

1 **Geographic Variation in Influenza Vaccination among US Nursing Home Residents: A**

2 **National Study**

3 **Authors:** Joe B. B. Silva, ScM,<sup>1</sup> Elliott Bosco, PharmD,<sup>1</sup> Melissa R. Riester, PharmD,<sup>1</sup> Kevin  
4 W. McConeghy, PharmD,<sup>1,2</sup> Patience Moyo, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Robertus van Aalst, PhD,<sup>3,4</sup> Barbara H.  
5 Bardenheier, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Stefan Gravenstein, MD,<sup>1,2,5</sup> Rosa Baier, MPH,<sup>1</sup> Matthew M. Loiacono,  
6 MSc,<sup>3,6</sup> Ayman Chit, PhD,<sup>3,6</sup> Andrew R. Zullo, PharmD, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>

7 **Affiliations:** <sup>1</sup>Brown University School of Public Health, Providence, RI, USA; <sup>2</sup>Center of  
8 Innovation in Long-Term Services and Supports, Providence Veterans Affairs Medical Center,  
9 Providence, RI, USA; <sup>3</sup>Sanofi Pasteur, Swiftwater, PA, USA; <sup>4</sup>Department of Health Sciences,  
10 University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands;  
11 <sup>5</sup>Department of Medicine, Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, RI,  
12 USA; <sup>6</sup>Leslie Dan School of Pharmacy, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

13 **Corresponding author information:** Joe Silva, Department of Health Services, Policy, and  
14 Practice, Brown University School of Public Health, 121 South Main Street, Box G-S121-3,  
15 Providence, RI, 02912, Email: [joe\\_silva@brown.edu](mailto:joe_silva@brown.edu)

16 **Disclaimer:** The content and views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not  
17 necessarily reflect the position or policy of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United  
18 States government.

19 **ABSTRACT**

20 **Objectives:** Estimates of influenza vaccine use are not available at the county level for U.S.  
21 nursing home (NH) residents but are critically necessary to guide implementation of quality  
22 improvement programs aimed at increasing vaccination rates. Furthermore, estimates that  
23 account for differences in resident characteristics between counties are unavailable. We  
24 estimated risk-standardized vaccination rates among short- and long-stay NH residents by U.S.  
25 county and identified drivers of geographic variation.

26 **Methods:** We conducted a retrospective cohort study utilizing 100% of 2013-2015 fee-for-  
27 service Medicare claims, Minimum Data Set assessments, Certification and Survey Provider  
28 Enhanced Reports, and LTCFocUS. We separately evaluated short-stay (<100 days) and long-  
29 stay ( $\geq$ 100 days) residents aged  $\geq$ 65 years old across the 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 influenza  
30 seasons. We estimated county-level risk-standardized vaccination rates (RSVRs) via hierarchical  
31 logistic regression adjusting for 32 resident-level covariates. We then used multivariable linear  
32 regression models to assess associations between county-level NHs predictors and RSVRs.

33 **Results:** The overall study cohort consisted of 2,817,217 residents in 14,658 NHs across 2,798  
34 counties. Short-stay residents had lower RSVRs than long-stay residents (2013-2014: median  
35 [IQR], 69.6% [62.8-74.5] vs 84.0% [80.8-86.4]). Counties with the highest vaccination rates  
36 were concentrated in the Midwestern, Southern, and Northeast US. Several modifiable facility-  
37 level characteristics were associated with increased RSVRs, including higher registered nurse to  
38 total nurse ratio and higher total staffing for licensed practical nurses, speech language  
39 pathologists, and social workers. Characteristics associated with lower RSVRs included higher  
40 percentage of residents restrained, with a pressure ulcer, and NH-level hospitalizations per  
41 resident-year.

42    **Conclusions:** Substantial county-level variation in influenza vaccine use exists among short- and  
43    long-stay NH residents. Quality improvement interventions to improve vaccination rates can  
44    leverage these results to target NHs located in counties with lower risk-standardized vaccine use.

## 45 INTRODUCTION

46 Older adults residing in nursing homes (NHs) are at high risk for respiratory infections, including  
47 influenza.<sup>1-3</sup> Between 70% and 85% of influenza-related deaths occur in people aged 65 years  
48 and older.<sup>4</sup> Influenza vaccination is considered a cost-effective method to prevent outbreaks in  
49 NHs. It decreases the risk and severity of infection and, in doing so, also decreases the risk of  
50 subsequent adverse outcomes such as hospitalization and mortality.<sup>5</sup> However, current influenza  
51 vaccination rates among U.S. NH residents is well below the Healthy People 2020 goal of  
52 90%.<sup>6,7</sup> In order to implement effective and efficient quality improvement initiatives aimed at  
53 increasing influenza vaccine use in NHs, it is critical to first understand how influenza  
54 vaccination rates differ across the country and to identify areas with the lowest vaccine uptake.

55 While influenza incidence rates are known to vary widely by U.S. county after adjusting for  
56 differences in residents between counties,<sup>8</sup> the small-area geographic patterns of influenza  
57 vaccination among NH residents remain unknown. National data reporting geographic  
58 information for NH resident vaccination have provided national- or state-level estimates, but  
59 these mask variations in smaller areas, such as counties, and have other important limitations.<sup>9,10</sup>

60 Prior studies that have reported on person- or NH-level predictors of vaccination did not use risk-  
61 standardization when estimating influenza vaccination rates.<sup>11-14</sup> Utilizing risk-standardization is  
62 critical as resident characteristics are known to vary across geographic units in the U.S. Without  
63 accounting for these differences across counties, it is extremely difficult to validly compare  
64 county-level vaccination rates or ascribe any observed differences to local policies and practices.

65 Prior studies also have not analyzed short-stay (post-acute care) and long-stay (long-term care)  
66 residents as two distinct groups despite the two subpopulations' markedly different care needs  
67 and profiles.<sup>11-14</sup> Understanding vaccination rates for short- and long-stay residents separately is

68 important, as drivers of vaccination and related interventions will likely differ for each of these  
69 populations. Indeed, many quality improvement interventions target each group separately.<sup>15</sup>

70 Quantifying county-level vaccination rates is vital for local stakeholders responsible for making  
71 decisions to prevent and control infections in NHs. Absent such information, policymakers,  
72 clinicians, public health professionals, and organizations cannot allocate finite resources  
73 appropriately or focus quality improvement or other interventions on areas and specific NHs  
74 with the lowest vaccination rates. Failure to allocate resources and intervene effectively is likely  
75 to perpetuate any ongoing geographic inequalities in vaccine use among NH residents.

76 Accordingly, our objectives were to 1) estimate how risk-standardized influenza vaccination  
77 rates (RSVRs) among short- and long-stay NH residents varied across U.S. counties after  
78 adjusting for resident characteristics to ensure consistent and comparable estimates, and 2)  
79 identify potential drivers of observed small-area geographic variation. We hypothesized that  
80 wide variation in vaccination rates would exist across counties for both the short-stay and long-  
81 stay populations despite risk-standardization. We also hypothesized that modifiable NH-level  
82 predictors related to variety of staffing, direct care hours, and quality measures would be  
83 associated with increases in county-level RSVRs.

## 84 **METHODS**

### 85 **Study Design and Data Source**

86 This retrospective cohort study included fee-for-service Medicare beneficiaries who resided in  
87 U.S. NHs between January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2013 and December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2015. Data were derived from 100%  
88 samples of Medicare Parts A and B claims, Minimum Data Set (MDS) version 3.0 assessments,  
89 Certification and Survey Provider Enhanced Reports (CASPER), and Long-Term Care: Facts on  
90 Care in the U.S. (LTCFocUS).

91 Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services- (CMS-) certified NHs are required to complete MDS  
92 resident assessments for all residents, to capture information including: demographics; clinical  
93 conditions; functional, psychological, and cognitive status; and services and treatments.<sup>16</sup>

94 Assessments must be performed at least quarterly for each resident, but are often performed  
95 more frequently; for example, with any change in condition.<sup>16,17</sup> After linking assessment data to

96 Medicare claims, we employed a previously-validated residential history file algorithm to track  
97 residents' timing and location of health service utilization.<sup>18</sup> We used CASPER and LTCFocUS

98 data to capture NH-level information, including structural characteristics, staffing information,  
99 quality-of-care deficiencies, and aggregate resident characteristics. CASPER data are collected

100 by state survey agencies during NH inspections. LTCFocUS is a product of the Shaping Long-

101 Term Care in America Project at the Brown University Center for Gerontology & Healthcare

102 Research and supported, in part, by the National Institute on Aging.<sup>19,20</sup> The Brown University

103 Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol.

### 104 **Study Population**

105 We included beneficiaries aged 65 years or older on their index date (defined below) residing in  
106 free-standing (i.e., not hospital-based) NHs between January 1, 2013 and December 31, 2015  
107 who had at least one MDS assessment. We also required that residents' NH be identifiable, and  
108 the corresponding NH-level data be available in CASPER and LTCFocUS. Residents with less  
109 than six months of continuous enrollment in both Medicare Parts A and B or health maintenance  
110 organizations (i.e., Medicare Advantage) were excluded as were those with missing data on any  
111 person-level covariates necessary for risk-standardization (process described below in Statistical  
112 Analyses).

113 Residents were divided into four subcohorts according to their short-stay/long-stay status and  
114 influenza season of stay (2013-2014 and 2014-2015). First, residents were designated as either  
115 short-stay (SS) or long-stay (LS) based on length of residency in the NH. Short-stay residents  
116 were those with <100 days in the same NH and were assigned an index date of entry. Those  
117 designated as long-stay had  $\geq 100$  consecutive days with no more than 10 days outside of the NH  
118 and an index date assigned as day 100 of their stay. Stays were then assigned to seasons, where  
119 residents with at least one day of a NH stay from October 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014 were  
120 included in the 2013-2014 season subcohorts, while those with at least one day of a NH stay from  
121 October 1, 2014 to March 31, 2015 were included in the 2014-2015 season. Only residents' first  
122 stay identified during a particular season was included, however residents could be represented  
123 in both seasons if they survived to the second and remained in the same NH.

#### 124 **Resident Characteristics for Risk Adjustment**

125 Resident characteristics were used in risk-standardization across counties. We selected 32  
126 covariates encoding information about resident demographic information and comorbidities that  
127 were likely to be associated with receiving influenza vaccine.<sup>2,21-23</sup> We obtained age, sex, and

128 race from the Medicare enrollment file, and we derived all remaining demographic covariates  
129 and comorbidities from MDS assessments (Supplementary Table S1). For long-stay residents,  
130 covariates were derived from MDS assessments in the 100 days prior to their index date as well  
131 as all assessments after the index date during a given season. For short-stay residents, covariates  
132 were derived from all MDS assessments available from the time of admission to the NH to the  
133 time of discharge. Since risk-standardization requires complete resident-level data and the MDS  
134 Active Diagnoses Section I variables are not measured in every MDS assessment, this approach  
135 to MDS covariate ascertainment minimized missing data.

### 136 **Vaccination Status**

137 Influenza vaccination status for vaccinations received October 1 to March 31 was ascertained  
138 from the immunization supplement on MDS resident assessments between October 1 and June  
139 30. We emulated a previously-described algorithm to leverage responses from multiple MDS  
140 assessments and classify residents as vaccinated based two questions about influenza  
141 vaccination.<sup>7,21</sup> These MDS questions included “Did the resident receive the influenza vaccine in  
142 this facility for this year’s influenza vaccination season?” and, subsequently, “If influenza  
143 vaccine was not received, state reason: (1) not in facility during this year's flu season; (2)  
144 received outside of this facility; (3) not eligible; (4) offered and declined; (5) not offered; and (6)  
145 inability to obtain vaccine”.

### 146 **Nursing Home-Level Predictors for Examining Determinants of Vaccination**

147 We obtained potential NH-level predictors of influenza vaccination from CASPER and  
148 LTCFocUS that have been previously described.<sup>2,8,24,25</sup> We selected 28 predictors that included  
149 aggregate NH-level resident demographic predictors, potentially non-modifiable NH predictors,

150 and potentially modifiable NH predictors (enumerated in Table 1). Aggregate NH-level resident  
151 demographic variables for all admissions included average age at index among the home's  
152 residents, distribution of race and sex (percentage of White, Black, and Hispanic resident  
153 admissions, male vs. female admissions) within each NH, and average functional status within  
154 each NH, which was assessed via the 28-point Morris Activities of Daily Living (ADL) Scale.<sup>26</sup>  
155 Nonmodifiable NH-level predictors that we included were number of beds, admissions per bed,  
156 occupancy rate, average daily census, resident primary payer (Medicare, Medicaid, or other), NH  
157 Acuity Index of residents,<sup>27</sup> profit status of the NH, if the NH was part of a multi-facility  
158 corporation, and whether the NH was located in an urban vs. rural county.

159 Modifiable NH-level predictors were those characteristics we identified as potential intervention  
160 targets and included several aspects of NH staffing, such as on registered nurses (RNs) and  
161 certified nursing assistants (CNAs) employed. We defined an array of NH-level measures of  
162 workforce variety, such as the staffing ratio of RNs to total nurses (RNs, CNAs and licensed  
163 practical nurses, or LPNs), the ratio of CNAs to total nurses, total social worker full-time  
164 equivalents (FTEs) per 100 beds, total physician extender full-time equivalents (FTE) per 100  
165 beds, and speech language pathologist (SLP) total FTEs. We captured direct care hours via the  
166 total nursing hours/resident/day, CNA hours/resident/day, and LPN hours/resident/day. Finally,  
167 we included variables related to quality measures, such as the percentage of residents who were  
168 restrained, the percentage of residents who had a pressure ulcer, and the NH's rate of  
169 hospitalizations per resident-year.

## 170 **County-Level Predictors for Examining Determinants of Vaccination**

171 We selected the county as the geographic unit of analysis because beneficiaries typically choose  
172 NHs at the county level and it represents the smallest geographic unit with policy implications. It

173 is also likely that successful quality improvement interventions aiming to improve vaccination  
174 rates may need to be implemented at the county level to maximize effectiveness. NHs and  
175 residents were assigned to counties based on the zip code of the NH, which were then aggregated  
176 up to the level of Federal Information Processing Standards county codes.

## 177 **Statistical Analyses**

178 We calculated crude vaccination rates and RSVRs for each county. Risk-standardization using  
179 the resident-level covariates was necessary to adjust for well-known resident-level differences  
180 between counties. Adapting from CMS methodology for risk-standardization, county-level  
181 RSVRs were calculated by multiplying the ratio of predicted to expected influenza vaccinations  
182 by the crude influenza vaccinations for each subcohort.<sup>8,24,28</sup> Hierarchical logistic regression  
183 models adjusted for 32 resident-level covariates were fit for each of the four subcohorts in the  
184 study (short-stay 2013-2014, long-stay 2013-2014, short-stay 2014-2015, long-stay 2014-2015)  
185 to estimate predicted and expected influenza vaccinations with a county-specific intercept and an  
186 *average* county-specific intercept based on all counties within in each subcohort.

187 Geospatial analyses were then conducted to explore the geographic patterns of crude vaccination  
188 rates and RSVRs. Choropleth maps were generated for each of the four subcohorts to plot crude  
189 vaccination rates and RSVR quintiles. Analyses were separated by subcohort, therefore the cut-  
190 points for quintiles represented on maps were not the same across seasons or short-stay and long-  
191 stay populations.

192 Finally, multivariable linear regression models using ordinary least squares were used to evaluate  
193 the associations between county-level RSVRs and 28 county-level predictors. The models  
194 provided percent differences in vaccination rates and 95% confidence intervals for each of the

195 four subcohorts in the study. For continuous predictors, counties were grouped into tertiles with  
196 inferences made based on the percent differences in RSVRs among counties grouped in the  
197 highest tertile of a specific predictor compared counties in the lowest tertile. Predictors were  
198 classified as associated with increases or decreases in RSVRs if the direction of association was  
199 present in at least three of the four subcohorts.

## 200 **Stability Analyses**

201 We evaluated alternative approaches to determine the impact of including counties with few NH  
202 residents on the stability of the main county-level RSVR estimates. In the first stability analysis,  
203 we excluded all counties with fewer than 5 total residents. In the second stability analysis, we  
204 excluded counties with fewer than 7. This was analogous to making a trade-off between  
205 precision and generalizability since estimates from counties with more NH residents were  
206 expected to be more precise, but potentially less generalizable to the target population of all  
207 counties with NHs across the U.S. In our multivariable linear regression models, we also  
208 evaluated the impact of using Huber-White standard errors on the inferences drawn.

## 209 **Software**

210 We conducted analyses using SAS Enterprise Guide 8.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina), R  
211 version 3.6.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), and ArcMap 10.8  
212 (ESRI, Redlands, California) software.

## 213 **RESULTS**

### 214 **Study Cohort and Vaccination Rates**

215 The overall study cohort consisted of 2,817,217 residents in 14,658 NHs across 2,798 counties.

216 During the 2013-2014 season, there were 524,739 short-stay residents in 14,059 NHs across

217 2,740 counties, and 851,869 long-stay residents in 14,494 NHs across 2,789 counties (Table 1;

218 Supplementary Figure S1). The subcohorts for the 2014-2015 season consisted of 689,061 short-

219 stay residents in 14,150 NHs across 2,758 counties, and 881,264 long-stay residents in 14,463

220 NHs across 2,781 counties (Table 1; Supplementary Figure S1).

221 The median county-level crude vaccination rate among short-stay residents during the 2013-2014

222 season was 73.2% (interquartile range, [IQR] 61.3-81.8) and 72.7% (IQR, 60.9-81.2) during the

223 2014-2015 season. For long-stay residents, the median county-level crude vaccination rate was

224 86.8% (IQR, 82.0-90.5) during the 2013-2014 season and 85.7% (IQR, 81.0-93.0) during the

225 2014-2015 season (Table 2). After risk adjustment, the median county-level RSVR among short-

226 stay residents in 2013-2014 was 69.6% (IQR, 62.8-74.5) and 69.1% (IQR, 62.0-74.1) in 2014-

227 2015. Across long-stay residents, the median county-level RSVR in 2013-2014 was 84.0% (IQR,

228 80.8-86.4) and 83.1% (IQR, 79.7-85.7) in 2014-2015 (Table 2).

### 229 **Geospatial Analysis**

230 Wide geographic variation was observed for both crude and risk-standardized vaccination rates

231 across both the short- and long-stay populations and both influenza seasons (Figure 1, Figure 2).

232 While observed patterns were consistent between crude and risk-standardized choropleth maps

233 for subcohorts, 65.80% of counties (n=1,841) experienced one change in vaccination rate

234 quintile (to an adjacent quintile) after risk-standardization in at least one subcohort. A change of

235 at least two vaccination rate quintiles (to a non-adjacent quintile) for a given subcohort was  
236 observed in 112 counties, which were found primarily in a band stretching from northern Texas  
237 through counties in Oklahoma, Kansas, South Dakota, and North Dakota. Two counties,  
238 Jefferson county, Mississippi and Gadsden county, Florida, shifted three vaccination rate  
239 quintiles through risk-standardization for the long-stay population in the 2014-2015 influenza  
240 season.

241 Counties with the highest RSVRs were located predominately in the Midwest (central Iowa,  
242 southern Minnesota, western Wisconsin), the South (central Arkansas, Louisiana, Georgia, and  
243 Virginia), and parts of the Northeast (counties in Finger Lakes and Central regions of New York)  
244 (Figure 1, Panels B and D; Figure 2, Panels B and D). Counties with the lowest RSVRs were  
245 particularly concentrated in counties in the South, including a band stretching from Houston,  
246 Texas along the Gulf of Mexico through much of Florida, and another along the Inner Coastal  
247 Plain of North Carolina (Figure 1, Panels B and D; Figure 2, Panels B and D). Counties with low  
248 vaccination rates were also located throughout Tennessee, Kentucky, Georgia, and Virginia as  
249 well as metro areas around Las Vegas, Nevada; Phoenix, Arizona; Los Angeles, California; and  
250 Chicago, Illinois.

251 The observed patterns of vaccine use were consistent across seasons for short- and long-stay  
252 populations, but county-specific RSVRs varied from 2013-2014 to 2014-2015 (Figure 1, Figure  
253 2). Among the long-stay population, three counties, Valencia, New Mexico; Pittsylvania,  
254 Virginia; and Perquimans, North Carolina, had a difference of greater than 20 percentage points  
255 in RSVRs between seasons (Figure 1, Panel D; Figure 2, Panel D). In the short-stay population,  
256 differences of 20 percentage points or more occurred in 135 counties distributed across parts of

257 Georgia, North Carolina, Mississippi, Illinois, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Texas (Figure 1, Panel  
258 B; Figure 2, Panel B).

### 259 **Multivariable Analyses**

260 Among aggregate resident-level predictors, higher average age at index, higher proportion of  
261 Black resident admissions, and higher percentage of female resident admissions were associated  
262 with increases in RSVRs among all subcohorts (Table 3, Supplementary Table S2). Higher  
263 percentage of Hispanic resident admissions was associated with decreased RSVRs in all  
264 subcohorts (SS13-14: -2.07%, SS14-15: -1.95%, LS13-14: -1.41%, LS14-15: -1.36%). Higher  
265 percentage of White resident admissions was associated with increased RSVRs in short-stay  
266 (SS13-14: 1.86%, SS14-15: 2.96%) but decreases in long-stay (SS13-14: -0.25%, SS14-15: -  
267 0.13%), while higher average functional status was associated with increases in long-stay but  
268 decreases in short-stay.

269 Non-modifiable NH-level predictors that were associated with increases in RSVRs included  
270 higher percentage of Medicaid residents (SS13-14: 0.19%, SS14-15: -0.87%, LS13-14: 1.11%,  
271 LS14-15: 1.73%), higher percentage of other non-Medicare non-Medicaid payer residents,  
272 higher average daily census counts, and counties classified as rural settings. Non-modifiable NH-  
273 level predictors associated with decreases in RSVRs included higher percentage of NHs part of  
274 multi-facility corporations within the county sample (SS13-14: -0.82%, SS14-15: -2.11%, LS13-  
275 14: -0.80%, LS14-15: -0.94%), higher occupancy rates, higher percentage of Medicare residents,  
276 higher admissions per bed, higher average acuity index, and higher percentage of for-profit NHs  
277 within the county. Higher total bed count was associated with increased RSVRs in the short-stay  
278 population but decreased RSVRs in the long-stay population.

279 Modifiable NH-level predictors that were associated with higher RSVRs included higher RN to  
280 total nurse ratio (SS13-14: 3.05%, SS14-15: 2.20%, LS13-14: 0.04%, LS14-15: -0.30%), higher  
281 total LPN hours, higher total SLP FTEs, and higher total social worker FTEs/100 beds.  
282 Decreases in RSVRs were found to be associated with higher average rate of hospitalizations per  
283 resident-year (SS13-14: -1.59%, SS14-15: -1.35%, LS13-14: 0.04%, LS14-15: -0.08%), higher  
284 percentage of residents who had a pressure ulcer, higher percentage of residents who were  
285 restrained, higher total CNA hours, higher CNA to total nurse ratio, and higher total physician  
286 extender FTE/100 beds. Higher total nursing hours hours/resident/day was associated with  
287 increased RSVRs the short-stay 2013-2014 and long-stay 2014-2015 subcohorts but decreases in  
288 the long-stay 2013-2014 and short-stay 2014-2015 subcohorts.

### 289 **Stability Analysis**

290 The stability analysis (Supplementary Table S3) produced results that were consistent with the  
291 main findings. While excluded counties were predominately excluded in analyses of the short-  
292 stay subcohorts, there was no significant difference in the median RSVR or geographic variation  
293 across counties. Our findings were also consistent when using Huber-White standard errors for  
294 our multivariable linear regression models.

295 **DISCUSSION**

296 In this large national retrospective cohort study of NH residents from 2013 to 2015, we found  
297 notable geographic variation in vaccination rates for both the short- and long-stay populations.  
298 The foundational evidence we provide can inform strategic efforts at the state- and county-levels  
299 to improve the health outcomes of NH residents by increasing influenza vaccination. Our  
300 findings also reveal that crude estimates of vaccination rates were optimistic, and that accounting  
301 for differences in resident-level characteristics across counties changed inferences about which  
302 counties might be the best targets for NH quality improvement interventions.

303 The geospatial variation in vaccination rates that we documented among older adults in NHs is  
304 similar to that observed for the general population.<sup>29</sup> It is also generally consistent with  
305 previously documented state-level variation in vaccination among NH residents.<sup>9,10</sup> Our results  
306 related to the drivers of vaccination in NHs concord with prior work establishing that vaccination  
307 rates are higher in NHs with better staffing.<sup>24,30,31</sup> We found that increased RN to total nurse  
308 ratio, LPN hours per resident day, social worker FTEs per 100 beds, and total SLP FTEs at the  
309 county-level were associated with increased RSVRs. Our findings also agree with other studies  
310 of important drivers of the associations between vaccination rates and non-modifiable NH-level  
311 predictors. NHs in rural counties, NHs operated by the government or non-profit organizations,  
312 NHs not affiliated with multi-facility corporations, and NHs with fewer Medicare residents all  
313 had higher vaccination rates.<sup>13,14</sup>

314 While prior studies have described state-level NH resident vaccination rates, our findings reveal  
315 new patterns of heterogeneity in vaccination rates at the county-level. High- and low-vaccinating  
316 counties were identified in most states such that, for example, subregions of high-vaccinating

317 counties exist in low-vaccinating states. Examples of such subregions are those surrounding  
318 Tallahassee, Florida, and counties between San Antonio and Austin, Texas. Among states in the  
319 middle distribution of state-level variation in vaccination among NH residents, more  
320 heterogeneity across counties was observed. South Carolina, ranked in the middle quintile for  
321 resident vaccination,<sup>9,10</sup> contains counties with high RSVRs in the westernmost regions of the  
322 state, and counties with lower RSVRs among coastal communities. More studies are needed to  
323 understand the determinants increased heterogeneity among vaccination rates at the county-level  
324 within states.

### 325 **Limitations**

326 The findings of this study must be interpreted in light of several limitations.

327 First, while observed patterns of NH geospatial vaccine use were comparable between seasons, it  
328 is unknown if these patterns are generalizable to the 2015-2016 season and after. Resident  
329 influenza vaccine use may have increased over time, but it is unclear if use has continued to  
330 increase at the same rate across states and counties.<sup>32</sup> Since analyses were limited to Medicare  
331 beneficiaries aged 65 years or older, the results may also not generalize to younger individuals  
332 with disabilities who reside in NHs. However, individuals aged 65 years or older represent the  
333 majority of residents.<sup>33</sup>

334 Second, some counties have a higher number of total NH residents, which influences the  
335 precision of risk-standardized vaccination rates across counties. Our two stability analyses,  
336 which restricted to counties with a minimum of five or seven NH residents, respectively,  
337 provided evidence that this may not be a major concern because inferences were generally  
338 unaffected.

339 Third, we identified several county-level predictors that may drive the observed patterns of intra-  
340 state variation, however, other potential drivers such as hospital-NH relationships, county-level  
341 incidence of influenza, and county-level NH-staff vaccination rates may also influence local  
342 vaccine use.<sup>8,24</sup> Staff factors are likely to be particularly important. Staff vaccination can not only  
343 lessen severity of illness and prevent spread through herd-immunity, but also prevent  
344 absenteeism in the presence of an outbreak.<sup>5,34</sup> Current CMS regulations include requirements  
345 for offering residents vaccination, but state public health laws also exist and may consist of  
346 assessment requirements, administrative requirements for offering vaccination, and  
347 administrative requirements to ensure vaccination of both NH residents and staff.<sup>35,36</sup> Arkansas, a  
348 state with many high performing counties, and Florida, a state with many low performing  
349 counties, had similar influenza vaccination laws for residents, but Florida had no existing state  
350 law for NH staff vaccination.<sup>36</sup>

351 Finally, the associations we estimate between county-level predictors and vaccination are not  
352 estimates of causal effects and should not be interpreted as such. Additional work would be  
353 necessary for causal inference.

## 354 **Conclusions and Implications**

355 Our results can be used to deploy quality improvement and other interventions to improve  
356 influenza vaccination rates in NHs at the county level. Current interventions that might be  
357 deployed using our findings include The Immunization Champions, Advocates and Mentors  
358 Program (ICAMP), which is a multidisciplinary program for healthcare professionals who are  
359 committed to improving vaccination rates.<sup>37</sup> Others certainly also exist. In order to disseminate  
360 ICAMP or other quality improvement interventions, qualitative research exploring care

361 processes and practices in both the highest RSVR and lowest RSVR counties is one of several  
362 ideal next steps towards further uncovering why differences exist between high and low  
363 performing counties. While some successful local interventions to improve NH resident  
364 vaccination have been previously described,<sup>38</sup> an additional understanding of which practices  
365 work well in which settings can likely spur progress toward further reducing the disparities that  
366 exist between counties. Finally, our findings may also be relevant for guiding the distribution and  
367 uptake of COVID-19 and other vaccines if similar geographic patterns exist, though future work  
368 should be conducted.

369 In summary, wide county-level geographic variation in influenza vaccine use among long-stay  
370 and short-stay NH residents was observed in this study. In combination with information about  
371 the geospatial variation in influenza in NHs, this information about the geospatial variation in  
372 vaccine use should be used by local public health authorities and clinicians to target interventions  
373 to improve vaccine use to counties with both high rates of infection and low rates of vaccine use  
374 in their NHs. The results can also be used to identify areas that are in need of other interventions  
375 to reduce infections, such as better infection preventionist staffing models. Additional research is  
376 warranted to further elucidate the sources of geographic variation in vaccine use and support  
377 improved health outcomes of NH residents.

378

379 **REFERENCES**

- 380 1. Childs A, Zullo AR, Joyce NR, et al. The burden of respiratory infections among older  
381 adults in long-term care: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatr.* 2019;19(1):210.
- 382 2. Moyo P, Zullo AR, McConeghy KW, et al. Risk factors for pneumonia and influenza  
383 hospitalizations in long-term care facility residents: a retrospective cohort study. *BMC*  
384 *Geriatr.* 2020;20(1):47.
- 385 3. Andrew M, Searle SD, McElhaney JE, et al. COVID-19, frailty and long-term care:  
386 Implications for policy and practice. *Journal of infection in developing countries.*  
387 2020;14(5):428-432.
- 388 4. Kostova D, Reed C, Finelli L, et al. Influenza Illness and Hospitalizations Averted by  
389 Influenza Vaccination in the United States, 2005-2011. *PloS one.* 2013;8(6):e66312.
- 390 5. Lansbury LE, Brown CS, Nguyen-Van-Tam JS. Influenza in long-term care facilities.  
391 *Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses.* 2017;11(5):356-366.
- 392 6. IID-12.8 Increase the percentage of institutionalized adults aged 18 years and older in  
393 long-term or nursing homes who are vaccinated annually against seasonal influenza. In:  
394 2020 HP, ed. Washington, D.C.: Department of Health and Human Services, Office of  
395 Disease Prevention and Health Promotion.
- 396 7. Bardenheier BH, Wortley P, Ahmed F, Hales C, Shefer A. Influenza immunization  
397 coverage among residents of long-term care facilities certified by CMS, 2005-2006: the  
398 newest MDs quality indicator. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association.*  
399 2010;11(1):59-69.

- 400 8. Bosco E, Zullo AR, McConeghy KW, et al. Geographic Variation in Pneumonia and  
401 Influenza in Long-Term Care Facilities: A National Study. *Clinical infectious diseases* :  
402 *an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. 2020.
- 403 9. Influenza vaccination coverage among adults  $\geq 18$  years living in nursing homes by State,  
404 HHS Region, and the United States, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)  
405 Minimum Data Set (MDS), 2013-14 influenza season. In. Atlanta, GA: National Center  
406 for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases.
- 407 10. Influenza vaccination coverage among adults  $\geq 18$  years living in nursing homes by State,  
408 HHS Region, and the United States, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)  
409 Minimum Data Set (MDS), 2014-15 influenza season. In. Atlanta, GA: National Center  
410 for Immunizations and Respiratory Diseases.
- 411 11. Bardenheier B, Shefer A, McKibben L, Roberts H, Bratzler D. Characteristics of long-  
412 term-care facility residents associated with receipt of influenza and pneumococcal  
413 vaccinations. *Infection control and hospital epidemiology*. 2004;25(11):946-954.
- 414 12. Bardenheier BH, Shefer A, McKibben L, Roberts H, Rhew D, Bratzler D. Factors  
415 predictive of increased influenza and pneumococcal vaccination coverage in long-term  
416 care facilities: the CMS-CDC standing orders program Project. *Journal of the American  
417 Medical Directors Association*. 2005;6(5):291-299.
- 418 13. Travers JL, Stone PW, Bjarnadottir RI, Pogorzelska-Maziarz M, Castle NG, Herzig CT.  
419 Factors associated with resident influenza vaccination in a national sample of nursing  
420 homes. *American journal of infection control*. 2016;44(9):1055-1057.
- 421 14. Pu Y, Dolar V, Gucwa AL. A comparative analysis of vaccine administration in urban  
422 and non-urban skilled nursing facilities. *BMC Geriatrics*. 2016;16(1):148.

- 423 15. Crespy SD, Van Haitma K, Kleban M, Hann CJ. Reducing Depressive Symptoms in  
424 Nursing Home Residents: Evaluation of the Pennsylvania Depression Collaborative  
425 Quality Improvement Program. *Journal for healthcare quality : official publication of the*  
426 *National Association for Healthcare Quality*. 2016;38(6):e76-e88.
- 427 16. Straker JK, Bailer AJ. A review and characterization of the MDS process in nursing  
428 homes. *Journal of gerontological nursing*. 2008;34(10):36-44.
- 429 17. Long-Term Care Facility Resident Assessment Instrument 3.0 User's Manual. In:2019.
- 430 18. Intrator O, Hiris J, Berg K, Miller SC, Mor V. The residential history file: studying  
431 nursing home residents' long-term care histories(\*). *Health services research*. 2011;46(1  
432 Pt 1):120-137.
- 433 19. Feng Z, Katz PR, Intrator O, Karuza J, Mor V. Physician and nurse staffing in nursing  
434 homes: the role and limitations of the Online Survey Certification and Reporting  
435 (OSCAR) system. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2005;6(1):27-  
436 33.
- 437 20. Mor V. Shaping Long Term Care in America Project at Brown University. In.
- 438 21. Bardenheier BH, Baier RR, Silva JB, et al. Persistence of Racial Inequities in Receipt of  
439 Influenza Vaccination among Nursing Home Residents in the United States. *Clinical*  
440 *Infectious Diseases*. 2020.
- 441 22. Bardenheier BH, Zullo AR, Jutkowitz E, Gravenstein S. Characteristics of Repeated  
442 Influenza Vaccination Among Older U.S. Adults. *American journal of preventive*  
443 *medicine*. 2020;59(5):e179-e188.

- 444 23. Travers JL, Schroeder KL, Blaylock TE, Stone PW. Racial/Ethnic Disparities in  
445 Influenza and Pneumococcal Vaccinations Among Nursing Home Residents: A  
446 Systematic Review. *The Gerontologist*. 2018;58(4):e205-e217.
- 447 24. Bosco E, Zullo AR, McConeghy KW, et al. Long-term Care Facility Variation in the  
448 Incidence of Pneumonia and Influenza. *Open forum infectious diseases*.  
449 2019;6(6):ofz230.
- 450 25. Gozalo PL, Pop-Vicas A, Feng Z, Gravenstein S, Mor V. Effect of influenza on  
451 functional decline. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2012;60(7):1260-1267.
- 452 26. Morris JN, Fries BE, Morris SA. Scaling ADLs within the MDS. *The journals of*  
453 *gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*. 1999;54(11):M546-553.
- 454 27. Cowles C. Nursing Home Statistical Yearbook (2015). *Cowles Research Group* 2015.
- 455 28. Krumholz HM, Lin Z, Drye EE, et al. An administrative claims measure suitable for  
456 profiling hospital performance based on 30-day all-cause readmission rates among  
457 patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation Cardiovascular quality and*  
458 *outcomes*. 2011;4(2):243-252.
- 459 29. 2013-14 Influenza Season Vaccination Coverage Report. In: Diseases NCfIaR, ed.  
460 Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2015.
- 461 30. Bowlis JR. Staffing ratios and quality: an analysis of minimum direct care staffing  
462 requirements for nursing homes. *Health services research*. 2011;46(5):1495-1516.
- 463 31. Konetzka RT, Stearns SC, Park J. The staffing-outcomes relationship in nursing homes.  
464 *Health services research*. 2008;43(3):1025-1042.

- 465 32. Black CL, Williams WW, Arbeloa I, et al. Trends in Influenza and Pneumococcal  
466 Vaccination Among US Nursing Home Residents, 2006-2014. *Journal of the American*  
467 *Medical Directors Association*. 2017;18(8):735.e731-735.e714.
- 468 33. Harris-Kojetin L, Sengupta M, Park-Lee E, et al. Long-Term Care Providers and services  
469 users in the United States: data from the National Study of Long-Term Care Providers,  
470 2013-2014. *Vital & health statistics Series 3, Analytical and epidemiological studies*.  
471 2016(38):x-xii; 1-105.
- 472 34. Hayward AC, Harling R, Wetten S, et al. Effectiveness of an influenza vaccine  
473 programme for care home staff to prevent death, morbidity, and health service use among  
474 residents: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2006;333(7581):1241.
- 475 35. 42 CFR § 483.80 - Infection control. In: Services CfMM, ed.
- 476 36. Menu of State Long-Term Care Facility Influenza Vaccination Laws. In: Office for State  
477 T, Local and Territorial Support, ed2017.
- 478 37. Resnick B, Carrico R, Gravenstein S, et al. Dissemination and implementation of the  
479 ICAMP. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*. 2018;8(6):867-875.
- 480 38. Nace DA, Perera S, Handler SM, Muder R, Hoffman EL. Increasing influenza and  
481 pneumococcal immunization rates in a nursing home network. *Journal of the American*  
482 *Medical Directors Association*. 2011;12(9):678-684.
- 483

**Table 1. County-level NH Predictors for Short-Stay and Long-Stay Residents, Stratified by Influenza Season, N=2,798 counties.**

| Predictor                            | 2013-2014 Season        |                        | 2014-2015 Season        |                        |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
|                                      | Short-Stay<br>(N=2,740) | Long-Stay<br>(N=2,786) | Short-Stay<br>(N=2,758) | Long-Stay<br>(N=2,781) |
| <b>Aggregate Resident Predictors</b> |                         |                        |                         |                        |
| Age at index date, years             | 79.8 ± 2.8              | 83.9 ± 2.1             | 80.3 ± 2.8              | 83.8 ± 2.1             |
| % Female (all admissions)            | 69.1 ± 7.2              | 68.6 ± 7.3             | 68.9 ± 7.5              | 68.5 ± 7.4             |
| % White (all admissions)             | 86.1 ± 16.9             | 85.3 ± 17.2            | 86.1 ± 17.0             | 85.3 ± 17.3            |
| % Black (all admissions)             | 7.8 ± 13.0              | 8.0 ± 13.2             | 7.9 ± 13.1              | 8.0 ± 13.2             |
| % Hispanic (all admissions)          | 2.6 ± 9.5               | 2.6 ± 8.9              | 2.6 ± 9.4               | 2.6 ± 8.9              |
| ADL*                                 | 16.1 ± 6.3              | 16.2 ± 6.5             | 16.1 ± 6.3              | 16.2 ± 6.4             |
| <b>Non-Modifiable NH Predictors</b>  |                         |                        |                         |                        |
| Average Daily Census                 | 76.8 ± 30.6             | 75.6 ± 30.5            | 76.4 ± 30.5             | 75.5 ± 30.3            |
| Occupancy Rate                       | 80.5 ± 12.3             | 80.2 ± 12.7            | 80.5 ± 12.3             | 80.4 ± 12.5            |
| Total Beds                           | 97.0 ± 34.0             | 96.0 ± 34.0            | 97.0 ± 34.0             | 96.0 ± 34.0            |
| % Medicare                           | 13.1 ± 7.3              | 12.8 ± 7.2             | 12.8 ± 7.1              | 12.5 ± 6.9             |
| % Medicaid                           | 63.5 ± 13.1             | 63.4 ± 13.4            | 63.9 ± 12.9             | 63.9 ± 13.2            |
| % pay other                          | 23.4 ± 12.8             | 23.8 ± 13.0            | 23.4 ± 12.8             | 23.7 ± 12.9            |
| Admissions per bed                   | 1.6 ± 1.1               | 1.6 ± 0.8              | 1.5 ± 1.1               | 1.5 ± 0.8              |
| Average Acuity Index                 | 11.9 ± 1.1              | 12.0 ± 1.0             | 11.9 ± 1.2              | 12.0 ± 1.0             |
| % for Profit                         | 71.5 ± 34.4             | 70.8 ± 34.9            | 71.3 ± 34.6             | 70.7 ± 34.8            |
| % Multi-facility corporation         | 58.4 ± 37.1             | 57.6 ± 37.0            | 59.0 ± 37.1             | 58.3 ± 37.1            |
| % Urban                              | 1,039 (38.0%)           | 1,042 (37.4%)          | 1,040 (37.7%)           | 1,041 (37.4%)          |
| <b>Modifiable NH Predictors</b>      |                         |                        |                         |                        |
| CNA to nurse ratio                   | 2.1 ± 0.5               | 2.0 ± 0.5              | 2.1 ± 0.5               | 2.0 ± 0.5              |
| RN to total nurse ratio              | 0.3 ± 0.2               | 0.3 ± 0.2              | 0.3 ± 0.2               | 0.3 ± 0.2              |
| Total nursing hours per resident day | 3.9 ± 1.8               | 3.8 ± 1.4              | 3.8 ± 1.8               | 3.8 ± 1.3              |
| Hospitalizations per resident year   | 1.0 ± 0.5               | 1.0 ± 0.5              | 1.0 ± 0.5               | 1.0 ± 0.5              |
| CNA hours per resident day           | 2.3 ± 0.5               | 2.3 ± 0.5              | 2.3 ± 0.5               | 2.3 ± 0.5              |
| LPN hours per resident day           | 0.8 ± 0.3               | 0.8 ± 0.3              | 0.8 ± 0.3               | 0.8 ± 0.3              |

|  |               |               |               |               |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Total physician extender FTEs/100 beds | 0.1 ± 0.2     | 0.1 ± 0.2     | 0.1 ± 0.2     | 0.1 ± .02     |
| SLP total staff FTE                    | 335.0 ± 748.4 | 318.0 ± 667.9 | 343.8 ± 760.0 | 323.1 ± 669.3 |
| Social worker on staff/100 beds        | 1.0 ± 0.8     | 1.0 ± 0.9     | 1.0 ± 0.8     | 1.0 ± .09     |
| Pressure ulcers                        | 5.7 ± 3.1     | 5.8 ± 3.2     | 5.7 ± 3.1     | 5.7 ± 3.2     |
| Restraints                             | 2.6 ± 5.0     | 2.1 ± 4.1     | 2.7 ± 5.2     | 2.1 ± 4.0     |

**Note:** The data are presented as either mean (standard deviation) or count (%).

**Abbreviations:** NH, nursing home; SD, standard deviation; CNA, certified nursing assistant; RN, registered nurse; LPN, licensed practical nurse; FTE, full-time equivalent; ADL, activities of daily living.

\*Functional status was assessed by calculating the 28-point Morris Activities of Daily Living (ADL) Scale.<sup>26</sup>

**Table 2. Crude and Risk-Standardized County-Level Influenza Vaccination Rates of Short-Stay and Long-Stay Residents, Stratified by Season, N=2,798 counties.**


|                              | 2013-2014 Season        |                        | 2014-2015 Season        |                        |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
|                              | Short-Stay<br>(N=2,740) | Long-Stay<br>(N=2,786) | Short-Stay<br>(N=2,758) | Long-Stay<br>(N=2,781) |
| Crude Assessed and Provided* | 92.0 (84.6, 97.2)       | 97.6 (95.7, 99.1)      | 91.4 (83.5, 96.2)       | 97.3 (95.2, 98.9)      |
| Crude Declined               | 13.9 (7.0, 22.2)        | 9.1 (6.3, 12.8)        | 14.0 (7.7, 22.1)        | 9.6 (6.6, 13.5)        |
| Crude Contraindicated        | 0 (0, 1.3)              | 0.8 (0, 1.5)           | 0.0 (0, 1.3)            | 0.8 (0, 1.5)           |
| Crude Did Not Offer          | 0 (0, 3.1)              | 0 (0, 1.0)             | 0.3 (0, 3.1)            | 0 (0, 1.1)             |
| Crude Vaccinated             | 73.2 (61.3, 81.8)       | 86.8 (82.0, 90.5)      | 72.7 (60.9, 81.2)       | 85.7 (81.0, 93.0)      |
| Risk-Standardized Vaccinated | 69.6 (62.8, 74.5)       | 84.0 (80.8, 86.4)      | 69.1 (62.0, 74.1)       | 83.1 (79.7, 85.7)      |

**Note:** The data are presented as median (interquartile range).


\*Assessed and Provided is an additive measure that takes into account those who were vaccinated, declined vaccination, or who had a contraindication to receiving the vaccine.

**Figure 1. Crude and Risk-Standardized County-Level Vaccination Rates among Short-Stay and Long-Stay Nursing Home Residents during 2013-2014 Influenza Season, N=2,788 counties.**


A) Short-stay, crude




B) Short-stay, risk-adjusted



C) Long-stay, crude




D) Long-stay, risk-adjusted




**Figure 2. Crude and Risk-Standardized County-Level Vaccination Rates among Short-Stay and Long-Stay Nursing Home Residents during 2014-2015 Influenza Season, N=2,783 counties.**


A) Short-stay, crude




B) Short-stay, risk-adjusted



C) Long-stay, crude



D) Long-stay, risk-adjusted



**Table 3. Multivariable Linear Regression Analyses to Identify Factors Associated with County-level Risk-Standardized Influenza Vaccination Rates Among Short-Stay and Long-Stay NH Residents, Stratified by Season, N=2,798 counties.**

| Predictors                                | 2013-2014 Season                               |                        | 2014-2015 Season                               |                        |
|---|--|------------------------|--|------------------------|
|   | Short-Stay<br>(N=2,740)                        | Long-Stay<br>(N=2,786) | Short-Stay<br>(N=2,758)                        | Long-Stay<br>(N=2,781) |
|   | Percentage Change (95%<br>Confidence Interval) |                        | Percentage Change (95%<br>Confidence Interval) |                        |
| <b>Aggregate Resident Characteristics</b> |  |                        |  |                        |
| Age at index date, years                  |  |                        |  |                        |
| Middle Tertile                            | -0.11% (-1.10 - 0.88)                          | 0.60% (0.13 - 1.10)    | 0.93% (-0.13 - 2.00)                           | 0.74% (0.23 - 1.20)    |
| Highest Tertile                           | 1.05% (-0.011 - 2.10)                          | 1.51% (0.92 - 2.10)    | 1.05% (-0.13 - 2.20)                           | 1.89% (1.20 - 2.50)    |
| % Female                                  |  |                        |  |                        |
| Middle Tertile                            | 0.20% (-0.80 - 1.20)                           | 0.13% (-0.33 - 0.59)   | -0.37% (-1.40 - 0.70)                          | 0.31% (-0.19 - 0.81)   |
| Highest Tertile                           | 0.46% (-0.59 - 1.50)                           | 0.47% (-0.02 - 0.96)   | 0.14% (-0.99 - 1.30)                           | 0.36% (-0.19 - 0.90)   |
| % White (all admits)                      |  |                        |  |                        |
| Middle Tertile                            | 2.02% (0.84 - 3.20)                            | 0.25% (-0.27 - 0.76)   | 2.37% (1.10 - 3.60)                            | 0.30% (-0.27 - 0.86)   |
| Highest Tertile                           | 1.86% (0.36 - 3.40)                            | -0.25% (-0.91 - -0.41) | 2.96% (1.30 - 4.60)                            | -0.13% (-0.85 - 0.58)  |
| % Black (all admits)                      |  |                        |  |                        |
| Middle Tertile                            | 0.92% (-0.22 - 2.10)                           | 0.09% (-0.42 - 0.60)   | 0.92% (-0.31 - 2.10)                           | 0.34% (-0.22 - 0.90)   |
| Highest Tertile                           | 1.61% (0.09 - 3.10)                            | 0.37% (-0.32 - 1.10)   | 1.95% (0.36 - 3.60)                            | 0.62% (-0.12 - 1.40)   |
| % Hispanic (all admits)                   |  |                        |  |                        |
| Middle Tertile                            | 0.99% (-0.13 - 2.10)                           | -0.07% (-0.57 - -0.43) | 1.07% (-0.12 - 2.30)                           | -0.13% (-0.68 - 0.41)  |
| Highest Tertile                           | -2.07% (-3.10 - -1.00)                         | -1.41% (-1.90 - -0.93) | -1.95% (-3.10 - -0.80)                         | -1.36% (-1.90 - -0.83) |
| ADL                                       |  |                        |  |                        |
| Middle Tertile                            | 0.13% (-0.85 - 1.10)                           | -0.12% (-0.56 - -0.32) | 0.71% (-0.33 - 1.80)                           | <0.01 (-0.48 - 0.48)   |
| Highest Tertile                           | -1.01% (-2.00 - -0.03)                         | 0.05% (-0.39 - 0.49)   | -0.23% (-1.30 - 0.82)                          | 0.04% (-0.44 - 0.53)   |
| <b>Non-Modifiable NH Characteristics</b>  |  |                        |  |                        |
| Average Daily Census                      |  |                        |  |                        |
| Middle Tertile                            | 0.31% (-1.10 - 1.70)                           | 0.10% (-0.51 - 0.70)   | -0.20% (-1.70 - 1.30)                          | 0.27% (-0.40 - 0.93)   |
| Highest Tertile                           | 0.12% (-1.80 - 2.10)                           | 0.02% (-0.84 - 0.89)   | -0.38% (-2.50 - 1.70)                          | 0.57% (-0.38 - 1.50)   |

|                                     |                       |                        |                       |                       |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Occupancy Rate</b>               |                       |                        |                       |                       |
| Middle Tertile                      | -1.03% (-2.10 – 0.08) | -0.08% (-0.60 – -0.43) | -0.28% (-1.50 – 0.91) | 0.01% (-0.55 – 0.57)  |
| Highest Tertile                     | -0.77% (-2.00 – 0.46) | -0.28% (-0.84 – -0.29) | -0.22% (-1.50 – 1.10) | 0.08% (-0.53 – 0.69)  |
| <b>Total Beds</b>                   |                       |                        |                       |                       |
| Middle Tertile                      | 0.57% (-0.80 – 1.90)  | -0.15% (-0.77 – -0.46) | 0.33% (-1.10 – 1.80)  | -0.22% (-0.89 – 0.45) |
| Highest Tertile                     | 0.35% (-1.50 – 2.20)  | -0.51% (-1.30 – -0.31) | 0.65% (-1.30 – 2.60)  | -0.94% (-1.80 – 0.04) |
| <b>% Medicare</b>                   |                       |                        |                       |                       |
| Middle Tertile                      | 0.10% (-1.00 – 1.20)  | 0.24% (-0.26 – 0.74)   | -0.96% (-2.10 – 0.22) | 0.32% (-0.22 – 0.87)  |
| Highest Tertile                     | -0.17% (-1.60 – 1.30) | -0.40% (-1.10 – -0.24) | -1.64% (-3.20 – 0.09) | -0.14% (-0.85 – 0.56) |
| <b>% Medicaid</b>                   |                       |                        |                       |                       |
| Middle Tertile                      | 0.02% (-1.20 – 1.30)  | 0.85% (0.28 – 1.40)    | -0.39% (-1.70 – 0.96) | 1.25% (0.62 – 1.90)   |
| Highest Tertile                     | 0.19% (-1.70 – 2.00)  | 1.11% (0.25 – 2.00)    | -0.87% (-2.90 – 1.10) | 1.73% (0.78 – 2.70)   |
| <b>% Pay Other</b>                  |                       |                        |                       |                       |
| Middle Tertile                      | 0.86% (-0.40 – 2.10)  | -0.13% (-0.73 – -0.46) | -0.23% (-1.60 – 1.10) | -0.04% (-0.70 – 0.62) |
| Highest Tertile                     | 0.29% (-1.60 – 2.10)  | 0.70% (-0.16 – 1.60)   | -0.86% (-2.90 – 1.12) | 1.11% (0.17 – 2.10)   |
| <b>Admissions per bed</b>           |                       |                        |                       |                       |
| Middle Tertile                      | -1.26% (-2.40 – 0.13) | -0.54% (-1.10 – -0.02) | 0.41% (-0.81 – 1.60)  | -0.69% (-1.30 – 0.12) |
| Highest Tertile                     | -0.90% (-2.40 – 0.61) | -1.72% (-2.40 – -1.00) | 0.54% (-1.10 – 2.20)  | -1.87% (-2.60 – 1.10) |
| <b>Average Acuity Index</b>         |                       |                        |                       |                       |
| Middle Tertile                      | -0.77% (-1.80 – 0.31) | -0.22% (-0.71 – -0.27) | -0.33% (-1.50 – 0.82) | -0.04% (-0.58 – 0.50) |
| Highest Tertile                     | -0.86% (-2.10 – 0.35) | -0.41% (-0.97 – -0.14) | -0.53% (-1.80 – 0.78) | -0.24% (-0.86 – 0.37) |
| <b>% for Profit</b>                 |                       |                        |                       |                       |
| Middle Tertile                      | -1.76% (-2.90 – 0.60) | -1.10% (-1.60 – -0.57) | -0.54% (-1.80 – 0.70) | -0.67% (-1.20 – 0.10) |
| Highest Tertile                     | -1.15% (-2.20 – 0.08) | -1.10% (-1.60 – -0.62) | -0.67% (-1.80 – 0.47) | -0.68% (-1.20 – 0.16) |
| <b>% Multi-facility corporation</b> |                       |                        |                       |                       |
| Middle Tertile                      | -1.47% (-2.4 – 0.45)  | -0.75% (-1.20 – -0.29) | -1.49% (-2.60 – 0.40) | -0.76% (-1.30 – 0.25) |
| Highest Tertile                     | -0.82% (-1.91 – 0.27) | -0.80% (-1.30 – -0.30) | -2.11% (-3.30 – 0.08) | -0.94% (-1.5 – 0.62)  |

|  |                       |                        |                       |                        |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
|  | 0.28)                 | - -0.31)               | 0.94)                 | 0.40)                  |
| Urban<br>(reference=urban)               |                       |                        |                       |                        |
| Rural                                    | 0.53% (-0.41 – 1.50)  | 0.12% (-0.31 – 0.55)   | -0.24% (-1.30 – 0.78) | 0.25% (-0.22 – 0.73)   |
| <b>Modifiable NH Characteristics</b>     |                       |                        |                       |                        |
| CNA to Nurse ratio                       |                       |                        |                       |                        |
| Middle Tertile                           | -0.44% (-1.50 – 0.64) | 0.01% (-0.48 – 0.49)   | -0.92% (-2.10 – 0.24) | -0.24% (-0.77 – 0.30)  |
| Highest Tertile                          | -0.14% (-1.50 – 1.20) | 0.05% (-0.57 – 0.67)   | -0.74% (-2.20 – 0.72) | -0.21% (-0.89 – 0.47)  |
| RN to total nurse ratio                  |                       |                        |                       |                        |
| Middle Tertile                           | 0.70% (-0.43 – 1.80)  | -0.23% (-0.75 – -0.29) | -0.38% (-1.60 – 0.83) | -0.75% (-1.30 – -0.19) |
| Highest Tertile                          | 3.05% (1.50 – 4.60)   | 0.04% (-0.68 – 0.76)   | 2.20% (0.50 – 3.90)   | -0.30% (-1.10 – 0.48)  |
| Total nursing hours per resident day     |                       |                        |                       |                        |
| Middle Tertile                           | 1.80% (0.38 – 3.21)   | -0.15% (-0.77 – -0.47) | -0.80% (-2.30 – 0.70) | -0.10% (-0.79 – 0.59)  |
| Highest Tertile                          | 0.95% (-1.00 – 2.90)  | -0.54% (-1.40 – -0.32) | -1.32% (-3.40 – 0.78) | 0.31% (-0.64 – 1.30)   |
| Hospitalizations, per resident, per year |                       |                        |                       |                        |
| Middle Tertile                           | -0.55% (-1.60 – 0.52) | 0.11% (-0.38 – 0.59)   | -0.34% (-1.50 – 0.79) | -0.02% (-0.54 – 0.50)  |
| Highest Tertile                          | -1.59% (-2.80 – 0.42) | 0.04% (-0.50 – 0.57)   | -1.35% (-2.60 – 0.10) | -0.08% (-0.66 – 0.50)  |
| CNA hours per resident day               |                       |                        |                       |                        |
| Middle Tertile                           | 0.67% (-0.72 – 2.10)  | 0.19% (-0.42 – 0.80)   | 1.55% (0.08 – 3.02)   | 0.54% (-0.14 – 1.20)   |
| Highest Tertile                          | -0.09% (-2.10 – 1.90) | -0.08% (-0.93 – -0.78) | 1.82% (-0.30 – 3.90)  | -0.15% (-1.10 – 0.80)  |
| LPN hours per resident day               |                       |                        |                       |                        |
| Middle Tertile                           | 0.36% (-0.91 – 1.60)  | -0.06% (-0.65 – -0.52) | 1.26% (-0.11 – 2.60)  | -0.19% (-0.83 – 0.44)  |
| Highest Tertile                          | 0.18% (-1.60 – 1.90)  | 0.26% (-0.53 – 1.00)   | 0.28% (-1.60 – 2.10)  | -0.32% (-1.20 – 0.55)  |
| Total physician extender FTEs/100 beds   |                       |                        |                       |                        |
| Middle Tertile                           | -0.56% (-1.60 – 0.47) | -0.26% (-0.73 – -0.20) | -0.48% (-1.60 – 0.62) | 0.07% (-0.43 – 0.59)   |
| Highest Tertile                          | -0.40% (-1.50% –      | 0.12% (-0.38 –         | -1.01% (-2.20 –       | -0.24% (-0.79 –        |

|  |                        |                        |                       |                        |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
|  | 0.69)                  | 0.61)                  | 0.15)                 | 0.31)                  |
| <b>SLP total staff FTE</b>             |                        |                        |                       |                        |
| Middle Tertile                         | 1.66% (0.66 – 2.70)    | 0.47% (0.02 – 0.92)    | 1.02% (-0.05 – 2.10)  | 0.72% (0.23 – 1.20)    |
| Highest Tertile                        | 1.67% (0.58 – 2.80)    | 0.70% (0.20 – 1.20)    | 1.45% (0.27 – 2.60)   | 0.98% (0.43 – 1.50)    |
| <b>Social worker on staff/100 beds</b> |                        |                        |                       |                        |
| Middle Tertile                         | -1.10% (-2.10% – 0.08) | -0.41% (-0.88 – 0.06)  | -1.22% (-2.30 – 0.12) | -0.56% (-1.10 – 0.05%) |
| Highest Tertile                        | 0.93% (-0.16 – 2.00)   | 0.83% (0.33 – 1.30)    | 0.99% (-0.19 – 2.20)  | 0.44% (-0.10 – 0.98)   |
| <b>Pressure ulcers</b>                 |                        |                        |                       |                        |
| Middle Tertile                         | 0.51% (-0.51 – 1.50)   | -0.47% (-0.93 – -0.01) | -0.21% (-1.30 – 0.87) | -0.41% (-0.91 – 0.09)  |
| Highest Tertile                        | -0.28% (-1.40 – 0.82)  | -0.69% (-1.20 – -0.20) | -1.43% (-2.60 – 0.26) | -0.64% (-1.20 – 0.10)  |
| <b>Restraints</b>                      |                        |                        |                       |                        |
| Middle Tertile                         | -0.47% (-1.50 – 0.53)  | -0.05% (-0.51 – 0.42)  | -0.23% (-1.30 – 0.84) | 0.14% (-0.37 – 0.64)   |
| Highest Tertile                        | -0.67% (-1.70 – 0.36)  | -0.35% (-0.81 – 0.12)  | -0.74% (-1.80 – 0.35) | -0.13% (-0.64 – 0.38)  |

**Abbreviations:** NH, nursing home; CNA, certified nursing assistant; RN, registered nurse; LPN, licensed practical nurse; FTE, full-time equivalent; ADL, activities of daily living. Reference for all comparisons is the lowest tertile of each potential predictor.