Knowledge, attitude, and practices regarding COVID-19: A study on workers from a food industry in Bangladesh.

[1]Md. Fahad Jubayer[a,*], [1]Md. Shahidullah Kayshar[a], Md. Faizul Kabir[b], Md. Tariqul Islam Limon[c] ,Md. Al-Emran[d], Md. Nasir Uddin[d]

[a]Department of Food Engineering & Technology, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh.

[b]Bangladesh Bank (central bank of Bangladesh), Sylhet, Banglaesh.

[c]Cox's Bazar Medical College and Hospital, Bangladesh.

[d]Department of Food Technology and Rural Industries, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh.

[1]Equal contribution

***Corresponding author:**

Md. Fahad Jubayer, Assistant Professor, Department of Food Engineering & Technology, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh.

Email: fahadbau21@hotmail.com

Phone: +88-01876-529642

Abstract: While people around the world are terrified of the global pandemic coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and no registered vaccine is out yet, undertaking preventive safety measures are said to be the only way to stay away from it. People's adherence to these measures is broadly dependent on their knowledge, attitude, and practices (KAP). The present study was designed to evaluate workers' knowledge, attitude, and practices from a food industry in Dhaka, Bangladesh, towards COVID-19. A number of 155 respondents took part in this online-based study. The information was acquired online from the participants through a questionnaire prepared in Google form. With a correct response rate of about 90% on average (knowledge 89.7%, attitude 93%, practices 88.2%), the participants showed a good level of KAP regarding COVID-19. However, education and working experiences had a significant association with the total KAP scores ($p < 0.05$). Further KAP studies in different generic food industries in Bangladesh should be carried out to bring a more precise picture for ensuring the level of workplace and worker's safety.

Keywords: COVID-19; KAP; food industry; food handlers; knowledge; Bangladesh.

1. Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is considered as one of the most widespread pandemics since long. Because of its high transmission rate and unusual infection characteristic, it has become a worldwide public health threat. After the first case being diagnosed in Wuhan, China, in December 2019, the world health organization (WHO) declared it a global health emergency. The causative pathogen was later named as a novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV), which is also called as severe acute respiratory syndrome corona virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) (Huang et al., 2020). Fever, fatigue, sore throat, prolonged dry cough, headache, nasal blockage, diarrhea, etc. are the beginning symptoms of this disease that may lead to several fatal complications like organ failure and severe pneumonia (Chen et al., 2020).

As of July 15, 2020, there are more than 13 million confirmed cases in almost every country and territory with a burden of nearly 575K deaths (WHO, 2020). This disease has already made its deadly mark in Bangladesh since the first case spotted on March 08, 2020. There are nearly 2 lac people affected by it, along with a number of the dead of more than two thousand. In Bangladesh, the most confirmed cases of COVID-19 are in Dhaka, with almost 20% of total cases (IEDCR, 2020).

Bangladesh is still in a grave condition where thousands of people are getting affected every day. The government of Bangladesh is doing its best to improve the situation and trying implementing various measures to make the people aware of the situation. The general holidays were taken up to May 31, 2020, for the sixth time (Jubayer et al., 2020). All educational institutions are still remained closed, although online classes and lectures are going on. The government offices are also functioning, maintaining all safety measures. The economy of this country is mostly reliant on the processing and manufacturing sectors, and most people make their living through daily income. Nevertheless, 'working from home' is not a feasible option for food processing or any other manufacturing industry (Nicola et al., 2020). Also, for avoiding the risk of food shortage, the highest priorities should be given on supply chains to function appropriately (Galanakis, 2020). Some similar reasons drive the industries running their operation during this situation. That is why it is necessary to ensure good health and safety for workers providing high importance in maintaining physical distance in manufacturing plants (Shahidi, 2020). According to the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, a food processing industry is a critical infrastructure, where the workers are needed to work in an enhanced safe environment (Dyal, 2020).

Along with food safety, the manufacturers should now encompass measures for the prevention and control of coronavirus within their plant (SGS, 2020). The SARS-CoV-2 is not a foodborne disease, as there is no evidence of transmission through any food product (EFSA, 2020; Shahidi, 2020). Standard handling procedures for food is supposed to be enough for the management of COVID-19 inside the factory, so long as personal distancing and additional safety procedures are conformed (SGS, 2020). However, no respiratory virus is transmitted via food. But, there is a chance of transmission if any infected person touches the food, sneezes or coughs on it, and after that, another person makes contact with the same

food and touches his nose, eyes, or swallows it (Galanakis, 2020; Rizou et al., 2020). Therefore, careful handling of foods and packaging materials, practicing proper personal hygiene, especially washing and sanitizing hands extensively, should be ensured by the management of every food manufacturing industry. The FDA has suggested cleaning and sanitizing the food contact surface before production operation as precaution measures (Seymour et al., 2020). So, it may be possible for the workers to be infected with the virus through contact surfaces and surroundings when working.

For the successful prevention and control of this coronavirus disease (COVID-19), the people must obey the control measures as suggested by the WHO (WHO, 2020). The success of implementing preventive measures is mostly dependent on the knowledge, attitude, and practices of an individual (Karim et al., 2020; Zhong et al., 2020; Ajilore et al., 2017). Several studies revealed that the prevention of the previous SARS outbreak was associated somewhat with the knowledge and attitude of people towards infectious diseases (Person et al., 2004; Tao, 2003). However, in the current aspect of Bangladesh and food industries here, there is no authentic study or reports regarding worker's safety, food safety, and measures taken during the time of this pandemic. Also, consumer health, worker's health, and working environment are of paramount concern. To enable and facilitate the management of the COVID-19 within Bangladesh's food industries, we feel an urge to understand and evaluate the worker's awareness and knowledge towards the disease at this crucial moment. Hence, the present study was conducted to investigate the COVID-19 related knowledge, attitude, and practices (KAP) of workers from a food industry in Dhaka, Bangladesh. We hope this study will help the higher management of Bangladeshi food industries develop further useful strategies and may guide the researchers to conduct extensive KAP studies regarding COVID-19 in different food industries.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study place and population

This study was conducted in a food manufacturing industry in Dhaka, Bangladesh, in the first week of May 2020. Participants in this study were the general floor workers of the industry. We selected people from the production, quality assurance (QA), store, maintenance, and human resource management (HRM) departments to participate in this survey. During the time of the study, 240 permanent workers were working in different departments. Among them, 155 workers took part in this study. It is good to mention that this was a baking industry. The production was running for 8 hours per day instead of 24 hours, maintaining all possible safety measures.

2.2 Procedure

For avoiding close contact with the respondents, we decided to design a web-based questionnaire. A structured questionnaire was prepared online (Google forms), and the link was sent to the respondents through email and social media (WhatsApp and Facebook messenger). Some recent studies were followed while preparing the questionnaire (Huynh et al., 2020; Wadood et al., 2020; Olum et al., 2020). Section managers of different departments

provided us the email addresses, and the social media link of the workers agreed to participate voluntarily. For convenience, both the Bengali and English version of the questionnaire were prepared accordingly. A concise and straightforward completion guideline was displayed at the beginning of the questionnaire. Also, the section managers were made aware of that. It is good to mention that some workers did not have any smartphone, computer, or internet access. For them, the relevant section managers collected the responses through a print copy of the online questionnaire and completed it again accordingly online. In the first part, respondents were required to fill information designed to gather demographic data. The second part was on the knowledge, attitude, and practices regarding COVID-19. The knowledge part was divided into two parts, namely, general COVID-19 knowledge (10 questions) and knowledge related to food safety (10 questions). The attitude and practices part was comprised of 10 questions each. Questions from the KAP section were answered as yes/no, agree/disagree, yes/no basis, respectively. A correct and desired response received one point, and the wrong or undesired answer received zero points. For the determination of adequate knowledge (>80%, score>16), positive attitude (>80%, score>8), as well as desirable practices (>80%, score>8), Bloom's cut-off point of 80% was utilized (Kaliyaperumal, 2004).

2.3 Statistical analysis

Various tabular and statistical analyses have been used to conceptualize the whole scenario. SPSS version 16.0 was used for statistical analysis. The primary analysis of data showed non-normality in the sktest and Shapiro-Wilk test; hence we have used nonparametric alternative tests, namely, Mann-Whitney U test, Wilcoxon W test, and Kruskal-Wallis H test. KAP scores of respondents are presented as mean and standard deviation. Categorical variables are computed as percentages. A linear regression model has been performed to determine underlying factors that enhanced KAP scores. In the regression model, gender is a dummy variable; age and experience are continuous variables, and the education and field of work belong to categorical variables.

3. Results

3.1 Demographic characteristics

The demographic characteristics of participants are shown in Figure 1. The mean age of the respondents was 32.9±8.5, with the majority being male. Most of them were aged between 30 to 40. Almost everyone (93.5%) had some level of education, among which the maximum percentage (41.9%) was in the secondary education group. Rest had university and technical education. The majority of respondents (46.4%) had experienced between 2 to 5 years, while the least (10.3%) had working experience of more than ten years. There were several operation departments in the industry. Most of the workers among the participants were from production departments, followed by quality assurance (QA), maintenance, store, and human resource management (HRM). It seemed that a noticeable amount of people (54.8%) mentioned social media as a source of information about COVID-19, while no one was found unaware of the situation.

Figure 1. Demographic characteristics of respondents.

3.2 Knowledge, attitude, and practices of respondents

Table 1 shows the knowledge of participants regarding COVID-19. About 89.7% of respondents provided the correct answer with a score of 17.9 (SD=0.08). Questions about general COVID-19 knowledge received 91.6% accurate response, while questions concerning food safety received 87.9% correct answers. Workers had sufficient knowledge on most of the issues. But when it comes to the survival and destruction condition of coronavirus, they seemed to have some problems. Whether the virus can survive at freezing temperature, or can UV kill it – got less than 80% correct responses. About two in ten workers failed to answer comorbidity risk, zoonoses, and were not sure if there is any registered medication available in the market.

The results related to the attitude are summarized in **Table 2**. With an excellent 9.3 (SD=0.04) score, more than 90% of people showed a positive attitude towards different issues of COVID-19. More than a few of 10% of participants didn't think that they could get affected while working, while almost the same number of people were not interested in training on COVID-19. Nearly 13% didn't believe that washing hands for minimum of 20 seconds is necessary to be safe from the virus.

Like knowledge and attitude, the respondents' practices from the food industry also showed a desirable outcome (**Table 3**). An average of about 88% of workers maintained commendable and appropriate practices during the time of this pandemic situation. Though, some issues, like staying away from a crowded place, not touching eyes, nose, and mouths during food handling, avoiding frequent use of public transportation, were not practiced regularly by nearly 20% of the workers.

Table 1. Knowledge of respondents regarding COVID-19.

Table 2. Attitude of respondents towards COVID-19.

Table 3. Practices of respondents during COVID-19.

Score on knowledge, attitude, and practices have been tested by different demographic characteristics, i.e., gender, age group, education, experience, the field of work in current company, and source of information on COVID-19 (**Table 4**). The null hypothesis of the Mann-Whitney U and the Wilcoxon test stipulates that each group's distribution was the same. In the case of knowledge, all demographic characteristics showed significant differences in central tendencies for each distribution. When it comes to attitude, all demographic characteristics other than the job area showed significant differences. Education was the only factor that showed a significant difference in the test for practices score. Therefore, the demographic characteristics lead to varying the individual score while education possesses significant results in all three KAP elements.

Other than the individual score on KAP elements, in the regression model, we have determined underlying factors that enhanced the total KAP score. The R-square value indicates the global check for the model that the model explains 81.2% of the variance in total score on knowledge, attitude, and practices (**Table 5**). F value is very close to zero, which indicates that the regression model is statistically significant and predicts the outcome variable. In this regression model, education and experience significantly associate the knowledge and practices of COVID-19 among the food industry workers. Age, gender, and field of work have no significant association with the knowledge, attitude, and practices towards COVID-19. Those attending primary school has 7.4 times more knowledge of COVID-19 in comparison to those who did not attend school, and it is 6.9 higher among the high school attending employee, 7.4 times more for those having a University degree, a slightly lower with 6.7 times higher among the technical education holders in comparison to the non-school going participants. Experience is also statistically significant at 5% level of confidence, but unexpectedly the coefficient is negative in value, although very minimal. That shows that those who are younger in the profession are more cautious or knowledgeable about COVID-19 than the old employee.

Table 4. Differences in KAP scores according to the demographic characteristics.

Table 5. Regression of total KAP scores.

4. Discussion

The global pandemic COVID-19 is driving people to undertake various precautionary measures around the world, like refrain from usual social and economic activities, lock themselves inside the house most of the time, gathering foods for upcoming days, etc. (Foodware365, 2020). In Bangladesh, strict protocols are not followed by the people, especially in the capital Dhaka, a megacity. From the perspective of Bangladesh, a densely populated and lower-middle-income country, social distancing is visibly impossible. People instead work outside in this dangerous situation than starving (Anwar et al., 2020). Food and beverage industries worldwide are likely to experience variable impacts of COVID-19 on different stages of its value and supply chain (Manepalli & Nagvenkar, 2020). The food industries of Bangladesh are no exception. There are problems in the supply of raw materials and daily labor, consumer demands, and transportation. The workers and corporate level are at a high risk of infection that can potentially impact the production and manufacturing of food products. Right now, primary concern for the food industry is to provide all support to keep their employees healthy and available (Foodware365). Food handlers are usually exposed to various biological materials (Peng et al., 2020). Moreover, the coronavirus can persist in the food industry's regular handling surface, e.g., metal, plastic, and glass, for a couple of days (Kampf et al., 2020). Therefore the workers need to have knowledge of proper safety rules and bear attitude for practicing those to stay safe from spreading COVID-19.

To the best of our knowledge, this current study is the first that evaluates the KAPs of food handlers of a food industry towards the pandemic COVID-19. However, since the outbreak, we found some studies regarding KAPs and COVID-19 conducted with healthcare workers

and physicians (Huynh et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Saqlain et al., 2020; Olum et al., 2020; Khader et al., 2020; Ahmed et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2020; Nepal et al., 2020), students (Aynalem et al., 2020; Taghrir et al., 2020; Wadood et al., 2020), and general people (Abdelhafiz et al., 2020; Azlan et al., 2020; Zhong et al., 2020; Karim et al., 2020; Roy et al., 2020).

In the present study, the respondents from the food industry had sufficient knowledge regarding COVID-19. Almost 90% of them provided a correct response in different questions asked on COVID-19. The knowledge section was divided into two parts, namely, general and food safety, that was responded correctly by 91.6% and 87.9% of participants, respectively. Similar kind of performances where more than 80% respondents have accurate knowledge on COVID-19 can be found in some recent studies by Saqlain et al., 2020 (93.2%), Zhong et al., 2020 (90%), Shi et al., 2020 (89.5%), Zhang et al., 2020 (89%), Taghrir et al., 2020 (86.9%), Nepal et al., 2020 (82.2%), Olum et al., 2020 (82.4%). We have come to know from the management that they arranged specialized COVID-19 training weekly since the first case been diagnosed in Bangladesh. Section managers and executives conducted those training. In addition, the industry has different food safety certifications like ISO, HACCP, etc., and for this purpose, they need to arrange food safety training for all of their employees at regular intervals. This may be one of the reasons for their performances in food safety knowledge. Although it is not possible to compare the outcomes with any study on Food industry and COVID-19, we found that the food safety knowledge level of food handlers from food different food industries was satisfactory (Adesokan and Raji, 2014; Jianu and Goleț, 2014; Ansari-Lari et al., 2010).

The respondents seemed to have well acknowledged about the necessary information on COVID-19. The causative organism, symptoms, severity, and consequences of the infection, how it spreads – are usually known to almost 9 in every ten people. Comparatively, few people were aware of whether this disease can transmit from pet animal to human and if there is any registered treatment option or medicine available in the market. Due to the spreading of panic and fake news in this pandemic time, many owners and people fear that pets might transmit the disease to them. There is no significant proof of substantial threat from pets or animals (Parry, 2020). More than 10% of them were not sure that diseases like diabetes, CVD, and asthma could be deadly in this situation. Usually, participants with no education appeared to have very poor knowledge of this issue. People who acquire information about COVID-19 from their friends and family are also a bit unaware of this matter. Some workers do not have education, solvency, and have no access to the internet and smartphones. They mostly depend on other people for any information on COVID-19. As a result, several essential information remained unknown to them.

When we tried to ask them questions regarding the COVID-19 issue and food safety, correct response percentages (87.9%) were lower than the general part (91.6%). More than 25% failed to answer the question regarding the survival of SARS-CoV-2 in freezing temperatures. According to the WHO, this organism can survive at −20 °C for nearly two years, and transmission via frozen food can be possible (WHO, 2020). A study by Mannan and Mannan, 2020 found that among people from different occupations in Bangladesh, there

is a significant knowledge gap about the incubation and transmission characteristics of this virus. When it comes to relating the freezing temperature and microorganisms, several studies demonstrated a low level of food handler's knowledge regarding this (Al-Kandari et al., 2019; Akabanda et al., 2017; Galgamuwa et al., 2016; Ansari-Lari et al., 2010; Baş et al., 2006). But the level of performance and knowledge level on related topic of the respondents in current study is undoubtedly better than these studies.

Usually, for the inactivation of viruses, a typical cooking temperature of more than 70°C is sufficient (für Risikobewertung, 2020). The workers knew that cooking and heating is enough for the product to make it safe from pathogens. Besides, almost everyone (97.4%) agreed that alcohol-based disinfectants could be effective against SARS-CoV-2. The SARS-CoV-2 cannot spread through drinking water. Commonly used treatment methods are competent enough to make the water safe (CDC, 2020b), and it was known to almost all workers. In a baking industry, cooking or baking temperature is a significant concern. Besides, cleaning of processing surfaces and conveyors with disinfectants is an everyday job for the floor workers. Therefore, dealing with the questions on thermal processing and basic hygiene became easy for them. This current industry has HACCP and ISO certification. They arrange several training sessions for the workers at regular intervals, as training is a requirement for any food industry to get the certification and renew the certification (Mortimore and Wallace, 2013). The training focusing COVID-19 during the outbreak also added value to their previous knowledge. Whether the virus can survive at freezing temperature or, can the ultraviolet rays destroy it – is unknown to many respondents. But, a fair amount of workers answered correctly in question regarding the effect of pasteurization on the coronavirus.

From the present study, we found that majority of respondents had a desirably positive attitude towards the COVID-19 issue. Except three of them, all said that they remained worried about their family members during this outbreak. In Dhaka city, most people working in different processing and manufacturing industries are from outside the city and leave their families in their hometown or village (BBS, 2017). Furthermore, due to transportation lockdown, it was hard for them to visit their family. Why the three participants declared of not being worried about family is hard to explain. About 10 percent thought that the industry should run its operation in the time of COVID-19, and they will not get infected while working in the factory. Besides, about 7% of them wanted to come to work although they fell ill, and 8% of the workers did not want to let the management know about their sickness. Although not many in numbers, these few peoples can cause a threat to other healthy people during the time of work if they are infected with the coronavirus. In a country like Bangladesh, workers' socioeconomic conditions and challenges may drive them to work while feeling sick. During the lockdown, a significant number of people have lost their jobs in Bangladesh due to the closure of industries. Many industries cut salaries while some were unable to pay the incentives before the Eid-ul-Fitr (Amnesty International, 2020; Babu and Siddiqui, 2020). More than 10% of participants did not agree on washing hands for at least 20 seconds. Perhaps, they thought maintaining a particular time was not necessary. Respondents from the no education group and those who said to collect information from family and friends were mostly disagreed on this issue.

Most of the respondents (95% on average) reported washing and sanitizing hands more often, avoiding shaking hands and touching foods while working in a line, and altering masks after coughing and sneezing. This indicates the frequent changes and awareness of workers for preventing the COVID-19. The effectiveness of general training provided by the management after the outbreak is visible through these behaviors. Surprisingly, 7 in 10 participants said they usually made contact with eyes, mouth, and nose while handling food products in the production line. It is essential to know the appropriate use of gloves and masks in the food industry while handling the products (WHO, 2020b). This kind of unexpected behavior of not washing or sanitizing hands and touching face, nose, and eyes after coughing or sneezing can also be seen in a study by Prabhusaran et al., 2018. We want to say that the participants know the risks of touching the face during this time, but such strict practices may take some more time. Also, there is a chance of considering thyself less likely to the transmission, which may be stated as 'optimistic bias' (da Cunha et al., 2014).

A remarkable number of people (25.2%) found to go outside more than once in the last seven days, and about 13% of total respondents were not used to wear masks outside the factory. Moreover, some of them use public transport regularly. This kind of practice could lead a person to transmit more often, which would make all other employees prone to infection within a week or two. This kind of occurrence can force the business to close immediately (SGS, 2020). In a similar study on some university students in Bangladesh, it seemed that a remarkable number were not interested in using face masks, avoiding public places, and transports (Wadood et al., 2020). In another study from Bangladesh (Haque et al., 2020), it was observed that nearly 30% of the participants frequently go outside and meet their friends. On the contrary, many improved practices in avoiding crowds can be seen in different study groups from Malaysia (Azlan et al., 2020), China (Zhong et al., 2020), and Iran (Taghrir et al., 2020).

According to the study, the total KAP scores were not affected by gender, age, and working sections, although there were differences in the knowledge scores. We found that the males, workers of 20 to 30 years old, and those working in the production departments significantly have higher knowledge scores. When, in most of the recent KAP studies (Abdelhafiz et al., 2020; Olum et al., 2020; Azlan et al., 2020; Nemati et al., 2020; Zhong et al., 2020; Peng et al., 2020) female participants showed higher scores than male participants. In different studies, people of different age limits showed different outcomes in knowledge scores. This is hard to compare these results with the food industry people as they have separate working environments. For example, in a study by Huynh et al., 2020 and Azlan et al., 2020, respondents above 40 and 50 years old, respectively, had superior knowledge compared to other age groups. While Abdelhafiz et al., 2020 said that, in his study, respondents aged between 18-30 performed better in COVID-19 knowledge questions.

The exciting thing is that the respondents with less experience are superior in their total KAP scores. The coefficient is negative in value in case of total working experience (**Table 5**). Therefore, the younger workers have good knowledge of COVID-19, and they are positive in their attitude and approach to this pandemic than the elderly participants. It is a novel virus, and the young have more access to the internet and other information due to being

technologically sound. A similar kind of result was observed by Huynh et al., 2020. Contradictory results can also be seen in a study by Nemati et al., 2020, where participants with more experiences had a higher level of knowledge. Education showed to have a significant role in the KAP scores of the participants. Those who have completed graduation are superior in KAP. This finding is in line with the studies of Abdelhafiz et al., 2020; Olum et al., 2020; Zhong et al., 2020.

In the current study, most workers reported different social media as their sources of information on COVID-19. A fair number of people referred to television and newspapers for the latest update, followed by those who depend on websites, family members, and friends. Those who kept themselves updated through social media had significantly better knowledge than others. However, social media followers lagged behind the TV and newspaper readers in showing a positive attitude. Age difference and education level may be considered as an essential factor for choosing different media as a source for information during this time (Olum et al., 2020).

5. Limitations

COVID-19 is a novel virus that has been identified just before the onset of 2020. We faced difficulties in interpreting the results as there is no single KAP study in the food industry. As it was an online-based study and self-reported data were used, there is a possibility of biasness from the respondents. People from the supply chain department could be included in this study. The supply chain department plays a significant role in raw materials safety. Due to some limitations, we failed to arrange people from there. It would be more fruitful if we could observe the changes in their KAP over time. Also, including more food industry would help to generalize the findings.

Conclusion

In conclusion, according to the study, respondents from the food industry had adequate knowledge, a positive attitude, and desired practices regarding the COVID-19 issue. Whenever in some specific KAP questions, we found some unfavorable and undesired responses that may be proved dangerous in this situation. The definite limitations suggest more extensive and in-depth research in the aspect of Bangladeshi food industries.

Acknowledgement

We especially thank the section managers for their cordial help. We also would like to appreciate the workers for their voluntary participation in this study.

Declaration of competing interest

There has been no funding and financial support for this study and we declare that we have no conflict of interest.

References

Abdelhafiz, A. S., Mohammed, Z., Ibrahim, M. E., Ziady, H. H., Alorabi, M., Ayyad, M., & Sultan, E. A. (2020). Knowledge, perceptions, and attitude of Egyptians towards the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Journal of Community Health, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-020-00827-7

Adesokan, H. K., & Raji, A. O. Q. (2014). Safe meat-handling knowledge, attitudes and practices of private and government meat processing plants' workers: implications for future policy. Journal of preventive medicine and hygiene, 55(1), 10.

Ahmed, N., Shakoor, M., Vohra, F., Abduljabbar, T., Mariam, Q., & Rehman, M. A. (2020). Knowledge, Awareness and Practice of Health care Professionals amid SARS-CoV-2, Corona Virus Disease Outbreak. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences, 36(COVID19-S4), 49-56. https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.36.COVID19-S4.2704

Ajilore, K., Atakiti, I., & Onyenankeya, K. (2017). College students' knowledge, attitudes and adherence to public service announcements on Ebola in Nigeria: Suggestions for improving future Ebola prevention education programmes. Health Education Journal, 76(6), 648-660. https://doi.org/10.1177/0017896917710969

Akabanda, F., Hlortsi, E. H., & Owusu-Kwarteng, J. (2017). Food safety knowledge, attitudes and practices of institutional food-handlers in Ghana. BMC Public Health, 17(1), 40. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3986-9

Al-Kandari, D., Al-abdeen, J., & Sidhu, J. (2019). Food safety knowledge, attitudes and practices of food handlers in restaurants in Kuwait. Food control, 103, 103-110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.03.040

Amnesty International. (2020). Bangladesh must put human rights at the center of its covid-19 response strategies. Amnesty International public statement. https://www.amnesty.org/download/Documents/ASA1322682020ENGLISH.pdf

Ansari-Lari, M., Soodbakhsh, S., & Lakzadeh, L. (2010). Knowledge, attitudes and practices of workers on food hygienic practices in meat processing plants in Fars, Iran. Food control, 21(3), 260-263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2009.06.003

Anwar, S., Nasrullah, M., & Hosen, M. J. (2020). COVID-19 and Bangladesh: Challenges and how to address them. Frontiers in Public Health, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00154

Aynalem, Y. A., Akalu, T. Y., Gebresellassie, B., Sharew, N. T., & Shiferaw, W. S. (2020). Assessment of undergraduate student knowledge, practices, and attitude towards COVID-19 in Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia. https://dx.doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-28556/v1

Azlan, A. A., Hamzah, M. R., Sern, T. J., Ayub, S. H., & Mohamad, E. (2020). Public knowledge, attitudes and practices towards COVID-19: A cross-sectional study in Malaysia. Plos one, 15(5), e0233668. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233668

Baş, M., Ersun, A. Ş., & Kıvanç, G. (2006). The evaluation of food hygiene knowledge, attitudes, and practices of food handlers' in food businesses in Turkey. Food control, 17(4), 317-322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2004.11.006

Babu, M. U., & Siddiqui, K. (2020). Here comes the pay chop: Companies are trying to survive by slashing pay and reducing employees. https://tbsnews.net/economy/here-comes-pay-chop-78145

BBS. (2017). Quarterly labor force survey (QLFS) 2015-16. Bangladesh bureau of statistics.

CDC. (2020b). CDC Water transmission and COVID-19: Questions and answers. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/php/water.html

Chen, N., Zhou, M., Dong, X., Qu, J., Gong, F., Han, Y., ... & Yu, T. (2020). Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. The Lancet, 395(10223), 507-513. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7

Cullen, M. (2020). COVID-19 and the risk to food supply chains: How to respond. FAO. Recuperado de http://www. fao. org/3/ca8388en/CA8388EN. pdf.

da Cunha, D. T., Stedefeldt, E., & de Rosso, V. V. (2014). The role of theoretical food safety training on Brazilian food handlers' knowledge, attitude and practice. Food Control, 43, 167-174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.03.012

Dyal, J. W. (2020). COVID-19 Among Workers in Meat and Poultry Processing Facilities—19 States, April 2020. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 69. http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6918e3

EFSA. (2020). Coronavirus: No evidence that food is a source or transmission route. https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/news/coronavirus-no-evidence-food-source-or-transmission-route

Foodware365. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on the food industry. https://www.foodware365.com/en/news/knowledge-base/2020/the-impact-of-covid-19-on-food-companies/

für Risikobewertung, B. (2020). Can the new type of coronavirus be transmitted via food and objects.https://www.bfr.bund.de/en/can_the_new_type_of_coronavirus_be_transmitted_via_food_and_objects_-244090.html

Galanakis, C. M. (2020). The Food Systems in the Era of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic Crisis. Foods, 9(4), 523. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9040523

Galgamuwa, L. S., Iddawela, D., & Dharmaratne, S. D. (2016). Knowledge and practices of food hygiene among food handlers in plantation sector, Sri Lanka. International Journal of Scientific Reports, 2(12), 304-311. https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/issn.2454-2156

Haque, T., Hossain, K. M., Bhuiyan, M. M. R., Ananna, S. A., Chowdhury, S. H., Islam, M. R., ... & Rahman, M. M. (2020). Knowledge, attitude and practices (KAP) towards COVID-19 and assessment of risks of infection by SARS-CoV-2 among the Bangladeshi population: An online cross sectional survey. https://dx.doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-24562/v1

Huang, C., Wang, Y., Li, X., Ren, L., Zhao, J., Hu, Y., ... & Cheng, Z. (2020). Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. The lancet, 395(10223), 497-506. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5

Huynh, G., Nguyen, T. N. H., Vo, K. N., & Pham, L. A. (2020). Knowledge and attitude toward COVID-19 among healthcare workers at District 2 Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine, 13(6), 260. https://doi.org/10.4103/1995-7645.280396

Institute of Epidemiology, Disease Control and Research (IEDCR): Covid-19 Status Bangladesh. https://www.iedcr.gov.bd/index.php/component/content/article/73-ncov-2019 (Accessed on June 13, 2020).

Jianu, C., & Goleţ, I. (2014). Knowledge of food safety and hygiene and personal hygiene practices among meat handlers operating in western Romania. Food Control, 42, 214-219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.02.032

Jubayer, F., Kayshar, S., & Limon, T. I. (2020). First COVID-19 case in the Rohingya camp in Bangladesh: Needs proper attention. Public Health. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2020.05.033

Karim, A., Akter, M., Mazid, A. T., Pulock, O. S., Aziz, T. T., Hayee, S., ... & Akter, M. (2020). Knowledge and attitude towards COVID-19 in Bangladesh: Population-level estimation and a comparison. Nature, 5(4), 536-44.

Kampf, G., Todt, D., Pfaender, S., & Steinmann, E. (2020). Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. Journal of Hospital Infection, 104(3), 246-251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.01.022

Kaliyaperumal, K. I. E. C. (2004). Guideline for conducting a knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) study. AECS illumination, 4(1), 7-9.

Khader, Y., Al Nsour, M., Al-Batayneh, O. B., Saadeh, R., Bashier, H., Alfaqih, M., & Al-Azzam, S. (2020). Dentists' awareness, perception, and attitude regarding COVID-19 and infection control: cross-sectional study among Jordanian dentists. JMIR Public Health and Surveillance, 6(2), e18798. https://doi.org/10.2196/18798

Manepalli, N., & Nagvenkar, S. (2020). Food industry feeling the impact of COVID-19. https://www.vitafoodsinsights.com/news/food-industry-feeling-impact-covid-19

Mannan, D. K. A., & Mannan, K. A. (2020). Knowledge and perception towards Novel Coronavirus (COVID 19) in Bangladesh. International Research Journal of Business and Social Science, 6(2).

Mortimore, S., & Wallace, C. (2013). HACCP: A practical approach. Springer Science & Business Media.

Nemati, M., Ebrahimi, B., & Nemati, F. (2020). Assessment of Iranian nurses' knowledge and anxiety toward COVID-19 during the current outbreak in Iran. Archives of clinical infectious diseases, 15 (COVID-19). https://doi.org/10.5812/archcid.102848

Nepal, R., Sapkota, K., Adhikari, K., Paudel, P., Adhikari, B., Paudyal, N., ... & Nepal, R. (2020). Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding COVID-19 among healthcare workers in Chitwan, Nepal. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-26774/v1

Nicola, M., Alsafi, Z., Sohrabi, C., Kerwan, A., Al-Jabir, A., Iosifidis, C., ... & Agha, R. (2020). The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review. International Journal of Surgery, 78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.04.018

Olum, R., Chekwech, G., Wekha, G., Nassozi, D. R., & Bongomin, F. (2020). Coronavirus Disease-2019: Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices of Health Care Workers at Makerere University Teaching Hospitals, Uganda. Frontiers in Public Health, 8, 181. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00181

Parry, N. M. (2020). COVID-19 and pets: When pandemic meets panic. Forensic Science International: Reports, 100090. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsir.2020.100090

Peng, X., Xu, X., Li, Y., Cheng, L., Zhou, X., & Ren, B. (2020). Transmission routes of 2019-nCoV and controls in dental practice. International Journal of Oral Science, 12(1), 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41368-020-0075-9

Peng, Y., Pei, C., Zheng, Y., Wang, J., Zhang, K., Zheng, Z., & Zhu, P. (2020). Knowledge, attitude and practice associated with COVID-19 among university students: a cross-sectional survey in China.

Person, B., Sy, F., Holton, K., Govert, B., & Liang, A. (2004). Fear and stigma: the epidemic within the SARS outbreak. Emerging infectious diseases, 10(2), 358. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1002.030750

Prabhusaran, N., Manivannan, L., Pramila, M., & Prabhakar, Y. K. (2018). Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of personal hygiene, cleaning and sanitation during food processing. nation, 2, 3.

Rizou, M., Galanakis, I. M., Aldawoud, T. M., & Galanakis, C. M. (2020). Safety of foods, food supply chain and environment within the COVID-19 pandemic. Trends in Food Science & Technology. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2020.06.008

Roy, D., Tripathy, S., Kar, S. K., Sharma, N., Verma, S. K., & Kaushal, V. (2020). Study of knowledge, attitude, anxiety & perceived mental healthcare need in Indian population during COVID-19 pandemic. Asian Journal of Psychiatry, 51, 102083. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102083

Saqlain, M., Munir, M. M., ur Rehman, S., Gulzar, A., Naz, S., Ahmed, Z., ... & Mashhood, M. (2020). Knowledge, attitude, practice and perceived barriers among healthcare professionals regarding COVID-19: A Cross-sectional survey from Pakistan. Journal of Hospital Infection, 105(3), 419-423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.05.007

Seymour, N., Yavelak, M., Christian, C., Chapman, B., & Danyluk, M. (2020). COVID-19 and Food Safety FAQ: Is Coronavirus a Concern with Takeout?. EDIS, 2020(2).

SGS. (2020). Navigating the Impact of COVID-19 on the Food Industry. https://www.sgs.com/en/news/2020/05/navigating-the-impact-of-covid-19-on-the-food-industry

Shahidi, F. (2020). Does COVID-19 Affect Food Safety and Security? Journal of Food Bioactives, 9. https://doi.org/10.31665/JFB.2020.9212

Shi, Y., Wang, J., Yang, Y., Wang, Z., Wang, G., Hashimoto, K., ... & Liu, H. (2020). Knowledge and attitudes of medical staff in Chinese psychiatric hospitals regarding COVID-19. Brain, Behavior, & Immunity-Health, 4, 1-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbih.2020.100064

Taghrir, M. H., Borazjani, R., & Shiraly, R. (2020). COVID-19 and Iranian Medical Students; A Survey on Their Related-Knowledge, Preventive Behaviors and Risk Perception. Archives of Iranian medicine, 23(4), 249-254. https://doi.org/10.34172/aim.2020.06

Tao, N. (2003). An analysis on reasons of SARS-induced psychological panic among students. Journal of Anhui Institute of Education, 21, 78-9.

Wadood, M. A., Mamun, A. S. M. A., Rafi, M. A., kamrul Islam, M., Mohd, S., Lee, L. L., & Hossain, M. G. (2020). Knowledge, attitude, practice and perception regarding COVID-19 among students in Bangladesh: Survey in Rajshahi University. medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.21.20074757

World Health Organization. "Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). (2020). Situation report, 177. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200715-covid-19-sitrep-177.pdf?sfvrsn=b1a193f3_2

WHO. (2020). Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) advice for the public. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public

World Health Organization. COVID-19 and food safety: guidance for food businesses. Interim Guidance) (https://www. who. int/publications-detail/covid-19-and-food-safety-guidance-for-food-businesses, 07 April 2020).

Zhong, B. L., Luo, W., Li, H. M., Zhang, Q. Q., Liu, X. G., Li, W. T., & Li, Y. (2020). Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the COVID-19 outbreak: a quick online cross-sectional survey. International journal of biological sciences, 16(10), 1745. https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.45221

Zhang, M., Zhou, M., Tang, F., Wang, Y., Nie, H., Zhang, L., You, G. (2020). Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding COVID-19 among health care workers in Henan, China. Journal of Hospital Infection, 105(2), 183-187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.04.012

Figure Legend

Figure 1. Demographic characteristics of respondents.



Figure 1

Table legends

Table 1. Knowledge of respondents regarding COVID-19.
Table 2. Attitude of respondents towards COVID-19.
Table 3. Practices of respondents during COVID-19.
Table 4. Differences in KAP scores according to the demographic characteristics.
Table 5. Regression on total KAP scores.

Table 1

Knowledge		Correct responses
		n (%)
General	1. SARS-CoV-2 is a disease caused by virus.	149 (96.1)
	2. Fever, cough, sore throat, breathing problems are common symptoms of this disease.	146 (94.2)
	3. COVID-19 can be fatal.	150 (96.8)
	4. COVID-19 can be caused by close contact with infected person.	153 (98.7)
	5. COVID-19 can spread via respiratory droplets.	148 (95.5)
	6. Patient with diabetes, CVD, and asthma are at a higher risk of infection.	135 (87.1)
	7. Are there any registered medicines available in the market?	130 (83.9)
	8. This disease cannot be transmitted to human from pet animals.	128 (82.6)
	9. This disease can be spread in hot and humid climate.	145 (93.5)
	10. Antibiotics are effective against COVID-19.	136 (87.7)
Food safety	11. SARS-CoV-2 can grow on baked product.	153 (98.7)
	12. The virus can stay on the packaging material for long time.	140 (90.3)
	13. This virus can survive at freezing temperature.	115 (74.2)
	14. Viruses cannot multiple and increase in numbers in foods.	129 (83.2)
	15. Cooking is the only way to be sure that foods made with flour and raw eggs are safe.	144 (92.9)
	16. Heating foods (70ºC for 2 minutes or equivalent) is required to kill pathogens.	139 (89.7)
	17. Alcohol-based disinfectants can effectively reduce infectivity of SARS-CoV-2.	151 (97.4)
	18. UV is effective against COVID-19.	109 (70.3)
	19. Pasteurization can kill SARS-CoV-2.	132 (85.2)
	20. SARS-CoV-2 can spread by water.	150 (96.8)

Table 2

Attitude	Positive attitude
	n (%)
1. I can get this disease while working in the factory.	139 (89.7)
2. Washing hand for at least 20 seconds is necessary to prevent transmission.	136 (87.7)
3. It is necessary to report your illness or any symptoms to the management immediately.	144 (92.9)
4. I think I need proper training on COVID-19 for ensuring personal safety.	138 (89.0)
5. I am worried of my family members as I am working outside.	152 (98.1)
6. Is it necessary to avoid coming to work if you feel any sickness.	145 (93.5)
7. A COVID-19 patient should be kept in isolation for certain period.	149 (96.1)
8. Use of mask can prevent contamination.	150 (96.8)
9. Maintain safety measures outside the factory are also important.	149 (96.1)
10. Factory should remain closed until the condition gets better.	140 (90.3)

Table 3

Practices	Desirable practices
	n (%)
1. Do wash and sanitize your hands more frequently than before?	143 (92.3)
2. Do you update yourself regularly about the situation?	131 (84.5)
3. Do you touch your eyes, nose or mouth while handling food and food packages?	122 (78.7)
4. Have you gone to any crowded place in last two week?	116 (74.8)
5. Do you wear mask outside the factory?	136 (87.7)
6. Do you usually shake hands with others after the breakout of COVID-19?	149 (96.1)
7. Do you touch foods in empty hands in the production line?	153 (98.7)
8. Do you change your mask after coughing and sneezing inside the factory?	146 (94.2)
9. I discuss the prevention measures and make aware my family members of COVID-19.	141 (91.0)
10. I try to avoid public transportation.	130 (83.9)

Table 4

Variables	Characteristic	Knowledge			Attitude			Practices		
		Score, M ± SD	U	W	Score, M ± SD	U	W	Score, M ± SD	U	W
Gender	Male	18.4 ± 0.01	852.5***	2230.50***	9.3 ± 0.01	2649*	8005*	8.8 ± 0.01	2673*	4051*
	Female	17.1 ± 0.03			9.2 ± 0.01			8.8 ± 0.01		
			H			H			H	
Age (years)	≤20	17.3 ± 0.30	72.3***		8.5 ± 0.09	9.311**		8.5 ± 0.10	2.216*	
	20-30	18.9 ± 0.01			9.5 ± 0.02			8.8 ± 0.01		
	30-40	17.3 ± 0.02			9.4 ± 0.01			8.8 ± 0.01		
	≥40	18.4 ± 0.06			9.2 ± 0.04			8.9 ± 0.04		
			H			H			H	
Education	No schooling	13.2 ± 0.15	53.639***		7.3 ± 0.07	33.229***		6.4 ± 0.05	69.871***	
	Secondary	18.1 ± 0.02			9.4 ± 0.03			8.8 ± 0.01		
	Higher secondary	18.1 ± 0.01			9.5 ± 0.01			9.1 ± 0.00		
	University	19.1 ± 0.04			9.6 ± 0.06			9.1 ± 0.04		
	Technical education	18.7 ± 0.02			9.3 ± 0.03			9.0 ± 0.01		
			H			H			H	
Experience (years)	≤2	18.9 ± 0.03	67.231***		9.3 ± 0.03	8.532**		9.0 ± 0.03	3.175*	
	2-5	18.3 ± 0.02			9.3 ± 0.01			8.8 ± 0.01		
	5-10	17.1 ± 0.03			9.5 ± 0.02			8.9 ± 0.02		
	≥10	17.1 ± 0.14			8.9 ± 0.04			8.7 ± 0.05		
			H			H			H	
Field of work in current company	Production	18.5 ± 0.02	64.59***		9.2 ± 0.01	8.8996*		8.8 ± 0.01	0.82*	
	QA	17.8 ± 0.05			9.8 ± 0.03			9.0 ± 0.00		
	Store	17.1 ± 0.12			9.1 ± 0.04			8.7 ± 0.05		
	Maintenance	17.2 ± 0.09			9.5 ± 0.05			8.8 ± 0.05		
	HRM	16.9 ± 0.08			9.4 ± 0.06			8.8 ± 0.05		

			H			H			H	
Source of information	Television and newspaper	17.3 ± 0.03	69.316***		9.6 ± 0.01	13.42***		8.9 ± 0.01	0.769*	
	Social media	18.5 ± 0.02			9.2 ± 0.01			8.8 ± 0.01		
	Websites	17.6 ± 0.06			9.2 ± 0.05			9.0 ± 0.00		
	Family and friends	17.1 ± 0.15			8.9 ± 0.04			8.7 ± 0.06		
	Unheard	0			0			0		

M, mean; SD, standard deviation; U, Mann-Whitney test; W, Wilcoxon test; H, Kruskal-Wallis Test

***significant at 1% level of confidence; **significant at 5% level of confidence; *significant at 10% level of confidence

Table 5

		Coefficient	p-value
Constant		21.4***	0.00
Gender		-0.2***	0.7
Age		0.1**	0.4
Education	(Base-No Schooling)		
	Primary	7.4***	0.00
	Higher Secondary	6.9***	0.00
	University	7.4***	0.00
	Technical Education	6.7***	0.00
Experience		-0.6**	0.01
Field of work	(Base- Production)		
	QA	-0.1	0.7
	Store	-0.03	0.9
	Maintenance	-0.004	0.9
	HRM	-0.6***	0.3
R-Square	81.2%	F-value	155
Prob>F	0.00		

***significant at 1% level of confidence; **significant at 5% level of confidence

p-value < 0.05 means we can reject the nulls, hence for both cases the central tendencies of both distributions differ.