The impact of social restrictions during the COVID-19 pandemic on the physical activity levels of older adults: a baseline analysis of the CHARIOT COVID-19 Rapid Response prospective cohort study

David Salman, academic clinical fellow in primary care*^{1,2®}, **Thomas Beaney,** academic clinical fellow in primary care*^{2®}, **Catherine E. Robb,** postgraduate research associate*³, Celeste A. de Jager Loots, research fellow³, Parthenia Giannakopoulou, data management coordinator³, Chi Udeh-Momoh, research programme manager³, Sara Ahmadi-Abhari, lecturer in epidemiology of ageing³, Azeem Majeed, chair: primary care and public health², Lefkos T. Middleton, chair: neurology, neuroepidemiology and ageing³, Alison. H. McGregor, professor of musculoskeletal biodynamics¹

Author affiliations:

¹MSk lab, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, UK, W12 0BZ

²Department of Primary Care and Public Health, Imperial College London, UK, W6 8RP

³Ageing Epidemiology Research Unit (AGE), School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, UK

[®]Correspondence to:

David Salman

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-1481-8829

MSk lab, 2nd Floor, Sir Michael Uren Hub, 86 Wood Lane, London, W12 0BZ

Email: d.salman11@imperial.ac.uk

Phone: +44 (0) 20 7594 2703

Thomas Beaney

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-9709-7264

Department of Primary Care and Public Health

Charing Cross Campus, The Reynolds Building, St Dunstan's Road, London, W6 8RP

Email: thomas.beaney@imperial.ac.uk

Phone: +44 (0) 207 5943 368

Word count: 3752

Figures:3

Tables:2

^{*}contributed equally as joint lead authors

⁴ Public Health Directorate, Imperial College NHS Healthcare Trust, London, UK

Contributorship and the guarantor

DS, TB and CR conceived the paper, developed the survey materials, carried out the analysis, wrote the paper equally as joint lead authors and are the guarantors. All authors developed the survey, carried out analysis and contributed to the development and editing of the paper.

Transparency declaration

The lead authors confirm that the submitted manuscript is an honest, accurate and transparent account of the study being reported. No important aspects of the study have been omitted.

Ethics approval

This research was approved by the Imperial College Research and Ethics Committee (ICREC) and Joint Research Compliance Office (22/04/2020; 20IC5942). All participants were required to provide informed consent before taking part in the study. Data collected as a part of this study are anonymized and kept strictly confidential in accordance with the UK General Data Protection Regulations (2016).

Data sharing

This is an ongoing study, but anonymised data can be provided upon request for the purposes of further data analysis, and can be requested from the Data Management Coordinator, Parthenia Giannakopoulou: parthenia.giannakopoulou13@imperial.ac.uk

Dissemination declaration

Participants in the CHARIOT cohort are informed by regular newsletter of all publications pertaining to the cohort.

Acknowledgements

Work towards this article was in part supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration Northwest London and Imperial Biomedical Research Centre (BRC). DS and TB are supported by NIHR academic clinical fellowships. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care. Imperial College London is the sponsor for the CCRR study, and has no influence on the direction or content of the work. There was no external financial funding for the study.

We are grateful to Lesley Williamson, Monica Munoz-Troncoso, Snehal Pandya and Emily Pickering (CHARIOT register and facilitator team); Mariam Jiwani, Rachel Veeravalli, Islam

2

Saiful, Danielle Rose, Susie Gold, Rachel Nejade and Shehla Shamsuddin (Imperial College London student volunteers); Stefan McGinn-Summers, Neil Beckford, Inthushaa Indrakumar and Kristina Lakey (Departmental administrative staff in AGE); Dinithi Perera (departmental manager); Heather McLellan-Young (project manager); Helen Ward, James McKeand, Geraint Price, Josip Car, Christina Atchison, Nicholas Peters, Aldo Faisal, and Jennifer Quint (investigator team contributing to CCRR survey design, development and improvement).

How patients were involved in the creation of this article

Older adult volunteers (60-80 years of age) from various social and cultural backgrounds provided feedback on the survey content. This feedback was incorporated into the survey design.

Conflicts of Interest

All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; Lefkos T. Middleton reports research funding from Janssen, Novartis, Merck and Takeda, outside the submitted work.

Licence

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, a worldwide licence to the Publishers and its licensees in perpetuity, in all forms, formats and media (whether known now or created in the future), to i) publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Contribution, ii) translate the Contribution into other languages, create adaptations, reprints, include within collections and create summaries, extracts and/or, abstracts of the Contribution, iii) create any other derivative work(s) based on the Contribution, iv) to exploit all subsidiary rights in the Contribution, v) the inclusion of electronic links from the Contribution to third party material where-ever it may be located; and, vi) licence any third party to do any or all of the above.

Abstract

Objectives: Physical inactivity is more common in older adults, is associated with social isolation and loneliness, and contributes to increased morbidity and mortality. We examined the effect of social restrictions, implemented to reduce transmission of COVID-19 in the UK (lockdown), on physical activity (PA) levels of older adults, and the demographic, lifestyle and social predictors of this change.

Design: Baseline analysis of a survey-based prospective cohort study

Setting: Adults enrolled in the Cognitive Health in Ageing Register for Investigational and Observational Trials (CHARIOT) cohort from GP practices in North West London were invited to participate from April to July 2020.

Participants: 6,219 cognitively healthy adults aged 50 to 92 years completed the survey.

Main outcome measures: Self-reported PA before and after lockdown, as measured by Metabolic Equivalent of Task (MET) minutes. Associations of PA with demographic, lifestyle and social factors, mood and frailty.

Results: Mean PA was significantly lower following lockdown, from 3,519 MET minutes/week to 3,185 MET minutes/week (p<0.001). After adjustment for confounders and pre-lockdown PA, lower levels of PA after lockdown were found in those who were over 85 years old (640 [95% CI: 246 to 1034] MET minutes/week less); were divorced or single (240 [95% CI: 120 to 360] MET minutes/week less); living alone (277 [95% CI: 152 to 402] MET minutes/week less); reported feeling lonely often (306 [95% CI: 60 to 552] MET minutes/week less); and showed symptoms of depression (1007 [95% CI: 1401 to 612] MET minutes/week less) compared to those aged 50-64 years, married, co-habiting, and not reporting loneliness or depression, respectively.

Conclusions and Implications: Markers of social isolation, loneliness and depression were associated with lower PA following lockdown in the UK. Interventions to improve PA in older adults should take account of social and community factors, and targeted strategies to increase physical activity in socially isolated, lonely and depressed older adults should be considered.

1.0 Background and Rationale

Physical inactivity (PA) adversely affects older adults, with 60-70% of those aged over 75 years not sufficiently active for good health^{1,2} as defined by meeting World Health Organization (WHO)³ and UK⁴ guidelines. From March until June 2020 in the UK, a national 'lockdown' was implemented to reduce exposure to, and transmission of, COVID-19. Although applied to the whole population, adults aged over 70 years and those with underlying health conditions at higher risk of severe COVID-19 disease were asked to follow more stringent social distancing measures. These included remaining at home where possible; avoiding social mixing in the community; avoiding physically interacting with friends and family; and avoiding public transport.⁵

Social isolation and loneliness in older adults, possibly exacerbated during lockdowns,⁶ is associated with increases in morbidity and mortality, and also with increases in physical inactivity and sedentary time, as shown from subjective self-reporting and from accelerometer data.^{7,8} Physical inactivity may therefore have a role in mediating the increased morbidity and mortality associated with social isolation.⁹ We set up the CHARIOT COVID-19 Rapid Response study (CCRR) in April 2020 to monitor symptoms and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on various health and lifestyle factors, by repeat questionnaire survey of the Cognitive Health in Ageing Register for Investigational and Observational Trials (CHARIOT) members.

We hypothesised that imposed social restrictions would negatively impact on PA levels of older adults, and that change in PA after lockdown would be modified by certain demographic, lifestyle and social factors, with a focus on markers of social isolation and perceived loneliness. An awareness of the extent of, and predictors for, change in PA levels will aid our understanding of the impact of social isolation on the health of older adults, both with respect to pandemic-related lockdowns and social isolation itself.

2.0 Methods

2.1 CCRR survey

Study participants were recruited from the CHARIOT register, a cohort of over 40,000 cognitively healthy adult volunteers aged over 50 years, recruited from 172 GP surgeries across West and North London as part of a collaboration between regional GP practices and the School of Public Health, at Imperial College London.

This ongoing prospective cohort study was initiated in April 2020 with repeated questionnaire surveys conducted every six weeks. The CCRR baseline survey consists of questions related to basic demographics, diet, alcohol and smoking status, symptoms of COVID-19, functional activities, physical activity, sleep, frailty and mental health (supplementary file 1). For physical activity, the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) short-form was used, asking respondents to document their weekly vigorous and moderate activity, walking and sitting time from the week prior to completing the survey; and for the week prior to implementation of social restriction measures. For assessing frailty, the 5-point FRAIL scale, and for assessing mental health symptoms, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression (HADS) scale, were used. A question on loneliness was used from the Imperial College Sleep Quality questionnaire; in turn adapted from the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index and Centre for Epidemiologic Studies of Depression Scale.

The survey was sent to 15,000 CHARIOT participants via email, with a subsequent 25,000 contacted by post. 7,320 participants responded and completed the survey. Of these respondents, 6,219 completed IPAQ data both before and after introduction of lockdown measures and were included in the final analysis. Data used in the present analysis were completed between 30th April and the 22nd July 2020.

2.2 Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted using Stata version 16.1 (StataCorp 2019) and R.^{16,17} Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in metres and categorised according to standard WHO criteria. IPAQ data were cleaned according to the IPAQ data cleaning protocol, and the Metabolic Equivalent of Task (MET) minutes per week, calculated for each activity and total activity (supplementary file 2). Paired t-tests were used to compare the distributions of mean PA levels pre- and post-lockdown.

Measures of association with explanatory variables were explored in univariable linear regression models for two outcomes: i) overall weekly MET minutes after introduction of lockdown and ii) the difference in overall weekly MET minutes before versus after the introduction of lockdown. Multivariable models were constructed for the outcome of MET minutes after lockdown, adjusting a priori each explanatory variable in turn for age, sex and ethnicity. Month of survey completion was also included in the model to account for seasonal changes, and the finding that physical activity after lockdown varied by month (supplementary file 2: figure 2). Weekly MET minutes before lockdown was also included in the model given its strong association with activity levels after lockdown, which remained

significantly associated in all models. Denominators for each model vary according to the levels of missingness in variables included in the models, which was low for most variables, except for BMI (unrecorded in 51.4% of participants).

A causal diagram was constructed using DAGitty¹⁹ (supplementary file 2: figure 4) to aid adjustment for confounders in order to separate the overall causal effects of marital status, loneliness and living alone on physical activity. Additional multivariable models were then constructed based on the causal diagram for loneliness, adjusting for age, sex, ethnicity, household status, marital status, shielding status and frailty category. No further adjustment was necessary for marital status or household status. Residuals were plotted against fitted values to assess for outlying points and heteroskedasticity; and plots of Cook's distance and leverage against fitted values were examined to detect the presence of influential points.

3.0 Results

3.1 Participant characteristics

Of the 6,219 participants included in the present study, 55.4% were female, and the majority (55.3%) were aged 65-74 years with a mean age of 70 years. 93.7% of respondents classified themselves as being of white ethnic background, with 2.8% of Asian ethnic background, and only 0.7% of black African or Caribbean background. Approximately half of participants (48.6%) had a recorded height and weight, with a mean BMI of 25.3 kg/m². The majority of respondents were married (62.2%), co-habiting (72.8%) and retired (69.5%). Most respondents did not smoke (96.9%), drank alcohol (82.6%) and felt they ate a healthy diet (80.3%). 18.0% of respondents were classified as pre-frail, with 0.5% as frail and 26.2% reported that they were shielding at the time of the survey (table 1).

3.2 Physical activity before and after social distancing measures

Mean (SD) PA for participants prior to lockdown was 3,519 (2867) MET minutes/week. There was a significant reduction in mean MET minutes following implementation of lockdown to 3,186 (2673) MET minutes/week (p<0.001; table 2 & figure 1). 3,167 (50.9%) participants decreased their activity during lockdown by a mean (SD) of 1,957 (2025) MET minutes/week, 534 (8.6%) maintained the same level of activity, and 2,518 (40.5%) increased activity by a mean (SD) of 1,636 (1775) MET minutes/week. Mean sitting time increased by 276 MET minutes/week after lockdown (2,680) compared to before (2,404) (table 2).

5,762 (92.7%) participants achieved at least the minimum guidance of 600 MET minutes/week of activity, as defined by WHO,³ prior to implementation of lockdown measures, slightly reducing to 5,672 (91.2%) following their introduction (p<0.001). 5,039

(81.0%) achieved 1,200 MET minutes/week before lockdown, with 4,904 (78.9%) achieving this after lockdown (p<0.001). Following lockdown, PA levels varied by month of survey completion, with the highest levels in June and lowest levels in July. There was no significant difference between self-reported PA before lockdown by month of survey completion (supplementary file 2: table 1 & figures 2-3).

3.3 Predictors of physical activity after lockdown, and change from before lockdown

3.3.1 Demographic and lifestyle factors

Univariable linear regression models showed statistically significant associations with lower PA after lockdown in older age groups but no evidence of differences in the change from before lockdown between age groups (p<0.001 and p=0.184, respectively; figures 1 & 2). After multivariable adjustment for sex, ethnicity, month of survey completion and pre-lockdown physical activity there was evidence of significantly lower levels of PA with increasing age, with adults aged 85 years and over doing on average 640 (95% CI: 246 to 1034) MET minutes/week less than those aged 50-64 years (figure 3). There was no significant difference in PA after lockdown in males and females (p=0.180), but females on average exhibited a greater decline in PA from before lockdown than males (450 vs 189 MET minutes/week less respectively; p<0.001; figures 1 & 2). After multivariable adjustment, including age, there was only a small and borderline significant difference in PA after lockdown between gender (PA in males on average 108 MET minutes/week more than females; 95% CI: -1 to 216; figure 3). No significant associations were seen between PA after lockdown or change in PA according to ethnicity or employment status, before or after adjustment.

Lower levels of PA after lockdown were seen with increasing BMI category, in current smokers and in those reporting an unhealthy or worsening diet before and after adjustment (figure 1). After adjustment, a dose-response relationship was evident between lower PA and increasing BMI (p=0.030), with obese individuals doing 578 (95% CI: 324 to 832) MET minutes/week less than those of a healthy weight (figure 3). The denominator included in analyses of BMI was significantly lower than for other models, as BMI was unrecorded for 51.4% of participants. Current alcohol consumption was weakly associated with increased levels of PA in both univariable and multivariable models, with current drinkers reporting 145 MET minutes/week more than non-drinkers after adjustment (95% CI: 1 to 289; figures 2 & 3).

3.3.2 Associations with social isolation and loneliness

Participants who were divorced, single or widowed were, on average, less active after lockdown than those married or living with a partner (3,026 vs 3,262 MET minutes/week; p=0.001); and exhibited a greater decline in PA from before lockdown (540 vs 236 MET minutes/week less; p<0.001; figures 1 & 2). The association with PA after lockdown remained after adjustment, with those divorced, single or widowed doing on average 240 (95% CI: 120 to 360) MET minutes/week less (figure 3). Participants living alone were also less active than those co-habiting and showed greater reductions in PA from before lockdown. After adjustment for confounders and PA before lockdown, those living alone were doing 277 (95% CI: 152 to 402) MET minutes/week less than those co-habiting (figure 3).

Significant associations were seen between PA after lockdown and frequency of loneliness, with those 'often' experiencing loneliness achieving 2,938 MET minutes/week compared with 3,284 MET minutes/week in those 'never' experiencing loneliness (p=0.024; figure 1). Greater declines in PA from before lockdown were also seen with increasing loneliness (figure 2). After adjustment, PA after lockdown was significantly lower for those with increased frequency of loneliness (figure 3). After full adjustment including, in addition, household status, shielding status and frailty category, those experiencing loneliness 'often' reported 306 (95% CI: 60 to 552) MET minutes/week less activity than those 'never' lonely (supplementary file 2: table 4).

Significantly lower physical activity levels were recorded in those shielding and in participants categorised as pre-frail or frail (both p<0.001; figure 1). Larger declines in PA from before lockdown were seen in those shielding compared to those not shielding (588 vs 243 MET minutes/week less; p<0.001), but there was no significant difference in change in PA according to frailty category (p=0.389; figure 2). After adjustment, frail participants were doing 926 (95% CI: 189 to 1,663) MET minutes less on average than those classed as robust (figure 3). Participants who were shielding were doing an average of 290 (95% CI: 163 to 417) MET minutes/week less than those not shielding (figure 3).

3.3.3 Associations with depression and anxiety

Symptoms of depression were associated with lower levels of PA during lockdown, with those meeting the criteria for depression reporting 2,450 MET minutes/week compared to 3,195 MET minutes/week in those with normal scores (p<0.001; figure 1). There was no strong association with anxiety scores. Mean change in PA from before lockdown was associated with both depression and, in contrast to absolute PA levels, with anxiety scores. Participants with depression reported 1,450 MET minutes/week less on average after lockdown compared with before, while those with normal scores reported 293 MET

minutes/week less (p<0.001). Similarly, in those with anxiety, PA reduced by 836 MET minutes/week compared to 312 MET minutes/week in those with normal scores (p=0.004; figure 2).

After adjustment, those meeting the criteria for depression on the HADS scale had significantly lower PA levels than those with normal scores, doing on average 1,007 (95% CI: 1401 to 612) MET minutes/week less (figure 3). There remained no statistically significant association between anxiety score and physical activity after adjustment.

4.0 Discussion

4.1 Main findings

Data from the CCRR study show that participants experienced, on average, a significant decrease in PA after the introduction of lockdown in the UK when compared with before, together with an increase in sitting time. When adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, month of survey completion and baseline physical activity, factors strongly associated with a reduction in PA include; increased age, increased BMI, frailty, current smoking, and a change to a less healthy diet. Factors associated with social isolation were also significantly associated with a reduction in PA: those divorced, single or widowed, living alone, shielding or reporting increased frequency of loneliness did significantly less PA after lockdown. Furthermore, a strong association was also seen with lower PA during lockdown in those with depression, but not for those with anxiety.

4.2 The effect of lockdown on physical activity

There was a reduction in PA in over half of our participants, and a decrease in mean levels of PA by 333 MET minutes/week following the introduction of lockdown measures in the UK. This was accompanied by an increase in sitting time by 276 minutes per week, an adverse finding given the adverse health impacts associated with increased sedentary and sitting time. These findings correlate with other studies from the UK (a decrease in 25% of adults aged over 20 years following lockdown), Spain and China, and from a global survey collected in 8 different languages, despite the differences in outdoor exercise permissions between countries. Reductions in PA may impact disproportionately across society. We found that increasing age associated with a reduction in PA after lockdown, corresponding with that seen in Japan, with a 26.5% (65 minutes) decrease in total physical activity in adults aged 65 to 84. A self-reported study in the UK found that those with a diagnosis of obesity, hypertension, lung disease, depression or a disability were more likely to reduce PA during lockdown.

4.3 Social relationships, loneliness, and physical activity

Individuals for whom social engagement was more likely to be restricted, such as those who were shielding, divorced, single, widowed, or living alone, were more likely to have lower levels of PA after lockdown, and to have declined to a greater extent. Similarly, those who subjectively reported feeling lonely were more likely to have lower PA levels, and greater declines from before lockdown. These associations remained significant after multivariable adjustment.

Associations between health behaviours, including PA, and social relationships have been noted previously. Data from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA) showed that socially isolated respondents were less likely to report healthy diets, and more likely to smoke. Trucially, they showed reduced activity counts in socially isolated individuals (measured by accelerometer) in a sample of adults older than 50 years, and reduced selfreported moderate to vigorous physical activity. This is particularly important given that isolated and lonely individuals are at an increased risk of morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular events, with the majority of this association mediated by risk factors which include physical inactivity.²⁶ Fixed effect models from the ELSA cohort show that social disengagement, domestic isolation and loneliness are associated with measures of poorer physical performance, and although they appear to be independent of physical activity, may still be associated along the causal pathway.²⁷ Studies of spousal pairs found that both men and women in married couples had greater levels of PA than their single counterparts, 28 and changes in PA are positively associated with changes in the PA of a spouse.²⁹ Increasing PA is associated with larger, 30,31 more diverse 32 and more heterogenous (in terms of PA) social networks, and having more physically active people in a social network is associated with being more active.33

The interaction between social relationships and PA levels may be bi-directional. Levels of PA are influenced by multiple factors at different levels, including individual (psychological, genetic); interpersonal (social networks); environmental (social, built, natural); and regional or global determinants.³⁴ Social networks might influence PA through social support for individuals to take up and maintain activity, but also by regulating social norms, and associating PA with social connections or attachments.³⁵ There may also be increased opportunities for PA³³ when social networks are present.

4.4 Mood, health behaviours and physical activity

In those reporting symptoms of depression, there were significantly lower levels of PA and a significant decrease in activity when compared to before lockdown. These findings correlate with those from the UK,³⁶ Australia,³⁷ and Spain,³⁸ which found inverse

associations between physical activity levels and poor mental health. Similarly, a cross sectional study of Brazilian adults who were self-isolating found lower odds of symptoms of anxiety or depression in those who were performing over 30 or 15 minutes per day of moderate or vigorous activity respectively, and higher odds in those with prolonged sedentary time over 10 hours. ³⁹ The associations between PA and mental health are well known, with positive impacts on wellbeing, ⁴⁰ and reduced incidence and severity of symptoms of mental ill-health. ^{41–43} Therefore, these findings are unsurprising, although the interaction between PA and reduced markers of mental ill-health in older adults may be bidirectional. Moreover, social isolation and loneliness may mediate some of this effect: previous data from the CCRR cohort showed an interaction between social isolation, loneliness, and female gender with worsening depression and anxiety over lockdown. ⁴⁴ We found no statistically significant difference in PA during lockdown with anxiety symptoms, at odds with previous studies. ³⁶ However, the trajectory of anxiety symptoms is not known, and it is not clear whether anxiety symptoms pre-dated the introduction of lockdown.

4.5 Health behaviours and physical activity

A decrease in PA was associated with other detrimental health behaviours, including unhealthy diet and smoking. A similar tendency of clustering of unhealthy behaviours during the COVID-19 pandemic was noted in a cohort of patients in Spain with type 2 diabetes mellitus, who showed an increase in sugary foods and snack consumption alongside an increase in sitting time, and a decrease in time spent walking or doing moderate physical activity during lockdown when compared to beforehand. That detrimental health behaviours might coincide in response to lockdown shows the importance of targeted interventions for certain groups. Interestingly, alcohol consumption was seen to be a protective factor in our cohort, and this does not tie with other findings on the negative associations with increased alcohol use during the COVID-19 pandemic. This may be due to the specific demographic features of our cohort, but the possibility of alcohol consumption being associated with social interaction in this group cannot be excluded.

4.6 Limitations

This study has several limitations which may impact the generalisability of our findings. First, the CCRR cohort appear more physically active than the general population. 90% of participants in CCRR achieved minimum UK ⁴ and WHO ³ guidance, both before and following lockdown. Over 78% achieved double this amount, and mean levels of PA were at least five times greater than the minimum recommendation. In contrast, only 61% of UK adults aged 55-74 years achieve minimum recommended levels.² Despite this, CCRR participants may still not be active enough for major health gains. A 2016 systematic review

and meta-analysis suggested that optimal risk reduction for breast and colorectal cancer, diabetes, ischaemic heart disease and stroke events were obtained from physical activity at 3000-4000 MET minutes per week.⁴⁷

Second, there are differences in demography between the CCRR cohort and the general population of the UK, which may explain the higher levels of PA we observed. 93% of CCRR respondents identify as white/Caucasian ethnicity. The Active Lives Survey demonstrated a difference in those achieving minimum activity levels in White British individuals (65%) and those from Black (58%) and Asian (54%) ethnicities. 2 Third, the CCRR survey relies on selfreport, using the short form IPAQ. IPAQ data is well validated across diverse participants up to the age of 65 years 10 and a study of the performance of the IPAQ in older Japanese adults demonstrated adequate validity. 48 However, results from self-reporting tools for PA only weakly correlate with those from objective measures, such as accelerometers and pedometers. 49-52 Finally, recall bias and seasonal changes in physical activity may also have impacted on the results. The CCRR survey was collected in April-July 2020, with participants asked to recall PA levels in the week before lockdown, which over time may become less reliable. However, no significant differences were found in the mean PA levels reported before lockdown according to month of survey completion and although there were apparent differences in PA during lockdown by month, we were able to adjust for this in multivariable models. The CCRR prospective cohort study is ongoing, with follow-up questionnaires sent to participants at regular intervals. When complete this will allow for long-term impacts to be measured, accounting for seasonal variation.

4.7 Conclusions

Findings from our CCRR study suggest a significant decline in average physical activity levels in older adults following the introduction of lockdown measures during the COVID-19 pandemic. Lower activity levels after lockdown were strongly linked to older age, and to those with objective markers of social isolation, subjective feelings of loneliness and symptoms of depression. Strategies and targeted interventions to increase and sustain PA levels in older adults are needed to mitigate the adverse health impacts not only of COVID-19 related lockdowns, but of social isolation in general, and should consider social relationships in their design and implementation.

5.0 Summary boxes

What is already known on this topic

- -Physical inactivity adversely affects older adults: almost two-thirds of adults over 75 years old are not sufficiently physically active for good health
- -Social isolation and loneliness are associated with increased morbidity and mortality, and decreased physical activity; lockdowns for Covid-19, although crucial, may exacerbate this

What this study adds

- -Physical activity decreased in older adults following implementation of lockdown measures in the UK
- -Those with factors suggesting increased social isolation, loneliness and depression were particularly susceptible to lower levels of physical activity after lockdown
- -Interventions designed to increase physical activity in older adults should take account of social relationships in their design and implementation, and there is a case for specific resources to help protect socially isolated individuals during pandemic-related lockdowns

6.0 References

- NHS Digital. Health Survey for England 2016 Physical activity in adults. 2017 https://files.digital.nhs.uk/publication/m/3/hse16-adult-phy-act.pdf.
- Sport England. Active Lives Adult Survey November 2018/19 Report. 2020 https://sportengland-production-files.s3.eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/2020-04/Active Lives Adult November 18-19 Report..pdf?BhkAy2K28pd9bDEz_NuisHl2ppuqJtpZ.
- World Health Organization. Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health. 2010 https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241599979.
- Davies SC, Atherton F, McBride M, Calderwood C. UK Chief Medical Officers' Physical Activity Guidelines. 2019.
- 5 Gov.uk. UK Government COVID-19 guidance. .
- Wu B. Social isolation and loneliness among older adults in the context of COVID-19: a global challenge. *Glob Heal Res Policy* 2020; **5**: 27.
- 7 Kobayashi LC, Steptoe A. Social Isolation, Loneliness, and Health Behaviors at Older Ages: Longitudinal Cohort Study. *Ann Behav Med* 2018; **52**: 582–93.
- Schrempft S, Jackowska M, Hamer M, Steptoe A. Associations between social isolation, loneliness, and objective physical activity in older men and women. *BMC Public Health* 2019; **19**: 74.
- 9 Elovainio M, Hakulinen C, Pulkki-Råback L, *et al.* Contribution of risk factors to excess mortality in isolated and lonely individuals: an analysis of data from the UK Biobank cohort study. *Lancet Public Heal* 2017. DOI:10.1016/S2468-2667(17)30075-0.
- 10 Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, et al. International physical activity questionnaire:

- 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2003; **35**: 1381–95.
- Gleason LJ, Benton EA, Alvarez-Nebreda ML, Weaver MJ, Harris MB, Javedan H. FRAIL Questionnaire Screening Tool and Short-Term Outcomes in Geriatric Fracture Patients. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2017. DOI:10.1016/j.jamda.2017.07.005.
- Woo J, Yu R, Wong M, Yeung F, Wong M, Lum C. Frailty screening in the community using the FRAIL scale. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015. DOI:10.1016/j.jamda.2015.01.087.
- Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983. DOI:10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x.
- Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh sleep quality index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res* 1989. DOI:10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4.
- 15 Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: A Self-Report Depression Scale for Research in the General Population. *Appl Psychol Meas* 1977. DOI:10.1177/014662167700100306.
- 16 R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Found. Stat. Comput. 2019.
- 17 Viechtbauer W. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor. *J Stat Softw* 2010; **36**: 1–48.
- 18 IPAQ scoring protocol International Physical Activity Questionnaire. https://sites.google.com/site/theipag/scoring-protocol (accessed Dec 1, 2020).
- Textor J, van der Zander B, Gilthorpe MS, Liśkiewicz M, Ellison GT. Robust causal inference using directed acyclic graphs: The R package 'dagitty'. *Int J Epidemiol* 2016. DOI:10.1093/ije/dyw341.
- Ekelund U, Tarp J, Steene-Johannessen J, et al. Dose-response associations between accelerometry measured physical activity and sedentary time and all cause mortality: Systematic review and harmonised meta-analysis. BMJ 2019. DOI:10.1136/bmj.l4570.
- 21 Rogers NT, Waterlow NR, Brindle H, *et al.* Behavioral Change Towards Reduced Intensity Physical Activity Is Disproportionately Prevalent Among Adults With Serious Health Issues or Self-Perception of High Risk During the UK COVID-19 Lockdown Front. Public Heal. . 2020; **8**: 526.
- Castañeda-Babarro A, Arbillaga-Etxarri A, Gutiérrez-Santamaría B, Coca A. Physical Activity Change during COVID-19 Confinement. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; 17: 1–10.
- Wang X, Lei SM, Le S, *et al.* Bidirectional Influence of the COVID-19 Pandemic Lockdowns on Health Behaviors and Quality of Life among Chinese Adults. DOI:10.3390/ijerph17155575.
- Ammar A, Brach M, Trabelsi K, *et al.* Effects of COVID-19 Home Confinement on Eating Behaviour and Physical Activity: Results of the ECLB-COVID19 International Online Survey. *Nutrients* 2020; **12**. DOI:https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/nu12061583.
- Yamada M, Kimura Y, Ishiyama D, *et al.* Effect of the COVID-19 Epidemic on Physical Activity in Community-Dwelling Older Adults in Japan: A Cross-Sectional Online Survey. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2020; : 1–3.
- Hakulinen C, Pulkki-Råback L, Virtanen M, Jokela M, Kivimäki M, Elovainio M. Social isolation and loneliness as risk factors for myocardial infarction, stroke and mortality: UK Biobank cohort study of 479 054 men and women. *Heart* 2018.

- DOI:10.1136/heartjnl-2017-312663.
- 27 Philip KEJ, Polkey MI, Hopkinson NS, Steptoe A, Fancourt D. Social isolation, loneliness and physical performance in older-adults: fixed effects analyses of a cohort study. *Sci Rep* 2020; **10**: 13908.
- Pettee KK, Brach JS, Kriska AM, *et al.* Influence of marital status on physical activity levels among older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006; **38**: 541–6.
- Cobb LK, Godino JG, Selvin E, Kucharska-Newton A, Coresh J, Koton S. Spousal influence on physical activity in middle-aged and older adults. *Am J Epidemiol* 2016; 183: 444–51.
- Marquez B, Elder JP, Arredondo EM, Madanat H, Ji M, Ayala GX. Social network characteristics associated with health promoting behaviors among Latinos. *Heal Psychol* 2014; **33**: 544–53.
- Tamers SL, Okechukwu C, Allen J, *et al.* Are social relationships a healthy influence on obesogenic behaviors among racially/ethnically diverse and socio-economically disadvantaged residents? *Prev Med (Baltim)* 2013; **56**: 70–4.
- Legh-Jones H, Moore S. Network social capital, social participation, and physical inactivity in an urban adult population. *Soc Sci Med* 2012; **74**: 1362–7.
- Mötteli S, Dohle S. Egocentric social network correlates of physical activity. *J Sport Heal Sci* 2020; **9**: 339–44.
- Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, *et al.* Correlates of physical activity: Why are some people physically active and others not? Lancet. 2012. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60735-1.
- McNeill LH, Kreuter MW, Subramanian S V. Social Environment and Physical activity: A review of concepts and evidence. *Soc Sci Med* 2006; **63**: 1011–22.
- Jacob L, Tully MA, Barnett Y, *et al.* The relationship between physical activity and mental health in a sample of the UK public: A cross-sectional study during the implementation of COVID-19 social distancing measures. *Ment Health Phys Act* 2020; **19**: 100345.
- 37 Stanton R, To QG, Khalesi S, *et al.* Depression, Anxiety and Stress during COVID-19: Associations with Changes in Physical Activity, Sleep, Tobacco and Alcohol Use in Australian Adults. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; **17**: 4065.
- 38 López-Bueno R, Calatayud J, Ezzatvar Y, et al. Association Between Current Physical Activity and Current Perceived Anxiety and Mood in the Initial Phase of COVID-19 Confinement . Front. Psychiatry . 2020; 11: 729.
- 39 Schuch FB, Bulzing RA, Meyer J, *et al.* Associations of moderate to vigorous physical activity and sedentary behavior with depressive and anxiety symptoms in self-isolating people during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional survey in Brazil. *Psychiatry Res* 2020; **292**: 113339.
- Penedo FJ, Dahn JR. Exercise and well-being: A review of mental and physical health benefits associated with physical activity. Curr. Opin. Psychiatry. 2005. DOI:10.1097/00001504-200503000-00013.
- 41 Chekroud SR, Gueorguieva R, Zheutlin AB, et al. Association between physical exercise and mental health in 1·2 million individuals in the USA between 2011 and 2015: a cross-sectional study. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 2018. DOI:10.1016/S2215-0366(18)30227-X.

- 42 McDowell CP, Dishman RK, Gordon BR, Herring MP. Physical Activity and Anxiety: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. Am. J. Prev. Med. 2019; 57: 545–56.
- 43 Schuch FB, Vancampfort D, Firth J, et al. Physical activity and incident depression: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Am J Psychiatry 2018. DOI:10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.17111194.
- 44 Robb CE, de Jager CA, Ahmadi-Abhari S, *et al.* Associations of Social Isolation with Anxiety and Depression During the Early COVID-19 Pandemic: A Survey of Older Adults in London, UK. *Front Psychiatry* 2020. DOI:10.3389/fpsyt.2020.591120.
- Ruiz-Roso MB, Knott-Torcal C, Matilla-Escalante DC, *et al.* COVID-19 Lockdown and Changes of the Dietary Pattern and Physical Activity Habits in a Cohort of Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Nutrients* 2020; **12**. DOI:10.3390/nu12082327.
- Sallie SN, Ritou V, Bowden-Jones H, Voon V. Assessing international alcohol consumption patterns during isolation from the COVID-19 pandemic using an online survey: highlighting negative emotionality mechanisms. *BMJ Open* 2020; **10**: e044276.
- 47 Kyu HH, Bachman VF, Alexander LT, *et al.* Physical activity and risk of breast cancer, colon cancer, diabetes, ischemic heart disease, and ischemic stroke events: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *BMJ* 2016; **354**: i3857.
- Tomioka K, Iwamoto J, Saeki K, Okamoto N. Reliability and validity of the international physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ) in elderly adults: The Fujiwara-kyo study. *J Epidemiol* 2011. DOI:10.2188/jea.JE20110003.
- 49 Prince SA, Adamo KB, Hamel ME, Hardt J, Connor Gorber S, Tremblay M. A comparison of direct versus self-report measures for assessing physical activity in adults: a systematic review. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2008; **5**: 56.
- Lee PH, Macfarlane DJ, Lam TH, Stewart SM. Validity of the international physical activity questionnaire short form (IPAQ-SF): A systematic review. Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act. 2011; 8: 115.
- Cleland C, Ferguson S, Ellis G, Hunter RF. Validity of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) for assessing moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and sedentary behaviour of older adults in the United Kingdom. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2018; **18**. DOI:10.1186/s12874-018-0642-3.
- Kowalski K, Rhodes R, Naylor P-J, Tuokko H, MacDonald S. Direct and indirect measurement of physical activity in older adults: a systematic review of the literature. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2012; **9**: 148.

	Participant characteristic	Total	Percent	
	Female	3,445	55.4%	
Gender	Male	2,770	44.5%	
	Prefer not to say	4	0.1%	
	Mean (SD)		(7.3)	
	Median (IQR)	70 (66-74)		
	Range	50 - 92		
	50-64	1,212	19.5%	
Age (years)	65-74	3,440	55.3%	
	75-84	1,394	22.4%	
	85+	127	2.0%	
	Missing	46	0.7%	
	White	5,825	93.7%	
	English/Welsh/Scottish/Northern Irish/British	5,143	82.7%	
	Any other white background	536	8.6%	
	Irish	146	2.3%	
	Mixed/multiple ethnic groups	99	1.6%	
	White and Black African	10	0.2%	
	White and Asian	33	0.5%	
	White and Black Caribbean	7	0.1%	
	Any other mixed/multiple ethnic background	, 49	0.8%	
	Asian/Asian British	174	2.8%	
	Indian	91	1.5%	
Ethnicity	Pakistani	12	0.2%	
Etillicity		2	0.2%	
	Bangladeshi Chinese	2 32	0.5%	
	Any other Asian background	37 42	0.6%	
	Black/African/Caribbean/Black British	43	0.7%	
	African	13	0.2%	
	Caribbean	21	0.3%	
	Any other Black/African/Caribbean/Black British	9	0.1%	
	Other ethnic group	64	1.0%	
	Arab	17	0.3%	
	Any other ethnic group	47	0.8%	
	Prefer not to say	14	0.2%	
	Mean (SD)	25.3 (5.1) 24.4 (22.2-27.1)		
Body Mass	Median (IQR)	24.4 (2. 61	2.2-27.1) 1.0%	
Index (BMI)	<18.5 (underweight range) 18.5-24.9 (healthy weight)	1,644	26.4%	
	18.5-24.9 (nealthy weight) 25.0-29.9 (overweight)	1,644 962	26.4% 15.5%	
(Kg/m2)	>=30.0 (obese range)	358	5.8%	
	>=30.0 (obese range) Missing data	3,194	5.8% 51.4%	
Shiolding at		3,194 4,591	73.8%	
Shielding at	No	4,331	13.6%	
time of	Yes	1,628	26.2%	
questionnaire	N.A	2 000	C2 20/	
	Married	3,869	62.2%	
Marital status	Single	789	12.7%	
	Widowed	601	9.7%	
	Divorced	595	9.6%	

	Living with a partner	365	5.9%
Living	Co-habiting	4,530	72.8%
arrangements	Living alone	1,689	27.2%
	Retired	4,322	69.5%
	Continuing to work in your usual job; at home	1,101	17.7%
	None of the above	201	3.2%
	Furloughed	197	3.2%
	Continuing to work in your usual job and leave home	141	2.3%
Employment	for your job	96	1 F0/
	A key worker		1.5%
	Had to close your business due to COVID-19	70	1.1%
	Lost my job due to the lockdown	42	0.7%
	Unemployed	36	0.6%
	A student	13	0.2%
Current	No	6,027	96.9%
smoker	Yes	192	3.1%
Alcohol	No	1,083	17.4%
intake	Yes	5,136	82.6%
Diet	No change from usual - already had a healthy diet	4,991	80.3%
	My diet has become more healthy	715	11.5%
	My diet was healthy before but has got worse since lockdown	312	5.0%
	No change from usual - my diet isn't very healthy	201	3.2%
	Robust	5,055	81.3%
	Pre-frail	1,117	18.0%
FRAIL scale	Frail	34	0.5%
	Missing	13	0.2%
	Normal (0-7)	4,658	74.9%
HADS (depression score)	Borderline (8-10)	312	5.0%
	Abnormal (11-21)	116	1.9%
	Missing	1,133	18.2%
	Normal (0-7)	4,335	69.7%
HADS (anxiety	Borderline (8-10)	486	7.8%
score)	Abnormal (11-21)	265	4.3%
	Missing	1133	18.2%
	Total participants	6,219	

Physical activity type		Before	During	p value for difference
Vigorous activity	Mean (SD) minutes/week	145 (276)	135 (253)	0.004
	Median (IQR) minutes/week	40 (0 - 180)	10 (0 - 180)	
Moderate activity (minutes/week)	Mean (SD) minutes/week	292 (430)	245 (374)	<0.001
	Median (IQR) minutes/week	120 (0 - 360)	120 (0-360)	
Walking (minutes/week)	Mean (SD) minutes/week	462 (460)	403 (408)	<0.001
	Median (IQR) minutes/week	360 (150 - 630)	315 (150 - 525)	
Sitting (minutes/week) *	Mean (SD) minutes/week	2404 (1137)	2680 (1181)	<0.001
	Median (IQR) minutes/week	2100 (1680 - 2940)	2520 (1680 - 3360)	
MET minutes/week	Mean (SD) minutes/week	3519 (2867)	3185 (2673)	<0.001
	Median (IQR) minutes/week	2772 (1386 - 4650)	2440 (1386 - 4185)	





