IQ moderation of cognitive decline supports cognitive reserve and not brain maintenance

Yunglin Gazes*[1], Seonjoo Lee*[2,3], Zhiqian Fang[4], Ashley Mensing[1], Diala Noofoory[1], Geneva Hidalgo Nazario[1], Reshma Babukutty[1], Christian Habeck[1], and Yaakov Stern[1]

*Authors contributed equally to the manuscript.

[1] Department of Neurology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, 630 W168th Street, P&S Box 16, New York, NY 10032, USA

[2] Department of Psychiatry and Biostatistics, Columbia University, 1051 Riverside Drive, New York, NY10032, USA

[3] Mental Health Data Science, New York State Psychiatric Institute, 1051 Riverside Drive, New York, NY10032, USA

[4] Department of Biostatistics, Columbia University, 1051 Riverside Drive, New York, NY10032, USA

Corresponding author: Yunglin Gazes
630 W168th Street
P&S Box 16
New York, NY 10032
YL2107@cumc.columbia.edu

Highlights

- Reasoning and processing speed show accelerated decline after ages 59 and 58 years, respectively.
- Memory shows accelerated decline after age 70 years.
- Vocabulary performance peaks at age 67 years.
- Higher IQ is protective of cognitive decline in reasoning and memory but not for processing speed.
- IQ's protective effect on cognition is independent of brain maintenance.

Abstract

Background: While cognitive decline has been frequently reported in aging research, moderating factors for cognitive changes in healthy aging have been inconclusive. This study evaluated 5-year changes in four cognitive abilities and the potential moderation of age and cognitive reserve (CR) factors on cognitive changes.

Methods: Participants included 254 healthy adults initially aged 20 – 80 years. Six tasks estimated each of the four abilities: fluid reasoning, processing speed, memory and vocabulary. The proxies for CR included years of education and IQ. Cognitive changes and moderating factors were examine using multiple indicator latent change score model. Change point analysis pinpointed inflection points after which cognitive changes accelerated.

Results: There was significant decline over five years in fluid reasoning, processing speed and memory, with age moderation such that older age was associated with steeper decline. Accelerated decline was observed earlier for reasoning and speed, at ages 58 and 59 years respectively, than for memory, at age 70 years. Vocabulary continued to improve until reaching peak performance at 67 years. For moderation of cognitive changes by CR proxies, while education did not show significant moderation, higher IQ was associated with reduced 5-year decline in reasoning and memory but not processing speed. CR moderation effect was found to be independent of mean cortical thickness.

Conclusions: Using a robust statistical model to estimate the latent change in four cognitive abilities over 5 years, the results showed that cognitive reserve rather than brain maintenance is the potential mechanism underlying IQ's protective effect on cognitive decline.

Introduction

Age-related cognitive decline has been reported repeatedly in many life-span epidemiological studies (Hartshorne & Germine, 2015; Hughes, Agrigoroaei, Jeon, Bruzzese, & Lachman, 2018) with the majority of studies examining only a truncated age range rather than the whole life-span (Cornelis et al., 2019; Singh-Manoux et al., 2012; Zaninotto, Batty, Allerhand, & Deary, 2018). The pattern of age-related changes varies across cognitive abilities (Salthouse, 1998, 2010; Elliot M. Tucker-Drob, 2019) in both cross-sectional (Green, Shafto, Matthews, Cam, & White, 2015; E. M. Tucker-Drob, 2009; Whitley et al., 2016) and longitudinal (De Vis et al., 2018; Hughes et al., 2018; Singh-Manoux et al., 2012) studies. Processing speed usually exhibits the steepest decline (Salthouse, 2019) whereas vocabulary is well maintained until late adulthood (Singh-Manoux et al., 2011). The longitudinal changes in cognition have been associated with baseline age, indicating that older participants show greater accelerated decline, in global cognition (Singh-Manoux et al., 2011), fluid reasoning (De Vis et al., 2018) and memory abilities (Salthouse, 2016).

Despite these commonly observed trends in cognitive aging, there is large variability in cognitive decline within individuals of similar age, ranging from rapid decline to some improvement in cognition (Wilson et al., 2009). The concept of cognitive reserve (CR) has been used to explain individual differences in susceptibility to cognitive or functional impairment in the presence of age or disease-related brain changes (Stern, 2012). Epidemiological evidence indicates that CR helps maintain performance in the face of pathology across multiple cognitive domains in both cross-sectional and longitudinal studies in older adults. Cross-sectional studies consistently showed the protective effect of CR in both cognitively normal older adults (Singh-Manoux et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 2009; Zahodne, Stern, & Manly, 2015) and patients at the early stage of Alzheimer's disease (Ewers, Insel, Stern, Weiner, & Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, 2013; Tyas, Manfreda, Strain, & Montgomery, 2001). However, longitudinal studies showed inconsistent findings - while many studies reported lack of association between CR proxies and trajectories of cognition (Berggren, Nilsson, & Lovden, 2018; Gonzalez, Tarraf, Bowen, Johnson-Jennings, & Fisher, 2013; Karlamangla et al., 2009; Lane, Windsor, Andel, & Luszcz, 2017), others have reported protective effect of cognitive reserve proxies on the rates of cognitive decline (Bourne, Fox, Deary, & Whalley, 2007; Manly, Schupf, Tang, & Stern, 2005; Then et al., 2015; Verghese et al., 2003; Wilson, Scherr, Schneider, Tang, & Bennett, 2007; Zahodne et al., 2015).

The goals of the current study were to examine the trajectories of longitudinal cognitive decline in four independent abilities that were found to capture the most variance in aging (Salthouse, 1998) called reference abilities (RAs), and to determine the role of CR in the cognitive aging process. The study was conducted in a group of healthy adults spanning the full life course from 20 to 80 rather than a truncated age range as most of the previous studies were done. Latent change score model, a robust statistical approach that estimates changes over a comprehensive set of cognitive tests covering the four RAs, was used to examine the cognitive changes. Two of the most common proxies for estimating CR -- years of education (Glymour, Tzourio, & Dufouil, 2012; Karlamangla et al., 2009) and IQ estimates from tests such as the North American Reading Test (NART) were

used. To discriminate the effect of CR from brain maintenance as potential protective factors in cognitive decline, cortical thickness was also accounted for in the statistical model.

Method

Participants

The participants were drawn from our ongoing studies at Columbia University Irving Medical Center: the Reference Ability Neural Network (RANN) study and the Cognitive Reserve (CR) study (Stern, 2009; Stern, Gazes, Razlighi, Steffener, & Habeck, 2018; Stern et al., 2014). Subjects were recruited primarily by randomized market mailing. An initial telephone screening determined whether participants met basic inclusion criteria (i.e., right-handed, English speaking, no psychiatric or neurological disorders, and normal or corrected-to-normal vision). Potentially eligible participants were further screened in person with structured medical and neuropsychological evaluations to ensure that they had no neurological or psychiatric conditions, cognitive impairment or contraindication for MRI scanning. Global cognitive functioning was assessed with the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale (Lucas et al., 1998), on which a minimum score of 130 was required for retention in the study. In addition, participants who met diagnostic criteria for MCI were excluded. The studies were approved by the Internal Review Board of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Columbia University. Additional details about procedures can be found in previous reports (Habeck et al., 2016; Stern et al., 2014). This study is currently in the process of completing five-year follow-up on all participants using the same procedures. The current analysis included 254 participants who were assessed at both baseline and follow-up, and had data from at least one of the 23 cognitive tasks that comprise the reference abilities at either time point, in which 209 participants also had pre-post testing on cognitive tasks during fMRI studies. The demographic information for the participants is presented in Table 1. We did not find any systematic difference between the participants who had and had not completed the fMRI procedures. Two participants did not receive any MRI scans and were excluded from cortical thickness analysis.

Cognitive Tasks

To estimate each of the four RAs, three measures from out-of-scanner and three from in-scanner tasks were included in the models to ensure robust estimation of latent abilities.

Neuropsychological Tests administered out of scanner

As described in Salthouse (2015), twelve measures were selected from a battery of neuropsychological tests to assess cognitive functioning. Fluid reasoning was assessed with scores on three different tests: WAIS III Block

design task, WAIS III Letter–Number Sequencing test, and WAIS III Matrix Reasoning test. For processing speed, the Digit Symbol subtest from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised (Wechsler, 1981), Part A of the Trail making test, and the Color naming component of the Stroop test (Stroop, 1935) were chosen. Three memory measures were based on sub-scores of the Selective Reminding Task (SRT) (Buschke & Fuld, 2011): the long-term storage sub-score, continuous long-term retrieval, and the number of words recalled on the last trial. Vocabulary was assessed with scores on the vocabulary subtest from the WAIS III, the Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (WTAR), and the American National Adult Reading Test (AMNART) (Grober & Sliwinski, 1991).

Computerized tasks administered in the scanner

As described in Habeck et al. (2016) and Stern et a. (2014) twelve tasks were administered in the scanner and their behavioral performance measures were computed. Fluid reasoning (GF) was assessed with the proportion of correct trials from Paper Folding (Ekstrom, French, & Harman, 1979), Matrix Reasoning (Raven, 1962) and Letter Sets (Ekstrom et al., 1979). For processing speed mean reaction times on accurate trials for Digit Symbol, Letter Comparison, and Pattern Comparison tasks (Salthouse, Babcock, & Shaw, 1991) were used. Memory scores were measured as the proportion of correctly answered questions from Logical Memory, Word Order Recognition, and Paired Associates. Three vocabulary measures were the proportion of correct responses for Synonyms, Antonyms (Salthouse, 1993), and Picture Naming (Salthouse, 1998).

Image acquisition and processing

All MR images were acquired on a 3.0T Philips Achieva Magnet. There were two 2-hour MR imaging sessions to accommodate the twelve fMRI tasks as well as the additional imaging modalities. Relevant to the current study, T1-weighted MPRAGE scan was acquired to determine cortical thickness, with a TE/TR of 3/6.5 ms and Flip Angle of 8°, in-plane resolution of 256 x 256, field of view of 25.4 × 25.4 cm, and 165–180 slices in axial direction with slice-thickness/gap of 1/0 mm. In addition, BOLD fMRI for twelve tasks, FLAIR, DTI, ASL and a 7-minute resting BOLD scan were acquired but not reported in the current study. A neuroradiologist reviewed each subject's scans. Any significant findings were conveyed to the subject's primary care physician.

Each subject's structural T1 scans were reconstructed using FreeSurfer v5.1 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). The accuracy of FreeSurfer's subcortical segmentation and cortical parcellation (Fischl et al., 2002; Fischl et al., 2004) has been reported to be comparable to manual labeling. Each subject's white and gray matter boundaries as well as gray matter and cerebral spinal fluid boundaries were visually inspected slice by slice, manual control points were added when any visible discrepancy was found, and reconstruction was repeated until we reached satisfactory results within every subject. The

subcortical structure borders were plotted by FreeView visualization tools and compared against the actual brain regions. In case of discrepancy, they were corrected manually. Finally, we computed the mean cortical thickness for each participant to be used in group-level analyses.

Statistical Analysis

For descriptive statistics, mean, standard deviation, median, minimum, and maximum were reported for continuous variables and frequency and percent were reported for categorical variables. The correlation among demographic variables were examined using spearman's correlation and two sample t-test assuming unequal variances between males and females.

Latent change score model. For all tests of the study hypotheses, a multiple indicator latent change score model (LCSM) (Kievit et al., 2018) was used as depicted in Figure 1. The LCSM models changes in the latent scores rather than the observed scores. We modeled the four RAs in the manner of traditional confirmatory factor analysis as described in previous studies (Salthouse et al., 2015; Stern et al., 2014). The factor loadings at baseline and follow-up were constrained to be the same. With LCSM, we tested two primary questions: 1) does performance on RAs change over time and are changes moderated by age; 2) do cognitive reserve proxies (IQ and education) moderate the changes beyond age. Moderation by age, sex, IQ, and education were tested by adding these factors to the LCSM model as shown in Figure 1. We report the parameter estimates of associations as well as the overall goodness of fit measures (CFI, TLI, RMSEA).

Change point analysis in RAs. To determine whether there are non-linear effects in age-related changes in RAs, we further tested whether there are inflection points in the association between age and changes in RAs by estimating the latent change scores from the LCSM models without adjusting for any covariate. A piece-wise linear regression with one inflection point was performed for the inflection points ranging from 30 to 70 years. To evaluate the fit of the model, we compared the model to a linear regression model without any inflection point, and to the most basic model, a model with only the respective baseline RAs as covariate. The best inflection point was selected based on Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC).

Test for brain maintenance effect. To further understand the moderation of CR variables on cognitive changes and given that education and IQ may also exert a protective effect on cortical thickness, influence of the mean cortical thickness across the whole brain was removed from the cognitive factors before being entered in the LCSM. If age-related changes in cortical thickness contribute to the moderating effect of education or IQ, then their moderation effect should be diminished in the model with cortical thickness variability regressed out.

Figure 1. Diagram for the latent change score analysis. Acronyms of the figures are listed by reference abilities. *Memory*: Logical Memory (LM), Word Order recognition (WOR), Paired Associates (PA), Selective Reminding Task - long-term storage sub-score (SRTlts), Selective Reminding Task - continuous long-term retrieval (SRTctrl), and Selective Reminding Task - the number of words recalled on the last trial (SRTlast); *Fluid Reasoning*: Paper Folding (PF), Matrix Reasoning (MR), Letter Sets (LSets), WAIS III Block design task (BD), WAIS III Letter–Number Sequencing test (LNSD), and WAIS III Matrix Reasoning test (W3MR); *Processing Speed*: Digit Symbol (DS), Letter Comparison (LC), Pattern Comparison (PC), Digit Symbol subtest from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised (DSWAIS), Part A of the Trail making test (TMTA), and Color naming component of the Stroop (CNS); *Vocabulary*: Synonyms (SYN), Antonyms (ANT), Picture Naming (PN), vocabulary subtest from the WAIS III (VOC), the Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (WTAR), and American National Adult Reading Test (NART).



Results

Demographic characteristics

Table 1 provides a summary of participant characteristics at baseline. Participants aged 20 to 80 years at baseline were followed for approximately 5 years on average. IQ was correlated with baseline age (ρ=0.31, p<0.001) and with years of education (ρ=0.51, p<0.001). IQ was also higher in males (Male: 119±7.5; Female: 116±8.7; t(249.94)=-2.58, p=0.01) while no sex difference was found for age and education (p's>0.1).

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics (n=254)

Age, years	Mean (SD)	53.1 (17.1)
	Median [Min Max]	60.0 [20.0, 80.0]
Follow-up interval, years	Mean (SD)	4.87 (0.635)
	Median [Min Max]	5.00 [4.00, 7.00]
Sex, n (%)	Females	142 (55.9%)
	Males	112 (44.1%)
Education, years	Mean (SD)	16.3 (2.40)
	Median [Min Max]	16.0 [11.0, 24.0]
NARTIQ	Mean (SD)	117 (8.27)
	Median [Min Max]	120 [94.2, 131]

Longitudinal Changes in RAs

As expected, even after controlling for their baseline RAs, the three RAs showed decline over time (Reasoning: β =-0.78, p<0.001; Memory: β =-0.15, p=0.025; Speed: β =-0.68, p<0.001), while vocabulary showed increase over time (β=0.26, p=0.007).

Age moderation of changes in RAs

For all reference abilities, we found age moderation of the changes in RAs after adjusting for the respective baseline RA (Table 2 and Figure 2)., As age increased there was accelerated decline in fluid reasoning (β=-0.77, p<0.001), memory (β=-0.28, p<0.001) and speed (β=-0.57, p<0.001). Vocabulary also showed a negative slope with older age, however, as shown in the bottom panel of Figure 2, its trajectory differed from the other RAs in that, while the magnitude of change is decreasing with age, participants continued to show improvement in performance until the peak at 67 years (β=-0.36, p<0.001). The effect remained even after adjusting for IQ, education, and sex for all four RAs.

Table 2. Baseline age moderation of cognitive changes adjusted for the respective baseline reference ability.

RA	Parameters	Estimate	S.E	Z	p-value	95%LCI	95% UCI	β
Reasoning	Intercept***	-0.14	0.03	-5.65	<0.001	-0.19	-0.09	-0.63
	Reasoning BL	-0.06	0.04	-1.59	0.112	-0.13	0.01	-0.20
	Age***	-0.18	0.03	-6.54	<0.001	-0.23	-0.12	-0.77
Speed	Intercept***	-0.17	0.03	-6.60	<0.001	-0.23	-0.12	-0.57
	Speed BL*	-0.11	0.04	-2.52	0.012	-0.20	-0.03	-0.28
	Age***	-0.17	0.03	-5.44	<0.001	-0.24	-0.11	-0.57
Memory	Intercept*	-0.11	0.05	-2.16	0.031	-0.20	-0.01	-0.14
	Memory BL***	-0.34	0.06	-6.02	<0.001	-0.45	-0.23	-0.41
	Age***	-0.21	0.05	-4.17	<0.001	-0.32	-0.11	-0.28
Vocab	Intercept**	0.07	0.02	2.84	0.005	0.02	0.11	0.26
	Vocab BL*	-0.07	0.03	-2.13	0.033	-0.13	-0.01	-0.22
	Age***	-0.09	0.02	-4.08	<0.001	-0.13	-0.05	-0.36
	Fit	**CFI**	**TLI**	**BIC**	**RMSEA**	**95% LCI**	**95% UCL**	**p-value**
		0.82	0.82	23019.11	0.07	0.07	0.08	<0.0001

* p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001. BL = baseline.

Change point analysis of RAs

We further explored whether there is a peak age and inflection point in the rate of change for each RA across the lifespan. A linear mixed effect model was tested with each of the RAs as the dependent variable, age as the fixed effect, and random intercept to account for within-subject correlation due to repeated measurement. For all RAs, the quadratic trend with one inflection point performed the best as supported by the lowest BIC across all models. As illustrated in the bottom of Figure 2, cognitive decline in reasoning and speed showed accelerated decline after age 58 and 59 (indicated in red at the bottom of Figure 2), respectively. Memory showed a much later change point, at the age of 70 years, after which decline accelerated steeply. Vocabulary ability, on the other hand, continued to improve until the peak age of 67 years, with a slower rate of improvement after age 30.

Sex, Education, and IQ moderation of changes in RAs

Moderation effects on changes in RAs were tested by adding each of the three factors, sex, education, and IQ, separately into the LCSM in Figure 1 to see if there is an association between each of these factors and changes in each of the RAs.

Sex and Education did not show significant moderation effect on the changes in any of the RAs (p's>0.15). When age, IQ, education and sex were simultaneously entered in the same model, these variables were also not associated with changes in the four RAs (p's>0.07).

For NARTIQ, even after adjusting for age and baseline RAs, higher IQ was associated with reduced decline in fluid reasoning (β=0.35, p<0.001) and memory (β=0.17, p=0.005), but not in speed (β=0.12, p=0.18). Statistical details are listed in Table 3 and illustrated in Figure 3. These effects remained unchanged after adjusting for education. IQ moderation of vocabulary changes was not tested due to strong collinearity between NARTIQ and the individual vocabulary tests.

Figure 2. Top: Association between changes in RAs and baseline age. All models were adjusted for IQ, sex, and the three other baseline reference abilities. Bottom: Peak detection and inflection points for RAs. Decline in reasoning, speed, and memory were accelerated after the respective inflection points of the quadratic b-spline (red lines), while vocabulary showed more gradual improvement after age 30 and reached the peak at age 67 (blue line).



Sensitivity analysis

We examined the effects of IQ and education in separate models. The findings stayed similar to those of the fully adjusted models. There was one outlier who showed a large decline; when we reran the LCA models after removing this participant the results did not change. We also conducted similar analysis by in-scanner and out-of-scanner variables separately. Overall results were similar.

Table 3. IQ moderation of changes in RAs, controlling for age and the respective baseline ability. IQ and age were standardized for the analysis.

RA	Parameters	Estimate	S.E	Z	p-value	95%LCI	95% UCI	β
Reasoning	Intercept	-0.15	0.03	-5.48	<0.001	-0.20	-0.10	-0.49
	Reasoning bl**	-0.15	0.05	-3.18	0.001	-0.25	-0.06	-0.40
	Age***	-0.24	0.03	-6.97	<0.001	-0.30	-0.17	-0.78
	NARTIQ**	0.11	0.03	3.05	0.002	0.04	0.17	0.35
Speed	Intercept***	-0.18	0.03	-6.56	<0.001	-0.23	-0.12	-0.55
	Speed bl**	-0.13	0.05	-2.67	0.008	-0.23	-0.04	-0.32
	Age***	-0.19	0.04	-5.26	<0.001	-0.26	-0.12	-0.61
	NARTIQ	0.04	0.03	1.33	0.182	-0.02	0.09	0.12
Memory	Intercept*	-0.11	0.05	-2.13	0.033	-0.21	-0.01	-0.14
	Memory bl***	-0.39	0.06	-6.62	<0.001	-0.50	-0.27	-0.45
	Age***	-0.27	0.06	-4.93	<0.001	-0.38	-0.16	-0.34
	NARTIQ**	0.13	0.05	2.79	0.005	0.04	0.23	0.17
Vocab[1]	Intercept**	0.07	0.02	2.93	0.003	0.02	0.11	0.27
	Vocab bl	-0.05	0.03	-1.49	0.136	-0.11	0.02	-0.16
	Age***	-0.09	0.02	-4.24	<0.001	-0.14	-0.05	-0.38
Fit		**CFI**	**TLI**	**BIC**	**RMSEA**	**95% LCI**	**95% UCL**	**p-value**
		0.74	0.73	23019.43	0.09	0.09	0.10	<0.001

[1] NARTIQ was not included for Vocabulary because of strong overlap between the NART and the vocab tasks. * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001

Figure 3. Association between changes in RAs and IQ. All models were adjusted for age and the other three baseline RAs.



Test of brain maintenance as a source of IQ moderation

To test whether brain maintenance explains the IQ moderation of cognitive changes, effect of the mean cortical thickness was removed from the cognitive variables before being tested in the LCSM from the previous section. Despite the residualization, the IQ moderation effect on cognitive changes remained the same. Changes in reasoning and memory stayed significant, demonstrating that IQ moderation of changes in the two RAs is independent of any possible brain maintenance effect.

Discussion

We reported findings based on 254 participants from an ongoing longitudinal study. Three RAs showed overall cognitive decline over the 5-year follow-up despite the potential learning effects with reasoning and processing speed decline after 58 and 59 years, respectively, whereas memory showed accelerated decline after 70 years old. As expected, vocabulary improved until the peak age of 67 years then remained stable. Consistent with previous studies (Salthouse, 2012), we found negative correlation between age and changes in the reference abilities such that older age was associated with greater rate of cognitive decline. This negative age trend manifests as decreasing rate of improvement in vocabulary until past 60 years old, after which there is slight decline. Participants with higher IQ showed smaller changes in reasoning and memory and even improvement in cognition over the 5 years for the highest IQs regardless of age. However, moderation effect was not observed for education. The protective effect of IQ was unchanged even after accounting for education and changes in cortical thickness, suggesting that IQ exerts protective effects on cognitive decline that are independent of education and brain maintenance effect.

Age moderation of cognitive changes

Our results are consistent with other large epidemiological aging studies in which participants received repeated cognitive testing over the course of two to nine years. As was found in our study, significant age-related declines have been observed in processing speed, memory, and fluid reasoning (Cornelis et al., 2019; Hughes et al., 2018; Salthouse, 2016) with the rate of decline increasing with older age (Singh-Manoux et al., 2012; Zaninotto et al., 2018). In particular, processing speed consistently been reported to show a large decline with advancing age whether age was treated as a categorical or continuous variable (Cornelis et al., 2019; Hughes et al., 2018). To further understand the trajectory of cognitive aging, we used change point analysis, and identified age 59 years to be the point after which processing speed shows accelerated decline, and age 58 years for reasoning. Interestingly, memory showed accelerated decline only after 70 years of age, over a decade later than processing speed and reasoning. The later accelerated decline for memory can serve as a clear delineation between normal and pathological aging, for which memory declines sharply much earlier in life.

Even though all three RAs began to decline in the 20's, the rate of decline was stable until these change points, after which the rate of decline became steeper. The cause for the steeper decline is likely related to the rate of change in brain structural measures. The later inflection point for memory is consistent with previous brain structural findings. Vinke et al. (2018) conducted a large epidemiological study on the age trajectories of a number of brain structural changes. They examined the age at which each structural metric declined by two standard deviations (SD) from the mean at 45 years old. Hippocampal volume, the structure known for its essential role in memory (Squire, 1992), decline by two SD decades later than that for total brain volume and a number of other white matter metrics such as mean diffusivity, normal appearing white matter volume, and the log of white matter lesion volume. This is consistent with our finding that decline in memory accelerates later in life than other cognitive domains. The earlier decline for the white matter metrics could be consistent with the earlier inflection points for reasoning and processing speed since there is evidence that these two cognitive processes are more reliant on a larger network of white matter tracts: in one study larger number of white matter tracts were found to mediate age-related cognitive differences for these two RAs whereas only two major white matter tracts mediated age-related cognitive differences for memory (Gazes et al., 2016).

Of studies that examined vocabulary (Hartshorne & Germine, 2015; Salthouse, 2019; Singh-Manoux et al., 2012), our study demonstrated similar findings: vocabulary improved throughout most of adulthood. In a cross-sectional study, Hartshorne and Germine (2015) reported that abilities relying on accumulated knowledge, such as vocabulary and information subtest of the WAIS III, peak around 50 to 60 years old in a cohort of 48,537 participants ranging in age from 16 to 89 years. Our study observed the peak for vocabulary to be 67 years old, much later than that observed by Hartshorne and Germine, which, being a cross-sectional study, may have confounded cohort effects in their results whereas our study examined longitudinal data. However, since our data only consist of two timepoints, a baseline and the 5-year follow up, it also may have cohort effects imbedded in the model estimation. Further longitudinal observation is needed to pinpoint the peak performance age for vocabulary.

Previous studies have found smaller longitudinal decline in cognitive abilities than that seen in cross-sectional analyses (Singh-Manoux et al., 2012), with one study estimating that cross-sectional differences to be as much as 12 times the changes observed longitudinally (Cornelis et al., 2019). However, there are inconsistencies in the degree of longitudinal change observed across studies, ranging from maintained (Cornelis et al., 2019; MacAulay et al., 2018) to significant decline in cognitive performance (Hughes et al., 2018; Singh-Manoux et al., 2012). Two main factors likely contribute to these inconsistencies. First longitudinal findings could be influenced by differences in time between follow-ups, which range from two (MacAulay et al., 2018) to nine years (Hughes et al., 2018). Second, most of these longitudinal studies examined performance change in individual tasks, which can be overly influenced by task-specific idiosyncrasies, including measurement error, and might be more sensitive to practice effects. Our study adopted a moderate time frame between baseline and

follow-up of five years and used six different measures to estimate each RA. Using the latent change score model, we were able to detect significant change in the latent RA, which is a more robust measure than an observed score. Therefore, using a moderate follow-up interval and performing a robust statistical method to examine cognitive changes, our results confirm previous findings that showed age related longitudinal decline in fluid reasoning, processing speed and memory, while vocabulary improves throughout most of adulthood then plateaus in the 60's.

IQ moderation of cognitive changes

Despite a number of previous positive studies (Glymour et al., 2012; Pool et al., 2016; Zahodne et al., 2015), CR moderation of cognitive change has not been found consistently. The variability in findings may be attributed to a few key factors: differences in the metric used to estimate CR, the age range of the study sample, and the statistical approach for estimating cognitive change. Most of the studies that did not find CR effects used education either as the sole factor (Gottesman et al., 2014; Karlamangla et al., 2009; Proust-Lima et al., 2008; Zahodne et al., 2011) or as part of a composite score (Soldan et al., 2017), likely due to the ease in obtaining this information. However, years of education may not be an accurate proxy for CR since for middle-aged and older adults, year of education stabilizes in young adulthood and does not grow with an individual's experience. Furthermore, years of education is usually self-reported, and can be inconsistent across individuals and studies, depending on whether the cumulative years of schooling or the equivalent years of education for degrees earned is reported. For life span samples that include young adults, years of education adds to the inaccuracy as many young adults have not yet completed schooling. Thus, it was not surprising that education in our study did not show significant moderation of cognitive decline.

In addition to education, we also tested whether NARTIQ, another commonly used proxy for CR, moderated cognitive changes. We observed that NARTIQ was associated with slower decline in reasoning and memory but not for processing speed. Moderation of changes in vocabulary was not examined due to the large overlap between NART IQ and vocabulary subtests. CR moderation of changes for the two higher order abilities but not for processing speed may be consistent with the fact that processing speed relies on more basic functions such as stimulus processing and motor programming, while reasoning and memory consist of higher order processes. CR moderation is hypothesized to exert protective effects through providing greater processing capacity and/or through compensatory processes that provide alternative networks when the primary network is damaged (Stern, 2012). These CR mechanisms would be able to alleviate cognitive decline for higher order RAs such as reasoning and memory but not for processing speed, which relies on more peripheral processes such as visual input and motor output.

Examining brain maintenance effect

Brain maintenance theory posits that genetics and life experiences can aid in the preservation of a healthy brain which in turn would contribute to less decline in cognition (Nyberg, Lovden, Riklund, Lindenberger, & Backman, 2012). This protective effect can only be distinguished from CR protective effects by accounting for measures of brain structural health in the CR moderation models. In our study, IQ moderation of changes in cognition was confirmed to be independent of any brain maintenance effect by demonstrating that the IQ moderation effect was unchanged even after removing the effects of mean cortical thickness from cognitive changes in the LCSM. Thus, with results showing slower decline for adults with higher IQ regardless of brain structural health, individuals with higher IQ likely possess superior functional processing of tasks, either in the form of greater processing efficiency, higher processing capacity, and/or more flexibility in task processing (Stern, 2012).

Limitations and conclusions

All longitudinal studies are susceptible to practice effects which can confound the observed cognitive changes also in this study. However, despite potential practice effects, robust cognitive decline was observed over five years for the three abilities across the life span and taking into account a practice factor would only strengthen the main effects further. More importantly, while practice effects might have diminished the main effects, it is unlikely that interaction terms would be affected significantly.

Using latent change score modeling on 24 cognitive measures to robustly estimate the changes in four cognitive abilities, the current study contributes to further understanding of cognitive decline in aging by demonstrating that, over five years of follow-up across the whole adult lifespan, cognitive decline accelerates with older age for memory, reasoning, and speed. We further pinpointed an increase in the rate of decline for the three abilities at certain change points: at 58 years for reasoning, 59 years for speed, and 70 years for memory. For vocabulary, however, we found longitudinal improvement up to 67 years, after which the rate of improvement slowed to zero. Furthermore, IQ, a CR factor, was observed to be an alleviating factor in the 5-year cognitive decline for memory and reasoning, even after accounting for potential brain maintenance effect, demonstrating the influence of life experiences in cognitive aging.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institute of Aging (grant numbers K01AG051777, R01AG026158, R01AG038465, and R01AG062578-01A1).

Conflict of interest

Authors indicate there are no conflict of interest.

Credit author statement

Yunglin Gazes: Writing – original draft, Project administration. **Seonjoo Lee**: Writing – Original Draft, Formal analysis. **Zhiqian Fang**: Data Curation, Formal analysis. **Ashley Mensing**: Investigation, Project administration. **Diala Noofoory**: Investigation. **Geneva Hildalgo Nazario**: Investigation. **Reshma Babukutty**: Investigation. **Christian Habeck**: Conceptualization, Writing – review and editing, Funding acquisition. **Yaakov Stern**: Conceptualization, Writing – review and editing, Funding acquisition.

References

Berggren, R., Nilsson, J., & Lovden, M. (2018). Education Does Not Affect Cognitive Decline in Aging: A Bayesian Assessment of the Association Between Education and Change in Cognitive Performance. *Frontiers in Psychology, 9*, 1138. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01138

Bourne, V. J., Fox, H. C., Deary, I. J., & Whalley, L. J. (2007). Does childhood intelligence predict variation in cognitive change in later life? *Personality and Individual Differences, 42*(8), 1551-1559. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2006.10.030

Buschke, H., & Fuld, P. A. (2011). Evaluating storage, retention and retrieval in disordered memory and learning. *Neurology, 76*(20), 1725-1725. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000398283.10171.75

Cornelis, M. C., Wang, Y., Holland, T., Agarwal, P., Weintraub, S., & Morris, M. C. (2019). Age and cognitive decline in the UK Biobank. *PloS One, 14*(3), e0213948. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0213948

De Vis, J. B., Peng, S. L., Chen, X., Li, Y., Liu, P., Sur, S., . . . Lu, H. (2018). Arterial-spin-labeling (ASL) perfusion MRI predicts cognitive function in elderly individuals: A 4-year longitudinal study. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 48*(2), 449-458. doi:10.1002/jmri.25938

Ekstrom, R. B., French, J. W., & Harman, H. H. (1979). Cognitive factors: Their identification and replication. *Multivariate Behavioral Research Monographs, 79*(2), 84-84.

Ewers, M., Insel, P. S., Stern, Y., Weiner, M. W., & Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I. (2013). Cognitive reserve associated with FDG-PET in preclinical Alzheimer disease. *Neurology, 80*(13), 1194-1201. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31828970c2

Fischl, B., Salat, D. H., Busa, E., Albert, M., Dieterich, M., Haselgrove, C., . . . Dale, A. M. (2002). Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. *Neuron, 33*(3), 341-355. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11832223

Fischl, B., van der Kouwe, A., Destrieux, C., Halgren, E., Segonne, F., Salat, D. H., . . . Dale, A. M. (2004). Automatically parcellating the human cerebral cortex. *Cerebral Cortex, 14*(1), 11-22. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14654453

Gazes, Y., Bowman, F. D., Razlighi, Q. R., O'Shea, D., Stern, Y., & Habeck, C. (2016). White matter tract covariance patterns predict age-declining cognitive abilities. *Neuroimage, 125*, 53-60. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.016

Glymour, M. M., Tzourio, C., & Dufouil, C. (2012). Is cognitive aging predicted by one's own or one's parents' educational level? results from the three-city study. *American Journal of Epidemiology, 175*(8), 750-759. doi:10.1093/aje/kwr509

Gonzalez, H. M., Tarraf, W., Bowen, M. E., Johnson-Jennings, M. D., & Fisher, G. G. (2013). What do parents have to do with my cognitive reserve? Life course perspectives on twelve-year cognitive decline. *Neuroepidemiology, 41*(2), 101-109. doi:10.1159/000350723

Gottesman, R. F., Rawlings, A. M., Sharrett, A. R., Albert, M., Alonso, A., Bandeen-Roche, K., . . . Mosley, T. H. (2014). Impact of differential attrition on the association of education with cognitive change over 20 years of follow-up: the ARIC neurocognitive study. *American Journal of Epidemiology, 179*(8), 956-966. doi:10.1093/aje/kwu020

Green, E., Shafto, M. A., Matthews, F. E., Cam, C., & White, S. R. (2015). Adult Lifespan Cognitive Variability in the Cross-Sectional Cam-CAN Cohort. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 12*(12), 15516-15530. doi:10.3390/ijerph121215003

Grober, E., & Sliwinski, M. (1991). Development and validation of a model for estimating premorbid verbal intelligence in the elderly. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 13*(6), 933-949. doi:10.1080/01688639108405109

Habeck, C., Gazes, Y., Razlighi, Q., Steffener, J., Brickman, A., Barulli, D., . . . Stern, Y. (2016). The Reference Ability Neural Network Study: Life-time stability of reference-ability neural networks derived from task maps of young adults. *Neuroimage, 125*, 693-704. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.077

Hartshorne, J. K., & Germine, L. T. (2015). When does cognitive functioning peak? The asynchronous rise and fall of different cognitive abilities across the life span. *Psychological Science, 26*(4), 433-443. doi:10.1177/0956797614567339

Hughes, M. L., Agrigoroaei, S., Jeon, M., Bruzzese, M., & Lachman, M. E. (2018). Change in Cognitive Performance From Midlife Into Old Age: Findings from the Midlife in the United States (MIDUS) Study - Erratum. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 24*(8), 891. doi:10.1017/S1355617718000887

Karlamangla, A. S., Miller-Martinez, D., Aneshensel, C. S., Seeman, T. E., Wight, R. G., & Chodosh, J. (2009). Trajectories of cognitive function in late life in the United States: demographic and socioeconomic predictors. *American Journal of Epidemiology, 170*(3), 331-342. doi:10.1093/aje/kwp154

Kievit, R. A., Brandmaier, A. M., Ziegler, G., van Harmelen, A. L., de Mooij, S. M. M., Moutoussis, M., . . . Dolan, R. J. (2018). Developmental cognitive neuroscience using latent change score models: A tutorial and applications. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 33*, 99-117. doi:10.1016/j.dcn.2017.11.007

Lane, A. P., Windsor, T. D., Andel, R., & Luszcz, M. A. (2017). Is Occupational Complexity Associated with Cognitive Performance or Decline? Results from the Australian Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Gerontology, 63*(6), 550-559. doi:10.1159/000475559

Lucas, J. A., Ivnik, R. J., Smith, G. E., Bohac, D. L., Tangalos, E. G., Kokmen, E., . . . Petersen, R. C. (1998). Normative data for the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 20*(4), 536-547. doi:10.1076/jcen.20.4.536.1469

MacAulay, R. K., Calamia, M. R., Cohen, A. S., Daigle, K., Foil, H., Brouillette, R., . . . Keller, J. N. (2018). Understanding heterogeneity in older adults: Latent growth curve modeling of cognitive functioning. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 40*(3), 292-302. doi:10.1080/13803395.2017.1342772

Manly, J. J., Schupf, N., Tang, M. X., & Stern, Y. (2005). Cognitive decline and literacy among ethnically diverse elders. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology, 18*(4), 213-217. doi:10.1177/0891988705281868

Nyberg, L., Lovden, M., Riklund, K., Lindenberger, U., & Backman, L. (2012). Memory aging and brain maintenance. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 16*(5), 292-305. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2012.04.005

Pool, L. R., Weuve, J., Wilson, R. S., Bultmann, U., Evans, D. A., & Mendes de Leon, C. F. (2016). Occupational cognitive requirements and late-life cognitive aging. *Neurology, 86*(15), 1386-1392. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000002569

Proust-Lima, C., Amieva, H., Letenneur, L., Orgogozo, J. M., Jacqmin-Gadda, H., & Dartigues, J. F. (2008). Gender and education impact on brain aging: a general cognitive factor approach. *Psychology and Aging, 23*(3), 608-620. doi:10.1037/a0012838

Raven, J. C. (1962). *Advanced Progressive Matrices, Set II.* San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.

Salthouse, T. A. (1993). Speed and knowledge as determinants of adult age differences in verbal tasks. *Journal of Gerontology, 48*(1), P29-36. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8418147

Salthouse, T. A. (1998). Independence of age-related influences on cognitive abilities across the life span. *Developmental Psychology, 34*(5), 851-864. doi:10.1037//0012-1649.34.5.851

Salthouse, T. A. (2010). Selective review of cognitive aging. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 16*(5), 754-760. doi:10.1017/S1355617710000706

Salthouse, T. A. (2012). Does the level at which cognitive change occurs change with age? *Psychological Science, 23*(1), 18-23. doi:10.1177/0956797611421615

Salthouse, T. A. (2016). Continuity of cognitive change across adulthood. *Psychon Bull Rev, 23*(3), 932-939. doi:10.3758/s13423-015-0910-8

Salthouse, T. A. (2019). Trajectories of normal cognitive aging. *Psychology and Aging, 34*(1), 17-24. doi:10.1037/pag0000288

Salthouse, T. A., Babcock, R. L., & Shaw, R. J. (1991). Effects of adult age on structural and operational capacities in working memory. *Psychology and Aging, 6*(1), 118-127. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2029360

Salthouse, T. A., Habeck, C., Razlighi, Q., Barulli, D., Gazes, Y., & Stern, Y. (2015). Breadth and age-dependency of relations between cortical thickness and cognition. *Neurobiology of Aging, 36*(11), 3020-3028. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2015.08.011

Singh-Manoux, A., Kivimaki, M., Glymour, M. M., Elbaz, A., Berr, C., Ebmeier, K. P., . . . Dugravot, A. (2012). Timing of onset of cognitive decline: results from Whitehall II prospective cohort study. *BMJ, 344*, d7622. doi:10.1136/bmj.d7622

Singh-Manoux, A., Marmot, M. G., Glymour, M., Sabia, S., Kivimaki, M., & Dugravot, A. (2011). Does cognitive reserve shape cognitive decline? *Annals of Neurology, 70*(2), 296-304. doi:10.1002/ana.22391

Soldan, A., Pettigrew, C., Cai, Q., Wang, J., Wang, M. C., Moghekar, A., . . . Team, B. R. (2017). Cognitive reserve and long-term change in cognition in aging and preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiology of Aging, 60*, 164-172. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2017.09.002

Squire, L. R. (1992). Memory and the hippocampus: a synthesis from findings with rats, monkeys, and humans. *Psychological Review, 99*(2), 195-231. doi:10.1037/0033-295x.99.2.195

Stern, Y. (2009). Cognitive reserve. *Neuropsychologia, 47*(10), 2015-2028. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.03.004

Stern, Y. (2012). Cognitive reserve in ageing and Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet Neurology, 11*(11), 1006-1012. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70191-6

Stern, Y., Gazes, Y., Razlighi, Q., Steffener, J., & Habeck, C. (2018). A task-invariant cognitive reserve network. *Neuroimage, 178*, 36-45. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.05.033

Stern, Y., Habeck, C., Steffener, J., Barulli, D., Gazes, Y., Razlighi, Q., . . . Salthouse, T. (2014). The Reference Ability Neural Network Study: motivation, design, and initial feasibility analyses. *Neuroimage, 103*, 139-151. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.09.029

Stroop, J. R. (1935). Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology, 18*(6), 643-662. doi:10.1037/h0054651

Then, F. S., Luck, T., Luppa, M., Konig, H. H., Angermeyer, M. C., & Riedel-Heller, S. G. (2015). Differential effects of enriched environment at work on cognitive decline in old age. *Neurology, 84*(21), 2169-2176. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000001605

Tucker-Drob, E. M. (2009). Differentiation of cognitive abilities across the life span. *Developmental Psychology, 45*(4), 1097-1118. doi:10.1037/a0015864

Tucker-Drob, E. M. (2019). Cognitive Aging and Dementia: A Life-Span Perspective. *Annual Review of Developmental Psychology, 1*(1), 177-196. doi:10.1146/annurev-devpsych-121318-085204

Tyas, S. L., Manfreda, J., Strain, L. A., & Montgomery, P. R. (2001). Risk factors for Alzheimer's disease: a population-based, longitudinal study in Manitoba, Canada. *International Journal of Epidemiology, 30*(3), 590-597. doi:10.1093/ije/30.3.590

Verghese, J., Lipton, R. B., Katz, M. J., Hall, C. B., Derby, C. A., Kuslansky, G., . . . Buschke, H. (2003). Leisure activities and the risk of dementia in the elderly. *New England Journal of Medicine, 348*(25), 2508-2516. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa022252

Vinke, E. J., de Groot, M., Venkatraghavan, V., Klein, S., Niessen, W. J., Ikram, M. A., & Vernooij, M. W. (2018). Trajectories of imaging markers in brain aging: the Rotterdam Study. *Neurobiology of Aging, 71*, 32-40. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018.07.001

Wechsler, D. (1981). The psychometric tradition: Developing the wechsler adult intelligence scale. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 6*(2), 82-85. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-476X(81)90035-7

Whitley, E., Deary, I. J., Ritchie, S. J., Batty, G. D., Kumari, M., & Benzeval, M. (2016). Variations in cognitive abilities across the life course: Cross-sectional evidence from Understanding Society: The UK Household Longitudinal Study. *Intelligence, 59*, 39-50. doi:10.1016/j.intell.2016.07.001

Wilson, R. S., Hebert, L. E., Scherr, P. A., Barnes, L. L., Mendes de Leon, C. F., & Evans, D. A. (2009). Educational attainment and cognitive decline in old age. *Neurology, 72*(5), 460-465. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000341782.71418.6c

Wilson, R. S., Scherr, P. A., Schneider, J. A., Tang, Y., & Bennett, D. A. (2007). Relation of cognitive activity to risk of developing Alzheimer disease. *Neurology, 69*(20), 1911-1920. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000271087.67782.cb

Zahodne, L. B., Glymour, M. M., Sparks, C., Bontempo, D., Dixon, R. A., MacDonald, S. W., & Manly, J. J. (2011). Education does not slow cognitive decline with aging: 12-year evidence from the victoria longitudinal study. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 17*(6), 1039-1046. doi:10.1017/S1355617711001044

Zahodne, L. B., Stern, Y., & Manly, J. J. (2015). Differing effects of education on cognitive decline in diverse elders with low versus high educational attainment. *Neuropsychology, 29*(4), 649-657. doi:10.1037/neu0000141

Zaninotto, P., Batty, G. D., Allerhand, M., & Deary, I. J. (2018). Cognitive function trajectories and their determinants in older people: 8 years of follow-up in the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 72*(8), 685-694. doi:10.1136/jech-2017-210116