

1 Maternal prenatal stress during the first trimester and infant birthweight in Soweto, South Africa

2
3 Andrew Wooyoung Kim^{1,2}, Rihlat Said Mohamed², Christopher W. Kuzawa^{1,3}, Shane A.
4 Norris^{2,4}

5
6 ¹ Department of Anthropology, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, United States

7 ² SAMRC Developmental Pathways for Health Research Unit, Faculty of Health Sciences,
8 University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

9 ³ Institute for Policy Research, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, United States

10 ⁴ Global Health Research Institute, School of Human Development and Health, University of
11 Southampton, UK

12
13 Corresponding author:

14
15 Andrew Wooyoung Kim
16 Department of Anthropology
17 Northwestern University
18 1810 Hinman Avenue
19 Evanston, IL 60208

20
21 Keywords: Prenatal stress, birthweight, fetal growth, gestation, South Africa

22
23 Declarations of interest: Funding for the study was provided by the National Institute for Health
24 Research (NIHR), Grant/Award Number: 17\63\154; SAN was supported by funding from the
25 DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in Human Development; AWK was supported by a National
26 Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship

27
28 Acknowledgements: We are indebted to the research participants who took part in this study and
29 our research assistants who worked tirelessly to make this project possible. This work would not
30 be possible without their effort.

31
32 Data Availability: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the
33 corresponding author upon reasonable request.

34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **ABSTRACT**

48

49 Background: Approximately 14.2% of newborns are estimated to be born low birth weight
50 (LBW) in South Africa. Past work has implicated maternal prenatal stress as a potent predictor of
51 poor birth outcomes, including preterm birth, intrauterine growth restriction, and LBW. However,
52 less is presently known about the impacts of prenatal stress during early gestation in low- and
53 middle-income contexts, where public health burdens due to LBW are much higher.

54

55 Objective: We assess the effects of psychosocial stress during the first trimester on birthweight in
56 a large sample of women (n = 657) in Soweto, South Africa, a peri-urban township located in the
57 Greater Johannesburg area.

58

59 Methods: Data come from the Soweto First 1000 Days Cohort, a study of maternal and fetal
60 predictors of infant birth outcomes. Multiple regression models were used to examine the impact
61 of prenatal stress on infant birthweight.

62

63 Results: The prevalence of LBW was 16.6%. Adjusting for maternal age, gestational age, fetal
64 gender, body mass index, and parity, maternal prenatal stress during the first trimester was a
65 borderline predictor of lower birthweight in this sample ($\beta = 12.7, p = 0.071, 95\% \text{ CI } [-26.4,$
66 $1.10]$). Women who reported greater levels of stress appeared to have non-significantly longer
67 gestations, and the negative impact of maternal stress on birthweight only appeared after
68 adjusting for this pattern.

69

70 Conclusions: These results suggest that fetal growth restriction associated with first trimester
71 maternal stress may contribute to lower birthweights in this sample. Our findings report modest
72 relationships between maternal stress specific to early gestation as likely important to birth
73 outcomes in this urban South African sample.

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83 **INTRODUCTION**

84 Being born low birthweight (LBW, BW<2500g at birth) increases one’s risk for a wide
85 range of poor physical and mental health outcomes that can persist across the lifecourse, and can
86 even have effects that impact health in the next generation (Barker 1998; Kuzawa & Sweet 2009;
87 Levitt et al. 2000). It is well-known that neonates born small or preterm face greater risk of
88 perinatal morbidity and mortality (Bernstein et al. 2000). Additionally, growing evidence
89 suggests that LBW status also shapes health outcome across development into adulthood,
90 affecting risk of a wide range of diseases such as diabetes (Harder et al. 2007; Whincup et al.
91 2008), hypertension (Mu et al. 2012), and neuroendocrinological (Jones et al. 2006; Wu □st et al.
92 2005) and inflammatory dysregulation (McDade et al. 2009). In turn, some of the long-term
93 sequelae of being born small can increase the risk of giving birth to lighter babies in the next
94 generation (Kuzawa & Sweet 2009; Thayer et al. 2012). These major public burdens caused by
95 LBW have long impacted low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) at disproportionate levels
96 (UNICEF-WHO 2019), which foreshadows a concerning public health burden caused by the
97 increased risk of diseases associated with both LBW status.

98 A growing body of research has reported that gestating mothers who experience
99 psychosocial stress are at heightened risk of giving birth to neonates with lower birthweights
100 (Ae-Ngibise et al. 2019; Patil et al. 2020; Therrien et al. 2020; Zhu et al. 2010). Experiences of
101 maternal prenatal stress are understood to contribute to LBW as a result of reduced gestational
102 duration and restricted fetal growth, and often times, a complex combination of both gestational
103 responses. Previous studies have shown that pregnant mothers with greater psychosocial stress
104 tend to give birth to children with shorter gestational ages (Bussièrès et al. 2015; Coussons-Read
105 2012), who are preterm (Rosa et al. 2019; Wadhwa et al. 2011; Zhu et al. 2010), who are small-

106 for-gestational age (SGA) (Class et al. 2011; Khashan et al. 2014), and in some cases, infants
107 who are growth restricted (Berkowitz et al. 2003; Glynn et al. 2001; Resnik 2002). Additionally,
108 previous studies have documented a wide variety of stressors that also predict LBW, which
109 include pregnancy-related stress (Hobel et al. 2008), poverty (Lee & Lim 2010), racial
110 discrimination (Collins et al. 2004), and maternal depression (Grote et al. 2010) and anxiety
111 (Ding et al. 2014). Conversely, some researchers have found no evidence for impacts of stress on
112 birthweight (Engel et al. 2005; Ramchandani et al. 2010).

113 While the mechanisms underlying the impacts of maternal cortisol on fetal development
114 are only partially understood (Gitau et al. 2001), psychosocial stress exposure during earlier
115 windows of gestation may lead to decreases in birthweight due to neuroendocrine-mediated fetal
116 growth restriction and earlier parturition (D'Anna-Hernandez et al. 2012; Glynn et al. 2001).
117 Three potential mechanisms have been suggested: maternal cortisol exposure through the
118 placenta, downregulation of cortisol-shielding enzymatic mechanisms, and reduced stress
119 reactivity across pregnancy. First, stress-initiated elevations in circulating glucocorticoids (GCs)
120 may lead to greater levels of cortisol to move through the placenta and reach the fetus
121 (O'Donnell et al. 2009). Greater maternal cortisol levels during early periods of pregnancy have
122 been associated with being SGA (Goedhart et al. 2010) and LBW (Bolten et al. 2011; D'Anna-
123 Hernandez et al. 2012; Goedhart et al. 2010). Contrary to the negative feedback loop between
124 adrenal cortisol exposure and hypothalamic corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) production,
125 higher cortisol exposure *increases* CRH production in the placenta, which subsequently can
126 upregulate the production of pituitary adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) and in turn, cortisol
127 in both the mother and the fetus (Majzoub & Karalis 1999). Growing evidence also suggests that
128 greater prenatal stress exposure may result in maternal immune dysregulation during pregnancy,

129 which has been associated with adverse gestational development and birth outcomes, including
130 restricted fetal growth, preterm birth, and LBW (Beijers et al. 2014; Nazzari et al. 2019).

131 Second, early increases in maternal cortisol during gestation may also downregulate fetal
132 buffering mechanisms against maternal cortisol. Placental levels of 11 β -hydroxysteroid
133 dehydrogenase (11 β -HSD-2) act as a natural shield against maternal cortisol *in utero* by
134 converting cortisol into biologically inactive cortisone. Maternal cortisol, however, is still able to
135 penetrate the fetus in small amounts particularly when circulating maternal cortisol reaches high
136 concentrations or when 11 β -HSD-2 is downregulated (O'Donnell et al. 2012). For instance,
137 recent evidence reports that high levels of maternal GCs can consequently downregulate 11 β -
138 HSD-2 production, thus allowing greater passage of GCs, including cortisol, into the womb
139 (O'Donnell et al. 2012). Placental 11 β -HSD-2 levels are naturally and relatively low during early
140 gestation (Hobel et al. 2008), and during this time cortisol may more easily pass through the
141 placenta during earlier stages of pregnancy to slow fetal growth rate and shorten GA.

142 Finally, the impacts of early prenatal stressors on fetal development and birthweight may
143 be heightened as behavioral and biological stress sensitivity decreases among mothers over the
144 course of pregnancy. The sensitivity of both major stress-regulatory systems, the HPA and the
145 sympathetic-adrenal-medullary (SAM) axes, declines as pregnancy advances. For example,
146 mothers in advanced stages of pregnancy show decreased psychological sensitivity (Glynn et al.
147 2001), HPA axis reactivity (Kammerer et al. 2002; Obel et al. 2005), and decreased blood
148 pressure responses to stress (Nisell et al. 1985) relative to those in earlier stages.

149 While the mechanisms by which maternal GCs contribute to intrauterine growth
150 restriction and preterm birth are unclear, high levels of stress (Glynn et al. 2001; Lederman et al.
151 2004) and cortisol during the first trimester have consistently been shown to predict LBW

152 (Bolten et al. 2011; D'Anna-Hernandez et al. 2012; Goedhart et al. 2010). This stands in contrast
153 to the potential impacts of second or third trimester stress on LBW risk, which may play less of a
154 role compared to first trimester stress due to the relative dampening of stress reactivity among
155 late-stage pregnant women (Glynn et al. 2001; Kammerer et al. 2002) as well as the higher levels
156 of 11 β -HSD-2 buffering as pregnancy progresses. Thus, during times of low 11 β -HSD-2
157 buffering, normal psychological and physiological stress reactivity, and high levels of stress-
158 initiated maternal cortisol and placental CRH secretions *in utero*, cortisol may more easily pass
159 through the placenta during the first trimester to affect the developing fetus to possibly slow fetal
160 growth rate and shorten gestational length. We hypothesize that greater exposure to maternal
161 stress during earlier periods of gestation will correspond with lower birthweights.

162 Notably, studies of prenatal stress and birthweight predominantly draw from samples in
163 North America and Europe (Bussières et al. 2015), which leads to a research bias that
164 overemphasizes psychosocial experiences and social-environmental conditions affecting mothers
165 among high-income, Western populations. Consequently, it also overlooks LMICs that face
166 greater burdens of LBW, such as South Africa where rates of LBW are relatively high (14.2%)
167 and surprisingly close to the rates observed in more poverty-stricken countries in the sub-
168 Saharan region (UNICEF-WHO 2019). In South Africa, LBW is the second leading cause of
169 death in children under 5 years of age (Bradshaw et al. 2003). These trends have been tied to a
170 long history of institutionalized segregation, poverty, and social marginalization characteristic of
171 the apartheid regime, which actively discriminated against people of color, including but not
172 limited to individuals categorized as Black, and continues to affect these communities. To
173 address these gaps, here we examine the timing-specific effects of maternal stress during
174 pregnancy on birthweight in a sample of women living in Soweto, South Africa.

175 **METHODS**

176 *Study Setting*

177 This study was nested within a large pregnancy cohort study (Soweto First 1000-Day
178 Cohort; S1000), based in the [redacted] in Soweto, South Africa between 2013 and 2016. Under
179 the 1960 Group Areas Act, the apartheid government segregated communities of color to live in
180 demarcated areas, and Soweto was reserved for people classified as Black. Today, Soweto is a
181 large peri-urban area of Johannesburg comprised of ethnically-, linguistically-,
182 socioeconomically-diverse African communities (Alexander et al. 2013).

183 Overall, S1000 aimed to understand the complex associations between multiple maternal
184 factors and fetal and infant outcomes in an urban African context, and to identify the levers that
185 could optimize maternal and child health within the first the 1000 days; from conception to two
186 years of age. Inclusion criteria were as follows: resident of the greater Soweto area, <20 weeks
187 pregnant and no known diagnosis of epilepsy or diabetes at the time of recruitment, 18 years or
188 older and pregnant with a singleton, naturally conceived pregnancy. A total of 657 women were
189 included in the analytical sample, and 173 women were excluded from the final sample because
190 of missing data for key variables. All women provided written informed consent prior to their
191 inclusion in the pregnancy component of the study. Ethics approval was obtained from the
192 [redacted] Research Ethics Committee (M120524).

193 *Demographic, Health and Socio-Economic Variables*

194 Maternal demographic variables were collected by trained research staff using
195 interviewer-administered questionnaires at the first pregnancy visit (<14 weeks GA) (Table 1).
196 Parity was defined as the number of previous births at a gestational age of 24 weeks or more -
197 regardless of whether the infant was born alive or was stillborn (0 = no previous births, 1 = one

198 or more previous births). Smoking and/or chewing tobacco was reported at baseline. HIV-status
199 was self-reported at each visit and confirmed using the results recorded in the participant's
200 antenatal clinic card. All HIV-positive participants in this study were receiving antiretrovirals.
201 Household socio-economic status was assessed using an asset index which scored each
202 participant according to the number of assets that they possessed out of a possible 11 (electricity,
203 radio, television, refrigerator, mobile phone, personal computer, bicycle, motorcycle/scooter, car,
204 agricultural land, farm animals). This was based on standard measures used in the Demographic
205 and Health Surveys household questionnaire and has been extensively utilized in this setting
206 (Kagura et al. 2016). Maternal education was defined according to the highest level of
207 completion.

208 *Anthropometry*

209 A wall-mounted Stadiometer (Holtain, UK) was used to measure maternal height to the
210 nearest 1 mm at baseline. Maternal weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg at each visit
211 during pregnancy using a digital scale. Weight at recruitment (<14 weeks) was used as a proxy
212 for pre-pregnancy weight and, together with height, was used to calculate maternal body mass
213 index (BMI) (weight (kg)/height (m²)).

214 *Maternal prenatal stress and social support*

215 A 14-item scale was used to assess stress (including relationship, family, economic, and
216 societal stressors) during recruitment (<14 weeks). Each question records a yes/no response to
217 whether the stressor of question was experienced in the past six months. This scale was
218 developed in previous cohort work at [redacted] and is considered a reliable measure of stress
219 (Ramchandani et al. 2010). While each scale assesses retrospective exposures of stress within the
220 past six months, we treat each time of collection as the approximate time of antenatal stress

221 exposure. Social support was measured using a series of nine questions to identify the absence or
222 presence of instrumental and emotional support, including: people available to help, a confidante,
223 being able to speak to her partner, belonging to a community organisation/ church and having a
224 friend with a baby.

225 *Birth outcomes*

226 Gestational age at delivery (weeks) was calculated as: [duration of pregnancy follow-up
227 (date of delivery – date of baseline ultrasound dating scan) + GA at baseline (days)]. Birthweight
228 was measured by trained research nurses within 24 hours of delivery for 82% of neonates. Where
229 assessment within this window was not possible, measurements were taken within 48 hours.

230 *Statistical analyses*

231 All variables were examined for normal distribution and outliers. Bivariate analyses were
232 conducted between exposure variables, birthweight, and covariates. Covariates were included
233 based on *a priori* knowledge of social, biological, and obstetric risk factors that may potentially
234 confound the relationship between prenatal stress and birthweight. The following variables were
235 considered for inclusion as confounding factors: marital status (single, married), household
236 assets (number of assets owned among the following list of items: electricity, radio, television,
237 refrigerator, mobile phone, personal computer, bicycle, motorcycle/scooter, car, agricultural land,
238 and farm animals), maternal education (last year of education completed), household density
239 (number of inhabitants divided by number of rooms available for sleeping), social support
240 (composite score), alcohol consumption (yes/no), maternal depression (Edinburgh Postnatal
241 Depression Scale) (Cox et al. 1996), maternal anxiety (State-Trait Anxiety Inventory) (Marteau
242 & Bekker 1992), gestational diabetes (self-reported diagnostic status), and HIV status (self-
243 reported) ($p > 0.10$).

244 Bivariate analyses were conducted to identify potential confounding variables for
245 inclusion in the final analytic models (Table 2). With the exception of known confounding
246 factors for birthweight, only those that were statistically significant at the 0.1 level were included
247 the final models. Multiple ordinary least squares (OLS) regressions were conducted to examine
248 the impact of prenatal stress on birthweight. Maximum likelihood ratio tests assessed whether the
249 models with the interaction terms were an improvement over the main effects models at $p < 0.15$
250 for declaring the interaction term significant.

251

252 **RESULTS**

253 This study included 657 mother and infants (Table 1). Participants included in the
254 analytical sample were similar to those excluded ($n = 173$) with respect to first trimester prenatal
255 stress, birthweight, fetal gender, maternal BMI, and GA ($p > 0.05$). Late pregnancy prenatal
256 stress, primiparity, and maternal age were significantly different from those excluded from the
257 sample ($p < 0.05$). Mothers in the analytical sample exhibited slightly lower average levels of
258 stress, reported marginally higher rates of primiparity, and were older by about one year. Fetal
259 gender, maternal age, maternal BMI, primiparity, and GA were significantly associated with
260 birthweight.

261 Table 3 presents the results of the OLS regression analyses of maternal and fetal factors
262 that predict infant birthweight. The unadjusted model (Model 1) predicting birthweight on first
263 trimester prenatal stress, while insignificant, displays a negative relationship as expected. This
264 relationship between prenatal stress and birthweight remains insignificant after adjusting for fetal
265 gender, maternal age, and maternal BMI (Model 2 & 3). The association between prenatal stress
266 approaches significance ($\beta = -12.4$, $p = 0.079$, 95% CI [-26.3, 1.44]) after controlling for GA,

267 which strongly predicts birthweight and accounts for a large portion of the variation (46%).
268 Finally, prenatal stress remains inversely related to and a modest predictor of birthweight after
269 adjusting for primiparity. A one-point increase in prenatal stress scores corresponded with a -
270 12.7 g decrease in birthweight ($\beta = -12.7, p = 0.071, 95\% \text{ CI } [-26.4, 1.10]$). Gender, maternal
271 BMI, GA, and primiparity significantly relate to birthweight and maternal age serves as a modest
272 predictor of birthweight. After adding maternal smoking to the model (Model 7), the effect of
273 prenatal stress strengthens but becomes insignificant. The interaction between prenatal stress and
274 gender was not significant ($p = 0.752, 95\% \text{ CI } [-32.1, 23.2]$) suggesting that there were no
275 gender differences in the effects of prenatal stress on birthweight in our sample. An identical
276 logistic model predicting LBW was also analyzed and found that the effect of prenatal stress on
277 LBW was non-significant yet trended in the expected direction ($p = 0.673, \text{OR} = 1.03, 95\% \text{ CI}$
278 $[0.90, 1.17]$).

279 The effect of first trimester maternal stress on GA was also examined to determine
280 whether shorter gestational periods contributed to lower birthweights. Both unadjusted and fully
281 adjusted models show that the effect of first trimester prenatal stress is not associated with GA
282 (results not shown). Specifically, these analyses also reported a positive but non-significant
283 relationship between maternal stress and GA: women with higher levels of maternal stress during
284 their first trimester seemed to have longer gestations.

285

286 **DISCUSSION**

287 We report an inverse relationship between maternal stress severity and infant birthweight:
288 first trimester maternal stress is associated with lower birthweights in our sample of women in
289 Soweto, South Africa. There was also no evidence that the relationship between stress and

290 birthweight was modified by maternal depression, anxiety, and perceived social support. These
291 results point to the importance of the first trimester as an important developmental period for
292 infant birth outcomes.

293 Previous studies have also reported the inverse relationship between higher first trimester
294 prenatal stress and lower birthweights (Coussons-Read et al. 2012; Dancause et al. 2011; Ryu
295 2019; Vrijkotte et al. 2009; Zhu et al. 2010). For example, in a large sample of expectant mothers
296 in Hefei, China, self-reported stressful life events that occurred during the first trimester
297 predicted lower birthweights, which were unrelated to stress during the second or third trimester
298 (Zhu et al. 2010). Additionally, in a study of the impacts of a severe ice storm, GA and
299 birthweights were lower among women exposed to the ice storm during early and mid-pregnancy
300 (Dancause et al. 2011). Conversely, a number of studies have also reported no evidence for the
301 effects of first trimester stress on birthweight (Rondó et al. 2003). A wide range of severity and
302 type of maternal stress exposure have been examined in past literature, (e.g. pregnancy-related
303 distress, natural disasters, domestic violence, poverty, trauma, etc.) and the downstream
304 biological pathways linking certain forms of maternal experience and LBW may vary, such as
305 the case of maternal depression (Field et al. 2006). Nevertheless, the larger relationship between
306 maternal prenatal stress that occurred during the first trimester and infant birthweight is
307 consistently documented across study populations.

308 Our final model (Model 7) also uncovered the deleterious effect of maternal smoking
309 during pregnancy on lowering infant birthweights. While the effect of maternal stress
310 approached significance after controlling for key covariates, the prenatal stress variable became
311 statistically insignificant after including the smoking variable into the model, which itself was
312 not a significant predictor of birthweight. Maternal smoking during pregnancy is a well-known

313 risk factor for LBW (Magee et al. 2004; Steyn et al. 2006) and is understood to lower
314 birthweight through various pathways, including fetal hypoxia (Abel 1980), uterine
315 vasoconstriction (Quigley et al. 1979), and oxidative stress-mediated alterations in placental
316 function (Stone et al. 2014), all of which can contribute to fetal growth restriction. Further
317 analyses indicate that prenatal stress and smoking are collinear with one another (results not
318 shown), suggesting a possible bi-directional relationship between the two variables: smokers
319 may exhibit higher stress levels than non-smokers, or highly stressed women may be more likely
320 to smoke as a coping mechanism (Lobel et al. 2008). Because of this collinearity, our measure of
321 prenatal stress alone may adequately capture the negative impacts of both stress and smoking on
322 infant birthweight.

323 While GA was a significant and expected predictor of birthweight and accounted for 46%
324 of the variation in our models, contrary to the larger literature (Glynn et al. 2008; Zhu et al.
325 2010), prenatal stress does not appear to lower birthweight as a result of shortened GA in this
326 sample. Our data reported a positive but statistically insignificant relationship between the
327 severity of stress exposure during early pregnancy and GA. It is only after controlling for
328 gestational duration that the negative association between early prenatal stress and birthweight
329 approaches significance (Model 6). This pattern suggests that fetal growth is likely slowed in
330 relation to first trimester stress, but that this stress-linked delay in fetal growth is masked by non-
331 significantly longer gestations among women in our sample. The lack of an association between
332 stress and GA may be explained by prior global epidemiological patterns of birth outcomes by
333 location, which show that in high-income countries, the majority of LBW babies are the result of
334 shortened gestation rather than growth restriction (Mattison et al. 2001). In contrast, in LMICs,
335 LBW persists irrespective of GA as well as an increased prevalence of SGA due to

336 environmental and nutritional conditions such as greater infectious disease burden and
337 undernutrition, which compromise maternal metabolism and the overall development of the fetus
338 (Pike 2005).

339 While the prenatal stress scale was operationalized as a marker of maternal stress at the
340 time of collection, the timing effects may reasonably reflect exposures experienced up to six
341 months prior to data collection as the scale assessed retrospective maternal exposures within the
342 past six months. Because the stress assessment was administered before 14 weeks of pregnancy,
343 the measure may represent experiences up to three to six months before pregnancy. Pre-
344 pregnancy maternal stress has also predicted LBW in past studies (Khashan et al. 2008). In the
345 present study, there is also no evidence for the protective effects of social support as the main
346 effects of social support and the interaction between stress and social support was not significant.

347 In summary, women who experienced psychosocial stress early in gestation gave birth to
348 modestly lower birthweight babies in our sample of South African mothers and infants. Women
349 who experienced greater levels of stress appeared to have non-significantly longer gestations,
350 and the negative impact of maternal stress on infant birthweight only appeared after adjusting for
351 this pattern. These results suggest that delays in fetal growth associated with first trimester
352 maternal stress may contribute to lowering birthweights in our sample. Our findings emphasize
353 the importance of better understanding the sources of stress experienced among women of
354 reproductive age in Soweto in order create social interventions aimed at reducing maternal stress,
355 especially early in gestation. Furthermore, this study informs larger public health campaigns,
356 such as earlier antenatal visits, earlier ultrasound screenings, and better monitoring of maternal
357 psychosocial states during pregnancy, designed to ameliorate and prevent the high morbidity of
358 poor birth outcomes across South Africa and other LMICs.

Bibliography

1. Abel, E. L. (1980). Smoking during pregnancy: a review of effects on growth and development of offspring. *Human biology*, 593-625.
2. Ae-Ngibise, K., Jack, D., Wylie, B., Oppong, F., Kaali, S., Agyei, O., Kinney, P., Wright, R., Asante, K., Lee, A. and GRAPHIS study team. (2019). Impact of prenatal maternal stress on birth anthropometrics and pregnancy outcomes in rural Ghana. *Environmental Epidemiology*, 3, 4.
3. Alexander, P., Ceruti, C., Motseke, K., Phadi, M., & Wale, K. (2013). *Class in Soweto*. University of KwaZulu-Natal Press.
4. Barker, D. J. (1998). In utero programming of chronic disease. *Clinical science*, 95(2), 115-128.
5. Beijers, R., Buitelaar, J. K., & de Weerth, C. (2014). Mechanisms underlying the effects of prenatal psychosocial stress on child outcomes: beyond the HPA axis. *European child & adolescent psychiatry*, 23(10), 943-956.
6. Berghänel, A., Heistermann, M., Schülke, O., & Ostner, J. (2017). Prenatal stress accelerates offspring growth to compensate for reduced maternal investment across mammals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(50), E10658-E10666.
7. Berkowitz, G. S., Wolff, M. S., Janevic, T. M., Holzman, I. R., Yehuda, R., & Landrigan, P. J. (2003). The World Trade Center disaster and intrauterine growth restriction. *Jama*, 290(5), 595-596.
8. Bradshaw, D., Bourne, D., & Nannan, N. (2003). What are the leading causes of death among South African children. *MRC policy brief*, 3, 1-4.
9. Bolten, M. I., Wurmser, H., Buske-Kirschbaum, A., Papoušek, M., Pirke, K. M., & Hellhammer, D. (2011). Cortisol levels in pregnancy as a psychobiological predictor for birthweight. *Archives of women's mental health*, 14(1), 33-41.
10. Bussieres, E. L., Tarabulsky, G. M., Pearson, J., Tessier, R., Forest, J. C., & Giguere, Y. (2015). Maternal prenatal stress and infant birthweight and gestational age: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Developmental Review*, 36, 179-199.
11. Class, Q. A., Lichtenstein, P., Långström, N., & D'onofrio, B. M. (2011). Timing of prenatal maternal exposure to severe life events and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a population study of 2.6 million pregnancies. *Psychosomatic medicine*, 73(3), 234.
12. Collins J. W., David, R. J., Handler, A., Wall, S., & Andes, S. (2004). Very low birthweight in African American infants: the role of maternal exposure to interpersonal racial discrimination. *American journal of public health*, 94(12), 2132-2138.
13. Coussons-Read, M. E., Lobel, M., Carey, J. C., Kreither, M. O., D'Anna, K., Argys, L., Ross, R.G., Brandt, C. & Cole, S. (2012). The occurrence of preterm delivery is linked to pregnancy-specific distress and elevated inflammatory markers across gestation. *Brain, behavior, and immunity*, 26(4), 650-659.
14. Cox, J. L., Chapman, G., Murray, D., & Jones, P. (1996). Validation of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) in non-postnatal women. *Journal of affective disorders*, 39(3), 185-189.
15. D'Anna-Hernandez, K. L., Hoffman, M. C., Zerbe, G. O., Coussons-Read, M., Ross, R. G., & Laudenslager, M. L. (2012). Acculturation, maternal cortisol and birth outcomes in women of Mexican descent. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 74(3), 296.

16. Diego, M.A., Field, T., Hernandez-Reif, M., Schanberg, S., Kuhn, C., Gonzalez-Quintero, V.H., 2009. Prenatal depression restricts fetal growth. *Early Human Development*. 85, 65-70. Doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2008.07.002.
17. Ding, X.X., Wu, Y.L., Xu, S.J., Zhu, R.P., Jia, X.M., Zhang, S.F., Huang, K., Zhu, P., Hao, J.H., & Tao, F.B. (2014). Maternal anxiety during pregnancy and adverse birth outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Journal of affective disorders*, 159, 103-110.
18. Engel, S. M., Berkowitz, G. S., Wolff, M. S., & Yehuda, R. (2005). Psychological trauma associated with the World Trade Center attacks and its effect on pregnancy outcome. *Paediatric and perinatal epidemiology*, 19(5), 334-341.
19. Field, T., & Diego, M. (2008). Cortisol: the culprit prenatal stress variable. *International Journal of Neuroscience*, 118(8), 1181-1205.
20. Field, T., Diego, M., & Hernandez-Reif, M. (2006). Prenatal depression effects on the fetus and newborn: a review. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 29(3), 445-455.
21. Gitau, R., Fisk, N. M., & Glover, V. (2001). Maternal stress in pregnancy and its effect on the human foetus: an overview of research findings. *Stress*, 4(3), 195-203.
22. Glover, V., Bergman, K., Sarkar, P., & O'Connor, T. G. (2009). Association between maternal and amniotic fluid cortisol is moderated by maternal anxiety. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 34(3), 430-435.
23. Glover, V., & O'Connor, T. G. (2002). Effects of antenatal stress and anxiety: implications for development and psychiatry. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 180(5), 389-391.
24. Glynn, L. M., Wadhwa, P. D., Dunkel-Schetter, C., Chicz-DeMet, A., & Sandman, C. A. (2001). When stress happens matters: effects of earthquake timing on stress responsivity in pregnancy. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*, 184(4), 637-642.
25. Glynn, L. M., Schetter, C. D., Hobel, C. J., & Sandman, C. A. (2008). Pattern of perceived stress and anxiety in pregnancy predicts preterm birth. *Health Psychology*, 27(1), 43.
26. Goedhart, G., Vrijkotte, T. G., Roseboom, T. J., van der Wal, M. F., Cuijpers, P., & Bonse, G. J. (2010). Maternal cortisol and offspring birthweight: results from a large prospective cohort study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 35(5), 644-652.
27. Grote, N. K., Bridge, J. A., Gavin, A. R., Melville, J. L., Iyengar, S., & Katon, W. J. (2010). A meta-analysis of depression during pregnancy and the risk of preterm birth, low birthweight, and intrauterine growth restriction. *Archives of general psychiatry*, 67(10), 1012-1024.
28. Harder, T., Rodekamp, E., Schellong, K., Dudenhausen, J. W., & Plagemann, A. (2007). Birthweight and subsequent risk of type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *American journal of epidemiology*, 165(8), 849-857.
29. Hobel, C. J., Goldstein, A. M. Y., & Barrett, E. S. (2008). Psychosocial stress and pregnancy outcome. *Clinical obstetrics and gynecology*, 51(2), 333-348.
30. Jones, A., Godfrey, K. M., Wood, P., Osmond, C., Goulden, P., & Phillips, D. I. (2006). Fetal growth and the adrenocortical response to psychological stress. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 91(5), 1868-1871.
31. Khashan, A. S., Everard, C., McCowan, L. M. E., Dekker, G., Moss-Morris, R., Baker, P. N., et al. (2014). Second-trimester maternal distress increases the risk of small for gestational age. *Psychological Medicine*, 44, 1-12.

32. Khashan, A. S., McNamee, R., Abel, K. M., Pedersen, M. G., Webb, R. T., Kenny, L. C., et al. (2008). Reduced infant birthweight consequent upon maternal exposure to severe life events. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 70, 688–694.
33. Kramer MS. 1987. Determinants of low birthweight: methodological assessment and meta-analysis. *Bull WHO* 65:663–737.
34. Kuzawa, C. W., & Sweet, E. (2009). Epigenetics and the embodiment of race: developmental origins of US racial disparities in cardiovascular health. *American Journal of Human Biology: The Official Journal of the Human Biology Association*, 21(1), 2-15.
35. Lederman, S. A., Rauh, V., Weiss, L., Stein, J. L., Hoepner, L. A., Becker, M., & Perera, F. P. (2004). The effects of the World Trade Center event on birth outcomes among term deliveries at three lower Manhattan hospitals. *Environmental health perspectives*, 112(17), 1772-1778.
36. Lee, B. J., & Lim, S. H. (2010). Risk of low birthweight associated with family poverty in Korea. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 32(12), 1670-1674.
37. Levitt, N. S., Lambert, E. V., Woods, D., Hales, C. N., Andrew, R., & Seckl, J. R. (2000). Impaired glucose tolerance and elevated blood pressure in low birthweight, nonobese, young South African adults: early programming of cortisol axis. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 85(12), 4611-4618.
38. Lobel, M., Cannella, D. L., Graham, J. E., DeVincent, C., Schneider, J., & Meyer, B. A. (2008). Pregnancy-specific stress, prenatal health behaviors, and birth outcomes. *Health Psychology*, 27, 604–615.
39. Magee, B. D., Hattis, D., & Kivel, N. M. (2004). Role of smoking in low birthweight. *The Journal of reproductive medicine*, 49(1), 23-27.
40. Marteau, T. M., & Bekker, H. (1992). The development of a six-item short form of the state scale of the Spielberger State—Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). *British journal of clinical Psychology*, 31(3), 301-306.
41. Mattison D, Damus K, Fiore E, Petrini J, Alter C. 2001. Preterm delivery: a public health perspective. *Pediat Perinat Epidemiol* 15:7–16.
42. Majzoub, J. A., & Karalis, K. P. (1999). Placental corticotropin-releasing hormone: function and regulation. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*, 180(1), S242-S246.
43. McDade, T. W., Rutherford, J., Adair, L., & Kuzawa, C. W. (2009). Early origins of inflammation: microbial exposures in infancy predict lower levels of C-reactive protein in adulthood. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 277(1684), 1129-1137.
44. Mu, M., Wang, S. F., Sheng, J., Zhao, Y., Li, H. Z., Hu, C. L., & Tao, F. B. (2012). Birthweight and subsequent blood pressure: a meta-analysis. *Archives of cardiovascular diseases*, 105(2), 99-113.
45. Murphy, V. E., & Clifton, V. L. (2003). Alterations in human placental 11 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 and 2 with gestational age and labour. *Placenta*, 24(7), 739-744.
46. Obel, C., Hedegaard, M., Henriksen, T. B., Secher, N. J., Olsen, J., & Levine, S. (2005). Stress and salivary cortisol during pregnancy. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 30(7), 647-656.
47. O'Donnell, K., O'Connor, T. G., & Glover, V. (2009). Prenatal stress and neurodevelopment of the child: focus on the HPA axis and role of the placenta. *Developmental neuroscience*, 31(4), 285-292.
48. O'Donnell, K. J., Jensen, A. B., Freeman, L., Khalife, N., O'Connor, T. G., & Glover, V. (2012). Maternal prenatal anxiety and downregulation of placental 11 β -HSD2. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 37(6), 818-826.

49. Pagel, M. D., Smilkstein, G., Regen, H., & Montano, D. (1990). Psychosocial influences on new born outcomes: a controlled prospective study. *Social science & medicine*, 30(5), 597-604.
50. Patil, D., Enquobahrie, D. A., Peckham, T., Seixas, N., & Hajat, A. (2020). Retrospective cohort study of the association between maternal employment precarity and infant low birth weight in women in the USA. *BMJ open*, 10(1).
51. Quigley, M. E., Sheehan, K. L., Wilkes, M. M., & Yen, S. S. C. (1979). Effects of maternal smoking on circulating catecholamine levels and fetal heart rates. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 133(6), 685-690.
52. Ramchandani, P. G., Richter, L. M., Norris, S. A., & Stein, A. (2010). Maternal prenatal stress and later child behavioral problems in an urban South African setting. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 49(3), 239-247.
53. Resnik, R. (2002). Intrauterine growth restriction. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 99(3), 490-496.
54. Rondó, P. H., Ferreira, R. F., Nogueira, F., Ribeiro, M. C., Lobert, H., & Artes, R. (2003). Maternal psychological stress and distress as predictors of low birthweight, prematurity and intrauterine growth retardation. *European journal of clinical nutrition*, 57(2), 266.
55. Rosa, M. J., Nentin, F., Bosquet Enlow, M., Hacker, M. R., Pollas, N., Coull, B., & Wright, R. J. (2019). Sex-specific associations between prenatal negative life events and birth outcomes. *Stress*, 22(6), 647-653.
56. Ryu, H. (2019). Maternal prenatal stress and birth weight (Doctoral dissertation, KDI School).
57. Steyn, K., De Wet, T., Saloojee, Y., Nel, H., & Yach, D. (2006). The influence of maternal cigarette smoking, snuff use and passive smoking on pregnancy outcomes: the Birth To Ten Study. *Paediatric and perinatal epidemiology*, 20(2), 90-99.
58. Stone, W. L., Bailey, B., & Khraisha, N. (2014). The pathophysiology of smoking during pregnancy: a systems biology approach. *Front Biosci (Elite Ed)*, 6(2), 318-328.
59. Thayer, Z. M., Feranil, A. B., & Kuzawa, C. W. (2012). Maternal cortisol disproportionately impacts fetal growth in male offspring: evidence from the Philippines. *American Journal of Human Biology*, 24(1), 1-4.
60. Therrien, A.S., Buffa, G., Roome, A., Standard, E., Taleo, G., Tarivonda, L., Olszowy, K.M. and Dancause, K.N. (2020, April). Prenatal stress predicts birthweight independent of maternal dietary patterns: results of a prospective longitudinal study in Vanuatu. In *AMERICAN JOURNAL OF HUMAN BIOLOGY* (Vol. 32). 111 RIVER ST, HOBOKEN 07030-5774, NJ USA: WILEY.
61. Vrijkotte, T. G., Van Der Wal, M. F., Van Eijnsden, M., & Bonsel, G. J. (2009). First-trimester working conditions and birthweight: a prospective cohort study. *American journal of public health*, 99(8), 1409-1416.
62. Wadhwa, P. D., Entringer, S., Buss, C., & Lu, M. C. (2011). The contribution of maternal stress to preterm birth: issues and considerations. *Clinics in perinatology*, 38(3), 351-384.
63. Whincup, P.H., Kaye, S.J., Owen, C.G., Huxley, R., Cook, D.G., Anazawa, S., Barrett-Connor, E., Bhargava, S.K., Birgisdottir, B.E., Carlsson, S., & De Rooij, S.R (2008). Birthweight and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *JAMA*, 300(24), 2886-2897.
64. World Health Organization. (2019). UNICEF-WHO low birthweight estimates: levels and trends 2000-2015 (No. WHO/NMH/NHD/19.21). United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF).

65. Zhu, P., Tao, F., Hao, J., Sun, Y., & Jiang, X. (2010). Prenatal life events stress: implications for preterm birth and infant birthweight. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*, 203(1), 34-e1.

TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Demographic characteristics, social experience, and birth outcomes

Variables	n = 657	%	Range
<i>Demographics</i>			
Infant gender (% female)	326	49.6	
Age (at enrollment)	30 (5.8)		18 - 44
18-24	142	21.6	
25-29	183	27.9	
30-34	169	25.7	
35-44	163	24.8	
Marital status			
Single	403	61.3	
Married/Cohabiting	254	38.7	
Educational attainment (% attended)			
No school or primary school	17	2.6	
Secondary school	478	72.8	
Professional/teaching/university	162	24.7	
Maternal body-mass index (BMI)	28.2		15.8 – 60.6
Primiparity (count)			
0	589	89.7	1-9
≥1	68	10.3	
Smoking			
No	602	91.6	
Yes	55	8.4	
<i>Social experience</i>			
Prenatal stress, 1 st trimester (count)	4 (2.3)		0-12
Prenatal stress, 3 rd trimester (count)*	3 (2.0)		0-9
Social support, 1 st trimester (count)	6 (1.2)		2-8
Social support, 3 rd trimester (count)*	6 (1.1)		2-7
Maternal depression, 1 st trimester (EPDS)	9.4 (5.9)		0-27
Maternal anxiety, 1 st trimester (STAI)	9.4 (2.5)		6-21
Maternal depression, 1 st trimester (EPDS)	8.6 (5.8)		0-26
Maternal anxiety, 3 rd trimester (STAI)	9.6 (2.6)		6-24
<i>Infant birth outcomes</i>			
Birth weight (g)	2971 (578)		730 – 4500
Low birth weight (<2500g)	109	16.6%	
Gestational age (week)	38.1 (2.4)		25 - 42
Preterm birth	96	14.6%	

*Due to attrition, the sample size for data collected during the 3rd trimester visit was 510.

Table 2. Multiple regression models of first trimester prenatal stress scores predicting birthweight (grams)

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
Prenatal stress	-7.6 ± 10.0	-7.2 ± 10.0	-7.0 ± 9.9	-6.3 ± 9.8	-12.4 ± 7.1 [^]	-12.7 ± 7.0 [^]	-10.3 ± 7.2
Gender (female)		-76.4 ± 45.1 [^]	-72.7 ± 45.0	-76.1 ± 44.4 [^]	-114.1 ± 32.0 ^{***}	-109.8 ± 31.8 ^{**}	-109.3 ± 31.8 ^{**}
Maternal age			-7.1 ± 3.9 [^]	-9.0 ± 3.8 [*]	-3.0 ± 2.8	-5.1 ± 2.8 [^]	-5.3 ± 2.8 [^]
Maternal BMI				16.1 ± 3.6 ^{***}	15.0 ± 2.6 ^{***}	14.0 ± 2.6 ^{***}	13.9 ± 2.6 ^{***}
Gestational age					23.7 ± 1.0 ^{***}	24.0 ± 1.0 ^{***}	24.0 ± 1.0 ^{***}
Primiparity						-165.7 ± 54.7 ^{**}	-158.1 ± 54.9 ^{**}
Smoking							-94.5 ± 59.3
Intercept	2997.5 ± 42.9 ^{***}	3034.0 ± 47.9 ^{***}	3244.7 ± 124.1 ^{***}	2849.1 ± 151.0 ^{***}	-3658.9 ± 285.7 ^{***}	-3635.6 ± 284.0 ^{***}	-3616.1 ± 284.0 ^{***}
Model R ²	0.0009	0.0053	0.0104	0.0399	0.5034	0.5103	0.5122

[^]*p* < 0.10

^{*}*p* < 0.05

^{**}*p* < 0.01

^{***}*p* < 0.001

Table 2a. Zero-order correlations across study variables

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Birthweight	1							
2. Stress	0.0299	1						
3. Gender	-0.0668	0.0222	1					
4. Maternal age	-0.0749	0.0122	0.0455	1				
5. Maternal BMI	0.1615	-0.0142	0.0221	0.1121	1			
6. Gestational age	0.6822	0.0346	0.0451	-0.0846	0.0073	1		
7. Primiparity	-0.0125	-0.0081	0.0331	-0.2654	-0.1470	0.1242	1	
8. Smoking	-0.0719	0.2071	0.0138	-0.0644	-0.0445	-0.0083	0.0983	1

* $p < 0.05$