How did COVID-19 measures impact sexual behaviour and access to HIV/STI services in Panama? Results from a national cross-sectional online survey

Amanda Gabster[1,2*]	[1]Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud, Ave. Justo Arosemena y Calle 36, Panamá, Panamá, 507-527-4952 [2]London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, UK, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases agabster@gorgas.gob.pa /Amanda.gabster@lshtm.ac.uk
Jennifer Toller Erausquin[3]	[3]University of North Carolina Greensboro, USA, School of Health and Human Sciences, jt.erausquin@uncg.edu
Kristien Michielsen[4]	[4]Universiteit Gent, Belgium, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Kristien.Michielsen@UGent.be
Philippe Mayaud[2]	[2] London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, UK, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases philippe.mayaud@lshtm.ac.uk
Juan Miguel Pascale[1,5]	[1]Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud, Panama [3] Universidad de Panamá, Facultad de Medicina jmpascale@gorgas.gob.pa
Carles Pericas Escalé[4]	[4]Universiteit Gent, Belgium, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Michael Marks[2]	[2] London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, UK, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases Hospital for Tropical Diseases, London, UK michael.marks@lshtm.ac.uk
Jennifer Katz[1]	[1]Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud, Panamá jenniferkatz26@gmail.com
Gonzalo Cabezas Talavero[1]	[1]Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud, Panamá Gonzalo.panama.it@gmail.com
Marilu de Argote[1]	[1]Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud, Panamá argotemac@gmail.com
Anet Murillo[1]	[1]Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud, Panama anetmurillo@gmail.com
Joseph D. Tucker[7, 2]	[7]Institute for Global Health and Infectious Diseases, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA [2]London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, UK, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases jdtucker@med.unc.edu

Keywords: COVID-19, sexual behaviour, virtual sex, HIV care, STI testing, Latin America

WC: abstract, 300 of 300 allowed; text 2998 of allowed 3000, 3 tables & 1 figure (of allowed 4), 2 supplementary tables.

Abstract

Objectives To describe perceived changes in sexual behaviours, including virtual sex (sexting and cybersex), and access to HIV/STI testing and care during COVID-19 measures in Panama.
Methods We conducted an online cross-sectional survey from August 8 to September 12, 2020, among adults (≥18 years) residing in Panama. Participants were recruited through social media. Questions included demographics, access to HIV/STI testing and HIV care and sexual behaviours three months before COVID-19 social distancing measures and during social distancing measures (COVID-19 measures). Logistic regression was used to identify associations between variables and behavioural changes.
Results We recruited 960 participants; 526 (54.8%) identified as cis-women, 366 (38.1%) cis-men, and 68 (7.1%) non-binary or another gender; median age was 28y (IQR:23-37y), 531/957 (55.5%) were of mixed-ethnicity (mixed-Indigenous/European/Afro-descendant ancestry). Before COVID-19 measures, virtual sex was reported by 38.5% (181/470) cis-women, 58.4% (184/315) cis-men and 45.0% (27/60) non-binary participants; during COVID-19 measures, virtual sex increased among 17.2% cis-women, 24.7% cis-men and 8.9% non-binary participants. During COVID-19 measures, 230/800 [28.8%] of participants reported decreased casual sex compared to pre-COVID-19 measures. Compared to pre-COVID-19 measures, decreased casual sex were reported more frequently during COVID-19 measures by cis-men compared to cis-women (39.2% versus 22.9%, urban/rural adjusted odds ratio [AOR]=2.17, 95% confidence interval [CI]:1.57-3.01); and by Afro-descendant compared to mixed-ethnicity participants (40.0% versus 29.8%, AOR=1.78, 95%CI:1.07-2.94). Compared to no change in virtual sex (16.8%), increase in virtual sex (38.5%, AOR=1.78, 95%CI:1.10-2.88); and decreased virtual sex (86.7%, AOR=16.53, 95%CI:7.74-35.27) were associated with decreased casual sex encounters. During COVID-19 measures, HIV/STI testing could not be obtained by 58.0%(58/100) participants who needed a test, and interrupted HIV care was reported by 53.3% (8/15) HIV-positive participants.
Conclusions COVID-19 measures in Panama were associated with a decrease in casual sex among cis-men and Afro-descendant peoples, whilst access to HIV/STI testing and care was seriously disrupted.

Introduction

HIV and other sexually transmitted infections (STIs) have been on the rise in Panama for the past several years [1], and groups including young adults [2] and Indigenous youth [3] are particularly affected. As of 2019, HIV prevalence nationwide was estimated at 0.6%, belying significant concentrated epidemics among men who have sex with men (MSM) (6.9%) and transwomen (29.6%) populations [1] Correspondingly, STI prevalence is more concentrated among MSM, female sex workers, and adolescents [4-7]. Higher HIV and STI prevalence in Panama is associated with engaging in sexual activity with new and casual partners, due to increased access to connected sexual networks and condomless sex soon after partnership initiation [1].

The COVID-19 pandemic has brought several important changes relevant to sexual health; it also created challenges for in-person data collection. Many population health surveys and other sexual health research activities were initially paused. A small number of published studies from North America and Europe have examined the impact of COVID-19 measures on sexual behaviours [8-10]. However, there is a research gap in Latin America, especially among more isolated rural and Indigenous populations. There is also little information in the region about how COVID-19 measures have affected HIV/STI testing and care services.

Given the relatively high prevalence of HIV and STIs in Panama and the potential for COVID-19 measures to affect both behaviours and access to medical care, the objective of this study was to examine perceived changes in sexual behaviours and access to key sexual health services during COVID-19 measures using an online survey. Based on the association of new and casual partnerships with HIV and STIs, we focused on identifying factors associated with decreased casual sex during COVID-19 measures.

Methods

This study was an online, cross-sectional survey conducted as part of the first round of International Sexual Health And REproductive Health (I-SHARE), a series of surveys conducted

in 34 countries to study sexual and reproductive health during COVID-19 measures [11]. I-SHARE Panama was conducted from August 8 to September 12, 2020 at the end of the strictest COVID-19 lockdown measures (**Figure 1**). Participants reported behaviours from the three-months period before lockdown measures (December 17, 2019 to March 17, 2020) and during the strictest lockdown measures (March 18 to September 12, 2020). The survey was advertised on the website and social media (Facebook and Twitter) of the national public health research institute, Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud (ICGES); on social media of non-profit organisations and individuals; and through SMS and direct messages sent to individuals and groups who had previously interacted with partner organizations. Targeted invitation was included in provincial and Comarcal social media platforms to increase participation in these regions to better match census population estimates (**Supplementary Table 1**). Promotion messages asked adults to fill out the survey and/or share the survey link. No IP-address restrictions were included (more than one result can be recorded on the same device) as mobile phones are commonly shared within households and among community members.

Study design and populations

We used convenience sampling. All adults ages aged ≥18 years who saw the social media/website/messages and lived in Panama were invited to participate.

Questionnaire creation and study procedures

The questionnaire was collaboratively developed with the I-SHARE consortium [11]. Questions were based on existing survey items and multi-item scales, with some new items developed to address the COVID-19 context [12]. The Panama instrument was translated from the consortium English into Spanish, programmed into OpenDataKit (University of Washington, USA) and pilot-tested with 15 individuals for understanding and acceptability. Participants completed the online questionnaire in 10-30 minutes. Only items associated with skip patterns were obligatory.

Key variables of interest occurring over the <u>three months before</u> and <u>during</u> COVID-19 measures included: sexual intercourse with a casual (new or non-long-term) partner, sexual intercourse with a long-term partner, virtual sex (including 'sexting' and 'cybersex'), use of sexual health

services such as HIV/STI testing ("Did COVID-19 measures stop/hinder testing access?") and HIV care ("Had HIV treatment appointments been cancelled?"). Other influencing variables included: age, sex, gender, number of children, ethnic group, urban/rural residence, household and personal income, sexual orientation, general sexual satisfaction, practice of masturbation, long-term partner variables (cohabitation, tensions, emotional support, cuddling) and condom use with casual and/or long-term partners during the specified time.

Statistical analyses

We conducted univariable analyses to describe demographic characteristics. We used χ^2 tests to evaluate differences by participants' sex and other influencing variables and the differences between urban/rural residence; casual sex; and sexual activity with their long-term partner three months before and during COVID-19 measures. All participants with valid data were included; due to non-response on some questions, sample sizes varied.

In addition, we examined factors related to perceived decreased casual sex during COVID-19 measures. We undertook a series of three multivariable analyses comparing participants who reported the frequency of casual sex to have stayed the same over the two periods versus those who reported a decrease during COVID-19 measures, having excluded from analysis individuals who reported an increase in casual sex (n=21). The three models focused on different sets of variables: a) participant socio-demographic variables, b) individual, casual partner variables, c) long-term partners' behaviours. We first used logistic regression to calculate unadjusted bivariable odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Variables associated with the decreased casual sex outcome at $p<0.2$ level in bivariable analyses were included in multivariable models. As the duration of COVID-19 measures differed between urban and rural regions, we adjusted for residence (urban/rural) in the multivariable analyses. Variables independently associated with decreased casual sex at $p<0.1$ were included in the final model to provide adjusted odds ratios (AORs) and 95%CIs controlling for participant gender and urban/rural residence. Associations with $p<0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

Ethical considerations

We obtained ethical approval from the Comité Nacional de Bioética de Panama (EC-CNBI-2020-06-73), Ghent University (BC-07988) and the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill for secondary data analysis. Only participants who gave online informed consent by ticking a box could participate. No monetary incentive was provided to participants. The survey did not collect WhatsApp phone numbers, telephone numbers, IP addresses, or any other identifying information.

Results

In total, participants from 11 out of 12 Panamanian provinces responded to the survey; provincial distribution was similar to the 2020 census projection [13] (**Supplementary Table 1**). Of 960 participants who completed the online questionnaire, 526 (54.8%) identified as cis-women, 366 (38.1%) cis-men and 68 (7.1%) non-binary or of another gender. The median age was 28 years (interquartile range [IQR]: 23-37y). Mixed ethnicity (mixed Indigenous/European/Afro-descendant ancestry) was reported by 55.5% (531/957), Afro-descendent 10.6% (101/957), White 22.2% (212/531), Asian 1.7% (16/957) and Indigenous 10.1% (97/957). Overall, 72.4% (679/938) identified as heterosexual, 7.8% (73/938) as bisexual, 9.6% (90/938) as gay or lesbian, and 10.2% (96/938) as asexual, pansexual, queer, questioning or another orientation (**Table 1**).

Sexual behaviours

Previous sexual experience was reported by 88.8% (852/960) of participants. Before COVID-19 measures, casual sex among sexually experienced participants was reported by 18.2% (85/466) of cis-women, 32.45 (101/312) of cis-men and 23.7% (14/59) of non-binary participants (**Table 2, Panel A)**. Of those who reported casual sex, always using a condom in such encounters was reported by 50.6% (43/85) of cis-women, 61.2% (63/103) cis-men and 53.9% (7/13) non-binary participants (**Supplementary Table 2**). Of all participants, compared to before COVID-19 measures, during COVID-19 measures, 68.6% (549/800) of respondents reported no change, 28.8% (230/800) reported a decrease, and 2.6% (21/800) reported an increase in casual sex (**Table 2, Panel A**).

Of participants with a long-term partner, sex with the long-term partner and casual sex encounter at least monthly before COVID-19 measures was reported by 18.2% (80/440) of cis-women, 33.5% (93/278) cis-men, and 25.5% (14/55) non-binary participants.

Overall, before COVID-19 measures, 47.1% (394/837) of participants reported being sexually satisfied (**Supplementary Table 2**). Of those not satisfied before COVID-19 measures, 33.9% (150/442) reported increased satisfaction during COVID-19. During COVID-19 measures, 21.6% (105/486) reported an increase in sexual problems (individual or partner´s erectile dysfunction or inhibited desire, orgasm) and 49.4% (240/486) reported a decrease in sexual problems **Table 2).**

Before COVID-19 measures, 46.4% (392/845) participants reported using virtual sex at least once a month, including sexting 44.1% (369/837) and cybersex 20.4% (172/842). Use of virtual sex had increased during COVID-19 measures for 19.9% (159/800) of participants, decreased for 11.8% (94/800), and remained unchanged for 68.4% (547/800) (**Table 2, Panel A**).

Long-term partner relationship and sexual behaviours

Overall, 66.4% (637/960) participants reported having a long-term relationship before COVID-19 measures; 504 (79.1%) of whom reported to still be in their long-term relationship during COVID-19 measures. Sexual intercourse at least monthly with their long-term partner was reported by 92.7% (332/358) cis-women, 82.5% (160/194) cis-men and 90.9% (40/44) non-binary participants. Decreases in sex with their long-term partner during COVID-19 measures was reported by 50.2% (153/305) cis-women, 52.2% (82/157) cis-men, and 48.3% (14/29) non-binary participants (**Table 2, Panel B**).

Access to HIV/STI testing and HIV care services

Overall, 45.6% (58/823) participants reported that condoms were more difficult to find during COVID-19 measures. This percentage did not differ between urban and rural areas (44.2% [273/299] urban compared to 49.5% [101/204] rural, p=0.38).

Of the 10.4% (100/960) who reported they needed an STI or HIV test, 58.0% (58/100) reported they could not receive it due to the COVID-19 measures. This percentage was higher among urban areas, however the difference was not significant (62.0% [44/71] urban compared with 48.3% [14/29] rural, p=0.20).

Few (15/960, 1.6%) participants reported to be living with HIV, 8 of whom reported to have had an HIV care appointment cancelled or postponed due to COVID-19 measures. Thirteen of the 15 respondents living with HIV were in urban areas, and all participants living with HIV reported worrying about ART shortages.

Factors related to decreased casual sex during COVID-19

Participant characteristics

After adjusting for urban/rural residence, cis-men were more likely to report decreases in casual sex during COVID-19 measures (39.2%) compared to cis-women (22.9%) (AOR=2.16, 95%CI:1.58-2.95) (**Table 3, Panel A)**.

After adjusting for participant gender and urban/rural residence, several factors were associated with decreased casual sex during COVID-19 measures. Individuals of Afro-descendant ethnicity reported a larger decrease in sex with casual partners (40.0% compared to 29.8% among mixed ethnicity, AOR=1.78, 95%CI:1.07-2.94). There was weak association between sex orientation and decreased sex with casual partners (43.2% among gay or lesbian participants versus 26.5% of heterosexual participants, AOR=1.66, 95%CI:0.88-3.14); (**Table 3, Panel B**).

Participants who reported the same or increased levels of alcohol use during COVID-19 measures were less likely to report decreased casual sex compared with those who decreased their alcohol use during COVID-19 measures (19.5% and 23.7% versus 40.4%, AOR=0.38, 95%CI:0.26-0.55 and AOR=0.53, 95%CI:0.32-0.85, respectively).

Individual sexual behaviours and virtual sex use

After adjusting for participant gender and urban/rural residence, an increase in sexual satisfaction was associated with a decrease in casual sex: 45.3% of those reporting an increase in sexual satisfaction also reported decreased casual sex compared to 19.8% among those reporting decreased sexual satisfaction (AOR=3.34, 95%CI:2.29-4.88).

Perceived changes in virtual sex during COVID-19 measures were also associated with decrease in casual sex in adjusted models. Compared to no change in virtual sex (16.8%), an increase in virtual sex (38.5%) was associated with decreased casual sex (AOR=1.78,95%CI:1.10-2.88) and decreased virtual sex (86.7%,AOR=16.53, 95%CI:7.74-35.27) were associated with decreased casual sex.

Discussion

This study examined perceived changes in sexual behaviours, use of virtual sex, and access to HIV/STI testing and HIV care during the implementation of COVID-19 measures in Panama. Our results among a diverse convenience sample of urban and rural dwellers across 11/12 provinces expand the literature about sexual behaviours during COVID-19 measures in Latin America. We found that overall sexual activity may have decreased among some individuals. Casual sex, widely practised by 18.2% of cis-women, 32.4% of cis-men and 23.7% of nonbinary participants pre-COVID, decreased for 22.9% of cis-women, 39.1% of cis-men and 29.6% of nonbinary participants. On the other hand, virtual sex, also widely practised by 20 to 40% of respondents pre-COVID-19, increased for 20% of respondents. Finally, participants reported COVID-19 measures interrupted access to condoms, HIV/STI testing and, worryingly, to HIV care for those who needed the services.

A large proportion of participants reported decreased sexual activity during COVID-19 measures, findings that differ from the Latvian I-SHARE study, which found most individuals did not have a change sexual frequency during COVID-19 measures [14]. Half of Panama participants reported decreased sex with a long-term partner. This may have been due to extended periods together and increased time with children or other housemates [8, 15]. Sex with

a casual partner decreased among more than one-quarter of individuals. Sex with casual partners has also shown to have decreased in the United States and Australia early in the pandemic [8, 10, 16]. A decrease in casual sex partners may provide a unique opportunity for a reduction in behavioural risk, but further research is needed.

Nearly half of our participants reported engaging in virtual sex before COVID-19 measures; 20% used cybersex, and 40% used sexting at least monthly, including in very rural provinces. Twenty percent of participants reported increased virtual sex during COVID-19 measures. Before COVID-19 measures, cybersex use in Sweden (32%) was found to be slightly higher than what was found in Panama [17]. Some researchers and media outlets had hypothesised that virtual sex might increase during COVID-19 due to fewer in-person sexual encounters. However, the first analyses from North America did not demonstrate this [9, 10]. Interestingly, we found that participants who reported to have either increased or decreased virtual sex use were more likely to report a decrease in casual sex, compared to those who did not change their virtual sex use. Our findings indicate that virtual sex use in Panama may serve both as a substitute and a preamble to in-person sex. A pre-COVID-19 meta-analysis found positive correlations between sexting, number of sex partners and condomless sex [18]. As virtual sex practices emerge as normalized, sex-positive tools of sexual behaviour in Panama, privacy and potential extorsion warnings should be addressed within the applications themselves. Additionally, community-wide campaigns could educate on privacy laws and recommend use of encrypted applications [19].

The HIV epidemic is concentrated among specific populations, particularly MSM and transwomen; STI prevalence is high among adolescents and unregistered female sex workers [4-7]. Regular testing of HIV/STI in these populations is considered to aid in controlling transmission. Interruption of HIV/STI services may lead to decreased diagnoses and treatment, thereby increasing continued transmission and increase in sequelae. During COVID-19 measures in Panama, access to key services was interrupted, with over 50% of those needing HIV/STI testing not getting it. This is supported by an overall decrease of 71% of new HIV diagnoses reported by the Panama government during that period [20]. A study in Australia also found a substantial decrease in HIV tests during 2020 [21]. HIV testing services elsewhere, including

Latin America, have been significantly interrupted during the global pandemic [22-26]. Respondents also reported disrupted access to STI and HIV prevention (condoms) and HIV care commodities. Such difficulties in accessing HIV/STI testing and care in Panama during the COVID-19 measures may have been related to limited transportation, testing facility closures or the *covidization* of health services. Panama does not have policies supporting HIV self-testing and STI sample self-collection, as can be found elsewhere globally. Therefore, our findings suggest the need for patient self-testing approaches [27, 28] that would help maintain continuity of services during national medical crises.

Our study has several limitations. First, online questionnaires are likely to suffer selection bias as they are only able to include participants who have seen the announcement and are both motivated and able to use online tools. However, our study used best practices for the conduct of online research during COVID-19 [29]. These recommendations include using an online panel for the study design, implementing the survey with partner organizations, designing the survey for the end-user experience, and having a prespecified analysis plan [29]. We found that our sample had a similar structure to the 2020 census data in terms of ethnicity, urban/rural residence, and province of residence (**Supplementary Table 1**), except that our sample had more female participants compared to census data. This finding is common in health surveys that examine sexual and reproductive health topics [30]. Thirdly, casual sexual encounters may be underreported as over half of our participants were in long-term partnerships; additionally, memory bias may have enhanced or impaired recall of behaviours from before and during COVID-19 measures. Fourthly, comparisons between sexual orientation and gender groups should be interpreted with caution given the relatively smaller sample of non-cisgender respondents. Fifthly, this paper focused on casual sexual encounters and sexual behaviours in general. Other related sexual health topics, including intimate partner violence, access to reproductive health services and mental health will be reported elsewhere. Sixthly, as this is a single behavioural cross-sectional study, and the capacity for collecting biological samples was limited during this time, therefore there should be caution when making any casual inferences from the data. Lastly, this analysis is unable to correlate behaviour with biological outcomes, therefore we do not know the impact of behaviour changes on HIV or STI rates.

Our study has implications for STI and HIV research and policy. Our data suggest the need and usefulness of more rigorous behavioural research during national medical crises and pandemics that have the capacity to disturb normal services. While we were able to recruit a convenience sample during the pandemic, national panels or other methods can be used to obtain less biased observations [29]. These data will be important as lockdown conditions are relaxed and reinforced. From a policy perspective, our data underline the importance of maintaining the continuity of HIV/STI testing and care services even during emergency responses.

Conclusions

Our findings add to the sexual behaviour literature in Panama during COVID-19. We found a decrease in sexual activity among some individuals for casual encounters, paralleled with a rise in the use of virtual sex. STI and HIV prevention and care services were significantly disrupted during COVID-19 measures, suggesting the need for decentralized services.

Figure 1: Timeline of before COVID-19 social distancing measures and COVID-19 social distancing measures in Panama

Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of the study population, Panama, 2020

		N	%
Sex		N=960	
	Female	563	58.6
	Male	397	41.4
Gender		N=960	
	Cis-Woman	526	54.8
	Cis-Man	366	38.1
	Non-binary/another gender	68	7.1
Age	**median (28, IQR:23-37)**	N=960	
	18-23	280	29.2
	24-28	205	21.4
	29-37	246	25.6
	38 and above	229	23.8
Place of residence		N=958	
	Capital City, large or medium city	656	68.5
	Rural town, community or Comarcal town	302	31.5
Number of children	**Median (0, IQR: 0-1)**	N=960	
	0	641	66.8
	1-2	250	26.0
	3 and more	69	7.2
Highest completed level of education		N=957	
	Secondary or less	127	13.3
	Some university	289	30.2
	Post-secondary (university completed)	541	56.5
Ethnic group		N=957	
	Mixed*	531	55.5
	Afro-descendent	101	10.6
	White	212	22.2
	Asian	16	1.7
	Indigenous	97	10.1
Successful following of COVID-19 measures		N=957	
	Not at all or only some	75	7.8
	Mostly or strictly follow them	882	92.2
Have you been in strict confinement due to COVID-19		N=955	
	No	817	85.5
	Yes	138	14.5
SARS-CoV-2 test		N=956	
	Never	775	81.1
	Yes, and positive at least once	26	2.7
	Yes, and always been negative	155	16.2
Including yourself, how many people do you live with	**median 3 people (IQR2-4)**	N=943	
	0	33	3.5
	1	118	12.5
	2	233	24.7
	3 to 4	439	46.6
	5 or more	120	12.7
Change in employment		N=935	
	No change in what I do or going to work	183	19.6
	Work from home part-time or fulltime	448	47.9
	lost work or without work before and during COVID-19 measures	304	32.5
Household income since COVID started		N=926	
	US$0 a $499 monthly	273	29.5
	US$500 a $999 monthly	176	19.0
	US$1000 a $2000 monthly	206	22.3
	US$2001-$5000 monthly	195	21.1
	US$5001 and higher monthly	76	8.2
Changes in household economic situation since COVID started		N=953	
	Household economics got worse	483	50.7
	No changes in household economics	445	46.7
	Household economics got better	25	2.6
Weekly Alcohol use during COVID-19 social distancing		N=932	
	Decreased	427	45.8

	The same	349	37.4
	Increased	156	16.7
Sexual orientation		N=938	
	Heterosexual	679	72.4
	Bisexual	73	7.8
	Gay or Lesbian	90	9.6
	Asexual, pansexual, queer, questioning, another gender	96	10.2

*mixed ethnicity is mixed Indigenous/European/Afro-descendant/Asian ancestry

Table 2. Sexual behaviours during COVID-19 social distancing measures in Panama, Panama, 2020

		All participants		Cis-women		Cis-men		Non-binary/another gender	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Panel A: Individual and casual partner behaviours									
Sexual Satisfaction		N=833		N=463		N=311		N=59	
	Decreased	406	48.7	243	52.5	133	42.8	30	50.8
	The same	211	25.3	115	24.8	81	26.1	15	25.4
	Increased	216	25.9	105	22.7	97	31.9	14	23.7
Sexual Problems*		N=486		N=301		N=156		N=29	
	Decreased	240	49.4	140	46.5	83	53.2	17	58.6
	The same	141	29.0	89	29.6	44	28.2	8	27.6
	Increased	105	21.6	72	23.9	29	18.6	4	13.8
Masturbated		N=817		N=455		N=307		N=55	
	Decreased	181	22.1	120	26.4	46	15.0	15	27.3
	The same	410	50.2	246	54.1	132	43.0	32	58.2
	Increased	226	27.7	89	19.6	129	42.0	8	14.6
Pornography use		N=804		N=442		N=306		N=56	
	Decreased	141	17.5	78	17.7	52	17.0	11	19.6
	The same	483	60.1	306	69.2	138	45.1	39	69/6
	Increased	180	22.4	58	13.1	116	37.9	6	10.7
Virtual sex**		N=800		N=441		N=303		N=56	
	Decreased	94	11.8	36	8.2	45	14.9	13	23.2
	The same	547	68.4	329	74.6	180	59.4	38	67.9
	Increased	159	19.9	76	17.2	78	24.7	5	8.9
Casual sex encounters		N=800		N=441		N=304		N=55	
	Decreased	230	28.8	99	22.4	115	37.8	16	29.1
	The same	549	68.6	333	75.5	178	58.5	38	69.1
	Increased	21	2.6	9	2.0	11	3.6	1	1.8
Condom use with a casual partner		N=198		N=81		N=102		N=15	
	Decreased	35	17.7	12	14.8	19	18.6	4	26.7
	The same	131	66.2	59	72.8	63	61.8	9	60.0
	Increased	32	16.2	10	12.3	20	19.6	2	13.3
Panel B: Long-term relationship behaviours									
Long-term partnership tensions***		N=495		N=308		N=159		N=28	
	Decreased	169	34.1	107	34.7	51	32.1	11	39.3
	The same	158	31.9	102	33.1	47	29.6	9	32.1
	Increased	168	33.9	99	32.1	61	38.4	8	28.6
Sex with a long-term partner		N=491		N=305		N=157		N=29	
	Decreased	249	50.7	153	50.2	82	52.2	14	48.3
	The same	178	36.2	108	35.4	61	38.8	9	31.0
	Increased	64	13.0	44	14.4	14	8.9	6	20.7
Condom use with a long-term partner		N=479		N=298		N=154		N=27	
	Decreased	77	16.1	47	15.8	24	15.6	6	22.2
	The same	386	80.6	244	81.9	122	79.2	20	74.1
	Increased	16	3.3	7	2.3	8	5.2	1	3.7

*Sexual problems are **individual or partners issues including erectile dysfunction or inhibited desire, orgasm**
**virtual-sex is a composite variable of cybersex use and/or sexting use
***Long-term partner relationship tensions **Fight with long-term partner**

Table 3: Demographic, social and sexual factors associated with a reported decrease in casual sex partners during COVID-19 measures in Panama, 2020

		Casual sex stayed the same*	Casual sex decreased	OR	p-value	AOR**	p-value
Panel A: Social and demographic factors associated with decreased sexual encounters with casual partners							
Gender							
	Cis-woman	333/432 (77.1)	99/432 (22.9)	1			
	Cis-man	178/293 (60.8)	115/293 (39.2)	**2.17 (1.57-3.00)**	**<0.01**	**2.17 (1.57-3.01)**	**<0.01**
	Non-binary /Another gender	38/54 (70.4)	16/54 (29.6)	1.42 (0.76-2.65)	0.28	1.41 (0.75-2.65)	0.29
Age							
	18-23	134/214 (62.6)	80/214 (37.4)	**1**		1	
	24-28	118/166 (71.1)	48/166 (28.9)	0.68 (0.44-1.05	0.08	0.67 (0.42-1.10)	0.10
	29-37	151/201 (75.1)	50/201 (24.9)	**0.55 (0.36-0.87)**	**<0.01**	0.63 (0.38-1.04)	0.07
	38 and above	146/198 (73.7)	52/198 (26.3)	**0.60 (0.39-0.91)**	**0.02**	0.92 (0.52-1.65)	0.80
Children							
	0	357/536 (66.4)	179/536 (33.4)	1		1	
	1-2	153/198 (77.3)	45/198 (22.7)	**0.59 (0.40-0.86)**	**<0.01**	0.68 (0.42-1.10)	0.12
	3 and more	39/45 (86.7)	6/45 (13.3)	**0.31 (0.13-0.74)**	**<0.01**	**0.23 (0.08-0.63)**	**0.01**
Ethnic group							
	Mestizo	314/447 (70.2)	133/447 (29.8)	1		1	
	Afro-descendent	54/90 (60.0)	36/90 (40.0)	1.57 (0.98-2.51)	0.06	**1.78 (1.07-2.94)**	0.02
	White	140/182 (76.9)	42/182 (23.1)	0.71 (0.47-1.06)	0.09	0.72 (0.47-1.10)	0.14
	Asian	6/11 (54.6)	5/11 (45.4)	1.97 (0.59-6.56)	0.27	2.25 (0.64-7.90)	0.21
	Indigenous	35/49 (71.4)	14/49 (28.6)	0.94 (0.49-1.81)	0.86	0.65 (0.29-1.23)	0.30
Household monthly income since COVID started							
	$0 a $499	128/198 (64.6)	70/198 (35.3)	1		1	
	$500 a $999	98/138 (71.0)	40/138 (29.0)	0.75 (0.47 -1.19)	0.22	0.77 (0.46-1.30)	0.33
	$1000 a $2000	124/186 (66.7)	62/186 (33.3)	0.91 (0.60-1.39)	0.68	1.01 (0.62-1.65)	0.96
	$2001-$5000	138/181 (76.2)	43/181 (23.8)	**0.57 (0.36-0.89)**	**0.01**	0.76 (0.44-1.29)	0.30
	$5001 and higher	56/69 (81.2)	13/69 (18.8)	**0.42 (0.22-0.83)**	**0.01**	0.58 (0.27-1.23)	0.15
Personal loss of income							
	Yes	91/148 (61.5)	57/148 (38.5)	1		1	
	No change in work	390/537 (72.6)	147/537 (27.4)	**0.60 (0.41-0.88)**	**<0.01**	0.73 (0.47-1.15)	0.18
	No income pre-COVID-19	67/92 (72.8)	25/92 (27.2)	0.59 (0.34-1.05)	0.07	0.61 (0.32-1.15)	0.13
Alcohol use in the last week					<0.01		
	Decreased	204/342 (59.6)	138/342 (40.4)	1		1	
	The same	243/302 (80.5)	59/302 (19.5)	**0.36 (0.25-0.51)**	<0.01	**0.38 (0.26-0.55)**	**<0.01**
	Increased	100/131 (76.3)	31/131 (23.7)	**0.46 (0.29-0.72)**	<0.01	**0.53 (0.32-0.85)**	**0.01**
Panel B: Sexual behaviours- individual and with casual partners							
Sexual orientation							
	Heterosexual	425/578 (73.5)	153/578 (26.5)	1		1	
	Bisexual	38/60 (63.3)	22/60 (36.7)	1.61 (0.92-2.80)	0.09	1.37 (0.69-1.87)	0.41
	Lesbian, Gay	46/81 (56.8)	35/81 (43.2)	**2.11 (1.31-3.40)**	<0.01	1.58 (0.86-2.91)	0.14
	Asexual, pansexual, queer, questioning, another gender	33/51 (64.7)	18/51 (35.3)	1.51 (0.83-2.77)	0.18	0.93 (0.40-2.17)	0.87
Sexual satisfaction							
	Decreased	291/363 (80.2)	72/363 (19.8)	1		1	
	The same	139/204 (68.1)	65/204 (31.9)	**1.89**	<0.01	1.50	0.10

				(1.28-2.80)		(0.91-2.47)	
	Increased	110/201 (54.7)	91/201 (45.3)	**3.34 (2.29-4.88)**	<0.01	**2.99 (1.85-4.84)**	**<0.01**
Masturbated							
	Decreased	86/171 (50.3)	85/171 (49.7)	1		1	
	The same	330/386 (85.5)	56/386 (14.5)	**0.17 (0.11-0.26)**	**<0.01**	**0.34 (0.20-0.58)**	**<0.01**
	Increased	130/216 (60.2)	86/216 (39.8)	**0.67 (0.45-1.00)**	**0.05**	0.77 (0.41-1.44)	0.17
Virtual-sex use* **							
	Decreased	12/90 (13.3)	78/90 (86.7)	32.2 (16.8-61.6)		**16.53 (7.74-35.27)**	
	The same	446/536 (83.2)	90/536 (16.8)	**1**		**1**	
	Increased	91/148 (61.5)	57/148 (38.5)	**3.10 (2.08-4.64)**		**1.78 (1.10-2.88)**	
Pornography use							
	Decreased	51/136 (37.5)	85/136 (62.5)	1		1	
	The same	396/469 (84.4)	74/469 (15.6)	**0.11 (0.07-0.17)**	**<0.01**	**0.06 (0.03-0.13)**	**0.01**
	Increased	100/170 (58.8)	70/170 (41.2)	**0.42 (0.26-0.67)**	**0.01**	0.52 (0.24-1.12)	0.10
Panel C: Long-term partner relationship and sexual behaviours							
Long-term partner cohabitation							
	No, they lived someplace else	200/289 (69.2)	89/289 (30.8)	1		1	
	Yes, the whole time	199/231 (86.1)	32/231 (13.9)	**0.36 (0.23-0.57)**	<0.01	0.61 (0.33-1.11)	0.11
Formal relationship tensions	**Part of the time**	25/30 (83.3)	5/30 (16.7)	0.45 (0.17-1.21)	0.11	0.84 (0.29-2.46)	0.75
	Less tensions	119/146 (81.5)	27/146 (18.5)	1			
	Tensions about the same	126/146 (86.3)	20/146 (13.7)	0.70 (0.37-1.31)	0.27		
	More tensions	115/144 (79.9)	29/144 (20.1)	1.11 (0.62-1.99)	0.72		
Formal partner emotional support					0.16		
	Decreased	48/65 (73.8)	17/65 (26.2)	1			
	The same	180/214 (84.1)	34/214 (15.9)	0.53 (0.27-1.03)	0.06		
	Increased	133/160 (83.1)	27/160 (16.9)	0.57 (0.29-1.14)	0.11		
Formal partner hugging, kissing, cuddling							
	Decreased	160/209 (76.6)	49/209 (23.4)	1		1	
	The same	128/143 (89.5)	15/143 (10.5)	**0.38 (0.21-0.71)**	<0.01	0.62 (0.27-1.42)	0.26
	Increased	81/100 (81.0)	19/100 (19.0)	0.77 (0.42-1.39)	038	1.32 (0.55-3.17)	0.54
Had sex with a long-term partner							
	Decreased	180/233 (77.2)	53/233 (22.8)	1		1	
	The same	142 (87.7)	20/162 (12.3)	**0.48 (0.27-0.84)**	0.02	0.70 (0.33-1.46)	0.34
	Increased	47/57 (82.5)	10/57 (17.5)	0.72 (0.35-1.53)	0.40	0.81 (0.29-2.22)	0.68

*Reports of increased casual sex not included (N=21) **Adjusted for sex and area of residence (rural vs urban); ***virtual-sex is a composite variable of cybersex use and/or sexting use; ****independent correlate

Acknowledgements
We are thankful to all the participants of this study, and to all who shared the study links on social media. JMP is a distinguished member of the National Research System that is supported by the National Secretariat of Science, Technology and Innovation. This study was conducted under the umbrella of the I-SHARE study (International Sexual Health and REproductive Health), which examines the impact of the COVID-19 crisis on sexual and reproductive health in diverse low-income, middle-income, and high-income countries. The full list of consortium members and their roles can be found here (https://ishare.web.unc.edu).

Funding: funding was not obtained for this study.

Key Messages:

- During Panama's COVID-19 social distancing measures, we found a decrease in sexual activity among some individuals, especially casual sex encounters among cis-men and participants of Afro-descendent ethnicity
- Virtual sex (sexting and cybersex) use was common before COVID-19 social distancing measures. Perceived changes in this practice were associated with a decrease in casual sex.
- Condom access, STI and HIV testing, and HIV care were seriously interrupted during COVID-19 social distancing measures in Panama.

Contributorship statement:
Authors AG, JTE, KM, CPE, MM, JK, GCT, MdA, AM and JT contributed to the conception of the international and national versions of the I-SHARE project and survey instrument. Data collection was performed by AG, JK, GCT, MdA, AM and JMP. Data curation and analysis was performed by AG, JTE, JT, PM. AG drafted the manuscript, all authors contributed to critical revisions and final approval. AG is responsible for the overall content as a guarantor.

References

1. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS. Country factsheets Panama 2018 online2018 [Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/panama.
2. Gabster A, Mohammed DY, Arteaga GB, Castillero O, Mojica N, Dyamond J, Varela M, Pascale JM. Correlates of Sexually Transmitted Infections among Adolescents Attending Public High Schools, Panama, 2015. *PloS one*. 2016;11(9):e0163391.
3. Gabster A, Pascale JM, Cislaghi B, Francis SC, Weiss HA, Martinez A, Ortiz A, Herrera M, Herrera G, Gantes C, Quiel Y, Rios A, Campbell E, Mayaud P. High prevalence of sexually transmitted infections, and high-risk sexual behaviours among indigenous adolescents of the Comarca Ngäbe-Bugle, Panama. *Sexually transmitted diseases*. 2019;46(12):780-7.
4. Hakre S, Arteaga G, Núñez AE, Bautista CT, Bolen A, Villarroel M, Peel SA, Paz-Bailey G, Scott PT, Pascale JM, Panama HIVEPIG. Prevalence of HIV and other sexually transmitted infections and factors associated with syphilis among female sex workers in Panama. *Sexually transmitted infections*. 2013;89(2):156-64.
5. Hakre S, Arteaga GB, Núñez AE, Arambu N, Aumakhan B, Liu M, Peel SA, Pascale JM, Scott PT. Prevalence of HIV, syphilis, and other sexually transmitted infections among MSM from three cities in Panama. *J Urban Health*. 2014;91(4):793-808.
6. Gabster A, Mayaud P, Ortiz A, Castillero O, Castillero O, López A, Aizprua B, Pitano S, Murillo A, Pascale JM. Prevalence and risk factors of genital *Chlamydia trachomatis* among school-going adolescents in urban an Indigenous-rural regions of Panama. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2020.
7. Gabster A, Pascale JM, Cislaghi B, Francis SC, Weiss HA, Martinez A, Ortiz A, Herrera M, Herrera G, Gantes C, Quiel Y, Rios A, Campbell E, Mayaud P. High prevalence of sexually transmitted infections, and high-risk sexual behaviours among indigenous adolescents of the Comarca Ngäbe-Bugle, Panama. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2019;46(12):780-7.
8. Wilson B, editor Sexuality and COVID-19. Rethinking everything; 2020; Online.
9. The Economist. Pornography is booming during the COVID-19 lockdowns. The Economist. 2020.
10. Lehmiller JJ, Garcia JR, Gesselman AN, Mark KP. Less Sex, but More Sexual Diversity: Changes in Sexual Behavior during the COVID-19 Coronavirus Pandemic. *Leisure Sciences*. 2020:1-10.
11. Michielsen K, Larrson EC, Kågesten A, Erausquin JT, Griffin S, Van de Velde S, Tucker JD. International Sexual Health And REproductive health (I-SHARE) survey during COVID-19: study protocol for online national surveys and global comparative analyses. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2020.
12. Kpokiri EE, Wu D, Srinivas ML, et al. Using a crowdsourcing open call, hackathon and a modified Delphi method to develop a consensus statement and sexual health survey instrument. *medRxiv*. 2020:2020.10.02.20205542.
13. Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Censo. Boleín 15. Estimaciones y proyecciones de la población en la república, provincia, comarca indígena por distrito, según sexo y edad; 2010-2020 2019 [cited 2020 August]. Available from: https://www.inec.gob.pa/publicaciones/Default3.aspx?ID_PUBLICACION=499&ID_CATEGORIA=3&ID_SUBCATEGORIA=10.

14. Briedite I, Kivite-Urtane A, Lazdane G, Rezeberga D, Olsena S, Kantane I, Pumpure E, Pitkevica I, Gravina ML, Mihailova D. Women´s sexual health in times of COVID-19. The 8th International Multidisciplinary Research Conference; Riga Stradins University2021.
15. Dewitte M, Otten C, Walker L. Making love in the time of corona — considering relationships in lockdown. *Nature Reviews Urology*. 2020;17(10):547-53.
16. Coombe J, Kong FYS, Bittleston H, Williams H, Tomnay J, Vaisey A, Malta S, Goller JL, Temple-Smith M, Bourchier L, Lau A, Chow EPF, Hocking JS. Love during lockdown: findings from an online survey examining the impact of COVID-19 on the sexual health of people living in Australia. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2020.
17. Daneback K, Cooper A, Månsson S-A. An Internet Study of Cybersex Participants. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 2005;34(3):321-8.
18. Kosenko K, Luurs G, Binder AR. Sexting and Sexual Behavior, 2011–2015: A Critical Review and Meta-Analysis of a Growing Literature. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*. 2017;22(3):141-60.
19. Mori C, Cooke JE, Temple JR, Ly A, Lu Y, Anderson N, Rash C, Madigan S. The Prevalence of Sexting Behaviors Among Emerging Adults: A Meta-Analysis. *Arch Sex Behav*. 2020;49(4):1103-19.
20. The challenges of involving traditional healers in HIV/AIDS care. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*. 2006;17(6):360-3.
21. Chow EPF, Ong JJ, Denham I, Fairley CK. HIV testing and diagnoses during the COVID-19 pandemic in Melbourne, Australia. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 9000;Publish Ahead of Print.
22. Ponticiello M, Mwanga-Amumpaire J, Tushemereirwe P, Nuwagaba G, King R, Sundararajan R. "Everything is a Mess": How COVID-19 is Impacting Engagement with HIV Testing Services in Rural Southwestern Uganda. *AIDS Behav*. 2020;24(11):3006-9.
23. Sanchez TH, Zlotorzynska M, Rai M, Baral SD. Characterizing the Impact of COVID-19 on Men Who Have Sex with Men Across the United States in April, 2020. *AIDS Behav*. 2020;24(7):2024-32.
24. Jiang H, Zhou Y, Tang W. Maintaining HIV care during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(5):e308-e9.
25. Qiao S, Li Z, Weissman S, Li X, Olatosi B, Davis C, Mansaray AB. Disparity in HIV Service Interruption in the Outbreak of COVID-19 in South Carolina. *AIDS Behav*. 2020:1-9.
26. United Nations Joint Programme on HIV/AIDS. II Survey on the impact of COVID-19 among PLHIV Regional Results Analysis 2020 [cited 2020 December]. Available from: http://onusidalac.org/1/images/infographic2-survey-ENG.pdf.
27. Greenhalgh F, Von Lingen A, Cigan B, Barbareschi G, Amort F, Cascio M, Schneider A, Hodgson I, editors. Understanding how HIV testing has been affected by the COVID-19 response. JOURNAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL AIDS SOCIETY; 2020: JOHN WILEY & SONS LTD THE ATRIUM, SOUTHERN GATE, CHICHESTER PO19 8SQ, W ….
28. Ortblad KF, Stekler JD. HIV self-testing: finding its way in the prevention tool box. *BMC Med*. 2020;18(1):373.
29. Hlatshwako TK, Shah SJ, Kosana P, Adebayo E, Hendriks J, Larsson EC, Hensel DJ, Toller Erausquin J, Marks Michael, Michielsen K, Saltis H, Francis JM, Wouters E, JD T. Online health survey research during COVID-19. *The Lancet Digital Health*. 2020.

30. Slauson-Blevins K, Johnson KM. Doing Gender, Doing Surveys? Women's Gatekeeping and Men's Non-Participation in Multi-Actor Reproductive Surveys. *Sociological Inquiry*. 2016;86(3):427-49.

Supplementary Table 1: Comparison of ISHARE-Panama sample to Census projected 2020 population 18 years and older

	Census projected population per province or Comarca **[1]**		ISHARE-Panama Sample	
Province/ Indigenous Comarca	**Raw population 18 years and older**	**% of the population**	**Total number recruited**	**% of the total sample**
Total population	2937150		960	
Bocas	102885	3.5%	15	1.6%
Chiriquí	311118	10.6%	82	8.5%
Coclé	183592	6.2%	46	4.8%
Colón	191773	6.5%	52	5.4%
Comarca Ngäbe-Buglé	144423	4.9%	58	6.0%
Herrera	85178	2.9%	19	2.0%
Los Santos	70372	2.4%	14	1.5%
Panama and Panama Oeste	1611313	54.9%	643	67.0%
Veraguas	166561	5.7%	26	2.7%
Comarca Guna	26286	0.9%	0	0.0%
Darien	36279	1.2%	2	0.2%
Comarca Embera-Wounaan	7370	0.2%	1	0.1%

Reference to Supplementary table 1:

1. Instituto Nacional de Estadistica y Censo. Boletin 15. Estimaciones y proyecciones de la poblacion en la republica, provincia, comarca indigena por distrito segun sexo y edad 2010-2020. 2010.

upplementary Table 2. Sexual behaviours three months before COVID-19 social distancing measures in anama, Panama, 2020.

		All participants		**Cis-women**		**Cis-men**		**Non-binary/another gender**	
		N	**%**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**
Panel A: **Individual and casual partner behaviours**									
Sexual satisfaction		N=		N=463		N=315		N=59	
	Satisfied or somewhat	394	47.1	228	49.2	138	43.8	28	47.5
	Not very satisfied or not satisfied at all	443	52.9	235	50.8	177	56.2	31	52.5
Sexual problems*		N=594		N=355		N=195		N=44	
	Never	309	52.0	171	48.2	109	55.9	29	65.9
	1 or more times/month	285	48.0	184	51.8	86	44.1	15	34.1
Masturbated		N=838		N=467		N=313		N=58	
	Never	186	22.2	131	28.0	36	11.5	19	32.8
	1 or more times/month	652	77.8	336	72.0	277	88.5	39	67.2
Pornography use		N=839		N=466		N=313		N=60	
	Never	245	29.2	190	40.8	33	10.5	22	36.7
	1 or more times/month	594	70.8	276	59.2	280	89.5	38	63.3
**Virtual sex ** **		N=845		N=470		N=315		N=60	
	Never	453	53.6	289	61.5	131	41.6	33	55.0
	1 or more times/month	392	46.4	181	38.5	184	58.4	27	45.0
Had a casual sex encounter		N=837		N=466		N=312		N=59	
	Never	637	76.1	381	81.8	211	67.6	45	76.3
	1 or more times/month	200	23.9	85	18.2	101	32.4	14	23.7
Condom use with a casual partner		N=201		N=85		N=103		N=13	
	Inconsistently or never	88	43.8	42	49.4	40	38.8	6	46.1
	Always	113	56.2	43	50.6	63	61.2	7	53.9
PANEL B: Long-term relationship									
Relationship tensions ***		N=503		N=311		N=162		N=30	
	Never	146	29.0	89	28.6	46	28.4	11	36.7
	1 or more times/month	357	71.0	222	71.4	116	71.6	19	63.3
Sex with a long-term partner		N=596		N=358		N=194		N=44	
	Never	64	10.7	26	7.3	34	17.5	4	9.1
	1 or more times/month	532	89.3	332	92.7	160	82.5	40	90.9
Condom use with long-term partner		N=595		N=357		N=194		N=44	
	Inconsistently or never	489	82.2	300	84.0	151	77.8	38	86.4
	Always	106	17.8	57	16.0	43	22.2	6	13.6

Sexual problems are **individual or partners issues including erectile dysfunction or inhibited desire, orgasm
**virtual-sex is a composite variable of cybersex use and/or sexting use;
***Long-term partner relationship tensions **Fight with long-term partner**