medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Impact on Mental Health of students due to restriction caused by COVID-19 pandemic: Cross-sectional study

Amar Prashad Chaudhary [1]*
department of pharmacy practice, mallige college of pharmacy, rajiv gandhi university of health sciences, bangalore-560090, Karnataka, India

Narayan Sah Sonar [1]
department of pharmacology, mallige college of pharmacy, rajiv gandhi university of health sciences, bangalore-560090, Karnataka, India

Moumita Banerjee [2]
Department of pharmaceutics, Mallige College of Pharmacy, Rajiv Gandhi University of health Sciences, bangalore-560090, Karnataka, India

Jamuna TR[3]
Department of pharmacy practice, Mallige College of Pharmacy, Rajiv Gandhi University of health Sciences, bangalore-560090, Karnataka, India

Dr. Shailesh Yadav [4]
Department of pharmacy practice, Mallige College of Pharmacy, Rajiv Gandhi University of health Sciences, bangalore-560090, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: *Amar Prashad Chaudhary
Department of pharmacy practice, Mallige College of Pharmacy, Rajiv Gandhi University of health Sciences, bangalore-560090, Karnataka, India
Email ID: pamar419@gmail.com
Present Address: Kumbarahalli Cross, Hessargahatta Road, Bangalore-90, Karnataka, India

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: The goal of the study was to investigate fear, depression and anxiety symptoms among students of India due to COVID-19 pandemic and its restriction.

Method: The cross-sectional web-based research was conducted between mid-November and mid-December 2020 with the objective of understanding the psychological and behavioral consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic effect on students due to the constraint of forced control. The study included a) socio-demographic questions such as age, gender, degree enrolled and any member of the family infected with COVID-19, b) psychometric scales evaluating the psychological and behavioral impact caused by COVID-19 pandemic restrictions such as fear of the COVID-19 scale (FCV-19S), depression by the Brief Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) depression scale, and anxiety by the Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale (GAD-7).

Results: Total number of 324 students participated in this study in which 44.4% were male and 55.6% were female. Fear of COVID-19 scale showed 68.8% of students had high fear among students, 24.4% had moderate to severe depression and 51.5% had moderate to severe anxiety. The correlation between fear of COVID-19 scale (FCV-19s) and Generalized Anxiety scale (GAD-7) was found to be 0.474 and 0.492 was found between fear of COVID-19 (FCV-19s) scale and brief patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9)

Conclusion: This research concludes that there is a very strong fear of COVID-19 among students, along with anxiety and depression. This study also concludes that the fear of the COVID-19 scale and the GAD-7 and PHQ-9 scales have a strong positive correlation.

Keywords: COVID-19, PHQ-9, GAD-7, FCV-19s, mental impact

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Communicable diseases such as herpes and legionnaire disease in the 1970s, HIV, Ebola, SARS, and now COVID-19 continue to be devastating, increasing pressure on people throughout the world (M.G, Sonar, and Ray 2020). "The COVID-19 pandemic has rekindled the 21st century "viral scare" following the "microbe panic" of the 20th century. With its quick rate of transmission and relatively higher fatalities, the rise of the 2019 coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) has compelled the worldwide research establishment to globally screen its transmission, focusing on the development of medication, vaccination, and infection control strategy. The manifestations which are mostly seen in COVID-19 are pyrexia, dry cough and dyspnea, tiredness, scratchiness in throat, body aches, nasal clog, headache, loss of taste or smell, diarrhea. Many medications were utilized for the treatment of these infections, yet the majority of them are not compelling against it and just improve the recovery rate. Information media, for example, the web, TV, radio, newspapers, cellphones, and physical media (e.g., Facebook, WhatsApp, WeChat, etc.), were used to disseminate advice on how to forestall contamination (e.g., staying home, wearing masks, washing hands frequently, and/or disinfecting hands hands). Additionally, the quick transmission of COVID-19, increased death rate, absence of effective treatments and immunization, and mass isolates measures are related with regular mental health issues.

Routine reversal creates insecurity and uncertainty while physical distancing, weakens the physical network of individuals and triggers anxiety reactions (Tsipropoulou et al. 2020). A recent study showed that feelings of anxiety and depressive symptoms, distress and sleep problems were typical signs of the COVID-19 pandemic (Bharatharaj et al. 2020). One of several important reasons that during the pandemic could mean increased anxiety and stress is the threat of COVID-19 and, more specifically, the fear of becoming infected or infecting precious persons (Tzur Bitan et al. 2020). Indeed, such interventions can generate alienation and decrease physical interactions, which are possible causes for many psychological illnesses, especially in individuals with pre-existing psychiatric problems. Even in stable individuals, the separation correlated with containment can lead to numerous mental health outcomes. Anger, exhaustion, psychological anguish, panic and confusion are the predominant symptoms related to financial

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

difficulties, annoyance and discomfort, loss of resources and ineffective coordination (Davide et al. 2020).

However, intensive fear may lead to decreased responsiveness and diminished mental well-being. Fear of getting the disease could prompt eruptions, similar to overprotection or rivalry with measurements mavericks or stigmatization of patients (Tsipropoulou et al. 2020). Scientific reviews and analyses concentrate on identifying the various effects of COVID-19 not only on physical wellbeing, but also on mental wellbeing. Individuals even point out that the most vulnerable parts of the population can be estimated to have more or less anticipated effects on mental and physical health. The primary purpose of this research was therefore to examine students' psychological responses, i.e. fear of COVID-19, anxiety and depression during the COVID-19 pandemic in India, and to also clarify causes of something which amplify the magnitude of COVID-19's psychological effect. The second goal was to examine three possible causes linked to COVID-19 for enhanced levels of fear (personal experience with COVID-19; Age, Gender, Degree enrolled. Mallige College of Pharmacy students responded the questions and completed the scales in the following order: socio-demographic data, Fear of COVID-19 scale (FCV-19s), The Brief Patient health Questionnaire depression scale (PHQ-9) and Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 scale (GAD-7).

Material and method

Study design & Study period

The cross-sectional web based study was carried out between mid-November to mid- December 2020 with the objective of understanding the psychological and behavioral impact caused on students due to restriction forced (physical distancing, use of face mask, online study, avoid gathering and going to public places, etc.) to control the COVID-19 pandemic effect. The survey questions and scale were selected on the basis of the available literature, author's experience, and professor and clinician experience about the pandemic.

Study site

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

For this study, students of Mallige college of Pharmacy in Bangalore is selected with the access to internet and physical media.

Measures

The study included a) socio-demographic questions like Age, Gender, Degree Enrolled and Any of family members got infected with COVID-19, b) psychometric scales assessing the psychological and behavioral impact caused due to COVID-19 restrictions like fear, depression and anxiety.

1. Fear of COVID-19 scale (FCV-19s): a self-report, unidimensional, reliable and valid scale developed recently to understand the fear of COVID-19 caused due to this pandemic. This scale consists of seven items which tries to explain the fear of COVID-19. The responses are recorded in 5 Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5 points (Strongly Agree =5, Agree=4, Neutral=3, Disagree=2, Strongly Disagree=1). The total Score ranges from 7 to 35. The higher the score is, the greater is the fear of COVID-19 among participants. The initial development of the scale has shown strong reliability of Cronbach alpha of 0.88 among the Iranian population (Kwasi Ahorsu et al. 2020). The Greek version of COVID-19 scale, have shown significant concurrent validity with GAD-7 scale (r=0.71, P-value <0.001) and moderate correlation with PHQ-9 Scale (r=0.47, P-value < 0.001). The cut off score for this score is 19, the participant having score of 19 or more is considered to have high fear of COVID-19 and participant having score less than 19 is considered to have low fear of COVID-19 (Tsipropoulou et al. 2020; Parlapani et al. 2020).

2. The Brief Patient health Questionnaire depression scale (PHQ-9): is self-report, 9 item scale which is used to diagnose the major depression and subthreshold depression. The total score ranges from 0-27 which helps in interpretation of severity of depression. The responses of the participant are recorded in 4 points Likert Scale (not at all=0, several days=1, more than half the days=2, nearly every day=3). The cutoff score of 10 and above signifies moderate to severe depression with significant clinical concern whereas below score of 10 signifies minimal to mild depression (cutoff score: 0-4= minimal depression, 5-9=mild depression, 10-14=moderate depression, 15-19=moderately severe depression, 20-27= severe depression). The current study applied English for India version of PHQ-9 (D. R. L. Spitzer, Williams, and Kroenke n.d.).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

3. Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 scale (GAD-7): is a self-report scale which was developed for initially diagnosing the Generalized Anxiety Disorders. The scale consists of 7 items for which the responses of the participant was recorded in 4 points Likert Scale (not at all=0, several days=1, more than half the days=2, nearly every day=3). The score of the participant ranges from 0 to 21. The threshold score of 10 has 89% sensitivity and 82% specificity for GAD (cut off score: 0-5= mild, 6-10= moderate, 11-15= moderately severe, 16-21= severe). The current study applied English version of GAD-7(R. L. Spitzer et al. 2006).

Sample size

The questionnaire was completed using the Rasoft sample size calculator for the analysis("Sample Size Calculator by Raosoft, Inc." 2020). The minimum of 306 sample is required for 95% confidence interval, 5% margin of error for the population distribution of 1500 students at 50% response distribution. Total of 324 students have taken part in this online study.

Criteria of study

All the students studying diploma, undergraduate, graduate and post- graduate were selected for the study.

Distribution of Questionnaire

The questionnaire was designed using Google forms and was distributed to the students through the various physical media platforms like Whatsapp, Facebook, Messenger, Telegram, etc. The form was sent to various student groups and to the class representative of the particular batch for proper distribution of form and to restrict the filling of form by unqualified participants. By filling in the Google form without time constraint, the students were invited to participate in the survey. Multiple responses and double submission were eliminated by Google feature limit to one submission.

Ethical Consideration

The purpose of the study was explained to the participating students and, prior to participating in the study, they were requested to submit their voluntary consent. All the procedures accomplished for this study was to adhere to the declaration of Helsinki 1964 and its later

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

amendment("WMA Declaration of Helsinki – Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects – WMA – The World Medical Association" n.d.). The online research was conducted and stated that the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES) guidelines were strictly agreed ("Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES) Item Category Checklist Item Explanation Design," n.d.).

Statistical Analysis

All the data was recorded in Microsoft excel (Microsoft Corporation) and was assessed for the accuracy of the data. The statistical analysis was completed by using IBM SPSS software, version 25 (IBM Corporation). Descriptive statistics was carried out to understand the characteristics of the data (mean, standard deviation, range, maximum value, minimum value, skewness and kurtosis). Statistically, to understand the strength of relationship of fear of COVID-19 scale (FCV-19s) with Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) and Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 scale (GAD-7) Correlation was used. To understand the effect of independent variables like Age, Gender, Degree enrolled and Any of family members got infected with COVID-19 on these scales linear regression was used.

Result

Socio-demographic Characteristic

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristic

Socio-demographic Characteristic		Students Distribution (%)
Age (in years)	18-21	190 (58.6%)
	22-25	116 (35.8%)
	26-29	8 (2.5%)
	30 and above	10 (3.1%)
Gender	Male	144 (44.4%)
	Female	180 (55.6%)
Degree Enrolled	Diploma	11 (3.4%)
	Bachelor's Degree	256 (79%)
	Master's Degree	53 (16.4%)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

	PHD	4 (1.2%)
Any of family members got infected with COVID-19	Yes	37 (11.4%)
	No	287 (88.6%)
Total Sample		324

The socio-demographic characteristic of the participant is summarized in Table1. Among the total respondents of 324, 144 (44.4%) were male and 180 (55.6%) were female. The male respondents are less in comparison with the female respondent. The majority of the participants fall in the age group between 18-21 (58.6%) and 22-25 (35.8%). Most of the participants were enrolled in bachelor's degree (79%) very few respondents were from any other degrees (diploma, Master's degree and PHD). Among 324, 37(11.4%) reported that someone from their family member got infected with COVID-19 which seems to be low while comparing the transmission of this virus in urban population

Psychometric scales

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of the sample according to the Scale

Descriptive Statistics	FCV-19 TOTAL	PHQ-9	GAD-7
N	324	324	324
Mean	22.48	6.90	5.98
Std. Deviation	6.870	6.326	5.986

Descriptive statistics were studied for all three psychometric scales to understand the characteristic of the data which is summarized in Table 2. The magnitude of COVID-19 fears, symptoms of depression and anxiety were graded according to their cutoff ranking, which is explained in Table 3. There seems to be significant fear of COVID-19 (68%) and moderate to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

severe anxiety (51.5%) among the respondent. Only 28.7% of the respondent showed moderate to severe depression.

Table 3: Categorization of students according to the scales cut off

SCALES	CATEGORIZATION	TOTAL
FCV-19s	High Fear	223 (68.8%)
	Low Fear	101 (31.2%)
PHQ-9	Minimal	142 (43.8%)
	Mild	89 (27.5%)
	Moderate	46 (14.2%)
	Moderately Severe	33 (10.2%)
	Severe	14 (4.3%)
GAD-7	mild	157 (48.5%)
	Moderate	90 (27.8%)
	Moderately severe	38 (11.7%)
	Severe	39 (12.0%)

There was found to be significant concurrent correlation between these scales. The Pearson correlation between FCV-19s and PHQ-9 was found to be 0.474 (P-value= 0.000) and 0.492 (p-value=0.000) between FCV-19s and GAD-7 respectively. This strong relationship helps to predict an increase in fear of COVID-19 will ultimately increase the anxiety and depressive symptoms in students. The fear of COVID-19 scale can give the overall idea regarding fear of COVID-19, depression and anxiety among the students.

The linear regression of these scales with independent variables and its impact is summarized in Table 4. There seems to be not much impact of the independent variable like Age, Gender and Degree enrolled on any of the scale scores of participants. There seems to be a strong association between the PHQ-9 scale and any family member who got infected with COVID-19 which explains that there is an increase in depressive symptoms when the number of COVID-19 infection increases in family.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 4: Correlation of key Variables using linear Regression with these scales

Scales	Age p-value	Gender p-value	Degree Enrolled p-value	Any of family members got infected with COVID-19 p-value
FCV-19s	.049	.542	.276	.468
PHQ-9	.015	.096	.688	.000**
GAD-7	.049	.189	.859	.012

Fear of COVID-19 scale (FCV-19s):

In the report, most of the respondents (63.7 percent) are found to be afraid of COVID-19, watching COVID-19 stories and reports on physical media seems to have had a major effect on their mental health such that they become nervous or anxious. When they think about COVID-19 and sleep properly due to fear of COVID-19, about half of the respondents seem to feel uneasy. Many people believe that their hands don't become clammy or heart races when thinking of COVID-19.

Table 5: FCV-19s Students response distribution

QUESTIONNAIRE	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. I am most afraid of COVID-19.	77 (23.7%)	130 (40%)	76 (23.4%)	27 (8.3%)	15 (4.6%)
2. It makes me uncomfortable to think about COVID-19.	69 (21.2%)	117 (36%)	81 (24.9%)	47 (14.5%)	11 (3.4%)
3. My hands become clammy when I think about COVID-19.	41 (12.6%)	71 (21.8%)	85 (26.2%)	97 (29.8%)	31(9.5%)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

4. I am afraid of losing my life because of COVID-19.	72 (22.2%)	87 (26.8%)	55 (16.9%)	77 (23.7%)	34 (10.5%)
5. When watching news and stories about COVID-19 on physical media, I Become nervous or anxious. .	67 (20.6%)	116 (35.7%)	73 (23.5%)	50 (15.4%)	19 (5.8%)
6. I cannot sleep because I'm worrying about getting COVID-19.	31 (9.5%)	40 (12.3%)	69 (21.2%)	122 (37.5%)	63 (19.4%)
7. My heart races or palpitates when I think about getting COVID-19	39 (12%)	72 (22.2%)	66 (20.3%	108 (33.2%)	40 (12.3%)

The Brief Patient Health Questionnaire depression Scale (PHQ-9):

Many respondents (28%) think they have no motivation or enjoyment in doing stuff and feel down, sad or hopeless (21.9 percent). Approximately 20% of respondents appear to have difficulty falling asleep or sleeping for a long time or sleeping too much and find it difficult to focus on stuff (reading or watching television). About one fifth of the participants have shown the depressive symptoms of feeling tired and having less energy and feeling bad about themselves. Very few respondents have thoughts of better off dead or hurting themselves and poor appetite or overeating.

Table: 6 PHQ-9 student response distribution

Questionnaire	Not at all	several days	more than half days	nearly every day
1.Little interest or pleasure in doing things	123 (37.8%)	111 (34.2%)	46 (14.2%)	45 (13.8%)
2. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless	165 (50.8%)	89 (27.4%)	36 (11.1%)	35 (10.8%)
3. Trouble falling or staying asleep, or sleeping too much	163 (50.2%)	81 (24.9%)	37 (11.4%)	44 (13.5%)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

4. Feeling tired or having little energy	169 (52%)	76 (23.4%)	32 (9.8%)	48 (14.8%)
5. Poor appetite or overeating	208 (64%)	62 (19.1%)	22 (6.8%)	33 (10.2%)
6. Feeling bad about yourself or that you are a failure or have let yourself or your family down	193 (59.4%)	63 (19.4%)	23 (7.1%)	46 (14.2%)
7. Trouble concentrating on things, such as reading the newspaper or watching television	165 (50.8%)	77 (23.7%)	35 (10.8%)	48 (14.8%)
8. Moving or speaking so slowly that other people could have noticed. Or the opposite being so fidgety or restless that you have been moving around a lot more than usual	210 (64.6%)	74 (22.8%)	24 (7.2%)	17 (5.2%)
9. Thoughts that you would be better off dead, or of hurting yourself	233 (71.7%)	51 (15.7%)	21 (6.5%)	20 (6.2%)

Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7):

Approximately one-fifth of the participants cannot stop or manage worrying, worrying about too much trouble relaxing, getting quickly irritated or irritable, and feeling afraid as though anything bad will happen almost every day for more than half a day. Very few people, for more than half a day or almost every day, appear to be restless.

Table7: GAD-7 student response distribution

QUESTIONNAIRE	Not at all	several days	more than half days	nearly every day
1. Feeling nervous, anxious, or on edge	188 (57.8%)	78 (24%)	22 (6.8%)	37 (11.4%)
2. Not being able to stop or control worrying	161 (49.5%)	92 (28.3%)	28 (8.6%)	44 (13.5%)
3. Worrying too much about different things	131 (40.3%)	100 (30.8%)	33 (10.2%)	61 (18.8%)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

4. Trouble relaxing	160 (49.2%)	100 (30.8%)	31 (9.5%)	34 (10.5%)
5. Being so restless that it's hard to sit still	191 (58.8%)	76 (23.4%)	24 (7.4%)	34 (10.5%)
6. Becoming easily annoyed or irritable	146 (44.9%)	105 (32.3%)	34 (10.5%)	40 (12.3%)
7. Feeling afraid as if something awful might happen	156 (48%)	91 (28%)	27 (8.3%)	51 (15.7%)

Discussion

Sociodemographic

The survey was distributed by the most popular social networking platform in India via social media, including Facebook, WhatsApp and Instagram. The most common age for the survey was between 18-25 and between 18-21 (58.6%), 22-25 (35.8%), 26-29 (2.5%) and above 30 (3.1%) and mainly from [Bachelor of Pharmacy] degrees (79%). Compared to the guy, there are a greater number of female respondents statistically, but there is not much substantial difference. The gender difference between males and females is around (44.4%) between males and females (56.6%). In psychological and behavioral responses to the COVID-19 pandemic, the study conducted by parlapani et al. also showed higher numbers of female participants compared to male respondents(Parlapani et al. 2020). Women are found to have greater mental literacy and are more involved than men in engaging in health-related online studies(Furnham and Swami 2018; Eysenbach and Wyatt 2002).

The Psychological Impact of COVID-19

The cross-sectional study found that one in every three participants had moderate to severe symptoms of anxiety during the initial phase of the pandemic in China . Only after the outburst of the pandemic, a cross-sectional analysis conducted in Shanghai and Wuhan showed that the incidence of mild to extreme anxiety increased 4-5 times (Qian et al. 2020). In Greece, a cross-sectional analysis to clarify the behavioral and psychological reactions to the COVID-19

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

pandemic found that 77.4% of participants had moderate to serious anxiety symptoms and 22.8% had moderate to severe depressive symptoms (Parlapani et al. 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic has been shown to cause increased anxiety, which is similar to other infectious diseases outburst. This study found that one in two students (51.5%) had moderate to serious symptoms of anxiety and 28.7 percent had moderate to severe depressive symptoms due to this pandemic and its limitations.

Fear of COVID-19

Fear is considered a biologically "reflex" emotion, an automatic "basic" reaction to a specific external threat. There is a broad correlation between fear and anxiety, with an unknown, unclear or future risk being more associated with both. Via an evolutionary perspective, fear is related to risk-avoiding activities, while anxiety is linked to preparation. Adaptation and consciousness promote both emotional states. It may elicit behavioral regulation and promote self-protective responses, because fear is connected to self-perseverance(Schimmenti, Billieux, and Starcevic 2020; Steimer 2002).

On the FCV-19S scale, a recent study measured fear of COVID-19 in 324 United Kingdom volunteers. According to the findings, more public health practices were employed by participants displaying greater levels of anxiety. Therefore, the findings showed that this "efficient" form of fear could be carefully used by health authorities to nurture safety behaviors. It should be recalled, however, that fear not only facilitates self-protective acts, but also offensive responses to control fear, such as denial (e.g., 'I am not at risk of being infected'). These two opposing responses are significantly negative, i.e. the more apprehension in denial or defensive avoidance is rejected; the less guidelines are followed to avoid the real danger(R. L. Spitzer et al. 2006).

The research conducted in Greece found that 35.7% displayed a higher degree of fear of COVID-19 (Parlapani et al. 2020). This research found that 68.7% had a greater degree of fear of COVID-19. This finding can be explained by the fact that although personal view with the virus was not associated with fear, a nearby person's COVID-19 disease was correlated with greater fear.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Conclusion

The psychological effect of COVID-19 in Indian students during the near pandemic year was analyzed in this study, and even the specific connections among fears, depression and anxiety of COVID-19. This research concludes that, along with anxiety and depression, there is a very strong fear of COVID-19 among students. This study also concludes that the fear of the COVID-19 scale and the GAD-7 and PHQ-9 scales have a strong positive correlation.

Comprehensively, although past experiences with the 2003 SARS epidemic has shown that infectious disease crises can have fast mental health effects, the long-term impact of COVID-19 needs to be assessed for the population of India. In order to mitigate fear and encourage healthier lifestyles during the pandemic, strategic public health interventions are needed.

Study Limitations:

Some of the constraints are included in this report. In this sample, there is an unequal distribution of respondents and it is a cross-sectional study so that casual intervention cannot be done. There are very few respondents from diploma students, so the survey result cannot generalize the student population as a whole. The questionnaire was self-administered and recorded in this review, so it is difficult to understand whether it was reasonably completed (i.e. social desirability bias and the answers do not show the reality). Since it is an internet-based survey, the study will not actively collect the responses of learners who are not linked to social media.

Acknowledgement: No funding has been granted for this study by any institution and organization. We are very thankful to the volunteers of this study and the college staff for making this study possible**.**

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest declared.

References:

Bharatharaj, Jaishankar, Mohsen Alyami, Marcus Henning, Hussain Alyami, and Christian Krägeloh. 2020. "Tamil Version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale." https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-40914/v1.

"Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES) Item Category Checklist Item

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Explanation Design." n.d.

Davide, Prestia, Pozza Andrea, Olcese Martina, Escelsior Andrea, Dettore Davide, and Amore Mario. 2020. "The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Patients with OCD: Effects of Contamination Symptoms and Remission State before the Quarantine in a Preliminary Naturalistic Study." *Psychiatry Research* 291 (January). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113213.

Eysenbach, Gunther, and Jeremy Wyatt. 2002. "Using the Internet for Surveys and Health Research." *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. JMIR Publications Inc. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.4.2.e13.

Furnham, Adrian, and Viren Swami. 2018. "Mental Health Literacy: A Review of What It Is and Why It Matters." *International Perspectives in Psychology: Research, Practice, Consultation* 7 (4): 240–57. https://doi.org/10.1037/ipp0000094.

Kwasi Ahorsu, Daniel, Chung-Ying Lin, Vida Imani, Mohsen Saffari, Mark D Griffiths, and Amir H Pakpour. 2020. "The Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Development and Initial Validation." *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00270-8.

M.G, Hariprasad, Narayan Sah Sonar, and Biki Ray. 2020. "A Review: Pandemic Novel Coronavirus (Covid-19)." *Global Journal of Medical Research: B Pharma, Drug Discovery, Toxicology &Medicine* 20 (4). https://medicalresearchjournal.org/index.php/GJMR/article/view/2062/1951.

Parlapani, Eleni, Vasiliki Holeva, Panteleimon Voitsidis, Apostolos Blekas, Ioannis Gliatas, Georgia N Porfyri, Adrianos Golemis, et al. 2020. "Psychological and Behavioral Responses to the COVID-19 Pandemic in Greece." *Frontiers in Psych* 11 (August): 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00821.

Qian, Mengcen, Qianhui Wu, Peng Wu, Zhiyuan Hou, Yuxia Liang, Benjamin J. Cowling, and Hongjie Yu. 2020. "Psychological Responses, Behavioral Changes and Public Perceptions during the Early Phase of the COVID-19 Outbreak in China: A Population Based Cross-Sectional Survey." *MedRxiv*. medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.18.20024448.

"Sample Size Calculator by Raosoft, Inc." 2020. 2020. http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html.

Schimmenti, Adriano, Joël Billieux, and Vladan Starcevic. 2020. "The Four Horsemen of Fear: An Integrated Model of Understanding Fear Experiences during the COVID-19 Pandemic." *Clinical Neuropsychiatry* 17 (2): 41–45. https://doi.org/10.36131/CN20200202.

Spitzer, Drs. Robert L., Janet B.W. Williams, and Kurt Kroenke. n.d. "PHQ and GAD-7 Instructions INSTRUCTION MANUAL Instructions for Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ) and GAD-7 Measures." Accessed January 14, 2021. www.phqscreeners.com.

Spitzer, Robert L, Kurt Kroenke, Janet B W Williams, and Bernd Löwe. 2006. "A Brief Measure for Assessing Generalized Anxiety Disorder The GAD-7." *Archives of Internal Medicine* 166. https://doi.org/10.1001/archnite.166.10.1092.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Steimer, Thierry. 2002. "The Biology of Fear- and Anxiety-Related Behaviors." *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience* 4 (3): 231–49. https://doi.org/10.31887/dcns.2002.4.3/tsteimer.

Tsipropoulou, V., V. A. Nikopoulou, Vasiliki Holeva, Z. Nasika, I. Diakogiannis, S. Sakka, S. Kostikidou, C. Varvara, E. Spyridopoulou, and E. Parlapani. 2020. "Psychometric Properties of the Greek Version of FCV-19S." *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00319-8.

Tzur Bitan, Dana, Ariella Grossman-Giron, Yuval Bloch, Yael Mayer, Noga Shiffman, and Shlomo Mendlovic. 2020. "Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Psychometric Characteristics, Reliability and Validity in the Israeli Population." *Psychiatry Research* 289: 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113100.

"WMA Declaration of Helsinki – Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects – WMA – The World Medical Association." n.d. Accessed November 10, 2020. https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21250695; this version posted February 9, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint
(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .