Analysis of communities of countries with similar dynamics of the COVID-19 pandemic evolution

Emiliano Alvarez[a], Juan Gabriel Brida[a,*], Erick Limas[b], Lucia Rosich[a]
[a] Research Group in Economic Dynamics (GIDE) - Departament of Quantitative Methods, Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y de Administración - Universidad de la República (Montevideo, Uruguay)
[b] Institute for Latin American Studies and School of Business & Economics, Freie Universität Berlin

E-mails: ealvarez@ccee.edu.uy; gbrida@ccee.edu.uy; ericklimas@fu-berlin.de; lucia.rosich@iesta.edu.uy;

ORCID of the authors: Emiliano Alvarez (0000-0003-3719-2838), Juan Gabriel Brida (0000-0002-2319-5790); Erick Limas (0000-0002-8279-2405); Lucía Rosich (0000-0001-6519-0747)

* Corresponding author

Abstract: This work addresses the spread of the coronavirus through a non-parametric approach, with the aim of identifying communities of countries based on how similar their evolution of the disease is. The analysis focuses on the number of daily new COVID-19 cases per ten thousand people during a period covering at least 250 days after the confirmation of the tenth case. Dynamic analysis is performed by constructing Minimal Spanning Trees (MST) and identifying groups of similarity in contagions evolution in 95 time windows of a 150-day amplitude that moves one day at a time. The number of times countries belonged to a similar performance group in constructed time windows was the intensity measure considered. Groups' composition is not stable, indicating that the COVID-19 evolution needs to be treated as a dynamic problem in the context of complex systems. Three communities were identified by applying the Louvain algorithm. Identified communities analysis according to each country's socioeconomic characteristics and variables related to the disease sheds light on whether there is any suggested course of action. Even when strong testing and tracing cases policies may be related with a more stable dynamic of the disease, results indicate that communities are conformed by countries with diverse characteristics. The best option to counteract the harmful effects of a pandemic may be having strong health systems in place,with contingent capacity to deal with unforeseen events and available resources capable of a rapid expansion of its capacity.

Keywords: COVID-19; Hierarchical Clustering; minimal spanning trees; hierarchical trees

1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic continues to batter the world, with more than 70 million positive cases and almost two million deaths by the end of December 2020 (see Santiago et al., 2020). This study extends Alvarez et al. (2020), in which the authors analyze the evolution of the COVID-19 in a dataset of 191 countries. The paper utilizes a clustering method based on the correlation distance and a Minimal Spanning Tree (MST), from which they obtain a Hierarchical Tree (HT). The algorithm identifies three clusters, each one associated

with a different shape in the infections curve. The authors suggest that the COVID-19 propagation is a complex phenomenon whose dynamics can be better understood within the framework of the complex systems theory.

Besides the already-mentioned Alvarez et al. (2020), other authors have also employed non-parametric techniques in order to analyze the COVID-19 dynamics. Zarikas et al. (2020) analyze the set of 30 countries with the highest number of COVID-19 cases and identify four main clusters during the period between the 22nd of January 2020 and the 4th of April 2020. One of the groups is characterized by an abrupt increase in the number of active cases. A second cluster is associated with both an increase and a flattening in the curve of infections. A third group clustered the countries with the lowest number of cases and finally, the fourth group showed an abrupt increase during the last part of the period analyzed.

A K-means clustering study is presented in Chandu (2020), in which the author analyses countries with at least 1,000 COVID-19 cases (for a discussion on the clustering algorithm see Fahim (2020)). The algorithm grouped the set of countries into two clusters. It was observed that one of the clusters, integrated mainly by European countries, Australia, USA and Canada was characterized by a high fatality rate.

On the same line, Machado and Lopes (2020) analyze a data set of 79 countries following two approaches, heuristic models and hierarchical clustering. The authors point out that the emergence and propagation of COVID-19 is an example of a complex system. A consequence of this observation is that the analysis of the new Coronavirus disease requires a methodological framework that assumes the fact that the World is currently facing a rare and extreme event. As we will explain in the methodological section, in the first stage of this work we analyze the spread of the coronavirus as a complex network in which each link represents how similar the coronavirus dynamics is between any pair of countries. Additionally, network analysis is applied in order to identify the intensity of the similarity among communities.

The above-mentioned studies were carried out for different time frames and countries obtaining diverse results. This suggests that findings related to the evolution of the pandemic are sensitive to these factors. Considering that countries presented a greater variety of trajectories as the disease progressed, this study is based on analyzing the evolution of contagions from a dynamic perspective.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces data, Section 3 presents the methodology, whereas section 4 presents the empirical results. Finally, section 5 concludes.

2. Data

This study is based on the COVID-19 data of active cases per population published by "Our World in Data" (Roser et al., 2020). This source is put together with information from a variety of sources: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, government

reports, Oxford COVID-19, Government Response Tracker, World Bank - World Development Indicators, United Nations Statistics Division and Eurostat.

In particular, our main variable of interest is "new cases per million", reported by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. We compare the evolution of the disease in countries that by December 2 had data for more than 250 days after the confirmation of the tenth case. With the aim of having a balanced panel that allows to perform distance metrics, all countries' data of new cases per million are considered for the same time span. This implies that each country's COVID-19 cases are considered in a different period. For example, for China, the first country that identified the disease, the period between January 22 and September 27 2020 is considered. The country with the most recent data is Mali, which by March 27 had more than 10 confirmed cases, being analyzed until December 1st.

A seven-day moving average smoothing centered on the day of reference was made to the original data, reducing the time series to the central 244 data. Additionally, some countries were removed due to having records of negative new cases after the applied smoothing (Uganda, San Marino, Mauritius, Monaco, Lithuania, Luxemburg, Jordan and Ecuador). Moreover, Tanzania was removed due to her stopping cases report since May. Consequently, the analysis is based on 124 series of 244 observations each.

Additional information from "Our World in Data" was considered for groups' analysis. In particular, socioeconomic indicators -Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and Human Development Index (HDI)-, demographic/population density, median age, life expectancy – and others related to the pandemic – Government response, testing policy, contact tracing, etc.- were considered (see Appendix 1).

3. Methodology

Following the methodology developed by Mantegna (1999), in this study the coronavirus propagation is formulated as a network problem, where each country would be represented as a node, and the relationship between each pair of countries as a link.

3.1. Time windows networks

On a first stage, distances between the time series of new cases per million of inhabitants are calculated to construct complete adjacency matrices. Pearson's correlation is the select measure of distance, which summarizes the grade of similarity of new registered cases per million of inhabitants between countries at each considered time window. Given that Pearson's correlation is an invariant to scale measure (Aghabozorgi et al., 2015), countries that had similar shapes at their trajectories of propagation but differ in the proportion of the affected population will be considered similar and likely to cluster. Following Mantegna and Stanley (2000), Pearson's correlations between the n x n pairs of chosen countries is computed (see Equation 1) as follows

$$\rho_{ij} = \frac{\left(r_i r_j\right) - \left(r_i\right)\left(r_j\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\left(r_i^2\right) - \left(r_i\right)^2\right)\left(\left(r_j^2\right) - \left(r_j\right)^2\right)}} \qquad (1)$$

where r_i is the number of new daily cases in country i and $\langle r_i \rangle$ is the average value of r_i during the considered period. Then, the correlation matrix is built with the correlation coefficients ρ_{ij}. By definition ρ_{ij} takes values in the interval $(-1,1)$, where -1 means completely anti-correlation, 1 complete correlation and 0 that the two variables are uncorrelated. This matrix is symmetrical, with $\rho_{ij}=1$ in this main diagonal. As it is well known, the Pearson correlation coefficient (1) does not fulfill the three axioms that define a Euclidean metric. For this reason, the correlation matrix is transformed into the correlation distance matrix according to the Equation 2

$$d_{ij}=\sqrt{2(1-\rho_{ij})} \qquad (2)$$

which fulfills the three axioms of an Euclidean distance:

$i d(i,j)=0 \leftrightarrow i=j$

$ii d(i,j)=d(j,i)$

$iii d(i,j)\leq d(i,k)+d(k,j)$

Subsequently, Prim's algorithm (Prim, 1957) is applied to adjacency matrix to obtain Minimal Spanning Trees (MST). Being introduced to graph theory by Kruskal (1956) and Prim (1957), MST have been a widely used tool (Limas, 2019; Górski et al., 2008; Kwapień et al., 2009; Rešovský et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013), mainly because it simplifies network analysis by selecting the most relevant bounds. Indeed, MST are characterized for representing the core information of a complete network with n nodes by selecting the n-1 links that minimize the overall distance.

Prim's algorithm establishes a procedure in successive stages for the selection of MST links. Taking the information from a complete adjacency matrix, at each step a node is selected and incorporated to the network. The criteria is to choose, from the not connected nodes, the one that has the shortest distance to a connected one. At the end of the process all nodes (n) are connected by n-1 links in a network that has the smallest possible total length (Prim, 1957).

Subsequently, the single linkage method is applied to obtain a subordinant ultrametric distance matrix from constructed MST. This graph method is a particular agglomerative hierarchical clustering algorithm. It starts by considering all the nodes of the network as subgroups. In successive stages, the less distant subgroups are joined, the distance between the new subgroup and the rest is determined based on the nearest neighbor criteria.

Additionally, every subordinate ultrametric distance matrix can be represented by a Hierarchical Tree (HT) or dendrogram (Gan et al., 2007).Finally, the pseudo T^2 and CH cutting criteria are considered to determine the optimal number of groups, the highest number of suggested groups with a maximum of 30 is the one chosen. The described procedure is repeated for each considered time window.

3.2. Indicators of group dynamics

Four measures are considered to analyze group conformation dynamics; MST total distance, MST average path and number of identified groups, and the number of times two countries where in the same group.

Total distance (Equation 3) corresponds to the total sum of the MST links with the lowest connection cost (taking into account their respective weights). When comparing two MSTs, the one with the lower sum of links has a lower connection cost, reflecting a major coincidence in countries disease evolution.

$$Totaldistance = \sum \left(d_{ij} \in MST \right) \qquad (3)$$

In the case of analysis, average path refers to the average distance in the evolution of the disease between two countries of the considered MST.

A lower average geodesic indicates that on average, any two countries of the network had more similar evolution in COVID – 19 contagions. The geodesic between nodes i and j is the shortest path between them (Jackson, 2010). Equation 4 reflects how to calculate average distance, for which it is necessary to define geodesics concept. From all possible paths between i and j in which any node does not figure more than one time, the geodesic is the shortest. When considering the I geodesics of the MST, the i-th one is represented as $n_{i \in (1,I)}$.

$$Averagepat\,h = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{I} n_i}{I} \qquad (4)$$

The number of identified clusters in each time window is determined as the highest number of suggested groups according to pseudo $T^2$and CH cutting criteria. A smaller number of groups implies the presence of countries where the disease evolves in a similar way but that differs from how it evolves in other groups. The increase in the number of groups implies difficulties in identifying groups of countries that differ from others according to the evolution of the disease.

Finally, the number of times two countries are in the same group can provide information on groups' stability. If the identified groups are constant (two nodes are always – 95 times - or never – 0 times – in the same group), the similarity in disease evolution between countries does not change in time, and otherwise, it is dynamic.

3.3. Communities identification

Subsection 3.1 procedure is repeated in every time window, obtaining information about which countries belong to the same group. Intensity in similarity of disease evolution is estimated by the number of times two countries were in the same group. Given that 95 time windows of 150 days amplitude that move one day at a time are considered, the lowest possible intensity between two countries is 0 and the highest 95. With this information, an adjacency matrix and the corresponding network is constructed.

Community identification is done by Louvain algorithm (Blondel et al., 2008). This method aims to achieve the maximum modularity (Equation 5), that represents the difference between observed links and the expected ones by the assumption that communities structure is independent from links formation over total links. Modularity takes values between -1 and 1, with 0 meaning that communities are independent of the amount of internal links, 1 that links are only formed inside the community and -1 that links are outside the community.

$$Q=\frac{Obs-\exp}{Total}=\frac{1}{2m}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[e_{i,j}^{t}-\frac{k_i k_j}{2m}\right]\delta\left(c_i,c_j\right) \quad (5)$$

where $n$is the number of nodes in the network (124), $e_{i,j}^{t}$ the element i,j of the adjacency matrix, $k_i=\sum_{j=1}^{n}e_{i,j}^{t}$ the sum of edges related to node i, $m=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}e_{i,j}^{t}$, c_i the community of node i and $\delta\left(c_i,c_j\right)$ the Kronecker delta that takes value 1 if $c_i=c_j$ and 0 if not.

The procedure starts with n communities of one node each, which is moved to neighboring communities if it implies an increase of modularity. First, community structure is obtained when these movements do not produce a major modularity. Then the procedure is repeated considering the formed communities as nodes and the sum of edges as links. Algorithm ends when the movement of nodes between communities does not increase modularity.

3.4. Communities characterization

Communities are characterized in several aspects. In particular, socioeconomic, demographic and geographical indicators are considered. Other information related to the pandemic, such as number of deaths and taken actions are also incorporated (more specific information is provided in Appendix 1).

4. Results

This section presents the results in 3 subsections. The dynamics of the groups identified in each time span is analyzed in the first subsection. The second subsection presents the main observations of the intensity network in similarity of disease evolution and the identified communities. Finally, the third section presents the results' robustness.

4.1. Evolution of groups in time windows

Figure 1 shows the evolution of the number of identified clusters in the 95-time windows considered (purple) and the evolution of the MST total distance (orange). A wide variation in the number of groups is observed; note that the time windows move one day at a time, the maximum number of groups in each time window is 30 and the lowest number of identified groups is 3. In particular, there seems to be a cyclical behavior around a decreasing trend, with increases in the number of groups every 20 days approximately (corresponding to windows 21, 43, 58, 74 and 95). Total network distance increases in the first 20 time windows and decreases after. This means that seen as a whole, the trajectories of COVID-19 in the countries considered began to approach from the time window 20. Additionally, Figure 2 shows the evolution of networks average path (red) in comparison with the evolution of identified groups (purple). The formation of a smaller number of groups seems to be related to a major average path. To sum up, the identification of a smaller number of groups is related to moments in which the evolution of the disease was more similar between some countries and widened among others. Moreover, this reflects that evolution of the COVID–19 has been highly dynamic, changes in the interaction were fast, reflecting a high diversity of interacting trajectories that move closer to and away from each other and highlighting the importance to analyze it as a complex system.

Figure 1: Number of identified groups and MST total distance in each time window



Source: own construction based on OWID data.

Figure 2: Number of identified groups and MST average path in each time window



Source: own construction based on OWID data.

Likewise, the formation of groups of similarity in the evolution of the disease is also dynamic, since only in 5.17% of the cases the groups were static (see Figure 3). Note that most cases corresponds to a situation in which two countries are never in the same group (389 in 7626, 5,1% of the cases), while it is rare to find countries that belong to the same group in all considered time windows (5 in 7626, 0,07% of the cases).

Figure 3: Number of times two countries where in the same group per time window



Source: own construction based on OWID data.

4.2. Communities of countries by the intensity of similarity in the disease evolution.

Three communities of countries were identified from the intensity of similarity in the disease evolution network (see Appendix 2), represented in Figure 4. Community 1 is conformed by 56 countries, community 2 of 59 and community 3 has only 9 members.

Figure 4. Intensity of similarity in the disease evolution network



Source: own construction based on OWID data. Appendix 2 presents countries corresponding to each number.

As Figure 5 shows, the evolution of COVID–19 rolling 7-day average of daily new cases per million people in most of the community 1 was more constant than in the other communities. Even when individual trajectories were different between members (some had one wave, others two or more and levels are wide), the disease in these countries seems to oscillate in a smaller range in comparison to countries from the other communities. Average group trajectory presents a low positive trend. Initial spread of the disease seems to have been less abrupt than in other communities, with a more gradual increase in the number of cases in several countries. Community 2 is composed by countries in which the new confirmed cases per million had at least one phase of wide variation. Some of these countries had an important and fast increase in the number of daily cases at the beginning of infections, in others it happens during the middle of the considered time span. It is also possible to identify some countries with a slower growth in the number of cases, but with an important difference between days with small and high number of daily contagions. Inmost of the community members, at least one pronounced wave can be seen, but for some the number of daily new cases stabilizes at a high level after surge. On average, the community presents a first high and short wave of contagions, followed by a positive trend (higher than in community 1).

Average disease evolution of community 3 is the most unstable, and fluctuates around a positive trend. The first wave of contagions seems to have been less pronounced and shorter than in community 2 for most members, additionally, longer phases of increase in the number of daily cases seems to be presented. Given that average evolution presents a positive trend in all communities, the number of daily cases has not yet entered a phase of decline. These results suggest that the number of infections will continue for a while.

Figure 5. Rolling 7-day average of daily new confirmed COVID-19 cases per million people by country and community.

Community 1.



Community 2



Community 3



Source: own construction based on OWID data. Black central lines correspond to group median; high and low black lines represent the upper and lower quartiles respectively.

Despite having similarities in relation to contagion dynamics, the identified communities are different in several aspects. Considered in average, each community has very different characteristics in terms of demographic, socioeconomic and geographical indicators, actions taken in relation to COVID–19, and in the impact of the disease (measured by the number of total deaths per million of inhabitants by December 1st).

Table 1 presents communities demographic and socioeconomic information that is considered as relevant in relation to the disease evolution. In all considered measures, communities have countries that belong to at least 3 quartiles of the variable distribution along all considered countries. This indicates that, even when there are characteristics in which some quartile have more countries, communities are diverse in all considered aspects.

Table 1.Communities' demographic and socioeconomic data

		community 1	community 2	community 3
Average population density		326	182	96
Number of countries per quartile of population density	1	14	15	1
	2	10	16	5
	3	13	16	2
	4	19	11	1
Median age		33	35	29
Number of countries per quartile of median age	1	16	11	3
	2	13	14	3
	3	10	19	1
	4	14	15	1
Average GDP per capita*		20,545	26,327	11,196
Number of countries per quartile of GDP per capita	1	16	9	4
	2	15	13	2
	3	12	16	3
	4	11	19	0
Averagelifeexpectancy		75	76	72
Number of countries per quartile of life expectancy	1	14	12	5
	2	15	15	1
	3	14	14	3
	4	13	18	0
Average Human developmentindex		32.7	34.6	29.5
Number of countries per quartile of Human development index	1	17	11	3
	2	16	12	2
	3	9	18	3
	4	14	17	0

Source: own construction based on OWID data. Note: Difference between sum of countries frequency and total countries per group at each variable are due to lack of data. *Measure in constant 2011 international dollars, most recent year available.

In particular, community 1 has the highest average population density, with most countries in the 4th quartile. In terms of median age, average per capita GDP, average life expectancy and average HDI, community 2 has the highest value. These communities present average values more similar to each other than to community 3 in most of the variables considered. Community 3 has the lowest population density and the youngest population (lowest average median age), but it is by far the most vulnerable in terms of the others variables.

In terms of geographical distribution there is not a clear pattern between communities. Most African and American countries belong to community 1 and there are more European countries in community 2. Even so, both communities have members from all continents. Members of community 3 are African, European and Asian countries, note that 99 of the 124 considered countries belong to this continents. All above considered, continent does not seem to be a crucial factor in determining communities of intensity in similarity of the evolution of COVID – 19 (see Table 2).

Table 2.Communities geographic data

		No. of countries by continent						
	No. of countries	Africa	Asia	Europe	North America	Oceania	South America	No. of islands
Community 1	56	11	17	14	8	1	5	9
Community 2	59	7	18	24	5	1	4	10
Community 3	9	3	2	3	1	0	0	0
Total	124	21	37	41	14	2	9	19

Source: own construction based on OWID data.

Additionally, figure 6 shows how communities locate in the globe. As it can be seen, communities are spread in a wide range of latitudes and their members are not necessarily border.

Figure 6. Communities map



Source: own construction based on OWID data.

Communities' members are also diverse in terms of implemented actions to counteract the negative effects of the disease in order to control its propagation. Overall government responses were varied within each community, due to both containment and health measures as well as economic ones (see table 3).

Table 3. Countries actions in relation to COVID – 19

	community 1	community 2	community 3
Average Max. Government Response Index	77	76	75

Number of countries per quartile of Max. Government Response Index	1	10	16	3
	2	18	8	2
	3	15	13	1
	4	10	17	2
Average Max. Containment Health Index		80	79	77
Number of countries per quartile of Max. Containment Health Index	1	10	16	3
	2	14	8	2
	3	18	14	1
	4	11	16	2
Average Max. Economic Support Index		65	68	70
Number of countries per quartile of Max. Economic Support Index	1	7	8	1
	2	20	16	2
	3	15	14	3
	4	11	16	2
Predominant testing policy*		2	2	1
Number of countries per predominant testing policy	1	14	14	5
	2	25	23	3
	3	15	18	0
Predominantcontacttracingpolicy		2	2	1
Number of countries per predominant contact tracing policy	0	4	3	0
	1	19	11	6
	2	31	41	2

Source: own construction based on OWID data. Note: Difference between sum of countries frequency and total countries per group at each variable are due to lack of data.

Testing policy codebook: 0 – No testing policy, 1 - Only those who both (a) have symptoms and also (b) meet specific criteria (e.g. key workers, admitted to hospital,came into contact with a known case, returned from overseas), 2 - Testing of anyone showing COVID-19 symptoms, 3 - Open public testing (e.g. “drive through” testing available to asymptomatic people).*Any country of the sample had category 0 as predominant testing policy. Tracing policy codebook: 0 – No tracing, 1 - Some, but not all, cases are traced, 2 – All cases are traced.

More differences can be identified in terms of predominant testing and contact tracing policies (see table 3). In communities 1 and 2, the most frequent testing policy between January 22 and December 1st was to test anyone showing symptoms, while in community 3 people had to also meet specific criteria. Tracing policy has also been more rigorous in communities 1 and 2; the most frequent tracing policy between January 22 and December 1st was to traced almost all cases, in community 3 it was to trace some cases. Given that in average, communities 1 and 2 had smoother evolution of the disease than community 3, testing and tracing policies seems to be important in controlling contagions. Even so, communities are not homogeneous in the implementation of these policies, indicating that these measures do not guarantee the control the COVID–19 spread.

Having a similar evolution of the disease did not imply different results in terms of deaths, since average community registered deaths at December 1st per million are similar between communities. Moreover, higher averages on healthcare access and quality index were not reflect in lower deaths averages (see table 4).

Table 4. Healthcare system and deaths per million.

		community 1	community 2	community 3
Average Healthcare access and quality index		68	72	61
Number of countries per quartile of Healthcare access and quality index	1	15	12	3
	2	18	10	3
	3	9	19	2
	4	13	18	0
Average deaths per million at December 1st		289	285	281
Number of countries per quartile of deaths per million by December 1st	1	16	9	3
	2	12	17	2
	3	10	20	1
	4	16	12	3

Source: own construction based on OWID data. Note: The difference between thesum of the countries frequency and total countries per group at each variable are due to lack of data.

4.3. Results Robustness

Variations in the maximum number of groups allowed in each time window can have effects in formed communities. In this study, results were obtained for a maximum of 10, 20 and 30 groups per time window. Identified communities were stable; only 10 out of 124 countries belonged to a different community in at least one of the exercises performed. Table 5 presents observed countries community changes when varying the maximum of allowed groups per window. Appendix 3 presents countries' values and quartiles per considered variable, confirming that communities are still diverse when these changes in membership are considered.

Table 5. Country community changes by allowed group maximum in each time window.

	Max. No. of groups per time window		
	30	20	10
Belgium	1	1	2
Bolivia	1	3	1
Bangladesh	1	2	2
Andorra	1	3	1
Chile	1	1	2
Morocco	1	1	2
Togo	1	3	3
Australia	2	3	3
South Africa	2	3	3
Montene-gro	3	2	3

Source: own construction based on OWID data.

5. **Conclusions**

Measuring the intensity of similarity in the disease evolution, three communities of countries were found, with distinctive aspects in their average behaviors. Community 1 presents the most controlled path, while in Community 3 average contagions were more unstable. Community 2 presents an intermediate average evolution, with an initial wave followed by a more stable path. Even so, communities' members were diverse in several aspects, and the three communities present particular cases that do not follow the average behavior. All communities presented positive trends, which suggests that the number of infections will continue for a while. Furthermore, the multiplicity of dynamics suggests that the impact on the national economies will be heterogeneous, with some countries less affected. This might be observed in the levels of unemployment. In this regard, Milani (2020) finds that the behavior of unemployment across countries has been very heterogeneous. In Latin American countries, and even in the US, socially disadvantaged populations cannot follow the order to stay at home. Thus, the issue of income inequality turns to be the main obstacle for a complete and effective implementation of social distancing measures. Even so, each community is integrated by countries from different GDP per capita levels.

Our results confirm the initial assumption. The spread of COVID-19 is a complex phenomenon, characterized by both a multiplicity of patterns and non-linear dynamics. This point suggests, on one hand, the presence of diverse drivers behind the spread of the COVID-19 and that public policy responses should not be homogeneous, but should rather be adapted to different contexts. However, it is important to note that communities with a more stable evolution were composed by a majority of countries implementing stricter testing and contact tracing policies.

Given the complexity of the phenomenon, we consider relevant to distinguish between short-term and medium-term interventions. The implementation of lockdowns is an example of the former. When discussing the effect of lockdowns, the available literature seems to show contradictory results. However, since COVID propagation is a complex

phenomenon, we consider that there is no such contradiction, but that the results should be analyzed within their specific contexts. Note that countries from the same community implemented different levels of maximum containment health policies.

In particular, policy makers should specify in their objective function which segments of the population are being targeted and which indicators are been used. In this regard, as Ehlert (2020) points out, policymakers should consider the identification of risk groups when designing their response strategies. In addition, Huang et al. (2020) recommend policy makers to guarantee that the impacts of public policy include the interests of the socially disadvantaged groups. It is also important to consider the existence of trade-offs within the objective function, since minimizing both COVID-19 propagation and economic impacts might be two conflicting goals. On this line, Mahasinghe et al. (2020) suggest that the lockdown strategies are non-linear. For example, in the case of Italy, Ciminelli and Garcia-Mandio (2020) offer evidence that the shutdown of service activities is effective in reducing COVID-19 mortality, although shutting down factories is less effective. Thus, they recommend closing down services, however the government should be more careful when considering closing down factories, particularly given the social costs derived from halting production. In the case of Chile, Asahi et al (2020) found out that localized lockdowns were associated to up to 15% drop in the local economic activity.

Regarding the service sector, it would be important to have a second thought when considering a complete shut down in the tourism sector, as Ehlert (2020) suggests. In his study of Germany's 401 counties, he finds no evidence of the tourism sector as a driver of infection or death rates. At this point, it would be important to consider that tourism encompasses a wide range of activities and that many tourists travel in order to isolate themselves during their leisure time. Thus, this activity might be favoring conditions for social distancing. In the case of Latin American countries, in which the rate of infections and mortality has been growing, policy makers might stimulate self-isolation through promoting the use of resorts for risk groups.

The best options to counteract the harmful effects of a pandemic may be having strong health systems with contingent capacity to deal with unforeseen events or available resources capable of a rapid expansion of its capacity. Regarding the medium-term interventions, the expansion of health services should be considered. In Latin American countries, an important proportion of population has diabetes and hypertension, which increase fatality rates. The policy recommendation is a robust public-funded health system with wider accessibility, as Sherpa (2020) and Gandjour (2020) suggest. There should be an increase in public health funding, as well as in the number of doctors per population and bed availability. Alternatively, countries could have available resources with the capacity to rapidly strengthen the health system. Ciminelli and Garcia-Mandio (2020) point out that a robust health care system is the only way to prevent a huge death toll. Their results for Italy show that locations at 10 km from the closest intensive care unit had up to 50% higher mortality.Even when communities are heterogeneous in terms of total deaths and healthcare access, lack of information on the number of cases that required hospitalization in several considered countries limits our results in this aspect. Additionally, policy makers should pay attention to bottlenecks in the access to health services, since it has been observed that isolating health areas just for COVID-19 patients has complicated the treatment of other health problems. In order to avoid additional disruptions in health treatments, Degeling et al (2020) recommend the restoring of health service to normal levels as soon as possible. Another relevant medium-term intervention would be improving the health of the population via the intake of more fruits and vegetables. Since it implies a drastic change in

dietary habits, it might require time and an important effort by governments and regulatory agencies. This intervention might be particularly relevant in Latin American countries, in which obesity and related co-morbidities are common. In a study of the population in the Nordic countries, Mol and Karnon (2020) found the that the existence of low obesity rates facilitates a more liberal social distancing policy and that the impact of consumption of fruits and vegetable on deaths rates would be comparable or even better than strict lockdowns. The study shows evidence that as the disease progresses, the pattern diversifies. As there are no clear general characteristics that allow the different trajectories to be identified, the best response in the medium term is a well-prepared and strong health system.

Acknowledgments: Earlier versions of this article, to which the authors have contributed equally, were presented at the 5th - Webinar COVID-19 Forecast and Prediction - September 18th-19th, 2020 (https://www.covid-19-response-webinar.org/index.php?title=5th_Webinar_-_Forecast_and_Prediction) organized by the Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.
The authors wish to thank the participants of the GIDE seminar and the colloquium of the Lateinamerika-Institut (LAI) - Freie Universität Berlin for providing helpful and constructive comments on earlier versions of this article

Appendix 1. Complementary variables codebook

	Description - Data source
Populationdensity	Number of people divided by land area, measured in square kilometers, most recent year available - World Bank World Development Indicators, sourced from Food and Agriculture Organization and World Bank estimates
Median age	Median age of the population, UN projection for 2020 - UN Population Division, World Population Prospects, 2017 Revision
GDP per capita	Gross domestic product at purchasing power parity (constant 2011 international dollars), most recent year available - World Bank World Development Indicators, source from World Bank, International Comparison Program database
Lifeexpectancy	Life expectancy at birth in 2019 - James C. Riley, Clio Infra, United Nations Population Division
HDI	Summary measure of average achievement in key dimensions of human development: a long and healthy life, being knowledgeable and have a decent standard of living - United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)
Max Gobermentrespon-ceindex	The Oxford Covid-19 Government Response Tracker tracks individual policy measures across 18 indicators.Highest daily value is taken.- Thomas Hale, Sam Webster, Anna Petherick, Toby Phillips, and Beatriz Kira (2020). Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker, Blavatnik School of Government.
Max conteinment and health index	This is a composite measure based on eleven policy response indicators including school closures, workplace closures, travel bans, testing policy and contact tracing, rescaled to a value from 0 to 100 (100 = strictest). If policies vary at the subnational level, the index is shown as the response level of the strictest sub-region. Highest daily value is taken. - Thomas Hale, Sam Webster, Anna Petherick, Toby Phillips, and Beatriz Kira (2020). Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker, BlavatnikSchool of Government.
Max economicindex	The Oxford Covid-19 Government Response Tracker tracks individual policy measures across 2 indicators, income suport and debt/contract releif. Highest daily value is taken. - Thomas Hale, Sam Webster, Anna Petherick, Toby Phillips, and Beatriz Kira (2020). Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker, BlavatnikSchool of Government.
Testingpolicy	Applied criteria to carry out COVID-19 tests - Thomas Hale, Sam Webster, Anna Petherick, Toby Phillips, and Beatriz Kira (2020). Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker, BlavatnikSchool of Government.
Contacttracing	Applied criteria to carry out COVID-19 contact tracing - Thomas Hale, Sam Webster, Anna Petherick, Toby Phillips, and Beatriz Kira (2020). Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker, BlavatnikSchool of Government.
Acsesshelth and quality	The Healthcare Access and Quality (HAQ) Index is measured on a scale from 0 (worst) to 100 (best) based on death rates from 32 causes of death that could be avoided by timely and effective medical care (also known as 'amenable mortality'). - Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Global Burden of DiseaseStudy 2015 (GBD 2015)
Total deaths per million at Dec. 1st	Total deaths attributed to COVID-19 per 1,000,000 people by december 1st - COVID-19 Data Repository by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University

Source: own construction based on OWID and GitHub data. December 8 search in:https://github.com/owid/covid-19-data/blob/master/public/data/owid-covid-codebook.csv, https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus-testing, https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/healthcare-access-and-quality-index?time=2015, https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker/blob/master/documentation/index_methodology.md and https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker/blob/master/documentation/codebook.md

Appendix 2 Identified communities.

Community 1- Blue		Community 2 - Green		Community 3 - Red	
1	Afghanistan	2	Albania	28	Cameroon
38	Andorra	3	United Arab Emirates	42	Ethiopia
6	Armenia	5	Argentina	77	Latvia
9	Azerbaijan	7	Australia	88	Montenegro
14	Belgium	8	Austria	92	Pakistan
12	Burkina Faso	10	Bahrain	93	Panama
19	Bangladesh	15	Belarus	112	Tunisia
16	Bulgaria	20	Brazil	118	Uzbekistan
17	Bosnia and Herzegovina	11	Brunei	120	Kosovo
18	Bolivia	24	Cote d'Ivoire		
13	Barbados	29	Democratic Republic of Congo		
67	Canada	52	Costa Rica		
26	Switzerland	30	Cuba		
21	Chile	31	Cyprus		
23	China	32	CzechRepublic		
25	Colombia	36	Djibouti		
27	Germany	35	Denmark		
34	Dominica	41	Estonia		
37	DominicanRepublic	43	Finland		
39	Algeria	44	France		
105	Egypt	46	UnitedKingdom		
48	Spain	33	Georgia		
47	Ghana	49	Equatorial Guinea		
50	Greece	53	Honduras		
51	Guatemala	59	Croatia		
57	India	55	Hungary		
64	Jamaica	54	Indonesia		

65	Japan
122	Kazakhstan
69	Kenya
66	Kyrgyzstan
79	Lebanon
76	Liechtenstein
84	Sri Lanka
80	Morocco
78	Madagascar
83	Mexico
85	Mongolia
89	Nigeria
90	Netherlands
91	New Zealand
98	Poland
100	Paraguay
101	Palestine
102	Qatar
103	Romania
106	Russia
104	Rwanda
123	Singapore
109	Slovenia
115	Togo
111	Thailand
4	Uruguay
45	UnitedStates
119	Vietnam
124	Zambia

58	Ireland
56	Iran
60	Iraq
61	Iceland
62	Israel
63	Italy
73	Cambodia
70	South Korea
71	Kuwait
81	Moldova
75	Maldives
82	Macedonia
74	Mali
86	Malta
87	Malaysia
97	Norway
96	Oman
94	Peru
95	Philippines
99	Portugal
107	Saudi Arabia
108	Senegal
68	El Salvador
40	Serbia
72	Slovakia
22	Sweden
110	Trinidad and Tobago
113	Turkey
114	Taiwan

116	Ukraine
117	Venezuela
121	South Africa

Source: own construction based on OWID data.

Appendix 3: Countries data.

	Community	Continent	Population density	Median age	GDP per capita	Life expectancy	HDI	Max Goberment responce index	Max conteinment and health index	Max economic index	Testing policy	Contact tracing	Acsess helth and quality	Total deaths per million at Dec. 1st
Uruguay		1 South America	20	36	20,551	77.91	0.80	61.31	61.11	87.50	3	1	72.00	22.45
Azerbaijan		1 Asia	119	32	15,847	73.00	0.76	86.31	92.36	50.00	2	2	64.50	141.33
Burkina Faso		1 Africa	70	18	1,703	61.58	0.42	72.62	84.72	-	1	2	42.90	3.25
Belgium		1 Europe	376	42	42,659	81.63	0.92	76.79	75.00	100.00	2	2	87.90	1,448.37
Bosnia and H		1 Europe	68	43	11,714	77.40	0.77	75.00	81.25	37.50	2	0	78.20	831.20
Bolivia		1 South America	10	25	6,886	71.51	0.69	76.79	81.25	50.00	3	1	59.20	767.84
Bangladesh		1 Asia	1,265	28	3,524	72.59	0.61	82.74	88.19	75.00	2	1	51.70	40.53
China		1 Asia	148	38	15,309	76.91	0.75	82.14	85.42	62.50	3	2	74.20	3.30
Switzerland		1 Europe	214	43	57,410	83.78	0.94	61.90	61.81	62.50	2	2	91.80	570.79
Germany		1 Europe	237	47	45,229	81.33	0.94	72.62	74.31	62.50	3	2	86.40	205.02
Dominica		1 North America	99	-	9,673	75.00	0.72	76.79	82.64	75.00	3	2	58.10	-
Dominican R		1 North America	223	28	14,601	74.08	0.74	81.55	90.97	25.00	2	1	62.50	215.07
Andorra		1 Europe	164	-	-	83.73	0.86	72.02	67.36	100.00	2	1	94.60	983.63
Algeria		1 Africa	17	29	13,914	76.88	0.75	78.57	83.33	62.50	1	0	63.70	55.80
Ghana		1 Africa	127	21	4,228	64.07	0.59	76.79	81.25	50.00	3	2	49.70	10.40
Spain		1 Europe	93	46	34,272	83.56	0.89	77.98	76.39	87.50	2	1	89.60	973.40
Greece		1 Europe	83	45	24,574	82.24	0.87	84.52	84.03	87.50	3	2	87.00	241.48
Guatemala		1 North America	158	23	7,424	74.30	0.65	82.74	84.03	75.00	2	0	55.70	233.71
India		1 Asia	450	28	6,427	69.66	0.64	95.54	98.96	75.00	2	2	44.80	99.73
Japan		1 Asia	348	48	39,002	84.63	0.91	51.79	52.08	75.00	2	1	89.00	16.68
Kyrgyzstan		1 Asia	32	26	3,393	71.45	0.67	-	-	-	1	1	60.40	195.43
Canada		1 North America	4	41	44,018	82.43	0.93	72.62	72.22	75.00	3	1	87.60	324.01
Kenya		1 Africa	87	20	2,993	66.70	0.59	82.14	87.50	50.00	3	1	48.70	27.41
Armenia		1 Asia	103	36	8,788	75.09	0.76	-	-	-	-	-	67.50	740.07
Barbados		1 North America	664	40	16,978	79.19	0.80	77.98	84.72	62.50	2	2	66.80	24.39
Kazakhstan		1 Asia	7	31	24,056	73.60	0.80	78.57	85.76	37.50	3	2	61.10	129.63
Slovenia		1 Europe	103	45	31,401	81.32	0.90	83.93	85.42	75.00	2	2	87.40	716.71
United State		1 North America	36	38	54,225	78.86	0.92	74.40	76.39	62.50	3	1	81.30	817.64
Liechtenstein		1 Europe	237	-	-	82.49	0.92	-	-	-	-	-	-	419.54
Madagascar		1 Africa	44	20	1,416	67.04	0.52	67.26	78.47	50.00	1	1	43.70	9.06
Bulgaria		1 Europe	65	45	18,563	75.05	0.81	74.40	72.22	87.50	1	2	71.40	602.73
Jamaica		1 North America	267	31	8,194	74.47	0.73	74.40	78.47	50.00	1	1	63.70	87.13
Lebanon		1 Asia	595	31	13,368	78.93	0.76	73.21	81.25	25.00	2	1	80.00	151.35
Chile		1 South America	24	35	22,767	80.18	0.84	84.82	83.68	100.00	2	2	76.00	807.17
Mexico		1 North America	66	29	17,336	75.05	0.77	69.05	76.04	75.00	1	1	62.60	828.07
Morocco		1 Africa	80	30	7,485	76.68	0.67	86.31	88.19	75.00	3	1	61.30	160.35
Sri Lanka		1 Asia	342	34	11,669	76.98	0.77	75.17	88.89	50.00	2	2	72.80	5.70
Mongolia		1 Asia	2	29	11,841	69.87	0.74	82.74	84.03	62.50	2	2	58.50	-
Nigeria		1 Africa	210	18	5,338	54.69	0.53	70.83	80.56	62.50	1	2	51.30	5.71
Colombia		1 South America	44	32	13,255	77.29	0.75	88.10	90.28	75.00	2	2	67.80	725.86
Netherlands		1 Europe	509	43	48,473	82.28	0.93	66.67	67.36	62.50	2	2	89.50	555.48
New Zealand		1 Oceania	18	38	36,086	82.29	0.92	82.74	86.11	87.50	2	2	86.20	5.18
Afghanistan		1 Asia	54	19	1,804	64.83	0.50	65.48	76.39	25.00	1	1	32.50	46.16
Poland		1 Europe	124	42	27,216	78.73	0.87	73.81	73.61	75.00	2	2	79.60	465.01
Paraguay		1 South America	17	27	8,827	74.25	0.70	79.76	80.56	75.00	1	2	60.40	248.30
Palestine		1 Asia	778	20	4,450	74.05	0.69	75.00	79.17	50.00	1	1	70.50	146.43
Qatar		1 Asia	227	32	116,936	80.23	0.86	82.14	87.50	62.50	3	2	85.20	82.61
Romania		1 Europe	85	43	23,313	76.05	0.81	80.95	79.86	87.50	2	1	74.40	599.35
Rwanda		1 Africa	495	20	1,854	69.02	0.52	85.12	90.97	62.50	3	2	47.80	3.78
Egypt		1 Africa	98	25	10,550	71.99	0.70	80.36	81.25	75.00	2	2	61.00	65.14
Russia		1 Europe	9	40	24,766	72.58	0.82	77.98	80.56	62.50	3	2	71.70	274.44
Thailand		1 Asia	135	40	16,278	77.15	0.76	80.06	76.74	100.00	2	2	70.80	0.86
Togo		1 Africa	143	19	1,430	61.04	0.50	69.05	72.22	75.00	1	0	44.30	7.73
Vietnam		1 Asia	308	33	6,172	75.40	0.69	83.33	93.06	50.00	3	2	66.30	0.36
Singapore		1 Asia	7,916	42	85,535	83.62	0.93	85.71	86.11	100.00	2	2	86.30	4.96
Zambia		1 Africa	23	18	3,689	63.89	0.59	63.99	70.49	25.00	1	2	41.60	19.42
Albania		2 Europe	105	38	11,803	78.57	0.79	75.60	77.08	75.00	1	2	78.20	265.64
Australia		2 Oceania	3	38	44,649	83.44	0.94	82.14	83.33	75.00	3	2	89.80	35.61
Austria		2 Europe	107	44	45,437	81.54	0.91	86.90	84.72	100.00	3	2	88.20	369.18
Brunei		2 Asia	81	32	71,809	75.86	0.85	49.40	55.56	50.00	2	1	70.00	6.86
Brazil		2 South America	25	34	14,103	75.88	0.76	77.38	81.94	50.00	2	2	64.90	817.73
Cote d'Ivoire		2 Africa	76	19	3,601	57.78	0.49	68.15	75.35	75.00	2	2	42.40	5.00
Argentina		2 South America	16	32	18,934	76.67	0.83	89.88	92.36	75.00	1	2	68.40	861.32
Denmark		2 Europe	137	42	46,683	80.90	0.93	69.05	65.97	100.00	3	2	85.70	146.06
Belarus		2 Europe	47	40	17,168	74.79	0.81	30.36	35.42	-	3	2	74.40	123.40
Estonia		2 Europe	31	43	29,481	78.74	0.87	65.48	63.89	87.50	2	0	81.40	91.22
Costa Rica		2 North America	96	34	15,525	80.28	0.79	69.05	72.22	50.00	1	2	72.90	339.80
Honduras		2 North America	83	25	4,542	75.27	0.62	88.10	88.19	87.50	1	1	53.90	294.61
Serbia		2 Europe	80	41	14,049	76.00	0.79	79.17	86.11	62.50	1	2	75.40	242.78
Hungary		2 Europe	108	43	26,778	76.88	0.84	74.11	72.22	87.50	1	2	79.60	515.20
Ireland		2 Europe	70	38	67,335	82.30	0.94	82.14	79.17	100.00	2	2	88.40	419.01
Croatia		2 Europe	74	44	22,570	78.49	0.83	86.31	89.12	87.50	3	2	81.60	458.74
Iceland		2 Europe	3	37	46,483	82.99	0.94	64.29	60.42	100.00	3	2	93.60	79.12
Italy		2 Europe	206	48	35,220	83.51	0.88	85.42	91.32	75.00	2	2	88.70	932.18
El Salvador		2 North America	308	28	7,292	73.32	0.67	91.07	93.75	75.00	3	1	64.40	172.67
Peru		2 South America	25	29	12,237	76.74	0.75	86.31	88.19	75.00	2	2	69.60	1,090.81
Slovakia		2 Europe	113	41	30,155	77.54	0.86	-	-	-	1	2	78.60	158.99
Cyprus		2 Europe	128	37	32,415	80.98	0.87	89.29	87.50	100.00	3	2	85.30	55.94
Iran		2 Asia	50	32	19,083	76.68	0.80	63.99	68.06	62.50	2	0	71.10	578.95
Cambodia		2 Asia	91	26	3,645	69.82	0.58	51.79	60.42	62.50	1	1	50.70	-
Kuwait		2 Asia	232	34	65,531	75.49	0.80	86.90	95.14	37.50	2	2	82.00	206.30
Portugal		2 Europe	112	46	27,937	82.05	0.85	81.55	82.64	75.00	3	1	84.50	448.07
United Arab		2 Asia	112	34	67,293	77.97	0.86	86.31	92.36	50.00	3	2	77.20	58.24
Djibouti		2 Africa	41	25	2,705	67.11	0.48	82.14	93.75	12.50	2	2	44.70	61.74
Equatorial Gi		2 Africa	45	22	22,605	58.74	0.59	-	-	-	-	-	48.40	60.59
Israel		2 Asia	403	31	33,132	82.97	0.90	89.88	88.19	100.00	1	2	85.50	332.39
South Korea		2 Asia	528	43	35,938	83.03	0.90	76.19	80.56	50.00	3	2	85.80	10.26
Maldives		2 Asia	1,454	31	15,184	78.92	0.72	-	-	-	-	-	75.50	86.95
Bahrain		2 Asia	1,936	32	43,291	77.29	0.85	80.36	79.17	87.50	3	2	79.00	200.40
United Kingd		2 Europe	273	41	39,753	81.32	0.92	74.70	70.49	100.00	2	2	84.60	871.28
Philippines		2 Asia	352	25	7,599	71.23	0.70	85.12	88.89	75.00	2	2	52.00	76.82
Moldova		2 Europe	124	38	5,190	71.90	0.70	75.00	81.25	37.50	2	2	73.10	575.86
Macedonia		2 Europe	83	39	13,111	75.80	0.76	-	-	-	-	-	76.00	860.14
Finland		2 Europe	18	43	40,586	81.91	0.92	56.55	57.64	75.00	2	1	89.60	72.01
Malta		2 Europe	1,454	42	36,513	82.53	0.88	-	-	-	-	-	85.10	319.34
Malaysia		2 Asia	96	30	26,808	76.16	0.80	81.85	82.99	75.00	3	2	66.60	11.22
Cuba		2 North America	110	43	-	78.80	0.78	82.14	87.50	62.50	1	2	73.50	12.01
Mali		2 Africa	15	16	2,014	59.31	0.43	66.67	69.44	50.00	1	2	45.60	7.90
Oman		2 Asia	15	31	37,961	77.86	0.82	88.69	93.06	62.50	2	1	77.10	280.03
Saudi Arabia		2 Asia	15	32	49,045	75.13	0.85	87.50	91.67	62.50	3	2	79.40	169.67
France		2 Europe	123	42	38,606	82.66	0.90	79.17	82.99	100.00	3	2	87.90	809.23
Iraq		2 Asia	88	20	15,664	70.60	0.69	82.14	90.28	50.00	2	1	60.10	305.85
Norway		2 Europe	14	40	64,800	82.40	0.95	69.64	66.67	87.50	2	2	90.50	61.61
Senegal		2 Africa	82	19	2,471	67.94	0.51	70.83	72.22	75.00	2	2	44.40	19.89
Turkey		2 Asia	105	32	25,129	77.69	0.79	78.87	77.43	87.50	2	2	76.20	165.24
Trinidad and		2 North America	267	36	28,763	73.51	0.78	82.74	84.03	75.00	1	2	62.10	85.75
Georgia		2 Asia	65	39	9,745	73.77	0.78	85.12	93.06	37.50	2	2	62.10	326.63
Taiwan		2 Asia	-	42	-	80.46	-	44.64	45.83	37.50	3	2	77.60	0.29
Ukraine		2 Europe	77	41	7,894	72.06	0.75	79.76	86.81	37.50	2	2	72.70	206.38
Venezuela		2 South America	36	29	16,745	72.06	0.76	77.98	82.64	50.00	3	0	64.70	31.69
Democratic F		2 Africa	36	17	808	60.68	0.46	71.13	74.65	50.00	1	1	40.40	3.74
Czech Repub		2 Europe	137	43	32,606	79.38	0.89	84.52	81.94	100.00	2	2	84.80	785.04
Indonesia		2 Asia	146	29	11,189	71.72	0.69	68.15	75.35	37.50	3	1	49.20	62.45
Sweden		2 Europe	25	41	46,949	82.80	0.93	55.36	58.33	62.50	2	1	90.50	673.12
South Africa		2 Africa	47	27	12,295	64.13	0.70	84.52	86.11	75.00	3	2	52.00	364.94
Cameroon		3 Africa	51	19	3,365	59.29	0.56	59.52	69.44	37.50	2	1	44.40	16.61
Ethiopia		3 Africa	105	20	1,730	66.60	0.46	70.24	73.61	50.00	1	1	44.20	14.87
Latvia		3 Europe	31	44	25,064	75.29	0.85	64.88	60.07	100.00	1	2	77.70	111.34
Montenegro		3 Europe	46	39	16,409	76.88	0.81	-	-	-	-	-	80.70	802.47
Pakistan		3 Asia	256	24	5,035	67.27	0.56	77.38	81.94	75.00	2	1	43.10	36.97
Panama		3 North America	55	30	22,267	78.51	0.79	85.71	87.50	75.00	2	1	64.40	718.00
Tunisia		3 Africa	74	33	10,849	76.70	0.74	76.19	76.39	75.00	1	1	70.10	275.84
Uzbekistan		3 Asia	76	28	6,253	71.72	0.71	83.04	88.54	50.00	1	2	62.30	18.26
Kosovo		3 Europe	168	-	9,796	71.95	-	79.76	76.39	100.00	1	1	-	530.84

Source: own construction based on OWID data. Note: With exception to testing policy and contact tracing that have 3 categories, red corresponds to first quartile, orange to second, yellow to third and green to forth.

References

Aghabozorgi, S., Shirkhorshidi, A. S., & Wah, T. Y. (2015). Time-series clustering–a decade review. *Information Systems*, 53, 16-38.

Alvarez, E., Brida J. G. & Limas E. (2020). Comparisons of COVID-19 dynamics in the different countries of the World using Time-Series clustering. medRxivPreprint. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.18.20177261

Asahi, K., E. Undurraga, R. Valdés, R. Wagner (2020). The effect of COVID-19 on the economy: evidence from an early 1 adopter of localized lockdowns medRxivPreprint. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.21.20198887

Blondel, V. D., Guillaume, J.-L., Lambiotte, R., & Lefebvre, E. (2008). Fast unfolding of communities in large networks. Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment, 2008, P10008.

Chandu, V. (2020). Identification of spatial variations in COVID-19 epidemiological data using K-Means clustering algorithm: a global perspective.medRxivPreprint. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.03.20121194

Ciminelli, G., S. Garcia-Mandio (2020). Mitigation Policies and Emergency Care Management in Europe's Ground Zero for COVID-19. medRxiv preprint DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.19.20106575.

Degeling K., N. Baxter, Jon Emery, F. Franchini, P. Gibbs, G. Bruce Mann, G. McArthur, B. Solomon & M. IJzerman (2020). An inverse stage-shift model to estimate the excess mortality and health economic impact of delayed access to cancer services due to the COVID-19 pandemic. medRxiv preprint DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.30.20117630.

Ehlert, A. (2020). The socio-economic determinants of COVID-19: A spatial analysis of German county level data. medRxiv preprint DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.25.20140459.

Fahim, A. (2020). Finding the Number of Clusters in Data and Better Initial Centers for K-means Algorithm. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems & Applications*, 12(6): 1-20.

Gan, G., Ma, C., & Wu, J. (2007). *Data clustering: theory, algorithms, and applications*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics., SIAM, Philadelphia, ASA, Alexandria, VA, 2007.

Gandjour, A. (2020). How much reserve capacity is justifiable for hospital pandemic preparedness? A cost-effectiveness analysis for COVID-19 in Germany. medRxiv preprint DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.20162743.

Górski, A., Kwapień, J., Oświęcimka, P., & Drożdż, S. (2008). Minimal Spanning Tree Graphs and Power Like Scaling in FOREX Networks. *ActaPhysicaPolonica* A, 3(114), 531-538.

Huang X., Z. Li, J. Lu, S. Wang, H. Wei, B. Chen (2020). Time-series clustering for home dwell time during COVID-19: what can we learn from it? medRxiv preprint DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.27.20202671.

Jackson, M. O. (2010). *Social and economic networks*. Princeton university press.

Kruskal, J. B. (1956). On the shortest spanning subtree of a graph and the traveling salesman problem. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 7(1), 48-50.

Kwapień, J., Gworek, S., Drożdż, S., & Górski, A. (2009). Analysis of a network structure of the foreign currency exchange market. *Journal of Economic Interaction and Coordination*, 4(1), 55 –72.

Limas, Erick (2019). An application of minimal spanning trees and hierarchical trees to the study of Latin American exchange rates. *Journal of Dynamics & Games*, 6 (2): 131-148.

Machado, J., & Lopes A. M. (2020). Rare and extreme events: the case of COVID-19 pandemic. *Nonlinear dynamics*, 1–20. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-020-05680-w

Mahasinghe, A.C., Erandi K., & Perera S. (2020). An optimal lockdown relaxation strategy for minimizing the economic effects of covid-19 outbreak in Sri Lanka. This version posted June 9, 2020. medRxiv preprintDOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.08.20125583

Mantegna, R. N. (1999). Hierarchical structure in financial markets. The European Physical Journal B-Condensed Matter and Complex Systems, 11(1), 193-197.

Mantegna, R.N. & Stanley, H.E. (2000). An introduction to econophysics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Milani, F. (2020). COVID-19 Outbreak, Social Response, and Early Economic Effects: A Global VAR Analysis of Cross-Country Interdependencies. medRxiv preprint DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.07.20094748.

Mol, B. & Karnon J (2020). Strict lockdown versus flexible social distance strategy for COVID-19 disease: a cost-effectiveness analysis. medRxiv preprint DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.14.20194605.

Prim, R. C. (1957). Shortest connection networks and some generalizations. *The Bell System Technical Journal*, 36(6), 1389-1401.

Rešovský, M., Horváth, D., Gazda, V., & Siničáková, M. (2013). Minimum spanning tree application in the currency market. *Biatec*, 21(7), 21-23.

Roser, M., Ritchie, H., Ortiz-Ospina, E., & Hasell, J. (2020). Coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19). *Our World in Data*.

Santiago, F., Fuentes, C. D., Peerally, J. A., & Larsen, J. (2020). Investing in innovative and productive capabilities for resilient economies in a post-COVID-19 world. *International Journal of Technological Learning, Innovation and Development*, 12(2), 153-167.

Sherpa, D. (2020). Estimating Impact of Austerity policies in COVID-19 fatality rates: Examining the dynamics of Economic policy and Case Fatality Rates (CFR) of COVID-19 in OECD countries. medRxivPreprint. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.03.20047530.

Wang, G. J., Xie, C., Chen, Y. J., & Chen, S. (2013). Statistical properties of the foreign exchange network at different time scales: evidence from detrended cross-correlation coefficient and minimum spanning tree. *Entropy*, 15(5), 1643-1662. -1662.

Zarikas, V., Poulopoulos, S. G., Gareiou, Z., & Zervas, E. (2020). Clustering analysis of countries using the COVID-19 cases dataset. *Data in Brief*, 105787. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.105787