medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

# Prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes among Bangladeshi adults and associated factors: Evidence from the Demographic and Health Survey, 2017-18

Rakibul M. Islam[a], Md. Nuruzzaman Khan[b], John C. Oldroyd[c], Juwel Rana[d], Dianna J. Magliago[a], Enayet K. Chowdhury[ae], Md Nazmul Karim[a], Mohammad Bellal Hossain[f]

[a]Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.

[b]Department of Population Sciences, Jatiya Kabi Kazi Nazrul Islam University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.

[c]School of Behavioral and Health Sciences, Australian Catholic University, Fitzroy, Victoria, Australia

[d]Department of Public Health, School of Health and Life Sciences, North South University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

[e]School of Public Health, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia, Australia

[f]Department of Population Sciences, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

**Corresponding author:**

Dr. Rakibul Islam
Department of Epidemiology and Preventative Medicine
School of Public Health and Preventative Medicine
Monash University
553 St Kilda Road
Melbourne VIC 3004
Australia
Tel +61 3 9903 0374
Fax +61 3 9903 0828
Email: rakib.islam@monash.edu
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0889-8658



**NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.**

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

**Abstract**

**Aim:** To estimate the age-standardised prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes, and to identify factors associated with these conditions at individual, household, and community levels.

**Methods:** Data from 11, 952 Bangladeshi adults aged 18+ available from the most recent nationally representative Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18 were used. Anthropometric measurements and fasting blood glucose samples were taken as part of the survey. Prevalence estimates of diabetes and prediabetes were age-standardised with direct standarisation, and risk factors were identified using multilevel mix-effects Poisson regression models with robust variance.

**Results:** The overall age-standardised prevalence of diabetes was 12.8% (95%CI 11.2-14.3) (men: 12.8%, women: 12.7%), and prediabetes was 14.0% (95%CI 12.6-15.4) (men: 12.1%, women: 16.5%). Among people with diabetes, 61.5% were unaware that they had the condition. 35.2% were taking treatment regularly and only 30.4% of them had controlled diabetes. Factors associated with an increased prevalence of having diabetes were increasing age, male, overweight/obesity, hypertension, being in the highest wealth quintile and living in the Dhaka division. Compared with normal weight and currently unemployed, individuals who were underweight or currently employed were less likely to develop diabetes.

**Conclusion** Diabetes and prediabetes affect a substantial proportion (over one-quarter) of the Bangladeshi adult population. Continuing surveillance and effective prevention and control measures, with a particular focus on obesity reduction and hypertension management, are urgently needed.

**Keywords:** Diabetes, prediabetes, prevalence, risk factors, Bangladesh

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

## 1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus remains a significant contributor to the global burden of disease[1]. People with diabetes have an increased risk of developing a number of serious life-threatening micro- and macro- vascular complications resulting in higher medical care costs, reduced quality of life and increased mortality[2]. The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) has estimated that 463 million adults live with diabetes worldwide in 2019, with a projected increase to 700 million by 2045[3]. Seventy-nine per cent of those with diabetes live in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)[4]. It is projected that diabetes cases will increase by 74% in Southeast Asian countries in the next two decades, from 88 million in 2019 to 153 million by 2045[4].

In Bangladesh, there were 8.4 million adults living with diabetes in 2019, and projected to be almost double (15.0 million) by 2045[4]. It is also estimated that another 3.8 million people had prediabetes in Bangladesh in 2019[4]. Studies, including a systematic review and meta-analysis, and national survey reports showed that the prevalence of diabetes among adults has increased substantially in Bangladesh, from ~5% in 2001 to ~14% in 2017[5-8].

The economic costs of diabetes are high due to the development of complications. Annual global health expenditure on diabetes was estimated to be USD 760 billion in 2019 and is projected to reach USD 845 billion by 2045[3]. In Bangladesh, these costs have been estimated to USD 1.5 billion annually[9]. The true costs may be even higher when economic productivity loss, rather than just treatment expenditure, are included. Despite the high and increasing burden in LMICs, only 35% of global diabetes-related health expenditure is spent in these settings[4]. Health expenditure attributable to diabetes will likely increase in the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

21st century if no effective preventive measures are adopted to manage glycaemia and reduce the onset of costly complications[10].

It is thought that recent increases in time-variant risk factors for diabetes and prediabetes, including body mass index (BMI) and hypertension, account for the increasing prevalence in Bangladesh[6, 7, 11]. Overweight/obesity has consistently been associated with diabetes and prediabetes[12], but is modifiable through diabetes prevention lifestyle interventions[13]. Hypertension is an independent predictor of incident diabetes[14] and shares common aetiology and metabolic pathways with diabetes[15].

To our knowledge, the age-standardised prevalence of, and risk factors for, diabetes and prediabetes in Bangladeshi adults using the latest Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS) 2017-18 data have not yet been estimated. We, therefore, aimed to estimate the age-standardised prevalence of diabetes, and prediabetes in Bangladeshi adults aged 18 years and older using the latest BDHS. We also investigated factors associated with diabetes and prediabetes in Bangladeshi adults. Results are examined in detail according to individual, household and community-level characteristics.

## 2. Subjects, materials and methods

### 2.1. Study population and data collection

Bangladesh, a Southeast Asian country, currently has a population of 111 million aged 18 years and older[16]. In 2017-18, the National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Bangladesh conducted the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

second BDHS survey of its kind that collected data on blood pressure, fasting blood glucose (FBG) biomarker measurements, and relevant information in addition to socio-demographic characteristics[7].

The BDHS is a nationally representative survey conducted using a two-stage stratified sample of households, including strata for rural and urban areas. Detail survey sampling and the data collection procedure has been published in the BDHS survey report [7]. The primary sampling units (PSUs), which each contain 120 households on average, were taken from the most recent 2011 Bangladesh census enumeration areas. In BDHS 2017-18, a total of 675 PSUs were selected with probability proportional to PSU size. A total of 672 PSUs were included (three PSUs were not sampled due to flooding), of which 192 and 480 were from urban and rural areas, respectively. In the second stage, 30 households per PSU was selected using systematic random sampling to provide statistically reliable estimates of health outcomes for the country as a whole, for each of the eight divisions as well as for urban and rural areas separately. Of the 19,584 eligible households, interviews were completed in 19,457 households with an overall 99.4% household response rate[7]. Of these, one-fourth of the selected households were interviewed, and 8,013 women and 6,691 men aged 18 years and older were selected for blood glucose measurement.

The survey, including biomarker measurements, was approved by the institutional review board of ICF and the National Research Ethics Committee of the Bangladesh Medical Research Council. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

### 2.2. Definition of diabetes and prediabetes

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

The primary outcomes in this study were diabetes and prediabetes calculated based on the fasting plasma glucose (FPG) level[7]. The HemoCue Glucose 201 DM system with plasma conversion was used to test a drop of capillary blood obtained from consenting eligible respondents from the middle or ring finger after they had fasted overnight. The system automatically converted the fasting whole blood glucose measurements taken in the survey to FPG equivalent values. Details on blood sample collection has been described in the BDHS survey report[7]. The World Health Organization (WHO) criteria for diabetes and prediabetes classification were used[17]. Diabetes was defined as a FPG level greater than or equal to 7.0 mmol/L or self-reported diabetes medication use. Prediabetes was defined as a FPG level from 6.1 mmol/L to 6.9 mmol/L, without medication[17].

### 2.3. Explanatory variables

Explanatory variables included individual, household and community-level factors. Individual-level factors included were participants' age, sex, BMI, educational level, working status, and hypertension. The BMI was categorised based on Asian cut-off as suggested by the WHO expert consulotation due to the high risk of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease in Asian people at lower BMIs than the existing WHO cut-off[18]. The presence of hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or a diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg, and/or currently on treatment with antihypertensive medication[19]. Household wealth quintile (lowest to highest) was the household-level factor. It was derived from the household wealth index reported in the BDHS, which was constructed using principal component analysis from household's durable and non-durable assets (e.g., televisions, bicycles, sources of drinking water, sanitation facilities and construction

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

materials)[20]. Community-level factors included were the place of residence and administrative divisions of the country.

### 2.4. Statistical analysis

The crude prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes were estimated allowing for the complex survey design and survey sampling weights. To account for different age distributions between groups and over time, we age-standardised estimates to the 2011 Census population of Bangladesh using the direct method, with age categories of 18–34, 35–39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64 and ≥65 years. Differences between continuous and categorical variables were tested using Mann-Whitney test and chi-square tests, respectively.

We used a multilevel Poisson regression model with a robust variance to identify factors associated with diabetes and prediabetes, and results were presented as a prevalence ratio (PR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). We used this model since the odds ratio estimated using logistic regression from a cross-sectional study may significantly overestimate relative risk when the outcome is common[21, 22]. Secondly, in case of convergence failure with log-binomial model, a Poisson regression with a robust variance perform better in estimating prevalence ratio from a cross-sectional study[23]. Furthermore, in the BDHS, individuals were nested within the household; households were nested within the PSU/cluster. Hence, our multilevel mixed-effects Poisson regression model account for these multiple hierarchies and dependency in data, and the problem of overestimation[24]. Four models were run separately for diabetes and pre-diabetes for different sets of confounding factors at the individual, household, and community levels with progressive

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

model-building technique. Model 1 was run without confounding factors to determine the cluster level variation of diabetes and pre-diabetes in Bangladesh. Model 2 and 3 were adjusted for individual, and individual plus household level factors, respectively. Model 4 was the final model that included individual, household, and community-level factors simultaneously. The Intra-Class Correlation (ICC), Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) and Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC) were used to assess model performence. All statistical tests were two-sided, and a p-value < 0·05 was considered statistically significant. The study was designed and reported in accordance with strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines[25][25]. All analyses were performed using statistical software packages Stata (version 15·10; Stata Corp LP, College Station, Texas).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

**Figure 1:** Schematic representation of the sampling procedure of the Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, 2017-18



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

## 3. Results

Of 12,100 praticipants who provided FBG , 11,952 were included in the analysis (Figure 1). The median (IQR) age of the participants was 36 (24) years (Table 1). Of the study participants, 57.1% (6,826) were female, 26.6% (3,179) lived in urban areas, 40.1% (4,794) were overweight/obese and 27.4% (3,274) had hypertension. People with diabetes were significantly older than those without (p <0.001), they were significantly more likely to be overweight or obese (p <0.001), more likely to be hypertensive (p <0.001). Furthermore, people with diabetes were more likely to live in urban areas and come from a household with higher wealth quintiles (p <0.001) (Table 1).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

The crude and age-standardised prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes by individual, household and community level characteristics are presented in Table 2. The overall age-standardised prevalence of diabetes was 12.8% (95%CI, 11.2-14.3%) with comparable estimates for men: 12.8%, 95%CI 10.8-14.7 and women: 12.7%, 95%CI 10.9-14.5. The age-standardised diabetes prevalence was higher in urban (12.0%, 95%CI 11.0-12.9) than in rural residents (8.4%, 95%CI 7.7-9.0). Prevalence of diabetes was highest in people who were obese (18.6%, 95%CI 16.5-20.7), hypertensive (16.1%, 95%CI 14.8-17.3), in the highest wealth quintile (17.3%, 95%CI 15.9-18.8) and living in the Dhaka division (14.8%, 95%CI 13.1-16.6) compared to respective reference categories.

The overall age-standardised prevalence of prediabetes was 14.0% (95%CI, 12.6-15.4) with a higher prevalence in women (16.5%, 95%CI 14.8-18.1) than in men (12.1%, 95%CI 10.5-13.8). The age-standardised prevalence estimates of prediabetes were also higher in people those were obese, in the highest wealth quintile, living in urban areas, and in the Dhaka division (Table 2). Three out of five (61.5%, 95%CI 57.9-64.9) people living with diabetes were unaware of their condition. One-third (35.2%, 95%CI 32.0-38.5) were receiving appropriate treatment, and ony 30.4% (95%CI 26.0-35.2) of them had controlled diabetes.

In each of the four mixed-effects multilevel Poisson models were run to identify factors associated with diabetes and pre-diabetes. We compared intra-class correlation (ICC), Akaike's information criterion (AIC), and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) to select the best fitting model: the preferred model having the smallest ICC, AIC and BIC. According to these indicators, Model 4 (including individual, household and community-level factors) had the best fitting model. Model 1 (crude) produced an ICC of 30.52% and 42.54% for diabetes and prediabetes, respectively (Table 3). This indicates the degree of the variance seen across

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

clusters without taking other factors into account. However, the ICC was reduced to 12.42% for diabetes and 14.89% for pre-diabetes once individual, household and community level factors were included in the final model.

The results for model 4 are shown in Table 4, while the results for all other models are shown in Supplementary Table 1. The final model showed that diabetes was associated with age, sex, BMI, employment status, hypertension, wealth quintile and administrative division of the country but not with the place of residence (urban /rural), or level of education. Compared with individuals aged 18 to 34 years, individuals aged 40 to 49 years were over 2 times more likely to have diabetes, while individuals aged ≥50 years were about 3 times more likely to have diabetes (Table 4). Compared with women, men were more likely to have diabetes (PR 1.17, 95%CI 1.01-1.36). Diabetes was significantly positively associated with being overweight (PR 1.23, 95%CI 1.06-1.43) or obese (PR 1.45, 95%CI 1.21-1.75) compared with normal weight, being hypertensive (PR 1.47, 95%CI 1.30-1.68) compared with normotensive, belonging to either of the fourth (PR 1.60, 95%CI 1.23-2.09); or the highest wealth quintile (PR 2.21, 95%CI 1.70-2.86), compared with the lowest quintile, and living in the Dhaka division (PR 1.32, 95%CI 1.02-1.71) compared with the Barishal division. Individuals currently being employed (PR 0.81, 95%CI 0.69-0.94) and living in Rangpur division (PR 0.67, 95%CI 0.50-0.91) were less likely to have diabetes.

The fully adjusted model showed that compared with the younger age group, individuals aged between 35 to 49 years were 19% to 23% more likely to have prediabetes and individuals aged 55 to 64 years were 26% more likely to have prediabetes. Being obese (PR 1.23, 95%CI 1.05-1.44), and belonging to the highest wealth quantile (PR 1.36, 95%CI 1.10-1.68) were associated with prediabetes compared with being normal weight and being in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

the lowest wealth quintile. People living in Khulna, Mymensingh, Rajshahi, Rangpur and Sylhet divisions were about 25% to 45% less likely to have prediabetes compared to Barishal division. Sex, level of education, working status, hypertension and place of residence were not associated with prediabetes.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Summary of key findings

Diabetes and prediabetes affect a substantial proportion of the Bangladeshi population. Based on data from the latest BDHS 2017-18, over one-quarter of individuals aged 18 years and older had diabetes or prediabetes in Bangladesh, representing in excess of 25 million individuals in 2020. Factors associated with diabetes were age, sex, BMI, wealth quintile, employment status, hypertension and administrative division of the country but not place of residence (urban /rural) or level of education. These findings confirm a continuing high burden of diabetes and prediabetes in Bangladesh.

### 4.2. Comparison with existing literature

The prevalence of diabetes we reported is higher than the overall age-adjusted diabetes prevalence of 11.3% in the Southeast Asian region, estimated by the IDF in 2019[4]. The IDF has identified that the countries with the largest numbers of adults with diabetes aged 20–79 years in 2019 in the region are China (116 million cases) and India (77 million cases)[4]. In 2019, the IDF ranked Bangladesh 10$^{th}$ of countries with the highest number of adults (20–79 years) with diabetes (8.4 million cases), and it is expected to be ranked 9$^{th}$ in 2030[4]. Our data confirm that prevalence of diabetes is indeed high in Bangladesh. In our analysis, over 1 in 10 adults (18+) had diabetes, representing an estimated 14 million individuals in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Bangladesh in 2020. This large number of diabetes cases in Bangladesh indicate that it is one of the leading countries for diabetes burden in Southeast Asian region and highlights the urgent need for policies supporting the rollout of diabetes prevention in this country.

Our study undertook an identical methodological approach, (e.g. anthropometric measurements and fasting blood samples), to the 2011 study and demonstrated that the prevalence of diabetes has increased markedly in Bangladesh over 7 years[5]. Similar increasing trends of diabetes have been observed in other Southeast Asian countries[26]. However, the extent to which changes in traditional diabetes risk factors can explain the increasing trends in prevalence of diabetes in this setting needs further investigation. A higher diabetes prevalence suggests that despite greater global awareness of diabetes and interventions for improved non-communicable disease management in primary health care[27], diabetes in Bangladesh is increasing. It suggests that health promotion may be failing in the face of dietary and lifestyles patterns. Thus, more resources are needed to be invested in primary health care to address the prevention of diabetes in Bangladesh.

Our estimates suggest that the prevalence of prediabetes has decreased in Bangladesh in the 7 years between 2011 and 2017/18 [5]. Prediabetes is important as it is a time when micro-vascular complications are occurring, often without people knowing they are glucose intolerant. The literature shows that up to 40.5% of individual with prediabetes convert to diabetes during follow-up[28]. A high conversion rate of prediabetes to diabetes is indicative of the potential for an uncontrolled increase in prevalence of diabetes. The observation that diabetes prevalence has increased but prediabetes has decreased in 7

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

years may indicate higher than expected conversion rates possibly due to rapidly changing environmental conditions [27].

There is a well-established relationships between increasing age and risk of diabetes which is consistent with our study[4, 29]. The implication of this is that with increasing life expectancy in Bangladesh (current life expectancy at birth 72.3 years )[30] the increasing numbers of older people will result in even more cases and a higher burden of diabetes.

Consistent with our study, the literature shows that obesity is a leading risk factor for type 2 diabetes[31]. However, the association between obesity and diabetes is complicated as obesity is also related to socioeconomic status. We observed a significantly higher prevalence of diabetes in the highest wealth quintile than the lowest wealth quintile. A possible explanation is that those in the highest wealth quintile in LMICs use disposable income to purchase western, high energy food ('nutrition transition') and avoid physically demanding tasks as symbols of status[32]. This results in obesity which in turn is associated with diabetes. Increasing obesity in Bangladesh[11] may also be due to reduced physical activity associated with changing traditional agricultural/domestic works replaced by technology, watching television and using internet. Irrespective of the explanation, increasing obesity in Bangladesh suggests that diabetes will increase further with its strengthening economy. A further policy implication is that interventions for diabetes prevention in Bangladesh need to focus on obesity in particular, by reducing the consumption of unhealthy diets and increasing physical activity. This should be given priority as there is evidence that even modest weight reduction of 5-7% in high risk individuals result a declines in the incidence of diabetes[33]. As such recent studies

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

demonstrated that incidence of diabetes is declining in most of the developed countries since 2005[34].

Our data also show that the prevalence of diabetes is higher in people with hypertension, which is in agreement with other studies[35, 36]. Hypertension is exacerbated by other risk factors such as obesity, advanced age and significantly contributes to micro and macrovascular complications resulting in renal failure[37] and cardiovascular disease[35]. Pathways through which these complications may occur include insulin resistance, inflammation and obesity[15]. The implication of the strong association of hypertension and diabetes is that efforts are needed in Bangladesh to delay or prevent comorbid hypertension in diabetes through frequent follow-up and aggressive management.

Our study found that awareness, treatment and control of diabetes are low in Bangladesh. Renewed efforts are needed to increase awareness, treatment, and control to improve diabetes outcomes and reduce/delay complications. Receiving approapite treatment may be partly influenced by out of pocket health costs which are large in Bangladesh[43]. This places a financial burden on households, and has the effect of preventing people from accessing care (health care is viewed as a 'luxury' not a 'necessity') or seeking alternative providers who are cheaper, but untrained and cause adverse effects[38]. Such barriers need to be addressed as they may undermine diabetes prevention efforts.

### 4.3. Methodological considerations

The strengths of the study are that it used a large, nationally representative dataset suggesting the findings have external validity. A further strength of the study is that clinical variables including FBG, blood pressure and body weight and height were measured using

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

high-quality techniques. The WHO criteria for the classification of diabetes and prediabetes were used while hypertension was defined using the seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of high blood pressure criteria. Our multilevel mixed-effects Poisson regression corrects the problem of overestimation of effects size produced by conventional logistic regression employed in cross-sectional studies, and increased the precision of the findings. However, this was a cross-sectional study, which limits our ability to infer causal relationships. A further limitation was that diabetes medication use was self-reported. Dietary and physical activity data were not collected and so could not be controlled for in the analysis.

### 4.4. Implications of findings

This study implies that efforts to control diabetes and prediabetes in Bangladesh need to be strengthened and optimized, investing further resources. Given that diabetes and prediabetes are preventable diseases through modification of diet and physical activities, Bangladesh needs to intensify their efforts to implement diabetes prevention. This may require the health care system changes in which non-communicable disease prevention is prioritized and household medical care payments reviewed to reduce out-of-pocket expenses. These measures will be worth the investment as they will maximize access to high-quality public health programmes. A further implication of our analysis is that diabetes prevention should focus of reducing obesity and managing hypertension, suggesting that their management will bring the greatest benefits. Without effective preventive measures, diabetes will continue to increase in Bangladesh.

## 5. Conclusions

Diabetes and prediabetes affect a substantial proportion (over one-quarter) of Bangladeshi adult population. Despite world wide recognition of the increasing burden of diabetes in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

LMICs and widespread awareness of the need for prevention through lifestyle interventions, these conditions remain a significant public health burden in Bangladesh. Along with obesity and hypertension management, newer approaches to prevention are needed which address obesogenic environments. These will include creating walkable neighbourhoods, encouraging healthy food choices in schools, and workplaces, motivating phycial activity and supporting active transport. These should be part of polices to address non-communicable diseases, including diabetes, in Bangladesh.

**Acknowledgement**

The authors thank to MEASURE DHS for granting access to the BDHS 2017-18 data.

**Declaration of Competing of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Authors' contribution**

Conception and design: RMI, MBH; Data curation and formal analysis: MNK, RMI, MBH, JR; Data interpretation: RMI, MNK, JO, JR, DJM, EC, NK, MBH; Manuscript draft: RMI, JO, MNK, MBH; Reviewed and edited the final manuscript: RMI, MNK, JO, JR, DJM, EC, NK, MBH.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

## References

[1] Lin X, Xu Y, X P, Jingya Xu J, Ding Y, Sun X, et al. Global, regional, and national burden and trend of diabetes in 195 countries and territories: an analysis from 1990 to 2025. Nature. 2020;10:14790.

[2] Baena-Díez JM, Peñafiel J, Subirana I, Ramos R, Elosua R, Marín-Ibañez A, et al. Risk of cause-specific death in individuals with diabetes: a competing risks analysis. Diabetes Care. 2016;39:1987-95.

[3] Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, Malanda B, Karuranga S, Unwin N, et al. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas. Diabetes research and clinical practice. 2019;157:107843.

[4] International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas, 9th edn. Brussels. Belgium: 2019. Available at: https://www.diabetesatlas.org, accessed 18 November 20202019.

[5] Akter S, Rahman MM, Abeb SK, Sultanac P. Prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes and their risk factors among Bangladeshi adults: a nationwide survey. Bull World Health Organ. 2014;92:204–13A.

[6] National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT) Ma, and ICF International, . Bangladesh Demographic andHealth Survey 2011. Dhaka, Bangladesh and Calverton, Maryland, USA:NIPORT, Mitra and Associates, and ICF International2013.

[7] Research NIoP, Training - NIPORT, Health Mo, Family Welfare, ICF. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18. Dhaka, Bangladesh: NIPORT/ICF; 2020.

[8] Saquib N, Saquib J, Ahmed T, Khanam MA, Cullen MR. Cardiovascular diseases and type 2 diabetes in Bangladesh: a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies between 1995 and 2010. BMC public health. 2012;12:434.

[9] Afroz A, Habib SH, Chowdhury HA, Paul D, Shahjahan Md, Hafez A, et al. Healthcare cost of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Bangladesh: a hospital-based study. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries. 2016;36:235-41.

[10] Zimmet PZ, Magliano DJ, Herman WH, Shaw JE. Diabetes: a 21st century challenge. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2014;2:56-64.

[11] Banik S, Rahman M. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in Bangladesh: a systematic review of the literature. Current obesity reports. 2018;7:247-53.

[12] Suwannaphant K, Laohasiriwong W, Puttanapong N, Saengsuwan J, Phajan T. Association between Socioeconomic Status and Diabetes Mellitus: The National

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Socioeconomics Survey, 2010 and 2012. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017;11:LC18–LC22.

[13] Group DPPR. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. The New England journal of medicine. 2002;346.

[14] Tsimihodimos V, Gonzalez-Villalpando C, Meigs JB, Ferrannini E. Hypertension and Diabetes Mellitus. Hypertension. 2018;71:422-8.

[15] Cheung BM, Li C. Diabetes and hypertension: is there a common metabolic pathway? Current atherosclerosis reports. 2012;14:160-6.

[16] United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. World Population Prospects 2019: Highlights (ST/ESA/SER.A/423), available at: https://population.un.org/wpp/Publications/Files/WPP2019_Highlights.pdf accessed on 24 Jan 2021. 2019.

[17] World Health Organization. Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia: report of a WHO/IDF consultation. 2006.

[18] Consultation WE. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. Lancet (London, England). 2004;363:157-63.

[19] Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo Jr JL, et al. The seventh report of the joint national committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure: the JNC 7 report. Jama. 2003;289:2560-71.

[20] Rutsein SO, Johnson K. The DHS Wealth Index. DHS Comparative Reports No. 6. . Calverton, Maryland, USA: ORC Macro. Available at http://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-cr6-comparative-reports.cfm. Accessed November 07, 2015.2004.

[21] Barros AJ, Hirakata VN. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. BMC medical research methodology. 2003;3:21.

[22] Tamhane AR, Westfall AO, Burkholder GA, Cutter GR. Prevalence odds ratio versus prevalence ratio: choice comes with consequences. Statistics in medicine. 2016;35:5730-5.

[23] Yelland LN, Salter AB, Ryan P. Performance of the modified Poisson regression approach for estimating relative risks from clustered prospective data. American journal of epidemiology. 2011;174:984-92.

[24] O'Connell AA, McCoach DB. Multilevel modeling of educational data: IAP; 2008.

[25] Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement:

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

guidelines for reporting observational studies. Annals of internal medicine. 2007;147:573-7.

[26] Collaboration NRF. Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. . Lancet. 2016;387.

[27] Zaman M, Ullah A, Bhuiyan M, Karim M. Moniruzzaman and Rahman SMA. Noncommunicable Disease Prevention and Control Situation in a Primary Health Care Setting of Bangladesh: Design and Baseline Findings of an Intervention Chronic Dis Int. 2016;3:1021.

[28] Mohan V, Deepa M, Anjana RM, Lanthorn H, Deepa R. Incidence of diabetes and pre-diabetes in a selected urban south Indian population (CUPS-19). . J Assoc Physicians India. 2008;56:152-7.

[29] Chen Y, Zhang X-P, Yuan J, Cai B, Wang X-L, Wu X-L, et al. Association of body mass index and age with incident diabetes in Chinese adults: a population-based cohort study. BMJ open. 2018;8:e021768.

[30] World Bank. https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL?locations=BD. 2021.

[31] Barnes AS, Coulter SA. The Epidemic of Obesity and Diabetes Trends and Treatments Tex Heart Inst J. 2011;38:142-4.

[32] Pampel FC, Denney JT, Krueger PM. Obesity, SES, and Economic Development: A Test of the Reversal Hypothesis. Social Science and Medicine. 2012;74:1073-81.

[33] Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. The New England journal of medicine. 2002;346:393-403.

[34] Magliano DJ, Islam RM, Barr EL, Gregg EW, Pavkov ME, Harding JL, et al. Trends in incidence of total or type 2 diabetes: systematic review. bmj. 2019;366:l5003.

[35] Lastra G, Syed S, Kurukulasuriya LR, Manrique C, Sowers JR. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension: an update. Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics. 2014;43:103-22.

[36] Suh D-C, Kim C-M, Choi I-S, Plauschinat CA, Barone JA. Trends in blood pressure control and treatment among type 2 diabetes with comorbid hypertension in the United States: 1988–2004. Journal of hypertension. 2009;27:1908-16.

[37] Simonson DC. Etiology and prevalence of hypertension in diabetic patients. Diabetes care. 1988;11:821-7.

[38] Khan JA, Ahmed S, Sultana M, Sarker AR, Chakrovorty S, Rahman MH, et al. The effect of a community-based health insurance on the out-of-pocket payments for utilizing medically trained providers in Bangladesh. International health. 2020;12:287-98.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

**Table 1: Characteristics of the study population aged ≥18 years in Bangladesh, BDHS 2017-18**

| Characteristics | N (%)[a] All (N=11,952) | No. without diabetes (N=10,778) | No. with diabetes (N=1,174) | P-value[b] |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Individual level** | | | | |
| ***Age in years, Median (IQR)*** | 39 (24) | 35 (23) | 46 (23) | <0.001 |
| 18-34 | 5,391 (45.1) | 5,114 (47.4) | 277 (23.6) | |
| 35-39 | 1,371 (11.5) | 1,233 (11.4) | 138 (11.8) | |
| 40-44 | 1,047 (8.7) | 916 (8.5) | 131 (11.2) | |
| 45-49 | 994 (8.3) | 861 (8.0) | 133 (11.3) | |
| 50-54 | 672 (5.6) | 556 (5.2) | 115 (9.8) | |
| 55-59 | 676 (5.7) | 577 (5.4) | 100 (8.5) | |
| 60-64 | 675 (5.7) | 563 (5.2) | 112 (9.5) | |
| ≥65 | 1,126 (9.42) | 958 (8.9) | 168 (14.3) | |
| ***Sex*** | | | | |
| *Men* | 5,126 (42.9) | 4,589 (42.6) | 537 (45.8) | 0.550 |
| *Women* | 6,826 (57.1) | 6,189 (57.4) | 637 (54.2) | |
| ***Body Mass Index (kg/m$^2$)*** | | | | |
| Underweight (<18.5) | 2,067 (17.3) | 1,938 (18.0) | 129 (11.0) | |
| Normal weight (18.5-23.0) | 5091 (42.6) | 4696 (43.6) | 395 (33.7) | <0.001 |
| Overweight (23.0-27.5) | 3532 (29.6) | 3107 (28.8) | 425 (36.2) | |
| Obese (≥27.5) | 1262 (10.5) | 1037 (9.6) | 225 (19.1) | |
| ***Level of education*** | | | | |
| No education/preschool | 3,033 (25.4) | 2,736 (25.4) | 296 (25.2) | |
| Primary education | 3,590 (30.0) | 3,225 (29.9) | 365 (31.1) | 0.937 |
| Secondary education | 3,539 (29.6) | 3,203 (29.7) | 337 (28.7) | |
| Higher education | 1,790 (15.0) | 1,614 (15.0) | 176 (15.0) | |
| ***Currently working*** | | | | |
| Yes | 4,621 (38.7) | 6,695 (62.1) | 636 (54.1) | <0.001 |
| No | 7,331 (61.3) | 4,083 (37.9) | 538 (45.9) | |
| ***Hypertension*** | | | | |
| Yes | 3,416 (28.6) | 2,865 (26.6) | 551 (46.9) | <0.001 |
| No | 8,536 (71.4) | 7,913 (73.4) | 623 (53.1) | |
| **Household level** | | | | |
| ***Wealth quintile*** | | | | |
| Lowest | 2,311 (19.3) | 2,183 (20.3) | 128 (10.9) | |
| Second | 2,354 (19.7) | 2,213 (20.5) | 141 (12.0) | |
| Middle | 2,466 (20.6) | 2,272 (21.1) | 194 (16.5) | <0.001 |
| Fourth | 2,377 (19.9) | 2,111 (19.6) | 266 (22.7) | |
| Highest | 2,444 (20.5) | 1,999 (18.5) | 445 (37.9) | |
| **Community level** | | | | |
| ***Place of residence*** | | | | |
| Urban | 3,179 (26.6) | 2,764 (25.6) | 416 (35.4) | <0.001 |
| Rural | 8,773 (73.4) | 8,014 (74.4) | 758 (64.6) | |
| ***Administrative division*** | | | | |
| Barishal | 660 (5.5) | 597 (5.5) | 62 (5.3) | |
| Chattogram | 2,053 (17.2) | 1,828 (17.0) | 224 (19.1) | |
| Dhaka | 2,767 (23.2) | 2,373 (22.0) | 394 (33.6) | |
| Khulna | 1,489 (12.5) | 1,368 (12.7) | 121 (10.3) | <0.001 |
| Mymensingh | 973 (8.1) | 897 (8.3) | 77 (6.5) | |
| Rajshahi | 1,727 (14.4) | 1,590 (14.8) | 138 (11.7) | |
| Rangpur | 1,503 (12.6) | 1,419 (13.2) | 84 (7.2) | |
| Sylhet | 780 (6.5) | 706 (6.5) | 74 (6.3) | |

BDHS= Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey; IQR= Inter-quartile Range

[a]All values represent absolute numbers and percentages unless otherwise stated.

[b]P-values were derived using a Mann Whitney test or chi-square test for continuous and categorical variables, respectively.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

**Table 2: Crude and age-standardized prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes in adults aged ≥18 years in Bangladeshi population, BDHS 2017-18**

| | Diabetes, % (95% CI) | | Prediabetes, % (95% CI) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Crude prevalence | Standardized prevalence | Crude prevalence | Standardized prevalence |
| **Overall** | 9.8 (9.1-10.6) | 12.8 (11.2-14.3) | 14.2 (13.3-15.1) | 14.0 (12.6-15.4) |
| **Individual level** | | | | |
| ***Age (years)*** | | | | |
| 18-34 | 5.1 (4.4-5.9) | 5.1 (4.53-5.70) | 12.7 (11.5-14.0) | 11.5 (10.6-12.4) |
| 35-39 | 10.0 (8.4-12.0) | 10.0 (8.4-11.5) | 15.6 (13.5-17.9) | 15.2 (13.3-17.1) |
| 40-44 | 12.5 (10.4-15.1) | 11.8 (9.8-13.7) | 15.6 (13.1-18.5) | 14.9 (12.7-17.1) |
| 45-49 | 13.4 (11.2-15.9) | 12.9 (10.8-14.9) | 15.7 (13.4-18.3) | 15.4 (13.2-17.6) |
| 50-54 | 17.2 (14.2-20.7) | 16.4 (13.6-19.2) | 14.3 (11.6-17.6) | 14.7 (12.1-17.4) |
| 55-59 | 14.8 (12.0-18.0) | 15.9 (13.2-18.6) | 15.7 (12.9-18.9) | 15.3 (12.6-18.0) |
| 60-64 | 16.5 (13.7-19.8) | 15.3 (12.6-18.0) | 15.7 (12.8-19.2) | 15.4 (12.7-18.1) |
| ≥65 | 14.9 (12.6-17.5) | 14.8 (12.8-16.9) | 14.9 (12.5-17.7) | 14.7 (12.7-16.8) |
| ***Sex*** | | | | |
| Men | 10.5 (9.5-11.5) | 12.8 (10.8-14.7) | 13.9 (12.8-15.2) | 12.1 (10.5-13.8) |
| Women | 9.3 (8.5-10.2) | 12.7 (10.9-14.5) | 14.4 (13.3-15.5) | 16.5 (14.8-18.1) |
| ***Body Mass Index (kg/m$^2$)*** | | | | |
| Underweight (<18.5) | 6.2 (5.0-7.6) | 5.5 (4.5-6.5) | 12.5 (10.8-14.4) | 11.7 (10.4-13.1) |
| Normal weight (18.5-23.0) | 7.8 (6.9-8.7) | 7.5 (6.8-8.2) | 12.9 (11.7-14.1) | 12.3 (11.4-13.2) |
| Overweight (23.0-27.5) | 12.0 (10.8-13.4) | 11.9 (10.9-13.0) | 15.3 (13.8-16.8) | 14.3 (13.2-15.5) |
| Obese (≥27.5) | 17.8 (15.6-20.3) | 18.6 (16.5-20.7) | 19.4 (16.9-22.0) | 18.7 (16.6-20.8) |
| ***Level of education*** | | | | |
| No education, preschool | 9.7 (8.6-11.1) | 9.4 (8.4-10.5) | 14.8 (13.2-16.6) | 13.9 (12.6-15.1) |
| Primary | 10.2 (9.0-11.5) | 9.8 (8.8-10.7) | 13.4 (12.1-14.8) | 13.0 (11.9-14.1) |
| Secondary | 9.5 (8.4-10.7) | 9.6 (8.6-10.5) | 14.3 (12.8-15.8) | 13.3 (12.2-14.4) |
| Higher education | 9.8 (8.3-11.6) | 9.9 (8.6-11.2) | 14.4 (12.5-16.5) | 14.2 (12.7-15.7) |
| ***Currently working*** | | | | |
| Yes | 8.7 (7.9-9.5) | 8.5 (7.9-9.2) | 13.5 (12.4-14.5) | 12.8 (12.2-13.6) |
| No | 11.6 (10.5-12.9) | 11.4 (10.5-12.3) | 15.3 (14.0-16.8) | 14.5 (12.1-13.5) |
| ***Hypertension*** | | | | |
| Yes | 16.4 (15.0-18.0) | 16.1 (14.8-17.3) | 14.9 (13.5-16.5) | 14.6 (13.4-15.8) |
| No | 7.3 (6.6-8.1) | 7.0 (6.5-7.5) | 13.9 (12.9-15.0) | 13.1 (12.4-13.8) |
| **Household level** | | | | |
| ***Wealth quintile*** | | | | |
| Lowest | 5.5 (4.4-6.9) | 5.5 (4.6-6.5) | 11.8 (10.1-13.8) | 11.4 (10.1-12.7) |
| Second | 6.0 (5.0-7.2) | 5.8 (4.9-6.8) | 10.3 (8.8-11.9) | 10.3 (9.1-11.6) |
| Middle | 7.8 (6.7-9.2) | 7.8 (6.8-8.9) | 11.7 (10.2-13.6) | 11.3 (10.0-12.6) |
| Fourth | 11.2 (9.7-12.9) | 10.6 (9.3-11.8) | 16.2 (14.4-18.2) | 14.7 (13.3-16.2) |
| Highest | 18.2 (16.5-20.1) | 17.3 (15.9-18.8) | 20.6 (18.7-22.7) | 18.8 (17.3-20.3) |
| **Community level** | | | | |
| ***Place of residence*** | | | | |
| Urban | 13.1 (11.8-14.5) | 12.0 (11.0-12.9) | 17.7 (16.0-19.6) | 15.2 (14.1-16.3) |
| Rural | 8.6 (7.8-9.6) | 8.4 (7.7-9.0) | 12.9 (11.8-14.0) | 12.5 (11.8-13.3) |

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ***Administrative division*** | | | | |
| Barishal | 9.4 (7.5-11.8) | 10.0 (8.3-11.6) | 16.3 (13.6-19.5) | 16.9 (14.8-18.98) |
| Chattogram | 10.9 (9.0-13.2) | 11.1 (9.5-12.6) | 14.6 (12.7-16.8) | 14.7 (13.0-16.43) |
| Dhaka | 14.2 (12.3-16.5) | 14.8 (13.1-16.6) | 22.0 (19.3-25.1) | 22.7 (20.6-24.76) |
| Khulna | 8.2 (6.8-9.7) | 8.9 (7.5-10.2) | 10.6 (8.8-12.9) | 10.4 (9.0-11.9) |
| Mymensingh | 7.9 (6.3-9.9) | 8.1 (6.6-9.5) | 12.2 (10.5-14.2) | 12.4 (10.7-14.2) |
| Rajshahi | 8.0 (6.4-9.8) | 8.5 (7.1-9.9) | 9.7 (7.8-11.9) | 9.4 (8.0-10.9) |
| Rangpur | 5.6 (4.4-7.0) | 6.1 (4.9-7.2) | 9.0 (7.3-11.1) | 9.3 (7.9-10.7) |
| Sylhet | 9.4 (7.3-12.2) | 9.7 (8.2-11.2) | 12.6 (10.5-15.0) | 12.5 (10.8-14.2) |

BDHS= Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey; CI=confidence interval.
Data are % (95% CI). All CIs were logit transformed. Standardized to the 2011 Bangladesh Census population by age for the total population.
Diabetes is defined as a fasting blood glucose level ≥7.0 mmol/L or self-reported diabetes medication use. Prediabetes is defined as a fasting blood glucose level from 6.1 mmol/L to 6.9 mmol/L, without medication.

**Table 3: Results from the random intercept model (measure of variation) at cluster/community level for diabetes and prediabetes in adults aged ≥18 years in Bangladeshi population, BDHS 2017-18**

| | Diabetes[‡] | | | | Prediabetes[‡] | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Random effects (measure of variation for diabetes)[a]** | **Model 1** | **Model 2** | **Model 3** | **Model 4** | **Model 1** | **Model 2** | **Model 3** | **Model 4** |
| Cluster-level variance (SE)[b] | 0.31 (0.04) | 0.23 (0.04) | 0.16 (0.04) | 0.13 (0.04) | 0.28 (0.03) | 0.26 (0.03) | 0.21 (0.03) | 0.15 (0.03) |
| Intra-class correlation (ICC, %) | 30.52% | 22.57% | 15.53% | 12.47% | 42.54% | 25.27% | 20.54% | 14.89% |
| Explained variance (PCV)(%) | Reference | 25.81% | 48.38% | 61.29% | Reference | 7.14% | 25.00% | 46.42% |
| **Model summary** | | | | | | | | |
| AIC | 7695.98 | 7264.89 | 7176.57 | 7156.65 | 9857.34 | 9849.54 | 9800.16 | 9733.91 |
| BIC | 7710.79 | 7398.19 | 7339.49 | 7378.80 | 9872.15 | 99.82.83 | 9963.07 | 9956.06 |

[‡] Models are based on multilevel mixed-effects Poisson regression.
[a]We assume that the within cluster-level random effects are equal for the 'moderate' and 'highest" levels; therefore, only between cluster-level variance estimates are reported. [b]Significance of random effects evaluated by comparing the model with a similar one in which random effects were constrained to zero.
Model 1 is the null model, a baseline model without any determinant variable
Model 2 is adjusted for individual level factors
Model 3 is adjusted for individual level plus household level factors
Model 4 is adjusted for individual level plus household level plus community level factors
The results of all models are presented in Supplementary Table 1 and the results of the final model are presented in Table 4.
AIC= Akaike's Information Criterion; BDHS= Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey; BIC= Bayesian Information Criteria; SE= Standard Error.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

## Table 4: Factors associated with diabetes and prediabetes in adults aged ≥18 years in Bangladeshi population, BDHS 2017-18

| Characteristics | Diabetes, PR (95% CI)[‡] | Prediabetes, PR (95% CI)[‡] |
|---|---|---|
| **Individual level** | | |
| ***Age in years, (ref: 18-34)*** | | |
| 35-39 | 1.80 (1.45-2.25) | 1.19 (1.01-1.41) |
| 40-44 | 2.37 (1.87-3.00) | 1.23 (1.01-1.48) |
| 45-49 | 2.39 (1.89-3.01) | 1.22 (1.02-1.45) |
| 50-54 | 3.16 (2.45-4.06) | 1.12 (0.90-1.41) |
| 55-59 | 2.70 (2.13-3.43) | 1.26 (1.01-1.58) |
| 60-64 | 3.11 (2.46-3.92) | 1.26 (1.00-1.59) |
| ≥65 | 2.77 (2.16-3.55) | 1.21 (0.99-1.49) |
| ***Sex, (ref: women)*** | 1.17 (1.01-1.36) | 0.98 (0.87-1.10) |
| ***Body Mass Index (kg/m$^2$), (ref: normal weight)*** | | |
| Underweight (<18.5) | 0.83 (0.67-1.03) | 0.97 (0.83-1.13) |
| Overweight (23.0-27.5) | 1.23 (1.06-1.43) | 1.07 (0.95-1.21) |
| Obese (≥27.5) | 1.45 (1.21-1.75) | 1.23 (1.05-1.44) |
| ***Level of education*, (ref: higher education)** | | |
| No education, preschool | 0.93 (0.74-1.18) | 1.16 (0.95-1.42) |
| Primary | 1.16 (0.95-1.42) | 1.04 (0.88-1.24) |
| Secondary | 1.08 (0.90-1.30) | 1.05 (0.89-1.23) |
| ***Currently working, (ref: no)*** | *0.81 (0.69-0.94)* | 1.01 (0.90-1.14) |
| ***Hypertension, (ref: no)*** | 1.47 (1.30-1.68) | 0.98 (0.87-1.10) |
| **Household level** | | |
| ***Wealth quintile, (ref: lowest)*** | | |
| Second | 1.04 (0.79-1.35) | 0.82 (0.66-1.03) |
| Middle | 1.24 (0.96-1.60) | 0.94 (0.77-1.15) |
| Fourth | 1.60 (1.23-2.09) | 1.16 (0.95-1.42) |
| Highest | 2.21 (1.70-2.86) | 1.36 (1.10-1.68) |
| **Community level** | | |
| ***Place of residence, (ref: rural)*** | 1.02 (0.89-1.18) | 1.00 (0.88-1.14) |
| ***Administrative division, (ref: Barishal)*** | | |
| Chattogram | 0.98 (0.74-1.29) | 0.82 (0.66-1.03) |
| Dhaka | 1.32 (1.02-1.71) | 1.19 (0.94-1.49) |
| Khulna | 0.78 (0.60-1.01) | 0.59 (0.46-0.77) |
| Mymensingh | 0.94 (0.70-1.27) | 0.74 (0.59-0.93) |
| Rajshahi | 0.90 (0.68-1.20) | 0.57 (0.43-0.75) |
| Rangpur | 0.67 (0.50-0.91) | 0.54 (0.42-0.71) |
| Sylhet | 1.00 (0.72-1.38) | 0.73 (0.56-0.94) |

[‡] The final model adjusted for the individual, household, and the community level factors using multilevel mixed-effects Poisson regression. The results of all models are presented in Supplementary Table 1.
BDHS= Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey; PR= prevalence ratio; CI= confidence interval.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

**Supplementary table 1: Factors associated with diabetes and prediabetes in adults aged ≥18 years in Bangladeshi population, BDHS 2017-18**

| Characteristics | Diabetes, PR (95% CI) | | | Pre-diabetes, PR (95% CI) | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 |
| Individual level | | | | | | |
| ***Age in years, (ref: 18-34)*** | | | | | | |
| 35-39 | 1.87 (1.51-2.33) | 1.79 (1.44-2.22) | 1.80 (1.45-2.25) | 1.20 (1.02-1.42) | 1.18 (1.00-1.39) | 1.19 (1.01-1.41) |
| 40-44 | 2.44 (1.92-3.09) | 2.33 (1.84-2.93) | 2.37 (1.87-3.00) | 1.22 (1.00-1.48) | 1.20 (0.98-1.45) | 1.23 (1.01-1.48) |
| 45-49 | 2.56 (2.02-3.25) | 2.32 (1.84-2.93) | 2.39 (1.89-3.01) | 1.24 (1.04-1.48) | 1.18 (0.99-1.41) | 1.22 (1.02-1.45) |
| 50-54 | 3.34 (2.59-4.30) | 3.07 (2.39-3.93) | 3.16 (2.45-4.06) | 1.14 (0.90-1.43) | 1.09 (0.87-1.37) | 1.12 (0.90-1.41) |
| 55-59 | 2.95 (2.31-3.77) | 2.59 (2.04-3.29) | 2.70 (2.13-3.43) | 1.30 (1.04-1.62) | 1.21 (0.97-1.51) | 1.26 (1.01-1.58) |
| 60-64 | 3.32 (2.63-4.20) | 2.97 (2.35-3.75) | 3.11 (2.46-3.92) | 1.27 (1.01-1.60) | 1.21 (0.96-1.52) | 1.26 (1.00-1.59) |
| ≥65 | 2.99 (2.32-3.85) | 2.64 (2.06-3.37) | 2.77 (2.16-3.55) | 1.25 (1.01-1.53) | 1.17 (0.95-1.44) | 1.21 (0.99-1.49) |
| ***Sex, (ref: women)*** | 1.22 (1.06-1.41) | 1.19 (1.03-1.38) | 1.17 (1.01-1.36) | 1.02 (0.90-1.14) | 1.00 (0.89-1.13) | 0.98 (0.87-1.10) |
| ***Body Mass Index (kg/m$^2$), (ref: normal weight)*** | | | | | | |
| Underweight (<18.5) | 0.78 (0.63-0.97) | 0.84 (0.68-1.04) | 0.83 (0.67-1.03) | 0.96 (0.82-1.11) | 0.98 (0.84-1.14) | 0.97 (0.83-1.13) |
| Overweight (23.0-27.5) | 1.37 (1.19-1.59) | 1.24 (1.07-1.44) | 1.23 (1.06-1.43) | 1.15 (1.02-1.29) | 1.09 (0.96-1.22) | 1.07 (0.95-1.21) |
| Obese (≥27.5) | 1.79 (1.49-2.15) | 1.47 (1.22-1.77) | 1.45 (1.21-1.75) | 1.39 (1.19-1.62) | 1.24 (1.06-1.45) | 1.23 (1.05-1.44) |
| ***Level of education (ref: higher education)*** | | | | | | |
| No education, preschool | 0.70 (0.55-0.88) | 1.00 (0.79-1.26) | 0.93 (0.74-1.18) | 1.01 (0.83-1.22) | 1.24 (1.01-1.52) | 1.16 (0.95-1.42) |
| Primary | 0.92 (0.75-1.13) | 1.22 (0.99-1.50) | 1.16 (0.95-1.42) | 0.93 (0.79-1.10) | 1.10 (0.93-1.32) | 1.04 (0.88-1.24) |
| Secondary | 0.97 (0.81-1.17) | 1.12 (0.93-1.34) | 1.08 (0.90-1.30) | 0.98 (0.83-1.15) | 1.08 (0.92-1.27) | 1.05 (0.89-1.23) |
| ***Currently working, (ref: no)*** | 0.73 (0.63-0.84) | *0.79 (0.68-0.92)* | *0.81 (0.69-0.94)* | *0.95 (0.84-1.07)* | 0.99 (0.87-1.11) | 1.01 (0.90-1.14) |
| ***Hypertension, (ref: no)*** | 1.48 (1.31-1.69) | 1.45 (1.28-1.65) | 1.47 (1.30-1.68) | 0.98 (0.87-1.10) | 0.96 (0.84-1.08) | 0.98 (0.87-1.10) |
| Household level | | | | | | |
| ***Wealth quintile, (ref: lowest)*** | | | | | | |
| Second | | 1.06 (0.81-1.39) | 1.04 (0.79-1.35) | | 0.85 (0.69-1.05) | 0.82 (0.66-1.03) |
| Middle | | 1.31 (1.02-1.69) | 1.24 (0.96-1.60) | | 0.98 (0.80-1.20) | 0.94 (0.77-1.15) |
| Fourth | | 1.78 (1.38-2.30) | 1.60 (1.23-2.09) | | 1.27 (1.05-1.55) | 1.16 (0.95-1.42) |
| Highest | | 2.56 (1.99-3.26) | 2.21 (1.70-2.86) | | 1.58 (1.29-1.94) | 1.36 (1.10-1.68) |
| Community level | | | | | | |
| ***Place of residence, (ref: rural)*** | | | 1.02 (0.89-1.18) | | | 1.00 (0.88-1.14) |
| ***Administrative division, (ref: Barishal)*** | | | | | | |
| Chattogram | | | 0.98 (0.74-1.29) | | | 0.82 (0.66-1.03) |
| Dhaka | | | 1.32 (1.02-1.71) | | | 1.19 (0.94-1.49) |
| Khulna | | | 0.78 (0.60-1.01) | | | 0.59 (0.46-0.77) |
| Mymensingh | | | 0.94 (0.70-1.27) | | | 0.74 (0.59-0.93) |
| Rajshahi | | | 0.90 (0.68-1.20) | | | 0.57 (0.43-0.75) |
| Rangpur | | | 0.67 (0.50-0.91) | | | 0.54 (0.42-0.71) |
| Sylhet | | | 1.00 (0.72-1.38) | | | 0.73 (0.56-0.94) |

PR= prevalence ratio; CI= confidence interval.
Notes: Model 1 was null model

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

without any covariates. The summary of this model is presented in Table 3.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.21250519; this version posted February 7, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.