

COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy worldwide: a systematic review of vaccine acceptance rates

Author

Malik Sallam, M.D., Ph.D. ^{a,b,*}

Affiliations

¹ Department of Pathology, Microbiology and Forensic Medicine, School of Medicine, the University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan

² Department of Clinical Laboratories and Forensic Medicine, Jordan University Hospital, Amman, Jordan

*Corresponding author

Malik Sallam, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Clinical Laboratories and Forensic Medicine, Jordan University Hospital, Queen Rania Al-Abdullah Street-Aljubeiha/P.O. Box: (13046), Amman, Jordan. Tel: +962 79 184 5186. E-mail: malik.sallam@ju.edu.jo, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0165-9670

Declarations

Conflict of interest statement

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement

Data supporting this systematic review are available in the reference section. In addition, the analyzed data that were used during the current systematic review are available from the author on reasonable request.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval is not applicable for this systematic review since data from previous published studies in which informed consent was obtained by primary investigators was analyzed.

Abstract

Introduction:

Utility of vaccine campaigns to control coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is not merely dependent on vaccine efficacy and safety. Vaccine acceptance among the general public and the healthcare workers, appears to have a decisive role for successful control of the pandemic.

Aim:

To provide an up-to-date assessment of COVID-19 vaccination acceptance rates worldwide.

Methods:

A systematic search of the peer-reviewed English survey literature indexed in PubMed was done on December 25, 2020. Results from 30 studies, met the inclusion criteria and formed the basis for final COVID-19 vaccine acceptance estimates. Results of an additional recent survey from Jordan and Kuwait was considered in this review as well.

Results:

Survey studies on COVID-19 vaccine acceptance rates were found from 33 different countries. Among adults representing the general public, the highest COVID-19 vaccine acceptance rates were found in Ecuador (97.0%), Malaysia (94.3%), Indonesia (93.3%) and China (91.3%). On the other hand, the lowest COVID-19 vaccine

acceptance rates were found in Kuwait (23.6%), Jordan (28.4%), Italy (53.7), Russia (54.9%), Poland (56.3%), US (56.9%), and France (58.9%). Only eight surveys among healthcare workers (doctors, nurses) were found, with vaccine acceptance rates ranging from 27.7% in the Democratic Republic of the Congo to 78.1% in Israel. In a majority of survey studies among the general public (62%), the acceptance of COVID-19 vaccination showed a level of $\geq 70\%$.

Conclusions:


Low rates of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance were reported in the Middle East, Russia, Africa and several European countries. This could represent a major problem in the global efforts that aim to control the current COVID-19 pandemic. More studies are recommended to address the scope of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy. Such studies are particularly needed in the Middle East Africa, Eastern Europe, Central Asia, Middle and Latin America.

Keywords

Vaccine hesitancy; Vaccine acceptance; Anti-vaccination

Graphical Abstract

COVID-19 vaccine acceptance rate (%)



COVID-19 vaccine acceptance rates worldwide

For countries with more than one survey study, the vaccine acceptance rate of the latest survey was used in this graph. The estimates were also based on studies from the general population, except in the following cases where no studies from the general public were found (Australia: parents/guardians; DRC: healthcare workers; Hong Kong: healthcare workers; Malta: healthcare workers).

Introduction

Based on Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization (SAGE), vaccine hesitancy is the term used to describe: “delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccination despite availability of vaccination services” [1]. Factors that affect the attitude towards acceptance of vaccination include complacency, convenience and confidence [1,2]. Complacency denotes the low perception of the disease risk; hence, vaccination deemed unnecessary. Confidence refers to the trust in vaccination safety, effectiveness, besides the competence of the healthcare systems. Convenience entails the availability, affordability and delivery of vaccines in a comfortable context [2].

The complex nature of motives behind vaccine hesitancy can be analyzed using the epidemiologic triad of environmental, agent and host factors [3,4]. Environmental factors include public health policies, social factors and the messages spread by media [5-7]. The agent (vaccine and disease) factors involve the perception of vaccine safety and effectiveness besides the perceived susceptibility to the disease [7-9]. Host factors are dependent on knowledge, previous experience, educational and income levels [4,10].

The current coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic does not seem to show any signs of decline, with more than 1.7 million deaths and more than 80 million reported cases worldwide, as of December 27, 2020 [11,12]. The ebb and flow of COVID-19 cases can be driven by human factors including attitude towards physical distancing and protective measures, while viral factors are driven by mutations that

commonly occur in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV-2) genome [13-18]. The viral factors can be particularly of high relevance considering the recent reports of resurgence in COVID-19 infections in UK due to a new variant of the virus [19].

The global efforts to lessen the effects of the pandemic, and to reduce the health and socio-economic impact relies to a large extent on the preventive efforts [20,21]. Thus, huge efforts by the scientific community and pharmaceutical industry backed by governments' support, were directed towards developing efficacious and safe vaccines for SARS-CoV-2 [22]. These efforts were manifested by approval of three vaccines, in addition to more than 60 vaccine candidates in clinical trials. Moreover, more than 170 COVID-19 vaccine candidates are in the pre-clinical phase [23].

Despite the huge efforts made to achieve successful COVID-19 vaccines, a major hindrance can be related to vaccine hesitancy towards the approved and prospective COVID-19 vaccination [24]. To identify the scope of this problem, this systematic review aimed to assess the acceptance rates for COVID-19 vaccine(s) in different countries worldwide.

Methods

Eligibility criteria and search strategy

This review was conducted following the PRISMA guidelines [25].

Published papers in PubMed/Medline that aimed at evaluating COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy/vaccine acceptance using a survey/questionnaire were eligible for inclusion in this review.

Only studies in English language that met the inclusion criteria were considered in this review.

Search was done as of December 25, 2020 using the following strategy: (((COVID* vaccine* hesitancy[Title/Abstract]) OR (COVID* vaccine acceptance[Title/Abstract])) OR (COVID* vaccin* hesitanc*[Title/Abstract])) OR (COVID* intention to vaccin*[Title/Abstract]) OR (COVID* vaccin* accept*[Title/Abstract]) AND (2020:2020[pdat]).

Screening of titles and abstracts was conducted, followed by data extraction for the following items: Date of survey, country/countries in which the survey was conducted, target population for survey (e.g. general public, healthcare workers, students), total number of respondents, and COVID-19 vaccine acceptance rate (which included the number of respondents who answered: agree/somewhat/completely agree/leaning towards yes/definitely yes).

Results

A total of 178 records were identified, and following the screening process, a total of 30 articles were included in this review (**Figure 1**). In addition, data collected in an unpublished manuscript that surveyed the general public residing in Jordan and Kuwait were added to the final analysis [26].




Figure 1. Flow chart of the study selection process

Characteristics of the papers included in this review

A total of 30 published papers were analyzed in this review, with an additional unpublished manuscript that focused on COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in Jordan and Kuwait to yield a total of 31 studies. These studies comprised surveys on COVID-19 vaccine acceptance from a total of 33 different countries. Surveys were done most commonly in UK (n=6), followed by France & US (n=5, for each country), and China & Italy (n=4, for each country). Dates of survey distribution ranged from February 2020 until December 2020. A few studies were conducted in more than one country; with the study by Lazarus *et al* involving 19 countries and the study by Neumann-Böhme *et al* involving seven European countries [27,28].

Stratified per country, a total of 60 surveys were found with the largest sample size (n=5114) in the study conducted in UK by Freeman *et al*, while the smallest sample size (n=123) was found in the study conducted in Malta by Gretch *et al* among general practitioners and trainees [29,30]. Out of these 60 surveys, 47 were among the general public, eight surveys were among healthcare workers (doctors, nurses, or others), three surveys were among parents/guardians and two surveys were among University students (**Table 1**). Surveys were most commonly conducted in June or July (23/60, 38%), followed by March or April (20/60, 33%).

Rates of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance

The results of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance rates in different studies included in this review and stratified by country are shown in (**Table 1**). Classified per study, the highest vaccine acceptance rates (>90%) among the general public were found in four studies from Ecuador (97.0%), Malaysia (94.3%), Indonesia (93.3%) and China (91.3%). On the contrary, the lowest vaccine acceptance rates (<60%) among the general public were found in seven studies from Kuwait (23.6%), Jordan (28.4%), Italy (53.7), Russia (54.9%), Poland (56.3%), US (56.9%), and France (58.9%).

For the eight studies conducted among healthcare workers, three surveys reported vaccine acceptance rates below 60%, with the highest rate being among doctors in Israel (78.1%) and the lowest vaccine acceptance rate (27.7%) reported among healthcare workers in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC).

For the three studies conducted among parents/guardians, the vaccine acceptance rates were more than 70%. For the two studies among University students, the vaccine acceptance rate was 44.2% in Malta and 86.1% in Italy.

Changes in COVID-19 vaccine acceptance over time in countries with multiple survey studies

In countries with multiple surveys over time, the following changes in COVID-19 vaccine acceptance rates were observed: In UK, the vaccine acceptance rate was 79.0% in April, 86.0% in May, 71.5% in June, 64.0% in July and 71.7% in September/October. In France, the vaccine acceptance rate ranged from 62.0% to 77.1% in March/April and

was 58.9% in June. In Italy, the vaccine acceptance rate was 77.3% in April, 70.8% in June and reached 53.7% in September.

For the vaccine acceptance rates in US, it was 56.9% in April, and ranged from 67.0% to 75.0% in May, and reached 75.4% in June. In China, three studies reported high rates of vaccine acceptance with the first study that reported a vaccine acceptance rate of 91.3% in March, the second study reported a rate of 83.5% in May and the third study reported a rate of 88.6% in June.

Table 1. COVID-19 acceptance rates divided by the included studies and sorted based on date of survey.

Study	Country	Date of survey	N	Target population	Acceptance rate (%)
Wang <i>et al</i> [31]	Hong Kong	February and March, 2020	806	Nurses	40.0
Wang <i>et al</i> [32]	China	March, 2020	2058	General population	91.3
Harapan <i>et al</i> [33]	Indonesia	March and April 2020	1359	General population	93.3
Dror <i>et al</i> [34]	Israel	March and April 2020	388	Doctors	78.1
Detoc <i>et al</i> [35]	France	March and April 2020	3259	General population	77.1
Dror <i>et al</i> [34]	Israel	March and April 2020	1112	General population	75.0
Kwok <i>et al</i> [36]	Hong Kong	March and April 2020	1205	Nurses	63.0
Dror <i>et al</i> [34]	Israel	March and April 2020	211	Nurses	61.1
Nzaji <i>et al</i> [37]	DRC	March and April 2020	613	Healthcare workers	27.7
Gagneux-Brunon <i>et al</i> [38]	France	March to July, 2020	2047	Healthcare workers	75.9
Sarasty <i>et al</i> [39]	Ecuador	April, 2020	1050	General population	97.0
Wong <i>et al</i> [40]	Malaysia	April, 2020	1159	General population	94.3
Neumann-Böhme <i>et al</i> [28]	Denmark	April, 2020	1000	General population	80.0
Neumann-Böhme <i>et al</i> [28]	UK	April, 2020	1000	General population	79.0
Neumann-Böhme <i>et al</i> [28]	Italy	April, 2020	1500	General population	77.3
Ward <i>et al</i> [41]	France	April, 2020	5018	General population	76.0
Neumann-Böhme <i>et al</i> [28]	Portugal	April, 2020	1000	General population	75.0
Neumann-Böhme <i>et al</i> [28]	Netherland	April, 2020	1000	General population	73.0
Neumann-Böhme <i>et al</i> [28]	Germany	April, 2020	1000	General population	70.0

Neumann-Böhme <i>et al</i> [28]	France	April, 2020	1000	General population	62.0
Fisher <i>et al</i> [42]	US	April, 2020	1003	General population	56.9
Salali & Uysal [43]	UK	May, 2020	1088	General population	86.0
Lin <i>et al</i> [44]	China	May, 2020	3541	General population	83.5
Taylor <i>et al</i> [45]	Canada	May, 2020	1902	General population	80.0
Taylor <i>et al</i> [45]	US	May, 2020	1772	General population	75.0
Salali & Uysal [43]	Turkey	May, 2020	3936	General population	69.0
Reiter <i>et al</i> [46]	US	May, 2020	2006	General population	68.5
Malik <i>et al</i> [47]	US	May, 2020	672	General population	67.0
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	China	June, 2020	712	General population	88.6
Barello <i>et al</i> [48]	Italy	June, 2020	735	University students	86.1
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	Brazil	June, 2020	717	General population	85.4
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	South Africa	June, 2020	619	General population	81.6
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	South Korea	June, 2020	752	General population	79.8
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	Mexico	June, 2020	699	General population	76.3
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	US	June, 2020	773	General population	75.4
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	India	June, 2020	742	General population	74.5
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	Spain	June, 2020	748	General population	74.3
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	Ecuador	June, 2020	741	General population	71.9
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	UK	June, 2020	768	General population	71.5
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	Italy	June, 2020	736	General population	70.8
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	Canada	June, 2020	707	General population	68.7

Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	Germany	June, 2020	722	General population	68.4
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	Singapore	June, 2020	655	General population	67.9
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	Sweden	June, 2020	650	General population	65.2
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	Nigeria	June, 2020	670	General population	65.2
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	France	June, 2020	669	General population	58.9
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	Poland	June, 2020	666	General population	56.3
Lazarus <i>et al</i> [27]	Russia	June, 2020	680	General population	54.9
Rhodes <i>et al</i> [49]	Australia	June, 2020	2018	Parents and guardians	77.3
Bell <i>et al</i> [50]	UK	July, 2020	1252	Parents and guardians	90.1
Sherman <i>et al</i> [51]	UK	July, 2020	1500	General population	64.0
Zhang <i>et al</i> [52]	China	September, 2020	1052	Parents and guardians	72.6
Gretch <i>et al</i> [30]	Malta	September, 2020	123	GPs and GP trainees	61.8
La Vecchia <i>et al</i> [53]	Italy	September, 2020	1055	General population	53.7
Gretch <i>et al</i> [54]	Malta	September, 2020	1002	Healthcare workers	52.0
Gretch & Gauci [55]	Malta	September, 2020	852	University students	44.2
Freeman <i>et al</i> [29]	UK	September and October, 2020	5114	General population	71.7
Al-Mohaithef & Badhi [56]	Saudi Arabia	Unknown	992	General population	64.7
Sallam <i>et al</i> [26]	Jordan	December, 2020	2173	General population	28.4
Sallam <i>et al</i> [26]	Kuwait	December, 2020	771	General population	23.6

Discussion

Vaccine hesitancy is an old phenomenon that represents a serious threat to the global health, as shown in resurgence of some infectious diseases (e.g. outbreaks of measles and pertussis) [57-61]. The huge leaps in developing efficacious and safe COVID-19 vaccines within a short period were unprecedented [62-64]. Nevertheless, COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy can be the limiting step in the global efforts to control the current pandemic with its negative health and socio-economic effects [24,65,66].

Assessing the level of population immunity necessary to limit the pathogen spread is dependent on the basic reproductive number for that infectious disease [67]. The latest estimates on COVID-19, pointed to the range of 60-75% immune individuals that would be necessary to halt the forward transmission of the virus and community spread of the virus [68-70]. Vaccine cost, effectiveness and duration of protection appear as important factors to achieve such a goal [64,71,72]. However, vaccine hesitancy can be a decisive factor for successful control of the current COVID-19 pandemic [24,73]. Thus, estimates of vaccine acceptance rates can be helpful to plan actions and intervention measures necessary to increase the awareness and assure people about the safety and benefits of vaccines, which in turn would help to control virus spread and alleviate the negative effects of this unprecedented pandemic [74,75].

In this review, a large variability in COVID-19 vaccine acceptance rates was found. However, certain patterns can be deduced based on descriptive analysis of the reported vaccine acceptance rates. First, in East and South East Asia, the overall

acceptance rates among the general public were relatively high. This includes more than 90% acceptance rates in Indonesia, Malaysia and one study from China [32,33,40]. Another two surveys on the general public in China reported vaccine acceptance rates of more than 80%, with an additional survey in South Korea that reported a rate of 79.8% [27,44]. A later survey from Shenzhen, China by Zhang *et al*, that surveyed parents/guardians who were faculty workers, on their acceptability of children COVID-19 vaccination reported a lower rate of 72.5% compared to the previous studies [52]. Similarly, an online survey on Australian parents showed an acceptance rate of 77.3% [49]. The lowest COVID-19 vaccine acceptance rate among the general public in the region was reported by Lazarus *et al*, in Singapore (67.9%) [27]. The relatively high rates of vaccine acceptance in the region were attributed to strong trust in governments [27]. Additionally, the only survey in India reported a vaccine acceptance rate of 74.5% [27].

However, two studies that dated back to early part of the pandemic (February and March) among nurses in Hong Kong reported low rates of COVID-19 acceptance (40.0% and 63.0%) [31,36]. Likewise, Kabamba Nzaji *et al* reported a very low rate of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance among healthcare workers in the DRC (27.7%) [37]. This issue is of high concern considering the front-line position of healthcare workers in fighting the spread and effects of COVID-19 pandemic, which put them at a higher risk of infection, and hence their higher need for protective measures [76-78].

Also, the vaccine acceptance rates were relatively high in Latin America, where results from Brazil and Ecuador reported more than 70% acceptance rates [27,39]. This was also seen in the survey from Mexico with a vaccine acceptance rate of 76.3% [27].

In Europe, the results were largely variable, with countries around the Mediterranean reporting vaccine acceptance rates as low as 53.7% in Italy, 58.9% in France; while no surveys among general public in Malta were found [27,53]. However, the vaccine acceptance rates among students and healthcare workers in Malta were 44.2% and 52.0%, respectively [54,55]. Variable results were also reported in other European countries with rates as high as 80.0% in Denmark, and as low as 56.3% in Poland [27,28]. The vaccine acceptance rates were even lower in Russia (54.9%), which needs further evaluation considering the heavy toll of COVID-19 on the country [11,27]. Variability in vaccine acceptance rates was also seen in UK, US and Canada over the course of the pandemic [42,43,45,46,51].

The Middle East was among the regions with the lowest vaccine acceptance rates globally. The acceptance rate was the lowest in Kuwait (23.6%), Jordan (28.4%), Saudi Arabia (64.7%) and Turkey (69.0%) [26,43,56]. On the other hand, the highest vaccine acceptance rate was reported in Israel (75.0%), however; this rate was much lower among nurses surveyed in the same study (61.1%) [34].

Finally, only two surveys among the general public in African countries were found that reported an acceptance rate of 81.6% in South Africa and 65.2% in Nigeria [27]. Thus, more studies are recommended in Africa to address COVID-19 vaccine

hesitancy in the continent. Besides Africa, more studies are needed from Central Asia, Eastern Europe, Central and South America to reach reliable conclusions about the scope of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy around the globe.

Conclusions

Large variability in COVID-19 vaccine acceptance rates was reported in different countries and regions of the world. A sizable number of studies reported COVID-19 acceptance rates below 60%, which would pose a serious problem for efforts to control the current COVID-19 pandemic. Low COVID-19 vaccine acceptance rates were more pronounced in the Middle East, Eastern Europe and Russia. High acceptance rates in East and South East Asia would help to achieve proper control of the pandemic. More studies are recommended to assess the attitude of general public and healthcare workers in Africa, Central Asia and the Middle East besides Central and South America. Such studies would help to evaluate COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and its potential consequences in these regions, and around the globe.

References

1. MacDonald, N.E.; Sage Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy. Vaccine hesitancy: Definition, scope and determinants. *Vaccine* **2015**, *33*, 4161-4164, doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.04.036.
2. SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy. Report of the SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy,. Availabe online: https://www.who.int/immunization/sage/meetings/2014/october/1_Report_WORKING_GROUP_vaccine_hesitancy_final.pdf (accessed on 26-12-2020).
3. Gowda, C.; Dempsey, A.F. The rise (and fall?) of parental vaccine hesitancy. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* **2013**, *9*, 1755-1762, doi:10.4161/hv.25085.
4. Kumar, D.; Chandra, R.; Mathur, M.; Samdariya, S.; Kapoor, N. Vaccine hesitancy: understanding better to address better. *Isr J Health Policy Res* **2016**, *5*, 2, doi:10.1186/s13584-016-0062-y.
5. Daley, M.F.; Narwaney, K.J.; Shoup, J.A.; Wagner, N.M.; Glanz, J.M. Addressing Parents' Vaccine Concerns: A Randomized Trial of a Social Media Intervention. *Am J Prev Med* **2018**, *55*, 44-54, doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2018.04.010.
6. Arede, M.; Bravo-Araya, M.; Bouchard, E.; Singh Gill, G.; Plajer, V.; Shehraj, A.; Adam Shuaib, Y. Combating Vaccine Hesitancy: Teaching the Next Generation to Navigate Through the Post Truth Era. *Front Public Health* **2018**, *6*, 381, doi:10.3389/fpubh.2018.00381.

7. Dube, E.; Vivion, M.; MacDonald, N.E. Vaccine hesitancy, vaccine refusal and the anti-vaccine movement: influence, impact and implications. *Expert Rev Vaccines* **2015**, *14*, 99-117, doi:10.1586/14760584.2015.964212.
8. Salmon, D.A.; Dudley, M.Z.; Glanz, J.M.; Omer, S.B. Vaccine Hesitancy: Causes, Consequences, and a Call to Action. *Am J Prev Med* **2015**, *49*, S391-398, doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2015.06.009.
9. Larson, H.J.; Cooper, L.Z.; Eskola, J.; Katz, S.L.; Ratzan, S. Addressing the vaccine confidence gap. *Lancet* **2011**, *378*, 526-535, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60678-8.
10. Olson, O.; Berry, C.; Kumar, N. Addressing Parental Vaccine Hesitancy towards Childhood Vaccines in the United States: A Systematic Literature Review of Communication Interventions and Strategies. *Vaccines (Basel)* **2020**, *8*, doi:10.3390/vaccines8040590.
11. Worldometer. COVID-19 CORONAVIRUS PANDEMIC. Available online: <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/> (accessed on 27-12-2020).
12. World Health Organization. COVID-19 weekly epidemiological update, 22 December 2020. Available online: <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-epidemiological-update---22-december-2020> (accessed on 26-12-2020).
13. Prem, K.; Liu, Y.; Russell, T.W.; Kucharski, A.J.; Eggo, R.M.; Davies, N.; Centre for the Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases, C.-W.G.; Jit, M.; Klepac, P. The effect of control strategies to reduce social mixing on outcomes

- of the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *Lancet Public Health* **2020**, *5*, e261-e270, doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30073-6.
14. Viner, R.M.; Russell, S.J.; Croker, H.; Packer, J.; Ward, J.; Stansfield, C.; Mytton, O.; Bonell, C.; Booy, R. School closure and management practices during coronavirus outbreaks including COVID-19: a rapid systematic review. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* **2020**, *4*, 397-404, doi:10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30095-X.
 15. Feng, S.; Shen, C.; Xia, N.; Song, W.; Fan, M.; Cowling, B.J. Rational use of face masks in the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine* **2020**, *8*, 434-436.
 16. Korber, B.; Fischer, W.M.; Gnanakaran, S.; Yoon, H.; Theiler, J.; Abfalterer, W.; Hengartner, N.; Giorgi, E.E.; Bhattacharya, T.; Foley, B. Tracking changes in SARS-CoV-2 Spike: evidence that D614G increases infectivity of the COVID-19 virus. *Cell* **2020**, *182*, 812-827. e819.
 17. Grubaugh, N.D.; Hanage, W.P.; Rasmussen, A.L. Making sense of mutation: what D614G means for the COVID-19 pandemic remains unclear. *Cell* **2020**, *182*, 794-795.
 18. Sallam, M.; Ababneh, N.; Dababseh, D.; Bakri, F.; Mahafzah, A. Temporal increase in D614G mutation of SARS-CoV-2 in the Middle East and North Africa: Phylogenetic and mutation analysis study. *medRxiv* **2020**.
 19. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Threat Assessment Brief: Rapid increase of a SARS-CoV-2 variant with multiple spike protein mutations observed in the United Kingdom. Available online:

- <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/threat-assessment-brief-rapid-increase-sars-cov-2-variant-united-kingdom> (accessed on 26-12-2020).
20. Nicola, M.; Alsafi, Z.; Sohrabi, C.; Kerwan, A.; Al-Jabir, A.; Iosifidis, C.; Agha, M.; Agha, R. The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review. *Int J Surg* **2020**, *78*, 185-193, doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.04.018.
 21. Calina, D.; Docea, A.O.; Petrakis, D.; Egorov, A.M.; Ishmukhametov, A.A.; Gabibov, A.G.; Shtilman, M.I.; Kostoff, R.; Carvalho, F.; Vinceti, M., et al. Towards effective COVID19 vaccines: Updates, perspectives and challenges (Review). *Int J Mol Med* **2020**, *46*, 3-16, doi:10.3892/ijmm.2020.4596.
 22. Conte, C.; Sogni, F.; Affanni, P.; Veronesi, L.; Argentiero, A.; Esposito, S. Vaccines against Coronaviruses: The State of the Art. *Vaccines (Basel)* **2020**, *8*, doi:10.3390/vaccines8020309.
 23. World Health Organization (WHO). Draft landscape of COVID-19 candidate vaccines. Available online: <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/draft-landscape-of-covid-19-candidate-vaccines> (accessed on 26-12-2020).
 24. Harrison, E.A.; Wu, J.W. Vaccine confidence in the time of COVID-19. *Eur J Epidemiol* **2020**, *35*, 325-330, doi:10.1007/s10654-020-00634-3.
 25. Moher, D.; Liberati, A.; Tetzlaff, J.; Altman, D.G.; Group, P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* **2009**, *6*, e1000097, doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097.

26. Sallam, M.; Dababseh, D.; Eid, H.; Al-Mahzoum, K.; Al-Haidar, A.; Taim, D.; Yaseen, A.; Ababneh, N.A.; Bakri, F.G.; Mahafzah, A. High rates of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and its association with conspiracy beliefs: A study in Jordan and Kuwait among other Arab countries. 2020.
27. Lazarus, J.V.; Ratzan, S.C.; Palayew, A.; Gostin, L.O.; Larson, H.J.; Rabin, K.; Kimball, S.; El-Mohandes, A. A global survey of potential acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine. *Nat Med* **2020**, 10.1038/s41591-020-1124-9, doi:10.1038/s41591-020-1124-9.
28. Neumann-Böhme, S.; Varghese, N.E.; Sabat, I.; Barros, P.P.; Brouwer, W.; van Exel, J.; Schreyögg, J.; Stargardt, T. Once we have it, will we use it? A European survey on willingness to be vaccinated against COVID-19. Springer: 2020.
29. Freeman, D.; Loe, B.S.; Chadwick, A.; Vaccari, C.; Waite, F.; Rosebrock, L.; Jenner, L.; Petit, A.; Lewandowsky, S.; Vanderslott, S., et al. COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy in the UK: The Oxford Coronavirus Explanations, Attitudes, and Narratives Survey (OCEANS) II. *Psychol Med* **2020**, 10.1017/S0033291720005188, 1-34, doi:10.1017/S0033291720005188.
30. Grech, V.; Bonnici, J.; Zammit, D. Vaccine hesitancy in Maltese family physicians and their trainees vis-a-vis influenza and novel COVID-19 vaccination. *Early Hum Dev* **2020**, 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2020.105259, 105259, doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2020.105259.
31. Wang, K.; Wong, E.L.Y.; Ho, K.F.; Cheung, A.W.L.; Chan, E.Y.Y.; Yeoh, E.K.; Wong, S.Y.S. Intention of nurses to accept coronavirus disease 2019 vaccination and change of intention to accept seasonal influenza vaccination

- during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: A cross-sectional survey. *Vaccine* **2020**, *38*, 7049-7056, doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.09.021.
32. Wang, J.; Jing, R.; Lai, X.; Zhang, H.; Lyu, Y.; Knoll, M.D.; Fang, H. Acceptance of COVID-19 Vaccination during the COVID-19 Pandemic in China. *Vaccines (Basel)* **2020**, *8*, doi:10.3390/vaccines8030482.
33. Harapan, H.; Wagner, A.L.; Yufika, A.; Winardi, W.; Anwar, S.; Gan, A.K.; Setiawan, A.M.; Rajamoorthy, Y.; Sofyan, H.; Mudatsir, M. Acceptance of a COVID-19 Vaccine in Southeast Asia: A Cross-Sectional Study in Indonesia. *Front Public Health* **2020**, *8*, 381, doi:10.3389/fpubh.2020.00381.
34. Dror, A.A.; Eisenbach, N.; Taiber, S.; Morozov, N.G.; Mizrahi, M.; Zigron, A.; Srouji, S.; Sela, E. Vaccine hesitancy: the next challenge in the fight against COVID-19. *Eur J Epidemiol* **2020**, *35*, 775-779, doi:10.1007/s10654-020-00671-y.
35. Detoc, M.; Bruel, S.; Frappe, P.; Tardy, B.; Botelho-Nevers, E.; Gagneux-Brunon, A. Intention to participate in a COVID-19 vaccine clinical trial and to get vaccinated against COVID-19 in France during the pandemic. *Vaccine* **2020**, *38*, 7002-7006, doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.09.041.
36. Kwok, K.O.; Li, K.K.; Wei, W.I.; Tang, A.; Wong, S.Y.S.; Lee, S.S. Influenza vaccine uptake, COVID-19 vaccination intention and vaccine hesitancy among nurses: A survey. *Int J Nurs Stud* **2020**, *114*, 103854, doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2020.103854.
37. Kabamba Nzaji, M.; Kabamba Ngombe, L.; Ngoie Mwamba, G.; Banza Ndala, D.B.; Mbidi Miema, J.; Luhata Lungoyo, C.; Lora Mwimba, B.; Cikomola Mwana Bene, A.; Mukamba Musenga, E. Acceptability of Vaccination Against

- COVID-19 Among Healthcare Workers in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Pragmat Obs Res* **2020**, *11*, 103-109, doi:10.2147/POR.S271096.
38. Gagneux-Brunon, A.; Detoc, M.; Bruel, S.; Tardy, B.; Rozaire, O.; Frappe, P.; Botelho-Nevers, E. Intention to get vaccinations against COVID-19 in French healthcare workers during the first pandemic wave: a cross sectional survey. *J Hosp Infect* **2020**, 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.11.020, doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2020.11.020.
39. Sarasty, O.; Carpio, C.E.; Hudson, D.; Guerrero-Ochoa, P.A.; Borja, I. The demand for a COVID-19 vaccine in Ecuador. *Vaccine* **2020**, *38*, 8090-8098, doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.11.013.
40. Wong, L.P.; Alias, H.; Wong, P.F.; Lee, H.Y.; AbuBakar, S. The use of the health belief model to assess predictors of intent to receive the COVID-19 vaccine and willingness to pay. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* **2020**, *16*, 2204-2214, doi:10.1080/21645515.2020.1790279.
41. Ward, J.K.; Alleaume, C.; Peretti-Watel, P.; Group, C. The French public's attitudes to a future COVID-19 vaccine: The politicization of a public health issue. *Soc Sci Med* **2020**, *265*, 113414, doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113414.
42. Fisher, K.A.; Bloomstone, S.J.; Walder, J.; Crawford, S.; Fouayzi, H.; Mazor, K.M. Attitudes Toward a Potential SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine : A Survey of U.S. Adults. *Ann Intern Med* **2020**, *173*, 964-973, doi:10.7326/M20-3569.
43. Salali, G.D.; Uysal, M.S. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy is associated with beliefs on the origin of the novel coronavirus in the UK and Turkey. *Psychol Med* **2020**, 10.1017/S0033291720004067, 1-3, doi:10.1017/S0033291720004067.

44. Lin, Y.; Hu, Z.; Zhao, Q.; Alias, H.; Danaee, M.; Wong, L.P. Understanding COVID-19 vaccine demand and hesitancy: A nationwide online survey in China. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **2020**, *14*, e0008961, doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0008961.
45. Taylor, S.; Landry, C.A.; Paluszek, M.M.; Groenewoud, R.; Rachor, G.S.; Asmundson, G.J.G. A Proactive Approach for Managing COVID-19: The Importance of Understanding the Motivational Roots of Vaccination Hesitancy for SARS-CoV2. *Front Psychol* **2020**, *11*, 575950, doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2020.575950.
46. Reiter, P.L.; Pennell, M.L.; Katz, M.L. Acceptability of a COVID-19 vaccine among adults in the United States: How many people would get vaccinated? *Vaccine* **2020**, *38*, 6500-6507, doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.08.043.
47. Malik, A.A.; McFadden, S.M.; Elharake, J.; Omer, S.B. Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in the US. *EClinicalMedicine* **2020**, *26*, 100495, doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100495.
48. Barello, S.; Nania, T.; Dellafiore, F.; Graffigna, G.; Caruso, R. 'Vaccine hesitancy' among university students in Italy during the COVID-19 pandemic. *European journal of epidemiology* **2020**, *35*, 781-783.
49. Rhodes, A.; Hoq, M.; Measey, M.A.; Danchin, M. Intention to vaccinate against COVID-19 in Australia. *Lancet Infect Dis* **2020**, 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30724-6, doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30724-6.
50. Bell, S.; Clarke, R.; Mounier-Jack, S.; Walker, J.L.; Paterson, P. Parents' and guardians' views on the acceptability of a future COVID-19 vaccine: A multi-

- methods study in England. *Vaccine* **2020**, *38*, 7789-7798,
doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.10.027.
51. Sherman, S.M.; Smith, L.E.; Sim, J.; Amlot, R.; Cutts, M.; Dasch, H.; Rubin, G.J.; Sevdalis, N. COVID-19 vaccination intention in the UK: results from the COVID-19 vaccination acceptability study (CoVAccS), a nationally representative cross-sectional survey. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* **2020**, 10.1080/21645515.2020.1846397, 1-10, doi:10.1080/21645515.2020.1846397.
52. Zhang, K.C.; Fang, Y.; Cao, H.; Chen, H.; Hu, T.; Chen, Y.Q.; Zhou, X.; Wang, Z. Parental acceptability of COVID-19 vaccination for children under the age of 18 years in China: cross-sectional online survey. *JMIR Pediatr Parent* **2020**, 10.2196/24827, doi:10.2196/24827.
53. La Vecchia, C.; Negri, E.; Alicandro, G.; Scarpino, V. Attitudes towards influenza vaccine and a potential COVID-19 vaccine in Italy and differences across occupational groups, September 2020. *Med Lav* **2020**, *111*, 445-448, doi:10.23749/mdl.v111i6.10813.
54. Grech, V.; Gauci, C.; Agius, S. Vaccine hesitancy among Maltese healthcare workers toward influenza and novel COVID-19 vaccination. *Early Hum Dev* **2020**, 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2020.105213, 105213, doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2020.105213.
55. Grech, V.; Gauci, C. Vaccine hesitancy in the University of Malta Faculties of Health Sciences, Dentistry and Medicine vis-a-vis influenza and novel COVID-19 vaccination. *Early Hum Dev* **2020**, 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2020.105258, 105258, doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2020.105258.

56. Al-Mohaithef, M.; Padhi, B.K. Determinants of COVID-19 Vaccine Acceptance in Saudi Arabia: A Web-Based National Survey. *J Multidiscip Healthc* **2020**, *13*, 1657-1663, doi:10.2147/JMDH.S276771.
57. Phadke, V.K.; Bednarczyk, R.A.; Salmon, D.A.; Omer, S.B. Association Between Vaccine Refusal and Vaccine-Preventable Diseases in the United States: A Review of Measles and Pertussis. *JAMA* **2016**, *315*, 1149-1158, doi:10.1001/jama.2016.1353.
58. Benecke, O.; DeYoung, S.E. Anti-Vaccine Decision-Making and Measles Resurgence in the United States. *Glob Pediatr Health* **2019**, *6*, 2333794X19862949, doi:10.1177/2333794X19862949.
59. Gangarosa, E.J.; Galazka, A.M.; Wolfe, C.R.; Phillips, L.M.; Gangarosa, R.E.; Miller, E.; Chen, R.T. Impact of anti-vaccine movements on pertussis control: the untold story. *Lancet* **1998**, *351*, 356-361, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(97)04334-1.
60. Borba, R.C.; Vidal, V.M.; Moreira, L.O. The re-emergency and persistence of vaccine preventable diseases. *An Acad Bras Cienc* **2015**, *87*, 1311-1322, doi:10.1590/0001-3765201520140663.
61. Wong, L.P.; Wong, P.F.; AbuBakar, S. Vaccine hesitancy and the resurgence of vaccine preventable diseases: the way forward for Malaysia, a Southeast Asian country. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* **2020**, *16*, 1511-1520, doi:10.1080/21645515.2019.1706935.
62. Lurie, N.; Saville, M.; Hatchett, R.; Halton, J. Developing Covid-19 vaccines at pandemic speed. *New England Journal of Medicine* **2020**, *382*, 1969-1973.

63. Graham, B.S. Rapid COVID-19 vaccine development. *Science* **2020**, *368*, 945-946.
64. Sharma, O.; Sultan, A.A.; Ding, H.; Triggler, C.R. A Review of the Progress and Challenges of Developing a Vaccine for COVID-19. *Front Immunol* **2020**, *11*, 585354, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.585354.
65. Pogue, K.; Jensen, J.L.; Stancil, C.K.; Ferguson, D.G.; Hughes, S.J.; Mello, E.J.; Burgess, R.; Berges, B.K.; Quaye, A.; Poole, B.D. Influences on Attitudes Regarding Potential COVID-19 Vaccination in the United States. *Vaccines (Basel)* **2020**, *8*, doi:10.3390/vaccines8040582.
66. Hamadani, J.D.; Hasan, M.I.; Baldi, A.J.; Hossain, S.J.; Shiraji, S.; Bhuiyan, M.S.A.; Mehrin, S.F.; Fisher, J.; Tofail, F.; Tipu, S.M.U. Immediate impact of stay-at-home orders to control COVID-19 transmission on socioeconomic conditions, food insecurity, mental health, and intimate partner violence in Bangladeshi women and their families: an interrupted time series. *The Lancet Global Health* **2020**, *8*, e1380-e1389.
67. Ridenhour, B.; Kowalik, J.M.; Shay, D.K. Unraveling r 0: Considerations for public health applications. *American journal of public health* **2018**, *108*, S445-S454.
68. Billah, M.A.; Miah, M.M.; Khan, M.N. Reproductive number of coronavirus: A systematic review and meta-analysis based on global level evidence. *PLoS One* **2020**, *15*, e0242128, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0242128.

69. Anderson, R.M.; Vegvari, C.; Truscott, J.; Collyer, B.S. Challenges in creating herd immunity to SARS-CoV-2 infection by mass vaccination. *Lancet* **2020**, *396*, 1614-1616, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32318-7.
70. Britton, T.; Ball, F.; Trapman, P. A mathematical model reveals the influence of population heterogeneity on herd immunity to SARS-CoV-2. *Science* **2020**, *369*, 846-849.
71. Wang, J.; Peng, Y.; Xu, H.; Cui, Z.; Williams, R.O., 3rd. The COVID-19 Vaccine Race: Challenges and Opportunities in Vaccine Formulation. *AAPS PharmSciTech* **2020**, *21*, 225, doi:10.1208/s12249-020-01744-7.
72. Teerawattananon, Y.; Dabak, S.V. COVID vaccination logistics: five steps to take now. Nature Publishing Group: 2020.
73. Palamenghi, L.; Barello, S.; Boccia, S.; Graffigna, G. Mistrust in biomedical research and vaccine hesitancy: the forefront challenge in the battle against COVID-19 in Italy. *European journal of epidemiology* **2020**, *35*, 785-788.
74. Weintraub, R.L.; Subramanian, L.; Karlage, A.; Ahmad, I.; Rosenberg, J. COVID-19 Vaccine To Vaccination: Why Leaders Must Invest In Delivery Strategies Now: Analysis describe lessons learned from past pandemics and vaccine campaigns about the path to successful vaccine delivery for COVID-19. *Health Affairs* **2020**, 10.1377/hlthaff. 2020.01523.
75. Habersaat, K.B.; Betsch, C.; Danchin, M.; Sunstein, C.R.; Böhm, R.; Falk, A.; Brewer, N.T.; Omer, S.B.; Scherzer, M.; Sah, S. Ten considerations for effectively managing the COVID-19 transition. *Nature human behaviour* **2020**, *4*, 677-687.

76. Nguyen, L.H.; Drew, D.A.; Graham, M.S.; Joshi, A.D.; Guo, C.-G.; Ma, W.; Mehta, R.S.; Warner, E.T.; Sikavi, D.R.; Lo, C.-H. Risk of COVID-19 among front-line health-care workers and the general community: a prospective cohort study. *The Lancet Public Health* **2020**, *5*, e475-e483.
77. Shaukat, N.; Ali, D.M.; Razzak, J. Physical and mental health impacts of COVID-19 on healthcare workers: a scoping review. *Int J Emerg Med* **2020**, *13*, 40, doi:10.1186/s12245-020-00299-5.
78. Liu, Q.; Luo, D.; Haase, J.E.; Guo, Q.; Wang, X.Q.; Liu, S.; Xia, L.; Liu, Z.; Yang, J.; Yang, B.X. The experiences of health-care providers during the COVID-19 crisis in China: a qualitative study. *Lancet Glob Health* **2020**, *8*, e790-e798, doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30204-7.