

1 **Perception and awareness of COVID-19 among health science students and staff of Kuwait University:**

2 **An online cross-sectional study**

3 Walid Q. Alali¹, Wadha AlFouzan², Dhuha Aljimi³, Haya Al-Tawalah⁴, Khalid A. Kheirallah⁵, Getnet Yimer⁶

4 ¹ Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, Faculty of Public Health, Kuwait University, Safat, Kuwait

5 ² Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Kuwait University, Safat, Kuwait

6 ³ Faculty of Medicine, Kuwait University, Safat, Kuwait

7 ⁴ Yacoub Behbehani Center, Kuwait Cancer Control Center (KCCC), Kuwait City, Kuwait

8 ⁵ School of Medicine, Jordan University of Sciences and Technology, Irbid, Jordan

9 ⁶ Global One Health Eastern Africa Office, Office of International Affairs, The Ohio State University, Addis

10 Ababa, Ethiopia

11

12 **Correspondence:**

13 Walid Q. Alali, DVM, MS, PhD

14 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics

15 Faculty of Public Health

16 Kuwait University

17 Safat, Kuwait

18 w.alali@ku.edu.kw

19

20 **Abstract**

21 **Background:** Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is unprecedented. Health science
22 students are the future frontliners to fight pandemics. Awareness and perception toward COVID-
23 19 among health science students and staff at Kuwait University was assessed.

24 **Methods:** Between June and July 2020, an online questionnaire was distributed to all students
25 and staff at HCS. The questionnaire was divided into six sections: socio-demography, risk and
26 awareness, preparedness and prevention, source of information, policies, and social stigma.

27 **Results:** A total of 592 students and 162 staff completed the questionnaire. The prevalence of
28 self-reported chronic condition among students and staff was 14.0% and 19.1%, respectively.
29 Moreover, self-reported COVID-19 prevalence among students and staff was 2.7% and 1.2%,
30 respectively. Interestingly, 54% of students and 38.3% of staff reported that they knew someone
31 within their immediate social environment who have been/are infected with SARS-CoV-2. Among
32 students, 92.4% wore face mask in indoor places (outside of their home) often/all the time
33 compared to wearing it outdoors (69.3%); whereas, for staff, it was more common to wear it
34 outdoor than in indoor places (75.9% vs. 81.5%). Both students and staff showed greatest deal of
35 trust was in official government press release and consultation with healthcare workers.
36 Willingness to take COVID-19 vaccine was indicated by 50% of students strongly agreed and an
37 additional 25.8% agreed to taking it. Interest vaccine uptake was lower among staff (28.4% and
38 34.6% strongly agreed or agreed, respectively). Participants strongly agreed or agreed (72.5% and
39 19.6% of students as well as 68.5% and 22.2% of staff) that wearing face mask in public should be
40 obligatory. More than 18% of students and staff indicated that they would avoid contact with
41 COVID-19 infected people.

42 **Conclusions:** Responses of students and staff were mostly similar and showed that they follow
43 precautionary measures to control spread of COVID-19, understand the viral transmission risk,
44 and willing to raise awareness to reduce social stigma.

45 **Key words:** COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, awareness, Middle East, Kuwait

46

47 **Introduction**

48 The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome
49 coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) continues to be a global public health threat [1]. Without an effective
50 treatment or vaccine, the virus is expected to stay for long period and infect more people.

51 The global health crisis of COVID-19 has grabbed the attention of the mass media around the
52 world [2]. Most of the public health messages have been focused on the control of transmission risk.
53 Many of these messages are related to social distancing, frequent and proper hand washing, stay at
54 home, and avoid crowded places. However, the pandemic of information has caused a great deal of
55 anxiety, fear, excessive worry, and confusion among people worldwide. Additionally, the rapidly
56 evolving and changing knowledge about the disease have created a cloud of misinformation and
57 miscommunication among people in different communities [3-5].

58 Kuwait went through a period of partial and full lockdowns between May and August 2020
59 following the large increase in cases and deaths in March and April 2020 [6]. School closure (including
60 universities) was one of the first restrictions applied at the beginning of the epidemic (March 2020) in
61 Kuwait and continued during the study period. Many countries worldwide have gone through similar
62 school closure and continue to have it in effect [7].

63 The COVID-19 pandemic is unprecedented, and no other disease has dominated the human
64 population for the past 100 years. Human behavior is partially driven by the knowledge and perception
65 gained through exposure to information from various sources such as formal education, media, family,
66 friends and colleagues [8]. In Kuwait, people receive information about COVID-19 from different sources
67 including the Ministry of Health and official state TV, World health organization, expert and non-expert
68 opinions on social media, as well as friends and colleagues. Therefore, this study was focused on
69 assessing perception and awareness on matters related to transmission, control and prevention of

70 COVID-19; sources of information; and social stigma. We believe the study is important for two main
71 reasons: 1) health education and raising awareness about the disease among university students and
72 staff as well as the larger community, and 2) findings from this survey can aid the policy makers in their
73 decision making to control the epidemic in Kuwait and apply social policies in relation to this population.

74 There are number of published studies that assessed the epidemiology, pathogenesis, virology,
75 and treatment of COVID-19. However, there are limited studies assessing the COVID-19 perception,
76 attitude, and awareness in the academic community [9]. The academic community is an important
77 segment of the society and represent students and staff in higher education institutions. Students in the
78 health science fields (e.g., medicine, dentistry, pharmacy and public health) will be the next generation
79 of health leaders; hence, assessing their perception in relation to the current pandemic is expected to
80 yield useful outcomes.

81 Kuwait University is the state national university with a student population of about 35,000
82 students. The Health Science Center (HSC) of Kuwait University is composed of five faculties including
83 Medicine, Dentistry, Pharmacy, Public Health, and Allied Health. They represent a more uniformed
84 population compared to the rest of the university's population. Furthermore, many of the HSC staff and
85 students have been involved with COVID-19 related activities including volunteering in health facilities,
86 raising awareness about the disease and pursue research opportunities. The goal of this study was to
87 describe the awareness and perception on matters related to control and prevention of COVID-19,
88 source of information and social stigma among students and staff of HSC at Kuwait University.

89 **Methods**

90 *Study population*

91 A cross-sectional survey study was conducted between June and July 2020 on students and staff
92 at HCS of Kuwait University. Our target populations were: all undergraduate and postgraduate students

93 enrolled in the five faculties (Medicine, Dentistry, Pharmacy, Public Health and Allied Health) of HSC and
94 all the staff (faculty members, teaching assistants, and supporting staff) employed by HSC. At the time of
95 the study, there was approximately 2,000 undergraduate students and about 110 graduate students
96 (Master and PhD programs). In addition, there was approximately 450 staff employed by HSC at the time
97 of the study.

98 *Data collection tool*

99 Data collection occurred via online questionnaire distributed to students and staff. During the
100 study period, the university was closed, and no classes were held on campus nor through distance
101 learning.

102 The questionnaire was developed based on validated survey tools provided by World Health
103 Organization [10] and that used by Khasawneh et al. [11]. Nonetheless, the questionnaire was adapted
104 to our target population. Non-relevant or redundant questions were excluded from both survey tools
105 and those that met the objectives of this study were included. Some of the questions taken from the
106 previous survey tools were modified such as changes in the number of multiple-choice answers per
107 question as well as rewording the questions to improve clarity.

108 The questionnaire was divided into six sections: socio-demography, risk and awareness,
109 preparedness and prevention, source of information, policies, and social stigma. Socio-demography
110 questions included questions about gender, academic year, faculty, and presence of chronic illness.
111 Additional questions for staff included job classification and age. Risk and awareness section included
112 questions about history of COVID-19 infection, risk of infection in the future and infection severity,
113 awareness of consequences of infection as well as awareness of availability of treatment or vaccine for
114 COVID-19. Preparedness and prevention section included questions about probability of avoiding
115 infection with SARS-CoV-2, prevention measures taken to avoid infection measures as frequency based

116 on the participants response to one of the following options: most or times, rarely or sometimes, and
117 never. Additional question on participants' opinion on attending classes or working on-campus vs. online
118 learning methods. The source of information section had questions about main sources of acquiring
119 information. These included Television news stations, family and friends, healthcare workers, online
120 search, social media, official government press releases, and celebrities. Policies section included
121 questions about COVID-19 vaccine timeline and willingness to uptake the vaccine and treatment.
122 Furthermore, opinion of participants regarding the following: mandatory quarantine of COVID-19
123 contacts, wearing face mask in public places, legal punishment of who is COVID-19 infected and
124 knowingly socialize with others and those spread share/spread falsified information about COVID-19.
125 Social stigma section included questions about: participants' reaction to knowing someone COVID-19
126 positive, blaming the person of who got the infection, what information the participants would like to
127 know about those infected, community reaction to those infected (such as being socially rejected,
128 denied medical care, job loss), and ways to reduce social stigma.

129 Two questionnaires were developed; one administered to students and another to the staff.
130 Both questionnaires were very similar with only differences in the first section (i.e., socio-demography).
131 The questionnaires were provided in English since the students and staff are familiar with this language.

132 The questionnaires were distributed and administered to the participants online via Google
133 Forms (www.google.com/forms). The questionnaires were sent via an email listserv to all students and
134 staff within HSC. Additionally, student representatives at each faculty distributed the questionnaire to
135 class groups via WhatsApp. Each of the six sections was composed of several questions (*supplementary*
136 *file A*). The questionnaires were validated and pilot tested on 10 students and 10 staff prior to
137 administration to all participants. Multiple reminders were sent to all participants to increase response
138 rate.

139 *Statistical analysis*

140 Data were exported from Google Forms to an Excel spreadsheet for data management. The
141 analyses were conducted in STATA statistical software ver. 15.1 (College Station, Texas). A descriptive
142 analysis using cross tabulation of frequency and percentage of variables was conducted. Students were
143 categorized into two groups: younger students (freshmen and junior student [years 1-3]) and older
144 students (senior [years 4-7] and graduate students)) for the purpose of the univariate (chi-square)
145 analysis in STATA. Alpha of 0.05 was used as the significance level.

146 **Results**

147 *Demographics of participants*

148 The demographics of students (n=592) and staff (n=162) are shown in Table 1. Most the
149 participants were females (for both students and staff). Female students account for 75% to 90%
150 of the student population depending on the academic year and the faculty. Participants were
151 proportionally distributed to the population of their faculties with the largest faculties being
152 Medicine and Allied Health. Only Medicine and Dentistry faculties have a seven-year academic
153 program; whereas Pharmacy is 5-year program and both Allied Health and Public Health are 4-
154 year programs. While the undergraduate students' age ranged between 19 and 26 years;
155 graduate students' age was between 25 and 45 years. About 50% of the staff participants were
156 faculty members. Most of the staff were older than 30 years with 24% of them over the age of 50
157 years.

158 On chronic condition reporting, the prevalence of self-reported having any chronic
159 condition among students and staff was 14.0% and 19.1%, respectively. The highest chronic
160 condition among students was asthma (42.2%) and among the staff was hypertension (36.6%).

Table 1: Sociodemographic of study participants.

HSC Students (n=592)		HSC Staff (n=162)	
Characteristic	No. (%)	Characteristic	No. (%)
Gender		Gender	
	Female 545 (92.1)		Female 124 (76.5)
	Male 47 (7.9)		Male 38 (23.5)
Faculty		Faculty	
Faculty of Allied Health	135 (22.8)	Faculty of Allied Health	24 (14.8)
Faculty of Dentistry	57 (9.6)	Faculty of Dentistry	15 (9.3)
Faculty of Medicine	223 (37.7)	Faculty of Medicine	80 (49.4)
Faculty of Pharmacy	131 (22.1)	Faculty of Pharmacy	21 (12.9)
Faculty of Public Health	46 (7.8)	Faculty of Public Health	22 (13.6)
Academic Year		Job Classification	
	First Year 108 (18.2)		Faculty Member 80 (49.4)
	Second Year 70 (11.8)		Teaching Assistant 56 (34.6)
	Third Year 90 (15.2)		Supporting non-academic staff 26 (16.1)
	Fourth Year 119 (20.1)	Age	
	Fifth Year 68 (11.5)		20 - 30 22 (13.6)
	Sixth Year 67 (11.3)		31 - 40 60 (37.0)
	Seventh Year 46 (7.8)		41 - 50 41 (25.3)
	Graduate (Any Year) 24 (4.1)		>50 39 (24.1)

161

162 *Risk and awareness*

163 The participants answered 10 questions related to SARS-CoV-2 risk of infection, risk of

164 transmission and their information awareness about the virus. Based on participants' self-


165 reported SARS-CoV-2 infection (based on PCR confirmation test), the prevalence among students
166 and staff was 2.7% and 1.2%, respectively. However, 85.0% and 86.4% of students and staff,
167 respectively, reported that they have not been infected with the virus. Interestingly, 54.0% of
168 students and 38.3% of the staff reported that they knew someone in their immediate social
169 environment, such as relatives or friends, who have been/are infected with SARS-CoV-2.

170 When we asked the participants about their probability of getting infected with the virus
171 in the near future, 3.9% of students responded as it was extremely unlikely; 23.3% indicated it is
172 unlikely, but 5.5% responded it was likely. As for the staff, 5.6%, 21%, and 20.4% considered the
173 probability to be extremely unlikely, unlikely, and likely, respectively. There was no significant (P
174 = 0.374) relationship between the infection probability among staff participants and their age
175 groups. Many of the students expressed that if they get infected with the virus, the disease will
176 'not be severe' (27.7%) or 'slightly severe', (24.6%). Similarly, many of the staff (20.4% and 21.6%)
177 thought that if they get infected with the virus, the disease will 'not be severe' or 'slightly severe',
178 respectively.

179 The participant's responses to questions regarding awareness related to proportion of
180 COVID-19 patients that are likely to be admitted to hospital; if admitted to the hospital are likely
181 to be admitted to the ICU; and if admitted to ICU will eventually die is shown in Figure 1. Most of
182 the participants either strongly disagreed or disagreed with the following statements: 1) majority
183 of COVID-19 patients need hospitalization; 2) those hospitalized require ICU admission; and 3)
184 those admitted to ICU will eventually die (Figure 1). Older students were significantly ($P < 0.001$)
185 more likely to strongly disagree or disagree than younger students with the first two statements.
186 No significant difference ($P = 0.576$) was detected between both student groups to the third
187 statement. The faculty members were significantly more likely ($P < 0.001$) to strongly disagree or
188 disagree compared to teaching assistant and non-academic staff. No significant difference ($P =$

189 0.583) detected between staff job groups by the response to those admitted to ICU will
 190 eventually die.

191 **Figure 1. Participants awareness of consequences of COVID-19 patients***



192
 193 * Infected to hospital admission is based on the question: Do you agree or disagree that majority of SARS-
 194 CoV-2 infected people need admission to a hospital; Hospital to admission to ICU is based on the question:
 195 Do you agree or disagree that majority of SARS-CoV-2 patients who are admitted to the hospital require
 196 receiving care in the intensive care unit (ICU); and ICU to death is based on the question: Do you agree or
 197 disagree that majority of SARS-CoV-2 patients who are admitted to the ICU will eventually die. A: students
 198 and B: staff.

199 We asked the participants about their reaction to suspicion of being infected, nearly 52%
 200 of the students and 50% of staff indicated that they would immediately get tested for the virus
 201 and follow government isolation instructions (if tested positive); whereas, 28.2% and 36.4% of
 202 students and staff, respectively, would stay at home and taking precautionary measures so they

203 do not spread the virus to others, and 19.6% and 13% of students and staff, respectively, would
204 wait for few days until symptoms worsen before they get tested and/or seek medical care. On
205 the topic of treatment or vaccine for COVID-19, most of the participants (80.6% of students and
206 85.8% of staff) indicated that, at the time of this study, there is no treatment or vaccine.

207 On the question of self-rate perception on how to prevent SARS-CoV-2 spread, most
208 students rated themselves as having either very good knowledge (30.4%) or good knowledge
209 (45.7%) with significantly ($P < 0.001$) higher proportion among older students than younger
210 students. On the same question, 43.8% and 37.7% of staff rated themselves as having very good
211 knowledge and good knowledge, respectively, with significantly ($P = 0.02$) higher proportion
212 among faculty members compared to other groups. In term of misconceptions, students either
213 strongly disagreed (19.4%) or disagreed (21.8%) that SARS-CoV-2 was made in a laboratory then
214 accidentally released. Similarly, 14.2% and 17.3% of staff either strongly disagrees or disagreed,
215 respectively. Moreover, while most of students (30.2% strongly disagreed or 40.6% disagreed)
216 that hot summer slowdown/stop the transmission of the virus, significantly ($P < 0.001$) fewer staff
217 strongly disagreed and disagreed (14.2% and 17.3%, respectively) with that statement.

218 *Precautionary measures to prevent infection with SARS-CoV-2*

219 The participants answered 15 questions about precautionary measures for SARS-CoV-2
220 infection (Table 2). Among students, 92.4% wore face mask in indoor places (outside of their
221 home) 'often/all the time' compared to wearing it outdoors (69.3%); whereas, for staff, it was
222 more common to wear it outdoor than in indoor places (75.9% vs. 81.5%). Regular hand washing
223 was more common than using disinfectants among both participant's groups (Table 2). Avoiding
224 crowded places, avoiding shaking hands, avoiding kissing, staying home as much as possible and

Table 2. Precautionary measures adopted by the participants to fight COVID-19.

Precautionary measure	HSC Students			HSC Staff		
	Never	Sometimes	Often/All the time	Never	Sometimes	Often/All the time
Wearing a face mask						
<i>At home</i>	524 (88.5)	47 (7.9)	21 (3.6)	119 (73.5)	29 (17.9)	14 (8.6)
<i>Indoor (away from home)</i>	16 (2.7)	29 (4.9)	547 (92.4)	15 (9.6)	24 (15.3)	123 (75.9)
<i>Outdoor</i>	45 (7.6)	137 (23.1)	410 (69.3)	5 (3.2)	25 (15.9)	132 (81.5)
Washing hands regularly	7 (1.2)	110 (18.6)	475 (80.2)	1 (0.6)	11 (6.8)	150 (92.6)
Use disinfectants	19 (3.2)	173 (29.2)	400 (67.6)	4 (2.5)	38 (23.5)	120 (74.1)
Avoid contact with elderly	56 (9.5)	183 (30.9)	353 (59.6)	6 (3.7)	46 (28.4)	110 (67.9)
Cleaning/disinfecting my phone screen	61 (10.3)	249 (42.1)	282 (47.6)	13 (8.0)	53 (32.7)	96 (59.3)
Avoid crowded places	6 (1.0)	64 (10.8)	522 (88.2)	0 (0)	10 (6.2)	152 (93.8)
Stay at home as much as possible	9 (1.5)	68 (11.5)	515 (87.0)	1 (0.6)	15 (9.3)	146 (91.1)
Avoid eating outside of home	39 (6.6)	188 (31.8)	365 (61.7)	2 (1.2)	33 (20.4)	127 (78.4)
Avoid shaking hands when greeting others	14 (2.4)	99 (16.7)	479 (80.9)	2 (1.2)	10 (6.2)	150 (92.6)
Avoid kissing others when greeting them	15 (2.5)	96 (16.2)	481 (81.3)	2 (1.2)	12 (7.4)	148 (91.4)
Get sufficient sleep	51 (8.6)	228 (38.5)	313 (52.9)	10 (6.2)	51 (31.5)	101 (62.4)
Persuade people around you to follow the precautionary guidance	22 (3.7)	156 (26.4)	414 (69.9)	4 (2.5)	42 (25.9)	116 (71.6)
Follow social distancing guidance	6 (1.0)	93 (15.7)	493 (83.3)	0 (0)	16 (9.9)	146 (90.1)

225

226 following social distancing guidance was practiced 'often/all the time' by more than 80% of the participants. There was no
 227 significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between staff than students with regard to these percentages. Nearly 50% of students did often
 228 clean/disinfect their phone, avoided eating outside of home, got sufficient sleep, and persuade people around them to follow
 229 precautionary guidance. This percentage was between 60-70% among staff (Table 2). Additionally, we asked the participants a question
 230 on how difficult/easy it is to avoid infection with the virus, 27.8% of students indicated that it is easy to avoid infection while 21.1%

231 thought it would be difficult. Similarly, 30.2% of staff thought it would be easy to avoid infection while 20.4% of them thought it would be
232 difficult.

233 *Sources of information*

234 We assessed the level of trust in COVID-19 information sources among the participants using 5 Likert scale (very little trust, little
235 trust, neutral, moderate, and great deal of trust) against 8 sources of information (Table 3). The greatest deal of trust was in official
236 government press release such as that from the local Ministry of Health (58.8% and 53.7% among student and staff participants,
237 respectively), and consultation with healthcare workers (49.7% and 38.9% among student and staff participants, respectively). Moderate
238 trust was TV stations and online sources (Table 3). Interestingly, there was both very little and little trust (ranging between 60% and 80%)
239 in sources of information from celebrities, social media (such as Twitter, Facebook, and WhatsApp), and conversations with family and
240 friends (Table 3).

241 Small percent of students indicated that they update themselves about COVID-19 multiple times a day (8.4%); whereas, most of
242 students do that either once a day (34.4%) or once a week (40.3%). In comparison, 25.9% of staff update themselves multiple times a day;
243 whereas 43.8% and 25.3% do that once a day and once a week, respectively.

244

245

246

Table 3. Participants' responses to questions related to sources of Information

Source	HSC Students					HSC Staff				
	Level of trust					Level of trust				
	Very Little	Little	Neutral	Moderate	Great deal	Very Little	Little	Neutral	Moderate	Great deal
TV stations	55 (9.3)	68 (11.5)	171 (28.9)	184 (31.1)	114 (19.3)	23 (14.2)	20 (12.4)	38 (23.5)	49 (30.3)	32 (19.8)
Family & Friends	195 (32.9)	190 (32.1)	140 (33.0)	58 (9.8)	9 (1.5)	40 (24.7)	39 (24.1)	46 (28.4)	26 (16.1)	11 (6.8)
Colleagues	54 (9.1)	143 (24.2)	194 (32.8)	180 (30.4)	21 (3.6)	12 (7.4)	19 (11.7)	56 (34.6)	55 (34.0)	20 (12.4)
Healthcare Workers	4 (0.7)	5 (0.8)	57 (9.6)	232 (39.2)	294 (49.7)	0 (0)	3 (1.9)	28 (17.3)	68 (42.0)	63 (38.9)
Online pages	71 (12.0)	116 (19.6)	181 (30.6)	174 (29.4)	50 (8.5)	17 (10.5)	22 (13.6)	56 (34.6)	44 (27.2)	23 (14.2)
Social Media	230 (38.9)	160 (27.0)	136 (23.0)	57 (9.6)	9 (1.5)	64 (39.5)	44 (27.2)	37 (22.8)	13 (8.0)	4 (2.5)
Official Government press release	15 (2.5)	20 (3.4)	55 (9.3)	159 (26.9)	343 (58.0)	4 (2.5)	12 (7.4)	20 (12.4)	39 (24.1)	87 (53.7)
Celebrities	482 (81.4)	65 (11.0)	33 (5.6)	7 (1.2)	5 (0.8)	103 (63.6)	25 (15.4)	25 (15.4)	7 (4.3)	2 (1.2)

248

249 *Policies related to COVID-19*

250 We listed number of questions related to formulation of policies and regulations about COVID-19. Most of the participants (62.6%
251 and 83.3% of students and staff, respectively) follow 'very much so' the recommendations issued by the authorities to prevent the spread
252 of SARS-CoV-2. On questions related to taking COVID-19 vaccination if becomes available, 50% of

253 students strongly agreed and an additional 25.8% agreed to taking it. Interest in vaccine
254 uptake was lower among the staff (28.4% and 34.6% strongly agreed or agreed, respectively).



255 Participants strongly agreed or agreed (72.5% and 19.6% of students as well as 68.5% and
256 22.2% of staff) that wearing face mask in public should be obligatory. On the role of government,
257 67.4% of students strongly agreed and 23.1% agreed on enforcement of quarantine of contacts of
258 COVID-19 cases (compared to 45.7% and 38.2%, respectively among staff). Moreover, 81.4%
259 strongly agreed and 14.4% agreed that there should be a legal punishment for those who know
260 they are infected but continue to socialize with others without wearing protective equipment;
261 whereas, lower percentage of staff strongly agreed or agreed (69.1% and 22.8%, respectively).
262 Furthermore, 55.4% strongly agreed or agreed (i.e., 27.4%) that legal punishment should be
263 taken against those who share/spread falsified information about COVID-19 without verifying the
264 source (compared to 46.3% and 33.3% among staff).

265 On COVID-19 testing policies, 45.1% and 29.6% strongly agreed or agreed that more
266 COVID-19 testing should be carried out, which is similar to the responses by the staff (40.1% and
267 30.9%, respectively). Among students, 32.5% and 41.0% strongly agreed or agreed that people
268 should have more information about COVID-19 cases in Kuwait since what is being currently
269 reported has minimal information (i.e., number of new cases, number active and recovered
270 cases, number of PCR tests, number of cases in ICU, and number of deaths). These figures were
271 very similar to the responses by the staff (i.e., 32.8% and 37.7% strongly agreed or agreed). Figure
272 2 shows the responses about what type of information the participants would like to know about
273 SARS-CoV-2 infectives.

274

275

276 **Figure 2.** Percentages of participants response to the type of information you would like to know about
277 those infected with SARS-CoV-2. A: students and B: staff.



278
279 We asked the participants about their opinion about resuming the university classes on-
280 campus (in person) at the start of the semester in August 2020. Approximately 43% of students
281 and 46% of staff indicated that they are very worried. In addition, 35% of students and 20% of
282 staff indicated that they are slightly worried. Regarding the option of resuming teaching
283 online/distance learning, 75.4% of students and 84% of staff agreed with this option.

284 *Social Stigma*

285 We asked questions to assess social stigma related to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Interestingly, in
286 response to the question “If anyone you know personally got infected with SARS-Cov-2, what would be

287 your reaction?”, approximately 68% of student and staff participants responded that they would advise
288 the infected to seek medical care immediately; whereas about 29% of both participant groups indicated
289 they would advise to wait for the symptoms to appear before seeking medical care. Interestingly, on the
290 question “Do you think COVID-19 patients did something wrong and consequently got infected”, 12.8%
291 and 18.9% of students strongly disagreed or disagreed; however, 51.8% of them were neutral about it.
292 For the same question, higher proportion of staff 35.2% and 27.8% strongly disagreed or disagreed,
293 compared to 27.2% were neutral about it.

294 On the question “If you knew a person (e.g., a relative or a friend) has COVID-19, what would
295 you do” with multiple answers permitted, most of the responses by students and staff indicated that
296 they will avoid contact with infected person (83.3% and 80.9%) but understand his/her condition (81.1%
297 and 77.2%). Only 1% or less indicated they would blame the person for getting infected with the virus,
298 but 29% would tell others about the infected person. Moreover, around 20% of students and staff
299 thought that infection with COVID-19 would lead to social rejection; however nearly 75% of students
300 and staff thought COVID-19 would not affect the person’s medical care coverage, job security, finding a
301 job, or getting married. Finally, participants (82.8% of students and 84.6% of staff) indicated that raising
302 awareness about COVID-19 disease will reduce the unjustified stigma toward COVID-19 patients.

303 **Discussion**

304 Our study focused on COVID-19 awareness and attitude among Kuwait University Health Science
305 students and staff. The university was impacted by the country control measures that included complete
306 closure of its facilities between March – August 2020, followed by partial reopening for staff but
307 continues to offer distance learning for students. Many countries worldwide have gone through school
308 closure and some continue to have it in effect [7]. Our findings indicated that students and staff of HSC
309 in Kuwait University followed precautionary measures to control spread of COVID-19, understood the

310 risk of the virus transmission, sought reliable source of information about COVID-19, and were willing to
311 help those infected with the virus.

312 COVID-19 epidemic in Kuwait started on February 28, 2020 with imported cases from Iran
313 followed by widespread community transmission that started around March 30, 2020 and still in effect.
314 We revealed that a small percent (1-3%) of the participants reported that have been/currently infected
315 with SARS-CoV-2; however, a larger percent of the participants (>50%) reported knowing someone
316 infected within their immediate social environment indicating a large community transmission in
317 Kuwait. During the study period (May to June 2020), COVID-19 incidence per 100,000 people ranged
318 between 20 – 25 daily new cases with positivity rate between 15 – 20% [6]. Interestingly, self-reported
319 chronic condition among student was high especially asthma (an underlying condition for COVID-19
320 severe complications). One study reported that the prevalence of asthma among students (age 18 – 24
321 years) at Kuwait University was 11.9% (n= 1135) [12].

322 Most of students and staff indicated that they believe that probability of getting infected was
323 low and if they got infected, they likely will not develop severe disease. While this finding was
324 anticipated from young adults (i.e., students), it is less expected from staff especially among those older
325 than 55 years of age [13]. Most of students and staff disagreed that when someone is infected with the
326 virus will likely get admitted to hospital, and if admitted will likely need ICU bed, and then likely to die.
327 This indicates our participants awareness of COVID-19 complication and disease progression among
328 those infected that is similar to what have been reported in the literature [14, 15]. More than 50% of
329 the participants expressed that they will immediately seek medical care if they tested positive for
330 COVID-19 and follow the governmental instructions. This finding is different than what the international
331 health organizations such as CDC recommend [16, 17]. For instance, CDC recommend to stay home even
332 if you test positive, isolate yourself from others, and seek medical care immediately when you have
333 emergency warning signs such as trouble breathing and/or persistent pain or pressure in the chest [16].

334 Therefore, health education is needed to promote the recommended measures for those who test
335 positive or negative for SARS-CoV-2 or come in contact with a positive case.

336 Participants followed most of the recommended precautionary guidelines by the WHO [17].
337 Mask wearing, handwashing, social distancing was common among the participants. Since they
338 represent a particular segment of the community, this population is more likely to adhere to guidelines
339 compare to the general public. Similar findings have been observed among health science and medical
340 students in other countries [11, 18, 19]. Some of the participants indicated their own infection
341 probability is high while others indicated as low. The risk of infection and risk of transmission is multi-
342 factorial depending on host factors, factors related to the virus, and the environment that connects the
343 virus and the host together [20, 21].

344 Trust in sources of information among participants was mostly in official government sources
345 and information obtained from healthcare workers. Less trust was in information obtained from social
346 media or family and friends. This may reflect the education level of participants and their initiative in
347 seeking information regularly as well as due to the regular dissemination of information by the
348 government communication channel. Similar findings were reported in a study from United States
349 where most of general public participants trusted governmental source (CDC and FDA) compared to
350 private TV networks and social media [22]. Other studies showed similar trend among health science
351 students in Turkey and Iran [23, 24]; whereas, another study from Jordan reported more trust in social
352 media sources among medical students compared to Ministry of Health and international organization
353 such as WHO [25].

354 The majority of participants indicated that they will take COVID-19 vaccine if becomes available.
355 This is important findings in preparation of vaccination planning and distribution to reach the local herd
356 immunity threshold. To prevent spread of the virus, vast majority of participants indicated that mask

357 wearing should be mandatory with legal punishment for those who do not wear it in public. At the time
358 of this study, there was no state law that fine people for not wearing mask in public. On the other hand,
359 participants indicated that spreading false information or people who know that they are infected and
360 still socialize with others should receive legal punishment. This suggest that participants are aware of
361 the danger of misinformation and irresponsible behavior in spreading the disease.

362 Social stigma has been reported to have impact on people response to disease control and
363 prevention measures [26-29]. Many participants were not sure if the reason for people getting infected
364 with COVID-19 was due to something they did wrong. Furthermore, participants indicated that they will
365 not blame the person for getting infected. However, participants would avoid contact with infected
366 person, but try to help them which is an approach needed to control disease transmission in the
367 community. Social rejection of patients was a concern shared by the participants. Participants agreed
368 that raising awareness about COVID-19 will lead to reduce unjustified social stigma toward patients as
369 indicated in other studies [27, 28].

370 This study has several limitations. First, it was based on a convenient sample of students and
371 staff who filled the survey online. The online platform was used due to the pandemic mitigation
372 strategies implemented in Kuwait as well as worldwide. Second, male student participation was low.
373 This limits the generatability of the results to all students. However, the female student population
374 represents about three-fourth of the total student body at HSC. Third, the study assessed the self-
375 reported perception, attitude and awareness. However, this has been the trend among several similar
376 studies in the literature.

377 **Conclusions**

378 This study presented a baseline estimate of COVID-19 perception and awareness among health
379 science students and staff in Kuwait. It is among the first developed in this special population and

380 provides evidence that more work is needed to raise knowledge and awareness among students in the
381 health science fields. While the span of this epidemic is not clear, health sciences e students and staff
382 may find themselves at the frontlines dealing with patients, especially in the winter season.,. .
383 Universities with similar settings can focus on training their health science students investing in raising
384 knowledge and develop training models for students and staff is a critical and should focus on how to
385 deal with COVID-19 infected people, risk and benefit of treatment and vaccine, and follow precautionary
386 measures recommended by reliable sources of information. Without properly equipped human capital
387 and population commitment, the globe will be at higher risk to consequences of COVID-19 epidemic.

388 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

389 All participants were provided with informed consent statement before starting the
390 questionnaire. Agreeing to informed consent prior to starting the questionnaire was mandatory.
391 Moreover, the participants were informed that they have the option to stop answering the
392 questions through the process of completing the questionnaire. The research study was
393 approved by the Ethical Committee of the Health Science Center at Kuwait University
394 (2020/0622). Confidentiality of information was also respected by not asking names and the data
395 was stored with limited access.

396 **Consent for publication**

397 Not applicable

398

399 **Availability of data and materials**

400 The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author
401 on reasonable request

402 **Competing interests**

403 The authors declare that they have no competing interests

404 **Funding**

405 None

406 **Authors' contributions**

407 Authors (Alali, AlFouzan, and Aljimi) contributed to the study design and development of the
408 questionnaire; Alali, AlFouzan, Aljimi and Al-Tawalrah contributed to validation of the questionnaire and
409 its distribution to students and staff; Kheirallah and Yimer contributed to the scientific evaluation of the
410 study design and the questionnaire validity; and Alali, AlFouzan, and Aljimi contributed to the
411 manuscript writeup. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

412 **Acknowledgements**

413 The authors would like to thank all of the participants who consented willingly and enrolled in the study
414 voluntarily.

415 **References**

416

- 417 1. Sohrabi C, Alsafi Z, O'Neill N, Khan M, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, Iosifidis C, Agha R: **World Health**
418 **Organization declares global emergency: A review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19).**
419 *International Journal of Surgery* 2020, **76**:71-76.
- 420 2. Roy D, Tripathy S, Kar SK, Sharma N, Verma SK, Kaushal V: **Study of knowledge, attitude,**
421 **anxiety & perceived mental healthcare need in Indian population during COVID-19 pandemic.**
422 *Asian journal of psychiatry* 2020, **51**:102083-102083.
- 423 3. Bhagavathula AS, Aldhaleei WA, Rahmani J, Mahabadi MA, Bandari DK: **Novel Coronavirus**
424 **(COVID-19) Knowledge and Perceptions: A Survey of Healthcare Workers.** *medRxiv*
425 2020:2020.2003.2009.20033381.
- 426 4. Geldsetzer P: **Knowledge and Perceptions of COVID-19 Among the General Public in the United**
427 **States and the United Kingdom: A Cross-sectional Online Survey.** *Annals of Internal Medicine*
428 2020.
- 429 5. Khader Y, Al Nsour M, Al-Batayneh OB, Saadeh R, Bashier H, Alfaqih M, Al-Azzam S, AlShurman
430 BA: **Dentists' Awareness, Perception, and Attitude Regarding COVID-19 and Infection Control:**
431 **Cross-Sectional Study Among Jordanian Dentists.** *JMIR public health and surveillance* 2020,
432 **6**(2):e18798-e18798.
- 433 6. Ministry of Health-Kuwait: **COVID-19 Update.** <https://corona.e.gov.kw/En> Accessed 10 July
434 **2020.** 2020.
- 435 7. UNESCO.: **United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. Global monitoring**
436 **of school closures.** Available at <https://en.unesco.org/covid19/educationresponse> Accessed 3
437 **October 2020.** 2020.
- 438 8. Kelly MP, Barker M: **Why is changing health-related behaviour so difficult?** *Public Health* 2016,
439 **136**:109-116.
- 440 9. Geldsetzer P: **Use of Rapid Online Surveys to Assess People's Perceptions During Infectious**
441 **Disease Outbreaks: A Cross-sectional Survey on COVID-19.** *J Med Internet Res* 2020,
442 **22**(4):e18790.
- 443 10. WHO: **World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe.Survey tool and guidance:**
444 **behavioural insights on COVID-19, 17 April 2020.** Available at
445 [http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-](http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/technical-guidance/who-tool-for-behavioural-insights-on-covid-19/survey-tool-and-guidance-behavioural-insights-on-covid-19,-17-april-2020)
446 [19/technical-guidance/who-tool-for-behavioural-insights-on-covid-19/survey-tool-and-](http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/technical-guidance/who-tool-for-behavioural-insights-on-covid-19/survey-tool-and-guidance-behavioural-insights-on-covid-19,-17-april-2020)
447 [guidance-behavioural-insights-on-covid-19,-17-april-2020](http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/technical-guidance/who-tool-for-behavioural-insights-on-covid-19/survey-tool-and-guidance-behavioural-insights-on-covid-19,-17-april-2020) Accessed 6 June 2020. 2020.
- 448 11. Khasawneh AI, Humeidan AA, Alsulaiman JW, Bloukh S, Ramadan M, Al-Shatanawi TN, Awad HH,
449 Hijazi WY, Al-Kammash KR, Obeidat N *et al*: **Medical Students and COVID-19: Knowledge,**
450 **Attitudes, and Precautionary Measures. A Descriptive Study From Jordan.** *Frontiers in Public*
451 *Health* 2020, **8**(253).
- 452 12. Ziyab AH: **Prevalence and Risk Factors of Asthma, Rhinitis, and Eczema and Their**
453 **Multimorbidity among Young Adults in Kuwait: A Cross-Sectional Study.** *BioMed Research*
454 *International* 2017, **2017**:2184193.
- 455 13. Mikami T, Miyashita H, Yamada T, Harrington M, Steinberg D, Dunn A, Siau E: **Risk Factors for**
456 **Mortality in Patients with COVID-19 in New York City.** *Journal of General Internal Medicine*
457 2020.
- 458 14. Abate SM, Ahmed Ali S, Mantfardo B, Basu B: **Rate of Intensive Care Unit admission and**
459 **outcomes among patients with coronavirus: A systematic review and Meta-analysis.** *PLOS ONE*
460 2020, **15**(7):e0235653.

- 461 15. Kim L, Garg S, O'Halloran A, Whitaker M, Pham H, Anderson EJ, Armistead I, Bennett NM, Billing
462 L, Como-Sabetti K *et al*: **Risk Factors for Intensive Care Unit Admission and In-hospital**
463 **Mortality Among Hospitalized Adults Identified through the US Coronavirus Disease 2019**
464 **(COVID-19)-Associated Hospitalization Surveillance Network (COVID-NET)**. *Clinical Infectious*
465 *Diseases* 2020.
- 466 16. CDC: **What to Do If You Are Sick**. Available at: [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html)
467 [you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/if-you-are-sick/steps-when-sick.html) Accessed 11 October 2020. 2020.
- 468 17. WHO: **Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) advice for the public**. Available at:
469 <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public>
470 **Accessed on 11 October 2020**. 2020.
- 471 18. Ataş O, Talo Yildirim T: **Evaluation of knowledge, attitudes, and clinical education of dental**
472 **students about COVID-19 pandemic**. *PeerJ* 2020, **8**:e9575-e9575.
- 473 19. Gallè F, Sabella EA, Da Molin G, De Giglio O, Caggiano G, Di Onofrio V, Ferracuti S, Montagna MT,
474 Liguori G, Orsi GB *et al*: **Understanding Knowledge and Behaviors Related to CoViD–19**
475 **Epidemic in Italian Undergraduate Students: The EPICO Study**. *International Journal of*
476 *Environmental Research and Public Health* 2020, **17**(10):3481.
- 477 20. Bhatia R, Klausner J: **Estimation of Individual Probabilities of COVID-19 Infection,**
478 **Hospitalization, and Death From A County-level Contact of Unknown infection Status**. *medRxiv*
479 2020:2020.2006.2006.20124446.
- 480 21. Tsui BCH, Deng A, Pan S: **COVID-19: Epidemiological Factors During Aerosol-Generating**
481 **Medical Procedures**. *Anesthesia and analgesia* 2020, **131**(3):e175-e178.
- 482 22. Fridman I, LuFridmancas N, Henke D, Zigler CK: **Association Between Public Knowledge About**
483 **COVID-19, Trust in Information Sources, and Adherence to Social Distancing: Cross-Sectional**
484 **Survey**. *JMIR Public Health Surveill* 2020, **6**(3):e22060.
- 485 23. Sögüt S, Dolu İ, Cangöl E: **The relationship between COVID-19 knowledge levels and anxiety**
486 **states of midwifery students during the outbreak: A cross-sectional web-based survey**.
487 *Perspectives in psychiatric care* 2020:10.1111/ppc.12555.
- 488 24. Taghrir MH, Borazjani R, Shiraly R: **COVID-19 and Iranian Medical Students; A Survey on Their**
489 **Related-Knowledge, Preventive Behaviors and Risk Perception**. *Arch Iran Med* March 2020,
490 **23**(4):249-254.
- 491 25. Alzoubi H, Alnawaiseh N, Al-Mnayyis A, Lubad M, Aqel A, Al-Shagahin H: **COVID-19 - Knowledge,**
492 **Attitude and Practice among Medical and Non-Medical University Students in Jordan**. *Journal*
493 *of Pure and Applied Microbiology* 2020, **14**:17-24.
- 494 26. Mahmud A, Islam MR: **Social Stigma as a Barrier to Covid-19 Responses to Community Well-**
495 **Being in Bangladesh**. *International Journal of Community Well-Being* 2020:1-7.
- 496 27. Sotgiu G, Dobler CC: **Social stigma in the time of Coronavirus**. *European Respiratory Journal*
497 2020:2002461.
- 498 28. Abdelhafiz AS, Alorabi M: **Social Stigma: The Hidden Threat of COVID-19**. *Frontiers in Public*
499 *Health* 2020, **8**(429).
- 500 29. Bhattacharya P, Banerjee D, Rao TS: **The “Untold” Side of COVID-19: Social Stigma and Its**
501 **Consequences in India**. *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine* 2020, **42**(4):382-386.

502

503