

Comparison of the clinical characteristics and mortality in ARDS due to COVID-19 versus ARDS due to Influenza A-H1N1pdm09

¹Carmen Hernández Cárdenas MD, MSc

²Gustavo Lugo MD, PhD

³Diana Hernández García MD

⁴Rogelio Pérez-Padilla MD. PhD

Affiliations: Respiratory intensive care unit. Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Respiratorias, Ismaél Cosío Villegas

Corresponding autor: Rogelio Pérez-Padilla MD. PhD. Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Respiratorias, Ismaél Cosío Villegas. Calzada de Tlalpan 1480, Colonia Sección XXIII, Tlalpan, Ciudad de México, México.

Email: perezpad@gmail.com

[Tel:+5255548117700](tel:+5255548117700), ext5213

Word count: 1032

Key words: influenza AH1N1, COVID-19, case fatality, survival, ARDS, intensive care unit

Conflict of interests: none

Author contributions: authors 1 and 4 concept; authors 2 and 3 data collection; authors 2 and 4 statistical analysis; authors 1,2 and 4 elaboration of the manuscript.

Question: Is the mortality of ARDS associated with Covid-19 greater than that of ARDS associated to influenza H1N1?

Findings: In a Cox proportional hazard model, patients with a diagnosis of COVID-19 had a hazard ratio (HR) = 3.7; 95% Confidence Interval [CI] = 1.9-7.4, adjusted for age, gender, sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score.

Meaning: COVID-19 patients treated in an intensive care unit (ICU) had a 3.7 times higher risk of death than similar patients with Influenza A-H1N1

Abstract

Importance: Infection with the SARS-Cov-2 and Influenza A-H1N1 viruses is responsible for the first pandemics of the 21st century. Both of these viruses can cause severe pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). The clinical differences and mortality associated with these two pandemic pneumonias in patients with ARDS are not well established

Objective: To compare case-fatality between ARDS-Covid-19 and ARDS-Influenza A (H1N1), adjusting for known prognostic risk factors.

Design, Setting and Participants: One hundred forty-seven patients with COVID-19 were compared with 94 with Influenza A-H1N1, all of these were admitted to the intensive care unit of the Referral Center for Respiratory Diseases and COVID-19 in Mexico City and fulfilled the criteria of ARDS.

Results: Patients arrived at the hospital after 9 days of symptoms. Influenza patients had more obesity, more use of Norepinephrine, and higher levels of lactic dehydrogenase and glucose, and fewer platelets and lower PaO₂/FIO₂. Crude mortality was higher in COVID than in influenza (39% vs. 22%; $p = 0.005$). In a Cox proportional hazard model, patients with a diagnosis of COVID-19 had a hazard ratio (HR) = 3.7; 95% Confidence Interval [CI] = 1.9-7.4, adjusted for age, gender, sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score, ventilatory ratio, and prone ventilation. In the fully adjusted model, the ventilatory ratio and the absence of prone-position ventilation were also predictors of mortality.

CONCLUSION: COVID-19 patients treated in an intensive care unit (ICU) had a 3.7 times higher risk of death than similar patients with Influenza A-H1N1, after adjusting for SOFA score and other relevant risk factors for mortality.

Introduction

Infection with the new Influenza A virus subtype H1N1 (Influenza A-H1N1) virus was first reported in Mexico in April 2009 (1), causing the first pandemic of the 21st century. Influenza A/H1N1 was associated with severe pneumonia and acute hypoxemic respiratory failure (AHRF) consistent with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), with an associated mortality ranging from 25.1%–41% at different sites (2). Influenza causes 390,000 deaths annually (3). The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that influenza affect 5-10% of adults and up to 20-30% of children, especially in immunosuppressed, at extremes of life, and in persons with comorbidities(4).

The outbreak of respiratory infection by the novel coronavirus 2019 (SARS-CoV-2) started in December 2019, in Wuhan (Hubei Province), China (5, 6). From this city, the outbreak has been spreading to the majority of countries worldwide in a severe pandemic (7). Up to December 3, 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic has given rise to a total of 62 million cases and 1.4 million deaths around the world (7), mainly due to respiratory failure, although a long list of complications in and affectations to various organs and systems have been described. The COVID-19 disease is also associated with severe pneumonia, AHRF, and ARDS, requiring intensive care and ventilatory assistance in up to 5% of cases, and with a reported mortality ranging from 30-60% at different sites, with an average of 41% (8).

We considered how case-fatality compares between ARDS-Covid-19 and ARDS-Influenza A (H1N1), adjusting for known prognostic risk factors.

Patients and setting

All patients were treated at the ICU of the National Institute of Respiratory Diseases (INER), a tertiary-level teaching and research hospital that serves the uninsured population of Mexico City and nearby states, at 2,240 meters above sea level. We obtained clinical chart information from consecutive patients with Influenza H1N1 (October 2019 to February 2020, prior to the COVID-10 outbreak) and patients with COVID-19 (March 2020 to October 2020), All of these patients had a positive RT-PCR test and fulfilled the Berlin Definition of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (9). Patients with Influenza received Oseltamivir at 150 mg daily for at least 5 days, and those with COVID-19 received various treatments that frequently included corticosteroids. The study was approved by the ethics and biomedical research committee of the National Institute of Respiratory Diseases and the informed consent of the patients was dispensed because it was an observational study. The identity of the patients was protected.

Clinical and laboratory data were obtained upon admission and daily during the patients' stay in the unit. High-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) was performed in all patients prior to admission to the respiratory intensive care unit (RICU). We calculated a bedside indicator of wasted ventilation, the ventilatory ratio (VR) as $[1\text{-minute ventilation (mL/min)} \times \text{PaCO}_2 \text{ (mmHg)}] / [\text{predicted body weight} \times 100 \times 37.5]$ (10) and the sequential organ failure assessment [SOFA] score (11), including $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FIO}_2$, platelets, bilirubin, creatinine, mean arterial pressure, and use of vasopressors. Glasgow score was the same as all of the patients were sedated.

The information obtained was stored in an electronic database. The survival endpoint was mortality at discharge from the ICU.

Statistical analysis

Time to death was evaluated by a Cox proportional risk model, comparing the group with COVID-19 and that of the patients with influenza in non-adjusted models, and also adjusting for age, gender, obesity, comorbidities, and biomarkers, and mechanical ventilation parameters. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS ver. 21 statistical software (IBM Statistics, Armonk, NY, USA) and by STATA ver. 13.0 statistical software packages. Two-sided p values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Table 1 presents the main characteristics of patients with influenza ($n = 94$) and with COVID-19 ($n = 147$). Patients with influenza had a slightly higher body mass index (BMI), lactic dehydrogenase glucose, and SOFA score, and a higher proportion of individuals with obesity, fever, shortness of breath, muscle pain, and headache, with lower neutrophils. Patients with influenza arrived for care in a worse situation (Table 1), they were more hypotense, hypoxemic, requiring vasopressors more frequently, with a higher SOFA score. Those with COVID-19 had a higher crude ICU mortality (39% vs. 22%; Fisher exact test; $p = 0.007$; log-rank test, $p = 0.01$), lower respiratory compliance, and spent more days in the prone position. Table 2 analyzes a multivariate Cox proportional risk model, having as a dependent variable time to death in the ICU and, as an independent variable,

the type of virus (COVID-19 vs influenza) adjusted by age, sex, comorbidities, symptoms, laboratory results, and ventilatory parameters: COVID-19 patients had nearly 4 times more risk for death (OR = 3.7; 95% CI = 1.9-7.4) than patients with influenza. Additional variables associated with predicting mortality were the ventilatory ratio, the SOFA score, and the BMI, whereas prone ventilation was associated with reduced mortality (see Table).

DISCUSSION

Patients with COVID-19 had a substantially higher lethality than those with influenza, despite both being in respiratory failure, both with ARDS, and both after taking into account various predictors of death such as age, sex, some laboratory measurements, and ventilatory parameters, indicating in COVID-19 more severe lung damage and fewer possibilities of recovery.

Influenza and COVID-19 may cause severe damage to the lungs, to other organs, and may cause death, and it is unknown whether this may be attributed to direct viral injury, to an exaggerated inflammatory reaction, or to the often mentioned cytokine storm (12, 13) in addition to personal susceptibility. Patients with COVID-19 have been compared with those with influenza and lacked a difference in cytokine levels (13). The findings of CT scanning overlap in the two infections (14), but patients with COVID-19 manifested vascular inflammation, and immunothrombosis (15) to a higher degree than those with influenza. In addition, there is an antiviral treatment for influenza (all of our patients received this treatment), and a vaccine of variable affectivity is available, although only a small

proportion of our patients are vaccinated (5% of those arriving at the ICU). The majority of our patients with COVID-19 received systemic corticosteroids, but an antiviral treatment and a vaccine were not yet available.

Our study shows limitations such as a small cohort of patients and coming from a single high specialty center. However, it is prospective, and the cohorts were contemporary.

In conclusion, patients with respiratory failure and ARDS from COVID-19 treated in an ICU entertain a substantially increased risk of death compared with similar patients with Influenza A-H1N1, even adjusting for SOFA score and other relevant risk factors for mortality. Differences in their pathogenic mechanisms and the absence of antiviral drugs and vaccines likely contributes to worse outcome.

REFERENCES

1. Pérez-Padilla R, de la Rosa-Zamboni D, Ponce de León S, Hernández M, Quiñones-Falconi F, Bautista E, Ramírez-Venegas A, Rojas-Serrano J, Ormsby CE, Corrales A. Pneumonia and respiratory failure from swine-origin influenza a (H1N1) in Mexico. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2009;361:680-689.
2. Duggal A, Pinto R, Rubenfeld G, Fowler RA. Global variability in reported mortality for critical illness during the 2009-10 influenza a(H1N1) pandemic: a systematic review and meta-regression to guide reporting of outcomes during disease outbreaks. *PLoS ONE* 2016;11:e0155044.
3. Paget J, Spreuwenberg P, Charu V, Taylor RJ, Iuliano AD, Bresee J, Simonsen L, Viboud C, Global Seasonal Influenza-associated Mortality Collaborator N, Teams* GLC. Global mortality associated with seasonal influenza epidemics: new burden estimates and predictors from the glamor GLaMOR project. *Journal of Global Health* 2019;9:020421.
4. World Health Organization. Influenza (seasonal). 2020 June 1, 2020]. Available at from: [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/influenza-\(seasonal\)](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/influenza-(seasonal)).
5. Chan JF, Yuan S, Kok KH, To KK, Chu H, Yang J, Xing F, Liu J, Yip CC, Poon RW, Tsoi HW, Lo SK, Chan KH, Poon VK, Chan WM, Ip JD, Cai JP, Cheng VC, Chen H, Hui CK, Yuen KY. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. *Lancet* 2020;395:514-523.

6. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, Qiu Y, Wang J, Liu Y, Wei Y, Xia J, Yu T, Zhang X, Zhang L. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wwuhan, China: aA descriptive study. *Lancet* 2020;395:507-513.
7. World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) situation report. 2020 [cited 2020 Dec 5]. Available atfrom: <https://http://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-epidemiological-update---1-december-2020>
8. Armstrong RA, Kane AD, Cook TM. Outcomes from intensive care in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Anaesthesia* 2020;75:1340-1349.
9. Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Ferguson ND, Caldwell E, Fan E, Camporota L, Slutsky AS. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin definition. *JAMA* 2012;307:2526-2533.
10. Sinha P, Calfee CS, Beitler JR, Soni N, Ho K, Matthay MA, Kallet RH. Physiologic analysis and clinical performance of the ventilatory ratio in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;199:333-341.
11. Vincent JL, Moreno R, Takala J, Willatts S, De Mendonça A, Bruining H, Reinhart CK, Suter PM, Thijs LG. The SOFA (sepsis-related organ failure assessment) score to describe organ dysfunction/failure. On behalf of the Working Group on Sepsis-related Problems of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. *Intensive Care Med* 1996;22:707-710.

12. Kox M, Waalders NJB, Kooistra EJ, Gerretsen J, Pickkers P. Cytokine levels in critically ill patients with COVID-19 and other conditions. *JAMA* 2020;324:1565-1567.
13. Mudd PA, Crawford JC, Turner JS, Souquette A, Reynolds D, Bender D, Bosanquet JP, Anand NJ, Striker DA, Martin RS, Boon ACM, House SL, Remy KE, Hotchkiss RS, Presti RM, O'Halloran JA, Powderly WG, Thomas PG, Ellebedy AH. Distinct inflammatory profiles distinguish COVID-19 from influenza with limited contributions from cytokine storm. *Sci Adv* 2020;6(50):eabe3024
14. Onigbinde SO, Ojo AS, Fleary L, Hage R. Chest computed tomography findings in COVID-19 and influenza: a narrative review. *Biomed Res Int* 2020;2020:6928368.
15. Nicolai L, Leunig A, Brambs S, Kaiser R, Joppich M, Hoffknecht M, Gold C, Engel A, Polewka V, Muenchhoff M, Hellmuth JC, Ruhle A, Ledderose S, Weinberger T, Schulz H, Scherer C, Rudelius M, Zoller M, Keppler OT, Zwißler B, von Bergwelt-Baildon M, Käab S, Zimmer R, Bülow RD, von Stillfried S, Boor P, Massberg S, Pekayvaz K, Stark K. Vascular neutrophilic inflammation and immunothrombosis distinguish severe COVID-19 from influenza pneumonia. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020; doi:10.1111/jth.15179

Table 1. Demographic and clinical biomarkers and radiologic characteristics of the patients

Patient characteristics	COVID-19 (n = 147)	Influenza A- H1N1 (n = 94)	P value
Age (years)	45±11	47±11	0.147
Sex (M/F)	108/39	69/25	0.9
BMI (kg/m²)	32.3±6.3	34.4±7.5	0.021
Onset of symptoms at hospital admission (days)	9.1±6.4	9.7±3.4	0.462
Time in ICU (days)	15.3±12	15.9±10	0.687
Mortality (%)	58(39.4)	21(22.3)	0.005
Fever	129(87.7)	92(98)	0.005
Shortness of breath	125(85)	94(100)	<0.001
Muscle pain	81(55.1)	79(84)	<0.001
Headache	72(48.9)	63(67)	0.005
Laboratory measures	Mean (±SD)		
White blood cell count/μl	10.9±4.6	9.1±4.7	0.004
Lymphocyte count/μl	978±738	897±475	0.35
Platelet count x 10³/μl	269±105	200±85	0.001
Creatinine (0.7-1.2 mg/dl)	1.28±1.17	1.37±1.36	0.61
Lactic dehydrogenase (98-192 UI)	468±184	712±366	0.001
Glucose (mg/dl)	140±46	184±97	0.001
Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)	80±10	70±8	<0.001
Heart rate (min-1)	82±15	98±14	<0.001
Use of Norepinephrine	55(37.4)	56(59.5)	<0.001
PaO₂/FiO₂ (mmHg)	143±58	96±43	<0.001
Tidal volume (ml)	406±54	395±62	0.14
PEEP (cm H₂O)	11±2	12±3	0.001
Plateau pressure (cm H₂O)	28±6	26±4	0.01
Dynamic compliance (ml/cm H₂O)	3.7±4.1	2.1±3.2	0.002
Compliance (ml/cm H₂O)	26±12	30±9	0.002
SOFA score	5.2±2.3	6.4±2.0	<0.001
Ventilatory ratio	2.4±0.7	2.3±0.5	0.25

Comorbidities were similar in both groups, except for chronic renal failure. All patients had lung opacities in a CT scanning of the thorax.

VR, ventilatory ratio. SOFA is the sequential organ failure assessment score (see text).

Table 2. Adjusted multivariate Cox proportional hazard models

	Adjusted 1		Adjusted 2	
HR	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i>
COVID-19	5.1 (2.7-9.7)	<0.001	3.7 (1.9-7.4)	<0.001
SOFA score	1.5 (1.4-1.7)	<0.001	1.3 (1.2-1.5)	<0.001
Glucose (mg/dl)	1.005 (1.002-1.008)	0.002	1.0 (1.0-1.01)	0.02
BMI (kg/m ²)	1.02 (0.99-1.05)	0.13	1.03(1.01-1.06)	0.03
Days in prone position			0.94 (0.88-1.001)	0.055
Ventilatory ratio			2.3 (1.5-3.4)	<0.001
Akaike information criterion	679		649	
Bayesian information criterion	693		670	
Log likelihood of model	-335		-318	

BMI, body mass index; VR, ventilatory ratio; HR, Hazard Ratio. SOFA is the sequential organ failure assessment score (see text). For the crude model (only COVID-19). Log likelihood -371, AIC 744, and BIC 748.

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier survival curve for COVID-19 patients (dashed line) and for patients with Influenza A-H1N1 (continuous line) adjusted for sex, age, sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score (SOFA = 5), and ventilatory ratio (VR = 2).

