

1 Protocol for a nationwide Internet-based health survey in workers during the COVID-19  
2 pandemic in 2020

3 Yoshihisa Fujino<sup>1</sup>, M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D., Tomohiro Ishimaru<sup>1</sup>, M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D.,

4 Hisashi Eguchi<sup>2</sup>, M.D., M.B.A., Ph.D., Mayumi Tsuji<sup>3</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Seiichiro Tateishi<sup>4</sup>,

5 M.D., Ph.D., Akira Ogami<sup>5</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Koji Mori<sup>6</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Shinya Matsuda<sup>7</sup>,

6 M.D., Ph.D., for the CORoNaWork project

7 <sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,

8 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

9 <sup>2</sup> Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of

10 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

11 <sup>3</sup> Department of Environmental Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational

12 and Environmental Health, Japan

13 <sup>4</sup> Department of Occupational Medicine, School of Medicine, University of

14 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

15 <sup>5</sup> Department of Work Systems and Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,

16 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

**NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.**

17 <sup>6</sup> Department of Occupational Health Practice and Management, Institute of Industrial

18 Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

19 <sup>7</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, School of Medicine,

20 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

21

22 Address correspondence to Yoshihisa Fujino<sup>1</sup>, M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D.

23 Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,

24 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

25 1-1, Iseigaoka, Yahatanishiku, Kitakyushu, 807-8555, Japan

26 Tel: +81-93-691-7401

27 Email: [zenq@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp](mailto:zenq@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp)

28

29 **Abstract**

30 The ever-changing social implications of the COVID-19 pandemic have resulted in an  
31 urgent need to understand the working environments and health status of workers. We  
32 conducted a nationwide Internet-based health survey in Japanese workers in December  
33 2020, in the midst the country's "third wave" of COVID-19 infection. Of 33,087 surveys  
34 collected, 6,051 were determined to have invalid responses. The 27,036 surveys  
35 included in the study were balanced in terms of geographical area, participant sex, and  
36 type of work, according to the sampling plan. Men were more likely than women to  
37 have telecommuted, while women were more likely to have resigned since April 2020.  
38 Moreover, 40% and 9.1% of respondents had a K6 score of 5 or higher and 13 or higher,  
39 respectively, they did not exhibit extremely poor health. The present study describes the  
40 protocol used to conduct an Internet-based health survey in workers and a summary of  
41 its results during a period when COVID-19 was spreading rapidly in Japan. In the future,  
42 we plan to use this survey to examine the impact of COVID-19 on workers' work styles  
43 and health.

44 **Keywords:** COVID-19, Japan, Occupational Health, Surveys and Questionnaires,  
45 Teleworking

## 46 **Introduction**

47           The global outbreak of COVID-19 in 2020 has had an enormous impact on the  
48 economy, daily life, and medical practice in Japan[1–3]. In April 2020, the Japanese  
49 government declared a state of emergency and asked the population to refrain from going  
50 out and for workplaces to close. These broad restrictions on movement, which aimed to  
51 control the pandemic, reduced economic activity, which in turn caused a deterioration in  
52 work environment, worsening of corporate financial performance, and increases in  
53 layoffs and unemployment[4]. Between February and December 2020, the COVID-19  
54 pandemic caused more than 800 companies to declare bankruptcy[5].

55           The COVID-19 pandemic has brought about dramatic changes to the work  
56 environment. One major change is the wide adoption of telecommuting, which was  
57 boosted by the government’s state of emergency declaration in April[6,7]. In Japan,  
58 telecommuting was previously discussed as a strategy for reducing long working hours[8].  
59 The COVID-19 pandemic and the state of emergency declaration pushed many  
60 companies in Japan to rapidly adopt telecommuting[6,7]. While the health effects of  
61 telecommuting on workers have not been fully clarified, many experts have expressed  
62 concern about the impact on lifestyle habits such as alcohol consumption, exercise habits,

63 and dietary habits. There are also concerns about the impact on musculoskeletal diseases,  
64 back pain, and video display terminal-related diseases in the home environment, which  
65 are inadequately managed compared to those occurring in the office environment.

66 In December 2020, Japan experienced its “third wave” of infections, the largest  
67 increase to date even compared to the previous waves experienced in May and August.  
68 On December 22, the number of infections in the country reached a record high of  
69 approximately 3,200. On December 15, the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare  
70 announced that seven prefectures had reached Stage 4 of the government’s four-stage  
71 alert scale, indicating that occupancy of hospital beds reserved for the severely ill had  
72 exceeded 50%, and that the medical supply system was reaching its limit. On December  
73 21, the Japan Medical Association declared a medical emergency. In a statement, it  
74 announced that patients infected with COVID-19 and regular people in Japan would not  
75 be able to receive normal medical care, and that all necessary medical care provisions  
76 across the country would be brought to a standstill[9].

77 The ever-changing landscape and impact of the COVID-19 pandemic has  
78 resulted in an urgent need to understand the working and social environment and health  
79 status of workers. A number of concerns are emerging, including those related to the

80 socioeconomic status, mental health, lifestyle, work productivity, isolation and loneliness,  
81 family relationships, infection anxiety, and infection prevention activities of workers, and  
82 to the corporate support systems and corporate infection prevention measures put in place  
83 during the COVID-19 pandemic. We examined some of these by conducting an urgent  
84 large-scale Internet survey of workers in the midst of the third wave of COVID-19  
85 infection in Japan in December 2020.

86

## 87 **Methods**

88           This survey is a prospective cohort study conducted online among Internet  
89 monitors. The baseline survey was conducted from December 22 to 25, 2020. A second  
90 survey is scheduled for 2021. The study targeted those who were working and between  
91 the ages of 20 and 65 at the time of the baseline survey, and was approved by the Ethics  
92 Committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan.

93

### 94 **Sampling plan**

95           To avoid geographic bias among participants, it was necessary to adopt a  
96 sampling plan that accounted for regional characteristics. However, because some  
97 prefectures only had a few registered monitors, sampling by prefecture was not possible.  
98 Therefore, the prefectures were divided into five regions based on geographic region  
99 and infection status: the prefectures were divided into four regions based on the  
100 cumulative infection rate, and the region with the highest cumulative infection rate was  
101 further divided into the Kanto region and non-Kanto region (Table 1). The cumulative  
102 infection rate was based on information available as of December 16, 2020.

103           The sampling plan was designed to collect an equal number of respondents  
104 from across 20 collection units, each consisting of a combination of five regions, with

105 comparable sex, and office and non-office worker status. The target sample size was  
106 30,000, with 1,500 respondents from each collection unit. A total of 1,650 respondents,  
107 which represents the target sample size plus a margin of 10%, were collected from each  
108 collection unit. Ultimately, a total of 33,087 respondents were collected for the Internet  
109 survey.

110

#### 111 Subject recruitment procedure

112 The survey was commissioned by Cross Marketing Inc. (Tokyo, Japan), which  
113 has 4.7 million registered monitors. Of the registered monitors, 605,381 were sent an  
114 invitation to participate via e-mail. Of these, a total of 55,045 registered monitors  
115 answered the initial screening questions to participate in the survey, and 33,302 who  
116 matched the survey's criteria (worker status, region, sex, and age) responded to the  
117 survey.

118 The survey was launched on December 22, 2020, and by December 26, 33,302 people  
119 had participated. Approximately 98% of the sample was collected by December 23.  
120 Collection of the remaining sample, which consisted of women only, was completed on  
121 December 26.

122

123 Data retrieval

124           Initially, of the 33,302 respondents, 215 were excluded because they were  
125 deemed to have provided fraudulent responses by Cross Marketing Inc., leaving 33,087  
126 respondents. Subsequently, 6,051 surveys determined to contain invalid responses or  
127 response errors were excluded, leaving 27,036 samples for inclusion in the study. The  
128 exclusion criteria were as follows: extremely short response time ( $\leq 6$  minutes),  
129 extremely low body weight ( $< 30$  kg), extremely short height ( $< 140$  cm), inconsistent  
130 answers to similar questions throughout the survey (e.g., inconsistency to questions  
131 about marital status and living area), and wrong answers to a staged question used to  
132 identify fraudulent responses (choose the third largest number from the following five  
133 numbers).

134

135 Measurements

136           The survey items included basic socio-demographic characteristics such as  
137 family structure, income, educational background, area of residence, area of employment,  
138 and work environment-related factors. The survey included work-related questionnaires  
139 like the Japanese version of the Job Content Questionnaire[10,11], the Japanese version  
140 of the 3-item Utrecht Work Engagement Scale [12,13], and Work Functioning

141 Impairment Scale (WFun)[14], and inquired about frequency of working at home.

142 Psychosocial conditions were examined through assessment of health-related quality of

143 life (HRQOL), K6[15], and loneliness. HRQOL was measured using the CDC

144 HRQOL-4[16,17], which was originally developed by the US Centers for Disease

145 Control and Prevention. Health-related items included medical history, treatment

146 interruptions, back pain, and stiff shoulders. Lifestyle-related items included items

147 related to smoking, drinking, exercise, and eating habits. The survey also asked about

148 preventive behaviors against infection, such as hand washing and gargling, and concerns

149 about infection.

150

151 **Results**

152 Target sample sizes were successfully obtained for all allocation conditions,  
153 including with regard to region, sex, and type of work (Table 1).

154 Table 2 shows the number of subjects included for further analyses and the  
155 number of surveys judged to contain fraudulent responses, by sampling unit and sex.  
156 There was no significant regional difference in the percentage of responses that were  
157 judged to be fraudulent.

158 Table 3 compares the characteristics of respondents who were included and  
159 excluded from the analysis. The following question was used to detect fraudulent  
160 responses: “Choose the third largest number from the following five numbers.” We  
161 compared the characteristics of those who answered this question correctly versus  
162 incorrectly. Of those who answered incorrectly, 1.2% had extremely low body weight  
163 and 0.7% had extremely short height; both of these were significantly more prevalent  
164 than among respondents who answered correctly. Those who answered incorrectly were  
165 also more likely to provide inconsistent answers related to cohabitants and residence,  
166 and to have extremely short response times, compared to those who answered correctly.  
167 Conversely, people with extremely short response times were more likely than those  
168 with appropriate response times to answer the fraud-detecting question incorrectly, or to

169 give inconsistent answers to questions about cohabitants and residence, or to have  
170 extremely low body weight or extremely short height.

171 Table 4 shows the characteristics of the analysis subjects by sampling unit.  
172 Region 5, corresponding to the Kanto region, which had the highest cumulative  
173 infection rate, had more high-income earners and more people with telecommuting  
174 experience than the other regions. Region 5 also had more people with high WFun  
175 scores, high K6 scores, and poor self-rated health. In addition, 54 (1%) respondents  
176 from Region 5 reported a history of COVID-19 infection, compared to 30 (0.6%)  
177 respondents from Region 1.

178 Table 5 summarizes the characteristics of the analysis subjects by sex. The  
179 sample size was balanced for sex and type of work according to the study design. Men  
180 accounted for 51% of the total sample. Office workers accounted for 49%, among both  
181 men and women. The smoking rate among men was 35.1%, higher than that among  
182 women (16.3%). Men were more likely than women to have telecommuted, while  
183 women were more likely to have resigned since April 2020. A total of 0.7% of both men  
184 and women reported a history of COVID-19 infection.

185

186 **Discussion**

187           We conducted an Internet-based health survey in workers during the third wave  
188 of COVID-19 infection in Japan in December 2020. Workers were asked about their  
189 socioeconomic status, health status, work status, infection prevention behaviors, and  
190 socio-psychological factors.

191           Internet surveys have become more common in recent years in the fields of  
192 public health and epidemiology because relatively large amounts of data can be collected  
193 in a short period of time. Compared with conventional population- and workplace-based  
194 surveys, Internet surveys have several advantages: it is easier to achieve the target sample  
195 size, it is possible to incorporate a large number of batteries, and they can be conducted in  
196 a short period of time. In this case, an Internet survey was necessary because the aim was  
197 to conduct an urgent study during a phase of rapid spread of COVID-19 infection in Japan.  
198 We think our data are valuable for studying working conditions and worker health during  
199 the spread of infection.

200           One of the drawbacks of Internet surveys is the issue of fraudulent  
201 responses[18,19]. By answering questions, Internet monitors receive an incentive in the  
202 form of points, which have monetary value. This can cause some to provide random or  
203 fraudulent responses to earn points; thus, it is important to exclude such respondents. In

204 this survey, we used several algorithms to detect fraudulent responses. First, we included  
205 a staged question that asks respondents to choose the third largest number from five  
206 numbers. A total of 93% of respondents provided the correct answer for this question.  
207 Second, the time taken to answer the question was recorded by the system. Third, answers  
208 from respondents with extremely low body weight or short height were judged to be  
209 incorrect. Because height and weight questions required the respondents to type in  
210 numerical values using a keyboard, we assumed that fraudulent responses were more  
211 likely to occur in these questions than in simple click-and-answer questions. Fourth, we  
212 examined responses for inconsistencies among questions that were repeated throughout  
213 the survey. Questions used to verify inconsistencies inquired about the presence or  
214 absence of family members living together and the area of residence. Of 33,087  
215 respondents, 27,036 were judged to have responded appropriately. We confirmed that  
216 those who were found to have provided fraudulent responses under one of the four  
217 conditions above also often provided fraudulent responses under the other three  
218 conditions.

219 In addition, we were able to increase the credibility of the data by confirming  
220 already known relationships between factors. For example, men were more likely to  
221 smoke than women, and women were more likely to have higher K6 scores. Region 5,

222 the Kanto region, which includes Tokyo, had more high-income earners than the other  
223 regions. There was also more telecommuting experience in Region 5 than in the other  
224 relatively rural regions. Moreover, 195 (0.7%) of the 27,036 respondents reported that  
225 they had been infected with COVID-19. Because of the self-reported nature of the  
226 survey, the data should be interpreted with caution; however, the fact that the lowest  
227 infection rate of 0.6% was observed in Region 1, while the highest rate of 1% was in  
228 Region 5 are consistent with regional infection rates suggest the validity of this data.

229         The sampling plan was very important in this study. Workers' work environment,  
230 socioeconomic status, and COVID-19 infection status, which comprised the objective  
231 variables of this survey, were expected to vary greatly by region and occupation. In  
232 contrast, we assumed that most of the pre-registered respondents in the Internet monitor  
233 would reside in urban areas, and that most of the respondents would be office workers.  
234 Therefore, we sampled respondents such that they were balanced in terms of sex, type of  
235 work, and region in which infection was confirmed.

236         Selection bias is unavoidable in Internet surveys. This is because respondents  
237 are not representative of any group[18,19]. In addition, respondents to Internet surveys  
238 are thought to be subject to the volunteer effect due to self-selection for participation.

239 Therefore, it is important to determine the characteristics of the target population of this

240 study by comparing a variety of factors with those in previous studies. The present  
241 study collected information on lifestyle-related factors such as smoking, alcohol  
242 consumption, and exercise and physical activity. In addition, we employed many health  
243 and work-related psychosocial batteries in this study, including K6, the Job Content  
244 Questionnaire, Utrecht Work Engagement Scale, WFun, self-rated health, and CDC  
245 HRQOL4. All of these have been employed in many workplaces and populations in  
246 previous studies.

247 K6, for example, has been used in many studies. K6 was developed by Kessler  
248 et al. to screen for psychiatric distress, such as that observed in depression and anxiety,  
249 and is widely used in surveys of the general population as an indicator of mental  
250 health[20]. Depending on the survey, cutoff values of 5, 10, and 13 points are used for  
251 K6. In the 2007 National Survey on Basic Living Conditions, 27% of male and 33% of  
252 female workers had a K6 score of 5 or higher[21]. In a survey of multiple workplaces,  
253 10.8% of 1,709 workers had K6 scores of 13 or higher[22]. In the present study, 40%,  
254 19% and 9.1% had a K6 score of 5 or higher, 10 or higher, and 13 or higher,  
255 respectively. These results suggest that while more subjects in this study experienced  
256 mild to moderate psychological distress than those in previous studies, they did not  
257 show extremely poor health.

258            In conclusion, this study describes the protocol used to conduct an  
259   Internet-based health survey in workers and a summary of its results in December 2020,  
260   when COVID-19 was spreading rapidly in Japan. In the future, we plan to use this  
261   survey to examine the impact of COVID-19 on workers' work styles and health.

262 **Acknowledgements**

263           This study was funded by a research grant from the University of Occupational  
264 and Environmental Health, Japan; a general incorporated foundation (Anshin Zaidan) for  
265 the development of educational materials on mental health measures for managers at  
266 small-sized enterprises; Health, Labour and Welfare Sciences Research Grants:  
267 Comprehensive Research for Women's Healthcare (H30-josei-ippan-002) and Research  
268 for the establishment of an occupational health system in times of disaster  
269 (H30-roudou-ippan-007); and scholarship donations from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co.,  
270 Ltd.

271           Present members of the Collaborative Online Research on Novel-coronavirus  
272 and Work (CORoNaWork) project are: Dr. Yoshihisa Fujino (current chairperson), Dr.  
273 Akira Ogami, Dr. Arisa Harada, Dr. Ayako Hino, Dr. Chimed-Ochir Odgerel , Dr.  
274 Hajime Ando, Dr. Hisashi Eguchi, Dr. Kazunori Ikegami, Dr. Keiji Muramatsu, Dr. Koji  
275 Mori, Dr. Kyoko Kitagawa, Dr. Masako Nagata, Dr. Mayumi Tsuji, Dr. Rie Tanaka, Dr.  
276 Ryutaro Matsugaki, Dr. Seiishiro Tateishi, Dr. Shinya Matsuda, Dr. Tomohiro Ishimaru,  
277 Dr. Tomohisa Nagata, Dr. Yosuke Mafune, and Ms. Ning Liu, in alphabetical order. All

278 of the members are affiliated with the University of Occupational and Environmental

279 Health, Japan.

280

281 **Conflict of interests**

282 The authors declare no conflicts of interest associated with this manuscript.

283

284      **References**

- 285      1.    Jimi H, Hashimoto G. Challenges of COVID-19 outbreak on the cruise ship  
286            Diamond Princess docked at Yokohama, Japan: a real-world story. *Glob Health*  
287            *Med.* (2020);2: 63–65.
- 288      2.    Sayeed UB, Hossain A. How Japan managed to curb the pandemic early on:  
289            Lessons learned from the first eight months of COVID-19. *J Glob Health.*  
290            (2020);10: 020390.
- 291      3.    Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Situation Reports. [cited 22 Dec 2020].  
292            Available:  
293            <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports>  
294            s
- 295      4.    the Japan Institute for Labour Policy and Training. Novel Coronavirus  
296            (COVID-19). [cited 25 Dec 2020]. Available:  
297            <https://www.jil.go.jp/english/special/covid-19/index.html>
- 298      5.    Databank T. Japan’s Business Failures in December2020. [cited 25 Jan 2020].  
299            Available: [https://www.tdb-en.jp/news\\_reports/backnumber/brr2012.html](https://www.tdb-en.jp/news_reports/backnumber/brr2012.html)
- 300      6.    Tokyo Metropolitan Government. [Results of an emergency survey on telework  
301            adoption rates]. 11 May 2020 [cited 25 Dec 2020]. Available:  
302            <https://www.metro.tokyo.lg.jp/tosei/hodohappyo/press/2020/05/12/10.html>
- 303      7.    NTT DATA INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT CONSULTING, Inc. In: [Survey  
304            on the implementation of telework before and after the declaration of emergency  
305            status of new coronavirus infection ] [Internet]. [cited 25 Dec 2020]. Available:  
306            <https://www.nttdata-strategy.com/newsrelease/200910.html>
- 307      8.    Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan. [Study Group on Future Telework  
308            Work Styles]. [cited 25 Dec 2020]. Available:  
309            [https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/shingi/other-kintou\\_488802\\_00001.html](https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/shingi/other-kintou_488802_00001.html)
- 310      9.    Japan Medical Association. Declaration of medical emergency. 21 Dec 2020 [cited  
311            22 Dec 2020]. Available:  
312            [https://www.med.or.jp/dl-med/teireikaiken/20201221\\_11.pdf](https://www.med.or.jp/dl-med/teireikaiken/20201221_11.pdf)

- 313 10. Karasek RA. Job content questionnaire and users guide Lowell. MA USA:  
314 University of Massachusetts, Department of Work Environment (1985).
- 315 11. Kawakami N, Kobayashi F, Araki S, Haratani T, Furui H. Assessment of job stress  
316 dimensions based on the job demands- control model of employees of  
317 telecommunication and electric power companies in Japan: reliability and validity  
318 of the Japanese version of the Job Content Questionnaire. *Int J Behav Med.*  
319 1995;2: 358–375.
- 320 12. Shimazu A, Schaufeli WB, Kosugi S, Suzuki A, Nashiwa H, Kato A, et al. Work  
321 engagement in japan: Validation of the Japanese version of the Utrecht work  
322 engagement scale. *Appl Psychol.* (2008) ;57: 510–523.
- 323 13. Schaufeli WB, Shimazu A, Hakanen J, Salanova M, De Witte H. An ultra-short  
324 measure for work engagement: The UWES-3 validation across five countries. *Eur J*  
325 *Psychol Assess.* (2019);35: 577–591.
- 326 14. Fujino Y, Uehara M, Izumi H, Nagata T, Muramatsu K, Kubo T, et al.  
327 Development and validity of a work functioning impairment scale based on the  
328 Rasch model among Japanese workers. *J Occup Health.* (2015);57: 521–531.
- 329 15. Furukawa TA, Kawakami N, Saitoh M, Ono Y, Nakane Y, Nakamura Y, et al. The  
330 performance of the Japanese version of the K6 and K10 in the World Mental  
331 Health Survey Japan. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* (2008);17: 152–158.
- 332 16. Promotion USD of H&. HSCFDC (cdc); NCFCDP&. H, US Department of Health  
333 & Human Services Centers for Disease Control (CDC); National Center for  
334 Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion. Measuring Healthy Days:  
335 Population Assessment of Health-Related Quality of Life. *PsycEXTRA Dataset.*  
336 2000. doi:10.1037/e372122004-001
- 337 17. Chimed-Ochir O, Mine Y, Okawara M, Ibayashi K, Miyake F, Fujino Y. Validation  
338 of the Japanese version of the CDC HRQOL-4 in workers. *J Occup Health.*  
339 (2020);62: e12152.
- 340 18. Greenacre ZA. The importance of selection bias in internet surveys. *Open J Stat.*  
341 (2016);06: 397–404.
- 342 19. Eysenbach G, Wyatt J. Using the Internet for surveys and health research. *J Med*

- 343 Internet Res. (2002);4: E13.
- 344 20. Kessler RC, Barker PR, Colpe LJ, Epstein JF, Gfroerer JC, Hiripi E, et al.  
345 Screening for serious mental illness in the general population. Arch Gen Psychiatry.  
346 (2003);60: 184–189.
- 347 21. Inoue A, Kawakami N, Tsuchiya M, Sakurai K, Hashimoto H. Association of  
348 occupation, employment contract, and company size with mental health in a  
349 national representative sample of employees in Japan. J Occup Health. (2010);52:  
350 227–240.
- 351 22. Fushimi M, Saito S, Shimizu T, Kudo Y, Seki M, Murata K. Prevalence of  
352 psychological distress, as measured by the Kessler 6 (K6), and related factors in  
353 Japanese employees. Community Ment Health J. (2012);48: 328–335.

Table 1. Surveys collected based on sampling plan

Region/Prefecture	Cumulative COVID-19 incidence rate per million population	Total (n=33,087)	Office workers		Non-office workers	
			Male (n=8,261)	Female (n=8,300)	Male (n=8,323)	Female (n=8,203)
(Kanto region) Tokyo*, Kanagawa, Saitama, Chiba		6,657	1,682	1,684	1,651	1,640
(non-Kanto region) Okinawa, Osaka*, Hokkaido*, Aichi*, Hyogo*, Fukuoka, Kyoto, Nara	1168-3496	6,700	1,654	1,696	1,676	1,674
Gunma, Ishikawa, Gifu, Kumamoto, Ibaragi, Miyagi, Hiroshima, Shiga, Mie*, Kochi*, Sizuoka, Wakayama, Miyazaki, Yamanashi, Kagoshima	535-911	6,579	1,652	1,639	1,659	1,629
Nagano, Saga, Tochigi, Oita, Toyama, Okayama, Fukui	438-490	6,537	1,627	1,620	1,665	1,625
Fukushima, Yamaguchi, Aomori, Ehime, Yamagata, Nagasaki, Iwate, Tokushima, Shimane, Kagawa, Nigata, Tottori, Akita	97-356	6,614	1,646	1,661	1,672	1,635

\* Prefectures that had reached Stage 4 of the government's four-stage alert scale, indicating that occupancy of hospital beds reserved for the severely ill had exceeded 50%, according to the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare on December 15, 2020.

Table 2. Number of survey responses eligible for analysis and the number judged to have invalid responses.

Region/Prefecture	Samples for analysis		Samples judged to have invalid responses			
	Male (n=13,814)	Female (n=13,222)	Male (n=2,770)	Female (n=3,281)	%	
					Male	Female
(Kanto region) Tokyo*, Kanagawa, Saitama, Chiba	2,831	2,629	502	695	18%	26%
(non-Kanto region) Okinawa, Osaka*, Hokkaido*, Aichi*, Hyogo*, Fukuoka, Kyoto, Nara	2,783	2,667	547	703	20%	26%
Gunma, Ishikawa, Gifu, Kumamoto, Ibaragi, Miyagi, Hiroshima, Shiga, Mie*, Kochi*, Sizuoka, Wakayama, Miyazaki, Yamanashi, Kagoshima	2,725	2,609	586	659	22%	25%
Nagano, Saga, Tochigi, Oita, Toyama, Okayama, Fukui	2,766	2,684	526	561	19%	21%
Fukushima, Yamaguchi, Aomori, Ehime, Yamagata, Nagasaki, Iwate, Tokushima, Shimane, Kagawa, Nigata, Tottori, Akita	2,709	2,633	609	663	22%	25%
Subtotal	13814	13222	2770	3281	20%	25%

Table 3. Comparison of analyzed and excluded samples

	Samples for analysis			Response to question aimed at detecting fraudulent responses			Time taken to respond		
	Samples for analysis n=27036	Samples judged to have invalid responses n=6051	<i>p</i>	Correct n=30652	Incorrect n=2435	<i>p</i>	>6 min n=30688	≤6 min n=2399	<i>p</i>
Age, mean (SD)	47.0 (10.5)	42.8 (10.9)	<0.001	46.5 (10.6)	42.9 (11.4)	<0.001	46.7 (10.6%)	40.4 (10.0%)	<0.001
Sex, male (%)	13814 (51.1%)	2770 (45.8%)	<0.001	15631 (51.0%)	953 (39.1%)	<0.001	15381 (50.1%)	1203 (50.1%)	0.980
Weight <30kg (%)	0 (0.0%)	101 (1.7%)	<0.001	72 (0.2%)	29 (1.2%)	<0.001	77 (0.3%)	24 (1.0%)	<0.001
Height <140cm (%)	0 (0.0%)	71 (1.2%)	<0.001	55 (0.2%)	16 (0.7%)	<0.001	58 (0.2%)	13 (0.5%)	<0.001
Incorrect answer to question aimed at detecting fraudulent responses (%)	0 (0.0%)	2435 (40.2%)	<0.001	0 (0.0%)	2435 (100.0%)	<0.001	2080 (6.8%)	355 (14.8%)	<0.001
Inconsistent responses regarding family members living together (%)	0 (0.0%)	184 (3.0%)	<0.001	145 (0.5%)	39 (1.6%)	<0.001	138 (0.4%)	46 (1.9%)	<0.001
Inconsistent responses regarding area of residence (%)	0 (0.0%)	1852 (30.6%)	<0.001	1592 (5.2%)	260 (10.7%)	<0.001	1525 (5.0%)	327 (13.6%)	<0.001
Time taken to respond ≤6 minutes (%)	0 (0.0%)	2399 (39.6%)	<0.001	2044 (6.7%)	355 (14.6%)	<0.001	0 (0.0%)	2399 (100.0%)	<0.001

Table 4. Basic characteristics of respondents by region

	Sampling unit (n=27036)					p-value
	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	
N	5342	5450	5334	5450	5460	
Age, mean	46.5 (10.7)	45.8 (10.8)	47.1 (10.5)	47.8 (10.3)	47.7 (10.3)	<0.001
Sex, male (%)	2709 (50.7%)	2766 (50.8%)	2725 (51.1%)	2783 (51.1%)	2831 (51.8%)	0.770
Marriage status						
Currently married	3022 (56.6%)	3211 (58.9%)	2999 (56.2%)	2938 (53.9%)	2859 (52.4%)	<0.001
Divorced or widowed	586 (11.0%)	588 (10.8%)	575 (10.8%)	601 (11.0%)	493 (9.0%)	
Never married	1734 (32.5%)	1651 (30.3%)	1760 (33.0%)	1911 (35.1%)	2108 (38.6%)	
Household income						
Less than 2 million yen	385 (7.2%)	271 (5.0%)	368 (6.9%)	378 (6.9%)	307 (5.6%)	<0.001
2 to 9.99 million yen	4301 (80.5%)	4409 (80.9%)	4293 (80.5%)	4409 (80.9%)	4419 (80.9%)	
More than 10 million yen	656 (12.3%)	795 (14.6%)	767 (14.4%)	843 (15.5%)	1189 (21.8%)	
Job type						
Mainly desk work (clerical or computer work)	2689 (50.3%)	2684 (49.2%)	2626 (49.2%)	2701 (49.6%)	2768 (50.7%)	<0.001
Mainly talking to people (customer service, sales, selling, etc.)	1287 (24.1%)	1315 (24.1%)	1304 (24.4%)	1474 (27.0%)	1547 (28.3%)	
Mainly labor (work at production sites, physical work, nursing care, etc.)	1366 (25.6%)	1451 (26.6%)	1404 (26.3%)	1275 (23.4%)	1145 (21.0%)	
Current smoker, %	1410 (26.4%)	1302 (23.9%)	1386 (26.0%)	1418 (26.0%)	1488 (27.3%)	0.002
Do you telecommute? (Almost never)	4641 (86.9%)	4632 (85.0%)	4382 (82.2%)	4174 (76.6%)	3447 (63.1%)	
Have you resigned or changed jobs since April 2020? (Yes)	320 (6.0%)	326 (6.0%)	369 (6.9%)	375 (6.9%)	331 (6.9%)	0.360
Do you need any consideration or support from your company to continue working in your current health condition? (Yes)	1369 (25.6%)	1395 (25.6%)	1353 (25.4%)	1339 (24.6%)	1319 (24.2%)	0.600
Have you been infected with COVID-19? (Yes)	30 (0.6%)	27 (0.5%)	38 (0.7%)	46 (0.8%)	54 (1.0%)	0.015
WFun $\geq$ 21	1208 (22.6%)	1193 (21.9%)	1189 (22.3%)	1098 (20.1%)	1103 (20.2%)	0.001
K6 $\geq$ 5	2195 (41.1%)	2256 (41.4%)	2180 (40.9%)	2064 (37.9%)	2122 (38.9%)	0.004
K6 $\geq$ 10	1050 (19.7%)	992 (18.2%)	1033 (19.4%)	990 (18.2%)	984 (18.0%)	0.080
K6 $\geq$ 13	519 (9.7%)	470 (8.6%)	551 (10.3%)	455 (8.3%)	465 (8.5%)	<0.001
Perceived poor self-rated health	2811 (52.6%)	2781 (51.0%)	2723 (51.0%)	2639 (48.4%)	2626 (48.1%)	<0.001

Table 5. Basic characteristics of respondents by sex

	Total	Sex	
		Male	Female
N	27036	13814	13222
Age, mean	47.0 (10.5)	51.52 (8.5)	42.3 (10.4)
Sex, male (%)	13814 (51.1%)	13814 (100.0%)	-
Marriage status			
Currently married	15029 (55.6%)	9449 (68.4%)	5580 (42.2%)
Divorced or widowed	2843 (10.5%)	981 (7.1%)	1862 (14.1%)
Never married	9164 (33.9%)	3384 (24.5%)	5780 (43.7%)
Household income			
Less than 2 million yen	1709 (6.3%)	705 (5.1%)	1004 (7.6%)
2 to 9.99 million yen	21077 (78.0%)	10561 (76.5%)	10516 (79.5%)
More than 10 million yen	4250 (15.7%)	2548 (18.4%)	1702 (12.9%)
Job type			
Mainly desk work (clerical or computer work)	13468 (49.8%)	6896 (49.9%)	6572 (49.7%)
Mainly talking to people (customer service, sales, selling, etc.)	6927 (25.6%)	3068 (22.2%)	3859 (29.2%)
Mainly labor (work at production sites, physical work, nursing care, etc.)	6641 (24.6%)	3850 (27.9%)	2791 (21.1%)
Current smoker, %	7004 (25.9%)	4855 (35.1%)	2149 (16.3%)
Do you telecommute? (Almost never)	21276 (78.7%)	10453 (75.7%)	10823 (81.9%)
Have you resigned or changed jobs since April 2020? (Yes)	1721 (6.4%)	803 (5.8%)	918 (6.9%)
Do you need any consideration or support from your company to continue working in your current health condition? (Yes)	6775 (25.1%)	3338 (24.1%)	3437 (26.0%)
Have you been infected with COVID-19? (Yes)	195 (0.7%)	101 (0.7%)	94 (0.7%)
WFun $\geq$ 21	5791 (21.4%)	2786 (20.2%)	3005 (22.7%)
K6 $\geq$ 5	10817 (40.0%)	4779 (34.6%)	6038 (45.7%)
K6 $\geq$ 10	5049 (18.7%)	2249 (16.3%)	2800 (21.2%)
K6 $\geq$ 13	2460 (9.1%)	1055 (7.6%)	1405 (10.6%)
Perceived poor self-rated health	13580 (50.2%)	6732 (48.7%)	6848 (51.8%)