

Gender- and Age-Specific Associations of Visit-To-Visit Blood Pressure Variability with Incident Generalized Anxiety Disorder

Jiandong Zhou *¹, Sharen Lee *², Wing Tak Wong PhD³, Keith Sai Kit Leung BSc⁴, Ronald Hang Kin Lam⁴, Prudence Shun Hay Leung⁴, Tong Liu MD PhD⁵, Carlin Chang MBChB MPhil MRCP⁶, Bernard Man Yung Cheung PhD FRCP⁷, Gary Tse PhD FRCP #⁵, Qingpeng Zhang PhD #¹

¹ School of Data Science, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

² Cardiovascular Analytics Group, Laboratory of Cardiovascular Physiology, Hong Kong, China

³ School of Life Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

⁴ Aston Medical School, Aston University, Birmingham, United Kingdom

⁵ Tianjin Key Laboratory of Ionic-Molecular Function of Cardiovascular Disease, Department of Cardiology, Tianjin Institute of Cardiology, Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin 300211, China

⁶ Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, China

⁷ Division of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Department of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, China

Correspondence to:

Prof. Gary Tse PhD FRCP

Tianjin Key Laboratory of Ionic-Molecular Function of Cardiovascular Disease, Department of Cardiology, Tianjin Institute of Cardiology, Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin 300211, China

Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey, GU2 7AL, Guildford, United Kingdom

Email: gary.tse@doctors.org.uk

Prof. Qingpeng Zhang PhD

School of Data Science, City University of Hong Kong,

Hong Kong, China

Email: qingpeng.zhang@cityu.edu.hk

Abstract

Background: There is a bidirectional relationship between blood pressure variability (BPV) and generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), but few studies have examined the gender- and age-specific effects of visit-to-visit BPV on GAD incidence. We examined the predictive value of BPV for the incidence of GAD in a family clinic cohort.

Methods: Consecutive patients with a first attendance to family medicine clinics in Hong Kong between January 1st, 2000, and December 31st, 2002, with at least three blood pressure measurements available thereafter were included. The primary endpoint was incident GAD as identified by ICD-9 coding from the local Clinical Data Analysis and Reporting System.

Results: This study included 48023 (50% males) patients with a median follow-up of 224 (IQR: 217-229) months. Females were more likely to develop GAD compared to males (incidence rate: 7% vs. 2%), as were patients of older age. Significant univariate predictors were female gender, older age, pre-existing cardiovascular diseases, respiratory diseases, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and gastrointestinal diseases, various laboratory examinations and the number of blood pressure measurements. Higher baseline, maximum, minimum, SD, CV, and variability score of diastolic blood pressure significantly predicted GAD, as did all systolic blood pressure measures (baseline, latest, maximum, minimum, mean, median, variance, SD, RMS, CV, variability score).

Conclusions: The relationships between longer term visit-to-visit BPV and incident GAD were identified. Female and older patients with higher blood pressure and higher BPV were at the highest risks of GAD.

Introduction

Generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) is a common group of conditions characterized by excessive worry associated with fatigue, restlessness, muscle tension, irritability, sleeping difficulty, and concentration problems. It is a major public health problem in many countries, damaging not only psychological health, but also physical health and quality of life. There is a bidirectional relationship between blood pressure variability (BPV) and GAD. GAD can exert effects on BPV. Patients with depressive symptoms presented a significantly lower night-time systolic BP fall than non-depressed patients after controlling for age, sex, and traditional cardiovascular risk factors (Scuteri et al., 2009). The control of negative emotions has been shown to influence BP control and BPV (Symonides et al., 2014). Conversely, increased beat-to-beat BPV has been associated with incident GAD (Virtanen et al., 2003). Longer term visit-to-visit BPV has also been reported as an independent predictor of cognitive impairment in several cohort studies (Nagai, Hoshide, Ishikawa, Shimada, & Kario, 2012; Sabayan et al., 2013; Yano et al., 2014). With the widespread measurement of BP measurements at home, fluctuations in blood pressure, as well as very high or low BP readings at home can cause anxiety in patients. However, few previous studies have examined the longitudinal relationship between BPV and anxiety disorders in older cohorts. In this study, we investigated the gender- and age-specific associations of longer period visit-to-visit BPV with the incidence of GAD.

Methods

Research design and data sources

The study was approved by The Joint Chinese University of Hong Kong – New Territories East Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee and Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster. This was a retrospective cohort study of family medicine patients who attended family medicine clinics between 1st January 2000 and 31st March 2002 in the Hong Kong public sector. Patients with at least three BP measurements before being diagnosed with GAD were included to calculate the variability measures. The patients were identified from the Clinical Data Analysis and Reporting System (CDARS), a territory-wide database that centralizes patient information from individual local hospitals to establish comprehensive medical data, including clinical characteristics, disease diagnosis, laboratory results, and medication prescription details. The system has been previously used by both our team and other teams in Hong Kong (Ju et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2020). Data were obtained regarding consecutive patients diagnosed with GAD, excluding those who died or discharged within 24 hours after the first diastolic/systolic blood pressure measurement and those with fewer than three diastolic/systolic blood pressure measurements (study baseline). Mortality data were obtained from the Hong Kong Death Registry, a population-based official government registry with the registered death records of all Hong Kong citizens. Data on the clinical characteristics, disease diagnosis, laboratory results (including complete blood count, renal and liver function tests, glycemic and lipid profile, diastolic/systolic BP), and medication prescription details were extracted. Patients with GAD were identified with the diagnosis codes 311, 296.3, 296.2, 308, 300.4, 292.84, 298, 300.02, 291.89, 293.84, 292.89, 294.9, 300.2, 309.24, 300.01, 309.21. The ICD-9 codes for past comorbidities and historical medication prescriptions are detailed in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

Primary outcome and statistical analysis

The primary outcome was incident cases of GAD from the study baseline in a time-to-event analysis. Follow-up was carried out until 31st December 2019. We extracted the baseline/latest/maximum/minimum values of diastolic and systolic blood pressure, and calculated the temporal variability measures of diastolic and systolic BP: 1) mean, 2) median, 3) standard deviation (SD), 4) root mean square (RMS) by first squaring all blood pressure values then performing square root of the mean of the squares, 5) coefficient of variation (CV) by dividing the blood pressure standard deviation by the mean BP then multiplying by 100, and 6) a variability score (from 0 [low] to 100 [high]) defined as the number of changes in BP of 5 mmHg or more, i.e., $100 * (\text{number of absolute BP change of each two successive measurements} > 5) / \text{number of measurements}$.

Clinical characteristics were summarized using statistical descriptive statistics. Continuous variables were presented as median (95% confidence interval [CI] or interquartile range [IQR]) and categorical variables were presented count (%). The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare continuous variables. The χ^2 test with Yates' correction was used for 2x2 contingency data, and Pearson's χ^2 test was used for contingency data for variables with more than two categories. Univariate Cox regression models were conducted based on cohorts of males and females, respectively, to identify the significant predictors of GAD. Significant univariate predictors of demographics, prior comorbidities, clinical and biochemical tests, medication prescriptions and BPV were used as input of a multivariate Cox analysis model, adjusted for demographics and comorbidities. Hazard ratios (HRs) with corresponding 95% CIs and P-values were reported. All significance tests were two-tailed and considered significant if P-values <0.001. Data analysis was performed using RStudio software (Version: 1.1.456) and Python (Version: 3.6).

Results

Baseline clinical characteristics and GAD incidence

This study included a total of 48023 (50% males) patients with a median follow-up of 224 (IQR: 217-229) months (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Among the 23964 male patients, 495 (incidence rate: 2.1%, median age: 70 (IQR: 57-79) years old) developed GAD. By contrast, females had a higher incidence rate, with 1687 of 24059 (incidence rate: 7.0%, median age: 68 (56-78) years old) developing GAD.

The clinical characteristics of the included patients are provided in **Table 1**. Compared with female patients, male patients were more likely to suffer from cardiovascular diseases (63.63% v.s. 52.97%, $p < 0.0001$), kidney disease (29.89% v.s. 17.10%, $p < 0.0001$), and stroke (38.38% v.s. 28.30%, $p < 0.0001$). They were more likely to be prescribed angiotensinogen-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) (18.18% v.s. 11.20%) and other antihypertensive drugs (23.03% v.s. 4.82%) than female patients.

Nevertheless, female patients had higher reticulocyte level (median: 60.9, IQR: 44.0-91.77 v.s. median: 31.9, IQR: 28.8-60.9, $p < 0.0001$), lower creatinine level (median: 75.0, IQR: 67.0-85.0 v.s. median: 98.0, IQR: 88.0-111.0, $p < 0.0001$), lower alanine transaminase amount (median: 19.0, IQR: 14.0-27.0 v.s. median: 23.0, IQR: 17.0-35.0, $p = 0.0023$), lower HbA1c level (median: 12.8, IQR: 11.7-13.6 v.s. median: 13.9, IQR: 12.9-15.1, $p = 0.0031$). As regards to diastolic BP measurements, female patients had a lower mean (median: 58.0, IQR: 52.0-66.0 v.s. median: 63.0, IQR: 56.0-70.0, $p = 0.0323$), median (median: 71.9, IQR: 66.2-77.2 v.s. median: 75.4, IQR: 69.8-81.6, $p = 0.0065$),

RMS (median: 72.3, IQR: 66.6-77.6 v.s. median: 75.9, IQR: 70.3-81.9, $p=0.0422$), and CV (median: 0.1001, IQR: 0.073-0.1 v.s. median: 0.09, IQR: 0.07-0.12, $p<0.0001$).

Incidence of GAD on follow-up and significant predictors

The age-specific incidences of GAD among male and female subgroups are shown in **Figure 1**. The number of female patients developing GAD was more than double that of male patients among those over 30 years of age. Kaplan-Meier survival curves in **Figure 2** show that females had a higher risk of developing GAD than males.

Univariate Cox regression demonstrated the following significant predictors for incident GAD:

- 1) Demographics, namely, female gender (HR: 0.30, 95% CI: [0.27,0.33], $p<0.0001$ ***) and older age (HR: 1.23, 95% CI: [1.19,2.03], $p<0.0001$). The specific risks differed between age groups: [40,50] years old (HR: 1.42, 95% CI: [1.27,1.57], $p<0.0001$), [50,60] years old (HR: 1.30, 95% CI: [1.18,1.43], $p<0.0001$), [60,70] years old (HR: 1.11, 95% CI: [1.01,1.22], $p=0.0008$), [70,80] years old (HR: 1.71, 95% CI: [1.64,1.79], $p<0.0001$), [80,90] years old (HR: 1.46, 95% CI: [1.37,1.57], $p<0.0001$);
- 2) Past history of cardiovascular diseases (HR: 4.00, 95% CI: [3.64,4.39], $p<0.0001$), respiratory diseases (HR: 1.33, 95% CI: [1.22,1.45], $p<0.0001$), diabetes mellitus (HR: 1.17, 95% CI: [1.05,1.31], $p=0.0062$), hypertension (HR: 1.17, 95% CI: [1.07,1.28], $p=0.0008$), and gastrointestinal disorders (HR: 1.90, 95% CI: [1.74,2.07], $p<0.0001$);
- 3) Laboratory parameters, namely, lower neutrophil (HR: 0.35, 95% CI: [0.24,0.50], $p<0.0001$), less white cell count (HR: 0.92, 95% CI: [0.89,0.95], $p<0.0001$), lower mean corpuscular

- haemoglobin level (HR: 0.94, 95% CI: [0.92,0.96], $p < 0.0001$), higher red cell count (HR: 1.001[1.001,1.002], $p = 0.0002$), lower urate level (HR: 0.03, 95% CI: [0.01,0.07], $p < 0.0001$), higher albumin level (HR: 1.04, 95% CI: [1.03,1.06], $p < 0.0001$), lower urea level (HR: 0.87, 95% CI: [0.85,0.90], $p < 0.0001$), lower creatinine level (HR: 0.98, 95% CI: [0.979,0.982], $p < 0.0001$), lower alkaline phosphatase level (HR: 0.995, 95% CI: [0.993,0.997], $p < 0.0001$), lower bilirubin level (HR: 0.97, 95% CI: [0.95,0.98], $p < 0.0001$), higher high-density lipoprotein (HDL) level (HR: 1.54, 95% CI: [1.25,1.91], $p = 0.0001$), and lower fasting glucose level (HR: 0.96, 95% CI: [0.93,0.98], $p = 0.0007$);
- 4) Diastolic blood pressure measures, namely, higher baseline value (HR: 1.49, 95% CI: [1.08,2.45], $p < 0.0001$), higher maximum value (HR: 1.19, 95% CI: [1.06,1.54], $p < 0.0001$), higher minimum value (HR: 1.23, 95% CI: [1.08,2.06], $p < 0.0001$), larger SD (HR: 1.18, 95% CI: [1.03,1.95], $p = 0.0008$), larger CV (HR: 1.13, 95% CI: [1.05,1.38], $p = 0.0002$), and larger variability score (HR: 1.16, 95% CI: [1.04,1.85], $p = 0.0003$);
- 5) Larger values of all systolic blood pressure measures (baseline, latest, maximum, minimum, mean, median, variance, SD, RMS, CV, variability score) (HR>1, $p < 0.001$).

In addition, the significant univariate predictors of all-cause mortality after GAD presentation were also identified (**Table 2**). Significant univariate predictors ($p < 0.05$) were entered into a multivariate Cox regression model, with most of the above univariate predictors remaining significant (**Table 3**). Next, we further analyzed different BP values in patients who developed GAD with age stratification (**Figures 3 and 4; Supplementary Table 2**). There is an age-related increase in mean, median and measures of variability for both diastolic and systolic BP.

Comparisons of BP measurements before and after GAD development

Gender-specific blood pressure measure changes before and after initial GAD presentation were identified as shown in **Table 4**. Regarding systolic BP, males had larger variance (median: 172.4, IQR: 99.5-262.7 v.s. median: 165.7, IQR: 96.9-255.0, $p < 0.0001$), larger RMS (median: 137.1, IQR: 125.5-144.0 v.s. median: 134.7, IQR: 126.2-143.0, $p = 0.0489$), and larger variability score (median: 73.6, IQR: 60.5-80.3 v.s. median: 70.0, IQR: 60.0-77.0, $p = 0.0332$) after initial presentation of GAD diseases; and females had larger variance (median: 174.2, IQR: 88.8-259.2 v.s. median 161.8, IQR: 91.7-256.7, $p < 0.0001$) and larger variability score (median: 74.4, IQR: 60.5-79.3 v.s. median: 70.6, IQR: 57.1-78.6, $p = 0.0136$) after initial presentation of GAD diseases. In diastolic BP measurements, males had larger variance (median: 65.5, IQR: 30.3-81.0 v.s. median: 54.3, IQR: 31.4-88.9, $p < 0.0001$) and larger variability score (median: 63.3, IQR: 40.2-71.2 v.s. median: 57.1, IQR: 46.2-66.7, $p < 0.0001$) after initial presentation of GAD; females had larger minimal test (median: 63.0, IQR: 54.0-67.0 v.s. median: 58.0, IQR: 52.0-66.0, $p = 0.0003$), larger variance (median: 61.8, IQR: 31.4-81.4 v.s. median: 56.1, IQR: 33.4-84.3, $p < 0.0001$), and larger variability score (median: 62.3, IQR: 43.2-67.54 v.s. median: 55.8, IQR: 47.4-66.2, $p < 0.0001$).

Discussion

The main findings of this study are that: 1) higher baseline, maximum, minimum, SD, CV, and variability score of diastolic BP significantly predicted GAD, as did all systolic BP measures (baseline, latest, maximum, minimum, mean, median, variance, SD, RMS, CV, variability score),

and 2) female and older patients with higher blood pressure and higher BPV were at the greatest risks of GAD.

The effects of GAD on BP and as a potential risk factor have been extensively examined in previous studies (Yu Pan et al., 2015). However, whether BP influences the risk of incident GAD has not been investigated in detail, and mixed results were seen in observational studies. Individuals with hypertension may be more likely to develop anxiety (Y. Pan et al., 2015; Player & Peterson, 2011), but this association is seen only when hypertension coexists with another chronic condition (Grimsrud, Stein, Seedat, Williams, & Myer, 2009), or when the patients are aware of their hypertension diagnosis (Hamer, Batty, Stamatakis, & Kivimaki, 2010). Previously, higher beat-to-beat BPV has been associated with incident GAD (Virtanen et al., 2003). Longer term visit-to-visit BPV has also been reported as an independent predictor of neurological conditions such as cognitive impairment in cohort studies (Nagai et al., 2012; Sabayan et al., 2013; Yano et al., 2014), but whether it can do so for incident GAD has never been explored. In this population-based study of patients attending family medicine clinics in the public sector of Hong Kong, we established for the first time the predictive value of different metrics of BP and BPV on incident GAD.

Whilst the physiological mechanisms underlying the bidirectional relationship between hypertension and incident GAD remain unclear, the phenomenon was reported in recent studies. Population-based studies demonstrated that patients with baseline anxiety had an increased risk of essential hypertension. (Bacon, Campbell, Arsenault, & Lavoie, 2014; Perez-Pinar et al., 2016; Stein et al., 2014) By contrast, a territory-wide study of over two million patients in Sweden demonstrated that hypertensive patients were more likely to suffer from GAD. (Sandstrom, Ljunggren, Wandell, Wahlstrom, & Carlsson, 2016) The presence of anxiety increases the risk of poor drug compliance

amongst hypertensive patients, thus worsens their BP control. (Bautista, Vera-Cala, Colombo, & Smith, 2012)

Various hypotheses have been proposed to explain the association between GAD and hypertension. First of all, chronic stress, which induces a persistent maladaptive stress response that develops into GAD, results in long term cortisol elevation. (Patriquin & Mathew, 2017) Consequently, the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis becomes dysregulated and leads to hypertension. (Gold et al., 2005) Furthermore, it is postulated that exaggerated neurobiological sensitivity towards threat results in prolonged activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, which results in both the autonomic dysregulation underlying hypertension, and the biological change under GAD. (O'Donovan, Slavich, Epel, & Neylan, 2013) Other mechanisms including increased oxidative stress, physical inactivity and hypercapnia were reported to be common in both the pathogenesis of hypertension and GAD, thus may contribute to the association between the two diseases. (Johnson et al., 2012; Salim et al., 2010; Ushakov, Ivanchenko, & Gagarina, 2016)

Similarly, hypotheses have been proposed to explain the predictive value of BPV for incident GAD. Increased BPV has been shown to be due to reduced baroreflex sensitivity, which may reflect sympathovagal imbalance likely due to sympathetic hyperactivity, which is observed in GAD patients. (Januzzi, Stern, Pasternak, & DeSanctis, 2000; Tully & Tzourio, 2017; Virtanen et al., 2003) The BP instability may reflect compensatory hemodynamic changes under reduced arterial compliance and increased aortic stiffness under systemic inflammatory response, which is both a cause of hypertension and a consequence of GAD. (Mitchell, 2009; Rothwell, 2010) Furthermore, the pathological worrying in GAD may be associated with increased compliance towards antihypertensives, which are known to increase BPV. (Parati, Ochoa, Lombardi, & Bilo, 2013;

Parker, Hyett, Hadzi-Pavlovic, Brotchie, & Walsh, 2011) Moreover, the use of medications such as beta blockers also predicted incident GAD. It may be that anxious patients may more likely to receive such medications to reduce the symptoms of anxiety.

Limitations

Several limitations should be noted for the present study. Given its observational nature, there is information bias with regards to issues of under-coding, missing data and documentation errors. Moreover, data on lifestyle risk factors of hypertension, such as smoking and alcoholism, were unavailable, thus their potential influence on the relationship between BP and GAD cannot be assessed. Furthermore, the clinical circumstances of BP measurement during hospital visits were susceptible to the effects of circumstantial factors, which may introduce additional variables that affect patients' BP and BPV.

Conclusions

The relationships between longer term visit-to-visit BPV and incident GAD were identified. Female and older patients with higher blood pressure and higher BPV were at the highest risks of GAD. Future studies should examine the interacting effects between BPV and medication use to influence incident GAD and GAD-related outcomes.

Acknowledgements

None.

Funding

None.

Competing or Conflicts of Interest

None.

Author Contributions

JZ, XW: data analysis, data interpretation, statistical analysis, manuscript drafting, critical revision of manuscript

SL, WTW, WKKW, KSKL, TL: project planning, data acquisition, data interpretation, critical revision of manuscript

BMYC: study supervision, data interpretation, statistical analysis, critical revision of manuscript

QZ, GT: study conception, study supervision, project planning, data interpretation, statistical analysis, manuscript drafting, critical revision of manuscript

References

- Bacon, S. L., Campbell, T. S., Arsenault, A., & Lavoie, K. L. (2014). The impact of mood and anxiety disorders on incident hypertension at one year. *Int J Hypertens*, *2014*, 953094. doi:10.1155/2014/953094
- Bautista, L. E., Vera-Cala, L. M., Colombo, C., & Smith, P. (2012). Symptoms of depression and anxiety and adherence to antihypertensive medication. *Am J Hypertens*, *25*(4), 505-511. doi:10.1038/ajh.2011.256
- Gold, S. M., Dziobek, I., Rogers, K., Bayoumy, A., McHugh, P. F., & Convit, A. (2005). Hypertension and hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis hyperactivity affect frontal lobe integrity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, *90*(6), 3262-3267. doi:10.1210/jc.2004-2181
- Grimsrud, A., Stein, D. J., Seedat, S., Williams, D., & Myer, L. (2009). The association between hypertension and depression and anxiety disorders: results from a nationally-representative sample of South African adults. *PLoS One*, *4*(5), e5552. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005552
- Hamer, M., Batty, G. D., Stamatakis, E., & Kivimaki, M. (2010). Hypertension awareness and psychological distress. *Hypertension*, *56*(3), 547-550. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.110.153775
- Januzzi, J. L., Jr., Stern, T. A., Pasternak, R. C., & DeSanctis, R. W. (2000). The influence of anxiety and depression on outcomes of patients with coronary artery disease. *Arch Intern Med*, *160*(13), 1913-1921. doi:10.1001/archinte.160.13.1913
- Johnson, P. L., Samuels, B. C., Fitz, S. D., Lightman, S. L., Lowry, C. A., & Shekhar, A. (2012). Activation of the orexin 1 receptor is a critical component of CO₂-mediated

anxiety and hypertension but not bradycardia. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, *37*(8), 1911-1922. doi:10.1038/npp.2012.38

Ju, C., Lai, R. W. C., Li, K. H. C., Hung, J. K. F., Lai, J. C. L., Ho, J., . . . Tse, G. (2020).

Comparative cardiovascular risk in users versus non-users of xanthine oxidase inhibitors and febuxostat versus allopurinol users. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*, *59*(9), 2340-2349. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kez576

Lee, S., Liu, T., Zhou, J., Zhang, Q., Wong, W. T., & Tse, G. (2020). Predictions of diabetes

complications and mortality using hba1c variability: a 10-year observational cohort study. *Acta Diabetol*. doi:10.1007/s00592-020-01605-6

Mitchell, G. F. (2009). Arterial Stiffness and Wave Reflection: Biomarkers of Cardiovascular

Risk. *Artery Res*, *3*(2), 56-64. doi:10.1016/j.artres.2009.02.002

Nagai, M., Hoshida, S., Ishikawa, J., Shimada, K., & Kario, K. (2012). Visit-to-visit blood

pressure variations: new independent determinants for cognitive function in the elderly at high risk of cardiovascular disease. *J Hypertens*, *30*(8), 1556-1563.

doi:10.1097/HJH.0b013e3283552735

O'Donovan, A., Slavich, G. M., Epel, E. S., & Neylan, T. C. (2013). Exaggerated

neurobiological sensitivity to threat as a mechanism linking anxiety with increased risk for diseases of aging. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*, *37*(1), 96-108.

doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.10.013

Pan, Y., Cai, W., Cheng, Q., Dong, W., An, T., & Yan, J. (2015). Association between

anxiety and hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological

studies. *Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment*, 11, 1121-1130.

doi:10.2147/NDT.S77710

Pan, Y., Cai, W., Cheng, Q., Dong, W., An, T., & Yan, J. (2015). Association between anxiety and hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. *Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment*, 11, 1121-1130.

doi:10.2147/NDT.S77710

Parati, G., Ochoa, J. E., Lombardi, C., & Bilo, G. (2013). Assessment and management of blood-pressure variability. *Nat Rev Cardiol*, 10(3), 143-155.

doi:10.1038/nrcardio.2013.1

Parker, G., Hyett, M., Hadzi-Pavlovic, D., Brotchie, H., & Walsh, W. (2011). GAD is good? Generalized anxiety disorder predicts a superior five-year outcome following an acute coronary syndrome. *Psychiatry Res*, 188(3), 383-389.

doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2011.05.018

Patriquin, M. A., & Mathew, S. J. (2017). The Neurobiological Mechanisms of Generalized Anxiety Disorder and Chronic Stress. *Chronic Stress (Thousand Oaks)*, 1.

doi:10.1177/2470547017703993

Perez-Pinar, M., Mathur, R., Foguet, Q., Ayis, S., Robson, J., & Ayerbe, L. (2016). Cardiovascular risk factors among patients with schizophrenia, bipolar, depressive, anxiety, and personality disorders. *Eur Psychiatry*, 35, 8-15.

doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2016.02.004

- Player, M. S., & Peterson, L. E. (2011). Anxiety Disorders, Hypertension, and Cardiovascular Risk: A Review. *The International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 41(4), 365-377. doi:10.2190/PM.41.4.f
- Rothwell, P. M. (2010). Limitations of the usual blood-pressure hypothesis and importance of variability, instability, and episodic hypertension. *Lancet*, 375(9718), 938-948. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60309-1
- Sabayan, B., Wijsman, L. W., Foster-Dingley, J. C., Stott, D. J., Ford, I., Buckley, B. M., . . . Mooijaart, S. P. (2013). Association of visit-to-visit variability in blood pressure with cognitive function in old age: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*, 347, f4600. doi:10.1136/bmj.f4600
- Salim, S., Asghar, M., Chugh, G., Taneja, M., Xia, Z., & Saha, K. (2010). Oxidative stress: a potential recipe for anxiety, hypertension and insulin resistance. *Brain Res*, 1359, 178-185. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2010.08.093
- Sandstrom, Y. K., Ljunggren, G., Wandell, P., Wahlstrom, L., & Carlsson, A. C. (2016). Psychiatric comorbidities in patients with hypertension—a study of registered diagnoses 2009-2013 in the total population in Stockholm County, Sweden. *J Hypertens*, 34(3), 414-420; discussion 420. doi:10.1097/HJH.0000000000000824
- Scuteri, A., Spalletta, G., Cangelosi, M., Gianni, W., Assisi, A., Brancati, A. M., . . . Volpe, M. (2009). Decreased nocturnal systolic blood pressure fall in older subjects with depression. *Aging Clin Exp Res*, 21(4-5), 292-297. doi:10.1007/BF03324918
- Stein, D. J., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Bruffaerts, R., de Jonge, P., Liu, Z., . . . Scott, K. M. (2014). Associations between mental disorders and subsequent onset of

hypertension. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*, *36*(2), 142-149.

doi:10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2013.11.002

Symonides, B., Holas, P., Schram, M., Sleszycka, J., Bogaczewicz, A., & Gaciong, Z. (2014).

Does the control of negative emotions influence blood pressure control and its variability? *Blood Press*, *23*(6), 323-329. doi:10.3109/08037051.2014.901006

Tully, P. J., & Tzourio, C. (2017). Psychiatric correlates of blood pressure variability in the elderly: The Three City cohort study. *Physiol Behav*, *168*, 91-97.

doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.10.024

Ushakov, A. V., Ivanchenko, V. S., & Gagarina, A. A. (2016). Psychological Stress in Pathogenesis of Essential Hypertension. *Curr Hypertens Rev*, *12*(3), 203-214.

doi:10.2174/1573402112666161230121622

Virtanen, R., Jula, A., Salminen, J. K., Voipio-Pulkki, L. M., Helenius, H., Kuusela, T., & Airaksinen, J. (2003). Anxiety and hostility are associated with reduced baroreflex sensitivity and increased beat-to-beat blood pressure variability. *Psychosom Med*, *65*(5), 751-756. doi:10.1097/01.psy.0000088760.65046.cf

Yano, Y., Ning, H., Allen, N., Reis, J. P., Launer, L. J., Liu, K., . . . Lloyd-Jones, D. M. (2014). Long-term blood pressure variability throughout young adulthood and cognitive function in midlife: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study. *Hypertension*, *64*(5), 983-988. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.03978

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients included in this cohort.

* for $p \leq 0.05$, ** for $p \leq 0.01$, *** for $p \leq 0.001$

Characteristics	Males (N=23964; event: 495, incidence rate: 2.07%; mortality: 195, 39.4%) Median (IQR);Max;N or Count(%)	Females (N=24059; event: 1687, incidence rate: 7.01%; mortality: 431, 25.68%) Median (IQR);Max;N or Count(%)	P
Demographics			
Age of first BP test, years	61.4(50.8-69.8);88.3;n=495	59.3 (49.3-69.3);89.0;n=1678	0.1453
Past comorbidity			
Cardiovascular	347(70.10%)	1209(72.05%)	0.2253
Respiratory	315(63.63%)	889(52.97%)	<0.0001***
Kidney	148(29.89%)	287(17.10%)	<0.0001***
Endocrine	25(5.05%)	83(4.94%)	0.2588
Diabetes mellitus	79(15.95%)	287(17.10%)	0.1086
Hypertension	336(67.87%)	1131(67.40%)	0.3494
Gastrointestinal	275(55.55%)	942(56.13%)	0.2378
Obesity	3(0.60%)	6(0.35%)	0.8561
Stroke	190(38.38%)	475(28.30%)	<0.0001***
Medications			
ACEI	90(18.18%)	188(11.20%)	0.0025**
ARB	2(0.40%)	9(0.53%)	0.8262
Calcium channel blockers	140(28.28%)	379(22.58%)	0.1477
Beta blockers	156(31.51%)	537(32.00%)	0.5235
Diuretics for heart failure	14(2.82%)	51(3.03%)	0.5597
Diuretics for hypertension	59(11.91%)	197(11.74%)	0.2936
Nitrates	74(14.94%)	203(12.09%)	0.8393
Antihypertensive drugs	114(23.03%)	81(4.82%)	<0.0001***

Anti-Diabetic drugs	54(10.90%)	175(10.42%)	0.5855
Statins and fibrates	75(15.15%)	258(15.37%)	0.6512
Complete blood count			
Mean corpuscular volume, fL	91.3(88.3-94.0);108.4;n=242	89.4(86.2-92.5);106.0;n=889	0.1994
Basophil, x10 ⁹ /L	0.04(0.02-0.046);0.6;n=113	0.03(0.01-0.03);0.2;n=390	0.35
Eosinophil, x10 ⁹ /L	0.1(0.1-0.2);1.7;n=132	0.1(0.1-0.2);8.8;n=485	0.3711
Lymphocyte, x10 ⁹ /L	1.78(1.3-2.2);4.5;n=133	1.8(1.4-2.4);5.2;n=489	0.1135
Monocyte, x10 ⁹ /L	0.5(0.4-0.7);1.5;n=132	0.4(0.3-0.6);1.5;n=486	0.6279
Neutrophil, x10 ⁹ /L	4.3(3.5-6.55);14.3;n=132	4.1(3.2-5.53);20.4;n=485	0.6455
White cell count, x10 ⁹ /L	7.16(6.0-8.95);24.0;n=243	6.8(5.6-8.3);23.8;n=892	0.787
Mean corpuscular haemoglobin, pg	31.1(29.85-32.1);38.5;n=242	30.5(29.1-31.5);35.6;n=889	0.8937
Platelet, x10 ⁹ /L	229.0(191.0-265.0);419.0;n=243	244.0(209.0-293.0);563.0;n=891	0.431
Reticulocyte, x10 ⁹ /L	31.9(28.8-60.9);90.0;n=3	60.9(44.0-91.77);162.0;n=17	<0.0001***
Red cell count, x10 ¹² /L	4.65(4.34-5.01);6.68;n=241	4.31(4.04-4.6);6.5;n=889	0.3762
Hematocrit, L/L	0.43(0.4-0.45);0.54;n=226	0.38(0.4-0.4);0.5;n=836	0.9949
Renal and liver function tests			
Potassium, mmol/L	4.15(3.89-4.5);6.44;n=337	4.2(3.9-4.47);8.9;n=1041	0.297
Urate, mmol/L	0.398(0.35-0.475);0.758;n=86	0.32(0.26-0.39);0.69;n=253	0.1253
Albumin, g/L	42.25(40.0-44.368);54.0;n=290	42.0(39.8-44.0);52.0;n=941	0.9327
Sodium, mmol/L	141.0(139.0-142.0);153.0;n=337	141.0(139.0-142.0);148.0;n=1041	0.5236
Urea, mmol/L	5.7(4.835-6.8);26.1;n=336	5.3(4.3-6.3);21.5;n=1039	0.9642
Protein, g/L	74.0(70.69-77.35);89.0;n=287	74.0(71.0-78.0);90.2;n=939	0.6126
Creatinine, umol/L	98.0(88.0-111.0);311.0;n=337	75.0(67.0-85.0);305.0;n=1041	<0.0001***
Alkaline phosphatase, U/L	75.0(60.84-92.0);218.0;n=248	77.0(60.0-93.5);294.0;n=815	0.7759
Aspartate transaminase, U/L	23.0(17.0-27.0);416.0;n=68	21.0(18.0-26.0);770.0;n=214	0.544
Alanine transaminase, U/L	23.0(17.0-35.0);275.0;n=225	19.0(14.0-27.0);570.9;n=740	0.0023**
Bilirubin, umol/L	10.0(7.5-13.0);41.0;n=250	9.0(6.3874-11.3);45.2;n=824	0.3542

Glycemic and lipid profile			
Triglyceride, mmol/mol	1.6(1.1-2.3);6.3;n=156	1.4(0.97-2.0);13.97;n=546	0.6491
LDL, mmol/mol	3.3(2.8-3.7);5.8;n=116	3.2(2.6-3.9);6.5409;n=373	0.3887
HDL, mmol/mol	1.2(1.02-1.4);2.1;n=118	1.4(1.195-1.66);2.78;n=390	0.3572
HbA1c, g/dL	13.9(12.9-15.1);17.8;n=215	12.8(11.7-13.6);16.7;n=788	0.0031**
Cholesterol, mmol/L	5.2(4.6-5.7);7.95;n=156	5.4(4.8-6.1);8.95;n=548	0.128
Fast glucose, mmol/L	5.8(5.2-7.5);24.7;n=240	5.6(5.1-7.0);28.2;n=787	0.9374
Diastolic blood pressure measures			
Number of measurements	7(5-10);25;n=495	7(6-10);23;n=1678	0.1225
Baseline, mm Hg	77.0(68.0-84.5);122.0;n=495	72.0(65.0-80.0);110.0;n=1678	0.041*
Latest, mm Hg	74.0(66.0-81.0);135.0;n=495	70.0(63.0-78.0);128.0;n=1678	0.6643
Maximum, mm Hg	89.0(81.5-97.0);135.0;n=495	86.0(79.0-93.0);128.0;n=1678	0.8432
Minimal, mm Hg	63.0(56.0-70.0);100.0;n=495	58.0(52.0-66.0);98.0;n=1678	0.0323*
Mean, mm Hg	75.4(69.8-81.6);106.4286;n=495	71.9(66.2-77.2);102.0;n=1678	0.0065**
Median, mm Hg	75.5(69.5-81.0);110.0;n=495	71.5(66.0-77.0);102.0;n=1678	0.0234*
Variance	54.3(31.4-88.9);512.0;n=495	56.1(33.4-84.3);924.5;n=1678	0.2326
SD	7.4(5.6-9.4);22.6;n=495	7.5(5.8-9.2);30.4;n=1678	0.5344
RMS	75.9(70.3-81.9);106.6;n=495	72.3(66.6-77.6);102.1;n=1678	0.0422*
CV	0.09(0.07-0.12);0.25;n=495	0.1001(0.073-0.1);0.31;n=1678	<0.0001***
Variability score	57.1(46.2-66.7);93.8;n=495	55.8(47.4-66.2);92.3;n=1678	0.5416
Systolic blood pressure measures			
Number of measurements			
Baseline, mm Hg	135.0(120.0-150.0);217.0;n=495	132.0(117.0-147.0);225.0;n=1678	0.1594
Latest, mm Hg	132.0(121.0-143.0);209.0;n=495	131.0(119.0-142.0);234.0;n=1678	0.7247
Maximum, mm Hg	156.0(144.0-169.0);233.0;n=495	157.0(140.0-173.0);246.0;n=1678	0.7289
Minimal, mm Hg	111.0(102.0-121.0);164.0;n=495	109.0(101.0-120.0);183.0;n=1678	0.1322
Mean, mm Hg	134.0(125.4-142.4);191.6;n=495	132.5(123.3-141.0);193.3;n=1678	0.3252

Median, mm Hg	133.0(125.0-142.0);187.0;n=495	132.0(122.5-141.0);195.0;n=1678	0.2253
Variance	165.7(96.9-255.0);1512.5;n=495	161.8(91.7-256.7);2964.5;n=1678	0.1806
SD	12.9(9.8-15.9);38.9;n=495	12.7(9.6-16.0);54.4;n=1678	0.2178
RMS	134.7(126.2-143.0);192.4;n=495	133.4(124.0-141.9);193.6;n=1678	0.2509
CV	0.09(0.07-0.11);0.2;n=495	0.09(0.07-0.12);0.27;n=1678	0.2264
Variability score	70.0(60.0-77.0);94.4;n=495	70.6(57.1-78.6);93.8;n=1678	0.7872

ACEI: angiotensinogen-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker; LDL: low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL: high density lipoprotein cholesterol; SD: standard deviation; RMS: root mean square; CV: coefficient of variation

Table 2. Univariate Cox analysis to predict incident GAD and mortality

* for $p \leq 0.05$, ** for $p \leq 0.01$, *** for $p \leq 0.001$

Characteristics	GAD (N=2173) HR [95% CI]		Mortality (N=626) HR [95% CI]	P
Demographics				
Male gender	0.30[0.27,0.33]	<0.0001***	1.74[1.69,1.79]	<0.0001***
Age at first blood pressure test, years	1.23[1.19,2.03]	<0.0001***	1.10[1.10,1.11]	<0.0001***
[0,10]	-	-	-	-
[10,20]	0.31[0.10,0.97]	0.0446 *	0.01[0.00,0.07]	<0.0001***
[20,30]	0.54[0.36,0.79]	0.0019 **	0.13[0.10,0.17]	<0.0001***
[30,40]	1.10[0.91,1.33]	0.3140	0.06[0.05,0.08]	<0.0001***
[40,50]	1.42[1.27,1.57]	<0.0001***	0.11[0.10,0.12]	<0.0001***
[50,60]	1.30[1.18,1.43]	<0.0001***	0.25[0.24,0.27]	<0.0001***
[60,70]	1.11[1.01,1.22]	0.0008**	0.97[0.94,1.00]	0.0749
[70,80]	1.71[1.64,1.79]	<0.0001***	3.51[3.41,3.61]	<0.0001***
[80,90]	1.46[1.37,1.57]	<0.0001***	6.00[5.78,6.23]	<0.0001***
90+	-	0.9640	8.76[7.75,9.89]	<0.0001***
Past comorbidity				
Cardiovascular	4.00[3.64,4.39]	<0.0001***	2.18[2.12,2.24]	<0.0001***
Respiratory	1.33[1.22,1.45]	<0.0001***	3.90[3.77,4.02]	<0.0001***
Kidney	0.87[0.78,0.96]	0.0076 **	2.15[2.09,2.22]	<0.0001***
Endocrine	1.12[0.92,1.36]	0.2500	2.08[1.97,2.20]	<0.0001***
Diabetes mellitus	1.17[1.05,1.31]	0.0062 **	0.96[0.92,1.00]	0.0385 *
Hypertension	1.17[1.07,1.28]	0.0008 ***	0.87[0.84,0.90]	<0.0001***
Gastrointestinal	1.90[1.74,2.07]	<0.0001***	1.20[1.17,1.23]	<0.0001***
Obesity	2.07[1.08,3.98]	0.0296 *	0.39[0.25,0.62]	<0.0001***
Stroke	1.07[0.98,1.17]	0.1410	1.94[1.89,2.00]	<0.0001***

Medications				
ACEI	0.66[0.59,0.75]	<0.0001***	1.80[1.74,1.86]	<0.0001***
ARB	0.80[0.44,1.45]	0.4600	1.50[1.29,1.75]	<0.0001***
Calcium channel blockers	0.70[0.64,0.78]	<0.0001***	1.85[1.80,1.91]	<0.0001***
Beta blockers	1.20[1.10,1.32]	0.0001***	1.06[1.03,1.10]	0.0001***
Diuretics for heart failure	0.67[0.52,0.85]	0.0013**	3.98[3.79,4.18]	<0.0001***
Diuretics for hypertension	0.84[0.73,0.95]	0.0073**	1.40[1.35,1.45]	<0.0001***
Nitrates	1.05[0.93,1.20]	0.4130	1.91[1.84,1.98]	<0.0001***
Antihypertensive drugs	0.72[0.62,0.84]	<0.0001***	2.23[2.15,2.31]	<0.0001***
Antidiabetic drugs	0.59[0.51,0.67]	<0.0001***	1.40[1.35,1.45]	<0.0001***
Statins and fibrates	1.11[0.98,1.24]	0.0908.	1.06[1.02,1.10]	0.0068**
Complete blood count				
Mean corpuscular volume, fL	0.995[0.988,1.002]	0.1930	1.021[1.018,1.024]	<0.0001***
Basophil, x10 ⁹ /L	0.20[0.02,1.68]	0.1370	2.00[1.05,3.79]	0.0339*
Eosinophil, x10 ⁹ /L	0.81[0.54,1.22]	0.3160	1.11[1.02,1.21]	0.0178*
Lymphocyte, x10 ⁹ /L	1.03[1.01,1.06]	0.0161*	0.66[0.63,0.68]	<0.0001***
Monocyte, x10 ⁹ /L	1.88[0.33,10.83]	0.4800	1.04[0.65,1.66]	0.871
Neutrophil, x10 ⁹ /L	0.35[0.24,0.50]	<0.0001***	2.10[1.96,2.26]	<0.0001***
White cell count, x10 ⁹ /L	0.92[0.89,0.95]	<0.0001***	1.08[1.08,1.09]	<0.0001***
Mean corpuscular haemoglobin, pg	0.94[0.92,0.96]	<0.0001***	1.06[1.06,1.06]	<0.0001***
Platelet, x10 ⁹ /L	1.00[0.98,1.01]	0.6170	1.03[1.03,1.04]	<0.0001***
Reticulocyte, x10 ⁹ /L	11.70[0.38,357.50]	0.1590	1.78[0.89,3.56]	0.105
Red cell count, x10 ¹² /L	1.001[1.001,1.002]	0.0002***	0.998[0.998,0.998]	<0.0001***
Hematocrit, L/L	1.003[0.993,1.013]	0.5270	0.999[0.996,1.001]	0.312
Basophil, x10 ⁹ /L	0.97[0.88,1.06]	0.4620	0.57[0.55,0.59]	<0.0001***
Eosinophil, x10 ⁹ /L	0.63[0.19,2.07]	0.4490	0.50[0.12,0.81]	<0.0001***
Renal and liver function test				

Potassium, mmol/L	0.88[0.79,0.97]	0.0102 *	0.95[0.92,0.98]	0.0026 **
Urate, mmol/L	0.03[0.01,0.07]	<0.0001***	10.65[8.23,13.77]	<0.0001***
Albumin, g/L	1.04[1.03,1.06]	<0.0001***	0.88[0.87,0.88]	<0.0001***
Sodium, mmol/L	1.02[1.00,1.04]	0.0196 *	0.948[0.943,0.952]	<0.0001***
Urea, mmol/L	0.87[0.85,0.90]	<0.0001***	1.111[1.108,1.114]	<0.0001***
Protein, g/L	1.01[1.00,1.02]	0.0528.	0.95[0.95,0.95]	<0.0001***
Creatinine, umol/L	0.98[0.979,0.982]	<0.0001***	1.003[1.003,1.003]	<0.0001***
Alkaline phosphatase, U/L	0.995[0.993,0.997]	<0.0001***	1.002[1.002,1.002]	<0.0001***
Aspartate transaminase, U/L	1.000[0.998,1.001]	0.6580	1.001[1.000,1.001]	<0.0001***
Alanine transaminase, U/L	0.999[0.997,1.001]	0.4250	0.998[0.997,0.999]	<0.0001***
Bilirubin, umol/L	0.97[0.95,0.98]	<0.0001***	1.007[1.005,1.009]	<0.0001***
Glycemic and lipid profile				
Triglyceride, mmol/mol	0.98[0.92,1.04]	0.4300	0.97[0.95,0.99]	0.0024 **
LDL, mmol/mol	1.03[0.93,1.13]	0.6050	0.91[0.88,0.95]	<0.0001***
HDL, mmol/mol	1.54[1.25,1.91]	0.0001 ***	0.82[0.75,0.90]	<0.0001***
HbA1c, g/dL	1.01[1.00,1.03]	0.0613	0.98[0.98,0.98]	<0.0001***
Cholesterol, mmol/L	1.04[0.98,1.11]	0.2230	0.92[0.90,0.94]	<0.0001***
Fast glucose, mmol/L	0.96[0.93,0.98]	0.0007 ***	1.05[1.05,1.06]	<0.0001***
Diastolic blood pressure measures				
Number of measurements	0.89[0.18,1.23]	0.1352	0.53[0.42,1.71]	0.2342
Baseline, mm Hg	1.49[1.08,2.45]	<0.0001***	1.03[1.01,1.24]	<0.0001***
Latest, mm Hg	1.001[0.997,1.004]	0.6870	1.07[1.02,1.28]	<0.0001***
Maximum, mm Hg	1.19[1.06,1.54]	<0.0001***	1.04[1.02,1.08]	<0.0001***
Minimal, mm Hg	1.23[1.08,2.06]	<0.0001***	1.09[1.01,1.2]	0.0442 *
Mean, mm Hg	1.03[1.01,1.39]	0.0011 **	1.1[1.03,1.7]	<0.0001***
Median, mm Hg	1.04[1.01,1.53]	0.0026 **	1.28[1.16,1.98]	<0.0001***
Variance	1.002[1.001,1.003]	0.0491 *	1.003[1.003,1.003]	<0.0001***

SD	1.18[1.03,1.95]	0.0008 ***	1.06[1.05,1.06]	<0.0001 ***
RMS	1.23[1.09,1.6]	0.0078 **	1.18[1.02,1.93]	<0.0001 ***
CV	1.13[1.05,1.38]	0.0002 ***	40.89[28.15,59.38]	<0.0001 ***
Variability score	1.16[1.04,1.85]	0.0003 ***	1.001[1.000,1.002]	0.0082 **
Systolic blood pressure measures				
Number of measurements				
Baseline, mm Hg	1.25[1.04,1.93]	<0.0001***	1.015[1.014,1.015]	<0.0001 ***
Latest, mm Hg	1.34[1.12,1.88]	<0.0001***	1.009[1.008,1.010]	<0.0001 ***
Maximum, mm Hg	1.15[1.04,1.33]	<0.0001***	1.009[1.009,1.010]	<0.0001 ***
Minimal, mm Hg	1.04[1.01,1.07]	<0.0001***	1.022[1.021,1.023]	<0.0001 ***
Mean, mm Hg	1.26[1.03,1.56]	<0.0001***	1.031[1.030,1.032]	<0.0001 ***
Median, mm Hg	1.16[1.04,1.29]	<0.0001***	1.030[1.029,1.031]	<0.0001 ***
Variance	1.04[1.01,1.1]	<0.0001***	1.001[1.001,1.001]	<0.0001 ***
SD	1.17[1.07,1.85]	<0.0001***	1.061[1.059,1.063]	<0.0001 ***
RMS	1.59[1.18,1.99]	<0.0001***	1.031[1.030,1.032]	<0.0001 ***
CV	1.07[1.02,1.23]	<0.0001***	629.90[424.60,934.40]	<0.0001 ***
Variability score	1.26[1.04,1.82]	0.0008 ***	1.000[0.999,1.001]	0.8170

ACEI: angiotensinogen-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker; LDL: low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL: high density lipoprotein cholesterol; SD: standard deviation; RMS: root mean square; CV: coefficient of variation

Table 3. Multivariate Cox regression analysis to predict incident GAD.

* for $p \leq 0.05$, ** for $p \leq 0.01$, *** for $p \leq 0.001$

	HR [95% CI]	Z value	P
Demographics			
Male gender	0.23[0.11,0.48]	-3.93	0.0001***
Age at first blood pressure test, years	1.04[1.02,1.07]	0.15	0.0029**
[20,30]	1.24[1.26,5.98]	0.27	0.0019**
[30,40]	1.07[1.02,2.14]	0.56	0.0034**
[40,50]	1.98[1.38,10.24]	0.82	<0.0001***
[50,60]	1.47[1.22,9.92]	0.39	0.0056**
[60,70]	1.48[1.05,4.88]	0.63	<0.0001***
[70,80]	1.06[1.01,16.38]	0.004	0.0069**
Past comorbidities			
Cardiovascular	3.69[1.99,6.84]	4.16	<0.0001***
Respiratory	1.68[0.96,2.94]	1.83	0.0670.
Kidney	1.72[0.98,3.04]	1.88	0.0603.
Diabetes mellitus	2.04[1.14,3.68]	2.39	0.0169*
Hypertension	1.42[0.69,2.88]	0.96	0.3390
Gastrointestinal	1.24[0.74,2.07]	0.82	0.4101
Medications			
ACEI	0.95[0.54,1.68]	-0.18	0.8545
Calcium channel blockers	0.62[0.36,1.09]	-1.67	0.0947.
Beta blockers	1.54[1.12,2.59]	1.65	0.0016**
Diuretics for heart failure	1.68[0.77,3.67]	1.31	0.1915
Diuretics for hypertension	0.92[0.51,1.67]	-0.27	0.7879
Antihypertensive drugs	0.77[0.36,1.68]	-0.66	0.5125
Antidiabetic drugs	0.39[0.20,1.79]	-2.61	0.242

Complete blood count			
Neutrophil, x10 ⁹ /L	0.98[0.85,1.14]	-0.21	0.8336
White cell count, x10 ⁹ /L	1.00[0.87,1.14]	-0.03	0.9728
Mean corpuscular haemoglobin, pg	1.07[0.95,1.21]	1.09	0.2749
Red blood count, x10 ¹² /L	1.08[0.58,1.99]	0.23	0.8179
Renal and liver function test			
Urate, mmol/L	0.21[0.02,2.51]	-1.24	0.2161
Albumin, g/L	1.00[0.93,1.07]	-0.14	0.8891
Urea, mmol/L	0.97[0.83,1.13]	-0.42	0.6770
Creatinine, umol/L	1.00[0.98,1.01]	-0.65	0.5184
Alkaline phosphatase, U/L	0.99[0.98,1.00]	-1.44	0.1498
Bilirubin, umol/L	1.02[1.00,1.04]	2.22	0.0264*
Glycemic and lipid profile			
HDL, mmol/mol	1.00[0.50,1.98]	-0.01	0.9921
Fasting glucose, mmol/L	1.04[0.98,1.11]	1.32	0.1885
Diastolic blood pressure measures			
Baseline, mm Hg	1.03[1.01,1.07]	1.69	<0.0001***
Maximum, mm Hg	0.98[0.91,1.06]	-0.48	0.6316
Minimal, mm Hg	1.04[1.01,1.14]	0.90	0.0071**
Mean, mm Hg	1.10[1.02,1.46]	0.61	0.0011**
Median, mm Hg	0.91[0.74,1.12]	-0.9	0.3679
SD	0.70[0.40,1.24]	-1.23	0.2204
CV	1.05[1.01,1.12]	1.05	0.0659*
Variability score	1.02[1.00,1.04]	2.01	0.0047**
Systolic blood pressure measures			
Baseline, mm Hg	1.15[1.08,1.33]	0.40	<0.0001***
Latest, mm Hg	1.01[0.99,1.03]	1.18	0.2389

Maximum, mm Hg	1.00[0.96,1.04]	0.09	0.9302
Minimal, mm Hg	1.04[1.01,1.19]	2.27	0.003**
Mean, mm Hg	0.49[0.02,11.33]	-0.44	0.6579
Median, mm Hg	1.04[0.93,1.16]	0.68	0.4949
Variance	1.00[0.99,1.01]	0.38	0.7017
SD	1.08[0.70,1.67]	0.36	0.7162
RMS	1.96[0.09,44.35]	0.42	0.6719
CV	1.04[1.01,1.09]	1.14	0.2540
Variability score	1.03[1.01,1.05]	1.27	0.7872

ACEI: angiotensinogen-converting enzyme inhibitor; HDL: high density lipoprotein cholesterol; SD: standard deviation; RMS: root mean square; CV: coefficient of variation

Table 4. Gender-specific blood pressure measure changes before and after GAD development.

* for $p \leq 0.05$, ** for $p \leq 0.01$, *** for $p \leq 0.001$

	Males			Females		
	Before GAD	After GAD	P	Before GAD	After GAD	P
Systolic blood pressure measures						
Closest	133.0(121.5-144.5);211.0	136.0(120.0-150.0);217.0	0.1024	132.0(119.0-144.0);222.0	134.0(120.0-148.0);225.0	0.2226
Maximum	156.0(144.0-169.0);233.0	156.0(143.0-170.0);233.0	0.8723	157.0(140.0-173.0);246.0	156.0(140.0-171.0);246.0	0.2414
Minimal	111.0(102.0-121.0);164.0	112.0(103.0-122.5);173.0	0.7634	109.0(101.0-120.0);183.0	110.0(102.0-121.0);196.0	0.6821
Mean	134.0(125.4-142.4);191.6	135.5(124.9-143.45);187.0	0.2356	132.5(123.3-141.0);193.3	135.1(122.9-143.0);214.7	0.1386
Median	133.0(125.0-142.0);187.0	134.0(123.25-143.0);184.0	0.7631	132.0(122.5-141.0);195.0	135.8(121.0-143.0);223.0	0.0986
Variance	165.7(96.9-255.0);1512.5	172.4(99.5-262.7);930.5	<0.0001***	161.8(91.7-256.7);2964.5	174.2(88.8-259.2);2056.2	<0.0001***
SD	12.9(9.8-15.9);38.9	13.1(10.0-16.2);30.5	0.4429	12.7(9.6-16.0);54.4	12.4(9.4-16.1);45.4	0.6199
RMS	134.7(126.2-143.0);192.4	137.1(125.5-144.0);187.4	0.0489*	133.4(124.0-141.9);193.6	135.7(123.6-143.7);215.1	0.1332
CV	0.09(0.07-0.11);0.2	0.09(0.07-0.1);0.2	0.9125	0.09(0.07-0.12);0.27	0.09(0.07-0.11);0.28	0.2305
Variability Score	70.0(60.0-77.0);94.4	73.6(60.5-80.3);90.4	0.0332*	70.6(57.1-78.6);93.8	74.4(60.5-79.3);90.5	0.0136*
Diastolic blood pressure measures						
Closest	75.0(67.0-82.0);135.0	77.0(68.0-84.0);122.0	0.1853	71.0(63.0-79.0);115.0	72.0(65.0-80.0);110.0	0.0461
Maximum	89.0(81.5-97.0);135.0	88.0(80.0-96.0);135.0	0.2296	86.0(79.0-93.0);128.0	85.0(78.0-91.0);122.0	0.7141
Minimal	63.0(56.0-70.0);100.0	65.0(57.0-70.0);100.0	0.1777	58.0(52.0-66.0);98.0	63.0(54.0-67.0);90.0	0.0003***
Mean	75.4(69.8-81.6);106.4286	75.5(69.5-81.3);106.3	0.5467	71.9(66.2-77.2);102.0	72.3(66.3-77.4);98.8	0.7072
Median	75.5(69.5-81.0);110.0	75.0(69.0-81.0);109.0	0.6943	71.5(66.0-77.0);102.0	72.0(66.0-77.8);97.5	0.0968
Variance	54.3(31.4-88.9);512.0	65.5(30.3-81.0);273.3	<0.0001***	56.1(33.4-84.3);924.5	61.8(31.4-81.4);690.3	<0.0001***
SD	7.4(5.6-9.4);22.6	7.0(5.5-9.0);16.5	0.4272	7.5(5.8-9.2);30.4	7.7(5.6-9.0);26.3	0.3177
RMS	75.9(70.3-81.9);106.6	75.7(69.9-81.7);106.43	0.7934	72.3(66.6-77.6);102.1	73.7(66.8-77.7);99.6	0.7846
CV	0.09(0.07-0.12);0.25	0.09(0.07-0.13);0.2	0.3691	0.1001(0.073-0.1);0.31	0.095(0.07-0.12);0.3	0.1045
Variability Score	57.1(46.2-66.7);93.8	63.3(40.2-71.2);93.2	<0.0001***	55.8(47.4-66.2);92.3	62.3(43.2-67.54);90.5	<0.0001***

SD: standard deviation; RMS: root mean square; CV: coefficient of variation

Figures



Figure 1. Age-specific incidence of GAD among male and female subgroups.










Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier survival curves of incident GAD development for the whole cohort (top) and stratified by gender (bottom).












Figure 3. Box plots showing diastolic blood pressure (DBP) measures stratified by gender and age at GAD presentation.







Figure 4. Box plots for showing systolic blood pressure (SBP) measures stratified by gender and age at GAD presentation.