

1 **Experience of clinical services shapes attitudes to data sharing**

2 Kirkham, E.J. *¹, Lawrie, S. M.¹, Crompton, C.J.¹, Iveson, M.H.¹, Jenkins, N. D.², Goerdten, J.^{1,3}, Beange,
3 I.¹, Chan, S.W.Y.⁴, McIntosh, A.¹ & Fletcher-Watson, S.¹

4 **Author affiliations:**

5 1: Division of Psychiatry, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Kennedy Tower,
6 Royal Edinburgh Hospital, Morningside Park, Edinburgh, EH10 5HF, UK

7 2: Edinburgh Dementia Prevention & Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh,
8 Edinburgh, UK

9 3: Department of Epidemiological Methods and Etiological Research, Leibniz Institute for Prevention
10 Research and Epidemiology–BIPS, Bremen, Germany

11 4: Department of Clinical Psychology, School of Health in Social Science, University of Edinburgh, UK

12 **Corresponding author details:**

13 Division of Psychiatry, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Kennedy Tower,
14 Royal Edinburgh Hospital, Morningside Park, Edinburgh, EH10 5HF, UK

15 Email: elizabeth.kirkham@ed.ac.uk

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Abstract

23 **Background**

24 Routinely-collected mental health data could deliver novel insights for mental health research.
25 However, patients' willingness to share their mental health data remains largely unknown. We
26 investigated factors influencing likelihood of sharing these data for research purposes amongst
27 people with experience of mental illness.

28 **Methods**

29 We collected responses from a population-representative UK sample of National Health Service
30 (NHS) users (n = 2187) of which about half (n = 1087) had lifetime experience of mental illness.
31 Ordinal logistic regression was used to examine the influence of demographic factors, clinical service
32 experience, and primary mental illness on willingness to share mental health data, contrasted
33 against physical health data.

34 **Results**

35 There was a high level of willingness to share mental (89.7%) and physical (92.8%) health data for
36 research purposes. Higher levels of satisfaction with the NHS were associated with greater
37 willingness to share mental health data. Furthermore, people with personal experience of mental
38 illness were more willing than those without to share mental health data, once the effect of NHS
39 satisfaction had been controlled for. Of the mental illnesses recorded, people with depression,
40 anxiety, obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), personality disorder or bipolar disorder were
41 significantly more likely to share their mental health data than people without mental illness.

42 **Conclusions**

43 These findings suggest that positive experiences of health services and personal experience of
44 mental illness are associated with greater willingness to share mental health data. NHS satisfaction is

45 a potentially modifiable factor that could foster public support for increased use of NHS mental
46 health data in research.

47 **Keywords**

48 mental health; data sharing; health data; patient satisfaction; patient perspectives

49

50 **List of abbreviations**

51 NHS: National Health Service

52 OCD: obsessive-compulsive disorder

53 OR: odds ratio

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65 Routinely-collected health data, such as those held by the UK's National Health Service (NHS) in
66 electronic health records, are highly valuable for mental health research. Not only do these data
67 represent millions of individuals, spanning entire life courses, they also capture information from
68 people who are simultaneously more in need of improved treatments and less likely to participate in
69 traditional research studies (1).

70 However, attempts to increase the sharing of such routinely collected data can suffer catastrophic
71 failure if they are not supported by the public who provide the data, as demonstrated by the scandal
72 surrounding NHS England's attempt to share health data on an opt-out basis in the early-2010s (2).

73 Whilst projects using routinely-collected health data do engage with special panels designed to
74 approximate public opinion (e.g. the Public Benefit and Privacy Panel in Scotland), and there is a
75 growing literature examining the general public's views on sharing routinely-collected health data
76 (3), there have been no large-scale quantitative investigations of the views of people with personal
77 experience of mental illness. This is in spite of the fact that mental health data are considered more
78 sensitive than other forms of health data (4).

79 The limited data available tend to suggest that the organisation that will process the mental health
80 data and the perceived sensitivity of these data are important factors in people's decisions about
81 data sharing (5-8). However, without larger, more representative samples it remains impossible to
82 ascertain what characteristics and experiences affect willingness to share mental health data, and
83 how this contrasts with willingness to share physical health data. This contrast is important given
84 that most studies of this nature focus primarily on physical health data, and it remains unknown
85 whether their findings translate to mental health data, which may be more stigmatised (9).

86 To this end, we conducted a UK-wide online survey of adult NHS-users, to examine which factors
87 influence willingness to share routinely-collected mental health data, with a focus on the views of
88 people with experience of mental illness. We recruited a representative sample to examine the

89 influence of demographic factors, and embedded the work within the context of the NHS, the
90 primary source of routinely-collected health data in the UK.

91

92 **Methods**

93 **Study design and population**

94 A first draft of the survey was designed by the research team. This draft was presented to a group of
95 people with lived experience of mental illness, and changes to wording and layout were made based
96 on their feedback. The survey was also sent to research clinicians and further suggested alterations
97 were incorporated. The survey covered participants' views about sharing mental and physical health
98 data, their personal experience of mental and physical health, and their demographic information.

99 The median response time was 11 minutes. The final survey was administered online through the
100 Qualtrics platform (10) and the full script is available at [Open Science Framework link to go here].
101 The research received ethical approval from the School of [redacted] Research Ethics Committee at
102 the University of [redacted].

103 Participants were recruited from December 2018 to August 2019 via social media, posters, and in-
104 person at a science festival. A number of research and clinical teams around the UK promoted the
105 survey through their networks, but there was no direct recruitment of patients via clinical settings.
106 Efforts were made to capture a demographically representative sample of the UK population, while
107 over-sampling for people with lived experience of mental illness. Participants were classified as
108 having experience of mental illness if they self-reported that they had had a mental illness at some
109 time in their life (diagnosis was not required). Participant demographics are presented in Table 1.

110 All participants took part voluntarily; 174 individuals who took part through Prolific Academic (11)
111 were paid approximately £2. These participants were primarily from Black, Asian or Minority Ethnic
112 groups: we targeted these populations to improve the ethnic diversity of the sample. All participants

113 were over 18 and stated that they had used the NHS for either physical or mental health care. A total
114 of 2187 participants contributed data to the study. However, the number of participants responding
115 to each question varied, due to participant drop-out and built-in exclusion criteria (e.g. people were
116 not asked specific questions about treatment for mental illness if they reported no experience of
117 mental illness). Consequently, the number of participants differs between analyses.

118 Willingness to share both mental and physical health data for research was first captured using a
119 binary (yes/no) scale. Second, to analyse predictors of data sharing, the two primary outcome
120 measures were taken from the question “How likely would you be to share mental/physical health
121 data for research purposes?” Each response was measured on a Likert scale from 1 (extremely
122 unlikely) to 5 (extremely likely). The survey assessed satisfaction with the NHS using four items,
123 covering satisfaction with first contact for a mental/long-term physical health condition and
124 satisfaction with mental/physical health care during the previous 12 months. Each item was
125 measured on a 5-point Likert scale from “very dissatisfied” to “very satisfied” (Appendix 1). For
126 analysis, one NHS satisfaction variable was created from a mean of these four responses (12).
127 Cronbach’s alpha for this new variable was 0.71 (13), indicating acceptable internal consistency.

128 **Statistical analysis**

129 All analyses were performed in IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24. In cases where ordinal
130 logistic regression with proportional odds was used, the assumption of proportional odds was tested
131 using a full likelihood ratio test.

132 ***Likelihood of sharing health data***

133 McNemar’s and Wilcoxon signed-ranks tests were used to examine whether there were significant
134 differences in willingness to share mental and physical health data amongst the full sample and
135 amongst those with experience of mental illness. Following this, a Mann-Whitney U test was used to

136 examine whether likelihood of sharing mental or physical health data differed between people with
137 experience of mental illness only and people with experience of long-term physical illness only.

138 ***Factors influencing likelihood of sharing health data***

139 **Influence of demographic factors.** Cumulative odds ordinal logistic regression with
140 proportional odds was used to determine the effect of demographic factors on likelihood of sharing
141 health data. The factors included were based on theoretical consideration of the topic, and were as
142 follows: gender, age, ethnicity, location, education, experience of mental illness (ever), experience of
143 long-term physical illness or disability (ever), self-rating of current mental health and self-rating of
144 current physical health on likelihood of sharing (a) mental health data and (b) physical health data
145 (Models 1a and 1b, Table 2). Age was treated as a continuous variable, self-rating of current
146 mental/physical health was treated as ordinal (measured on a scale from 1 to 5), and the remaining
147 variables were treated as categorical (reference categories are included in the Notes section of Table
148 2). Response categories for these variables are detailed in Table 1, and details of the questions can
149 be found in Appendix 1. Being paid for survey participation was included as a co-variate. Likelihood
150 of sharing mental/physical health data was measured on an ordinal scale from 1 to 5.

151 **Influence of frequency of NHS use.** Cumulative odds ordinal logistic regression with
152 proportional odds was next used to determine the effect of frequency of mental and physical NHS
153 health care on likelihood of sharing (a) mental health data and (b) physical health data (Models 2a
154 and 2b, Table 2), with self-rating of mental and physical health included as co-variables (as they
155 reached statistical significance in Models 1a and b, see Table 2). Frequency of mental/physical NHS
156 health care was treated as binary and coded as either non-frequent: less than monthly, or frequent:
157 monthly or more. Participants who had received inpatient care were removed from the analysis.
158 Details of the frequency questions and original response options can be found in Appendix 1.

159 **Influence of satisfaction with the NHS.** Spearman's rank-order correlations were run to
160 assess the relationship between satisfaction with the NHS and likelihood of sharing mental and

161 physical health data. In addition, Mann-Whitney U tests were used to examine whether participants
162 with and without experience of mental illness differed in their satisfaction with the NHS. Following
163 this, a cumulative odds ordinal logistic regression with proportional odds was run to determine the
164 association between mean NHS satisfaction and likelihood of sharing mental health data (Table 2,
165 Model 3), after accounting for the predictors that were found to be significant in Model 1a
166 (experience of mental illness, self-rating of mental health and self-rating of physical health). Next, a
167 cumulative odds ordinal logistic regression with proportional odds was run to determine the
168 association between the two mental health-specific measures of NHS satisfaction (satisfaction with
169 first contact for mental health care, and satisfaction with mental health care in the previous 12
170 months) on willingness to share mental health data amongst people with experience of mental
171 illness. Ratings of mental and physical health were included as co-variates.

172 **Influence of specific mental health conditions.** A cumulative odds ordinal logistic regression
173 with proportional odds was run to determine the effect of primary reported mental health condition
174 on likelihood of sharing mental health data (Table 3). Participants were asked to choose their
175 primary mental health condition from a list provided (Appendix 1; depression, anxiety, phobia,
176 eating disorder, schizophrenia or psychosis, OCD, personality disorder, bipolar disorder, addiction,
177 body dysmorphic disorder and self-harm). Binary coding was used to indicate whether a given
178 condition was the participant's primary mental health condition or not. In light of previous findings
179 (Table 2), NHS satisfaction and self-rating of mental/physical health were included as co-variates.
180 This analysis was considered to be exploratory given the low number of participants whose primary
181 mental health condition was not depression or anxiety (n = 128).

182

183

[Table 1 about here]

184

185

Results

186 Likelihood of sharing health data

187 Across the whole sample there was a high level of willingness to share both mental (89.68%) and
188 physical (92.75%) health data when measured on a binary (yes/no) scale. A McNemar's test
189 demonstrated that this difference was significant ($\chi^2(1) = 47.67, p < .001$). A similar pattern was seen
190 when dividing the sample by individuals who had experienced a mental health condition and
191 individuals who had never experienced a mental health condition (Figure 1); both participants with
192 experience of mental illness ($\chi^2(1) = 20.02, p < .001$), and participants without experience of mental
193 illness (exact McNemar's test $p = .001$) were significantly more likely to share physical health data
194 than mental health data.

195

196 [Figure 1 about here]

197 Figure 1: *Participants who would share their mental and physical health data, split by mental health*
198 *status*

199 *Note.* $n(\text{ever had mental illness}) = 1085, n(\text{never had mental illness}) = 528$. Participants who
200 responded that they would "prefer not to say" if they have ever had a mental or physical health
201 condition are not shown.

202

203

204 We next examined the reported likelihood (measured on a Likert scale) of sharing mental/physical
205 health data (Figure 2). A Wilcoxon signed-ranks test indicated that participants were significantly
206 more likely to share their physical health data than their mental health data, $z = -8.621, p < .001$. This
207 effect was also present amongst those with experience of mental illness, $z = -6.412, p < .001$.

208

209 [Figure 2 about here]

210 Figure 2: *Likelihood of sharing mental and physical health data across all participants*

211 *Note.* n = 1991

212

213

214 Two Mann-Whitney U tests were run to determine if likelihood of sharing mental or physical health
215 data differed between the group of people with experience of only mental illness (n = 468) and the
216 group of people with experience of only long-term physical illness (n = 244). Distributions of the
217 responses for the two groups were similar, as assessed by visual inspection. There was no
218 statistically significant difference between people with only mental illness and people with only
219 physical illness, for either likelihood of sharing mental health data U = 55776.50, z = -0.105, p = .917
220 or likelihood of sharing physical health data U = 55474.00, z = -0.327, p = .744.

221

222 **Factors influencing likelihood of sharing health data**

223 *Influence of demographic factors*

224 The full ordinal logistic regression model examining the effect of demographic factors on likelihood
225 of sharing mental health data significantly predicted the outcome over and above the intercept-only
226 model $\chi^2(24) = 68.80$, p < .001 (Table 2, Model 1a). The assumption of proportional odds was met
227 $\chi^2(72) = 74.97$, p = .38. There was no significant difference between people who had and had not
228 experienced a mental illness in the likelihood of sharing mental health data. Those who said they
229 would “prefer not to say” if they had ever experienced a mental illness were much less willing to
230 share their mental health data than people who said they had never had a mental illness (OR 0.23).
231 An increase in self-rated physical health was associated with an increase in the odds of sharing

232 mental health data (OR 1.15). An increase in self-rated mental health was marginally associated with
233 an increase in the odds of sharing mental health data (OR 1.11).

234 The full ordinal logistic regression model examining the effect of demographic factors on likelihood
235 of sharing physical health data significantly predicted the outcome over and above the intercept-
236 only model $\chi^2(24) = 87.17, p < .001$. The assumption of proportional odds was not met $\chi^2(72) =$
237 $105.24, p = .006$. As such, an additional adapted analysis which met the assumption was run, giving a
238 similar outcome (Appendix 2). Although there was no overall effect of highest completed level of
239 education on likelihood of sharing physical health data, Wald $\chi^2(5) = 10.22, p = .069$, the contrast
240 between the subcategories of postgraduate degree and vocational qualification was significant, such
241 that people with a postgraduate degree were more likely to share their physical health data than
242 people with vocational or college-level qualifications (OR 1.61). The odds of people who said they
243 would “prefer not to say” if they had ever experienced a *mental* illness being willing to share their
244 *physical* health data were four times lower than that of people who said they had never had a
245 mental illness, (OR 0.25). There was no significant difference between people who had and had not
246 experienced a mental illness in likelihood of sharing physical health data. An increase in self-rated
247 physical health was associated with an increase in the odds of sharing physical health data (OR 1.13).
248 An increase in self-rated mental health was also associated with an increase in the odds of sharing
249 physical health data (OR 1.18).

250

251 [Table 2 about here]

252

253 ***Influence of frequency of NHS use***

254 Two cumulative odds ordinal logistic regression analyses with proportional odds were run to
255 determine the effect of frequency of mental and physical NHS health care on willingness to share (1)

256 mental and (2) physical health data (Table 2, Model 2). The full model significantly predicted the
257 likelihood of sharing mental health data over and above the intercept-only model $\chi^2(4) = 10.55$, $p =$
258 $.03$. The assumption of proportional odds was met $\chi^2(12) = 19.98$, $p = .07$. An increase in self-rated
259 physical health was associated with an increase in the odds of sharing mental health data (OR 1.18).
260 Likelihood of sharing mental health data was not predicted by frequency of NHS mental or physical
261 health care, or self-rated mental health.

262 The full model also significantly predicted likelihood of sharing physical health data over and above
263 the intercept-only model $\chi^2(4) = 22.48$, $p < .001$. The assumption of proportional odds was met $\chi^2(12)$
264 $= 12.00$, $p = .45$. People who received NHS physical health care more than monthly were more likely
265 to share their physical health data than people who received less frequent care (OR 1.42). An
266 increase in self-rated physical health was associated with an increase in the odds of sharing physical
267 health data (OR 1.27). There was no association between frequency of mental health care or self-
268 rated mental health and the likelihood of sharing physical health data.

269 ***Influence of satisfaction with the NHS***

270 Spearman's rank-order correlations were run to assess the relationship between satisfaction with
271 the NHS and likelihood of sharing mental and physical health data. Higher levels of satisfaction with
272 the NHS were associated with greater willingness to share mental health data, $r_s(1544) = .13$, $p <$
273 $.001$ and physical health data $r_s(1544) = .13$, $p < .001$. Mann-Whitney U tests were used to examine
274 whether participants with and without experience of mental illness differed in their satisfaction with
275 the NHS. It was found that participants with experience of mental illness were less satisfied with
276 their overall (mean) experience with the NHS ($U = 147117.50$, $z = -12.47$, $p < .001$), as well as less
277 satisfied with their first contact for a physical health condition ($U = 46305.00$, -5.52 , $p < .001$) and
278 less satisfied with the physical health care they had received in the previous 12 months ($U =$
279 160531.00 , $z = -5.88$, $p < .001$).

280 Given that satisfaction with the NHS was related to both likelihood of sharing mental health data and
281 experience of mental illness, a cumulative odds ordinal logistic regression with proportional odds
282 was run to determine the association between mean NHS satisfaction and likelihood of sharing
283 mental health data, after accounting for the predictors that were found to be significant in Model 1
284 (experience of mental illness, rating of mental health and rating of physical health; Table 2, Model
285 3). The full model significantly predicted the likelihood of sharing mental health data over and above
286 the intercept-only model ($\chi^2(5) = 66.44, p < .001$). The assumption of proportional odds was met
287 ($\chi^2(15) = 21.45, p = .12$). In keeping with previous analyses, satisfaction with the NHS (Wald $\chi^2(1) =$
288 20.64, $p < .001$), and experience of mental illness were associated with likelihood of sharing mental
289 health data (Wald $\chi^2(2) = 29.92, p < .001$). Participants who would “prefer not to say” whether they
290 had a mental illness were much less willing than people who had never had a mental illness to share
291 their mental health data (OR 0.27). However, the outcome differed from Model 1 in a key respect –
292 once NHS satisfaction was taken into account, participants with experience of mental illness were
293 significantly more likely to share their mental health data than participants without experience of
294 mental illness (OR 1.29).

295 Following this, a cumulative odds ordinal logistic regression with proportional odds was run to
296 determine the association between two specific measures of NHS satisfaction (satisfaction with first
297 contact for mental health care, and satisfaction with mental health care in the previous 12 months)
298 on likelihood of sharing mental health data amongst people who have had a mental illness ($n = 480$).
299 Ratings of mental and physical health were included as co-variables, as they significantly predicted
300 willingness to share mental health data in a previous analysis (Table 2, Model 4). The assumption of
301 proportional odds was met, ($\chi^2(12) = 7.604, p = .82$). All variance inflation factors (VIF) values were
302 well below 10, with the largest being 1.33, indicating that there was no multi-collinearity. The final
303 model did not significantly predict likelihood of sharing mental health data over and above the
304 intercept-only model, $\chi^2(4) = 8.885, p = .064$. However, an increase in satisfaction with first contact
305 for mental health care was associated with an increase in the odds of sharing mental health data (OR

306 1.16). There was no association between satisfaction with previous 12 months' mental health care,
307 rating of physical health or rating of mental health and willingness to share mental health data.

308 *Influence of specific mental health conditions*

309 A cumulative odds ordinal logistic regression with proportional odds was run to determine the effect
310 of each primary reported mental health condition on likelihood of sharing mental health data. The
311 full model significantly predicted the outcome over and above the intercept-only model ($\chi^2(14) =$
312 $43.88, p < .001$). The assumption of proportional odds was met ($\chi^2(42) = 34.13, p = .80$). In
313 comparison to participants with no experience of mental illness, participants whose primary
314 experience of mental illness was with depression, anxiety, OCD, personality disorder or bipolar
315 disorder were more willing to share their mental health data (Table 3). There were no cases where
316 experience of a mental illness significantly reduced willingness to share mental health data.

317

318 [Table 3 about here]

319

320 **Discussion**

321 This study is the first to examine factors that determine likelihood of sharing mental health data for
322 research purposes in a large UK sample. Willingness to share mental health data was high amongst
323 people with and without experience of mental illness, though it was nevertheless lower than
324 willingness to share physical health data. Higher satisfaction with the NHS was strongly associated
325 with increased likelihood of sharing mental health data. Initial analyses suggested no association
326 between mental illness experience and likelihood of sharing mental health data, but once the
327 contribution of NHS satisfaction was controlled for, participants with experience of mental illness
328 were more likely to share their mental health data than those without experience of mental illness.
329 Specifically, people whose primary mental health condition was depression, anxiety, OCD,

330 personality disorder or bipolar disorder were each significantly more likely to share their mental
331 health data than people with no experience of mental illness.

332 There was a high level of willingness to share both mental (89.7% “yes”) and physical (92.8% “yes”)
333 health data, supporting previous work which showed support for sharing health data at 73%, 81%
334 and 90% (4, 14, 15). These findings are encouraging given the value of routinely-collected health
335 data in health and data science research. At the same time, if one in ten people are unwilling to
336 share mental health data, this could still present a significant obstacle that hinders progress towards
337 increased availability of routine mental health data for research. Therefore researchers should not
338 underestimate the importance of continued and sustained public engagement on the value of
339 routine data analysis for research (16), especially since participants were less willing to share mental
340 health data than physical health data. This reluctance signals that mental health data may be more
341 “sensitive” than other health data (4), especially as it comes from participants who had already
342 chosen to engage with mental health research. Having said this, the actual size of the difference
343 found here (3%) suggests that mental health stigma (9) is not having a dramatic effect in this case.

344 A core finding of this work was that satisfaction with the NHS had a particularly notable impact on
345 willingness to share both mental and physical health data for research purposes, echoing previous
346 findings of a positive relationship between perceived quality of care and greater confidence in the
347 privacy of health information (17). Furthermore, in the present study, once the impact of NHS
348 satisfaction was taken into account, participants with experience of mental illness were more willing
349 to share their mental health data than those without experience of mental illness. This finding has
350 important implications for clinicians, as it suggests that experience of mental illness is not in itself a
351 barrier to data sharing, rather it is how those with mental illness experience the NHS that matters. In
352 addition, individuals’ satisfaction with their first contact with the NHS for mental health care may be
353 particularly important in predicting their likelihood of sharing mental health data. The strong
354 relationship between satisfaction with health services and willingness to share health data suggests

355 that patients may conflate health services and health research, such that a positive attitude towards
356 health services is associated with a positive attitude towards related research. This suggests that
357 both positive clinical contact and building increased awareness of research in clinical settings could
358 facilitate increased willingness to share health data.

359 To our knowledge, this study was the first to examine the effect of experience of different mental
360 health conditions on willingness to share health data. People whose primary mental health condition
361 was depression, anxiety, OCD, personality disorder or bipolar disorder were significantly more likely
362 to share their mental health data than those without experience of mental illness, when
363 confounders were taken into account. People whose primary condition was phobia, eating disorder,
364 psychosis, addiction, body dysmorphic disorder or self-harm did not differ from people without
365 experience of mental illness in their willingness to share mental health data. Notably, none of the
366 mental health conditions measured were associated with a significant reduction in likelihood of
367 sharing mental health data. These findings should be considered in light of the small sample sizes in
368 most of the groups; further research is needed to examine whether the present pattern of
369 relationships between participants' primary mental health conditions and their willingness to share
370 mental health data remains once larger numbers of people are included. Nevertheless, taken
371 together, people with experience of mental illness are more willing than those without experience of
372 mental illness to share mental health data, possibly because they are more motivated to contribute
373 towards improved treatments for mental illness (6). Interestingly, there was very little evidence of
374 relationships between demographic factors and willingness to share mental health data, despite our
375 recruitment of a demographically representative UK sample with a wide age range.

376 The present findings should be interpreted in light of potential self-selection bias; it is perhaps
377 unsurprising that willingness to share mental health data was high amongst individuals who chose to
378 complete a survey about the topic. That said, the data collected in our survey captured a small
379 fraction of the information held in the health records about which we enquired. In addition, whilst

380 the research sheds light on the impact of lifetime experience of mental illness on willingness to share
381 mental health data, it does not identify those who were experiencing mental illness at the time of
382 the survey. Further research should examine whether previous and current experience of mental
383 illness have different impacts on data sharing. Similarly, future work would benefit from recruitment
384 of more individuals with experience of less common mental health conditions in order to validate
385 and extend the current findings.

386 This study has shown for the first time that higher satisfaction with the NHS is associated with
387 greater willingness to share mental health data. Furthermore, despite oft-cited concerns that mental
388 health data are especially sensitive (4), it was found that people with experience of mental illness
389 are actually more willing than people without mental illness to share their mental health data, once
390 NHS satisfaction is taken into account. Much of the literature on preparing the ground for increased
391 sharing of routinely-collected health data focuses on ways in which researchers can use public
392 engagement to support greater buy-in from the general public (3). The present findings suggest that
393 work to improve satisfaction with the NHS (18, 19) could also have a beneficial effect on willingness
394 to share health data, especially amongst those with mental illness. In this way, both researchers and
395 clinicians can play an important role in fostering greater public acceptability of research that uses
396 routinely-collected mental health data. This in turn is expected to benefit researchers, clinicians and
397 patients alike.

398

399

400

401

402

403

- 405 1. McIntosh AM, Stewart R, John A, Smith DJ, Davis K, Sudlow C, et al. Data science for mental
406 health: a UK perspective on a global challenge. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2016;3(10):993-8.
- 407 2. Carter P, Laurie GT, Dixon-Woods M. The social licence for research: why care.data ran into
408 trouble. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 2015;41(5):404-9.
- 409 3. Aitken M, Jorre JD, Pagliari C, Jepson R, Cunningham-Burley S. Public responses to the
410 sharing and linkage of health data for research purposes: a systematic review and thematic synthesis
411 of qualitative studies. *Bmc Medical Ethics*. 2016;17.
- 412 4. King T, Brankovic L, Gillard P. Perspectives of Australian adults about protecting the privacy
413 of their health information in statistical databases. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*.
414 2012;81(4):279-89.
- 415 5. Shen N, Sequeira L, Silver MP, Carter-Langford A, Strauss J, Wiljer D. Patient Privacy
416 Perspectives on Health Information Exchange in a Mental Health Context: Qualitative Study. *Jmir*
417 *Mental Health*. 2019;6(11).
- 418 6. Soni H, Grando A, Murcko A, Diaz S, Mukundan M, Idouraine N, et al. State of the art and a
419 mixed-method personalized approach to assess patient perceptions on medical record sharing and
420 sensitivity. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*. 2020;101.
- 421 7. Grando MA, Murcko A, Mahankali S, Saks M, Zent M, Chern D, et al. A Study to Elicit
422 Behavioral Health Patients' and Providers' Opinions on Health Records Consent. *Journal of Law*
423 *Medicine & Ethics*. 2017;45(2):238-59.
- 424 8. Caine K, Hanania R. Patients want granular privacy control over health information in
425 electronic medical records. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2013;20(1):7-
426 15.
- 427 9. Clement S, Schauman O, Graham T, Maggioni F, Evans-Lacko S, Bezborodovs N, et al. What is
428 the impact of mental health-related stigma on help-seeking? A systematic review of quantitative and
429 qualitative studies. *Psychological Medicine*. 2015;45(1):11-27.
- 430 10. Qualtrics [Available from: <https://www.qualtrics.com/uk/>.
- 431 11. Prolific [Available from: <https://www.prolific.co/>.
- 432 12. Sullivan GM, Artino AR, Jr. Analyzing and interpreting data from likert-type scales. *J Grad*
433 *Med Educ*. 2013;5(4):541-2.
- 434 13. Peterson RA. A Meta-Analysis of Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha. *Journal of Consumer*
435 *Research*. 1994;21(2):381-91.
- 436 14. Luchenski SA, Reed JE, Marston C, Papoutsis C, Majeed A, Bell D. Patient and Public Views on
437 Electronic Health Records and Their Uses in the United Kingdom: Cross-Sectional Survey. *Journal of*
438 *Medical Internet Research*. 2013;15(8).
- 439 15. Buckley BS, Murphy AW, MacFarlane AE. Public attitudes to the use in research of personal
440 health information from general practitioners' records: a survey of the Irish general public. *J Med*
441 *Ethics*. 2011;37(1):50-5.
- 442 16. Beange I, Kirkham EJ, Fletcher-Watson S, Iveson MH, Lawrie SM, Batty GD, et al. Using a
443 knowledge exchange event to assess study participants' attitudes to research in a rapidly evolving
444 research context [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]. *Wellcome Open Research*. 2020;5(24).
- 445 17. Patel V, Beckjord E, Moser RP, Hughes P, Hesse BW. The Role of Health Care Experience and
446 Consumer Information Efficacy in Shaping Privacy and Security Perceptions of Medical Records:
447 National Consumer Survey Results. *Jmir Medical Informatics*. 2015;3(1).
- 448 18. Wykes T, Csapke E, Williams P, Koeser L, Nash S, Rose D, et al. Improving patient experiences
449 of mental health inpatient care: a randomised controlled trial. *Psychological Medicine*.
450 2018;48(3):488-97.
- 451 19. Fortin M, Bamvita JM, Fleury MJ. Patient satisfaction with mental health services based on
452 Andersen's Behavioral Model. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry-Revue Canadienne De Psychiatrie*.
453 2018;63(2):103-14.

454 **Declarations**

455 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

456 The research received ethical approval from the Department of Clinical and Health Psychology
457 School of Health in Social Science Research Ethics Research Panel Committee at the University of
458 Edinburgh (ref: STAFF132). All participants were presented with an online information sheet and
459 provided informed consent using an online form at the beginning of the survey.

460 **Consent for publication**

461 Not applicable

462 **Availability of data and materials**

463 The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding
464 author on reasonable request.

465 **Competing interests**

466 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

467 **Funding**

468 This project has received funding from the Medical Research Council (grant number MC_PC_17209)
469 and the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and
470 innovation programme (grant agreement n° 847776). The funding bodies had no role in the design of
471 the study, the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data or in writing the manuscript.

472 **Authors' contributions**

473 EJK made contributed to the design of the work, conducted acquisition, analysis and interpretation
474 of the data, and drafted the manuscript. SFW contributed to the conception and design of the work,
475 the acquisition, analysis and interpretation of the data, and substantively revised the draft. AM
476 contributed to the conception and design of the work, and the interpretation of the data. SML, CJC,

477 SWYC and MHI contributed to the conception of the work. NDJ and JG contributed to the analysis
478 and interpretation of the data. IB contributed to the acquisition of the data. All authors read and
479 approved the final manuscript.

480 **Acknowledgements**

481 We would like to thank the following individuals and organisations for their support with this work:
482 Dr Mark Adams, Mahmud Al-Gailani, Dr Natalie Banner, Katie Breeze, Prof Rudolf Cardinal, Philippa
483 Carr, Alison Churchill, Mark Coles, Judith Cramb, Clare Curtis, Dr Emma Davidson, Prof Ian Deary, Dr
484 Josie Dickerson, the Edinburgh Pathfinder Stakeholder Advisory Group, Prof John Geddes, Dr Jane
485 Haley, Prof Jeremy Hall, Emma Hawkins, Dr Sumeet Jain, Anders Jespersen, Prof Ann John, Prof Mark
486 Johnson, Linda Jones, Prof Ian Jones, Catriona Keerie, Prof Simon Lovestone, Jonathan MacBride, Dr
487 Donald MacIntyre, Prof John Macleod, Liz MacWhinney, Kate McAllister, Lanarkshire Links,
488 Niamh McDade, Maria McMahon, Isabella Melking, Lynn Molloy, Denise Munro, National Survivor
489 User Network, Jenny Nelder, Anne O'Donnell, Prof David Osborn, Prof Martyn Pickersgill, Prof David
490 Porteous, Dr Carys Pugh, Emma Robinson, Dr Dan Robotham, Prof Ilina Singh, Prof Daniel Smith, Prof
491 Robert Stewart, Suzy Syrett, Judith Syson, Adele Taylor, Leela Thomas, Liesbeth Tip, Shobna Vasishta,
492 Hope Virgo, VOX Scotland, Prof James Walters, Katherine Woods.

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

Tables

503 **Table 1**

504 *Participant demographics*

	All participants	Experience of mental illness (ever)		
		Yes	No	Prefer not to say
Total, n	2187	1087 (65.09%)	529 (31.68%)	54 (3.23%)
Gender, n (%)				
Male	514 (31.28%)	314 (29.51%)	187 (35.82%)	12 (22.64%)
Female	1094 (66.59%)	721 (67.76%)	334 (63.98%)	36 (67.92%)
Non-binary or prefer to self-describe	19 (1.16%)	18 (1.69%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (1.89%)
Prefer not to say	16 (0.97%)	11 (1.03%)	1 (0.19%)	4 (7.55%)
Location, n (%)				
England	1050 (63.99%)	695 (65.38%)	316 (60.77%)	36 (67.92%)
Scotland	490 (29.86%)	300 (28.22%)	174 (33.46%)	14 (26.42%)
Wales	65 (3.96%)	49 (4.61%)	15 (2.88%)	1 (1.89%)
Northern Ireland	19 (1.16%)	10 (0.94%)	9 (1.73%)	0 (0.00%)
Outside UK	17 (1.04%)	9 (0.85%)	6 (1.15%)	2 (3.77%)
Ethnicity, n (%)				
White	1438 (88.38%)	961 (91.26%)	431 (83.37%)	41 (80.39%)
Asian/Asian British	92 (5.65%)	38 (3.61%)	51 (9.86%)	3 (5.88%)
Black/African/Caribbean/Black British	53 (3.26%)	24 (2.28%)	26 (5.03%)	2 (3.92%)
Mixed/multiple ethnic groups	32 (1.97%)	21 (1.99%)	7 (1.35%)	4 (7.84%)
Other	12 (0.74%)	9 (0.85%)	2 (0.39%)	1 (1.96%)
Highest education, n (%)				
Postgraduate degree or professional qualification	587 (36.01%)	383 (36.23%)	181 (34.94%)	21 (42.00%)
Undergraduate degree	390 (23.93%)	260 (24.60%)	122 (23.55%)	8 (16.00%)
Vocational or college qualification	246 (15.09%)	147 (13.91%)	91 (17.57%)	6 (12.00%)
A-levels or equivalent	189 (11.60%)	121 (11.45%)	62 (11.97%)	5 (10.00%)
GCSEs or equivalent	200 (12.27%)	135 (12.77%)	55 (10.62%)	10 (20.00%)
Primary school	18 (1.10%)	11 (1.04%)	7 (1.35%)	0 (0.00%)
Experience of physical disability or long-term physical illness (ever), n (%)				

Yes	871 (52.56%)	598 (55.84%)	244 (46.21%)	27 (50.94%)
No	774 (46.71%)	468 (43.70%)	283 (53.60%)	20 (37.74%)
Prefer not to say	12 (0.72%)	5 (0.47%)	1 (0.19%)	6 (11.32%)
Age (years), mean (SD)	45.58 (17.38)	44.44 (17.03)	48.26 (17.74)	42.14 (18.18)

505 **Table 2**

506 *Ordinal logistic regression models predicting likelihood of sharing mental and physical health data*

	a. Likelihood of sharing mental health data			b. Likelihood of sharing physical health data		
	OR	95% CI	P value	OR	95% CI	P value
Model 1 (n = 1465)						
Gender						
Female	0.93	0.75 to 1.14	0.47	0.91	0.74 to 1.13	0.40
Other	0.85	0.35 to 2.05	0.72	0.92	0.38 to 2.25	0.86
Prefer not to say	0.62	0.18 to 2.09	0.44	0.48	0.14 to 1.63	0.24
Location						
Scotland	1.03	0.83 to 1.29	0.77	1.02	0.82 to 1.28	0.86
Wales	0.83	0.51 to 1.33	0.43	0.77	0.48 to 1.24	0.29
Northern Ireland	0.44	0.19 to 1.03	0.06	0.47	0.20 to 1.12	0.09
Outside UK	1.83	0.67 to 5.00	0.24	1.71	0.61 to 4.77	0.31
Ethnicity						
Black	0.74	0.36 to 1.49	0.39	0.75	0.37 to 1.53	0.43
Asian	0.99	0.56 to 1.75	0.97	1.1	0.62 to 1.96	0.75
Mixed/multiple	0.83	0.43 to 1.61	0.58	0.71	0.37 to 1.39	0.32
Other	1.11	0.37 to 3.32	0.86	0.73	0.24 to 2.19	0.57
Education						
Primary	0.8	0.32 to 1.99	0.64	0.67	0.27 to 1.64	0.38
GCSE	1.27	0.92 to 1.74	0.15	0.87	0.63 to 1.20	0.39
A-level	1.13	0.82 to 1.57	0.46	0.79	0.57 to 1.10	0.16
Vocational/college	0.84	0.62 to 1.13	0.25	0.62	0.46 to 0.84	0.002*
Undergraduate	0.96	0.74 to 1.24	0.75	0.85	0.66 to 1.10	0.22
Mental illness ever						
Yes	1.18	0.93 to 1.50	0.16	1.17	0.92 to 1.49	0.20
Prefer not to say	0.23	0.13 to 0.43	< .001*	0.25	0.14 to 0.47	< .001*
Physical illness ever						
Yes	1	0.81 to 1.25	0.99	0.96	0.77 to 1.20	0.73
Prefer not to say	0.99	0.22 to 4.42	0.99	1.26	0.28 to 5.72	0.77
Paid						
Yes	0.73	0.45 to 1.21	0.22	0.7	0.42 to 1.15	0.16
Age	1	1.00 to 1.00	0.66	1	1.00 to 1.00	0.65
Rate mental health	1.11	1.00 to 1.24	0.05*	1.18	1.05 to 1.31	0.004*
Rate physical health	1.15	1.03 to 1.29	0.01*	1.13	1.01 to 1.27	0.03*
Model 2 (n = 975)						
Frequent mental health care	1.17	0.85 to 1.62	0.33	1.04	0.75 to 1.44	0.81
Frequent physical health care	1.2	0.89 to 1.61	0.23	1.42	1.05 to 1.91	0.02*
Rate mental health	1.05	0.93 to 1.89	0.43	1.1	0.97 to 1.24	0.14

Rate physical health	1.18	1.04 to 1.34	0.01*	1.27	1.12 to 1.45	< .001*
Model 3 (n = 1530)						
Mental illness ever						
Yes	1.30	1.02 to 1.62	0.03*			
Prefer not to say	0.27	0.15 to 0.49	<.001*			
Rate mental health	1.06	0.96 – 1.17	0.24			
Rate physical health	1.10	1.00 – 1.21	0.06			
Mean NHS satisfaction	1.26	1.14 to 1.39	<.001*			
Model 4 (n = 480)						
NHS satisfaction – first contact MH	1.16	1.00 to 1.34	0.045*			
NHS satisfaction – previous 12m MH	1.08	0.92 to 1.26	0.35			
Rate mental health	0.99	0.83 to 1.19	0.93			
Rate physical health	1.05	0.89 to 1.24	0.58			

507

508 *Note:* Reference categories for categorical variables: gender – male, location – England, ethnicity –

509 White, highest education – postgraduate, mental illness ever – no, physical illness ever – no, paid –

510 no. OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, * = p < .05.

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523 **Table 3**

524 *Effect of mental health condition on likelihood of sharing mental health data*

	n	Likelihood of sharing mental health data		
		OR	95% CI	p value
Primary reported mental illness				
Depression	270	1.54	1.11 to 2.13	0.01*
Anxiety	247	1.51	1.08 to 2.10	0.02*
Phobia	9	0.90	0.27 to 3.00	0.86
Eating disorder	21	1.29	0.57 to 2.93	0.55
Schizophrenia or psychosis	7	0.85	0.22 to 3.32	0.82
Obsessive-compulsive disorder	20	2.79	1.17 to 6.68	0.02*
Personality disorder	20	3.12	1.29 to 7.53	0.01*
Bipolar disorder	19	2.47	1.03 to 5.95	0.04*
Addiction or substance use disorder	21	1.27	0.56 to 2.84	0.57
Body dysmorphic disorder	3	1.13	0.14 to 8.84	0.91
Self-harm	8	0.69	0.19 to 2.45	0.56
Mean NHS satisfaction		1.34	1.20 to 1.50	< .001*
Rate mental health		1.07	0.95 to 1.22	0.27
Rate physical health		1.12	0.99 to 1.26	0.07

525

526 *Note.* Reference category for primary reported mental illness was no mental illness (n = 456). OR =

527 odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, * = p < .05.

528



