medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

SARS-CoV-2 induces a durable and antigen specific humoral immunity after asymptomatic to mild COVID-19 infection

Sebastian Havervall, MD[1], August Jernbom Falk, MSc[2], Jonas Klingström, PhD [3,4], Henry Ng, MMSc[5], Nina Greilert-Norin, RN[1], Lena Gabrielsson, RN [1], Ann-Christin Salomonsson, BSc[1], Eva Isaksson, RN [1], Ann-Sofie Rudberg, MD[8], Cecilia Hellström, MSc [2], Eni Andersson, MSc [2], Jennie Olofsson, MSc [2], Lovisa Skoglund, MSc [2], Jamil Yousef, MSc [2], Elisa Pin, PhD[2], Wanda Christ, MSc[3], Mikaela Olausson, MSc[4] My Hedhammar, PhD [6], Hanna Tegel, PhD [6], Sara Mangsbo, PhD [7], Mia Phillipson, PhD [5], Anna Månberg, PhD [2], Sophia Hober, PhD [6], Peter Nilsson, PhD [2], Charlotte Thålin, MD, PhD [1]

1. Department of Clinical Sciences, Karolinska Institute, Danderyd Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden
2. Division of Affinity Proteomics, Department of Protein Science, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, SciLifeLab, Stockholm, Sweden
3. Centre for Infectious Medicine, Department of Medicine Huddinge, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
4. Department of Microbiology, Public Health Agency of Sweden, Solna, Sweden
5. Department of Medical Cell Biology, Uppsala University, SciLifeLab, Uppsala, Sweden
6. Division of Protein Technology, Department of Protein Science, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
7. Department of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
8. Department of Neurology, Danderyd Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

These authors contributed equally: Sebastian Havervall, August Jernbom Falk
These authors supervised the work equally: Sophia Hober, Peter Nilsson, Charlotte Thålin

Corresponding author:
Charlotte Thålin, M.D., Ph.D.
Department of Clinical Sciences
Danderyd Hospital, Karolinska Institutet
E-mail: charlotte.thalin@sll.se

Word count: 2733

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Current SARS-CoV-2 serological assays generate discrepant results, and the longitudinal characteristics of antibodies targeting various antigens after asymptomatic to mild COVID-19 are yet to be established. This longitudinal cohort study including 1972 healthcare workers, of which 386 participants exhibited antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 spike antigen at study inclusion, reveal that these antibodies remain detectable in the vast majority of participants, 98%, at least four months post infection, despite having had no or mild symptoms. These antibody levels did not differ from those found in 59 convalescent COVID-19 patients (p=0.7). Virus neutralization capacity was confirmed by microneutralization assay in 93% of study participants at least four months post infection. Contrary to antibodies targeting the spike protein, antibodies against the nucleocapsid protein were only detected in 68% of previously anti-nucleocapsid IgG positive healthcare workers, and these four-month follow-up levels were substantially lower in healthcare workers than in convalescent COVID-19 patients (p=2*10-5). Our findings support a durable and functional humoral response after SARS-CoV-2 infection, even after no or mild symptoms. We further demonstrate differences in antibody kinetics depending on the antigen, arguing against the use of the nucleocapsid protein as target antigen in population-based SARS-CoV-2 serological surveys.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), causing the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), has taken global pandemic proportions. Despite a steady increase in the number of fatalities worldwide, the vast majority of infected individuals develop no or mild symptoms. The rapid spread of SARS-CoV-2 is likely facilitated by a substantial portion of asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic transmission (1, 2). Understanding the durability and functionality of the immune response in mild and asymptomatic cases is therefore critical in the attempt to contain the disease and to gain insight of the potential of re-infection.

Antibodies targeting various virus-encoded proteins are central players in conveying protective immunity against viral infections such as SARS-CoV-2. The most commonly targeted antigens in currently available serology assays are the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein, which enables viral access to the host cell, and the abundant and highly conserved nucleocapsid protein (3, 4). The SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein is the main target antigen for neutralizing antibodies and vaccine development. It is now well-established that circulating IgG antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 are detected in the majority of infected individuals after 9-21 days from symptom onset (5-9). The kinetics, duration and efficacy of circulating SARS-CoV-2 antibodies are however, due to the novelty of the virus, less established. In fact, conflicting studies provide data on a rapid decline in circulating IgG antibodies within weeks after COVID-19 (10-12), especially after mild disease (13-15), while others report detectable antibodies up to two to six months after symptom onset (16-19).

The COMMUNITY (COVID-19 Immunity) study is an ongoing longitudinal study investigating long-term immunity after COVID-19 in a large group of individuals with a wide variety of COVID-19 symptoms. Between April 15th and May 8th, 2020, 2149 health care workers (HCW), and 118 hospitalized COVID-19 patients were included in the study. Cross-sectional clinical, demographic and serological data of the HCW at study inclusion has been presented elsewhere (20). The objective of this first follow-up was to assess the duration and efficacy of circulating antibodies four months after infection. Our findings show that a durable anti-spike IgG response is generated in the vast majority of convalescent individuals, even after asymptomatic or mild infection, and that these antibodies remain capable of virus neutralization. In contrast, anti-nucleocapsid IgG levels declined in a large portion of individuals with asymptomatic or mild disease, but not in individuals with severe to critical disease. These findings have important implications for public health planning, as well as for assessing potential risk of reinfection and vaccine responses.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Results

Characteristics of study participants

A total of 1972 HCW and 59 convalescent COVID-19 patients remained in the study for the four-month follow-up between August 24th and September 11th, 2020. IgG antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (full length trimer) and a 118 aa-long C-terminal domain of the nucleocapsid protein were analyzed in all samples at inclusion in April/May 2020 and at four-month follow-up. The majority of HCW were women (n=1675, 85%) and the mean age was 44 (SD) 12) years. Of the 1972 HCW, 355 individuals (18%) presented antibodies recognizing both spike and nucleocapsid at inclusion, whereas 31 (2%) presented only anti-spike IgG and 80 (4%) presented only anti-nucleocapsid IgG. Of the 466 HCW presenting antibodies recognizing spike and/or nucleocapsid, 411 (88%), had mild symptoms prior to study inclusion, and 55 (12%) had been asymptomatic. The patient group were predominantly male (69%), and the mean age was higher than that of HCW; 56 (SD 14) years ($p=1*10^{-11}$). All COVID-19 patients were shown to have antibodies recognizing both spike and nucleocapsid at study inclusion.

Persistence of circulating anti-spike IgG

At the four-month follow-up, we first assessed the longevity of anti-spike IgG. The vast majority of HCW who were anti-spike IgG positive at inclusion (98%; 377/386), and all convalescent COVID-19 patients still had detectable levels of anti-spike IgG at the four-month follow-up. Notably, no significant difference could be seen between follow-up levels of anti-spike IgG between convalescent COVID-19 patients (8192 MFI [AU] (IQR 7045-12496)) and HCW (9302 MFI [AU] (IQR 5533-13638)); p=0.7, Fig. 1A). However, high antibody levels were associated with several self-reported symptoms prior to study inclusion, including fever, dyspnea, cough, abdominal pain, malaise, anosmia, and ageusia, in HCW (Fig.1B).

Persistence of circulating anti-nucleocapsid IgG

Although nucleocapsid antibodies are not believed to be capable of direct neutralization of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid antigen remains target in many commercially available assays due to its high abundance and immunogenicity (21). The nucleocapsid has furthermore reported a higher sensitivity compared to the spike protein when screening populations early in the seroconversion phase (22). We therefore proceeded to analyze anti-nucleocapsid IgG at the four-month follow-up. All convalescent COVID-19 patients remained seropositive for anti-nucleocapsid IgG at the four-month follow-up. However, contrary to anti-spike IgG, anti-nucleocapsid IgG remained detectable in only 68%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

(294/435) of previously anti-nucleocapsid IgG positive HCW. In addition, the four-month follow-up levels were significantly lower in HCW (7966 MFI [AU] (IQR 4574-12547)) than in convalescent COVID-19 patients (11240 MFI [AU] (IQR 9078-13806); $p=2x10*10^{-5}$)) (Fig 2A). The four-month follow-up levels of anti-nucleocapsid IgG among HCW were, in line with follow-up levels of anti-spike IgG, associated with several self-reported symptoms prior to study inclusion (Fig 2B).

SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies

Using a microneutralization assay, SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing potential was determined in all 436 individuals who were anti-spike IgG positive both at inclusion and at four-month follow-up (377 HCW and 59 convalescent COVID-19 patients) and in a subgroup of anti-spike IgG negative individuals at four-month follow-up (195 HCW). Neutralizing potential was confirmed in 93% (404 of 436) of anti-spike IgG positive samples and in only 1% (2 of 195) of anti-spike IgG negative samples (Fig 3A). Notably, the two virus neutralizing anti-spike IgG negative HCW had been anti-spike IgG positive at study inclusion. Anti-spike IgG antibodies were observed at a wide range of levels, and also samples with low levels were capable of virus neutralization (Fig 3A). Interestingly, however, virus neutralization capacity in HCW who were anti-spike IgG positive both at study inclusion and follow-up was found to be associated with COVID-19 symptoms prior to study inclusion, with an eight-fold probability (OR=8.3 (95% CI 3.5-20) of virus neutralization capacity if symptomatic compared to asymptomatic (Fig 3B). As expected, no virus neutralization potential was found in any samples with anti-nucleocapsid IgG alone (Fig 3C). The marked association of virus neutralization with anti-spike IgG, and the lack thereof with anti-nucleocapsid IgG, was confirmed using a multivariable logistic regression model accounting for the interaction of the IgGs (pseudo-R^2=0.94; $OR_{0\text{-}5000\ AU}$ (CI): anti-spike=41 (2.2-1000), anti-nucleocapsid=0.8 (0.06-5.4)).

Seroconversion during the study period

Among HCW who were anti-spike IgG negative (n=1586) or anti-nucleocapsid IgG negative (n=1537) at study inclusion, 8% (130/1586) developed anti-spike IgG, and 7% (105/1537) developed anti-nucleocapsid IgG, respectively, during the four-month follow-up period. Seroconversion was associated with lower age for both antigens (mean (SD) years: anti-spike IgG: seroconversion=41 (11), seronegative=45 (12), p = 0.001; anti-nucleocapsid IgG: seroconversion=41 (11), seronegative=44 (12), p = 0.002), but not with sex (anti-spike IgG: OR_{male}=1.1 (0.65 - 1.8), p=0.7; anti-nucleocapsid IgG: OR_{male}=1.3 (0.79 - 2.3), p=0.2). Similar to seroconversion prior to study inclusion (20), seroconversion during the four-month follow-up was for both antigens associated with symptoms compatible with COVID-19 (Fig 4).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Discussion

Understanding the long-term humoral response including virus neutralization capacity in asymptomatic to mild SARS-CoV-2 infections is key in estimating the immunity on a population basis, potential risk of reinfection and vaccine responses. In this longitudinal study including a large group of individuals with a wide range of COVID-19 symptoms, we show that the vast majority remain seropositive for SARS-CoV-2 anti-spike IgG at least four months post infection. We furthermore corroborate prior findings of strong concordance between SARS-CoV-2 anti-spike IgG and virus neutralization capacity, supporting a long-lasting and durable immunity after COVID-19 infection also in individuals with no or mild symptoms. Anti-nucleocapsid IgG, however, declined in individuals with asymptomatic to mild COVID-19 disease, implying that this antigen may not be a useful target in long-term serological population studies.

Our findings of a durable IgG response are consistent with recent studies showing stable antibody levels for up to 2-6 months (16-19). Several other studies, however, report a rapid decline in circulating SARS-CoV-2 antibodies (10-12), especially after mild disease (13-15). Since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic a plethora of serological assays have emerged, using different methods such as ELISA, CLIA, lateral flow and multiplex systems (23). Although the discrepancies regarding the longevity of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies may well stem from variations in sensitivity and specificity of these assays, the target antigen of choice is a likely contributing factor. Notably, many of the widely used commercially available serological assays target the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid antigen or linear peptides of the protein (23, 24). Early investigations (25, 26) presented results indicating that detection of antibodies against the nucleocapsid protein render more sensitive analyses than detection of antibodies against the spike protein, and several assays targeting the nucleocapsid protein have been validated to high sensitivities and specificities (23). These validations were, however, conducted on samples taken acutely or shortly after infection where the nucleocapsid protein has shown to provide high sensitivity (22). The different patterns of anti-spike IgG and anti-nucleocapsid IgG responses during the acute and convalescent phase in this study imposes questions regarding accuracy of these assays over time and emphasizes the importance of careful antigen selection. Although it is too early to determine if the presence of neutralizing antibodies indicate protective immunity, our findings imply that serology assays aiming at assessing long-term immunity should be based on the spike protein rather than on the nucleocapsid protein.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

It is well documented that SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels correlate to COVID-19 disease severity (10, 13, 27, 28). Duration and levels of anti-nucleocapsid IgG at four-month follow-up were higher in convalescent COVID-19 patients, suffering severe to critical COVID-19, than in seropositive HCW with no or mild symptoms, supporting an association to disease severity. However, perhaps somewhat surprising, duration and levels of anti-spike IgG did not differ between convalescent COVID-19 patients and seropositive HCW, suggesting that disease severity does not influence long-term anti-spike IgG antibody levels. Although duration and levels of anti-spike IgG did not differ between convalescent COVID-19 patients and seropositive HCW, however, both IgG levels and virus neutralizing potential were associated with self-reported symptoms compatible with COVID-19 infection prior to study inclusion in the HCW group. Importantly, the HCW group was younger and presumably healthier than the convalescent COVID-19 patient group. The HCW group furthermore comprised 85% women, whereas the convalescent COVID-19 patient group comprised only 31% women. These age and sex differences hamper comparisons between the groups, and we cannot rule out an effect of disease severity on long-term immunity in a relatively young and healthy population.

A portion of HCW that were seronegative at inclusion seroconverted during the four-month follow-up. In line with data presented from April/May 2020 (20), we now further corroborate associations between seroconversion and certain COVID-19 symptoms. As shown in April/May, the strongest associations remained to anosmia and ageusia, emphasizing that these symptoms should be included in routine screening guidance.

Although this study is strengthened by the large sample size and the longitudinal design with close to complete follow-up, there are certain limitations worth noting. Study inclusion took place simultaneously for HCW and hospitalized COVID-19 patients, regardless of whether and when HCW had symptoms compatible with COVID-19. A relatively large portion of seropositive HCW furthermore reported to have had no symptoms. Although the time window of infection is quite narrow in this group, considering that study inclusion started early in the Swedish pandemic, the precise time of infection remains uncertain. A direct comparison of antibody levels between HCW and hospitalized COVID-19 patients at study inclusion was therefore not appropriate. However, the levels and efficacy of neutralizing antibodies at follow-up, which was within 4-5 months post infection in both the patient and HCW groups, are more indicative of a persistent measurable humoral immunity than the dynamics between initial sampling and follow-up.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Taken together, our findings imply a strong and long-lasting humoral immune response against SARS-CoV-2, even after asymptomatic or mild infection. We furthermore reveal different patterns of acute and convalescent anti-spike IgG and anti-nucleocapsid IgG responses, and show that anti-spike IgG remain detectable in 98% of individuals while anti-nucleocapsid IgG declined to undetectable levels in 32% of the study group with mild infection. These findings have high relevance in gaining understanding of long-term humoral immunity after SARS-CoV-2 infection, and provide important insights towards public health planning, potential risk of reinfection and evaluation of long-term vaccine responses.

Methods

Study population

The longitudinal COMMUNITY study (COVID-19 Immunity Study, dnr 2020-01653) is conducted at Danderyd Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden. The study population and hospital setting are described elsewhere (20). A total of 2149 HCW and 118 hospitalized COVID-19 patients were included at baseline (20). COVID-19 patients were diagnosed by reverse-transcriptase PCR viral detection of oropharyngeal or nasopharyngeal swabs, and the only exclusion criterium was age <18 years. PCR viral detection was not available for HCW, regardless of symptoms, prior to study inclusion. HCW were eligible to participate in the study irrespective of whether they had had symptoms since the COVID-19 outbreak onset or not, and there were no exclusion criteria. All study participants (HCW and convalescent COVID-19 patients) were invited for a follow-up visit between August 25th and September 17th, 2020. 92% (1972/2149) of HCW and 50% (59/118) of convalescent COVID-19 patients came for the follow-up. Convalescent COVID-19 patients who did not come for the follow-up were either diseased (n=14) or did not answer on repeated invitations (n=45). Demographic data was obtained from medical journals. A questionnaire was completed by all HCW prior to each blood sampling, comprising demographics (age and sex), self-reported predefined symptoms compatible with COVID-19 (fever, headache, anosmia, ageusia, cough, malaise, common cold, abdominal pain, sore throat, shortness of breath, joint/muscle pain) prior to blood sampling, occupation, work location and self-reported exposure to patients or household members with confirmed COVID-19 infection. 100% of HCW completed the follow-up questionnaire.

The study was approved by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (dnr 2020-01653), and informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Serological analyses of antibodies

Venous blood samples were obtained at study inclusion and at the four-month follow-up. At study inclusion, plasma samples were prepared from whole blood following centrifugation for 20 min at 2000 g at room temperature and stored at −80 °C until further analyses. At the four-month follow-up, serum samples were prepared by centrifugation at 2000g for 10 minutes in room temperature and stored at -80ºC for further analyses. Serological analyses were performed as earlier described (20). Briefly, a multiplex antigen bead array was used in high throughput 384-plates format using the FlexMap3D (Luminex Corp). IgG reactivity was measured towards spike trimers comprising the prefusion-stabilized spike glycoprotein ectodomain (in-house produced, expressed in HEK and purified using a C-terminal Strep II tag) and the C-terminal domain of the nucleocapsid protein (in-house produced, expressed in *Escherichia coli* and purified using a 427 C-terminal His-tag). The threshold for seropositive response for each protein was determined by the mean level plus six times the standard deviation from twelve negative controls analysed in each assay.

Microneutralization assay

Microneutralization assay was performed as earlier described (29). Briefly, serum was heat inactivated and 10-fold diluted in duplicate. Each dilution was mixed with tissue culture of SARS-CoV-2 and incubated. The cells were inspected for signs of cytopathogenic effect (CPE) by optical microscopy after four days. If <50% of the cell layer showed signs of CPE the well was scored as neutralizing.

Statistical analyses

Antibody levels were compared using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and are presented as medians, interquartile ranges (IQR), and p-values. Age was compared using Student's t-test, and is presented as mean, standard deviation (SD), and p-value. Associations of categorical variables, *e.g.* serostatus, antibody persistence, symptoms, and sex, were examined using Fisher's exact test, and are presented as proportion, odds ratio (OR), and confidence interval (CI).

To assess the individual influence of anti-spike IgG levels and anti-nucleocapsid IgG levels on virus neutralization, these data were fitted in a logistic regression model specified as

$$\log(OR) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 MFI_{anti-spike\ IgG} + \beta_2 MFI_{anti-nucleocapsid\ IgG} + \beta_{12} MFI_{anti-spike\ IgG} MFI_{anti-nucleocapsid\ IgG}$$

where the product term was used to control for the interaction of anti-spike and anti-nucleocapsid IgGs. Model fit was estimated using the Cragg-Uhler pseudo-R^2, and odds

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

ratios for an increase in MFI from 0 to 5000 AU were calculated using the estimated model coefficients as $e^{\beta \times 5000}$.

Statistics and data visualization were performed in R (30) using packages tidyverse, rlang, pander, knitr, scales, ggsignif, ggbeeswarm, exact2x2, egg, cowplot, jtools, and oddsratio.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by Region Stockholm, Knut and Alice Wallenberg foundation, Jonas & Christina af Jochnick foundation, Lundblad family foundation, Science for Life Laboratory (SciLifeLab), Erling-Persson family foundation, Svenska Sällskapet för Medicinsk Forskning, Swedish Research Council and CIMED.

The authors are grateful to Carola Jonsson, Sofie Lundin, Camilla Redhevon, Sarah Juhlin, Nelly Romero, Anna Weimer, Jeanette Agge, Frida Holmström, Karina Halling, Tsige Mulugeta, Martha Kihlgren at Danderyd Hospital for assisting in administration and blood sampling. We thank Richard Scholvin for technical support with the smartphone app and assisting with data information, and Carina Rudberg and Christina Einarsson for assisting with data collection. The Protein Factory at KTH is acknowledged for protein production and purification and Sofia Bergström, Shaghayegh Bayati and Sara Mravinacova at KTH and SciLifeLab for technical assistance

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

References

1. Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, Tian F, Jin DY, Chen L, et al. Presumed Asymptomatic Carrier Transmission of COVID-19. JAMA. 2020;323(14):1406-7.
2. Wei WE, Li Z, Chiew CJ, Yong SE, Toh MP, Lee VJ. Presymptomatic Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 - Singapore, January 23-March 16, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(14):411-5.
3. Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Hsieh CL, Abiona O, et al. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. Science. 2020;367(6483):1260-3.
4. Deming D, Sheahan T, Heise M, Yount B, Davis N, Sims A, et al. Vaccine efficacy in senescent mice challenged with recombinant SARS-CoV bearing epidemic and zoonotic spike variants. PLoS Med. 2006;3(12):e525.
5. Amanat F, Stadlbauer D, Strohmeier S, Nguyen THO, Chromikova V, McMahon M, et al. A serological assay to detect SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion in humans. Nature Medicine. 2020;26(7):1033-6.
6. Long Q-X, Liu B-Z, Deng H-J, Wu G-C, Deng K, Chen Y-K, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19. Nature Medicine. 2020;26(6):845-8.
7. Okba NMA, Muller MA, Li W, Wang C, GeurtsvanKessel CH, Corman VM, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2-Specific Antibody Responses in Coronavirus Disease Patients. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020;26(7):1478-88.
8. Premkumar L, Segovia-Chumbez B, Jadi R, Martinez DR, Raut R, Markmann AJ, et al. The receptor-binding domain of the viral spike protein is an immunodominant and highly specific target of antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 patients. Science Immunology. 2020;5(48):eabc8413.
9. Zhao J, Yuan Q, Wang H, Liu W, Liao X, Su Y, et al. Antibody Responses to SARS-CoV-2 in Patients With Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(16):2027-34.
10. Ibarrondo FJ, Fulcher JA, Goodman-Meza D, Elliott J, Hofmann C, Hausner MA, et al. Rapid Decay of Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies in Persons with Mild Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(11):1085-7.
11. Patel MM, Thornburg NJ, Stubblefield WB, Talbot HK, Coughlin MM, Feldstein LR, et al. Change in Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 Over 60 Days Among Health Care Personnel in Nashville, Tennessee. JAMA. 2020.
12. Ward H, Cooke G, Atchison C, Whitaker M, Elliott J, Moshe M, et al. Declining prevalence of antibody positivity to SARS-CoV-2: a community study of 365,000 adults. medRxiv. 2020:2020.10.26.20219725.
13. Long QX, Tang XJ, Shi QL, Li Q, Deng HJ, Yuan J, et al. Clinical and immunological assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections. Nat Med. 2020;26(8):1200-4.
14. Bruni M, Cecatiello V, Diaz-Basabe A, Lattanzi G, Mileti E, Monzani S, et al. Persistence of Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies in Non-Hospitalized COVID-19 Convalescent Health Care Workers. J Clin Med. 2020;9(10).
15. Seow J, Graham C, Merrick B, Acors S, Steel KJA, Hemmings O, et al. Longitudinal evaluation and decline of antibody responses in SARS-CoV-2 infection. medRxiv. 2020:2020.07.09.20148429.
16. Fill Malfertheiner S, Brandstetter S, Roth S, Harner S, Buntrock-Döpke H, Toncheva AA, et al. Immune response to SARS-CoV-2 in health care workers following a COVID-19 outbreak: A prospective longitudinal study. J Clin Virol. 2020;130:104575.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

17. Wajnberg A, Amanat F, Firpo A, Altman DR, Bailey MJ, Mansour M, et al. Robust neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 infection persist for months. Science. 2020.
18. Gudbjartsson DF, Norddahl GL, Melsted P, Gunnarsdottir K, Holm H, Eythorsson E, et al. Humoral Immune Response to SARS-CoV-2 in Iceland. N Engl J Med. 2020.
19. Dan JM, Mateus J, Kato Y, Hastie KM, Faliti C, Ramirez SI, et al. Immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 assessed for greater than six months after infection. bioRxiv. 2020:2020.11.15.383323.
20. Rudberg AS, Havervall S, Manberg A, Jernbom Falk A, Aguilera K, Ng H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 exposure, symptoms and seroprevalence in healthcare workers in Sweden. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):5064.
21. Dutta NK, Mazumdar K, Gordy JT. The Nucleocapsid Protein of SARS-CoV-2: a Target for Vaccine Development. J Virol. 2020;94(13).
22. Burbelo PD, Riedo FX, Morishima C, Rawlings S, Smith D, Das S, et al. Sensitivity in Detection of Antibodies to Nucleocapsid and Spike Proteins of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019. J Infect Dis. 2020;222(2):206-13.
23. Deeks JJ, Dinnes J, Takwoingi Y, Davenport C, Spijker R, Taylor-Phillips S, et al. Antibody tests for identification of current and past infection with SARS-CoV-2. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020;6(6):Cd013652.
24. Bryan A, Pepper G, Wener MH, Fink SL, Morishima C, Chaudhary A, et al. Performance Characteristics of the Abbott Architect SARS-CoV-2 IgG Assay and Seroprevalence in Boise, Idaho. J Clin Microbiol. 2020;58(8).
25. Burbelo PD, Riedo FX, Morishima C, Rawlings S, Smith D, Das S, et al. Detection of Nucleocapsid Antibody to SARS-CoV-2 is More Sensitive than Antibody to Spike Protein in COVID-19 Patients. medRxiv. 2020.
26. Leung DT, Tam FC, Ma CH, Chan PK, Cheung JL, Niu H, et al. Antibody response of patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) targets the viral nucleocapsid. J Infect Dis. 2004;190(2):379-86.
27. Iyer AS, Jones FK, Nodoushani A, Kelly M, Becker M, Slater D, et al. Persistence and decay of human antibody responses to the receptor binding domain of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in COVID-19 patients. Sci Immunol. 2020;5(52).
28. Zohar T, Alter G. Dissecting antibody-mediated protection against SARS-CoV-2. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2020;20(7):392-4.
29. Varnaite R, Garcia M, Glans H, Maleki KT, Sandberg JT, Tynell J, et al. Expansion of SARS-CoV-2-Specific Antibody-Secreting Cells and Generation of Neutralizing Antibodies in Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients. J Immunol. 2020;205(9):2437-46.
30. Team R. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, PBC, Boston, MA. 2020.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figures



Figure 1: Four-month follow-up levels of anti-Spike IgG are associated to COVID-19 symptoms

A) Four-month follow-up levels of anti-spike IgG did not differ between HCW and convalescent COVID-19 patients, but were B) significantly increased in HCW with self-reported fever, dyspnea, cough, abdominal symptoms, malaise, anosmia, or ageusia prior to study inclusion. Orange lines depict the median. P-values are shown with brackets. Sx; symptoms. AU: Arbitrary Units.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 2: Four-month follow-up levels of anti-Nucleocapsid IgG are associated to disease severity and to COVID-19 symptoms

A) Four-month follow-up levels of anti-nucleocapsid IgG were significantly higher in convalescent patients compared to HCW. B) In addition, four-month follow-up levels were significantly increased in HCW with self-reported fever, malaise, anosmia, ageusia, dyspnea, cough, abdominal symptoms, or headache prior to study inclusion. Orange lines depict the median. P-values are shown with brackets. Sx; symptoms. AU: Arbitrary Units.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 3: Virus neutralization was confirmed in the vast majority of anti-spike IgG positive samples, and associated to COVID-19 symptoms

A) Four-month follow-up anti-spike IgG levels in HCW or convalescent COVID-19 patients who were anti-spike IgG positive at study inclusion were significantly higher in serum from individuals with SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing potential compared to non-neutralizing samples. Magenta: Anti-spike IgG positive individuals at four-month follow-up. Orange: Anti-spike IgG negative individuals at four-month follow-up. B) SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing potential of HCW who were anti-spike IgG positive at both study inclusion and follow-up was significantly associated with COVID-19 symptoms prior to study inclusion, shown with odds ratios of neutralization potential for individually self-reported symptoms C) Neutralization potential was not found in samples with high levels of anti-nucleocapsid IgG alone. Blue: SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing potential. Green: No SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing potential. Circles (subfigure A and C): HCW. Triangles: Convalescent COVID-19 patients. AU: Arbitrary Units. CI: Confidence Interval.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.03.21249162; this version posted January 4, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 4: Seroconversion was associated with prior COVID-19 symptoms

Seroconversion to anti-spike IgG (purple) and anti-nucleocapsid IgG (orange) prior to study inclusion (circles) and during the follow-up period (triangles) was associated with self-reported anosmia, ageusia, fever, muscle or joint pain, presence of any symptoms, malaise, cough, headache, abdominal symptoms, dyspnea, or runny nose. CI: Confidence Interval.