medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Estimating the Failure Risk of Hotel-based Quarantine for Preventing COVID-19 Outbreaks in Australia and New Zealand

Leah Grout,[1*] Ameera Katar,[2] Driss Ait Ouakrim,[2] Jennifer A. Summers,[1] Amanda Kvalsvig,[1] Michael G. Baker,[1] Tony Blakely,[2] Nick Wilson[1]
[1] Department of Public Health, University of Otago Wellington, New Zealand
[2] Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia
* Corresponding author: leah.grout@otago.ac.nz

Abstract

Aim: With increasing global use of hotel-based quarantine as part of COVID-19 border control efforts, we aimed to assess its risk of failure.
Methods: We searched official websites in both Australia and New Zealand (NZ) to identify outbreaks and border control failures associated with hotel quarantine (searches conducted up to 12 February 2021). We used two denominators: a) the estimated number of travelers who went through these facilities during the 2020 year up to 31 January 2021; and b) the equivalent number of SARS-CoV-2 positive people who went through these facilities.
Results: Up to 31 January 2021, Australia had seven failures with one causing over 800 deaths and six resulting in lockdowns. In NZ there were nine failures, with one causing an outbreak with three deaths, and also a lockdown. The overall failure risk for those transiting quarantine was estimated at one failure per 20,702 travelers and one failure per 252 SARS-CoV-2 positive cases (both countries combined). At the country level, there were 15.5 failures per 1000 SARS-CoV-2 positive cases transiting quarantine in NZ (95%CI: 5.4 to 25.7), compared to 2.0 per 1000 SARS-CoV-2 positive cases in Australia (0.5 to 3.5) – a greater than seven-fold difference in risk. Approaches to infection control and surveillance in hotel quarantine were found to vary widely by country and by state/territory.
Conclusions: There appears to be a notable risk of failure with the use of hotel quarantine in these two countries. The large variation in infection control practices suggests opportunity for risk reduction.

Introduction

New Zealand and Australian states have successfully eliminated community transmission of the pandemic virus SARS-CoV-2,[1] albeit with occasional outbreaks from imported cases that have been quickly brought under control. These two countries have mostly used hotel-based quarantine for citizens returning to their countries during the pandemic period. This process is typically 14 days of quarantine combined with PCR testing and mask use in any areas involving shared space (eg, exercise areas).

Converting hotels for quarantine purposes has the advantage of making use of a resource that would otherwise be underused during a pandemic, given declines in international tourism. However, the major disadvantage of hotel-based quarantine is that it is likely to be less effective than purpose-built quarantine facilities owing to shared spaces and lack of proper ventilation (as per WHO advice on air flow[2]). Moreover, the consequences of leakage of the virus out of quarantine (eg, through facility workers) may be more severe given higher

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

population density in urban settings where the hotels are based. Given these issues, we aimed to estimate the failure risk of hotel-based quarantine in New Zealand and Australia in terms of the spread of COVID-19 infection into the community.

Methods

We searched official websites in both countries, and for the eight states and territories in Australia, to identify outbreaks and border control failures associated with hotel quarantine (searches conducted between 6 January and 12 February 2021). Where an outbreak source was uncertain (eg, the Auckland, New Zealand, August 2020 outbreak) we used the best available evidence to classify it as a hotel quarantine failure or not. We used two denominators: a) the estimated number of travelers who went through these facilities during the 2020 year up to 31 January 2021; and b) the number of SARS-CoV-2 positive people who went through these facilities in this same time period. The unit of analyses were New Zealand, the eight Australian states and territories, and both countries combined.

For New Zealand, we used official data on both travelers going through the hotel quarantine system[3] along with official (Ministry of Health) data on SARS-CoV-2 positive cases,[4] although there are some discrepancies in the information about when regular testing began in Managed Isolation and Quarantine (MIQ) facilities. For Australia we used overseas arrival data,[5] health data,[6] and also considered the new caps on travelers for Australia in January 2021.[7]

Results

The collated data for hotel quarantine failures is shown in Table 1, with specific details of each event in the Appendix (Table A1). In Australia, seven failures were identified, one causing over 800 deaths and six out of the seven resulting in lockdowns. In New Zealand, there were nine failures, with one causing an outbreak with three deaths, and also a lockdown.

Given our estimates of the number of travelers processed via hotel-based quarantine (Table 1), the overall risks for both countries combined were one failure per 20,702 travelers, and one failure per 252 SARS-CoV-2 positive cases in hotel-based quarantine. The combined data can also be interpreted as one outbreak leading to a lockdown response per 47,319 travelers; and approximately one death from COVID-19 per 412 travelers (using the 800 deaths estimate from Australia and the three deaths from New Zealand – although this figure is largely driven by the second wave in Victoria and is unlikely generalizable forward in time).

At the country level, there were 15.5 failures per 1000 SARS-CoV-2 positive cases transiting quarantine in New Zealand (95%CI: 5.4 to 25.7), compared to 2.0 per 1000 SARS-CoV-2 positive cases in Australia (0.5 to 3.5) – a greater than seven-fold difference in risk. Given the proportion of SARS-CoV-2 positive cases since 1 December 2020 in quarantine has increased to 9.1 per 1000 in New Zealand and 16.3 per 1000 in Australia, this would equate to 1.8 and 0.7 expected failures per month in New Zealand and Australia, respectively – assuming past quarantine practices are constant into the future. Moreover, if new arrivals with SARS-CoV-2 have a variant that may be around 50% more infectious, that would

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

become 2.8 and 1.0 respectively (assuming a linear increase in risk, which is likely an underestimate).

Table 1: Identified hotel quarantine failures in Australia and New Zealand during the COVID-19 pandemic, the relevant denominator populations and estimated failure risks (with numerator and denominator data up to 31 January 2021)

Jurisdiction	Identified hotel quarantine failures	Travelers experiencing quarantine/isolation in hotel-based facilities	Active cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection identified in quarantine	Failure risk per 100,000 travelers going through quarantine	Failure risk per 1000 positive cases in quarantine*	Comments
New Zealand	**9**	**105,213**	**579**	**8.6**	**15.5** (5.4 to 25.7)	From 17 June 2020 (date of the first positive test in an MIQ facility) until 31 January 2021, excluding 8 cases with no history of overseas travel.[4]
Australian States and Territories						
Australian Capital Territory (ACT)	0	703	26	0	0.0	
Queensland	1	30,937	448	3.2	2.2	
New South Wales	3	120,738	1,741	2.5	1.7	
Northern Territory	0	6,951	80	0	0.0	Includes a non-hotel facility (a former workers' camp)[8]
South Australia	1	9,904	141	10.1	7.1	
Tasmania	0	316	23	0	0.0	
Victoria	1	26,962	492	3.7	2.0	
Western Australia	1	29,506	506	3.4	2.0	
All of Australia	**7**	**226,017**	**3,457**	**3.1**	**2.0** (0.5 to 3.5)	These only included cases diagnosed between 1 April 2020 until 31 January 2021.
New Zealand and Australia						
Both countries combined	**16**	**331,230**	**4,036**	**4.8**	**4.0** (2.0 to 5.9)	

* 95% confidence intervals are shown in parentheses for country-level risks only; state-level risks with zeros or low numbers are too sparse.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

This analysis identified 16 failures of hotel quarantine in Australia and New Zealand combined (up to 31 January 2021). The significantly higher failure risk per 1000 SARS-CoV-2 positive cases transiting quarantine in New Zealand vs Australia could reflect a lower quality approach in the former and/or possibly better detection in New Zealand from greater use of border worker testing over a longer period. However, it must be noted that since 1 December 2020, the proportion of SARS-CoV-2 positive cases among international arrivals is greater in Australia (16.3 per 1000) than in New Zealand (9.1 per 1000).

These estimates are both subject to chance variations due to low numbers of failures, and as an estimate of all breaches of quarantine an underestimate. That is, there will probably have been instances of viral incursion out of quarantine that failed to spread substantially and be detected. Genomes of the first 649 viral isolates collected in New Zealand show that only 19% of introductions were estimated to infect more than one other person.[9] Therefore, counts of border failures are sensitive to how they are identified and defined. Indeed, with increased testing (eg, testing of people after leaving quarantine on day 16 as is now common in Australia), we may be detecting breaches that previously went undetected.

Looking forward, the failure risks per month in New Zealand and Australia are likely to increase, given that the proportion of travelers returning to these countries who are infected is increasing due to global intensification of the pandemic and the increasing infectivity of new SARS-CoV-2 variants.[10] Indeed, in February 2021 there have been two clearly documented cases of spread *within* quarantine hotels in Melbourne, highlighting the increased risk and evolving situation with more highly infectious variants arriving from overseas. Offsetting this trend will be measures such as vaccinating quarantine workers (assuming the vaccine prevents transmission, which appears likely with overall infection rates halved for the AstraZeneca vaccine[11] and perhaps 70% less for the Moderna mRNA vaccine, as indicated by using swab results for asymptomatic infection plus symptomatic cases[12]). Another risk reduction practice would be using better facilities in rural locations as these have less risk from close contacts in CBD hotels and within-building spread from poor ventilation systems. Furthermore, the level of testing of hotel quarantine workers has been increasing (eg,[13]; which will find some failures before they have a chance to establish as an outbreak in the community), and there have been other improvements in hotel quarantine in late 2020 (eg, improved security, introduction of mask wearing within quarantine settings, reduction in shared spaces, improved PPE used by workers, and other procedures as detailed in both countries[14 15]).

Limitations of our analysis include residual uncertainty around the cause of some outbreaks (eg, the Auckland August 2020 outbreak), and imprecision with denominator data on traveler numbers for Australia (eg, some travelers were moved between states on domestic flights which is not captured in the official data we used). Additionally, case numbers are constantly changing, due to the number of reclassifications caused by false positives and duplications.

To substantially reduce the risk of SARS-CoV-2 incursion out of quarantine, the most obvious action is to reduce arrivals, or even suspend arrivals, from high infection locations. Beyond this, there are a range of other potential improvements in ongoing arrangements and processes as detailed in Table 2.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2: List of potential policy and operational options for improved COVID-19 control associated with hotel quarantine facilities in Australia and New Zealand, including measures to reduce the numbers of infected people arriving into such facilities

Policy option	Description	Our priority rankings
1. Cap travel from high prevalence countries	Further reducing the in-flow of travelers by suspending flights to Australia and New Zealand (NZ) from very high incidence countries where the pandemic is out of control. Of note is that these governments have the capacity to legally put conditions on the existing rights of their citizens to enter their country of citizenship (ie, on public health grounds). Travel could be made contingent on completing a course of approved vaccination, assuming the vaccine is effective at preventing transmission.	Top priority
2. Pre-flight testing plus/minus pre-arrival quarantine	An expansion of existing requirements for pre-flight testing to additional traveler source countries. Pre-flight testing could be expanded from not only a PCR test within 72 hours of departure to also add an additional rapid test at the airport immediately before departure (given many infected may have started shedding the virus in the previous 72 hours and most, but not all, of such cases will be detected by a rapid test even though it has lower sensitivity). Of note is that such arrangements are considered legally acceptable (see the above row). Pre-arrival quarantine (eg, for a week), would provide additional assurance. But this would probably need to be in a transport hub (eg, at an airport hotel at Singapore or Hawaii) where NZ and Australian officials were permitted access to ensure quality processes.	Top priority
3. Use passenger booking systems to reduce infection risk	NZ has a system where passengers book spaces in quarantine facilities prior to travel. Such a system could be adopted more widely in Australia and also be used in to promote and document infection control measures by travelers (eg, requiring declarations from travelers about key precautions).	High priority
4. Increase in-flight precautions	Exploring means to reduce the risk of in-flight infection as documented on a flight to NZ.[16] This could be via more stringent enforcement of mask wearing in airports and on flights, use of higher-efficacy masks (and/or double masking), and minimizing talking when masks are displaced during eating and drinking on flights. Improved ventilation and spacing requirements on flights might also be worthwhile.	High priority
5. Reduce infection risk at airports and transit hubs	Ensure measures are in place at departure airports and transit hubs to minimize the risk of cross infection (eg, through physical distancing and mask use).	Medium priority
6. Improve local transport arrangements	There may be a need to have better physical distancing of travelers on arrival and in transit to quarantine (eg, lowering density on buses). For such arrangements, N95 masks could be required.	Medium priority
7. Shift to discrete quarantine units	Shifting some or all quarantine facilities to rural military bases or camps where discrete units (eg, mobile homes or caravans) could be appropriately spatially separated. The success (to date – see Table 1) of the Howard Springs facility (a converted workers' camp[8]) should be considered. This approach allows for natural ventilation and eliminates shared indoor spaces. If spaces were limited, then these settings could be used for travelers from the highest risk countries.	High priority

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Policy option	Description	Our priority rankings
8. Restrict hotel quarantine in large cities to low-risk travelers	Reserving hotel quarantine in large cities to the lowest risk category of travelers, with hotels in more minor cities being used for the highest risk category of travelers. However, the risk/benefit analysis of such changes would need to consider airport access and if the additional travelling to minor cities poses excessive additional risk.	High priority
9. Expand use of PCR testing of saliva in facility workers (and travelers)	Expand the regular (daily) use of PCR testing of saliva of facility workers to all facilities in both countries. This approach could also be considered for all travelers, albeit potentially still combined with existing testing regimens.	High priority
10. Upgrade processes at quarantine facilities	Further upgrading processes at quarantine hotels in terms of eliminating shared spaces (eg, no shared exercise areas and shared smoking areas), in particular ensuring that day cohorts do not mix under any circumstances. Ventilation improvements could also be considered with limiting the use of hotel rooms to those with external windows.	Medium priority
11. Prosecute rule breaking in quarantine facilities	Rule breaking, which is relatively common in NZ facilities,[17] could start to be prosecuted (given no prosecutions during 2020).	Medium priority
12. Improve conditions for hotel quarantine staff	Improving working conditions for the staff in hotel quarantine to minimize the risk of overwork (which may increase the risk of PPE failures) or of workers taking on other part-time jobs in other settings. For example, in February 2021 there were still concerns by NZ health workers about staffing inadequacies in these facilities.	High priority
13. Improve management of travelers who smoke	Introduce specific measures for travelers who are nicotine dependent to reduce their need to smoke in designated areas during their travel and while in managed quarantine (eg, nicotine replacement treatment as a requirement for travel).	Medium priority
14. Add post-quarantine control measures	Introduce a post-quarantine period of home-quarantine to reduce the risk of local transmission arising from undetected infections in people leaving hotel quarantine facilities (which may arise from either exceptionally long incubation periods or cross infection during quarantine stays).	Medium priority
15. Improve the sensitivity and acceptability of hotel quarantine staff testing	Consider a shift to regular (eg, daily) saliva testing of hotel quarantine staff to increase the sensitivity and speed of infection detection and to reduce the discomfort for staff associated with taking nasal swabs.	Medium priority
16. Mandate the use of digital contact tracing tools	Mandating that hotel quarantine workers use digital technologies (eg, the Bluetooth function on the NZ COVID Tracer smartphone app) to facilitate contact tracing in the event of a border failure. Travelers could be required to use such technologies for two weeks after completing their time in hotel quarantine. There is also a case for travelers using these tools within quarantine as (at least in NZ) quarantine hotels are sometimes evacuated for fire alarms and burst water pipes.	Medium priority
17. Accelerate or mandate vaccination for hotel quarantine staff	Rapidly accelerating plans to vaccinate all hotel quarantine workers against COVID-19 and even making vaccination a requirement to work in these settings. This measure will be particularly valuable if vaccines are found to prevent transmission in addition to protecting recipients from illness.	Top priority

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

In summary, Australia and New Zealand have had 16 COVID-19 identified failures arising from hotel-based quarantine up to 31 January 2021. These systems are now facing higher proportions of infected travelers that threaten the elimination status of these jurisdictions – urgent improvements to quarantine are required.

Competing interests: Nil.

Funding: Prof Baker and Dr Kvalsvig received funding support from the Health Research Council of New Zealand (20/1066). Dr Grout, Ms Katar, Dr Ait Ouakrim, Dr Summers, Prof Blakely, and Prof Wilson did not have external funding support.

Appendix

Appendix Table A1: List of COVID-19 border control failures associated with hotel-based quarantine in Australia and New Zealand and Australia during 2020 and up to 31 January 2021

Event ***Australia***	Extent of known spread	Details
Victoria "second wave" outbreak (late May to late October 2020)	Over 19,800 cases,[18 19] and over 800 deaths[20]	Genomic testing indicated that 99% of Victoria's second wave of community COVID-19 cases were linked to transmission events related to returned travelers infecting workers at the Rydges Hotel in Carlton and the Stamford Plaza Hotel in Melbourne's central business district (CBD), which were used as facilities for quarantine.[21] The virus then spread from the infected workers to the community, with high rates of local transmission.[21] The outbreak led to a stringent lockdown for 112 days in the state, with particularly strict measures in the major city of Melbourne.[22] At least nine people employed in Melbourne's hotel quarantine program tested positive between late July and early October 2020, although the cases may have been a reflection of substantial community transmission in Melbourne at the time rather than additional hotel quarantine failures.[23] Two of those cases worked while infectious.[23]
Parafield outbreak in South Australia (December 2020)	33 cases[20]	Genomic testing indicated that Adelaide's Parafield cluster was linked to transmission events related to a returned traveler in a quarantine hotel infecting workers in the facility, possibly due to poor ventilation at the facility.[21] The virus spread from the workers to the community, resulting in a strict lockdown.[21]
Avalon outbreak in New South Wales [NSW] (January 2021)	151 cases (as of 11 January 2021)[24]	It has been reported that genomic sequencing suggests that the strain is of US origin and entered Australia via an infectious returned traveler who entered hotel quarantine upon arrival.[25] It is currently unknown how the virus spread to the community and source investigations were still underway (as per January 2021). Case numbers include those from the linked Croydon and Wollongong clusters, but not the linked Black Rock cluster in Victoria (27 cases as of 5 January 2021) due to separate reporting by states.
Berala outbreak in NSW (January 2021)	26 cases (as of 11 January 2021)[24]	It has been reported that genomic sequencing linked the outbreak back to infectious international travelers.[26] A patient transport worker transferred infectious travelers from Sydney Airport to a hospital.[24 26] Another patient transport worker who was a close contact of the first then also tested positive.[24 26] The virus then spread from the second infected worker into the community, seeding the Berala outbreak.[26]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Event	Extent of known spread	Details
Quarantine hotel facility cleaner infected in Sydney, NSW (December 2020)	A single worker	A quarantine hotel worker (a cleaner) who completed shifts at two quarantine hotels, the Ibis Hotel and the Novotel in Darling Harbour in Sydney, tested positive in early December.[25] There was also spread in a facility with 5 returned travelers being infected.
Hotel Grand Chancellor outbreak in Brisbane, Queensland (January 2021)	6 cases (as of 13 January 2021)[27]	A hotel quarantine worker in Brisbane tested positive in early January 2021 for the highly transmissible UK variant of COVID-19 (ie, the B.1.1.7 variant).[28] This case led to a three-day lockdown in the greater Brisbane area, while contact tracers worked to ensure there was no community transmission of the strain.[29] It was later revealed that there were six genomically linked cases within the quarantine facility, including the hotel quarantine worker and five returned travelers.[27]
Four Points by Sheraton hotel, Western Australia (January 2021)	A single worker	A Perth quarantine hotel security guard, who worked a second job as a ride share driver, tested positive to the UK strain of SARS-CoV-2, sparking a five-day lockdown for 80 per cent of the state's population, in an attempt to stop any further transmission.[30] It's believed he was exposed to the virus on the 26 January when he worked at Four Points by Sheraton, on the same floor where a quarantined returned traveler with a confirmed case of the UK variant was staying. After feeling sick on 28 January, the case visited a GP, and tested positive on 30 January. The exact cause is unknown, but it's believed poor ventilation could be a factor.[31] This comes a week after Western Australia committed to daily testing of the hotel quarantine staff, instead of weekly.[32] Quarantine hotel staff, including cleaners, security guards and catering staff, are no longer allowed to have second jobs but will receive a pay increase of about 40 per cent as compensation.[30] It has been announced an inquiry will be held.[31]
New Zealand		
Auckland August 2020 outbreak	A total of 179 cases, with 3 deaths[33]	The cause of this outbreak remains unknown, but genomic work probably provides the best evidence to this being a border facility (isolation or quarantine facility) failure: "There are a large number of similar genomes which are from the UK, which would seem to suggest the UK is the most likely source of any unknown importation".[34] This was at a time when 40% of cases in NZ quarantine/isolation facilities did not have genomic work on the virus infecting them (ie, there was not enough complete virus in the samples). It was also estimated[34] that there was only a very tiny risk of this outbreak being a continuation of the March/April spread of the pandemic in NZ: "Our Bayesian phylogenetic analysis … estimates that there is a 0.4% probability that case 20VR2563 is in the "sister clade"' of the Auckland cluster." Finally, the chance of the outbreak being from contaminated imported food was also considered very unlikely: "Our Bayesian phylogenetic analysis … shows that the estimated mutation rate on the branch leading to the cluster is not a lot smaller than elsewhere in the tree, lending little weight to the possibility that the virus lay dormant on packing material for a long period of time."
Border facility maintenance worker infected (August 2020)	A single worker	A shared lift environment in a quarantine hotel (the Rydges Hotel in Central Auckland was the source suspected by officials,[35] with the sharing being only minutes apart.[34] The genomic sequencing indicated the same virus infecting the worker as per a recent traveler in the same facility.[34]
Border facility health worker infected (September 2020)	A single worker	This was a work-related infection associated with a hotel facility used for isolating infected cases (the Jet Park Hotel, Auckland), given that the case was linked via genomic sequencing to 3 cases within the facility.[36] Some details of the full investigation report were provided to the media and these suggested that while the nurse wore personal protective equipment (PPE), there was a period where the associated patient did not have a mask on

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Event	Extent of known spread	Details
		during treatment.[37] This situation could have contributed to a failure of the PPE worn by the nurse.
Traveler-related outbreak / Christchurch border facility (September 2020)	The traveler and 2 others (total of 3 cases)	This person was thought to have been infected within a hotel quarantine facility before then moving into the community, according to the Ministry of Health.[38] This infected traveler appears to have then infected another person (the Ministry suggest this may have occurred on a charter flight after leaving the facility).[38] A household contact was also reported as becoming infected.[39]
Border facility health worker (Case A) in Christchurch (November 2020)	A single worker	This was a work-related infection associated with a facility used for isolating infected cases. Both this case (and "Case B" below) had the virus genome sequencing linked to infection in a group of international mariners in the same hotel facility but with different virus subtypes in each case.[35] "The finding supports the current theory that there were two separate events infecting both workers at the facility." These cases of infected health workers appear to have contributed to border control nurses threatening strike action if they were not supplied with improved PPE.[19] One estimate was that 12 of the mariners were infected on arrival in NZ, but with subsequent spread within the facility a total of 31 mariners were ultimately infected.[40]
Another border facility health worker (Case B) (see above)	A single worker	This was a separate work-related infection associated with a border control facility – see in the row above.
Defence Force worker outbreak (November 2020)	The worker, a co-worker and 4 others (total of 6 cases)	This was a work-related infection in a Defence Force worker associated with a hotel border facility in Auckland (used for isolating known infected cases). "The genome sequencing we have conducted on Case A's test result shows a direct link to two travelers who are part of a family group in the quarantine facility".[41] The route of transmission to one of the community cases remains a mystery (albeit they worked in the same locality within Auckland City). Associated with these cases, the Prime Minister made statements around the need for further risk reduction.[42]
Traveler infectious after leaving a quarantine facility (January 2021)	1 traveler	A traveler was identified as being infectious in the community after leaving a quarantine facility (Pullman, Auckland). The traveler reportedly had the South African variant (lineage B.1.351) of the pandemic virus.[43] Genome sequencing has linked this case to another traveler who was in the same facility.[44] The transmission mechanism has not been precisely identified with investigations still proceeding as of mid-February 2021. However officials consider it likely to have been a separate transmission event to the one in the following row.
Travelers infectious after leaving a quarantine facility (January 2021)	2 travelers and a contact	Two travelers (a parent and child) were identified as infectious in the community after being infected with the South African variant (lineage B.1.351), of the pandemic virus with a link to a quarantine facility (Pullman, Auckland).[45] A close contact (the mother of the child) also became infected.[46] The transmission mechanism has not been precisely identified with investigations still proceeding as of mid-February 2021.

Approaches to managing hotel quarantine in Australia and New Zealand

Table A2 details the various approaches to hotel quarantine used in both countries. Key features of which are summarised below:

- The length of quarantine in all jurisdictions is 14 days.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- Almost all jurisdictions require at least two separate PCR tests for travelers: one shortly after arrival and another closer to the end of the quarantine period.
- Many jurisdictions have introduced or are considering additional tests for travelers, either earlier in (eg, Day 0/1 in New Zealand) or after (eg, Day 16 in New South Wales) the quarantine period. This is in response to concerns about new highly infectious variants in early 2021.
- Australia does not currently have a national strategy for quarantine.
- Detailed information on quarantine programs for each Australian state/territory is limited and in many cases we had to rely on news articles for additional details in compiling Table A2. Descriptions of PPE required for hotel quarantine staff in different jurisdictions were particularly difficult to identify and information often was not available by staff role (eg, security guards vs cleaners).

Appendix Table A2: Description of key infection control processes and surveillance at quarantine facilities in Australia and New Zealand as of 31 January 2021 (with the length of quarantine being 14 days in all jurisdictions)

Jurisdiction	PCR testing regimen for travelers	PCR testing regimen for staff	Mask requirements for travelers (outside of rooms)	Mask requirements for staff	Shared space restrictions	Comments and historical changes of note
New Zealand (NZ)	NZ requires evidence (with some exemptions) before boarding of an aircraft to NZ from most international locations of a COVID-19 pre-test of either a PCR (including RT-PCR), LAMP or antigen test taken 72 hours before scheduled departure (introduced between 15 and 25 January 2021).[47] NZ requires tests on days: 0/1 (most), 3 and 12.[48]	Weekly (nasopharyngeal swab) for some staff,[48] but with selected voluntary use of daily saliva (PCR) testing in selected locations. Staff transporting travelers to hotels are also subject to testing.[48]	Single-use face masks are provided to travelers and are to be used when outside of room.[48] They are required to be worn if someone enters a hotel room, such as during testing.	Surgical or procedure masks to be worn by staff if nearby travelers.[49] N95/P2 masks to be worn by staff when 2 meters physical distancing cannot been maintained by staff when nearby confirmed or probable cases of COVID-19 as of 26 November 2020.[50]	There are some restrictions, with travelers in some facilities required to book allocated times to access shared spaces – but there remain shared recreational, exercise, and smoking areas in most facilities.[48]	The testing process has changed over time with a day 0/1 test introduced in January 2021 for travelers from most countries (excluding those from some low-risk countries). On some occasions the infection control processes in the facilities were found to be suboptimal (eg, 19 mariners infected while in one facility[40]). Some facilities run daily bus trips to take travelers to outdoor exercise areas, a practice which has raised concerns from epidemiologists.
Australian States and Territories Australia requires evidence (with some exemptions) for boarding aircraft to Australia from most international locations of a negative COVID-19 PCR test taken 72 hours or less before scheduled departure (introduced on 22 January 2021).[51]						
Australian Capital Territory (ACT)	ACT requires tests between days 0-2 and between days 10-12.[52]	Asymptomatic staff to be tested every 7 days.[52]	Masks must be worn when opening hotel doors.[52] If sharing	Possible surgical mask use (as suggested by	Travelers are required to remain within their hotel room.[52]	To date, ACT has only accepted repatriation flights.[53]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Jurisdiction	PCR testing regimen for travelers	PCR testing regimen for staff	Mask requirements for travelers (outside of rooms)	Mask requirements for staff	Shared space restrictions	Comments and historical changes of note
			a hotel room and COVID-19 symptoms develop, a face mask must be worn inside the hotel room.[52]	photographic evidence).[53]		
Queensland	Tests required on days 2 and 11.[54]	Staff are required to have throat & nasal swabs or a saliva test within 7 days after commencing work at a facility, and then daily saliva testing, with rolling throat & nasal swabs every 7 days.[55]	Travelers are required to wear a face mask when traveling to a quarantine hotel until reaching the hotel room.[56] Travelers are also requested to wear marks where appropriate.[57]	Staff are required to wear PPE (including a surgical mask[57]) when entering a traveler's room to conduct COVID-19 tests.[58]	Travelers have no access to shared facilities and are required to remain within their allocated room.[58]	Testing clinicians 'may' ask travelers to wear 'appropriate PPE' when being tested for COVID-19.[58] Testing clinicians are advised not to enter a traveler's room unless there is no other way to collect specimen.[57]
New South Wales (NSW)	Tests required on days 2 and 12.[59]	PCR or saliva collection: Hotel staff in quarantine facility (effective 14 December 2020) and persons providing security services to quarantine facility (effective 11 January 2021); testing taken once per shift,	Travelers are typically not allowed outside of rooms.[61] Additional information was not available on mask requirements for travelers.	PPE (including a surgical mask) when conducting COVID-19 test,[62] cleaning rooms,[63] or transferring travelers and their luggage.[64]	No smoking, e-cigarette use, or outside exercise allowed while in hotel quarantine.[59 61]	In February 2021, NSW introduced a test for travelers on day 16.[24] However, this requirement was introduced after the 31 January 2021 date used for the data in this Table. Saliva swabs are also required from the following designated quarantine facility workers once per shift, taken during shift: NSW Police Officers (effective 20 January 2021), and Healthcare staff providing medical treatment or care to a person (effective 18 January 2021).[60] Transport workers

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Jurisdiction	PCR testing regimen for travelers	PCR testing regimen for staff	Mask requirements for travelers (outside of rooms)	Mask requirements for staff	Shared space restrictions	Comments and historical changes of note
		during shift.[60]				to quarantine hotels also receiving daily saliva testing from 21 January 2021.[65]
Northern Territory	At the Howard Springs quarantine facility travelers are tested upon arrival.[66] It remains unclear how many other COVID-19 tests are required and when.	Daily testing (nasal swab) of staff at Howard Springs quarantine facility.[67 68]	Masks provided to travelers, and must be worn when on verandah of allocated room, and when opening door of room, or going outside of room.[69]	Staff are required to wear PPE (including a surgical mask) when interacting with travelers, and are also required to be photographed in their PPE before starting a shift.[67]	There are balconies attached to each traveler's cabin for individual use, and also used during COVID-19 testing at the Howard Springs quarantine facility.[68] However, it is unclear whether reports of use of a swimming pool are correct.[66]	Managed quarantine is not undertaken in hotels and is closer to ideal in terms of ventilation and reduced shared space: *'In Darwin, rooms are single accommodation, with no internal connecting doors between the rooms. In Alice Springs families are accommodated in shared rooms, based on room availability at the time'.*[69] In some managed supervised quarantine locations, they do not allow for delivery services.[69] Movement of staff within the Howard Springs quarantine facility is restricted.[67] Staff buddy systems are in place, and careful monitoring of PPE use with photographs of PPE taken before each shift at Howard Springs quarantine facility.[68]
South Australia	Tests are required on days 0, 5, and 12.[70]	Staff are required to have nose and throat swabs once every 8 days.[71 72]	Masks must be worn when opening hotel doors.[70] Returned travelers are only allowed to leave their rooms in an emergency situation.	'Hotel staff must wear appropriate PPE and maintain at least 1.5 meters distance from guests at all times'.[73]	Travelers must remain within their hotel room.[70]	A requirement for a day 16 test for travelers after leaving hotel quarantine may be introduced in February 2021.[74] Additionally, as of 8 February 2021, after the 31 January 2021 date used for data in this table, hotel quarantine workers were required to have daily saliva tests.[74]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Jurisdiction	PCR testing regimen for travelers	PCR testing regimen for staff	Mask requirements for travelers (outside of rooms)	Mask requirements for staff	Shared space restrictions	Comments and historical changes of note
Tasmania	Tests are required on days 5 and 12.[75]	Staff must get tested every 5 to 8 days after their first shift, then tested every 5 to 8 days, until 14 days after their last shift.[76]	Required to wear a facemask when travelling to quarantine hotel and if leaving the hotel room for an approved purpose (such as seeking medical care) a face mask must be worn at all times (unless an exemption applies).[75]	Transport, security, and health officials involved in hotel quarantine will have 'appropriate PPE'.[77]	Travelers must remain within their hotel room.[75]	Hotel quarantine workers given wage supplements to prevent them taking second jobs in effort to reduce risk of COVID-19 transmission – December 2020.[78]
Victoria	Tests are required on days 3 and 11.[79]	Daily testing is required for staff.[15]	Travelers are not allowed to leave their rooms unless there is an emergency.[15] Travelers must wear masks when opening the doors to collect their food.	Full PPE is required for staff while on shift.	There are no shared spaces; returned travelers must remain in their rooms.[15]	After the significant failure that led to over 19,800 cases,(1, 2) and over 800 deaths, Victoria began welcoming returned travelers in December with a revamped hotel quarantine system which included a number of improvements, such as daily testing of staff, voluntary regular testing of family members of staff, advanced contact tracing, a ban on secondary face-to-face employment for key staff, strengthened PPE protocols, and dedicated 'health hotels' for positive and suspected cases and their close contacts.[15]
Western Australia	Tests are required within 24 hours of arrival and again on day 12.[80]	Staff must undergo a nose & throat PCR test every 7 days	The guidance book from 2020[80] does not mention mask use. Travelers	Hotel quarantine security staff in Perth are not	Individuals in quarantine are required to remain in their hotel	Previous PCR testing from 8 January 2021 for staff only required testing every 7 days and no daily mouth swab.[83] Certain hotel

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Jurisdiction	PCR testing regimen for travelers	PCR testing regimen for staff	Mask requirements for travelers (outside of rooms)	Mask requirements for staff	Shared space restrictions	Comments and historical changes of note
		along with a daily self-collected mouth swab PCR test, effective from 31 January 2021.[81]	must remain in their rooms.	required to wear masks.[82]	room; any exercise must be done inside the hotel room and no smoking is allowed in hotels.[80]	quarantine workers, including security guards, cleaners, and catering staff, have been given a 40% wage increase and are no longer allowed to hold a second job.[30]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Baker M, Wilson N, Blakely T. Elimination may be the optimal response strategy for covid-19 and other emerging pandemic diseases. *BMJ* 2020;371:m4907. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m4907.
2. World Health Organization. Considerations for quarantine of contacts of COVID-19 cases: Interim guidance. 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/considerations-for-quarantine-of-individuals-in-the-context-of-containment-for-coronavirus-disease-(covid-19)2020.
3. Ministry of Business Innovation & Employment. Managed isolation and quarantine data. New Zealand; 2021. Available from: https://www.mbie.govt.nz/business-and-employment/economic-development/covid-19-data-resources/managed-isolation-and-quarantine-data/ accessed 11 January 2021.
4. Ministry of Health. COVID-19: Case demographics 2021. [updated 20 January 2021. Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/diseases-and-conditions/covid-19-novel-coronavirus/covid-19-data-and-statistics/covid-19-case-demographics.
5. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Overseas Travel Statistics, Provisional (Released 18 January, 2021). https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/industry/tourism-and-transport/overseas-travel-statistics-provisional/latest-release.
6. Australian Department of Health. Coronavirus (COVID-19) common operating picture (Accessed 15 January 2020). Available from: https://www.health.gov.au/resources/collections/coronavirus-covid-19-common-operating-picture.
7. Judd B. Cap on international arrivals to Australia has been slashed. So what does it mean for those still overseas? *ABC News* 2021 (11 January). Available from: https://www.abc.net.au/news/2021-01-11/australia-international-arrival-caps-covid-19-flight-booked/13046728.
8. Northern Territory Government. Mandatory supervised quarantine for repatriated Australians. 2020. Available from: https://coronavirus.nt.gov.au/travel/quarantine/mandatory-supervised-quarantine-for-repatriated-australians accessed 12 January 2021.
9. Geoghegan JL, Ren X, Storey M, et al. Genomic epidemiology reveals transmission patterns and dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Nature communications* 2020;11(1):6351-7. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-20235-8
10. COVID research updates: 7 January — Evidence grows of a new coronavirus variant's swift spread. *Nature* 2021 (8 January) Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-00502-w.
11. Voysey M, Costa Clemens S, Madhi SA, et al. Single Dose Administration, And The Influence Of The Timing of The Booster Dose On Immunogenicity And Efficacy Of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) Vaccine. *The Lancet* (preprint posted 1 Feb 2021). Available from: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3777268
12. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2021;384(5):403-16. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2035389
13. Covid-19: Why Australia's quarantine rules are changing. *Radio New Zealand* 2021. Available from: https://www.rnz.co.nz/news/world/434278/covid-19-why-australia-s-quarantine-rules-are-changing.
14. Morrah M. Revealed: The strict new coronavirus rules for New Zealand's COVID-19 Defence Force staff. *Newshub* 2020 (25 November) Available from:

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

https://www.newshub.co.nz/home/new-zealand/2020/11/revealed-the-strict-new-coronavirus-rules-for-new-zealand-s-covid-19-defence-force-staff.html.

15. Premier of Victoria. A Stronger Quarantine Program To Protect What We've Built [press release]. 30 November 2020. Available from: https://www.premier.vic.gov.au/stronger-quarantine-program-protect-what-weve-built2020.
16. Swadi T, Geoghegan JL, Devine T, et al. Genomic Evidence of In-Flight Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Despite Predeparture Testing. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2021;27(3) doi: 10.3201/eid2703.204714 [published Online First: 2021/01/06]
17. Cheng D. Covid 19 coronavirus: Chafing under the rules - 76 bubble breaches in four months. *New Zealand Herald* 2020 (28 November) Available from: https://www.nzherald.co.nz/nz/covid-19-coronavirus-chafing-under-the-rules-76-bubble-breaches-in-four-months/5WLODXO7S4SBCZY7UWBWKSZOUI/.
18. Zhang L, Tao Y, Zhuang G, et al. Characteristics Analysis and Implications on the COVID-19 Reopening of Victoria, Australia. *The Innovation* 2020;1(3):100049. doi: 10.1016/j.xinn.2020.100049
19. Department of Health and Human Services State Government of Victoria. Tracking coronavirus in Victoria 2020; cited 2021. Available from: https://www.dhhs.vic.gov.au/tracking-coronavirus-victoria.
20. SA Health. COVID-19 update 22 December 2020 [press release]. 2020. Available from: https://www.sahealth.sa.gov.au/wps/wcm/connect/public+content/sa+health+internet/about+us/news+and+media/all+media+releases/covid-19+update+22+december+20202020.
21. Board of Inquiry into the COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program. COVID-19 Hotel Quaranting Inquiry Final Report and Recommendations, Volume 1: Victorian Government Printer, 2020.
22. Mercer P. Covid: Melbourne's hard-won success after a marathon lockdown. *BBC News* 2020 (26 October). Available from: www.bbc.com/news/world-australia-54654646.
23. Covid-19 coronavirus: Officials still searching for the source of Sydney outbreak. *NZ Herald* 2020. Available from: https://www.nzherald.co.nz/world/covid-19-coronavirus-officials-still-searching-for-source-of-sydney-outbreak/UCDN5SOFNOKFM232YTXG4AWDK4/.
24. NSW Health. Covid-19 weekly surveillance in NSW: Epidemiological week 52, ending 26 December 2020. 2021. Available from: https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/Infectious/covid-19/Documents/covid-19-surveillance-report-20201226.pdf2021.
25. Tsirtsakis A. Is it time for an national approach to hotel quarantine? *newsGP* 2020. Available from: https://www1.racgp.org.au/newsgp/clinical/is-it-time-for-a-national-approach-to-hotel-quaran.
26. Lathouris O. Berala cluster: Syney COVID outbreak linked to BWS where two infected staff worked throughout Christmas. *Nine News* 2021. Available from: https://www.9news.com.au/national/coronavirus-nsw-update-berala-bws-cluster-not-linked-to-avalon-sydney-northern-beaches-covid19-strain-genomic-testing-finds/26e4bfd0-df34-42d6-852c-5ac774bc8a11.
27. Queensland Health. Incident response set up following confirmation of Brisbane hotel cluster [press release]. 13 January 2021. Available from: https://www.health.qld.gov.au/news-events/doh-media-releases/releases/incident-response-set-up-following-confirmation-of-brisbane-hotel-cluster2021.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

28. Quarantine hotel worker tests positive to coronavirus in Brisbane. *ABC News* 2021. Available from: https://www.abc.net.au/news/2021-01-07/coronavirus-queensland-quarantine-hotel-worker-tests-positive/13034046.
29. Queensland Government. Greater Brisbane 3-day lockdown. 2021. Available from: https://www.qld.gov.au/health/conditions/health-alerts/coronavirus-covid-19/current-status/greater-brisbane-lockdown2021.
30. Manfield E. WA coronavirus hotel quarantine security companes agree to ban on second jobs. *ABC News* 2021 10 February. Available from: https://www.abc.net.au/news/2021-02-10/wa-hotel-quarantine-security-guards-to-end-second-jobs-next-week/13139172.
31. Hendrie D. Latest hotel quarantine leak proves 'we're not learning'. *news GP* 2021 1 February. Available from: https://www1.racgp.org.au/newsgp/clinical/latest-hotel-quarantine-leak-proves-we-re-not-lear.
32. Woodley M. National Cabinet strengthens Australia's border controls. *news GP* 2021 8 January. Available from: https://www1.racgp.org.au/newsgp/clinical/national-cabinet-strengthens-australia-s-border-co.
33. Ministry of Health. COVID-19: Source of cases 2021. [updated 20 January 2021. Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/diseases-and-conditions/covid-19-novel-coronavirus/covid-19-data-and-statistics/covid-19-source-cases.
34. Hadfield J, Douglas J, Geoghegan J, et al. Re-emergence of community transmission in Aotearoa New Zealand - Genomic overview of the Auckland Outbreak. (Accessed 28 October 2020). ESR. https://nextstrain.org/community/narratives/ESR-NZ/GenomicsNarrativeSARSCoV2/2020-10-01?n=5.
35. Ministry of Health. 1 case of COVID-19 in quarantine worker [press release]. New Zealand, 7 November 2020. Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/news-media/media-releases/1-case-covid-19-quarantine-worker2020.
36. Ministry of Health. 1 new case of COVID-19 [press release]. New Zealand, 14 September 2020. Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/news-media/media-releases/1-new-case-covid-19-182020.
37. Quinn R. Covid-infected nurse 'did everything right', report finds. *Radio New Zealand* 2020 (6 November) Available from: https://www.rnz.co.nz/news/national/429973/covid-infected-nurse-did-everything-right-report-finds.
38. Ministry of Health. No new cases of COVID-19 [press release]. New Zealand, 2 October 2020. Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/news-media/media-releases/no-new-cases-covid-19-502020.
39. Ministry of Health. 2 new cases of COVID-19 [press release]. New Zealand, 27 September 2020. Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/news-media/media-releases/2-new-cases-covid-19-212020.
40. Canterbury District Health Board. International Mariners Quarantine: Summary of official information request (page updated 22 January 2021). https://www.cdhb.health.nz/about-us/document-library/international-mariners-quarantine/.
41. Ministry of Health. 4 new cases of COVID-19 in managed isolation [press release]. New Zealand, 9 November 2020. Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/news-media/media-releases/4-new-cases-covid-19-managed-isolation2020.
42. Cheng D. Covid 19 coronavirus: Jacinda Ardern's immediate moves to minimise risk for MIQ workers. *New Zealand Herald* 2020 (9 November). Available from: https://www.nzherald.co.nz/nz/politics/covid-19-coronavirus-jacinda-arderns-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

immediate-moves-to-minimise-risk-for-miq-workers/ZGJEQB77N6Z3JIFUY3KMJJSETU/.

43. Ministry of Health. 2 cases of COVID-19 in managed isolation; update on Northland case [press release]. New Zealand, 26 January 2021. Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/news-media/media-releases/2-cases-covid-19-managed-isolation-update-northland-case2021.
44. Ministry of Health. Update on Northland case, and 6 cases of COVID-19 in managed isolation [press release]. New Zealand, 25 January 2021. Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/news-media/media-releases/update-northland-case-and-6-cases-covid-19-managed-isolation2021.
45. Ministry of Health. 3 new cases of COVID-19 at the border and an update on border-related cases in Auckland [press release]. New Zealand 28 January 2021. Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/news-media/media-releases/3-new-cases-covid-19-border-and-update-border-related-cases-auckland2021.
46. Ministry of Health. 7 new cases of COVID-19 [press release]. New Zealand, 4 February 2021. Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/news-media/media-releases/7-cases-covid-19.2021.
47. COVID-19 Ua. Pre-departure testing for arrivals into New Zealand: New Zealand Government; 2021. Available from: https://covid19.govt.nz/travel-and-the-border/travel-to-new-zealand/pre-departure-testing-for-arrivals-into-new-zealand/#approved-test-results-and-certificates accessed 10 February 2021.
48. Ministry of Business Innovation & Employment. Briefing for Incoming Minister COVID-19 Response: managed Isolation and Quaratine (MIQ) Wellington, New Zealand: New Zealand Government; 2020. Available from: https://www.beehive.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2020-12/MIQ.pdf accessed 16 February 2021.
49. Ministry of Business Innovation & Employment. Welcome Pack: Managed isolation facility for returnees to New Zealand Version 5.4 Wellington, New Zealand: New Zealand Government; 2020. Available from: https://www.miq.govt.nz/assets/MIQ-documents/miq-welcome-pack-english.pdf accessed 16 February 2021.
50. Ministry of Health. Further guidance on N95 masks for MIQ workers [press release]. Wellington, New Zealand 2020. Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/news-media/media-releases/further-guidance-n95-masks-miq-workers accessed 16 February 2021 Access 2020.
51. Department of Home Affairs. What I need to do before I travel: Australian Government; 2021. Available from: https://covid19.homeaffairs.gov.au/before-i-travel accessed 10 February 2021.
52. ACT Government. Quarantine Information for overseas travellers entering the ACT on government facilitated flights: ACT Government; 2021. Available from: https://www.covid19.act.gov.au/stay-safe-and-healthy/quarantine-and-isolation/Quarantine-Information-for-overseas-travellers-entering-the-ACT-on-government-facilitated-flights accessed 11 February 2021.
53. White D. ACT police investigating possible breach of hotel quarantine. *The Canberra Times* 2020 9 December. Available from: https://www.canberratimes.com.au/story/7048737/act-police-investigating-possible-breach-of-hotel-quarantine/.
54. Queensland Health. My 14 days in a Cairns quarantine hotel [press release]. 17 September 2020. Available from: https://www.health.qld.gov.au/cairns_hinterland/our-news/news-stories/what-is-it-actually-like-to-stay-in-a-quarantine-hotel-for-two-weeks2020.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

55. Queensland Health. Operational protocol for COVID-19 testing of quarantine facility workers Queensland: Queensland Government; 2021. Available from: https://www.health.qld.gov.au/system-governance/legislation/cho-public-health-directions-under-expanded-public-health-act-powers/covid-19-testing-for-quarantine-facility-workers-direction/operational-protocol2021.
56. Queensland Government. Face masks - coronavirus (COVID-19) Queensland: Queensland Government; 2021. Available from: https://www.qld.gov.au/health/conditions/health-alerts/coronavirus-covid-19/protect-yourself-others/face-masks accessed 11 February 2021.
57. Queenland Health. Infection control advice for specimen collection in hotel quarantine Queenland: Queensland Government; 2021. Available from: https://www.health.qld.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0014/1022018/infection-control-hotel-quarantine.pdf accessed 11 February 2021.
58. Queensland Government. Quarantine in government arranged accomodation Queensland: Queensland Government; 2021. Available from: https://www.qld.gov.au/health/conditions/health-alerts/coronavirus-covid-19/protect-yourself-others/quarantine/hotel-quarantine accessed 11 February 2021.
59. NSW Health. COVID-19: Information for people requiring hotel quarantine: NSW Government; 2021. Available from: https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/Infectious/factsheets/Pages/hotel-quarantine.aspx accessed 10 February 2021.
60. NSW Health. NSW hotel quarantine worker surveillance and testing program: NSW Government; 2021. Available from: https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/Infectious/covid-19/Pages/saliva-testing.aspx accessed 10 February 2021.
61. Davey M. Hotel quarantine a 'disaster' and vulnerable people should be allowed home, doctor says. *The Guardian* 2020 4 April. Available from: https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/apr/05/hotel-quarantine-a-disaster-and-vulnerable-people-should-be-allowed-home-doctor-says.
62. NSW Health. COVID-19: Updated advice for health professionals as of 3 February 2021 2021. Available from: https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/Infectious/covid-19/Pages/advice-for-professionals.aspx.
63. NSW Health. Guidance for managers and staff at hotels and other accomodation facilities hosting people in COVID-10 quarantine isolation 2021. [updated (24 August 2020). Available from: https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/Infectious/covid-19/Pages/hotels-accommodation.aspx.
64. Clinical Excellence Commission. PPE and Luggage Handling in Quarantine Hotels. Government N; 2020. Available from: https://www.cec.health.nsw.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0008/623933/COVID-19-IPC-PPE-and-Luggage-Handling-in-Quarantine-Hotels.pdf2020.
65. Scott S. NSW tightening protocols on hotel quarantine drivers to stop COVID-19 spread Australia: Australian Broadcasting Corporation; 2021. Available from: https://www.abc.net.au/news/2021-01-07/coronavirus-testing-for-hotel-quarantine-drivers-and-transport/13037400 accessed 10 February 2021.
66. Lackley B. Instagram vs reality: New images from inside Darwin's grim quarantine zone show how conditions at the facility are a far cry from celebrities' social media boasts - as other states push to use similar camps for returning travellers Australia: Daily Mail: Australia; 2021. Available from: https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-9149085/Inside-Howard-Springs-hotel-quarantine-facility-Darwin.html accessed 11 February 2021.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

67. Bardon J. Inside the Howard Springs quarantine facility Australia: ABC News; 2021. Available from: https://www.abc.net.au/news/2021-01-15/inside-the-howard-springs-quarantine-facility/13062406?nw=0 accessed 11 February 2021.
68. Bardon J. In Darwin's international arrival quarantine facility, strict daily routines stop COVID-19's spread Australia: ABC News; 2021. Available from: https://www.abc.net.au/news/2021-01-15/inside-darwin-covid-international-quarantine-landing-pad/13055008 accessed 11 February 2021.
69. Northern Territoty Government. Mandatory Supervised Quarantine Northern Territory: Northern Territory Government; 2020. Available from: https://coronavirus.nt.gov.au/travel/quarantine/mandatory-supervised-quarantine accessed 11 February 2021.
70. Government of South Australia. International Arrivals - Frequently Asked Questions South Australia: Government of South Australia. Available from: https://www.covid-19.sa.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0009/236853/20210125-International-Arrivals-FAQ.pdf accessed 11 February 2021.
71. Dornin T. SA begins daily testing for hotel workers: Victo Harbor Times; 2021. Available from: https://www.victorharbortimes.com.au/story/7116585/sa-begins-daily-testing-for-hotel-workers/?cs=9397 accessed 11 February 2021.
72. Government of South Australia. Emergency Management (Supervised Quarantine No 3) (COVID-19) Direction 2021, 2021.
73. South Australia Health. COVID-19 Accomodation Services Fact Sheet South Australia: Government of South Australia; 2021. Available from: https://www.sahealth.sa.gov.au/wps/wcm/connect/ffb5f26c-80cd-4284-abf8-ee78e49c58c7/Accommodation+services+fact+sheet+v1.4+%2818.01.2021%29+FINAL.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CACHEID=ROOTWORKSPACE-ffb5f26c-80cd-4284-abf8-ee78e49c58c7-nsy8oET accessed 11 February 2021.
74. 9News Staff. Changes to South Australia's hotel quarantine program come into force: 9News; 2021. Available from: https://www.9news.com.au/national/south-australia-hotel-quarantine-changes-saliva-test-for-workers/8da174f9-eec5-4ea3-a40f-f2a91554efec accessed 11 February 2021.
75. Tasmanina Department of Public Health. Quarantine Tasmania: Tasmanian Government; 2021. Available from: https://coronavirus.tas.gov.au/travellers-and-visitors/quarantine accessed 10 February 2021.
76. Tasmanian Department of Public Health. Testing for COVID-19 Tasmania: Tasmanian Government; 2021. Available from: https://coronavirus.tas.gov.au/keeping-yourself-safe/testing-for-covid19 accessed 11 February 2021.
77. Premier of Tasmania. Supporting Tasmanian quaratine hotel workers to keep our community safe [press release]. 6 December 2020. Available from: https://coronavirus.tas.gov.au/media-releases/supporting-tasmanian-quarantine-hotel-workers-to-keep-our-community-safe2020.
78. Holmes A. Hotel quarantine workers in Tasmania to be given wage supplements to avoid second jobs Tasmania: The Examiner; 202. Available from: https://www.examiner.com.au/story/7042686/wage-supplements-for-hotel-quarantine-workers/ accessed 10 February 2021.
79. Government of Victoria. Mandatory quarantine for returned overseas travellers 2021. [updated 19 January 2021. Available from: https://www.coronavirus.vic.gov.au/mandatory-quarantine-returned-overseas-travellers.
80. Department of Health. COVID-19 Information for travellers in self-isolation at hotels Western Australia: Government of Western Australia; 2020. Available from:

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251946; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

https://www.healthywa.wa.gov.au/-/media/Corp/Documents/Health-for/Infectious-disease/COVID19/COVID19-Information-for-travellers-in-self-isolation-at-hotels.pdf accessed 10 February 2021.

81. Department of Health. Testing Criteria for SARS-CoV-2 in Western Australia #24 Effective from 31 January 2021 Western Australia: Government of Western Australia; 2021. Available from: https://ww2.health.wa.gov.au/-/media/Corp/Documents/Health-for/Infectious-disease/COVID19/COVID19-Testing-Criteria-for-SARS-CoV-2-WA-25.pdf accessed 10 February 2021.
82. Hastie H. Security not required to wear masks on hotel quarantine floors with infected quests Sydney, Australia: The Sydney Morning Herald; 2021. Available from: https://www.smh.com.au/national/security-not-required-to-wear-masks-on-hotel-quarantine-floors-with-infected-guests-20210202-p56yvu.html accessed 10 February 2021.
83. Department of Health. Testing Criteria for SARS-CoV-2 in Western Australia #24 Effective from 8 January 2021 Western Australia: Government of Western Australia; 2021. Available from: https://ww2.health.wa.gov.au/-/media/Corp/Documents/Health-for/Infectious-disease/COVID19/COVID19-Testing-Criteria-for-SARS-CoV-2-WA.pdf accessed 10 February 2021 2021.