Genome-wide association studies of 27 accelerometry-derived physical activity

measurements identifies novel loci and genetic mechanisms

Guanghao Qi,[1]† Diptavo Dutta,[1]† Andrew Leroux,[1,2] Debashree Ray,[1,3] Ciprian Crainiceanu[1] and

Nilanjan Chatterjee[1,4]*

[1]Department of Biostatistics, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD

21205, USA

[2]Department of Biostatistics and Informatics, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

[3]Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore,

MD 21205, USA

[4]Department of Oncology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

21   †These authors contributed equally to this work

22   *Correspondence to Nilanjan Chatterjee (nilanjan@jhu.edu)

Abstract

Physical activity (PA) is an important risk factor for a wide range of diseases. Previous genome-wide association studies (GWAS), based on self-reported data or a small number of phenotypes derived from accelerometry, have identified a limited number of genetic loci associated with habitual PA and provided evidence for involvement of central nervous system in mediating genetic effects. In this study, we derived 27 PA phenotypes from wrist accelerometry data obtained from 93,745 UK Biobank study participants. Single-variant association analysis based on mixed-effects models and transcriptome-wide association studies (TWAS) together identified 6 novel loci that were not detected by previous studies. For both novel and previously known loci, we discovered associations with novel phenotypes including active-to-sedentary transition probability, light-intensity PA, activity during different times of the day and proxy phenotypes to sleep and circadian patterns. Follow-up studies indicated the role of the blood and immune system in modulating the genetic effects and a secondary role of the digestive and endocrine systems.

Introduction

Regular physical activity (PA) is associated with lower risk of a wide range of diseases, including cancer, diabetes, cardiovascular disease[1], Alzheimer's disease[2], as well as mortality[3,4]. However, studies have indicated that large majority of US adults and adolescents are insufficiently active[5], and thus PA interventions have great potential to improve public health. PA was shown to have a substantial genetic component, and understanding its genetic mechanism can inform the design of individualized interventions[6,7]. For example, people who are genetically pre-disposed to low PA may benefit more from early and more frequent guidance.

A number of previous genome-wide association studies (GWAS) on physical activity have relied on self-reported phenotypes, which are subject to perception and recall error[8–11]. Recently, wearable devices have been used extensively to collect physical activity data objectively and continuously for multiple days. To date, there have been two GWAS based on acceleromtery-derived activity phenotypes. Both studies used data from the UK Biobank study[12,13] but only focused on a few summaries of these high-density PA measurements. One study considered two accelerometry-derived phenotypes (average acceleration and fraction accelerations > 425 milli-gravities) and identified 3 loci associated with PA[11]. A second study used a machine learning approach to extract PA phenotypes, including overall activity, sleep duration, sedentary time, walking and moderate intensity activity[14]. This study identified 14 loci associated with PA and found that the central nervous system (CNS) plays an essential role in

modulating the genetic effects on PA. However, both studies used a small number of

phenotypes, which may not capture the complexity of PA patterns.

Recent studies suggest that in addition to the total volume of activity, other PA summaries may

be strongly associated with human health and mortality risk. For example, the transition

between active and sedentary states was strongly associated with measures of health and

mortality[4,15]. PA relative amplitude, a proxy for sleep quality and circadian rhythm, was strongly

associated with mental health[16]. Moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) and light intensity PA (LIPA)

have also been reported to be associated with health[17,18]. Thus, there is increasing evidence

that objectively measured PA in the free-living environment is a highly complex phenotype that

requires a large number of summaries that provide complementary information. Understanding

the genetic mechanisms behind these summaries is critical for understanding the genetic

regulation of activity behavior and informing targeted interventions.

In this paper, we conducted genome-wide association analysis using 27 accelerometry-derived

PA measurements from UK Biobank data[12,13]. The phenotypes cover a wide range of features

including volumes of activity, activity during different times of the day, active to sedentary

transition probabilities and various principal components (**Supplementary Table 1**). We

conducted GWAS using a mixed-model-based method, fastGWA[19], to identify variants

associated with the above phenotypes. We also conducted transcriptome-wide association

studies (TWAS)[20] across 48 tissues to identify genes and tissues harboring the associations. We

further conducted tissue-specific heritability enrichment[21,22], gene-set enrichment[23] and

genetic correlation[24] analyses to further reveal the underlying biological mechanisms. We

identified 6 novel loci associated with PA and showed that, in addition to the CNS, blood and

immune related mechanisms could play an important role in modulating the genetic effects on

activity, and digestive and endocrine tissues could play a secondary role.

Results

Genetic Loci Associated with Physical Activity

Single-variant genome-wide association analysis identified a total of 16 independent loci,

including five novel ones compared to previous studies (**Table 1 and Fig. 1**). The locus indexed

by single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs301799 on chromosome 1 was associated with total

log acceleration (TLA) between 6pm to 8pm, representing early evening activity. Three novel

loci were discovered on chromosome 3: the locus indexed by rs3836464 was associated with

active-to-sedentary transition probability (ASTP); the locus indexed by rs9818758 was

associated with relative amplitude, which is a proxy sleep behavior and circadian rhythm[25]; the

locus indexed by insertion-deletion (INDEL) 3:131647162_TA_T (no rsid available) was

associated with TLA 2am-4am which is a proxy phenotype for activity during sleep. LIPA

appeared to be associated with other SNPs near 3:131647162_TA_T but not the lead variant

itself, indicating multiple independent signals at the same locus (**Fig. 1**). Another locus indexed

by rs2138543 is associated with TLA 6am-8am which represents early morning activity, and the

second principal component of log-acceleration (PC2), for which the interpretation is less clear

(**Table 1**).

Fig. 1 Manhattan plot for 18 traits that are significantly associated with at least one variant at

$\boldsymbol{p < 2.63 \times 10^{-9}}$ **in single-variant analysis.** The red dashed line is $p = 2.63 \times 10^{-9}$

accounting for the number of independent traits. The blue dashed line is the standard genome-

wide significance threshold $p = 5 \times 10^{-8}$. Five novel loci that have not been discovered in

previous GWAS of physical activity, sleep duration and circadian rhythm are circled out (see

Table 1).

Table 1. Significant loci associated with physical activity in single-variant analysis.

Lead variant[a]	Chromosome region	Base pair	Minor allele	Major allele	Minor allele frequency	Significantly associated traits and p-values[c]	Previous studies that discovered the locus
Novel loci[b]							
rs301799	1p36.23	8489302	C	T	0.422	TLA 6pm-8pm (1.7e-09)	
rs3836464	3p25.3	10454772	CA	C	0.274	ASTP (2.2e-09)	
rs9818758	3p21.31	49382925	A	G	0.171	Relative amplitude (2.1e-09)	NA
3:131647162_TA_T	3q22	131647162	T	TA	0.466	TLA 2am-4am (2.2e-09)	
rs2138543	12q12	39298423	A	T	0.477	TLA 6am-8am (3.9e-11), PC2 (1.5e-09)	
Known loci							
rs1144566	1q25.3	182569626	T	C	0.030	Timing of L5 (5e-10)*	4
rs113851554	2p14	66750564	T	G	0.050	TLA 12am-2am (6.7e-37)*, TLA 2am-4am (7.9e-39)*, L5 (1.3e-33)*, Relative amplitude (6.9e-15)*, Timing of L5 (5.4e-22)*	1,4
rs2909950	5q33.1	151886147	A	G	0.418	TLA 6pm-8pm (9.4e-10)*	4
rs12717867	5q33.1	152412845	G	A	0.453	LIPA (6.2e-10)*	4
rs9369062	6p21.2	38437303	C	A	0.292	TLA 12am-2am (1.5e-12)*, TLA 2am-4am (2.3e-10)*	4
rs2006810	7q11.22	69902152	C	T	0.395	TLA 8pm-10pm (8.1e-11)*	1,4
rs1268539	9q33.3	128195657	A	C	0.419	TLA (1.1e-10), LIPA (3.2e-10)*	1
rs564819152	10p12.31	21820650	G	A	0.320	TLA 8am-10am (1.4e-09)*	1,3
rs12927162	16q12.2	52684916	G	A	0.277	TLA 10pm-12am (1e-09)*	4
rs2532402	17q21.31	44304130	G	C	0.221	TLA (1.5e-12), MVPA (1.9e-10)	1,2,3,4
rs3837946	19p13.2	9955920	TTTTG	T	0.475	TLA (1.3e-11), LIPA (1.6e-09)*	1,3

[a]Significant variants (single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) or insertion/deletion) are defined as those with fastGWA p-value $< 2.63 \times 10^{-9}$ (Bonferroni corrected for 19 independent traits). LD clumping was performed at $r^2 < 0.1$ and lead variants of different loci were required to be >500kb apart.

[b]A locus is defined as novel if its lead variant is >500kb from the lead variant of any loci identified in one of the previous GWAS: 1) Doherty et al study on a smaller set of accelerometry-based physical activity[14]; 2) Klimentidis et al study on self-reported and accelerometry based physical activity[11]; 3) Dashti et al study on self-reported sleep duration[30]; 4) Jones et al study on circadian rhythm[26]. Loci that were discovered by the four above studies are marked with 1, 2, 3 and 4 in the last column, respectively. Novel phenotypes associated with known loci are marked with *.

[c]TLA: total log-acceleration. ASTP: active-to-sedentary transition probability. MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity. PC2: second principal component. LIPA: light-intensity physical activity.

Our analysis also identified novel phenotypes for several known loci (**Table 1**). The strongest

signal was seen for the locus indexed by rs113851554 which is associated with multiple sleep

and circadian rhythm proxy phenotypes including TLA 12am-2am ($p = 6.7 \times 10^{-37}$), TLA 2am-

4am ($p = 7.9 \times 10^{-39}$), average log acceleration during the least active 5 hours of the day (L5,

$p = 1.3 \times 10^{-33}$), timing of L5 ($p = 5.4 \times 10^{-22}$) and PA relative amplitude ($p = 6.9 \times 10^{-15}$).

This locus was previously identified to be associated with accelerometry-derived sleep duration

in UK Biobank[14]. Among other known loci, 5 were only discovered in the GWAS of self-reported

circadian rhythm[26] but not in the other studies considered (**Table 1**, last column). In our

analysis, the loci indexed by rs1144566, rs9369062 and rs12927162 were associated with sleep

proxy phenotypes including timing of L5, TLA 12am-2am, TLA 2am-4am and TLA 10pm-12am.

Two other loci, indexed by rs2909950 and rs12717867, were associated with TLA 6pm-8pm and

LIPA, respectively.

Transcriptome-Wide Association Study and Colocalization Analysis

We performed transcriptome-wide association studies (TWAS) [20,27] for each PA trait based on

gene expression data across 48 tissues available through GTEx (version 7)[28]. Our analysis

identified 15 loci (**Table 2, Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 2**) with significant association in at least

one trait-tissue pair analysis after correcting for multiple testing (Benjamini-Hochberg corrected

p-value < 2.5×10^{-6}, see **Methods**). We identified a novel locus and an underlying index

pseudogene *PDXDC2P (16q22.1)*, the expression of which in esophagus mucosa and EBV

transformed lymphocytes appeared to be genetically associated with TLA 6am-8am (**Fig. 2**). The

locus was not previously reported by any prior GWAS and were not close to any of the 5 novel

regions detected by our single variants analysis (**Table 2**).

The TWAS analysis also identified novel PA phenotypes, potential target genes and underlying

tissues for many of the known loci or novel loci detected through single variant analysis (**Table**

2). Consistent with a previous study[14], the TWAS analysis showed that genetic association for

PA traits often points towards involvement of CNS (**Table 2**). Further, our analysis indicates

consistent involvement of blood and immune, digestive and endocrine systems in modulating

the genetic effects on PA. Among the 15 loci significant in TWAS analysis, the lead genes of 4

loci were significantly associated with PA phenotypes via the blood and immune tissues. For

example, the genetically predicted expression of *PBX3* and *KANSL1* in the blood and immune

tissues were each associated with 3 PA phenotypes. The genes associated with PA via blood and

immune tissues were also associated via digestive (e.g., esophagus mucosa) and/or endocrine

(e.g., thyroid) tissues, but only one of them overlapped with the 9 genes that were associated

with PA phenotypes through the CNS (**Table 2**). Another locus, represented by *C3orf62*, were

associated with PA relative amplitude only via the digestive and endocrine tissues but not the

blood/immune tissues or CNS. These findings suggested that the genetic regulation of PA

occurs via at least two different pathways: a primary pathway involving the CNS (brain in

particular) and a secondary pathway involving the blood/immune system and, potentially, the

digestive and endocrine systems.

Fig. 2 TWAS Manhattan plots for two tissues that harbor the novel TWAS locus represented

by *PDXDC2P*. The figure shows -log10 p-value for all the genes expressed in esophagus mucosa

(digestive, upper panel) or EBV-transformed lymphocyte cells (blood/Immune, lower panel) as

reported in GTEx v7. Significant genes with FDR corrected p-values$< 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ are circled (see

Methods for details). Only the PA traits that are significantly associated with at least one variant

at $p < 2.63 \times 10^{-9}$ in single-variant analysis are shown.

Table 2. Significant loci associated with physical activity identified in TWAS.

Most significant gene[a]	CHR	Gene start	Gene end	Locus	Minimum p-value across traits and tissues	Secondary genes in the locus with comparable associations[c]	Trait and tissue[d]	Previous studies that discovered the locus
Novel loci[b]								
PDXDC2P	16	70069541	70098679	16q22.1	1.81E-09	-	TLA 6am-8am (Blood/Immune, Digestive)	NA
Known loci								
RERE†	1	8412457	8877702	1p36.23	5.28E-09	*ENO1-IT1, RP5-1115A15.1†*	TLA 6pm-8pm (Digestive, Other, Blood/Immune)	4,GWAS
RN7SKP16	1	33802167	33802465	1p35.1	2.72E-09	-	MVPA (CNS)	3
RP5-1160K1.6	1	110171118	110171939	1p13.3	7.68E-10	-	PC2 (CNS)	4
C3orf62†	3	49306219	49315263	3p21.31	3.28E-10	*RP11-694I15.7, ARIH2, DAG1, SLC25A20*	Relative amplitude (Digestive, Endocrine)	4,GWAS
CTC-467M3.3	5	87988462	87989789	5q14.3	1.39E-08	-	ASTP (CNS)	1,4
NMUR2	5	151771093	151812929	5q33.1	8.88E-10	-	TLA 6pm-8pm (CNS, Other)	4,GWAS
PBX3†	9	128509624	128729656	9q33.3	3.04E-10	*MAPKAP1*	LIPA (Blood/Immune, Digestive, Endocrine, Other); SATP (Blood/Immune, Other, Digestive, Endocrine); TLA (Blood/Immune, Other, Digestive, Endocrine)	1,GWAS
DNAJC1	10	22045466	22292698	10p12.31	3.20E-09	*CASC10†*	TLA 8am-10am (CNS)	1,3,GWAS
RP11-396F22.1†	12	39300253	39303394	12q12	9.89E-10	-	Timing of M10 (CNS), PC2 (CNS, Other), TLA 6am-8am (CNS, Other)	3,4,GWAS
FMNL1	17	43299590	43324633	17q21.31	2.82E-09	*CTD-2020K17.1*	TLA (CNS)	1,2,3,4
KANSL1	17	44107282	44302733	17q21.31	2.17E-13	*KANSL1-AS1, RP11-798G7.8*	MVPA (CNS, Blood/Immune, Other, Digestive, Musculoskeletal/connective); TLA 4pm-6pm (Blood/Immune, Other, Digestive); TLA (Adipose, CNS, Blood/Immune, Other, Digestive, Cardiovascular, Musculoskeletal/connective, Endocrine)	1,2,3,4,GWAS
ZNF846	19	9862669	9903856	19p13.2	2.22E-10	*OLFM2, PIN1, CTD-2623N2.3*	TLA (Other)	1,3,4,GWAS
JUND	19	18390563	18392432	19p13.11	3.18E-09	-	SATP (Other)	4
LINC00634	22	42348169	42354937	22q13.2	4.85E-11	-	TLA (CNS)	4

[a]For each gene, we apply Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) correction across all trait-tissue pairs. Significant genes are defined as those with BH corrected p-value $< 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$. Significant genes are clustered using an approach similar to LD clumping so that different loci, marked by the transcription start site (TSS) of the lead gene, are >1Mb apart. Gene symbols are italicized. † Genes of which the eQTL signal colocalizes with GWAS signal of the most significantly associated phenotype.

[b]A locus is defined as novel if its cis region ($\pm$1Mb from TSS) does not harbor a variant reported in Table 1 or any of the four previous studies considered in Table 1. Loci that were discovered by the above studies are marked with 1, 2, 3 and 4 in the last column, respectively. Loci that were discovered by our single-variant analysis (Table 1) are marked with "GWAS" in the first column.

[c]Other genes in the cluster with minimum p-value less that 10 times the minimum p-value of the lead gene are shown in column "Secondary genes in the locus with comparable associations". See Supplementary Table 2 for all the significant tissue-trait pairs.

[d]CNS: central nervous system. TLA: total log-acceleration. ASTP: active-to-sedentary transition probability. SATP: sedentary-to-active transition probability. MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity. PC2: second principal component.

Several genes that were found to be significantly associated to specific PA traits in our TWAS

analysis, were also found to be highly overlapping with genes that were previously reported to

be associated with various traits and diseases including but not limited to neuropsychiatric

diseases, behavioral traits, anthropometric traits and autoimmune diseases (**Supplementary**

Fig. 1). For example, we found that the genes associated with TLA across different tissues, are

enriched for genes that have been associated with neuroticism, bipolar disorder, Parkinson's

disease, cognitive function and several others indicating the putative involvement of the CNS in

the genetic mechanism of TLA. Additionally, the genes associated with relative amplitude

overlapped highly with those associated with several autoimmune diseases like inflammatory

bowel disease, ulcerative colitis in addition to different behavioral and cognitive traits

(**Supplementary Fig. 1**). These results further supported the possible involvement of both CNS

as well as the blood and immune system in the genetic mechanism of PA traits.

We performed a colocalization analysis to gain further insights on the tissue specific activity of

the significant genetic loci. Among the 16 loci significantly associated with PA, 9 loci colocalized

with the eQTL signals for at least one gene and one tissue with a colocalization probability

(PP4) > 0.8 (**Supplementary Table 3**). Colocalization occurred in a similar set of tissues as those

that harbored the TWAS associations (**Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3**), namely the CNS,

blood and immune, digestive and endocrine tissues, and also in a number of cardiovascular

tissues that were not highlighted by TWAS. Among the 15 lead genes for TWAS significant loci,

the eQTL signal of 4 genes (*RERE, C3orf62, PBX3* and *RP11-396F22.1*) colocalized with PA GWAS

signal in at least one tissue. Colocalization also occurred in two other secondary genes (*RP5-*

1115A15.1 and *CASC10*).

Fig. 3 Tissue-specific heritability enrichment p-values for traits with significant enrichment at

FDR < 0.05 in blood and immune tissues. The analysis was conducted using tissue/cell type

specific stratified LD score regression based on 6 chromatin-based annotations in 111 tissues

and cell types described in Finucane et al, Nature Genetics 2018 (PMID: 29632380). Each dot

corresponds to an annotation in a tissue or cell type. A complete list of tissue and cell types is

provided in Supplementary Table 7 of the above paper. Black line corresponds to FDR < 0.05 (-

log(p-value)=3.83) across all combinations of trait, tissue, and histone mark. Red line

corresponds to p = 0.05. See Supplementary Fig. 4 for the enrichment p-values for the rest of

the traits. CNS: central nervous system.

Analysis of Heritability and Co-Heritability

Our fastGWA analysis estimated genome-wide heritability of PA phenotypes as an intermediate

output. The estimates appeared to be dependent on the sparsity level of the genetic

relationship matrix (**Supplementary Fig. 2**). We chose the results under the lower cutoff (0.02)

since it captured more subtle relatedness and should give more accurate heritability estimates.

The estimates of heritability varied across different PA phenotypes. A number of traits were

estimated to have higher heritability than others, including TLA (0.15), TLA 6pm-8pm (0.15),

MVPA (0.14) (**Supplementary Fig. 2**). Afternoon and pre-sleep evening activity (TLA 4pm to

12am) appeared to be more heritable than morning activity (TLA 2am to 12pm). As could be

expected, phenotypes with higher heritability tend to have a higher average χ^2 statistic for

genetic associations, and a QQ plot which deviate further from the null line (**Supplementary**

Fig. 3).

We further used stratified LD-score regression for partitioning heritability by functional

annotations of genome[21,22]. Consistent with TWAS findings, this analysis also indicated possible

role for blood and immune system in addition to CNS for genetic regulation of PA (**Fig. 3**). In

particular, heritabilities for both TLA and LIPA were enriched for DNase I hypersensitivity sites

(DHS) in primary B cells from peripheral blood and that for TLA 12pm-2pm were enriched for

H3K27ac in spleen. We also found potential enrichment in other traits, though they were not

significant after FDR adjustment. For example, for TLA 8am-10am, MVPA, and ASTP the

heritability enrichment in active chromatin regions of blood/immune tissues were all close to

being statistically significant (**Supplementary Fig. 4**).

We further used LD score regression[24,29] to explore genetic correlation between PA phenotypes

and four broad groups of complex traits and diseases (**Supplementary Fig. 5 and**

Supplementary Table 4). Genetic correlations were identified (FDR < 10%) between PA

phenotypes and: (1) neurological, psychiatric and cognitive traits, including Alzheimer's disease

(AD), attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), depressive symptoms, intelligence, and

neo-conscientiousness; (2) auto-immune diseases, with the strongest correlation for multiple

sclerosis and weaker correlations for Crohn's disease and primary billary cirrhosis; (3) obesity-

related anthropometric traits and (4) cholesterol levels. These results broadly supported our

previous results indicating the role of CNS and blood/immune related mechanisms in the

genetics of PA traits.

Discussion

In summary, our study provided novel insights to genetic architecture of physical activity

through genome-wide association analysis of an extensive set of accelerometry based PA

phenotypes, derived in the UK biobank study, and a series of follow-up genomic analyses. We

identified a total of six novel loci, most of which were associated with PA phenotypes not

considered in previous studies [11,14,26,30]. Our analysis also identified novel phenotypes

associated with the known loci. Further, we provided multiple independent lines of evidence

that genetic mechanisms for association for PA involve the blood and immune system, which

can be potential targets for developing future interventions.

Compared to the 15 loci identified by the two previous GWASs on accelerometry-based PA [11,14],

the novel loci we discovered have increased the number of PA susceptibility loci by 40%. Most

of the novel loci were connected to the expression of genes, pseudogenes or long non-coding

RNAs (lncRNA, **Table 1 and 2**). The novel locus index by rs301799 overlapped with the TWAS

locus indexed by *RERE* (**Table 1 and 2**). The *RERE* gene was shown to be important for early

development of brain, eyes, inner ear, heart and kidneys, which could have complex effects on

an individual's ability to perform physical activity [31]. The novel locus indexed by rs9818758

overlaps with the TWAS locus index by *C3orf62*. Though it was unclear how *C3orf62* is involved

in PA, two secondary genes in the locus, *ARIH2* and *DAG1* (**Table 2**), appeared to be involved in

relevant biological processes. *ARIH2* was found to be essential for embryogenesis by regulating

the immune system[32]; *DAG1* was found to play a role in the regeneration of skeletal muscles[33].

Another two novel loci were connected to pseudogene *PDXDC2P* and lncRNA *RP11-396F22.1* of

which the function is less clear and may be worth future lab investigation.

The novel phenotypes in this study provided important insights into the genetic architecture of

PA, which may have been overlooked by previous GWASs on a small number of phenotypes.

The accelerometry-based study by Doherty et al identified the genetic associations with overall

activity, sleep duration and sedentary time[14]; the study by Klimentidis et al studied the average

acceleration and the duration of active states[11]. Our results found that there can be different

genetic architecture for PA during different times of the day, and there can be unique variants

that only affect certain PA patterns, like ASTP, LIPA and relative amplitude, but not others

(**Table 2**). The heritability and genetic correlation can also vary across different PA phenotypes

(**Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 5**).

TWAS and tissue-specific heritability enrichment analysis suggested that in addition to the CNS,

the blood and immune system could be also associated with PA. This finding was further

supported by colocalization, gene-set enrichment and genetic correlation analyses. A previous

study[14], which explored enrichment of heritability for PA traits by tissue-specific gene

expression patterns, identified potential modulating role of the CNS, adrenal/pancreatic and

skeletal muscle tissues. Our study, which used a more extended set of phenotypes and

chromatin-state-based annotations, confirmed previous findings and further highlighted the

role of the blood and immune system. Previous medical literature has established the effect of

PA on immune functions. A study showed that higher PA is associated with elevation of T-

regulatory cells and lower risk for autoimmune diseases[34]. Multiple studies showed that regular

moderately intense PA boost immune functions in older adults and protects against age-related

inflammatory disorders[35–37]. Our analysis suggested the link between PA and immune functions

in the genetic pathways and future studies are needed to better understand the underlying

mechanisms and causal directions.

In addition to the blood and immune system, TWAS and enrichment analysis also suggested

that the digestive system and endocrine system could be involved in modulating the genetic

effects on PA. A previous study found that PA has complex effects on gastroinstestinal health[38]:

acute strenuous activity may provoke gastrointestinal symptoms while low-intensity activity

could have benefits. Interestingly, three TWAS loci that were significant in digestive tissues

were associated with PA phenotypes that are proxies for meal-time activity: *PDXDC2P* with TLA

6am-8am, *RERE* with TLA 6pm-8PM and *KANSL1* with TLA 4pm-6pm (**Table 2**). It was also known

that multiple organs in the endocrine system produce hormones that regulate physiological

functions of the body, which can have complex bidirectional relationships with PA[39–43]. Our

TWAS analysis indicated that the genes associated with PA via the blood and immune system

tended to also be associated with the digestive and endocrine systems, but do not usually

overlap with the genes associated with the CNS. This suggests that the blood and immune,

digestive and endocrine systems may be involved in the same broad pathway that affects PA,

which is different from that of CNS.

This study has a number of limitations. Though we derived a more extensive set of PA

phenotypes than previous studies, information was still lost when collapsing a 7-day continuous

times series of wrist accelerometry into 31 PA phenotypes. The ideal approach would be to

conduct a GWAS utilizing all the information across the 7 days of accelerometry measurements.

Results could outline genetic regulation of a continuous course of PA over time. The current

analysis of TLA during 12 non-overlapping two-hour time intervals during the day, indicated

that different genetic variants may affect PA during different times of the day (**Tables 1 and 2**).

Another limitation is that some of the phenotypes are not directly interpretable. For example,

the PCs of log acceleration are less interpretable than other phenotypes, such as TLA and ASTP.

However, they do reflect important features of physical activity and warrant further

investigations. A potential solution is to obtain proxy measurements that are interpretable and

highly correlated with PC scores.

In conclusion, we conducted association studies on a wide range of PA phenotypes and

identified 6 novel loci associated with PA. We found that in addition to the CNS, the blood and

immune system may also play an important role in the genetic mechanisms of PA, and the

digestive and endocrine systems could also be involved in the blood and immune pathway.

Materials and Methods

Study Cohort and Physical Activity Phenotypes

The UK Biobank study consists of ~500,000 individuals in the United Kingdom with

comprehensive genotype and phenotype data[13]. We used a subset of the 103,712 individuals

who were invited and agreed to participate in the accelerometry sub-study where participants

wore a wrist-worn accelerometer for up to 7 days[12]. Accelerometry data from participants are

available at multiple resolutions. Here, the individual-specific set of accelerometry-based

phenotypes was derived from the five-second level acceleration data provided by the UK

Biobank team. Individuals were screened for poor quality data using indictors provided by the

UK Biobank. In addition, we required individuals to have at least 3 days (12am-12am) of

sufficient wear time defined as estimated wear time greater than 95% of the day (>= 1368

minutes). Our inclusion criteria for this analysis closely mirrors that described in a related paper

from our group[44] with the exception that we did not exclude participants younger than 50 at

the time of accelerometer wear or based on missing demographic and lifestyle data and instead

excluded individuals based on ancestry and genotype data (see subsection *Genotype Data*

below).

Physical activity phenotypes were all calculated at the day level and then averaged within study

participants across days to obtain one measure for each phenotype and study participant. This

led to in 31 PA phenotypes for 93,745 study participants that covered a wide spectrum of

information: 1) total volume of activity (total acceleration (TA), total log acceleration (TLA)); 2)

activity during 12 disjoint two-hour windows of the day (TLA 12am-2am, TLA 2am-4am, …, TLA

10pm-12am); 3) duration of sedentary state (ST), LIPA and MVPA; 4) PA principal components

(PC1-6); 5) active-to-sedentary transition probability (ASTP) and sedentary-to-active transition

probability (SATP); 6) proxy phenotypes for circadian patterns, including dynamic activity ratio

estimate (DARE), activity during the most active 10 hours (M10) and least active 5 hours (L5) of

the day, timing of M10 and L5, and PA relative amplitude. They included most of the

phenotypes used in the previous PA association studies as well (See **Supplementary Table 1** for

details)[11,14]. The exact procedure for deriving study participant-specific phenotypes is described

in detail in the supplemental material of the related paper from our group[44]. The phenotypes

were inverse-normal transformed

370
$$INT(y_i) = \Phi^{-1}\left[\frac{rank(y_i)-k}{n-2k+1}\right], \quad k = 3/8,$$

where the transformed variables have mean 0 and variance 1.

Removing Highly Correlated Phenotypes

Some of the initial 31 PA phenotypes were highly correlated (**Supplementary Fig. 6**). To avoid

counting similar phenotypes multiple times, if two phenotypes had correlation > 0.8 we

removed one of them. First, we removed total acceleration (TA), duration of sedentary state

(ST), PC1 and M10 due to their high correlation with total log acceleration (TLA). TLA was

retained as the main metric for the total volume of activity. Most previous studies used TA

instead of TLA as the main metric for the volume of activity. However, the distribution of TA is

highly skewed, which could lead to lower power for association testing and instability of

findings due to dependence on extreme observations. In total, 4 phenotypes were removed

and 27 PA phenotypes were retained for the association analysis.

Genotype Data

The imputed genotype data for ~93 million variants, using UK10K, 1000 Genomes (Phase 3) and

Haplotype Reference Consortium as reference panel, provided by UK Biobank were used and

merged with the PA phenotype data. We excluded study participants according to the following

criteria: 1) non-white ancestry; 2) putative sex chromosome aneuploidy; 3) an excessive

number of relatives (more than 10 putative third-degree relatives in the kinship table); 4)

sample was not in the input for phasing of chr1-chr22. After applying these exclusion criteria

the sample was further reduced to 88,411 study particiants for downstream analysis.

We conducted variant quality control to ensure that genetic variants with poor genotyping

quality do not affect the results. Specifically, variants that satisfy any of the following criteria

were removed: 1) imputation INFO score < 0.8; 2) MAF < 0.01; 3) Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium

(HWE) p-value $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$; 4) missing in more than 10% study participants. After the filtering,

8,951,705 variants remained for downstream analysis, of which 8,067,228 (90.1%) were single

nucleiotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and the rest (9.9%) were insertion-deletions (INDELs).

Association Analysis

We used a fast mixed-effects model method, fastGWA[19], for genome-wide association analysis.

Like other mixed-effects model methods, fastGWA allows the inclusion of related and unrelated

individuals but improves computational efficiency by incorporating a sparse genetic relationship

matrix (GRM). The GRM measures the genetic similarity between individuals and each element

is the correlation of genotypes between a pair of individuals. We constructed the GRM using

LD-pruned variants that had MAF > 5% and were present in HapMap3 (LD-pruning was done in

PLINK using the following set up as recommended in Jiang et al [19]: window size = 1000Kb, step-

size = 100 and r^2 = 0.9). We further computed a sparse-GRM at sparsity level 0.05 to capture

the genetic relatedness between the closely related individuals only and reduced others to

zero. We used the Haseman-Elston regression to estimate the variance of the random effects as

an intermediate step of fastGWA. This approach is orders of magnitude faster than the previous

state-of-the-art, BOLT-LMM[45,46].

Models were adjusted for age, sex and the first 20 genetic principal components as covariates.

Because the PA phenotypes are correlated, principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted

on the phenotypes to estimate the number of independent phenotypes before setting the

GWAS significance threshold. At least 19 phenotype PCs were needed to explain 99% percent of

the PA phenotypic variance (**Supplementary Fig. 7**). Variants with p-value below the threshold

$5 \times 10^{-8}/19 = 2.63 \times 10^{-9}$ were declared to be statistically significant, which accounted for

the number of independent phenotypes. LD clumping was conducted based on the minimum p-

value across phenotypes. The requirements for the lead SNPs of different loci were to have

$r^2 < 0.1$ and be at least > 500kb apart.

A locus was defined as novel if its lead variant is > 500kb from the lead variant of any known

loci discovered by the following GWASs on PA, sleep, and circadian rhythm: (1) Doherty et al

study on a smaller set of accelerometry-derived PA phenotypes[14]; (2) Klimentidis et al study on

self-reported and accelerometry-derived PA[11]; (3) Dashti et al study on self-reported sleep

duration[30]; (4) Jones et al study on circadian rhythm[26].

Transcriptome-wide association studies (TWAS) were conducted using the FUSION R program[20]

with reference models generated from 48 tissues of GTEx v7[28]. TWAS analysis was limited to

the 18 traits with at least one genome-wide significant variant ($p < 2.63 \times 10^{-9}$). Multiple

testing due to the large number of tissue-trait combinations (48*18=864) was addressed by a

two-stage adjustment approach: 1) for each variant, the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) adjustment

was applied across all tissue-trait pairs; 2) each variant with BH-adjusted p-value 2.5×10^{-6}

was then identified (accounting for 20,000 protein-coding genes). Since there can be multiple

genes in close proximity to each other, to identify independent loci detected by TWAS analysis,

genes were clustered based on significant associations. A clumping approach was used, which

selected the gene with the smallest minimum p-value across tissue-trait pairs and removed the

other genes with a transcription start site (TSS) within 1Mb of the lead gene TSS. The process

continued by identifying the gene with the next smallest minimum p-value and iterating. The

only exception was when the lead gene of the cluster was not a protein-coding gene (e.g.,

pseudogene, lncRNA) and a protein-coding gene was in the cluster. In this case the protein-

coding gene with the smallest minimum p-value was identified as the lead gene. This led to

independent gene clusters at genomic loci which were least 1Mb apart, i.e., none of the lead

gene TSS is within the cis region of another lead gene.

Enrichment Analysis

Stratified LD score regression[21,22] was used to identify the tissues and genomic annotations

enriched by the heritability for PA. For tissue specific analysis, chromatin-based annotations

were used as derived from the ENCODE and Roadmap data[47,48] by Finucane et al[21]. The

annotations were based on narrow peaks of DNase I hypersensitivity site (DHS) and five

activating histone marks (H3K27ac, H3K4me3, H3K4me1, H3K9ac and H3K36me3) observed for

111 tissues or cell types, resulting in a total of 489 annotations. Stratified LD score regression

computes the heritability attributed to each annotation and computes a coefficient and a p-

value that characterize enrichment.

In a separate analysis, the enrichment of TWAS signals was evaluated among the genes that

have been reported to be associated to different traits, using FUMA[23,49]. For a given PA trait, we

defined a gene-set as the genes that were significant at an exome-wide level ($p < 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$)

and investigated whether these genes overlapped with the genes that have been mapped to

genome-wide significant variants for different traits as reported in GWAS catalog[50]. The

collection of such genes have been detailed in Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB)[51]. We

used FUMA to compute the proportion of genes related to other diseases and traits that were

also identified by our TWAS analysis and computed enrichment p-values using the Fisher's exact

test.

Colocalization Analysis

For each susceptibility locus of PA (**Table 1**), colocalization analysis was conducted between its

most significantly associated phenotype and eQTL effects on gene expression in 48 tissues in

GTEx v7[28]. SNPs within +-200kb radius of the lead SNP were used and genes that had at least

one significant eQTL (q-value < 0.05) in the region were considered. Analysis was conducted

using the R package COLOC [52] and GWAS and eQTL effects were identified as being colocalized

if PP4 > 0.8.

Heritability and Genetic Correlation Analysis

Heritability of activity phenotypes was estimated using Haseman-Elston regression as an

intermediate output of fastGWA[19]. Our fastGWA analysis computed sparse GRM at sparsity

level 0.05 as recommended by the fastGWA paper (see "Association analysis"). However, this

cutoff may miss the subtle relatedness in the sample and affect heritability estimate. As a

sensitivity analysis, we re-estimated the heritability using a lower sparsity threshold at 0.02 to

capture more subtle relatedness. The genetic correlation between 18 PA traits and 238 complex

traits and diseases was estimated using LD score regression[24] implemented in LD Hub[53]. In

particular, we focused on four broad groups of traits and diseases (A) cholesterol levels (B)

anthropometric traits (C) autoimmune disease and (D) miscellaneous traits including

psychiatric, neurological, cognitive and personality traits. For each trait and within each

category, we applied a false discovery rate correction to the p-values corresponding to the

genetic correlation estimated using LD score regression, to account for multiple testing. Any

genetic correlation with FDR-adjusted p-value less that 10% were declared as significant.

Data Availability

Data supporting the findings of this paper are available upon application to the UK Biobank

study (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/). The summary statistics will be made publicly available

upon publication.

Acknowledgements

The UK Biobank data was accessed via application ID 17712. Research of Drs. Guanghao Qi,

Diptavo Dutta and Nilanjan Chatterjee was supported by an R01 grant from the National

Human Genome Research Institute [1 R01 HG010480-01].

507 Competing Interests

508 Dr. Ciprian Crainiceanu is consulting with Bayer and Johnson and Johnson on methods

509 development for wearable devices in clinical trials. The details of the contracts are disclosed

510 through the Johns Hopkins University eDisclose system and have no direct or apparent

511 relationship with the current paper. The other authors declare no conflict of interest.

513 Ethics Statement

515 Approval was granted by the UK Biobank study under application ID 17712 to use the data in

516 the present work. UK Biobank has ethical approval from the North West Multi-Centre Research

517 Ethics Committee (approval number 16/NW/0274). All participants provided informed consent

518 to participate.

520 Web Resources

UK Biobank: https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/

fastGWA software: https://cnsgenomics.com/software/gcta/#fastGWA

FUSION TWAS software: http://gusevlab.org/projects/fusion/

COLOC R package: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/coloc/index.html

LD score regression software: https://github.com/bulik/ldsc

LD Hub: http://ldsc.broadinstitute.org/ldhub/

FUMA GWAS: https://fuma.ctglab.nl/

PLINK: https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink/2.0/

Molecular Signatures Database: http://www.broadinstitute.org/msigdb

References

1.   Kyu, H. H., Bachman, V. F., Alexander, L. T., Mumford, J. E., Afshin, A., Estep, K., Veerman,

J. L., Delwiche, K., Iannarone, M. L., Moyer, M. L., Cercy, K., Vos, T., Murray, C. J. &

Forouzanfar, M. H. Physical activity and risk of breast cancer, colon cancer, diabetes,

ischemic heart disease, and ischemic stroke events: systematic review and dose-response

meta-analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *BMJ* **354**, i3857 (2016).

2.   Rovio, S., Kåreholt, I., Helkala, E. L., Viitanen, M., Winblad, B., Tuomilehto, J., Soininen, H.,

Nissinen, A. & Kivipelto, M. Leisure-time physical activity at midlife and the risk of

dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet Neurol* **4**, 705-711 (2005).

3.   Smirnova, E., Leroux, A., Cao, Q., Tabacu, L., Zipunnikov, V., Crainiceanu, C. & Urbanek, J.

The predictive performance of objective measures of physical activity derived from

accelerometry data for 5-year all-cause mortality in older adults: NHANES 2003-2006. *J*

Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci (2019).

4.   Leroux, A., Di, J., Smirnova, E., Mcguffey, E. J., Cao, Q., Bayatmokhtari, E., Tabacu, L.,

Zipunnikov, V., Urbanek, J. K. & Crainiceanu, C. Organizing and analyzing the activity data

in NHANES. *Stat Biosci* **11**, 262-287 (2019).

5.   Piercy, K. L., Troiano, R. P., Ballard, R. M., Carlson, S. A., Fulton, J. E., Galuska, D. A.,

George, S. M. & Olson, R. D. The Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. *JAMA* **320**,

2020-2028 (2018).

6.   Lightfoot, J. T., DE Geus, E. J. C., Booth, F. W., Bray, M. S., DEN Hoed, M., Kaprio, J., Kelly,

S. A., Pomp, D., Saul, M. C., Thomis, M. A., Garland, T. & Bouchard, C. Biological/Genetic

Regulation of Physical Activity Level: Consensus from GenBioPAC. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **50**,

863-873 (2018).

7.   Moore-Harrison, T. & Lightfoot, J. T. Driven to be inactive? The genetics of physical

activity. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci* **94**, 271-290 (2010).

8.   De Moor, M. H., Liu, Y. J., Boomsma, D. I., Li, J., Hamilton, J. J., Hottenga, J. J., Levy, S., Liu,

X. G., Pei, Y. F., Posthuma, D., Recker, R. R., Sullivan, P. F., Wang, L., Willemsen, G., Yan, H.,

De Geus, E. J. & Deng, H. W. Genome-wide association study of exercise behavior in Dutch

and American adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **41**, 1887-1895 (2009).

9.   Hara, M., Hachiya, T., Sutoh, Y., Matsuo, K., Nishida, Y., Shimanoe, C. et al. Genomewide

Association Study of Leisure-Time Exercise Behavior in Japanese Adults. *Med Sci Sports*

Exerc **50**, 2433-2441 (2018).

10.   Kim, J., Min, H., Oh, S., Kim, Y., Lee, A. H. & Park, T. Joint identification of genetic variants

for physical activity in Korean population. *Int J Mol Sci* **15**, 12407-12421 (2014).

11. Klimentidis, Y. C., Raichlen, D. A., Bea, J., Garcia, D. O., Wineinger, N. E., Mandarino, L. J.,

Alexander, G. E., Chen, Z. & Going, S. B. Genome-wide association study of habitual

physical activity in over 377,000 UK Biobank participants identifies multiple variants

including CADM2 and APOE. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **42**, 1161-1176 (2018).

12. Doherty, A., Jackson, D., Hammerla, N., Plötz, T., Olivier, P., Granat, M. H., White, T., van

Hees, V. T., Trenell, M. I., Owen, C. G., Preece, S. J., Gillions, R., Sheard, S., Peakman, T.,

Brage, S. & Wareham, N. J. Large Scale Population Assessment of Physical Activity Using

Wrist Worn Accelerometers: The UK Biobank Study. *PLoS One* **12**, e0169649 (2017).

13. Bycroft, C., Freeman, C., Petkova, D., Band, G., Elliott, L. T., Sharp, K., Motyer, A., Vukcevic,

D., Delaneau, O., O'Connell, J., Cortes, A., Welsh, S., Young, A., Effingham, M., McVean, G.,

Leslie, S., Allen, N., Donnelly, P. & Marchini, J. The UK Biobank resource with deep

phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature* **562**, 203-209 (2018).

14. Doherty, A., Smith-Byrne, K., Ferreira, T., Holmes, M. V., Holmes, C., Pulit, S. L. & Lindgren,

C. M. GWAS identifies 14 loci for device-measured physical activity and sleep duration.

Nat. Commun. **9**, 5257 (2018).

15. Schrack, J. A., Kuo, P. L., Wanigatunga, A. A., Di, J., Simonsick, E. M., Spira, A. P., Ferrucci,

L. & Zipunnikov, V. Active-to-Sedentary Behavior Transitions, Fatigability, and Physical

Functioning in Older Adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* **74**, 560-567 (2019).

16. Rock, P., Goodwin, G., Harmer, C. & Wulff, K. Daily rest-activity patterns in the bipolar

phenotype: A controlled actigraphy study. *Chronobiol Int* **31**, 290-296 (2014).

17.   McGregor, D. E., Palarea-Albaladejo, J., Dall, P. M., Stamatakis, E. & Chastin, S. F. M.

588   Differences in physical activity time-use composition associated with cardiometabolic

589   risks. *Prev Med Rep* **13**, 23-29 (2019).

590   18.   Young, D. R. & Haskell, W. L. Accumulation of Moderate-to-Vigorous Physical Activity and

591   All-Cause Mortality. *J Am Heart Assoc* **7**, (2018).

592   19.   Jiang, L., Zheng, Z., Qi, T., Kemper, K. E., Wray, N. R., Visscher, P. M. & Yang, J. A resource-

593   efficient tool for mixed model association analysis of large-scale data. *Nat. Genet.* **51**,

594   1749-1755 (2019).

595   20.   Gusev, A., Ko, A., Shi, H., Bhatia, G., Chung, W., Penninx, B. W. et al. Integrative

596   approaches for large-scale transcriptome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 245-

597   252 (2016).

598   21.   Finucane, H. K., Reshef, Y. A., Anttila, V., Slowikowski, K., Gusev, A., Byrnes, A. et al.

599   Heritability enrichment of specifically expressed genes identifies disease-relevant tissues

600   and cell types. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 621-629 (2018).

601   22.   Finucane, H. K., Bulik-Sullivan, B., Gusev, A., Trynka, G., Reshef, Y., Loh, P. R. et al.

602   Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genome-wide association summary

603   statistics. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 1228-1235 (2015).

604   23.   Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and

605   annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1826 (2017).

606   24.   Bulik-Sullivan, B., Finucane, H. K., Anttila, V., Gusev, A., Day, F. R., Loh, P. R., ReproGen, C.,

607   Psychiatric, G. C., Genetic, C. F. A. N. O. T. W. T. C. C. C., Duncan, L., Perry, J. R., Patterson,

N., Robinson, E. B., Daly, M. J., Price, A. L. & Neale, B. M. An atlas of genetic correlations

across human diseases and traits. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 1236-1241 (2015).

25. Rock, P., Goodwin, G., Harmer, C. & Wulff, K. Daily rest-activity patterns in the bipolar

phenotype: A controlled actigraphy study. *Chronobiol Int* **31**, 290-296 (2014).

26. Jones, S. E., Lane, J. M., Wood, A. R., van Hees, V. T., Tyrrell, J., Beaumont, R. N. et al.

Genome-wide association analyses of chronotype in 697,828 individuals provides insights

into circadian rhythms. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 343 (2019).

27. Gamazon, E. R., Wheeler, H. E., Shah, K. P., Mozaffari, S. V., Aquino-Michaels, K., Carroll, R.

J., Eyler, A. E., Denny, J. C., GTEx, C., Nicolae, D. L., Cox, N. J. & Im, H. K. A gene-based

association method for mapping traits using reference transcriptome data. *Nat. Genet.* **47**,

1091-1098 (2015).

28. GTEx Consortium. Genetic effects on gene expression across human tissues. *Nature* **550**,

204-213 (2017).

29. Bulik-Sullivan, B. K., Loh, P. R., Finucane, H. K., Ripke, S., Yang, J., Schizophrenia, Working

Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Patterson, N., Daly, M. J., Price, A. L. &

Neale, B. M. LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-

wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 291-295 (2015).

30. Dashti, H. S., Jones, S. E., Wood, A. R., Lane, J. M., van Hees, V. T., Wang, H. et al. Genome-

wide association study identifies genetic loci for self-reported habitual sleep duration

supported by accelerometer-derived estimates. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1100 (2019).

31.   Fregeau, B., Kim, B. J., Hernández-García, A., Jordan, V. K., Cho, M. T., Schnur, R. E. et al.

De Novo Mutations of RERE Cause a Genetic Syndrome with Features that Overlap Those

Associated with Proximal 1p36 Deletions. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **98**, 963-970 (2016).

32.   Lin, A. E., Ebert, G., Ow, Y., Preston, S. P., Toe, J. G., Cooney, J. P., Scott, H. W., Sasaki, M.,

Saibil, S. D., Dissanayake, D., Kim, R. H., Wakeham, A., You-Ten, A., Shahinian, A., Duncan,

G., Silvester, J., Ohashi, P. S., Mak, T. W. & Pellegrini, M. ARIH2 is essential for

embryogenesis, and its hematopoietic deficiency causes lethal activation of the immune

system. *Nat. Immunol.* **14**, 27-33 (2013).

33.   Cohn, R. D., Henry, M. D., Michele, D. E., Barresi, R., Saito, F., Moore, S. A., Flanagan, J. D.,

Skwarchuk, M. W., Robbins, M. E., Mendell, J. R., Williamson, R. A. & Campbell, K. P.

Disruption of DAG1 in differentiated skeletal muscle reveals a role for dystroglycan in

muscle regeneration. *Cell* **110**, 639-648 (2002).

34.   Sharif, K., Watad, A., Bragazzi, N. L., Lichtbroun, M., Amital, H. & Shoenfeld, Y. Physical

activity and autoimmune diseases: Get moving and manage the disease. *Autoimmun Rev*

17, 53-72 (2018).

35.   Dhalwani, N. N., O'Donovan, G., Zaccardi, F., Hamer, M., Yates, T., Davies, M. & Khunti, K.

Long terms trends of multimorbidity and association with physical activity in older English

population. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **13**, 8 (2016).

36.   Duggal, N. A., Niemiro, G., Harridge, S. D. R., Simpson, R. J. & Lord, J. M. Can physical

activity ameliorate immunosenescence and thereby reduce age-related multi-morbidity.

Nat Rev Immunol **19**, 563-572 (2019).

37.   Vancampfort, D., Koyanagi, A., Ward, P. B., Rosenbaum, S., Schuch, F. B., Mugisha, J.,

650   Richards, J., Firth, J. & Stubbs, B. Chronic physical conditions, multimorbidity and physical

651   activity across 46 low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **14**, 6

652   (2017).

653   38.   Peters, H. P., De Vries, W. R., Vanberge-Henegouwen, G. P. & Akkermans, L. M. Potential

654   benefits and hazards of physical activity and exercise on the gastrointestinal tract. *Gut* **48**,

655   435-439 (2001).

656   39.   Ciloglu, F., Peker, I., Pehlivan, A., Karacabey, K., Ilhan, N., Saygin, O. & Ozmerdivenli, R.

657   Exercise intensity and its effects on thyroid hormones. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett* **26**, 830-834

658   (2005).

659   40.   Hawkins, V. N., Foster-Schubert, K., Chubak, J., Sorensen, B., Ulrich, C. M., Stancyzk, F. Z.,

660   Plymate, S., Stanford, J., White, E., Potter, J. D. & McTiernan, A. Effect of exercise on

661   serum sex hormones in men: a 12-month randomized clinical trial. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*

662   **40**, 223-233 (2008).

663   41.   Ennour-Idrissi, K., Maunsell, E. & Diorio, C. Effect of physical activity on sex hormones in

664   women: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Breast*

665   *Cancer Res* **17**, 139 (2015).

666   42.   Alessa, H. B., Chomistek, A. K., Hankinson, S. E., Barnett, J. B., Rood, J., Matthews, C. E.,

667   Rimm, E. B., Willett, W. C., Hu, F. B. & Tobias, D. K. Objective Measures of Physical Activity

668   and Cardiometabolic and Endocrine Biomarkers. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **49**, 1817-1825

669   (2017).

43.   Hackney, A. C. & Saeidi, A. The thyroid axis, prolactin, and exercise in humans. *Curr Opin*

Endocr Metab Res **9**, 45-50 (2019).

44.   Leroux, A., Xu, S., Kundu, P., Muschelli, J., Smirnova, E., Chatterjee, N. & Crainiceanu, C.

Quantifying the Predictive Performance of Objectively Measured Physical Activity on

Mortality in the UK Biobank. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* (2020).

45.   Loh, P. R., Tucker, G., Bulik-Sullivan, B. K., Vilhjálmsson, B. J., Finucane, H. K., Salem, R. M.,

Chasman, D. I., Ridker, P. M., Neale, B. M., Berger, B., Patterson, N. & Price, A. L. Efficient

Bayesian mixed-model analysis increases association power in large cohorts. *Nat. Genet.*

47, 284-290 (2015).

46.   Loh, P. R., Kichaev, G., Gazal, S., Schoech, A. P. & Price, A. L. Mixed-model association for

biobank-scale datasets. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 906-908 (2018).

47.   ENCODE, Project Consortium. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human

genome. *Nature* **489**, 57-74 (2012).

48.   Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium, Kundaje, A., Meuleman, W., Ernst, J., Bilenky, M., Yen,

A. et al. Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature* **518**, 317-330

(2015).

49.   Watanabe, K., Umićević Mirkov, M., de Leeuw, C. A., van den Heuvel, M. P. & Posthuma,

D. Genetic mapping of cell type specificity for complex traits. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 3222

(2019).

50.   Buniello, A., MacArthur, J. A. L., Cerezo, M., Harris, L. W., Hayhurst, J., Malangone, C. et al.

The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted

arrays and summary statistics 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D1005-D1012 (2019).

51.   Liberzon, A., Subramanian, A., Pinchback, R., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Tamayo, P. & Mesirov, J.

693   P. Molecular signatures database (MSigDB) 3.0. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1739-1740 (2011).

694   52.   Giambartolomei, C., Vukcevic, D., Schadt, E. E., Franke, L., Hingorani, A. D., Wallace, C. &

695   Plagnol, V. Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic association studies

696   using summary statistics. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1004383 (2014).

697   53.   Zheng, J., Erzurumluoglu, A. M., Elsworth, B. L., Kemp, J. P., Howe, L., Haycock, P. C.,

698   Hemani, G., Tansey, K., Laurin, C., Early Genetics and Lifecourse Epidemiology (EAGLE)

699   Eczema Consortium, Pourcain, B. S., Warrington, N. M., Finucane, H. K., Price, A. L., Bulik-

700   Sullivan, B. K., Anttila, V., Paternoster, L., Gaunt, T. R., Evans, D. M. & Neale, B. M. LD Hub:

701   a centralized database and web interface to perform LD score regression that maximizes

702   the potential of summary level GWAS data for SNP heritability and genetic correlation

703   analysis. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 272-279 (2017).

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Fig. 1 Gene-set enrichment analysis for the significant genes in TWAS analysis for (A) TLA and (B) relative amplitude. We use TLA and relative amplitude as examples because they capture two most important patterns of PA: total volume of activity and sleep quality. Genes previously reported to be associated to different traits and diseases as curated in the GWAS catalog were defined as gene-sets (see Methods). The genes associated to (A) TLA and (B) relative amplitude (p-value $< 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$) in transcriptome-wide analysis were checked for enriched overlap with the gene-sets curated from GWAS catalog for each trait (additionally reported in Molecular Signatures database).

Supplementary Fig. 2 Estimates of heritability of 27 physical activity traits using Haseman-Elston regression and sparse genetic relationship matrix (GRM). a) Cutoff at 0.05: correlations that are < 0.05 in the GRM are reduced to zero, as is in our fastGWA analysis and recommended by the fastGWA paper. b) Cutoff at 0.02: correlations that are < 0.02 in the GRM are reduced to zero.

Supplementary Fig. 3 QQ plot for the p-values from fastGWA analysis for 27 activity phenotypes. The average χ^2 statistic is annotated at the top left corner of each panel.

Supplementary Fig. 4 Tissue-specific heritability enrichment for traits not included in Figure 3. The analysis was conducted using tissue/cell type specific stratified LD score regression (Finucane et al, Nat Genet 2018, PMID 29632380) based on 6 chromatin-based annotations in 111 tissues and cell types. A complete list of tissue and cell types is provided in Supplementary Table 7 of the above paper. Black line corresponds to FDR < 0.05 (-log(p-value)=3.83) across all combinations of trait, tissue, and histone mark. Red line corresponds to p = 0.05. The analysis was conducted using tissue/cell type specific stratified LD score regression (Finucane et al, 2018).

Supplementary Fig. 5 Genetic correlation of 18 PA traits with other traits: (A) cholesterol levels (B) anthropometric traits (C) autoimmune diseases and (D) miscellaneous traits including psychiatric, neurological, cognitive and personality traits. The PubMed ID's for the corresponding for the GWAS summary statistics are in parentheses. The size of the circle and the darkness of the color represents the genetic correlation value. The asterisk sign represents if the corresponding genetic correlation was significant at an FDR correction threshold of 10% (corrected within the respective category). For genetic correlation value and p-values across all 237 traits analyzed, see Table S4.

Supplementary Fig. 6 Correlation matrix for 31 physical activity phenotypes. Correlation coefficients > 0.8 or < -0.8 are marked with *.

Supplementary Fig. 7 Number of phenotypic PCs and cumulative proportion of variance explained. At least 19 PCs are needed to explain 99% of the variance (red dashed line).