

**Title:** COVIDReady2 Study Protocol: Cross-sectional Survey of Medical Student Volunteering and Education During the COVID-19 Pandemic in the United Kingdom

**Authors:** Matthew H V Byrne<sup>1\*</sup>, James Ashcroft<sup>2</sup>, Laith Alexander<sup>3</sup>, Jonathan C M Wan<sup>3</sup>, Anmol Arora<sup>4</sup>, Megan E L Brown<sup>5</sup>, Anna Harvey<sup>6</sup>, Andrew Clelland<sup>2</sup>, Nicholas Schindler<sup>7</sup>, Cecilia Brassett<sup>8</sup>, Rachel Allan<sup>9</sup> on behalf of the MedEd Collaborative<sup>^</sup>

<sup>^</sup>Collaborating authors are listed in Appendix A

**Affiliations:**

<sup>1</sup> Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

<sup>2</sup> University of Cambridge, Department of Surgery, Addenbrooke's Hospital, UK

<sup>3</sup> St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK

<sup>4</sup> School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

<sup>5</sup> Health Professions Education Unit, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

<sup>6</sup> King's College London GKT School of Medical Education, UK

<sup>7</sup> Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Paediatric Department, UK

<sup>8</sup> University of Cambridge, Department of Physiology, Development and Neuroscience, UK

<sup>9</sup> Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, Medical Sciences Division, University of Oxford, UK

**\* Corresponding author:**

*Name:* Matthew Byrne

*Email address:* [mhvbyrne@doctors.org.uk](mailto:mhvbyrne@doctors.org.uk)

*Institution address:* Churchill Hospital, Old Rd, Headington, Oxford, OX3 7LE, UK

**Funding declarations:** None

**Conflicts of interests:** None

**Study type:** Protocol

**Running Title:** COVIDReady2 Study Protocol

**Key words:** COVID-19; coronavirus; SARS-CoV-2; medical school; medical education; curriculum; disaster medicine; pandemic influenza; service based learning; volunteering

**Word count:** 2578

**Ethics:** Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the University of Oxford Medical Science Interdivisional Research Ethics Committee (Reference: R74003/RE001).

**Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank the UK Medical Schools Council, the Royal Society of Medicine Student Members Group, IncisionUK, and the ADAPT Consortium for their support in developing this study.

**Contributions:** MHVB, JA, JCMW, LA were responsible for conceptualisation. All authors were responsible for writing the first draft. All authors and collaborative authors under the group authorship 'MedEd Collaborative' were responsible for designing the survey. All authors and collaborative authors under the group authorship 'MedEd Collaborative' were responsible for revisions. RA was responsible for supervision.

**MedEd Collaborative**

**Twitter: @MedEdCollab**

**Email: [info@mededcollaborative.org](mailto:info@mededcollaborative.org)**

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

## **ABSTRACT**

*Background and objectives:* Covid-19 has led to global disruption of healthcare. Many students volunteered to provide clinical support. Volunteering to work in a clinical capacity was a unique medical education opportunity; however, it is unknown whether this was a positive learning experience or which volunteering roles were of most benefit to students.

*Methods and Design:* The COVIDReady2 study is a national cross-sectional study of all medical students at UK medical schools. The primary outcome is to explore the experiences of medical students who volunteered during the pandemic in comparison to those who did not. We will compare responses to determine the educational benefit and issues they faced. In addition to quantitative analysis, thematic analysis will be used to identify themes in qualitative responses.

*Discussion:* There is a growing body of evidence to suggest that service roles have potential to enhance medical education; yet, there is a shortage of studies able to offer practical advice for how these roles may be incorporated in future medical education. We anticipate that this study will help to identify volunteer structures that have been beneficial for students, so that similar infrastructures can be used in the future, and help inform medical education in a non-pandemic setting.

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **Background**

The coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) pandemic has led to global disruption of healthcare services and medical education. In March 2020, the UK government announced that medical students were permitted to provide assistance during the pandemic.<sup>1</sup> Almost 7000 final year students obtained early provisional registration from the General Medical Council (GMC), filling 4662 new foundation interim year 1 (FiY1) doctor posts between April to July 2020. Students in other years volunteered to work in a wide range of roles to provide clinical support.<sup>2-5</sup> Similar initiatives have been organised internationally.<sup>6-8</sup>

The UK Medical Schools Council advised against volunteering if it would disrupt a student's studies.<sup>9</sup> However, as many medical students had their medical studies and clinical placements interrupted, clinical volunteering work provided a unique medical educational experience to continue clinical skills development and gain additional skills, albeit in an unusual fashion. A survey of 440 final year medical students from 32 medical schools across the UK near the start of the pandemic indicated that students generally agreed that changing conventional placements due to the pandemic was necessary, but that they also felt less prepared for beginning their work as a doctor.<sup>10</sup>

Our first COVIDReady study evaluated responses from 1145 students at 36/42 UK medical schools from 2<sup>nd</sup> May to 15<sup>th</sup> June 2020 (University of Cambridge Psychology Research Ethics Committee reference PRE.2020.040).<sup>11</sup> Of these, 82.7% of students were willing to volunteer. The majority of students (86.6%) felt that volunteering would benefit their education, and this was the strongest predictor of willingness to volunteer on multiple regression analysis (estimate=0.35±0.03, adjusted P<0.001). Thematic analysis of free text responses of anticipated issues when volunteering identified five themes: Education; Finances and Logistics; Professional Practice; Pressure to Volunteer; and Safety.<sup>11</sup> These themes broadly concur with the three main motivators towards joining the workforce early as identified by a GMC survey of 1448 final year students: learning gain, altruistic reasons and financial gain. The GMC also reported that a notable motivating factor was that volunteering would allow students to leave the house and socialize during lockdown conditions in which electives and holidays were cancelled.<sup>3</sup> Overall, the body of literature exploring student attitudes towards Covid-19 volunteering is maturing, but there is a notable shortage of studies aimed at students after they have actually participated in these roles.<sup>12-14</sup> One local study in Hamburg, Germany found that a majority of final year students (n=40) working in hospitals during the pandemic felt that their help was not appreciated, that they were inadequately protected when treating Covid-19 patients, and that they did not acquire new skills.<sup>12</sup> Interestingly, in the same study, students in younger years who were volunteering (n=17) generally

felt appreciated, adequately protected and valued the learning experience. These findings suggest a complex interplay between the design of volunteering programmes during the pandemic and outcomes for students. Exploring these outcomes at a national scale remains a priority area of medical education research.

## **Rationale for this study**

Early research has explored the uptake of volunteering opportunities by students but has not explored whether volunteering was a positive learning experience. This broadly reflects literature from previous pandemics and other disasters.<sup>15,16</sup> The COVIDReady2 study aims to build on previous work by assessing the educational benefit of volunteering and exploring the issues students faced while volunteering during the pandemic. We aim to answer the research questions: *'Was volunteering (in a paid or unpaid clinical capacity) during the pandemic a positive experience for medical students and what were the reasons for this? What issues did volunteer and non-volunteer medical students encounter with volunteering during the pandemic?'* We anticipate that this study will help to identify volunteer structures that have been beneficial for students, both so that similar infrastructures can be used in the future and to inform the structure of the non-pandemic clinical placements.

## **Study objectives**

### *Primary objective:*

To explore the effect of volunteering during the pandemic on the medical education of students who volunteered, in comparison to those who did not volunteer.

### *Secondary objectives:*

To identify:

- Whether students would be willing to assume similar roles in a non-pandemic setting
- If students found the experience more or less beneficial than traditional hospital placements and the reasons for this
- Students' perceived benefits and disadvantages of volunteering
- Difference in perceived preparedness between students who did and did not volunteer for FY1 and the next academic year

To explore:

- Issues associated with volunteering, including safety concerns
- Issues with role and competence

## **Ethics**

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the University of Oxford Interdivisional Medical Sciences Research Ethics Committee (Reference: R74003/RE001).

## **METHODS**

### **Study Design**

The COVIDReady2 study is a cross-sectional study of students and foundation year one (FY1) doctors who were medical students at UK medical schools between March 2020-June 2021 during the COVID-19 pandemic of 2020. The study will consist of an online survey disseminated to medical students and foundation doctors over a six-week period in Spring 2021.

### **Study population**

Students and current FY1 doctors who were enrolled at a UK medical school at the start of the first UK lockdown for the COVID-19 pandemic (23rd March 2020), regardless of whether or not they volunteered during the pandemic.

### **Inclusion criteria**

- Medical students at UK medical schools identified by the Medical Schools Council or FY1 doctors, regardless of whether or not they volunteered during the pandemic.
- Students and FY1 doctors must have been enrolled at a UK medical school at the start of the first UK lockdown for the COVID-19 pandemic (23<sup>rd</sup> March 2020).
- Participants must be aged 18 or older.

### **Exclusion criteria**

- Students commencing their first year of medical school in the academic year 2020-2021.

### **Survey development**

The survey was developed from existing literature following a systematic review of studies assessing willingness to volunteer during pandemics and disasters, the first COVIDReady study, and consultations with medical education specialists and medical students. A pilot study of 20 students was performed to ensure questions were unambiguous and establish face validity. The study was reviewed and approved by the UK Medical Schools Council Education Lead Advisory Group.

The survey will be hosted on Qualtrics XM (USA).<sup>17</sup> The survey will be preceded by a description of the study, the research question, the contact details of the primary investigator, and a consent form. Data will be held on a secure server and email addresses, provided for optional follow up, deleted in two years. Once starting the survey, participants can withdraw consent by closing the browser and no data will be collected. As no identifiable data is collected participants will not be able to withdraw consent following completion of the survey, unless they have chosen to provide an email address at the end of the survey. The survey can be found in Appendix B.

## **Data collection**

All medical schools will be contacted via their medical school office general enquiries email identified through a list provided by the Medical Schools Council.<sup>18</sup> This email will ask the medical schools to distribute a link to the survey to medical students and recently graduated medical students who are now working as FY1 doctors. We aim to recruit medical students from each UK medical school and FY1 doctors from each foundation school to help distribute the survey via social media. Messages will be posted at a maximal rate of once per week to prevent excessive messaging to students. After completion of the survey, participants are asked to share the survey with three other medical students to recruit additionally participants via a snowball approach.

## **Sample size calculation and rationale**

A sample size calculation was performed, which showed that a total of 630 respondents are required to identify a significant 0.5 point (out of a 5-point Likert scale) increase in confidence or agreement with the statement 'I believe the period during the first lockdown (23 march 2020 to 4 July 2020) has benefited my medical education / career', considering stratification by year group.

This power calculation was performed with an alpha of 0.05 and a power of 80%. Based on the results from the first COVIDReady study, the standard deviations of the anticipated Likert score means were conservatively set to 1 (out of 5), the ratio of students volunteering/not-volunteering was estimated at 1:1, and the year group distribution of students was assumed to be equal.

## **Data analysis plan**

STROBE guidelines for cross-sectional studies will be followed.<sup>19</sup> Data will be presented as descriptive statistics and comparisons between volunteer and non-volunteer groups. For questions where qualitative responses are collected, we will conduct a thematic analysis using Braun and Clarke's reflexive approach to thematic

analysis to code, sort, and analyse data.<sup>20</sup> Medical school names will be anonymised.

## **Expected outputs**

We anticipate that the results of this study will be published on a pre-print server and subsequently in a peer-reviewed medical or scientific journal. We will submit this project to national and international conferences. Individuals involved in the recruitment of participants will be listed as collaborators under the group authorship: “MedEd Collaborative”.

## **DISCUSSION**

Disruption caused to medical education by the ongoing Covid-19 pandemic has been well described; however, subsequent sequelae such as volunteering and early graduation remain under-discussed.<sup>21–23</sup> One way volunteering may benefit students is through increased service-based learning, a method of teaching wherein students perform and reflect on roles which intersect with their academic curriculum while also addressing community needs.<sup>24</sup>

Arguments for adding service roles to curricula are grounded in educational theory, but due to medical school curricula constraints this has not been possible to achieve formally to date. The medical profession relies on experiential learning to refine situational judgement.<sup>25,26</sup> Lave and Wenger’s Communities of Practice (CoP) theory posits that learning is driven by novices working alongside experts and through the process of legitimate peripheral participation they move towards becoming experts themselves.<sup>27–29</sup> The theory was not intended to be a prescriptive model but rather a framework which may guide how to maximise knowledge sharing.<sup>30,31</sup> Gaining experience as part of a clinical team and openly reflecting on it in informal settings can allow students to acquire tacit knowledge and personal growth which formal teaching cannot achieve.<sup>27</sup> In this way, even if service-learning roles do not directly offer knowledge-based teaching, they may improve self-confidence, analytical abilities and communication skills.<sup>32</sup> The argument that integrated service roles may improve interpersonal skills is also supported by research concerning the educational principle of continuity, which suggests that spending extended periods of time as part of a medical team and following patient care throughout illness episodes may be beneficial.<sup>33</sup> However, it could also be contended that if service roles were not designed and integrated with the principle of continuity in mind, they may further fragment schedules and disrupt existing continuity, including examination timelines. In this way, it is possible that the effects of the pandemic and volunteering may have disrupted existing continuity within medical education. In the future, if such roles were integrated alongside conventional placements rather than disrupting them, they may introduce a fresh degree of continuity. This continuity is key to longitudinal

integrated clerkships, a role in which students stay in the same place, with the same group and the same clinical team for extended periods of time.<sup>34</sup>

Differences between conventional clinical placements and service roles are subtle, since they could involve students being in identical clinical environments but performing different activities. Whilst 'conventional' placements are heavily focused around students extracting learning potential from clinical environments, service roles involve balancing the objectives of additional stakeholders, such as the service provider.<sup>35</sup> O'Byrne et al. (2020) suggested that, whilst conventional placements can provide a stable learning environment, they may not provide students with the confidence and skills that might be required during a pandemic.<sup>36</sup> Conventional placements may be designed to focus on students maximising learning experience, and moving too far towards service provision may too be detrimental.<sup>32</sup> A concern that has been raised about service learning pertains to the heterogeneity between different placements, both in terms of the quality of the learning experiences and the grading of these learning experiences.<sup>37</sup> By contrast, standard clinical placements often revolve around predefined learning objectives which correspond to standardised assessment criteria.<sup>38</sup> This could be attributed to the principal of constructive alignment, which has been widely adopted in medical education in order to ensure that teaching and assessment are aligned with required learning objectives.<sup>39,40</sup>

Service-based learning is not equivalent to conventional volunteering, such as the type which is likely to have occurred during this pandemic. Service-based learning incorporates structured volunteer-based activities with pre-defined learning objectives and, crucially, reflective practice.<sup>32</sup> It has been postulated that whilst 'service-learning' may offer benefits to students, 'community service' may hinder their progress.<sup>32</sup> On this basis, it is plausible to argue that pandemic voluntary experiences could have been detrimental to medical education. The volunteering that may have occurred during the pandemic was likely to have been unstructured and independent of medical school learning objectives. Such volunteering is commendable, but may offer only incidental or occasional learning opportunities, rather than the clearly defined outcomes of conventional placements. During any future waves of COVID-19, as well as other potential disasters, it may be more beneficial to employ principles of constructive alignment by formalising volunteering roles as medical education opportunities, with defined outcomes and reflection.<sup>40,41</sup> Prior to the pandemic, there were suggestions for increased provision of service-based learning beyond the clinical experience achieved through traditional clinical placements within UK medical curricula.<sup>32</sup> In the UK, these suggestions have been in the form of apprenticeship style final-years.<sup>42-44</sup> Though there has been some study of apprenticeships, there has been little empirical research able to recommend specific program structures and implementation steps for service-based learning, especially in earlier years of the medical curriculum.<sup>24,45</sup> However, early efforts to introduce service roles have shown promising results.<sup>46</sup>

The year of medical school in which such programmes are implemented may be an independent factor in determining the success of the programme. For example, Elam et al (2003) suggested that incorporating service-based learning early in curricula may help in developing a community-based perspective towards clinical practice.<sup>47</sup> Working in voluntary roles under the supervision of nurses and allied healthcare professionals teaches the importance of interprofessional collaboration in delivering care, an early understanding of which can reap dividends in future years of medical training.<sup>48</sup> Importantly, there is only a limited body of evidence exploring practical considerations of volunteering roles. The early literature does generally concur that matching activities to learning goals and organising reflective learning and assessments can contribute to successful service learning structures.<sup>32</sup> This study aims to build on that body of literature by identifying volunteering structures which students found useful during the pandemic, as well as those that they did not.

The current study presents a unique opportunity for research whereby we are able to compare attitudes and perceived outcomes of students who volunteered with those who did not on a large scale. This will help to inform volunteering infrastructure during future waves of COVID-19, as well as informing the structure of current clinical placements and apprenticeships. Our findings may also have implications for re-structuring overseas medical electives where students volunteer without clearly defined curricular or learning objectives.

Our first COVIDReady study sought to explore the willingness to volunteering during the Covid-19 pandemic; COVIDReady2 now seeks to explore the educational impact of volunteering. In the short term, our findings may inform infrastructures for medical student volunteering in future waves of the Covid-19 pandemic. In the long term, our findings may inform the structure of clinical placements, electives, and apprenticeships. We anticipate future studies may explore the effects of volunteering on academic performance and career progression.

## **REFERENCES**

1. Final-year medical students graduate early to fight Covid-19 | Coronavirus | The Guardian [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jan 22]. Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/mar/20/final-year-medical-students-graduate-early-fight-coronavirus-covid-19>
2. Kinder F, Harvey A. Covid-19: the medical students responding to the pandemic. *BMJ*. 2020 Jun 15;369:m2160.
3. General Medical Council. The state of medical education and practice in the UK 2020 [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jan 19]. Available from: [https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/somep-2020\\_pdf-84684244.pdf?la=en&hash=F68243A899E21859AB1D31866CC54A0119E60291](https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/somep-2020_pdf-84684244.pdf?la=en&hash=F68243A899E21859AB1D31866CC54A0119E60291).
4. G Iacobucci. Covid-19: medical schools are urged to fast-track final year students. *BMJ*. 2020 Mar 16;368:m1064–m1064.
5. Allan, Rachel, et al. "Primary care student volunteering: lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic." *BJGP [eLetter]*. 2020. Available from: <https://bjgp.org/content/primary-care-student-volunteering-lessons-learned-covid-19-pandemic>
6. Soled D, Goel S, Barry D, Erfani P, Joseph N, Kochis M, et al. Medical Student Mobilization During a Crisis: Lessons From a COVID-19 Medical Student Response Team. *Academic Medicine*. 2020 Sep;95(9):1384–7.
7. Schuiteman S, Ibrahim NI, Hammoud A, Kruger L, Mangrulkar RS, Daniel M. The Role of Medical Student Government in Responding to COVID-19. *Acad Med*. 2021 Jan 1;96(1):62–7.
8. Rasmussen S, Sperling P, Poulsen MS, Emmersen J, Andersen S. Medical students for health-care staff shortages during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet*. 2020 May 2;395(10234):e79–e80.
9. MSC issues Statement of Expectation for medical student volunteers in the NHS | Medical Schools Council [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jan 19]. Available from: <https://www.medschools.ac.uk/news/msc-issues-statement-of-expectation-for-medical-student-volunteers-in-the-nhs>
10. Choi B, Jegatheeswaran L, Minocha A, Alhilani M, Nakhoul M, Mutengesa E. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on final year medical students in the United Kingdom: a national survey. *BMC Medical Education*. 2020 Jun 29;20(1):206.
11. Byrne M, Ashcroft J, Wan J, Alexander L, Harvey A, Schindler N, Brassett C. COVID READY Study: Cross-sectional survey of medical students volunteering during the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) in the United Kingdom. Conference: ASiT / MedAll Virtual Surgical Summit 2020. 2020 Oct 17. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.24986.67527

12. Drexler R, Hambrecht JM, Oldhafer KJ. Involvement of Medical Students During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic: A Cross-Sectional Survey Study. *Cureus*. 2020 Aug 30;12(8):e10147.
13. Patel J, Robbins T, Randeve H, Boer R de, Sankar S, Brake S, et al. Rising to the challenge: Qualitative assessment of medical student perceptions responding to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Clinical Medicine*. 2020 Nov 1;20(6):e244–7.
14. Astorp MS, Sørensen GVB, Rasmussen S, Emmersen J, Erbs AW, Andersen S. Support for mobilising medical students to join the COVID-19 pandemic emergency healthcare workforce: a cross-sectional questionnaire survey. *BMJ Open*. 2020 Sep 16;10(9):e039082.
15. Herman B, Rosychuk RJ, Bailey T, Lake R, Yonge O, Marrie TJ. Medical Students and Pandemic Influenza. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2007 Nov;13(11):1781–3.
16. Rosychuk RJ, Bailey T, Haines C, Lake R, Herman B, Yonge O, Marrie TJ. Willingness to volunteer during an influenza pandemic: perspectives from students and staff at a large Canadian university. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2008 Mar 1;2(2):71–9.
17. Qualtrics (Provo, Utah, USA). [cited 2021 Jan 19]. Available at: <https://www.qualtrics.com>
18. Medical schools | Medical Schools Council [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jan 19]. Available from: <https://www.medschools.ac.uk/studying-medicine/medical-schools>
19. STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cross-sectional studies [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2020 Jan 22]. Available from: [https://www.strobe-statement.org/fileadmin/Strobe/uploads/checklists/STROBE\\_checklist\\_v4\\_cross-sectional.pdf](https://www.strobe-statement.org/fileadmin/Strobe/uploads/checklists/STROBE_checklist_v4_cross-sectional.pdf)
20. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*. 2006 Jan 1;3(2):77–101.
21. Arora A, Solomou G, Bandyopadhyay S, Simons J, Osborne A, Georgiou I, et al. Adjusting to Disrupted Assessments, Placements and Teaching (ADAPT): a snapshot of the early response by UK medical schools to COVID-19. *medRxiv*. 2020 Aug 1;2020.07.29.20163907.
22. Lucey CR, Johnston SC. The Transformational Effects of COVID-19 on Medical Education. *JAMA*. 2020 Sep 15;324(11):1033-1034. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.14136.
23. Macdougall C, Dangerfield P, Katz D, Strain W. The impact of COVID-19 on Medical education and Medical Students. How and when can they return to placements? *MedEdPublish*. 2020. doi.org/10.15694/mep.2020.000159.1

24. Stewart T, Wubbena ZC. A Systematic Review of Service-Learning in Medical Education: 1998–2012. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*. 2015 Apr 3;27(2):115–22.
25. Sheng AY, Chu A, Biancarelli D, Drainoni M-L, Sullivan R, Schneider JI. A Novel Web-Based Experiential Learning Platform for Medical Students (Learning Moment): Qualitative Study. *JMIR Medical Education*. 2018 Oct 17;4(2):e10657.
26. Armstrong E, Parsa-Parsi R. How Can Physicians' Learning Styles Drive Educational Planning? *Academic Medicine*. 2005 Jul;80(7):680–4.
27. Li LC, Grimshaw JM, Nielsen C, Judd M, Coyte PC, Graham ID. Evolution of Wenger's concept of community of practice. *Implementation Science*. 2009 Mar 1;4(1):11.
28. Lave J, Wenger E. *Situated Learning: Legitimate Peripheral Participation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 1991.
29. Buckley H, Steinert Y, Regehr G, Nimmon L. When I say ... community of practice. *Medical Education*. 2019;53(8):763–5.
30. O'Brien BC, Battista A. Situated learning theory in health professions education research: a scoping review. *Adv in Health Sci Educ*. 2020 May 1;25(2):483–509.
31. Ranmuthugala G, Plumb JJ, Cunningham FC, Georgiou A, Westbrook JI, Braithwaite J. How and why are communities of practice established in the healthcare sector? A systematic review of the literature. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2011 Oct 14;11(1):273.
32. Stewart T, Wubbena Z. An overview of infusing service-learning in medical education. *Int J Med Educ*. 2014 Aug 4;5:147–56.
33. Hirsh DA, Ogur B, Thibault GE, Cox M. "Continuity" as an organizing principle for clinical education reform. *N Engl J Med*. 2007 Feb 22;356(8):858–66.
34. Norris TE, Schaad DC, DeWitt D, Ogur B, Hunt DD, Clerkships members of the C of LI. Longitudinal Integrated Clerkships for Medical Students: An Innovation Adopted by Medical Schools in Australia, Canada, South Africa, and the United States. *Academic Medicine*. 2009 Jul;84(7):902–7.
35. Seifer SD. Service-learning: community-campus partnerships for health professions education. *Acad Med*. 1998 Mar 1;73(3):273–7.
36. O'Byrne L, Gavin B, McNicholas F. Medical students and COVID-19: the need for pandemic preparedness. *J Med Ethics*. 2020 Sep;46(9):623–6.
37. Hunt JB, Bonham C, Jones L. Understanding the Goals of Service Learning and Community-Based Medical Education: A Systematic Review. *Academic Medicine*. 2011 Feb;86(2):246–51.

38. Assessment in undergraduate medical education [Internet]. General Medical Council. 2011 [cited 2021 Jan 22]. Available from: [https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/Assessment\\_in\\_undergraduate\\_medical\\_education\\_\\_\\_guidance\\_0815.pdf\\_56439668.pdf](https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/Assessment_in_undergraduate_medical_education___guidance_0815.pdf_56439668.pdf)
39. Barrow M, McKimm J, Samarasekera DD. Strategies for planning and designing medical curricula and clinical teaching. *South East Asian Journal of Medical Education*. 2010;4(1):2-8.
40. Biggs J. Enhancing teaching through constructive alignment. *High Educ*. 1996 Oct 1;32(3):347–64.
41. Wise J. Covid-19: Risk of second wave is very real, say researchers. *BMJ*. 2020 Jun 9;369:m2294.
42. Nabavi N. Apprenticeship model would better prepare medical students for practice, says RCP. *BMJ*. 2021 Jan 8;372:n65.
43. Double or quits: a blueprint for expanding medical school places [Internet]. RCP London. 2021 [cited 2021 Jan 22]. Available from: <https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/projects/outputs/double-or-quits-blueprint-expanding-medical-school-places>
44. Foundation programme review [Internet]. Health Education England. 2019 [cited 2021 Jan 22]. Available from: <https://www.hee.nhs.uk/our-work/doctors-training/foundation-review>
45. Wells SE, Bullock A, Monrouxe LV. Newly qualified doctors' perceived effects of assistantship alignment with first post: a longitudinal questionnaire study. *BMJ Open*. 2019 Mar 1;9(3):e023992.
46. Buckner AV, Ndjakani YD, Banks B, Blumenthal DS. Using Service-Learning to Teach Community Health: The Morehouse School of Medicine Community Health Course. *Acad Med*. 2010 Oct;85(10):1645–51.
47. Elam CL, Sauer MJ, Stratton TD, Skelton J, Crocker D, Musick DW. Service learning in the medical curriculum: developing and evaluating an elective experience. *Teach Learn Med*. 2003;15(3):194–203.
48. Buckland R. Medical student volunteering during COVID-19: lessons for future interprofessional practice. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*. 2020 Sep 2;34(5):679–81.

## **DECLARATIONS**

### **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the University of Oxford Medical Science Interdivisional Research Ethics Committee (Reference: R74003/RE001).

### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable

### **Competing interests**

None

### **Funding**

None

### **Authors' contributions**

MHVB, JA, JCMW, LA were responsible for conceptualisation. All authors were responsible for writing the first draft. All authors and collaborative authors under the group authorship 'MedEd Collaborative' were responsible for designing the survey. All authors and collaborative authors under the group authorship 'MedEd Collaborative' were responsible for revisions. RA was responsible for supervision.

### **Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank the UK Medical Schools Council, the Royal Society of Medicine Student Members Group, IncisionUK, and the ADAPT Consortium for their support in developing this study.

### **Availability of data and materials**

Not applicable

## **APPENDIX A**

### **MedEd Collaborative Authorship (all co-authors PubMed citable):**

*Writing Group:* Matthew H V Byrne, James Ashcroft, Laith Alexander, Jonathan C M Wan, Anmol Arora, Megan E L Brown, Anna Harvey, Andrew Clelland, Nicholas Schindler, Cecilia Brassett, Rachel Allan (Senior Author)

*Survey development group:* Bryan Burford, Gillian Vance, Vigneshwar Raj, Soham Bandyopadhyay, Catherine Dominic, Siena Hayes, Aleksander Dawidziuk, Florence Kinder, Sanskrithi Sravanam, Michal Kawka, Adam Vaughan, Oliver P Devine, Aqua Asif, Jasper Mogg. All members of the writing group also contributed to survey development.

## **APPENDIX B**

### **SURVEY**

\*Compulsory (only three questions)

<b>Question</b>	<b>Answer options</b>
<b>1. Demographics</b>	
Did you volunteer or work in a clinical capacity during the pandemic?*	Yes/No
Year at medical school when the COVID-19 pandemic started (not counting any intercalated years)*	DROP DOWN 1-5, intercalation year 3, intercalation year 4
Are you on a four-year graduate entry medical course?*	Yes/No
Medical school	DROP DOWN of all UK medical schools & prefer not to say
Age (at time of volunteering)	NUMERICAL, limit 16-70 years old
Gender	MULTIPLE CHOICE Male, female, non-binary, prefer not to say, other [free text]
Ethnicity	MULTIPLE CHOICE White - British White - Irish White - Gypsy or Irish Traveller White - Any other white background Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups - White and Black Caribbean Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups - White and Black African Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups - White and Asian Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups - Any other mixed/multiple ethnic background Asian/Asian British - Indian Asian/Asian British - Pakistani Asian/Asian British - Bangladeshi Asian/Asian British - Chinese Asian/Asian British - Any other Asian/Asian British Background Black/African/Caribbean/Black British - African Black/African/Caribbean/Black British - Caribbean Black/African/Caribbean/Black British - Any other Black/African/Caribbean/Black British Other ethnic group - Arab OTHER (FREE TEXT) Prefer not to say
<b>QUESTIONS ONLY IF THEY VOLUNTEERED</b>	
<b>Volunteer role</b>	
Which deanery did you volunteer in?	DROP DOWN East Anglia Essex, Bedfordshire & Hertfordshire (EBH) Leicester, Northamptonshire & Rutland (LNR) London & KSS: (North Central and East London; North West London; South Thames) North West of England Northern Northern Ireland

	Oxford Peninsula Scotland Severn Trent Wales Wessex West Midlands (Central, North & South) Yorkshire & Humber
Is your medical school in this deanery?	Yes/No
How many miles away from your home did your volunteering role take place?	0 1-10 11-20 21-30 31-40 41-50 50+
When did you start volunteering or working?	DATE
How many weeks did you volunteer or work for?	NUMERICAL VALUE
Which months did you volunteer in?	CHECKBOX March 2020 April 2020 May 2020 June 2020 July 2020 August 2020 September 2020 October 2020 November 2020 December 2020 January 2021 February 2021 March 2021 April 2021 May 2021
On average how many hours a week did you volunteer or work for?	MULTIPLE CHOICE 0 1-5 6-10 11-15 16-20 21-25 26-30 31-35 36-40 41-45 46-50 50+
Was this a paid role?	Yes/No
Where did you volunteer or work?	Hospital GP OTHER (FREE TEXT)
What was the main role you had when volunteering or working?	MULTIPLE CHOICE Administrative role (e.g. ward clerk, secretary, receptionist, 111 caller) Allied healthcare professional/technician

	<p>Carer/care assistant (in hospital or care home) Dentist Doctor (interim foundation or equivalent) First responder/first aider Healthcare assistant Healthcare scientist (e.g. hospital laboratory, clinical or laboratory research) Nurse Paramedic/emergency medical technician Pharmacist Pharmacy assistant Phlebotomist Doctor's assistant Patient liaison Primary care (GP) role eg: summariser, HCA, QI/Audit Vaccinator OTHER (FREE TEXT)</p>
Did you hold this role prior to the pandemic?	Yes/No
Please describe what this role involved	FREE TEXT
<b>Opinions</b>	
How did volunteering benefit your learning? (both as a medical student and preparation to practice as a Foundation Year 1 doctor)	FREE TEXT
How did volunteering disadvantage your learning? (both as a medical student and preparation to practice as a Foundation Year 1 doctor)	FREE TEXT
I would be willing to perform the same role in a non-pandemic setting as a formal part of my medical degree programme	LIKERT
I found my role as a volunteer more useful for my learning, than my role as a medical student on a clinical placement in a similar setting	LIKERT
Why did you find the role as a volunteer more or less useful than your role as a medical student on a clinical placement in a similar setting?	FREE TEXT
How has your volunteering experience affected your approach to patients?	FREE TEXT
Has your volunteering experience changed your view of how the NHS or other healthcare facilities work and if so how?	FREE TEXT
Were any opportunities provided for reflective practice?	Yes/No
<b>IF YES TO REFLECTIVE PRACTICE</b>	
What opportunities were made for reflective practice?	<p>CHECKBOX Portfolio logs Diaries In-person reflective discussions OTHER (FREE TEXT)</p>
I found the reflective practice provisions useful.	LIKERT
<b>IF NO TO REFLECTIVE PRACTICE</b>	
I think reflective practice provisions would have been useful.	LIKERT
<b>Issues</b>	

What issues did you encounter while volunteering during the coronavirus pandemic?	FREE TEXT
Did you do on-calls?	MULTIPLE CHOICE None Day Night Day and Night
Did you work with patients who were COVID-19 positive?	Yes/No
I understood my role and the specific tasks I was expected to undertake	LIKERT
I felt competent to perform my role.	LIKERT
I felt other staff were aware of my role and the specific tasks I was expected to undertake	LIKERT
I was asked to perform skills outside my competence.	LIKERT
I felt confident saying no to tasks I was not adequately prepared for.	LIKERT
I felt patient safety was compromised while volunteering.	LIKERT
I received adequate supervision at work.	LIKERT
Did you have a named supervisor?	MULTIPLE CHOICE Yes - clinical and educational supervisor Yes - clinical supervisor only Yes - educational supervisor only No
I received a contract	MULTIPLE CHOICE Yes - prior to work Yes - after starting work No
I was told who I could speak to if I had personal, health, or mental health problems while at work	Yes/No
What additional training did you receive for your role? (Please tick all that apply)	CHECKLIST None Induction How to report clinical incidents (e.g. Datix) First aid training Basic life support (BLS) training Basic infection control training (e.g. handwashing) Surgical scrubbing and gowning Infection control training with personal protective equipment (e.g. respirator masks, donning and doffing of goggles and garments) Pandemic influenza teaching OTHER (FREE TEXT)
Did you test positive for COVID-19 while volunteering?	Yes - swab test positive Yes - swab test negative, but confirmed by health professional Yes - suspected due to symptoms (e.g. fever, cough, shortness of breath, loss of smell) No
<b>QUESTIONS ONLY IF THEY DIDN'T VOLUNTEER</b>	
What were reasons that you did not volunteer?	FREE TEXT

Have you had any negative experiences by not volunteering?	Yes/No
If you did have negative experiences by not volunteering what were they?	FREE TEXT
<b>QUESTIONS FOR ALL</b>	
I would be willing to volunteer to work in the future if the need arose.	LIKERT
I believe the period from the start of the first lockdown (23 March 2020) until now has benefited my medical education / career.	LIKERT
I believe the period during the first lockdown (23 March 2020 to 4 July 2020) has benefited my medical education / career.	LIKERT
The skills I have developed during the first lockdown (23 March 2020 to 4 July 2020) have prepared me for FY1	LIKERT
The skills I have developed during the first lockdown (23 March 2020 to 4 July 2020) have prepared me for the 2020-2021 academic year	LIKERT
How have these skills prepared you for FY1 or the 2020-2021 academic year?	FREE TEXT
I feel assistantships (final year clinical placements) should be replaced with formal roles within a clinical team	LIKERT
What are your thoughts on replacing assistantships (final year clinical placements) with formal roles within a team (paid or unpaid)?	FREE TEXT
How many times did you perform the following skills during the first lockdown (23 March 2020 to 4 July 2020):	<p>Venepuncture  Cannulation  Prescription of medications  History or examination of patient  Organise investigations for patients (e.g. blood tests, x rays etc)  Formulate management plans  Prescribe fluids  Measure observations/vital signs  Communicate information to patients  Communicate information to other healthcare professionals  Administrative medical duties (e.g. documentation, discharge letters etc)  Complete a chronic disease review  Communicate with patients as part of a medication review or audit</p> <p>MULTIPLE CHOICE for each skill  None  Observed  Assisted  Performed 1-5 times (independently)  Performed 6-10 times (independently)  Performed 10+ times (independently)</p>
What support did you receive from your medical school to facilitate volunteering?	FREE TEXT
Follow up study	

<p>Please enter your email address if you would be willing to be contacted for a future study.</p> <p>We may conduct a follow up study to understand in greater depth responses from this study. This would either be by a focus group discussion with a researcher and other survey participants, by a semi-structured interview with a researcher, or an additional survey. By entering your email address it does not commit you to participating in a future study.</p> <p>Your email address will be separated from the data entered before the data is analysed, will not be shared outside the data collection team, and will be deleted in two years time.</p>	<p>EMAIL</p>
--	--------------