

*Gomez Marti et al.*  
*SARS-CoV-2 viral load and antigen testing*

1 Differences in detected viral loads guide use of SARS-CoV-2 antigen-detection assays  
2 towards symptomatic college students and children.

3  
4 Juan Luis Gomez Marti<sup>a</sup>, Jamie Gribshaw<sup>b</sup>, Melissa McCullough<sup>b</sup>, Abbie Mallon<sup>c</sup>, Jamie  
5 Acero<sup>c</sup>, Amy Kinzler<sup>d</sup>, Jamie Godesky<sup>b</sup>, Kelly Heidenrich<sup>d</sup>, Jennifer Iagnemma<sup>d</sup>, Marian  
6 Vanek<sup>e</sup>, A William Pasculle<sup>a</sup>, Tung Phan<sup>a</sup>, Alejandro Hoberman<sup>d</sup>, John V Williams<sup>d</sup>, Stephanie  
7 Mitchell<sup>a#</sup>, Alan Wells<sup>a#</sup>

8  
9 <sup>a</sup> Department of Pathology , University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15213

10 <sup>b</sup> Clinical Microbiology Laboratory, UPMC Hospital System, Pittsburgh, PA 15261

11 <sup>c</sup> Point of Care Testing, UPMC Hospital System, Pittsburgh, PA 15261

12 <sup>d</sup> Department of Pediatrics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15213

13 <sup>e</sup> Student Health Services, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15213

14  
15 # Corresponding authors: Stephanie Mitchell, [mitchells15@upmc.edu](mailto:mitchells15@upmc.edu); Alan Wells,  
16 [wellsa@upmc.edu](mailto:wellsa@upmc.edu)

17  
18 Running title: SARS-CoV-2 viral load and antigen testing

19  
20  
21 Abstract:

22 Limitations in timely testing for SARS-CoV-2 drive the need for new approaches in  
23 suspected COVID-19 disease. We queried whether viral load (VL) in the upper airways at

24 presentation could improve the management and diagnosis of patients. This study was  
25 conducted in a 9 hospital system in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania between March 1-  
26 August 31 2020. Viral load was determined by PCR assays for patients presenting to the  
27 Emergency Departments (ED), community pediatrics practices and college health service.  
28 We found that for the ED patients, VL did not vary substantially between those admitted  
29 and not. VL was relatively equivalent across ages, except for the under 25 age groups that  
30 tended to present with higher loads. To determine if rapid antigen testing (RAT) could aid  
31 diagnosis in certain populations, we compared BD Veritor and Quidel Sofia to SOC PCR-  
32 based tests. The antigen assay provided a disease-detection sensitivity of >90% in a  
33 selection of 32 positive students and was modeled to have an 80% sensitivity in all positive  
34 students. In the outpatient pediatric population, the antigen assay detected 70% of PCR-  
35 positives. Extrapolating these findings to viral loads in older hospitalized patients, a  
36 minority would be detected by RAT (40%). Higher loads did correlate with death, though  
37 the prognostic value was marginal (ROC AUC of only 0.66). VL did not distinguish between  
38 those needing mechanical ventilation and routine inpatients. We conclude that VL in upper  
39 airways, while not prognostic for disease management, may aid in selecting proper testing  
40 methodologies for certain patient populations.

41

#### 42 Introduction:

43 As cases of COVID-19 keep increasing throughout the world and entire populations adapt  
44 to living in the context of a global pandemic, accurate rapid testing needs to be available to  
45 quickly identify clusters of SARS-CoV-2 infections. Current evidence says that viral load  
46 usually peaks in the first week of illness (1) and by the time symptoms develop (2),

47 although patients may not be infectious during the entire process even if viral genetic  
48 materials are detected (2, 3). It has been reported that among hospitalized patients, viral  
49 load seems to correlate with worse respiratory disease severity, lower lymphocyte counts  
50 and increased inflammatory markers, particularly IL-6 and C-reactive protein (4). The  
51 strength of association seems to be clearer for plasma viremia, which has been associated  
52 with increased risk of mortality (4). However, the utility of plasma virus detection is  
53 limited by the fact that only a small fraction of COVID-19 patients presented viremia, with  
54 just 44% of those requiring ventilation and only 13% of outpatients found to be positive by  
55 upper airway detections of viral genetic materials. Thus, we queried if the viral load in the  
56 upper airways can be prognostic for outcome.

57         The correlation of viral load with clinical course for other common respiratory  
58 viruses, such as influenza, is mixed. Some have found an association between clinical  
59 symptoms and lymphocyte counts with viral loads, that is not related to age (5). However,  
60 the latter study did find that the loads correlated with age, with children having higher  
61 levels of respiratory viruses than adults. Similar to this, reports have found significantly  
62 larger loads of SARS-CoV-2 among children who are <5 years old (6).

63         In order to alleviate the demand, cost and time-dependent burden of conducting  
64 real time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), more rapid methods of detection have been  
65 developed. Rapid antigen tests (RAT) have shown different degrees of sensitivity, and  
66 although their sensitivity correlates with viral load levels, some are not advised unless they  
67 are used as co-adjuncts of a RT-PCR due to high false negative (FN) rates and overall low  
68 sensitivity (7-9). As an example, the Panbio Rapid Ag Test Device, one of the two  
69 emergency use list kits of the WHO, has a sensitivity of 11% for detecting positive cases

70 that present with low viral loads, though the assay was found efficacious in detecting those  
71 patients that presented with higher viral loads (9). Still, the utility of such tests would  
72 depend on whether there is a relationship between viral load and clinical course, or  
73 whether some population segments present with higher loads. This impelled us to query  
74 the loads across age groups and disease severity to determine utility of these RAT. In short,  
75 we find that younger age groups, up through young adults skew towards high viral loads  
76 that allow for high probability testing using the RAT. Unfortunately, in the age groups at  
77 greater risk for poor outcomes of infection, the lower viral loads negatively impact the  
78 utility of such assays.

79

80 Methods:

81 *Ethical statements:* All testing was performed as apart of routine clinical care and  
82 performed according to CLIA '88 regulations by appropriate personnel; all the assays were  
83 used under test-specific FDA EUA. The entire study was deemed to be a Quality  
84 Improvement initiative by the UPMC IRB and approved by the UPMC QI Review Board; the  
85 nucleic acid amplification assays were performed as part of routine medical care, and the  
86 antigen testing was added as a quality initiative to determine clinical utilization and  
87 interpretation parameters. All patient data were extracted under HIPAA-compliant  
88 procedures and de-identified for aggregation.

89

90 *Sample collection:* Patient specimens for the NAAT testings were acquired by  
91 nasopharyngeal (NP) swab placed into viral transport media (VTM). For the antigen/NAAT  
92 comparison, dual specimens were collected, one for antigen detection using direct swab

*Gomez Marti et al.*  
*SARS-CoV-2 viral load and antigen testing*

93 testing and one placed in VTM for NAAT. Samples were midturbinate (pediatrics, <18  
94 years) or anterior nares (college-aged, 18-25 years). Antigen tests and corresponding  
95 NAAT were administered between March 8 and September 10, 2020. There were five  
96 groups of patients. The ED-collected specimens came from nine hospitals in Allegheny  
97 County, PA (average population of 1.25 million people). The pediatric specimens were  
98 obtained from symptomatic patients presenting for care to outpatient practices of UPMC  
99 Children's Community Pediatrics and to the Primary Care Center of UPMC Children's  
100 Hospital of Pittsburgh. The students presented to Student Health Services at the Pittsburgh  
101 campus of the University of Pittsburgh. The last groups were asymptomatic individuals  
102 identified via surveillance testing of either older adults undergoing surgical procedures  
103 unrelated to COVID-19 disease, or students at the University of Pittsburgh.

104  
105 *Nucleic Acid Amplification Test:* The NAAT tests used was either Cepheid GeneXpert Xpress  
106 SARS-CoV-2 assay (Cepheid; adult inpatients) [Cepheid IFU,  
107 <https://www.fda.gov/media/136314/download>], or Laboratory-Developed Test based on  
108 the CDC 2019-nCoV Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel EUA (CDC) protocol [CDC EUA IFU,  
109 <https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download>] (children and adult outpatients). All  
110 assays were run per the manufactures' IFU. Viral loads were approximated based on the  $C_T$   
111 values obtained from PCR assays, and are presented as such. The  $C_T$  values for the Cepheid  
112 and CDC NAAT testings are not identical but have been shown to be relatively comparable  
113 (10).

114

*Gomez Marti et al.*  
*SARS-CoV-2 viral load and antigen testing*

115 *Antigen testing:* Testing was performed with the BD Veritor and Quidel Sofia2 SARS-CoV-2  
116 assay by testing with the direct swab method, according to the manufacturer’s instructions  
117 for use [BD IFU, <https://www.fda.gov/media/139755/download>][Quidel IFU,  
118 <https://www.fda.gov/media/137885/download>]. The BD Veritor was employed at the  
119 University of Pittsburgh Student Health Services for symptomatic students. The Quidel  
120 Sofia2 was used in symptomatic children presenting to outpatient pediatric offices. These  
121 populations were distinct and non-overlapping with the adult symptomatic patients  
122 included in the study, that presented to the Emergency Departments of our nine-hospitals  
123

124 *Statistical analyses:* For sample size purposes, viral loads from LDT and Cepheid platforms  
125 were merged for analysis of  $C_T$  values in Figures 1 and 2. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used  
126 to compare more than two groups (Figure 1B, Figure 2A). Pearson’s linear correlation was  
127 computed to analyze association between viral load and time period on Figure 1C. For ROC  
128 plotting in Figure 2B, the function “geom\_ROC()” from “plotROC v2.3.0” R package was  
129 used. The AUC was obtained using the model of Mason and Graham (11) with the  
130 “roc.area()” function from “verification v1.42” R package. This function calculates the p-  
131 value using the Wilcoxon’s test. Figures were plotted using “ggpubr v0.4.0” package, and all  
132 analyses and figures were conducted using R v4.0.2.

133

134 Results:

135 *Viral load in the upper airways upon presentation:*

136 We tested patients that presented as symptomatic to our acute care facilities. Stratified by  
137 age groupings, there was little difference in the adult populations in the perceived viral

138 load as detected by nasopharyngeal swabbing (Figure 1A). However, the detected viral  
139 loads in the groups of children (< 15 years old) and young adults 15-24 years old skewed  
140 towards higher viral loads (lower  $C_T$ ).

141 Interestingly, the viral loads in persons whose illness did not require hospitalization  
142 trended higher (lower  $C_T$ ) though these differences did not reach statistical significance in  
143 those populations with sufficient numbers for comparisons (Figure 1A). This difference led  
144 us to ask whether asymptomatic carriers in our population were similar in viral load. We  
145 examined the viral load (mean  $C_T$  28.8  $\pm$  SD 8.2) in asymptomatic persons (aged 14.6-83.6)  
146 who were tested prior to surgical procedures. During this period, we found a carriage rate  
147 of approximately 0.25% in this group (in testing of about 30,000 persons across Central  
148 and Western PA). The 17 positive adults for which we had  $C_T$  values tended to have  
149 numerically lower viral loads than the symptomatic adults as per higher  $C_T$  values,  
150 although these differences did not reach statistical significance ( $P = 0.07$  compared to  
151 outpatient, and  $P = 0.17$  compared to inpatients) (Figure 1B). Viral loads were slightly  
152 higher among outpatients compared to inpatients although this did not reach significance  
153 ( $p=0.08$ ).

154 The viral load in the adults appeared to vary with the prevalence of cases in the  
155 community (Figure 1C). Plotting the viral loads against the number of cases in the same  
156 county as the hospitals (Allegheny County, PA), we found that higher loads coincided with  
157 the initial peak in April and the second summer peak in July.

158

159 *Antigen testing in younger outpatients:*

160 Based on the higher viral loads in symptomatic patients <25 years old (Figure 1A), we  
161 determined whether RAT could be used in these groups (Figure 2). In the pediatric  
162 population, the RAT detected 31 of the 43 PCR-positive symptomatic children and  
163 adolescents with only 1 antigen-positive assay not being detected by PCR, out of 427 total  
164 patients. This resulted in a sensitivity of 72% and a specificity of 99% within a community  
165 prevalence of about 10%. In the college-aged population, the BD Veritor antigen test could  
166 detect 30 of 32 PCR-positive students. There were no students who were found to be  
167 antigen-positive but PCR-negative. This calculates to a sensitivity of 93.75 % and a  
168 specificity of 100%; however, this disease sensitivity may represent an overestimate  
169 resulting from randomly selected students for Veritor testing included fewer students with  
170 lower viral loads ( $C_T > 25$ ) than the students tested only by PCR. If we extrapolate to the  
171 total population of symptomatic students, the sensitivity would be about 80% in this group.  
172

173 *Expected rates of detection by antigen tests:*

174 When comparing the RAT positives and negatives against PCR (Figure 2), we find that at  
175 viral loads indicated by  $C_T < 20$ , provided 100% antigen detection by both RAT assays. With  
176  $C_T$  between 20 and 25, RAT detected most but not all of the PCR positives. At  $C_T > 25$ , most  
177 PCR-positive patients were not detected. We used these  $C_T$  cutoffs to model expected  
178 sensitivity of RAT for symptomatic adults. Modeling for the independent set of patients that  
179 presented at the hospital ED, including UPMC Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh, provided  
180 an expected sensitivity of approximately 70%, similar to that found among symptomatic  
181 children and adolescents presenting for care to outpatient pediatric clinics. For adults, the  
182 expected sensitivities of the antigen tests would be < 50% (Figure 1).

183

184 *Viral load in the upper airways and hospital course:*

185 Our data suggests that the faster and more available RAT would miss about half of the  
186 detected adults, but this would be less important if the detected patients represented the  
187 more severely sick patients. To determine if viral load at presentation could be used to  
188 manage adult patients in the acute setting, we asked if we could predict the course of  
189 hospital stay. The outcomes of adults' clinical course was stratified based on dying or being  
190 ventilated and successfully weaned during the course of that hospitalization. Those who  
191 died presented with statistically significantly higher viral loads (Figure 3A) compared  
192 those being ventilated or with hospital stays without this intervention. However, patients  
193 requiring ventilation did not differ in viral load from those not requiring such. Despite the  
194 statistical correlation we found for the group, the viral load at presentation could not be  
195 used as predictor of outcome as the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve of viral  
196 load versus death, had a meager Area Under the Curve (AUC) of just 0.66 (Figure 3B).

197

198 Discussion:

199 Herein we report on the relationship of viral load, as indicated by CT, upon testing results  
200 with clinical outcomes. Our experience included patients who presented to the EDs of the  
201 nine hospitals of one health system and were deemed sufficiently symptomatic to be tested  
202 for SARS-CoV-2. The study also included asymptomatic patients undergoing pre-procedural  
203 testing, and symptomatic outpatient children and college students tested by RAT. Although,  
204 these populationss provide a reasonable representation of the US, they still do represent a  
205 limited experience.

206           The focus on viral load at presentation reflects both the ability to test and the  
207 implications for selecting test analytical sensitivity. It is well recognized that virus load in  
208 the lungs (11) but not in the nasopharynx (4, 12, 13) equates to significant disease in lungs.  
209 This connection between viral load in the nasal cavity and disease severity (4, 12, 13)  
210 appears to be present in our data with higher viral load correlating with worse outcomes  
211 (death) (AUC of 0.66), however with a strength that precludes consideration of causality.  
212 Further, the lack of difference between those persons who require in hospital care and  
213 those who can be treated safely at home suggests that viral load cannot be used for  
214 prognosis or patient disposition.

215           However, viral load in the nasal cavity has implications for testing approaches. Our  
216 data suggests, like other studies, that more rapid and readily available RAT require higher  
217 viral loads for detection (14, 15). This requires modeling for proper utilization. Our finding  
218 of higher viral reflecting disease density (Figure 1C) would suggest that RAT would  
219 perform better for detecting disease during times of infections surges; as such studies that  
220 report on high degrees of disease sensitivity of RAT (16) should be interpreted in terms of  
221 community prevalence.

222           One argument for RAT testing in all populations involve some claims that lower viral  
223 loads (higher  $C_T$ ) reflect carriage that not requiring detection (17). **Our data argues against**  
224 **this approach given that** lower viral loads ( $C_T > 30$ ) were found at presentation in ~20% of  
225 the patients who died, and ~30% of those who required mechanical ventilation (Figure 3).  
226 Importantly, we found that younger patients tended to present with higher viral loads, a  
227 finding that comports with the finding of higher loads of influenza viruses in children (5).  
228 As such we tested whether RAT could be used in younger populations (Figure 3). As with

229 RAT for influenza virus, symptomatic children and college-aged persons were detected at  
230 high rates. Given limited disease severity in these otherwise healthy young children and  
231 young adults, 70% sensitivity may be considered sufficient for use of these point-of-care  
232 tests in these groups. However, we still counsel the greater analytic sensitivity of PCR-  
233 based testing for high-risk children and young adults, such as those who are transplant  
234 recipients or with other underlying comorbidities.

235 For the older adults, the disease risks and somewhat lower viral loads argues  
236 against using RAT to rule out SARS-CoV-2 infection. Modeling suggested that RAT would  
237 miss at least half of symptomatic patients who required hospitalization for COVID-19, and  
238 even a greater percentage of asymptomatic persons tested prior to a medical procedure. It  
239 should be noted that in some cases, such as inclusion in early treatments for pre-  
240 hospitalization remdesivir or convalescent plasma/engineered antibodies, the two RAT  
241 assays assessed herein, given negligible rates of false positives, might be a reasonable  
242 detection modality.

243 In summary, the viral loads detected in the nasal cavity were found to span broad  
244 ranges that do not allow for viral load estimation to guide patient disposition, treatment, or  
245 prognosis. Small but significantly higher viral loads in younger persons, coupled with lower  
246 disease severity in these persons, suggests RAT may be used as the primary diagnostic  
247 modality in these persons, despite the lower analytic sensitivity.

248

249 Acknowledgements:

250 This study was enabled by internal funding provided by UPMC Hospital System and the  
251 University of Pittsburgh; the funders had no role in study design, data collection and

252 interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

253 We thank the many others members of the UPMC Clinical Microbiology Laboratory and  
254 Children's Hospital services and the University of Pittsburgh Student Health Services for  
255 helping with specimen collection and testing.

256

257 References:

258

- 259 1. Muge Cevik M, Matthew Tate M, Ollie Lloyd M, Alberto Enrico Maraolo M, Jenna  
260 Schafers M, Antonia Ho P. 2021. SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV viral load  
261 dynamics, duration of viral shedding, and infectiousness: a systematic review and  
262 meta-analysis. *The Lancet Microbe* 2.
- 263 2. Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, Liang L, Huang H, Hong Z, Yu J, Kang M, Song Y, Xia J, Guo Q,  
264 Song T, He J, Yen HL, Peiris M, Wu J. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in Upper  
265 Respiratory Specimens of Infected Patients. *N Engl J Med* 382:1177-1179.
- 266 3. Gao M, Yang L, Chen X, Deng Y, Yang S, Xu H, Chen Z, Gao X. 2020. A study on  
267 infectivity of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 carriers. *Respir Med* 169:106026.
- 268 4. Fajnzylber J, Regan J, Coxen K, Corry H, Wong C, Rosenthal A, Worrall D, Giguel F,  
269 Piechocka-Trocha A, Atyeo C, Fischinger S, Chan A, Flaherty KT, Hall K, Dougan M,  
270 Ryan ET, Gillespie E, Chishti R, Li Y, Jilg N, Hanidziar D, Baron RM, Baden L, Tsibris  
271 AM, Armstrong KA, Kuritzkes DR, Alter G, Walker BD, Yu X, Li JZ, Massachusetts  
272 Consortium for Pathogen R. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 viral load is associated with  
273 increased disease severity and mortality. *Nat Commun* 11:5493.

- 274 5. Granados A, Peci A, McGeer A, Gubbay JB. 2017. Influenza and rhinovirus viral load  
275 and disease severity in upper respiratory tract infections. *J Clin Virol* 86:14-19.
- 276 6. Heald-Sargent T, Muller WJ, Zheng X, Rippe J, Patel AB, Kociolek LK. 2020. Age-  
277 Related Differences in Nasopharyngeal Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome  
278 Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Levels in Patients With Mild to Moderate Coronavirus  
279 Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Pediatr* 174:902-903.
- 280 7. Mak GC, Cheng PK, Lau SS, Wong KK, Lau CS, Lam ET, Chan RC, Tsang DN. 2020.  
281 Evaluation of rapid antigen test for detection of SARS-CoV-2 virus. *J Clin Virol*  
282 129:104500.
- 283 8. Scohy A, Anantharajah A, Bodeus M, Kabamba-Mukadi B, Verroken A, Rodriguez-  
284 Villalobos H. 2020. Low performance of rapid antigen detection test as frontline  
285 testing for COVID-19 diagnosis. *J Clin Virol* 129:104455.
- 286 9. Yamayoshi S, Sakai-Tagawa Y, Koga M, Akasaka O, Nakachi I, Koh H, Maeda K, Adachi  
287 E, Saito M, Nagai H, Ikeuchi K, Ogura T, Baba R, Fujita K, Fukui T, Ito F, Hattori SI,  
288 Yamamoto K, Nakamoto T, Furusawa Y, Yasuhara A, Ujie M, Yamada S, Ito M,  
289 Mitsuya H, Omagari N, Yotsuyanagi H, Iwatsuki-Horimoto K, Imai M, Kawaoka Y.  
290 2020. Comparison of Rapid Antigen Tests for COVID-19. *Viruses* 12.
- 291 10. Mitchell SL, Ventura SE. 2020. Evaluation and Comparison of the Hologic Aptima  
292 SARS-CoV-2 Assay and the CDC 2019-nCoV Real-Time Reverse Transcription-PCR  
293 Diagnostic Panel Using a Four-Sample Pooling Approach. *J Clin Microbiol* 58.
- 294 11. Graham SJMNE. 2002. Areas beneath the relative operating characteristics (ROC)  
295 and relative operating levels (ROL) curves: Statistical significance and  
296 interpretation. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 128.

*Gomez Marti et al.*  
*SARS-CoV-2 viral load and antigen testing*

- 297 12. Shlomain A, Ben-Zvi H, Glusman Bendersky A, Shafran N, Goldberg E, Sklan EH. 2020.  
298 Nasopharyngeal viral load predicts hypoxemia and disease outcome in admitted  
299 COVID-19 patients. *Crit Care* 24:539.
- 300 13. Hitoshi Kawasuji YM, Hideki Tani, Yoshihiro Yoshida, Yusuke Taekgoshi, Makito  
301 Kaneda, Yushi Murai, Kou Kimoto, Akitoshi Ueno, Yuki Miyajima, Koyomi Kawago,  
302 Yasutaka Fukui, Miyuki Kimura, Hiroshi Yamada, Ippei Sakamaki, Yoshihiro  
303 Yamamoto. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 RNAemia with higher nasopharyngeal viral load is  
304 strongly associated with severity and mortality in patients with COVID-19. medRxiv  
305 doi:10.1101/2020.12.17.20248388.
- 306 14. Tuna Toptan LE, Annika E. Pfeiffer, Sebastian Hoehl, Sandra Ciesek, Christian  
307 Drosten, Victor M. Corman. 2020. Evaluation of a SARS-CoV-2 rapid antigen test:  
308 potential to help reduce community spread? medRxiv  
309 doi:10.1101/2020.12.04.20240283.
- 310 15. Hirotsu Y, Maejima M, Shibusawa M, Nagakubo Y, Hosaka K, Amemiya K, Sueki H,  
311 Hayakawa M, Mochizuki H, Tsutsui T, Kakizaki Y, Miyashita Y, Yagi S, Kojima S,  
312 Omata M. 2020. Comparison of automated SARS-CoV-2 antigen test for COVID-19  
313 infection with quantitative RT-PCR using 313 nasopharyngeal swabs, including from  
314 seven serially followed patients. *Int J Infect Dis* 99:397-402.
- 315 16. Lindner AK, Nikolai O, Kausch F, Wintel M, Hommes F, Gertler M, Kruger LJ,  
316 Gaeddert M, Tobian F, Lainati F, Koppel L, Seybold J, Corman VM, Drosten C,  
317 Hofmann J, Sacks JA, Mockenhaupt FP, Denkinger CM. 2020. Head-to-head  
318 comparison of SARS-CoV-2 antigen-detecting rapid test with self-collected anterior

*Gomez Marti et al.*  
*SARS-CoV-2 viral load and antigen testing*

- 319 nasal swab versus professional-collected nasopharyngeal swab. Eur Respir J  
320 doi:10.1183/13993003.03961-2020.
- 321 17. Mina MJ, Parker R, Larremore DB. 2020. Rethinking Covid-19 Test Sensitivity - A  
322 Strategy for Containment. N Engl J Med 383:e120.
- 323
- 324

325 Figure Legends:

326

327 *Figure 1: Comparison of viral loads in hospitalized patients in Allegheny county UPMC*

328 *hospitals. Viral loads were calculated with either the Cepheid GeneXpert or the LDT assay.*

329 A) Viral load varies by age grouping in symptomatic inpatients and outpatients. No

330 statistical difference were apparent between the adult populations, but  $P < 0.05$  results

331 comparing the two groups under 25 years old to the older groups. Differences between the

332 inpatient and outpatients are not statistically different ( $P > 0.05$  in each pairwise

333 comparison).

334 B) Viral load appears lower in asymptomatic patients found to carry SARS-CoV-2 upon

335 routine testing prior to undergoing surgical procedures unrelated to COVID-19 disease.

336 C) The viral loads of the inpatients varied with the number of infections found in the

337 resident county. Viral loads trends were detected when the patients were grouped by the

338 level of community infection rate; these were grouped into the initial infection surge (April-

339 May), the interregnum (June) and the later summer surge (August and September), tracked

340 against cases and deaths as compiled by the Allegheny County Health Department

341 ([https://www.alleghenycounty.us/Health-Department/Resources/COVID-19/COVID-](https://www.alleghenycounty.us/Health-Department/Resources/COVID-19/COVID-19.aspx)

342 [19.aspx](https://www.alleghenycounty.us/Health-Department/Resources/COVID-19/COVID-19.aspx)).

343

344 *Figure 2: Detection of students and children using rapid antigen testing and nucleic acid*

345 *amplification assays. Specimens were obtained for both antigen and PCR detection assays.*

346 Specimens negative by both methods are not shown.

*Gomez Marti et al.*  
*SARS-CoV-2 viral load and antigen testing*

347 A) Children under 18 were tested for SARS-CoV-2 with middle turbinate swabs and tested  
348 in parallel using both the Quidel Sofia antigen test and the LDT PCR test. Filled circles  
349 represent those specimens detected by the antigen test.

350 B) Students at the University of Pittsburgh were tested by the BD Veritor antigen test and  
351 the LDT assay. Asymptomatic students were identified upon random surveillance screening  
352 (and not tested with the antigen test), symptomatic students were seen in student health,  
353 and subset underwent dual testing. + indicates specimens that were not assessed with the  
354 antigen test. Filled circles are those that were positive by the antigen test, and empty circles  
355 are specimens that were PCR-positive but antigen-negative.

356


357 *Figure 3: Implications of viral load for outcome of hospitalized patients.*

358 A) Viral load is statistically higher in patients who went on to die during the hospital stay  
359 when compared to those requiring ventilation or supplemental to no oxygen by mask.  
360 However, there was no statistical difference in viral load at presentation between those  
361 that required ventilation and those that did not.


362 B) Viral load is a poor marker for death. An ROC, generated to determine whether  
363 presenting viral load would designate a mortal outcome, while reaching statistical  
364 significance, had minimal power (AUC of only 0.66) to indicate the outcome.

**Figure 1: Comparison of viral loads in hospitalized patients in Allegheny county UPMC hospitals.**


**A**




**B**



**C**



*Figure 2: Detection of students and children using rapid antigen testing and nucleic acid amplification assays.*



**Figure 3: Implications of viral load for outcome of hospitalized patients.**

