

1 **Performing arts as a health resource? An umbrella review of the health impacts of music**
2 **and dance participation**

3

4 *Short Title:* An umbrella review of the health impacts of music and dance participation

5

6 J. Matt McCrary, PhD^{1,2}

7 Professor Emma Redding, PhD³

8 Professor Eckart Altenmüller, MD¹

9 1. Institute for Music Physiology and Musicians' Medicine, Hannover University for Music,
10 Drama and Media; Hannover, Germany

11

12 2. Prince of Wales Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine, University of New South Wales;
13 Sydney, Australia

14

15 3. Division of Dance Science, Faculty of Dance, Trinity Laban Conservatoire of Music and
16 Dance; London, UK

17

18 Corresponding Author:

19 J. Matt McCrary

20 Institute for Music Physiology and Musicians' Medicine

21 Hannover University for Music, Drama and Media

22 Neues Haus 1

23 30175 Hannover

24 Germany

25 j.matt.mccrary@gmail.com

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 ***Abstract***

35 An increasing body of evidence notes the health benefits of arts engagement and participation.
36 However, specific health effects and optimal modes and ‘doses’ of arts participation remain
37 unclear, limiting evidence-based recommendations and prescriptions. The performing arts are the
38 most popular form of arts participation, presenting substantial scope for established interest to be
39 leveraged into positive health outcomes. Results of a three-component umbrella review
40 (PROSPERO ID #: CRD42020191991) of relevant systematic reviews (33), epidemiologic
41 studies (9) and descriptive studies (87) demonstrate that performing arts participation is broadly
42 health promoting activity. Beneficial effects of performing arts participation were reported in
43 healthy (non-clinical) children, adolescents, adults, and older adults across 17 health domains (9
44 supported by moderate-high quality evidence (*GRADE criteria*)). Positive health effects were
45 associated with as little as 30 (*acute effects*) to 60 minutes (*sustained weekly participation*) of
46 performing arts participation, with drumming and both expressive (*ballroom, social*) and
47 exercise-based (*aerobic dance, Zumba*) modes of dance linked to the broadest health benefits.
48 Links between specific health effects and performing arts modes/doses remain unclear and
49 specific conclusions are limited by a still young and disparate evidence base. Further research is
50 necessary, with this umbrella review providing a critical knowledge foundation.

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 **1. Introduction**

59 Participation and receptive engagement in the arts are increasingly recognized as being
60 health promoting, most notably in recent reviews,[1] policy briefs,[2] and social prescribing
61 initiatives.[3] However, the widespread integration of the arts into healthcare and public health
62 practices is limited by a disparate evidence base; the specifics of the most effective arts
63 interventions – namely the mode and ‘dose’ (frequency and timing/duration) – for various
64 clinical and public health scenarios are still unclear.[4] Consequently, formulation of evidence-
65 based arts prescriptions and recommendations is presently difficult.[4]

66 Participation in the performing arts is reported to be the most popular, with up to 40%
67 annual participation among EU and US adults.[5, 6] Within the performing arts, music and
68 dance participation are the two most popular modes of engagement and the focus of this
69 review;[7] ‘performing arts participation’ will be used to refer, jointly, to music and dance
70 participation from this point forward.

71 Performing arts participation is particularly intriguing in a health context in that it
72 combines creative expression with intrinsic levels of physical exertion associated with many
73 health benefits (e.g. moderate – vigorous intensity cardiovascular demands).[8] Both creative arts
74 and physical activity have been independently linked to broad health benefits,[1, 9, 10] albeit
75 with a more robust body of evidence supporting the substantial and widespread health impact of
76 physical activity – primary and/or secondary prevention for at least 25 chronic medical
77 conditions and a 9-39% reduction in overall mortality risk.[10-12] Performing arts and exercise/
78 physical activity participation are distinguished by a distinctly expressive, rather than exertive,
79 focus of the performing arts; exertion is an intrinsic byproduct, not an objective. Accordingly,
80 the health impact of performing arts participation must be evaluated using frameworks that allow

81 performing arts to remain a primarily expressive activity, but also consider the likely impact of
82 intrinsic physical exertion.

83 Evidence regarding the full breadth of health impacts of performing arts participation, as
84 well as the modes and doses underpinning these effects, has yet to be compiled, critically
85 appraised and analyzed using a common framework. This umbrella review aims to address this
86 knowledge gap by systematically reviewing and appraising evidence regarding the health effects
87 of performing arts participation in healthy (non-clinical) adults, adolescents and children. A
88 secondary aim of this review is to compile data regarding the intrinsic physical intensity of
89 varying modes of performing arts participation to inform hypotheses related to relationships
90 between physical intensity and observed effects.

91

92 **2. Methods**

93 *2.1 Review Registration*

94 This review was prospectively registered in the PROSPERO registry (ID: CRD42020191991).

95

96 *2.2 Overview*

97 Following informal literature searches, the authors made an a priori decision that an integrated,
98 three component umbrella review would most effectively address study aims:

- 99 1) A systematic review of systematic reviews of the health benefits of performing arts
100 participation;
- 101 2) A systematic review of observational studies investigating the impact of performing arts
102 participation on mortality and non-communicable disease risk;
- 103 3) A systematic review of studies of heart rate responses to performing arts participation.

104 Search terms and inclusion/exclusion criteria for each component are described below. All
105 components involved searches of MEDLINE (*all fields, English & human subjects limiter*),
106 EMBASE (*all fields, English & human subjects limiter*), SPORTDiscus (*all fields, English*
107 *limiter*), and Web of Science (*Arts & Humanities citation index; all fields; English limiter*) from
108 inception – 15 June 2020. Abstracts of all database search results were screened, followed by full
109 text review of potentially relevant articles. Hand searches of the reference lists of included
110 articles were also conducted to locate additional relevant articles. The review procedure was
111 conducted by the first author in consultation with the authorship team.

112
113 Across all components, ‘music participation’ was defined as singing or playing a musical
114 instrument. ‘Dance participation’ was broadly defined as an activity involving “moving one’s
115 body rhythmically...to music,”[13] with an additional criterion that included articles must
116 identify the investigated activity as ‘dance.’ To distinguish performing arts from exercise
117 participation, articles investigating music and dance participation conducted with an exertive aim
118 (i.e. target heart rate/rating of perceived exertion) were excluded.

119
120 *2.3 Systematic review of systematic reviews of the health benefits of performing arts*
121 *participation*

122 *2.3.1 Database search terms*

123 Database searches were performed using the following search terms and a ‘Reviews’ limiter
124 where available: ((music* OR danc* OR performing art* OR choir OR choral) AND
125 (psycholog* OR biochem* OR immun* OR cognit* OR physical OR health)).

126

127 2.3.2 *Inclusion/exclusion criteria*

128 Inclusion criteria were systematic reviews examining the health effects of active performing arts
129 participation in healthy adults, adolescents or children. A ‘systematic review’ was defined based
130 on Cochrane definitions[14] as a review conducted using explicit, reproducible methodology and
131 aiming to comprehensively synthesize all available relevant evidence. Exclusion criteria were
132 assessed at the primary study level within relevant reviews: 1) studies with qualitative data only;
133 2) studies in which performing arts participation was conducted with a target exercise intensity
134 or heart rate – these studies were judged to evaluate exercise, rather than performing arts
135 participation; 3) studies of long-term dance or music interventions in experienced dancers or
136 musicians; 4) single-group observational studies characterizing experienced dancers or
137 musicians.

138

139 Systematic reviews including a mixture of primary studies meeting and not meeting
140 inclusion/exclusion criteria were included if:

141 ☐ The majority (>50%) of included studies examined active performing arts
142 participation in healthy populations and met no exclusion criteria (*reviews containing*
143 *quantitative synthesis/meta-analysis*)

144 OR

145 ☐ The results of primary studies of active participation in healthy populations meeting
146 no exclusion criteria could be extracted and re-synthesized for the purposes of this
147 review (*reviews containing narrative synthesis*).

148

149

150 *2.3.3 Data extraction*

151 Demographic and outcome data were extracted for all included reviews and their underlying
152 primary studies meeting inclusion criteria and no exclusion criteria. For each outcome, the effect
153 of performing arts participation was determined to be ‘positive’, ‘negative’, ‘no effect’, or
154 ‘unclear’.

155

156 *2.3.4 GRADE Quality of evidence appraisal*

157 The GRADE system was favored for this review because of its alignment with review aims and
158 applicability to systematic reviews of systematic reviews;[14] GRADE is specifically “designed
159 for reviews...that examine alternative management strategies.”[15] The GRADE system results
160 in an appraisal of the quality of evidence supporting conclusions related to each outcome of
161 interest—very low; low; moderate; high. Specific criteria and appraisal methodology are detailed
162 in the Supplementary Appendix.

163

164 *2.3.5 Evidence synthesis*

165 To minimize the biasing effects of overlapping reviews, all outcomes from primary studies
166 included in multiple reviews were only considered once. One outcome (flexibility – sit & reach)
167 from one primary study was included in multiple meta-analyses and thus considered twice.[16]
168 Common outcomes were first combined and assigned a grouped health effect and GRADE
169 appraisal at the review level. Outcomes and GRADE appraisals were then combined across
170 reviews and assigned a health effect and GRADE appraisal at the umbrella review level. Where
171 appropriate, outcome results were stratified by music/dance participation, sex, age, GRADE
172 appraisal, or instrument/style. Outcomes were categorized by domain, based on similar domains

173 associated with the health benefits of physical activity.[10] Specific outcomes contained within
174 each category are detailed in Table S1.

175

176 *2.4. Systematic review of observational studies investigating the impact of performing arts* 177 *participation on mortality and non-communicable disease risk*

178 Given an absence of known reviews of epidemiologic data regarding performing arts
179 participation and the importance of these data in evaluating health effects, the authors made an a
180 priori decision to conduct a separate systematic review.

181 *2.4.1 Search terms*

182 Databases were searched using the following terms: ((music* OR danc* OR performing art* OR
183 choir OR choral) AND (mortality OR public health OR disease OR risk) AND epidemiology).

184 *2.4.2 Inclusion/exclusion criteria*

185 Inclusion criteria were observational studies investigating the relationship between performing
186 arts participation and all-cause mortality or non-communicable disease risk and/or non-
187 communicable disease risk factors (i.e. metabolic syndrome) in adults, adolescents or children.
188 No exclusion criteria were defined.

189 *2.4.3 Evidence synthesis, GRADE appraisal and synthesis*

190 Conducted using an adaptation of the procedure detailed in sections 2.3.3-2.3.5, with included
191 primary studies appraised individually and then synthesized at the level of this systematic
192 review.

193

194

195

196 *2.5 Systematic review of studies of heart rate responses to performing arts participation.*

197 *2.5.1 Search Terms*

198 Database searches were performed using the following search terms: ((music* OR danc* OR
199 performing art* OR choir OR choral) AND (load OR intensity OR heart rate)).

200 *2.5.2 Inclusion/exclusion criteria*

201 Inclusion criteria were studies reporting average/mean heart rate data collected from at least 1
202 minute of a representative period of active music or dance participation in any setting. Studies
203 reporting heart rate such that raw heart rate data (beats per minute) could not be extracted were
204 excluded.

205 *2.5.3 Data extraction and appraisal*

206 Demographic and raw heart rate data were extracted from all included studies. Raw heart rate
207 data were calculated where necessary (i.e. from data expressed as % maximum heart rate).
208 Rigorous application of inclusion/exclusion criteria was used in lieu of a formal assessment of
209 evidence quality.

210 *2.5.4 Evidence synthesis*

211 Raw heart rate data were converted to % heart rate maximum (%HR_{max}) using common
212 estimation methods:[17, 18]

213
$$\%HR_{\max} = ((\text{raw heart rate} / (208 - (0.7 * \text{age})) * 100).$$

214

215 %HR_{max} values were then categorized by intensity according to American College of Sports
216 Medicine definitions.[19]

217

218

219 **3. Results**

220 *3.1 Systematic Review Statistics (Figure 1)*

221 This umbrella review includes 33 systematic reviews of the health effects of performing arts
222 participation (15 dance; 18 music), encompassing 286 unique primary studies (128 dance; 158
223 music) and 149 outcomes across 18 health domains. Additionally, 9 observational studies
224 investigating the impact of performing arts participation on mortality and non-communicable
225 disease risk (3 dance, 5 music, 1 dance & music) were included, as well as 87 studies reporting
226 heart rate responses during performing arts participation (71 dance, 16 music). Review articles
227 and observational studies of mortality and non-communicable disease risk are directly referenced
228 in this manuscript (Tables 1 & 2); the complete list of references, including studies investigating
229 heart rate responses, is contained in the Supplementary Appendix.

230

231 *Figure 1. PRISMA diagram[20] detailing umbrella review results.*

232

233 *3.2 General health effects of active performing arts participation*

234 Positive effects of performing arts participation were reported in 17 of 18 investigated domains –
235 only glucose/insulin outcomes were consistently reported to be unaffected by dance participation
236 (no data related to music participation)(Table 1). Positive effects in 9 domains (*auditory; body*
237 *composition; cognitive; immune function; mental health; physical fitness; physical function; self-*
238 *reported health/wellbeing; social wellbeing*) were supported by moderate to high quality
239 evidence; results in 4 of these 9 domains (*cognitive; mental health; physical fitness; self-*
240 *reported health/wellbeing*) included a mixture of positive and neutral/no effects varying by
241 specific outcome (Table 2). Positive effects of performing arts participation were found in 9 of
242 13 domains (7 of 13 supported by moderate-high quality evidence) associated with the

- 243 mechanisms of physical activity benefits (Tables 1 & 2).[10, 21] Raw data underpinning
- 244 summary results and GRADE appraisals are detailed in the Supplementary Appendix.

main	Outcome	GRADE	Effect	reviews	outcomes	Sex	Age group	Dance?	Style/instrument	Length
ditory	Auditory processing	Moderate	Positive	1[22]	13	Mixed	Adults	Music	Instrumental	Sustained
	Pitch discrimination	Moderate	Positive	1[22]	7	Mixed	Adults	Music	Instrumental, unspecified	Sustained
	<i>Outcome Category</i>		<i>Effects</i>					<i>Effect</i>		<i>GRADE quality of evidence</i>
	Speech in noise	Moderate	Positive	1[22]	21	Mixed	Children, Adolescents,	Music	Instrumental, vocal, unspecified	Sustained
	Auditory [22, 23]	Positive	No effect, Negative		Very Low, Low, Moderate					
	Autonomic Tone [24] [#]		Positive, No effect		Very Low					
	Blood pressure [25-29] [#]		Positive, No effect		Very Low			Positive, No effect	Very Low	
	Body Composition [27-32] [#]							Positive , No effect	Very Low, Moderate	
	Bone Health [27, 28, 30, 33]							Positive, No effect	Very Low, Low	
	Cognitive [22, 24, 25, 30, 34-38]	Positive	No effect		Very Low, Low, Moderate , High			Positive , No effect	Very Low, Low, Moderate , High	
	Developmental (physical) [28, 31]							Positive, No effect, Negative	Very Low, Low	
	Educational [22, 37, 39-41]		Positive, No effect, Negative		Very Low					
	Stress Response/Endothelial function [23, 24, 26, 42] [#]		Positive, No effect		Very Low, Low					
	Glucose/Insulin [27, 29, 30] [#]							No effect	High	
	Immune function/Inflammation [23-25, 29, 42] [#]	Positive	No effect		Very Low, Low, High			Unclear, No effect	Very Low, Low	
Lipid lipoprotein profile [27, 29, 30, 32] [#]							Positive, No effect	Very Low		
Mental health [23-26, 28, 30, 43-48] [#]		Positive, No effect		Very Low, Low			Positive , No effect	Low, Moderate , High		
Non-communicable disease risk [49-57]		Positive, No effect, Negative		Very Low, Low			Positive, No effect	Very Low, Low		
Physical fitness [27-32, 34, 42, 58, 59] [#]		Positive, No effect		Very Low			Positive , No effect	Very Low, Low, Moderate , High		
Physical function [23, 26-28, 30-32, 34, 48, 58-62] [#]		Positive, No effect		Very Low, Low			Positive , No effect	Very Low, Low, Moderate , High		
Self-reported health/wellbeing [23-26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 42, 45-48, 58, 59, 63]	Positive	No effect		Very Low, Low, High			Positive , No effect	Very Low, Low, Moderate , High		
Social functioning [23-26, 34, 43, 45-48]	Positive	No effect		Very Low, Low, High			Positive	Moderate		

5
6
7
8
9
0
1

Table 1. Summary of effects of music and dance participation from included reviews and observational studies (non-communicable disease risk) on health parameters, grouped by domain. # - domain linked to mechanisms of the health benefits of physical activity (no performing arts data associated with 3 proposed domains/mechanisms –cardiac function; blood coagulation; coronary blood flow).[10, 21] ‘Positive’ and ‘no effect’ results highlighted in **green** and **black**, respectively, are supported by moderate and/or high quality evidence.

Adults										
tonomic Tone[#]										
rod pressure[#]										
dy mposition[#]	Skinfold measurements	Moderate	Positive	1[27]	3	Female, Unspecified	Children, Adolescents, Adults	Dance	Aerobic dance	Sustained
	Total fat mass	Moderate	Positive	1[27]	4	Female	Children, Adolescents, Adults	Dance	Aerobic dance, Zumba	Sustained
ne Health										
gnitive	IQ	Moderate	Positive	2[22, 37]	5	Mixed	Children, Adolescents, Adults	Music	Instrumental, Music education	Sustained
	Memory (long-/short-term, working)	Moderate	Positive	1[38]	42	Mixed	Adults	Music	Instrumental	Sustained
	Spatial ability/reasoning	High	Positive	1[36]	23	Mixed	Children, Adolescents	Music	Music education (general, Kodaly, Kindermusik, snare drum, piano, vocal)	Sustained
	Attention	Moderate	Positive	1[34]	2	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Agilando, Multiple (line/jazz/rock'n'roll/square)	Sustained
	BDNF	Moderate	Positive	1[34]	1	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Multiple (line/jazz/rock'n'roll/square)	Sustained
	Brain structure/plasticity	Moderate	Positive	1[34]	3	Mixed	Adults, Older adults	Dance	Multiple (line/jazz/rock'n'roll/square), unspecified	Sustained
	Cognitive function/Global cognition	High	Positive	2[34, 35]	10	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Agilando, Ballroom, Jazz, Latin, Tango, Square dance	Sustained
	Executive function	High	No effect	3[30, 34, 35]	11	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Ballroom, Contemporary, Folk, Latin, Social, Tango, Waltz	Sustained
	Perceptual speed	High	No effect	1[34]	2	Mixed	Adults, Older adults	Dance	Social, unspecified	Sustained
	Vocabulary	High	No effect	1[34]	1	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Social	Sustained
velopmental										
ucational										
ess Response / dothelial ction[#]										
ucose/Insulin[#]	Glucose	High	No effect	3[27, 29, 30]	6	Mixed	Adults	Dance	Aerobic Dance, Ballroom, Bhangra, Zumba	Sustained
	Insulin	High	No effect	2[29, 30]	2	Female	Adults	Dance	Bhangra, Zumba	Sustained
mune function	Immunological /	High	Positive	2[24,	2	Mixed	Adults	Music	Drums	Acute

inflammation[#]	inflammatory profile			25]						
	Immunoglobulin A	High	Positive	3[23, 24, 42]	4	Mixed	Adults, Older adults	Music	Singing, Drums	Acute
oxidized lipoprotein profile[#]										
mental health[#]	Depression	High	No effect	1[30]	1	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Turkish folk dance, Jazz, Social	Sustained
	Mood	Moderate	Positive	1[28]	1	Mixed	Adults	Dance	Hip hop	Acute
	Self-perception	Moderate	Positive	1[28]	2	Female	Adolescents	Dance	Aerobic dance	Sustained
non-communicable disease risk										
physical fitness[#]	Abdominal strength/endurance (sit ups)	Moderate	Positive	2[27, 28]	5	Female, Unspecified	Children, Adolescents, Adults	Dance	Aerobic dance	Sustained
	Cardiovascular capacity (VO ₂ max)	High	Positive	3[27-29]	12	Mixed	Adolescents, Adults, Older Adults	Dance	Aerobic dance, Balinese, Dance Team, Greek folk/traditional dance, Waltz, Zumba	Sustained
	Endurance (6-minute walk test)	High	Positive	3[27, 29, 58]	6	Mixed	Adults, Older adults	Dance	Aerobic Dance, Ballroom, Thai, Turkish folk, Zumba	Sustained
	Power (muscular/aerobic)	High	No effect	2[30, 31]	2	Mixed	Adults, Older adults	Dance	Ballet, Salsa	Sustained
	Peak ventilation	High	Positive	1[27]	4	Mixed	Adults, Older adults	Dance	Aerobic dance, Greek folk/traditional dance, Zumba	Sustained
	Respiratory exchange ratio	Moderate	No effect	1[27]	2	Mixed	Adults	Dance	Aerobic dance, Zumba	Sustained
	Strength	Moderate	Positive	3[27, 28, 30]	8	Mixed	Children, Adolescents, Adults, Older adults	Dance	Aerobic Dance, Dance Team, Social	Sustained
physical function[#]	Balance	High	Positive	6[30, 34, 58, 60-62]	47	Mixed	Adolescents, Adults, Older adults	Dance	Aerobic Dance, Agilando, Ballet, Ballroom, Caribbean, Contemporary, Greek traditional, Latin, Lebed Method, Line dance, Modern, Multiple (line/jazz/rock'n'roll/ square), Opera, Salsa, Thai, Turkish folk, Zumba	Sustained
	Flexibility/range of motion	High	Positive	4[27, 30, 31, 58]	19	Mixed	Children, Adolescents, Adults, Older Adults	Dance	Aerobic dance, Ballroom, Ballet, Folk/traditional dance, Social, Thai, Zumba	Sustained
	Mobility (timed up & go; sit to stand)	Moderate	Positive	2[30, 58]	12	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Aerobic dance, Argentine Tango, Ballroom, Folk, Lebed method, Turkish, Thai	Sustained
	Proprioception	High	Positive	1[62]	1	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Creative Dance	Sustained

Self-reported health/wellbeing	Fatigue	High	Positive	2[26, 27]	2	Mixed	Adults	Music	Drums	Acute
	Quality of life	High	Positive	2[26, 45]	2	Mixed	Adults, Older Adults	Music	Singing	Sustained
	Alcohol Consumption	Moderate	No effect	1[30]	1	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Caribbean	Sustained
	Balance confidence	High	Positive	1[30]	1	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Argentine tango	Sustained
	Functional autonomy	High	Positive	1[30]	1	Unspecified	Older adults	Dance	Ballroom	Sustained
	Life satisfaction	High	Positive	1[30]	1	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Creative Dance	Sustained
	Sexual activity	Moderate	Positive	1[30]	1	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Caribbean	Sustained
	Sleep quality	Moderate	Positive	1[30]	1	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Caribbean	Sustained
	Smoking	Moderate	No effect	1[30]	1	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Caribbean	Sustained
	Stress	Moderate	Positive	1[34]	1	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Social	Sustained
Emotional functioning	Anger	High	Positive	2[24, 26]	2	Mixed	Adults, Older adults	Music	Drums/Percussion & keyboard education	Acute/Sustained
	Social Support (perceived)	Moderate	Positive	1[34]	1	Mixed	Older adults	Dance	Social	Sustained

2

3

4

5

6

7

Table 2. Details of specific outcomes with moderate – high quality of evidence (GRADE). Age group classifications based on United Nations/World Health Organization definitions: 0-9 years – children; 10-19 years – adolescents; 20-59 – adults; 60+ – older adults. ‘Acute’ participation refers to a single session (up to 2.5 hours) of performing arts participation; ‘sustained’ participation refers to 4+ weeks of at least weekly performing arts participation. # - domain linked to mechanisms of the health benefits of physical activity (no performing arts data associated with 3 proposed domains/mechanisms –cardiac function; blood coagulation; coronary blood flow).[10, 21]

Effects of performing arts participation were investigated in adult populations (*age 20-59*) across all domains backed by moderate-high quality evidence. Benefits of performing arts participation (*moderate-high quality evidence*) in children (*age 0-9*) and adolescents (*age 10-19*) were reported in auditory (music), body composition (dance), cognitive (music), and physical fitness (dance) domains; positive effects of dance on adolescent mental health were also reported. Benefits of performing arts participation were reported in older adults (*age ≥ 60 ; moderate-high quality evidence*) across cognitive (dance); immune function (music), mental health (dance), physical fitness (dance), physical function (dance), self-reported health/wellbeing (music & dance), and social functioning (music & dance) domains.

3.3 Modes and ‘doses’ of performing arts participation associated with reported health effects (Table 2; moderate – high quality evidence only)

The effects of dance participation were more broadly supported by higher quality evidence – 34 individual outcomes, with positive effects reported across 7 domains (*body composition; cognitive; mental health; physical fitness; physical function; self-reported health/wellbeing; social functioning*). The effects of music participation were supported by moderate to high quality evidence for 11 individual outcomes, with reported positive effects in 5 domains (*auditory; cognitive; immune function/inflammation; self-reported health/wellbeing; social functioning*). Modes of performing arts participation associated with the broadest positive health effects were: aerobic dance (4 domains); ballroom dance (4 domains); social dance (4 domains); drumming (3 domains); and Zumba dance (3 domains).

Acute doses (*single session lasting 30-60 minutes*) were sparsely associated with positive effects - hip-hop dance benefited mental health (mood) and music participation (drumming; singing) was associated with positive changes in immune function/inflammation, self-reported health/wellbeing (fatigue), and social functioning (anger). All other results were based on studies of sustained performing arts participation. Significant heterogeneity in frequency and timing of sustained participation was found. Positive health effects were associated with sustained performing arts participation lasting at least 4 weeks, with a minimum of 60 minutes of weekly participation and at least one weekly session. Each individual session in intervention studies lasted 21-120 minutes; the length of individual sessions in cross-sectional studies of performing arts participants vs. non-participants was generally not reported.

3.4 Physical demands of performing arts participation

Heart rate responses to performing arts participation widely varied by style and/or performance setting, with studies of both music and dance participation reporting heart rates classified as very light, light, moderate, and vigorous intensity physical activity (Tables 3 and 4). Heart rate also varied substantially within the same mode of music/dance participation, with 16 modes (12 music; 4 dance) associated with heart rate responses at two intensity levels, 3 modes (1 music – trumpet; 2 dance – ballet, modern) associated with heart rate responses at three intensity levels, and active video game dancing associated with heart rate responses at all four intensity levels. Raw heart rate data underpinning summary results are detailed in the Supplementary Appendix.

	<i>Instrument/style</i>	<i>Participation Setting</i>
<i>Very Light (<57% max)</i>	Classical Indian Music	Performance
	Contemporary band*	Rehearsal
	Drum corps*	Rehearsal
	Flute/Singing*	Rehearsal
	Marching band*	Rehearsal
	Piano*	Rehearsal
	Strings*	Rehearsal, Practice
	Trumpet**	Practice
	Varied instruments in orchestra*	Rehearsal, Performance
	Winds*	Rehearsal
<i>Light (57-63% max)</i>	Clarinet*	Performance
	Contemporary band*	Performance
	Drum corps*	Rehearsal
	Percussion (classical)	Performance
	Singing (operetta)*	Performance
	Strings*	Performance
	Trumpet**	Laboratory
<i>Moderate (64-76% max)</i>	Winds*	Performance
	Bagpipes*	Laboratory
	Clarinet*	Performance
	Conductor (opera)	Performance
	Drum set*	Laboratory
	Flute/singing*	Performance
	Marching band*	Performance
	Singing (Opera)	Performance
	Piano*	Performance
	Trumpet**	Laboratory
<i>Vigorous (≥77% max)</i>	Varied instruments in orchestra*	Performance ('public session')
	Bagpipes*	Laboratory
	Drum set*	Performance
	Musical theater (singing + dance)	Laboratory
	Singing (operetta)*	Performance

*Table 3. Summary of heart rate responses to active music participation from included studies. * - instruments / styles with reported heart rate responses at 2 intensity levels. ** - instruments/styles with reported heart rate responses at 3 intensity levels. See Supplementary Appendix for source data and citations.*

	<i>Dance style</i>	<i>Participation setting</i>
Very Light (<57% max)	Active Video Game Dance***	Laboratory
	Modern**	Rehearsal/Class
Light (57-63% max)	Active Video Game Dance***	Laboratory
	Ballet**	Class
	Fox trot	Class
	Merengue	Class
	Mixed ('Dancing Classrooms')	Class
	Modern**	Class
	Rhumba	Class
	Salsa*	Class
	Tango	Class
	Waltz	Class
	Moderate (64-76% max)	Active Video Game Dance***
Aerobic Dance*		Laboratory, Class
Ballet**		Class
Dance Fitness Class		Laboratory
Disco		Party
Fijian		Laboratory
'Fun Dance'		Class
Hawaiian Hula*		Laboratory
Latin		Laboratory
Line dancing		Class
Maori haka		Laboratory
Maori poi balls		Laboratory
Mixture (anti-aging focus)		Class
Modern**		Class, Dress Rehearsal
Pole Dancing		Class
Salsa*		Class, nightclub
Samoan sasa		Laboratory
Swing		Class
Tongan		Laboratory
Zumba*		Class, Home, Laboratory
Vigorous (≥77% max)	Active Video Game Dance***	Laboratory
	Aerobic Dance*	Laboratory, Class
	Ballet**	Class, Rehearsal, Laboratory, Performance
	Ballroom	Laboratory
	Highland Dance	Rehearsal, Performance
	Hip-hop	Laboratory
	Hawaiian Hula*	Laboratory
	Musical Theater (dance only)	Laboratory
	Polish folk dancing	Laboratory
	Samoan slap	Laboratory

Sardinian folk dance (ballu sardu)	Laboratory
Sports Dancing	Laboratory
Swedish folk dance (hambo)	Laboratory
Tahitian	Laboratory
Tap dance	Laboratory
Tinikling (traditional Filipino dance)	Laboratory
Zumba*	Class

*Table 4. Summary of heart rate responses to active dance participation from included studies. * - dance styles with reported heart rate responses at 2 intensity levels; ** - dance styles with reported heart rate responses at 3 intensity levels; *** - dance styles with reported heart rate responses at all 4 intensity levels. See Supplementary Appendix for source data and citations.*

4. Discussion

This umbrella presents an expansive and detailed synthesis and appraisal of evidence demonstrating that performing arts participation is broadly health promoting activity, with positive effects across 17 health domains. Moderate-high quality evidence supported positive effects across 9 of these domains, including 7 of 13 domains associated with the health benefits of physical activity. Positive effects were reported in adult populations across all 9 domains, with beneficial effects in children, adolescents, and older adults reported across 4, 5, and 7 domains, respectively. This review also provides preliminary insights into the modes and doses of performing arts participation underpinning observed benefits. Further, heart rate data from 87 additional studies indicate that both music and dance participation intrinsically elicit mean heart rate values corresponding to a range of intensities, including moderate and vigorous.

This review also reveals that the evidence regarding the health impacts of performing arts participation is still in its infancy. Accordingly, reported health benefits and preliminary insights regarding effective performing arts modes and doses must be considered within this context. Moderate-high quality results provide valuable guidance but should not be interpreted as supporting the totality of health benefits or superiority of modes or doses of performing arts

participation. Key results of this review are due to greater amounts of high-quality study of specific modes and doses in particular domains; the overall quality of included evidence is generally low (26% (45/173) of outcomes backed by moderate-high quality evidence).

All included studies were conducted without explicit intensity aims, yet 2 of 4 modes of dance participation associated with the broadest health benefits come from exercise, not artistic, traditions: aerobic dance[64] and Zumba.[65] Heart rate data (Table 4) unsurprisingly confirm that both modes are associated with moderate to vigorous intensity physical demands as per global physical activity recommendations.[66] However, two traditionally expressive modes of dance – ballroom and social – were found to have similarly broad benefits, including in physical fitness and function domains. Heart rate data for ballroom and social dancing were sparsely available, precluding discussion of the potential impact of intrinsic physical intensity.

Nonetheless, these results suggest that expressive dance participation is similarly health promoting to modes created from an exercise viewpoint. Further obscuring the relationships between physical intensity and observed benefits, drumming was the most broadly health promoting mode of music participation and associated, across various settings, with very light, light, moderate, and vigorous intensity heart rate responses. Additional research is needed to establish the relationships between and intrinsic physical intensity and health impacts during performing arts participation.

Both acute and sustained performing arts participation were associated with health benefits, although the bulk of evidence relates to sustained participation. Acute benefits of singing and drumming on inflammation and immune parameters are particularly intriguing; similar short-term effects have been associated with physical activity and linked, with sustained participation, to long-term preventive benefits.[67] Epidemiologic studies suggest similar links

between sustained performing arts participation and a reduced risk of non-communicable diseases and early mortality. However, the quality of these epidemiologic studies is presently low, with high quality research using validated, sensitive instruments for quantifying performing arts participation needed.

The majority of health benefits backed by moderate-high quality evidence were associated with sustained performing arts participation lasting at least four weeks. Although substantial heterogeneity in results limits conclusions regarding the impact of specific doses of the performing arts, all reported benefits were associated with at least weekly participation. Some benefits were seen with as little as 60 minutes of weekly participation, demonstrating that, like physical activity, significant health benefits can be achieved with modest effort and time commitment.[11] Physical activity evidence indicates that greater levels of weekly participation are associated with greater health benefits – ‘*some is better than none, more is better than less*’.[11] Substantial further research is required to determine the impact of the frequency and duration of performing arts participation on health benefits.

In sum, this review presents promising evidence regarding the health benefits of performing arts participation, but is limited by a young and disparate evidence base, as well as additional factors discussed below. Excepting studies of non-communicable disease risk, this umbrella review was limited to English language studies included in systematic reviews of the health effects of performing arts participation. It is thus probable that some primary studies were not considered; their exclusion could impact individual outcome results given the aforementioned infancy of the evidence base. However, it is less likely that individual primary studies would significantly impact the general conclusions of this review, which are based on aggregated moderate-high quality evidence grouped by domain of health impact.

This review is also potentially limited by the conduct of literature searches, data extraction, and evidence appraisal by the first author alone, in consultation with the authorship team, due to resource constraints. Single author search, extraction and appraisal has been demonstrated to increase the incidence of errors,[68] yet these errors have been found to have a minimal impact on review results and conclusions.[69] To best meet study aims, the authors thus favored a broad, single author search, extraction and appraisal over a more constrained review conducted by multiple authors in duplicate. Additionally, the inclusion of a comprehensive and transparent supplementary appendix detailing all review data and subjective decision-making (i.e. article inclusions, GRADE appraisals) clarifies the basis for specific conclusions and serves as a foundation for discussion and future research.

Finally, while studies of participation-related performing arts injuries were beyond the scope of this review, it should be noted that, similar to exercise participation,[70] the health impact of performing arts activities is not exclusively positive. Participation in performing arts does carry an injury risk, for example caused by overpractice.[8] These risks are considerably counterbalanced by the broad benefits of performing arts participation demonstrated in this review. However, on an individual level, participation risks must always be managed and weighed against potential benefits.

4.1 Conclusions

Performing arts participation is broadly health promoting activity, with beneficial effects reported across healthy (non-clinical) children, adolescents, adults, and older adults in 17 domains (9 supported by moderate-high quality evidence). Positive health effects were associated with as little as 30 (*acute participation*) or 60 (*sustained weekly participation*)

minutes of performing arts participation, with drumming and both expressive (*ballroom, social*) and exercise-based (*aerobic dance, Zumba*) modes of dance linked to the broadest health benefits. However, the evidence base is still very much in its infancy. Further research is necessary to optimize modes and doses of performing arts participation towards specific health effects, as well as clarify relationships between intrinsic physical intensity and observed benefits. The broad yet rigorous approach of this umbrella review provides a valuable knowledge foundation for such future research.

Contributors

JMM designed the review protocol, conducted literature searches, extracted and appraised data, and drafted the final manuscript. ER contributed to data interpretation and critically revised the final manuscript. EA contributed to protocol design and data interpretation, and critically revised the final manuscript.

Declaration of interests

We declare no competing interests.

Data availability statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its Supplementary Information files).

Acknowledgements

J. Matt McCrary is supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

References

1. Fancourt D, Finn S. What is the evidence on the role of the arts in improving health and well-being? A scoping review. 2020.
2. Fancourt D, Warran K, Aughterson H. Evidence Summary for Policy - The role of arts in improving health & wellbeing. United Kingdom: Department for Digital, Culture, Media & Sport, 2020.
3. Drinkwater C, Wildman J, Moffatt S. Social prescribing. *Bmj*. 2019;364:l1285.
4. Bickerdike L, Booth A, Wilson PM, Farley K, Wright K. Social prescribing: less rhetoric and more reality. A systematic review of the evidence. *BMJ open*. 2017;7(4).
5. Eurostat. Culture statistics. 2019.
6. National Endowment for the Arts. U.S. Patterns of Arts Participation: A full report from the 2017 Survey of Public Participation in the Arts. 2017.
7. National Endowment for the Arts. U.S. Patterns of Arts Participation: A full report from the 2017 survey of public participation in the arts. 2019.
8. Dick RW, Berning JR, Dawson W, Ginsburg RD, Miller C, Shybut GT. Athletes and the arts—the role of sports medicine in the performing arts. *Current Sports Medicine Reports*. 2013;12(6):397-403.
9. Fox NJ. Creativity and health: An anti-humanist reflection. *Health*. 2013;17(5):495-511.
10. Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *Cmaj*. 2006;174(6):801-9.
11. Arem H, Moore SC, Patel A, Hartge P, De Gonzalez AB, Visvanathan K, et al. Leisure time physical activity and mortality: a detailed pooled analysis of the dose-response relationship. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2015;175(6):959-67.
12. Lee I-M, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, Puska P, Blair SN, Katzmarzyk PT, et al. Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. *The lancet*. 2012;380(9838):219-29.
13. Merriam-Webster. Merriam-Webster's collegiate dictionary: Merriam-Webster; 2004.
14. Higgins JP, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*: John Wiley & Sons; 2019.
15. Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, Kunz R, Vist G, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction—GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*. 2011;64(4):383-94.
16. Janyachoen T, Laophosri M, Kanpittaya J, Auvichayapat P, Sawanyawisuth K. Physical performance in recently aged adults after 6 weeks traditional Thai dance: a randomized controlled trial. *Clinical interventions in aging*. 2013;8:855.
17. Tanaka H, Monahan KD, Seals DR. Age-predicted maximal heart rate revisited. *Journal of the american college of cardiology*. 2001;37(1):153-6.
18. Mahon AD, Marjerrison AD, Lee JD, Woodruff ME, Hanna LE. Evaluating the prediction of maximal heart rate in children and adolescents. *Research quarterly for exercise and sport*. 2010;81(4):466-71.
19. Medicine ACoS. ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013.
20. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS med*. 2009;6(7):e1000097.
21. Rhodes RE, Janssen I, Bredin SS, Warburton DE, Bauman A. Physical activity: Health impact, prevalence, correlates and interventions. *Psychology & Health*. 2017;32(8):942-75.
22. Coffey EB, Mogilever NB, Zatorre RJ. Speech-in-noise perception in musicians: A review. *Hearing research*. 2017;352:49-69.

23. Clift S, Nicol J, Raisbeck M, Whitmore C, Morrison I. Group singing, wellbeing and health: A systematic mapping of research evidence. *Unesco Observatory*. 2010;2(1).
24. Fancourt D, Ockelford A, Belai A. The psychoneuroimmunological effects of music: a systematic review and a new model. *Brain, behavior, and immunity*. 2014;36:15-26. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2013.10.014>.
25. Yap AF, Kwan YH, Ang SB. A systematic review on the effects of active participation in rhythm-centred music making on different aspects of health. *European Journal of Integrative Medicine*. 2017;9:44-9.
26. Daykin N, Mansfield L, Meads C, Julier G, Tomlinson A, Payne A, et al. What works for wellbeing? A systematic review of wellbeing outcomes for music and singing in adults. *Perspectives in Public Health*. 2018;138(1):39-46.
27. Yan AF, Copley S, Chan C, Pappas E, Nicholson LL, Ward RE, et al. The effectiveness of dance interventions on physical health outcomes compared to other forms of physical activity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine*. 2018;48(4):933-51.
28. Burkhardt J, Brennan C. The effects of recreational dance interventions on the health and well-being of children and young people: a systematic review. *Arts & Health*. 2012;4(2):148-61.
29. Cugusi L, Manca A, Bergamin M, Di Blasio A, Yeo TJ, Crisafulli A, et al. Zumba Fitness and Women's Cardiovascular Health: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW. *Journal of cardiopulmonary rehabilitation and prevention*. 2019;39(3):153-60.
30. Rodrigues-Krause J, Krause M, Reischak-Oliveira A. Dancing for Healthy Aging: Functional and Metabolic Perspectives. *Alternative Therapies in Health & Medicine*. 2019;25(1).
31. Letton ME, Thom JM, Ward RE. The Effectiveness of Classical Ballet Training on Health-Related Outcomes: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*. 2020;17(5):566-74.
32. Rodrigues-Krause J, Farinha JB, Krause M, Reischak-Oliveira A. Effects of dance interventions on cardiovascular risk with ageing: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Complementary therapies in medicine*. 2016;29:16-28. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2016.09.004>.
33. Keogh JW, Kilding A, Pidgeon P, Ashley L, Gillis D. Physical benefits of dancing for healthy older adults: a review. *Journal of aging and physical activity*. 2009;17(4):479-500.
34. Teixeira-Machado L, Arida RM, de Jesus Mari J. Dance for neuroplasticity: A descriptive systematic review. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2019;96:232-40.
35. Meng X, Li G, Jia Y, Liu Y, Shang B, Liu P, et al. Effects of dance intervention on global cognition, executive function and memory of older adults: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Aging clinical and experimental research*. 2020;32(1):7-19.
36. Hetland L. Learning to make music enhances spatial reasoning. *Journal of aesthetic education*. 2000;34(3/4):179-238.
37. Jaschke AC, Eggermont LH, Honing H, Scherder EJ. Music education and its effect on intellectual abilities in children: a systematic review. *Reviews in the Neurosciences*. 2013;24(6):665-75.
38. Talamini F, Altoè G, Carretti B, Grassi M. Musicians have better memory than nonmusicians: A meta-analysis. *PloS one*. 2017;12(10):e0186773.
39. Vaughn K. Music and mathematics: Modest support for the oft-claimed relationship. *Journal of aesthetic education*. 2000;34(3/4):149-66.
40. Butzlaff R. Can music be used to teach reading? *Journal of aesthetic education*. 2000;34(3/4):167-78.
41. Gordon RL, Fehd HM, McCandliss BD. Does music training enhance literacy skills? A meta-analysis. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2015;6:1777.
42. Gick ML. Singing, health and well-being: A health psychologist's review. *Psychomusicology: Music, Mind and Brain*. 2011;21(1-2):176.

43. Daykin N, de Viggiani N, Pilkington P, Moriarty Y. Music making for health, well-being and behaviour change in youth justice settings: a systematic review. *Health promotion international*. 2013;28(2):197-210. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/heapro/das005>.
44. Moore KS. A systematic review on the neural effects of music on emotion regulation: implications for music therapy practice. *Journal of music therapy*. 2013;50(3):198-242.
45. Phillips CS, Becker H. Systematic Review: Expressive arts interventions to address psychosocial stress in healthcare workers. *Journal of advanced nursing*. 2019;75(11):2285-98.
46. Raglio A, Oddone E, Morotti L, Khreiwesh Y, Zuddas C, Brusinelli J, et al. Music in the workplace: A narrative literature review of intervention studies. *Journal of Complementary and Integrative Medicine*. 2019;1(ahead-of-print).
47. Eells K. The use of music and singing to help manage anxiety in older adults. *Mental Health Practice*. 2014;17(5).
48. Skingley A, Vella-Burrows T. Therapeutic effects of music and singing for older people. *Nursing standard*. 2010;24(19).
49. Balbag MA, Pedersen NL, Gatz M. Playing a musical instrument as a protective factor against dementia and cognitive impairment: A population-based twin study. *International Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*. 2014;2014.
50. Bygren LO, Konlaan BB, Johansson S-E. Attendance at cultural events, reading books or periodicals, and making music or singing in a choir as determinants for survival: Swedish interview survey of living conditions. *BMJ*. 1996;313(7072):1577-80.
51. Chen M, He M, Min X, Pan A, Zhang X, Yao P, et al. Different physical activity subtypes and risk of metabolic syndrome in middle-aged and older Chinese people. *PloS one*. 2013;8(1):e53258.
52. Ekholm O, Bonde LO. Music and Health in Everyday Life in Denmark: Associations Between the Use of Music and Health-Related Outcomes in Adult Danes. *Music and Public Health: Springer*; 2018. p. 15-31.
53. Hughes TF, Chang C-CH, Vander Bilt J, Ganguli M. Engagement in reading and hobbies and risk of incident dementia: the MoVIES project. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias®*. 2010;25(5):432-8.
54. Konlaan BB, Bygren LO, Johansson S-E. Visiting the cinema, concerts, museums or art exhibitions as determinant of survival: a Swedish fourteen-year cohort follow-up. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*. 2000;28(3):174-8.
55. Lin W, CHan C, Liu Y, Yang A, Tsai S, Kuo P. Performing different kinds of physical exercise differentially attenuates the genetic effects on obesity measures: Evidence from 18,424 Taiwan Biobank participants. *PLoS Genetics*. 2019;15:e1008277. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008277.
56. Merom D, Ding D, Stamatakis E. Dancing participation and cardiovascular disease mortality: a pooled analysis of 11 population-based British cohorts. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2016;50(6):756-60.
57. Verghese J, Lipton RB, Katz MJ, Hall CB, Derby CA, Kuslansky G, et al. Leisure activities and the risk of dementia in the elderly. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2003;348(25):2508-16.
58. Liu X, Shen P-L, Tsai Y-S. Dance intervention effects on physical function in healthy older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Aging clinical and experimental research*. 2020:1-11.
59. Fernandez-Arguelles EL, Rodriguez-Mansilla J, Antunez LE, Garrido-Ardila EM, Munoz RP. Effects of dancing on the risk of falling related factors of healthy older adults: a systematic review. *Archives of gerontology and geriatrics*. 2015;60(1):1-8. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2014.10.003>.
60. Clarke F, Koutedakis Y, Wilson M, Wyon M. Balance in theatrical dance performance: a systematic review. *Medical Problems of Performing Artists*. 2018;33(4):275-85.
61. da Silveira Costa MS, de Sá Ferreira A, Felicio LR. Static and dynamic balance in ballet dancers: a literature review. *Fisioter Pesqui*. 2013;20:299-305.

62. Hwang PW-N, Braun KL. The effectiveness of dance interventions to improve older adults' health: a systematic literature review. *Alternative therapies in health and medicine*. 2015;21(5):64.
63. Veronese N, Maggi S, Schofield P, Stubbs B. Dance movement therapy and falls prevention. *Maturitas*. 2017;102:1-5. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2017.05.004>.
64. Sorensen J, Bruns B. *Aerobic dancing*: Rawson Associates; 1979.
65. Luetzgen M, Foster C, Doberstein S, Mikat R, Porcari J. ZUMBA®: Is the "fitness-party" a good workout? *Journal of sports science & medicine*. 2012;11(2):357.
66. World Health Organization. *Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health*. 2010.
67. Hojman P, Gehl J, Christensen JF, Pedersen BK. Molecular mechanisms linking exercise to cancer prevention and treatment. *Cell metabolism*. 2018;27(1):10-21.
68. Horton J, Vandermeer B, Hartling L, Tjosvold L, Klassen TP, Buscemi N. Systematic review data extraction: cross-sectional study showed that experience did not increase accuracy. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*. 2010;63(3):289-98.
69. Jones AP, Remington T, Williamson PR, Ashby D, Smyth RL. High prevalence but low impact of data extraction and reporting errors were found in Cochrane systematic reviews. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*. 2005;58(7):741-2.
70. Jones BH, Knapik JJ. Physical training and exercise-related injuries. *Sports medicine*. 1999;27(2):111-25.