

1

2 **Title page**

3 **FACE-TO-FACE INTERVENTIONS TO ENCOURAGE ENROLMENT IN CARDIAC**
4 **REHABILITATIO; A SCOPING REVIEW PROTOCOL**

5 **Corresponding author**

6 Birgit Rasmussen¹ Department of Physio and Occupational Therapy, Horsens Regional Hospital,
7 Sundvej 30, 8700 Horsens, Denmark. e-mail birram@rm.dk.

8 **Co-authors**

9 Sasja Jul Håkonsen² The Danish Clinical Quality Program – National Clinical Registries (RKKP),
10 Innovation & Resources, Aarhus, Denmark.

11 Bente Skovsby Toft³ Department of Lifestyle Rehabilitation, Horsens Regional Hospital, Brædstrup,
12 Denmark.

13 **Word count**

14 The manuscript excluding title page, abstract, references, and tables has a word count of 2552.

15 **Key words**

16 Cardiac rehabilitation; enrolment; face-to-face interventions.

17

18

19 **ABSTRACT**

20 **Introduction:** Cardiac rehabilitation has become an integral part of secondary treatment of
21 cardiovascular heart disease. Despite evidence demonstrating that cardiac rehabilitation improves
22 prognoses, reduces disease progression, and helps patients to find a new foothold in life, many patients
23 do not enrol. Face-to-face interventions can encourage patients to enrol, however, it is unclear which
24 strategies have been developed, how they are structured in a hospital context, and whether they target
25 the life-world of the patients. The objective of this scoping review is to map and evaluate the nature and
26 characteristics of studies that have reported on face-to-face interventions to encourage patients to enrol
27 in cardiac rehabilitation.

28 **Methods and analysis:** This review will be guided by the Joanna Briggs Institute Methodology for
29 Scoping Reviews. A search strategy developed in cooperation with a research secretary will be applied
30 in six databases including studies published from 2000 in English, Danish, Norwegian, Swedish, and
31 German with no restriction on publication type or study design. Studies involving adult patients with
32 ischemic heart disease or heart failure will be included. Studies providing the intervention after
33 enrolment in cardiac rehabilitation will be excluded. Study selection will be performed independently by
34 two reviewers. Data will be extracted by two reviewers using predefined data charting forms. The
35 presentation of data will be a narrative summary of the characteristics and key findings to facilitate the
36 integration of diverse evidence, and as we deem appropriate will be supported by a diagrammatic or
37 tabular presentation.

38 **Ethics and dissemination** This scoping review will use data from existing publications and does not
39 require ethical approval. Results will be reported through publication in a scientific journal and
40 presented on relevant conferences and disseminated as part of future workshops with professionals
41 involved in communication with patients about enrolment in cardiac rehabilitation.

42 **ARTICLE SUMMARY**

43 **Strength and Limitations of this study**

- 44 • This protocol outlines a rigorous design that includes the use of an established scoping review
45 methodology and a search strategy developed in cooperation with a research librarian
- 46 • The search strategy has no restrictions to study design, includes five different languages, and
47 will cover six different databases
- 48 • As this review is inclusive to all study types and aims to provide an overview of the landscape of
49 interventions to encourage enrolment in cardiac rehabilitation a quality assessment will not be
50 performed
- 51 • While the review will be non-discriminant towards article study types and methodologies, a
52 limitation of the study is that books and grey literature will not be included

53 INTRODUCTION

54 Cardiovascular disease is among the leading causes of mortality and disability worldwide. Each year
55 ischemic heart disease gives rise to an estimated 8,92 million deaths globally[1] and the estimated
56 one-year mortality in people living with heart failure is 20-30%.[2] People living with ischemic heart
57 disease and heart failure are likely to experience diminished quality of life, readmissions, and
58 debilitating symptoms.[3,4] Cardiac rehabilitation (CR) is evidenced to reduce mortality, reduce the risk
59 of readmission, improve quality of life[5,6] and it can reduce anxiety and depression; conditions found to
60 worsen the prognosis for survival after cardiac events.[7] As such since the beginning of the millennium
61 participation CR has been acknowledged as a crucial therapeutic tool.[8] However, despite significant
62 improvement in prognoses and personal benefits from participating in CR, many patients do not
63 enrol.[9]

64 The treatment of ischemic heart disease and heart failure has been significantly improved over the last
65 decades and aims to limit disease progression, prevent or reduce complications, and to eliminate
66 ischemic symptoms. The primary treatment is medical therapy, device therapy, and surgical
67 revascularization, whereas CR is becoming an integral part of standard secondary treatment targeting
68 risk modification to promote recovery and prevent further cardiac events.[10,11] CR programs include
69 education, counselling, and behavioral strategies to improve health behavior in relation to nutrition,
70 smoking, stress, and training, and is offered to assist patients with heart disease to move forward and
71 live healthy and satisfying lives.[12] Participating in CR helps patients find a new foothold in life.[13]
72 Attendees feel supported by being with peers during the course of the rehabilitation program and from
73 the knowledge and encouragement they receive from the CR staff. [14] However, though the benefits
74 from participation in CR are manifest, less than half of patients participate[15-17] and there is a need to
75 consider strategies to encourage more patients to enrol.

76 Barriers for participation in CR seem to be multiple and complex and appear across factors such as age,
77 social position, and culture. Particularly women, people older than 70 years, younger people, smokers,
78 people with more comorbidity, people with reduced functioning, people living alone, unemployed
79 people, and people who have a lower income are more likely to abstain from participation.[18]
80 Contextual factors such as distance, transportation difficulties, family commitment and opinions of
81 significant others are reported to be barriers for enrolling in CR.[19]. Though systematized referral is
82 found to be a key to secure that patients enrol in CR,[18] many patients abstain from participation even
83 when systematized referral is implemented in hospital practices.[9] The combination of systematized
84 referral and discussions between the individual patient and a healthcare professional, the so called
85 `liaison` strategies, have been found more effective than systematized referral on its own, and the
86 incorporation of these interventions into standard, in-hospital pathways has been recommended.[20]
87 Particularly liaison strategies involving at least some elements of face-to-face contact and when

88 delivered by healthcare professionals have proven to promote enrolment.[21]

89 Studies on face-to-face interventions are limited[21] and the strategies used to influence enrolment
90 vary, e.g. the provision of education and advice about CR,[22] social support,[23,24] the targeting of
91 patients' intention to enrol,[25] their illness perception,[26] self-efficacy,[23] and beliefs about
92 CR,[27,28] or the focusing on individual barriers for enrolment. [25] Some studies proven to be effective
93 in increasing enrolment rates use psychologically based behavioral theories to guide the development
94 of the intervention i.e., Banduras theory of self-efficacy,[23] Leventhal's self-regulation theory,[26] and
95 theory of planned behavior.[25] However, interventions targeting patients' behavior, intentions to
96 attend, and health belief may fail to convey how CR can be connected with their everyday life.[29] The
97 experience of health in a person's life goes beyond the modification of risks and intentions to live a life
98 without disease,[30] and when patients make decisions regarding their participation in CR, existential
99 thoughts concerning their own well-being and suffering can be essential.[31] Hence, it seems pivotal
100 that development of interventions take into account a person's life-world and contemplate both patients'
101 agency and vulnerability.[32]

102 For the patients participation may conflict with their emotions, beliefs or sense of identity[29] and
103 thoughts about one's own mortality, and a wish to get back to normal and avoid worries have proven to
104 be a barrier for participation in CR. Additional barriers are documented to be patients' experiences of
105 the nature and suitability of the program; accessibility; negative experiences of the healthcare
106 professionals providing the services; and the view that CR is beneficial for others than one self, e.g.
107 those who are more fit or more ill.[14] Though these barriers perceived by patients are acknowledged to
108 influence their decision to enrol,[14] they rarely are targeted,[33] and a person-oriented approach where
109 patients' experiences and knowledge are taken into account is called for when barriers for enrolment
110 are addressed.[34]

111 In-hospital face-to-face strategies to encourage participation in CR and bridge the gap between referral
112 and enrolment are emerging. However, not much is known about the current scope of face-to-face
113 interventions developed to facilitate enrolment in CR, their characteristics and content, how they are
114 structured in a hospital context, and whether they are effective. A preliminary search of PUBMED, the
115 Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and the *JBI Evidence Synthesis* identified a systematic
116 review updated in 2019 on interventions to promote utilization of CR. However, the study only included
117 randomized controlled trials to assess the effectiveness of interventions to increase utilization and only
118 briefly considered the characteristics of the studies.{Santiago de Araujo Pio, 2019 #1328} No current or
119 underway scoping reviews or systematic reviews on the topic of this scoping review were identified.

120 There is a need to gather the knowledge and experience from existing intervention studies to improve
121 and develop interventions including how to support a person-oriented approach. The mapping and
122 evaluation of evidence on face-to-face interventions encouraging patients to enrol in CR will provide an
123 overview and a greater understanding of the use and characteristics of existing interventions and/or

124 identify gaps of importance for future research. Also, an understanding of the outcomes evaluated in the
125 current literature will aid clinicians in understanding the applicability of face-to-face interventions. With
126 this scoping review we aim to map and evaluate the nature and characteristics as well as the outcomes
127 evaluated of studies that have reported on face-to-face interventions to encourage enrolment in CR.

128 **METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

129 The proposed scoping review will be conducted in accordance with the JBI methodology for scoping
130 reviews[35] and will be reported according to the PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews. {Tricco, 2018
131 #1422} We follow the JBI reviewer's manual to secure a contemporary methodological framework
132 promoting clarity and rigor of the review process and to facilitate knowledge transfer to research and
133 practice. The steps outlined in the JBI reviewer's manual to be used are: (1) identifying the research
134 question; (2) developing the inclusion criteria; (3) defining the search strategy; (3) study selection; (4)
135 data extraction; and (5) presentation of the results.

136 **Review questions**

137 The primary objective of this scoping review is to map and evaluate the nature and characteristics of
138 studies that have reported on face-to-face interventions to encourage enrolment in CR. However, the
139 review also will provide a narrative view on the extent of the evaluations of the interventions and a
140 descriptive review of the effectiveness. The scoping review will map evidence pertaining to the following
141 research questions:

- 142 1) What is the extent, range and nature of literature on face-to-face interventions to encourage
143 enrolment in CR for adults with ischemic heart disease and heart failure?
- 144 2) What are the characteristics of the interventions?
 - 145 a. What factors considered to influence enrolment are targeted?
 - 146 b. What patient-experienced barriers and facilitators known to influence enrolment are
147 targeted?
 - 148 c. How are patients' experiences and knowledge taken into account?
 - 149 d. What outcomes are evaluated?
 - 150 e. What similarities and/or differences across the interventions exist?

151 The primary focus of this review is to make an account of existing interventions and their contents. Both
152 experimental, quantitative, and qualitative study designs can provide evidence relevant to the objective
153 of this study design.

154 **Inclusion criteria**

155 *Participants*

156 This review will consider studies that include adults (older than 18 years). We include studies with
157 participants with heart failure, participants who have had a myocardial infarction, have undergone
158 surgical revascularization (coronary artery bypass grafting, percutaneous coronary intervention), or
159 who have angina pectoris or ischemic heart disease defined by angiography, and who after surgery or
160 medical treatment will be or have been offered a CR referral. Within these studies, information about
161 intervention characteristics, participants' experiences, and barriers for enrolment will be included.
162 Studies focusing on participants that have already enrolled in a CR program will be excluded. We will
163 exclude studies which only include participants with atrial fibrillation or with heart transplants, implanted
164 with cardiac-resynchronization therapy or defibrillators, or who have had heart valve surgery.

165 *Concept*

166 This review will consider studies that investigate or explore face-to-face interventions including online
167 face-to-face interventions performed by healthcare providers to encourage enrolment in CR. At least
168 some part of the intervention should be face-to-face but can also take place in combination with other
169 follow-up strategies i.e., telephone calls. This review will consider studies that are based in a hospital
170 setting in any geographical area. Studies only providing the intervention outside the hospital, i.e.,
171 providing home visits, will be excluded. In-hospital includes telephone calls or other contacts from
172 hospital healthcare providers to patients as a follow-up on a face-to-face intervention.

173 *Context*

174 CR is defined as a supervised or unsupervised inpatient, outpatient, community- or home-based
175 intervention which includes some form of exercise training that is applied to a cardiac patient population.
176 CR could be exercise training alone or exercise training in addition to psychosocial or educational
177 interventions, or both (i.e., "comprehensive CR").

178 *Types of sources*

179 This scoping review will consider quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods study designs for
180 inclusion. In addition, systematic reviews will be considered for inclusion in the proposed scoping
181 review. Text (for example political documents or government recommendation) and opinion papers will
182 be excluded. Articles published in English, Danish, Norwegian, Swedish, and German will be included.
183 Only studies published in 2000 or later will be included.

184 **Search strategy**

185 The search strategy will aim to locate both published primary studies and reviews in databases with
 186 peer-reviewed literature. An initial limited search of PubMed and CINAHL (EBSCO) will be undertaken
 187 to identify articles on the topic. The text words contained in the titles and abstracts of relevant articles,
 188 and the index terms used to describe the articles will be used to develop a full search strategy for the
 189 databases PubMed, CINAHL, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Embase, PsycINFO, and
 190 PEDro. The research strategy will be developed and refined in cooperation with a research librarian and
 191 will be adapted for each included information source. The preliminary search strategy for PubMed is
 192 presented in Appendix I. Only English search terms will be used. The reference lists of articles included
 193 in the review will be screened for additional papers. Authors of included studies will be contacted if
 194 further information about the study is required. A complete search strategy for CINAHL is presented in
 195 Table I.

196 **Table I: search strategy for CINAHL**

197 CINAHL (EBSCO host). Search conducted on February 2021

Search	Query	Records retrieved
#1 Population	(MH "Myocardial Ischemia+") OR (MH "Coronary Artery Bypass+") OR (MH "Myocardial Revascularization+") OR (MH "Heart Failure+") OR myocard* N3 isch?mi* OR isch?mi* N3 heart OR coronary OR myocard* N3 infarct* OR heart N3 infarct* OR angina OR heart N3 attack OR myocard* OR cardiac* OR cabg OR ptca OR (stent* N3 (heart OR cardiac*)) OR (stent* N3 (heart OR cardiac*)) OR heart N3 failure	359,810
#2 Concept	increas* N3 (enlist* OR enrol* OR attend* OR engage* OR "sign up" OR "take up" OR uptake OR comply* OR complian*) OR increas* N3 participat* OR motivat* N3 interv* OR increas* AND (MH "Patient Compliance+")	32,441
#3 Context	(MH "Rehabilitation Centers+") OR (MH "Therapeutic Exercise+") OR (MH "Exercise+") OR (MH "Physical Fitness+") OR (MH "Patient Education+") OR (MH "Self Care+") OR (MH "Ambulatory Care") OR (MH "Counseling+") OR (MH "Health Education+") OR MH rehabilitation, Cardiac OR "Cardiac rehabilitation" OR physical* N3 (fit* OR train* OR therap* OR activit*) OR train* N3 (strength* OR aerobic OR exercis*) OR (exercis* OR fitness) N3 (treatment OR intervent* OR	1,339,976

	<p>program*) OR patient* N3 educat* OR (lifestyle OR life-style) N3 (intervent* OR program* OR treatment*) OR counsel?ing OR stress N3 manage* OR manage* N3 (anxiety OR depres*) OR ((behavior* OR behaviour*) N4 (modify OR modificat* OR therap* OR change)) OR goal N3 setting OR (nutrition OR diet OR health) N3 educat* OR heart manual OR ("cardiac rehabilitation" OR intervent* OR program*)</p>	
	#1 AND #2 AND #3	976
<p>Limited to studies published between 2000 and the present Limited to adults > 18 years Limited to language: English, Danish, Swedish, Norwegian and German</p>		

198 **Source of evidence selection**

199 Following the search, all identified records will be collated and uploaded into the software management
 200 program Covidence. After removing duplicates, titles and abstracts will be screened by two independent
 201 reviewers for assessment against the inclusion criteria for the review. Potentially relevant papers will be
 202 retrieved in full text and will be assessed in detail against the inclusion criteria by two independent
 203 reviewers. Reasons for exclusion of full-text papers that do not meet the inclusion criteria will be
 204 recorded and reported. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers at any stage of the
 205 selection process will be resolved through discussion or with a third reviewer. The results of the search
 206 will be reported in full in the final scoping review and presented in a Preferred Reporting Items for
 207 Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) flow diagram [36]

208 **Data extraction**

209 Data will be extracted from papers included in the scoping review by two independent reviewers using a
 210 data extraction tool developed by the reviewers. The data extracted will include specific details about
 211 adults included in studies on face-to-face interventions to encourage enrolment in CR. For clinical trials,
 212 observational studies, and descriptive studies study characteristics, i.e., design, purpose, and main
 213 outcomes, as well as intervention characteristics, i.e., intervention components and strategies.
 214 Additionally, key findings relevant to the review question will be extracted. For qualitative studies also
 215 experiences, barriers and facilitators will be extracted. Draft extraction tools are provided (see Table II
 216 and Table III). The draft data extraction tools will be modified and revised as necessary during the
 217 process of extracting data from each included paper. Modifications will be detailed in the full scoping
 218 review. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion or with
 219 a third reviewer. The authors of the included papers will be contacted to request missing or additional

220 data, where required.

221 Table II: Data extraction instrument for clinical trials and observational / descriptive studies

Reference	Study characteristics				
Author, year, country	Study purpose and study design	Setting and length of intervention	Intervention deliverer	Population / sample size, mean age, admission diagnosis	Main outcome(s) and the effectiveness
	Intervention characteristics			Findings	
	Intervention format and components	Theory or model used	Patients' experiences taken into account	Strategies used	Main findings

222 Table III: Data extraction instrument for qualitative studies

Reference	Study characteristics			
Author, Year, country	Study purpose and study design	Theoretical perspective	Intervention deliverer	Population / sample size, mean age, admission diagnosis
	Study characteristics	Results described by authors related to enrolment		
	Intervention characteristics	Experiences	Facilitators	Barriers

223 **Presentation of the results**

224 The process of identification, selection, and exclusion of full text studies will be visualized in a PRISMA
 225 flow diagram. We will present the findings in a narrative form with a description of the face-to-face
 226 interventions and their relation to the review objective and questions. A summary of the extracted data,
 227 key concepts, and recommendations will be provided, and an effort will be made to identify knowledge
 228 gaps. A description of common themes and differences across the interventions will be provided. Key
 229 findings will be mapped and presented in diagrammatic or tabular form as we deem appropriate

230 considering the nature of the findings. The tables for data presentation will be developed in a refined
231 version based on the data charting forms presented in Table II and III.

232 **ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

233 Since the scoping review methodology aims at synthesizing information from publicly available
234 publications, this study does not require ethical approval. In terms of dissemination activities, an article
235 reporting the results of the scoping review will be submitted for publication to a scientific journal and
236 presented at relevant conferences. We expect the results of the scoping review will provide a
237 comprehensive overview of the evidence base of face-to-face interventions to encourage enrolment in
238 CR and to highlight areas where evidence is missing. The results may be of interest for health
239 professionals interested in planning and delivering evidence based and effective interventions to
240 encourage participation in CR. For this reason, the results will be also disseminated as part of future
241 workshops with professionals involved in communicating with patients about CR.

242 **Authors' Contributions**

243 BRA drafted the protocol and was the main writer of the manuscript and responsible for the initial design
244 of this study. BST and SJH contributed to the conceptualization of the review design, specifically in
245 establishing the inclusion and exclusion criteria. BRA executed the draft search strategy. BST
246 contributed to developing the extraction criteria, provided feedback on the background section, and
247 revised the manuscript for content and clarity. All authors read, edited, and approved the final
248 manuscript prior to submission.

249

250 **Acknowledgements**

251 We thank Research Secretary Line Jensen, Department of Research, Horsens Hospital, Denmark for
252 proofreading and Librarian, Karin Friis Velbæk, Medical Library, Viborg Regional Hospital for assisting
253 in producing the literature search plan.

254 **Competing interests statement**

255 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

256 **Funding**

257 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or
258 non-for-profit sectors.

259 **Conflicts of interest**

260 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

261 **REFERENCES**

- 262 1. Roth GA, Johnson C, Abajobir A, et al. Global, Regional, and National Burden of Cardiovascular
263 Diseases for 10 Causes, 1990 to 2015. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 2017;70(1):1-25.
264 2. Levy D, Kenchaiah S, Larson MG, et al. Long-term trends in the incidence of and survival with heart
265 failure. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347(18):1397-402.
266 3. Norton C, Georgiopoulou VV, Kalogeropoulos AP, et al. Epidemiology and cost of advanced heart
267 failure. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2011;54(2):78-85.
268 4. Le J, Dorstyn DS, Mpofu E, et al. Health-related quality of life in coronary heart disease: a
269 systematic review and meta-analysis mapped against the International Classification of Functioning,
270 Disability and Health. *Qual Life Res* 2018;27(10):2491-503.
271 5. Anderson L, Oldridge N, Thompson DR, et al. Exercise-Based Cardiac Rehabilitation for Coronary
272 Heart Disease: Cochrane Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of the American College of*
273 *Cardiology* 2016;67(1):1-12.
274 6. Long L, Mordi IR, Bridges C, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for adults with heart
275 failure. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2019;1(1):Cd003331.
276 7. Meijer A, Conradi HJ, Bos EH, et al. Prognostic association of depression following myocardial
277 infarction with mortality and cardiovascular events: a meta-analysis of 25 years of research. *General*
278 *hospital psychiatry* 2011;33(3):203-16.
279 8. Mampuya WM. Cardiac rehabilitation past, present and future: an overview. *Cardiovascular*
280 *diagnosis and therapy* 2012;2(1):38-49.
281 9. Samayoa L, Grace SL, Gravely S, et al. Sex differences in cardiac rehabilitation enrollment: a
282 meta-analysis. *The Canadian journal of cardiology* 2014;30(7):793-800.
283 10. Grace SL, Turk-Adawi KI, Contractor A, et al. Cardiac Rehabilitation Delivery Model for
284 Low-Resource Settings: An International Council of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation
285 Consensus Statement. *Progress in cardiovascular diseases* 2016;59(3):303-22.
286 11. Balady GJ, Ades PA, Bittner VA, et al. Referral, enrollment, and delivery of cardiac
287 rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs at clinical centers and beyond: a presidential advisory
288 from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2011;124(25):2951-60.
289 12. Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, et al. 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease
290 prevention in clinical practice: The Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and
291 Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by
292 representatives of 10 societies and by invited experts)Developed with the special contribution of the
293 European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). *European heart*
294 *journal* 2016;37(29):2315-81.
295 13. Simony CP, Dreyer P, Pedersen BD, et al. Empowered to gain a new foothold in life--A study of
296 the meaning of participating in cardiac rehabilitation to patients afflicted by a minor heart attack.
297 *International journal of qualitative studies on health and well-being* 2015;10:28717.
298 14. Clark AM, King-Shier KM, Spaling MA, et al. Factors influencing participation in cardiac
299 rehabilitation programmes after referral and initial attendance: qualitative systematic review and
300 meta-synthesis. *Clinical rehabilitation* 2013;27(10):948-59.
301 15. Dansk Hjerterehabiliteringsdatabase (DHRD). Dokumentalistrapport, version 2.0, November
302 2018.
303 16. Dalal HM, Doherty P, Taylor RS. Cardiac rehabilitation. *Bmj* 2015;351:h5000.

- 304 17. Doherty PJ, Harrison AS. The National Audit of Cardiac Rehabilitation: Quality and Outcomes
305 Report 2018. 2018.
- 306 18. Resurrección DM, Moreno-Peral P, Gómez-Herranz M, et al. Factors associated with
307 non-participation in and dropout from cardiac rehabilitation programmes: a systematic review of
308 prospective cohort studies. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing* 2019;18(1):38-47.
- 309 19. Ruano-Ravina A, Pena-Gil C, Abu-Assi E, et al. Participation and adherence to cardiac
310 rehabilitation programs. A systematic review. *International journal of cardiology* 2016;223:436-43.
- 311 20. Gravely-Witte S, Leung YW, Nariani R, et al. Effects of cardiac rehabilitation referral strategies on
312 referral and enrollment rates. *Nature reviewsCardiology* 2010;7(2):87-96.
- 313 21. Santiago de Araujo Pio C, Chaves GS, Davies P, et al. Interventions to promote patient utilisation
314 of cardiac rehabilitation. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2019;2:CD007131.
- 315 22. Scott LB, Gravely S, Sexton TR, et al. Examining the effect of a patient navigation intervention on
316 outpatient cardiac rehabilitation awareness and enrollment. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev*
317 2013;33(5):281-91.
- 318 23. Carroll DL, Rankin SH, Cooper BA. The effects of a collaborative peer advisor/advanced practice
319 nurse intervention: cardiac rehabilitation participation and rehospitalization in older adults after a
320 cardiac event. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2007;22(4):313-9.
- 321 24. Ali-Faisal SF, Benz Scott L, Johnston L, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation referral and enrolment across
322 an academic health sciences centre with eReferral and peer navigation: a randomised controlled
323 pilot trial. *BMJ Open* 2016;6(3):e010214.
- 324 25. Rouleau CR, King-Shier KM, Tomfohr-Madsen LM, et al. The evaluation of a brief motivational
325 intervention to promote intention to participate in cardiac rehabilitation: A randomized controlled
326 trial. *Patient education and counseling* 2018;101(11):1914-23.
- 327 26. Cossette S, Frasure-Smith N, Dupuis J, et al. Randomized controlled trial of tailored nursing
328 interventions to improve cardiac rehabilitation enrollment. *Nurs Res* 2012;61(2):111-20.
- 329 27. Dankner R, Drory Y, Geulayov G, et al. A controlled intervention to increase participation in
330 cardiac rehabilitation. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2015;22(9):1121-8.
- 331 28. Mosleh SM, Bond CM, Lee AJ, et al. Effectiveness of theory-based invitations to improve
332 attendance at cardiac rehabilitation: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs*
333 2014;13(3):201-10.
- 334 29. Desveaux L, Saragosa M, Russell K, et al. How and why a multifaceted intervention to improve
335 adherence post-MI worked for some (and could work better for others): an outcome-driven
336 qualitative process evaluation. *BMJ Open* 2020;10(9):e036750.
- 337 30. Todres L, Galvin K, Dahlberg K. Lifeworld-led healthcare: revisiting a humanising philosophy that
338 integrates emerging trends. *Medicine, health care and philosophy* 2007;10(1):53-63.
- 339 31. Galvin KT, Todres L. Kinds of well-being: A conceptual framework that provides direction for
340 caring. *International journal of qualitative studies on health and well-being*
341 2011;6(4):10.3402/qhw.v6i4.10362. Epub 2011 Dec 9.
- 342 32. Dahlberg K, Todres L, Galvin K. Lifeworld-led healthcare is more than patient-led care: An
343 existential view of well-being. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy* 2009;12(3):265-71.
- 344 33. Karmali KN, Davies P, Taylor F, et al. Promoting patient uptake and adherence in cardiac
345 rehabilitation. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2014;(6):CD007131. doi(6):CD007131.
- 346 34. Uhrenfeldt L, Sørensen EE, Bahnsen IB, et al. The centrality of the nurse-patient relationship: a
347 Scandinavian perspective. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 2018.
- 348 35. Aromataris E, Munn Z. JBI manual for evidence synthesis. *Adelaide: The Joanna Briggs Institute*
349 2020 [cited Okt 12, 2020].
- 350 36. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist
351 and explanation. *Annals of internal medicine* 2018;169(7):467-73.

