370 Data curation: Minoru Kouzuki.

371 Formal analysis: Minoru Kouzuki, Shota Furukawa, Keisuke Mitani.

372 Supervision: Katsuya Urakami.

373 Writing – original draft: Minoru Kouzuki.

374 Writing – review & editing: Minoru Kouzuki, Katsuya Urakami.

375

376 **Data Availability Statement:**

377 The data sets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the
378 corresponding author on request. However, access to the data sets will only be granted upon
379 approval by all authors, the data provider (the Health measures section, Houki town), and
380 the ethics committee.

381

382

383 References

- 384 1. Kouzuki M, Kato T, Wada-Isoe K, Takeda S, Tamura A, Takanashi Y, et al. A program of
385 exercise, brain training, and lecture to prevent cognitive decline. *Ann Clin Transl*
386 *Neurol.* 2020; 7(3): 318-328.
- 387 2. Gavelin HM, Dong C, Minkov R, Bahar-Fuchs A, Ellis KA, Lautenschlager NT, et al.
388 Combined physical and cognitive training for older adults with and without cognitive
389 impairment: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled
390 trials. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2021; 66: 101232.
- 391 3. Evans IEM, Llewellyn DJ, Matthews FE, Woods RT, Brayne C, Clare L; CFAS-Wales
392 research team. Social isolation, cognitive reserve, and cognition in healthy older people.
393 *PLoS One.* 2018; 13(8): e0201008.
- 394 4. Saito T, Murata C, Saito M, Takeda T, Kondo K. Influence of social relationship domains
395 and their combinations on incident dementia: a prospective cohort study. *J Epidemiol*
396 *Community Health* 2018; 72: 7-12.
- 397 5. Kuiper JS, Zuidersma M, Oude Voshaar RC, Zuidema SU, van den Heuvel ER, Stolck RP,
398 et al. Social relationships and risk of dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis
399 of longitudinal cohort studies. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2015; 22: 39-57.

- 400 6. Evans IEM, Martyr A, Collins R, Brayne C, Clare L. Social Isolation and Cognitive
401 Function in Later Life: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Alzheimers Dis.*
402 2019; 70(s1): S119-144.
- 403 7. Livingston G, Huntley J, Sommerlad A, Ames D, Ballard C, Banerjee S, et al. Dementia
404 prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. *Lancet.*
405 2020; 396(10248): 413-446.
- 406 8. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Press releases about a patient diagnosed with
407 pneumonia linked to a new coronavirus(1st case). (in Japanese). 2020 January 16
408 [Cited 2021 February 21]. Available from:
409 https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/newpage_08906.html
- 410 9. Chinnadurai R, Ogedengbe O, Agarwal P, Money-Coomes S, Abdurrahman AZ,
411 Mohammed S, et al. Older age and frailty are the chief predictors of mortality in
412 COVID-19 patients admitted to an acute medical unit in a secondary care setting: a
413 cohort study. *BMC Geriatr.* 2020; 20(1): 409.
- 414 10. Wei C, Liu Y, Liu Y, Zhang K, Su D, Zhong M, et al. Clinical characteristics and
415 manifestations in older patients with COVID-19. *BMC Geriatr.* 2020; 20(1): 395.

- 416 11. Lian J, Jin X, Hao S, Cai H, Zhang S, Zheng L, et al. Analysis of Epidemiological and
417 Clinical Features in Older Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)
418 Outside Wuhan. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020; 71(15): 740-747.
- 419 12. Liu K, Chen Y, Lin R, Han K. Clinical features of COVID-19 in elderly patients: A
420 comparison with young and middle-aged patients. *J Infect*. 2020; 80(6): e14-18.
- 421 13. Giorgi Rossi P, Marino M, Formisano D, Venturelli F, Vicentini M, Grilli R, et al.
422 Characteristics and outcomes of a cohort of COVID-19 patients in the Province of
423 Reggio Emilia, Italy. *PLoS One*. 2020; 15(8): e0238281.
- 424 14. Izcovich A, Ragusa MA, Tortosa F, Lavena Marzio MA, Agnoletti C, Bengolea A, et al.
425 Prognostic factors for severity and mortality in patients infected with COVID-19: A
426 systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2020; 15(11): e0241955.
- 427 15. McMaster M, Kim S, Clare L, Torres SJ, Cherbuin N, D'Este C, et al. Lifestyle Risk
428 Factors and Cognitive Outcomes from the Multidomain Dementia Risk Reduction
429 Randomized Controlled Trial, Body Brain Life for Cognitive Decline (BBL-CD). *J Am*
430 *Geriatr Soc*. 2020; 68(11): 2629-2637.

- 431 16. Inoue M, Jimbo D, Taniguchi M, Urakami K. Touch Panel-type Dementia Assessment
432 Scale: a new computer-based rating scale for Alzheimer's disease. *Psychogeriatrics*
433 2011; 11: 28-33.
- 434 17. Rosen WG, Mohs RC, Davis KL. A new rating scale for Alzheimer's disease. *Am J*
435 *Psychiatry* 1984; 141: 1356-1364.
- 436 18. Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software 'EZR' for medical
437 statistics. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2013; 48(3): 452-458.
- 438 19. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, Holford TR, Feinstein AR. A simulation study of the
439 number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1996;
440 49(12): 1373-1379.
- 441 20. Devasia RA, Blackman A, Gebretsadik T, Griffin M, Shintani A, May C, et al.
442 Fluoroquinolone resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: the effect of duration and
443 timing of fluoroquinolone exposure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2009; 180(4): 365-370.
- 444 21. Kouzuki M, Ichikawa J, Shirasagi D, Katsube F, Kobashi Y, Matsumoto H, et al.
445 Detection and recognition thresholds for five basic tastes in patients with mild cognitive
446 impairment and Alzheimer's disease dementia. *BMC Neurol.* 2020; 20(1): 110.

- 447 22. Kouzuki M, Suzuki T, Nagano M, Nakamura S, Katsumata Y, Takamura A, et al.
448 Comparison of olfactory and gustatory disorders in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurol Sci*.
449 2018; 39(2): 321-328.
- 450 23. Shimada H, Doi T, Lee S, Makizako H. Reversible predictors of reversion from mild
451 cognitive impairment to normal cognition: a 4-year longitudinal study. *Alzheimers Res*
452 *Ther*. 2019; 11(1): 24.
- 453 24. Verghese J, Lipton RB, Katz MJ, Hall CB, Derby CA, Kuslansky G, et al. Leisure
454 activities and the risk of dementia in the elderly. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 348: 2508-2516.
- 455 25. Akbaraly TN, Portet F, Fustinoni S, Dartigues JF, Artero S, Rouaud O, et al. Leisure
456 activities and the risk of dementia in the elderly: results from the Three-City Study.
457 *Neurology* 2009; 73: 854-861.
- 458 26. Bassuk SS, Glass TA, Berkman LF. Social disengagement and incident cognitive decline
459 in community-dwelling elderly persons. *Ann Intern Med*. 1999; 131(3): 165-173.
- 460 27. Yamada Y, Uchida T, Ogino M, Ikenoue T, Shiose T, Fukuma S. Changes in Older
461 People's Activities During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic in Japan. *J Am Med*
462 *Dir Assoc*. 2020; 21(10): 1387-1388. e1.

- 463 28. Yamada M, Kimura Y, Ishiyama D, Otobe Y, Suzuki M, Koyama S, et al. Effect of the
464 COVID-19 Epidemic on Physical Activity in Community-Dwelling Older Adults in
465 Japan: A Cross-Sectional Online Survey. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2020; 24(9): 948-950.
- 466 29. Zhao SZ, Wong JYH, Wu Y, Choi EPH, Wang MP, Lam TH. Social Distancing
467 Compliance under COVID-19 Pandemic and Mental Health Impacts: A
468 Population-Based Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020; 17(18): 6692.
- 469 30. Baschi R, Luca A, Nicoletti A, Caccamo M, Cicero CE, D'Agate C, et al. Changes in
470 Motor, Cognitive, and Behavioral Symptoms in Parkinson's Disease and Mild Cognitive
471 Impairment During the COVID-19 Lockdown. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020; 11: 590134.
- 472 31. Liew TM. Subjective cognitive decline, anxiety symptoms, and the risk of mild cognitive
473 impairment and dementia. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. 2020; 12(1): 107.
- 474 32. Sun F, Gao X, Shen H, Burnette D. Levels and correlates of knowledge about
475 Alzheimer's disease among older Chinese Americans. *J Cross Cult Gerontol*. 2014;
476 29(2): 173-183.
- 477 33. Glynn RW, Shelley E, Lawlor BA. Public knowledge and understanding of
478 dementia-evidence from a national survey in Ireland. *Age Ageing*. 2017; 46(5): 865-869.

- 479 34. Rosenberg A, Coley N, Soulier A, Kulmala J, Soininen H, Andrieu S, et al. Experiences
480 of dementia and attitude towards prevention: a qualitative study among older adults
481 participating in a prevention trial. *BMC Geriatr.* 2020; 20(1): 99.
- 482 35. Arai Y, Arai A, Zarit SH. What do we know about dementia?: a survey on knowledge
483 about dementia in the general public of Japan. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2008; 23(4):
484 433-438.
- 485 36. Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, JAPAN. Results of FY2019
486 Communication Usage Trend Survey (in Japanese). 2020 May 29 [Cited 2021 February
487 21]. Available from:
488 https://www.soumu.go.jp/johotsusintokei/statistics/data/200529_1.pdf
- 489 37. Turunen M, Hokkanen L, Bäckman L, Stigsdotter-Neely A, Hänninen T, Paaajanen T, et
490 al. Computer-based cognitive training for older adults: Determinants of adherence.
491 *PLoS One.* 2019; 14(7): e0219541.
- 492 38. Shen C, Wang MP, Wan A, Viswanath K, Chan SSC, Lam TH. Health information
493 exposure from information and communication technologies and its associations with
494 health behaviors: Population-based survey. *Prev Med.* 2018; 113: 140-146.

- 495 39. Miners S, Kehoe PG, Love S. Cognitive impact of COVID-19: looking beyond the short
496 term. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* 2020; 12(1): 170.
- 497 40. Del Brutto OH, Wu S, Mera RM, Costa AF, Recalde BY, Issa NP. Cognitive decline
498 among individuals with history of mild symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. A
499 longitudinal prospective study nested to a population cohort. *Eur J Neurol.* 2021 Feb 11.
500 doi: 10.1111/ene.14775. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33576150.

501

502

503 **Supporting information**

504 **S1 Table. English translation of the relevant questions used in this study.**

505 **S2 Table. Comparison of questionnaire results between the cognitive decline and**
506 **non-decline group (results not shown the main text).**

507

508

